## Deterministic vs Stochastic

- Make sure to go over the typed notes too!
- Okay let's recap. We have:
  - populations, population units
  - Sample, subset of population
  - Precise parameter, and statistic that estimates it
  - Types of variables
  - Statistical inferences like point estimation, confidence interval for range of values
  - Hypothesis testing: Assume something is true, see how unlikely your predictor is, accept or reject
  - If something lies outside of an n% confidence interval that's equivalent to rejecting null hypothesis for that result
- Notational convention:
  - Underline: A vector, ex a, b
  - Uppercase: Matrix, ex A, B
  - hat: Statistic, eg  $\hat{\theta}$
  - $-\underline{a}^T, A^T$ : Transpose
- Unless otherwise specified, vectors are column vectors and transposes are row bois
- Ex 1:  $Y = x^2$
- $\bullet$  That's deterministic. Same x, you get same Y value, over and over, no matter how many times you do it
- Ex 2: Y = a + bx, a, b are known. a is intercept, b is slope
- a is the default output if 0 is input, and b tells you how much the output changes when the input increases by one unit
- Important: When you talk about slope you *increase x*. It does **not** "change". It **increases**
- This straight line is also deterministic. AKA mathematical
- In general:

$$Y = g(x), x$$
 is non-random , Y is also non-random

• Problem: Particle boards are made. To examine this, boards produced at diff temperatures. Strength y, temperature t.

- Will points be exactly on a curve? Probably not. Some randomness/stochastic stuff will happen
- So you make a scatter plot
- In general:

 $Y = g(x) + \epsilon, x$  is non-random,  $\epsilon$  is random error term, Y is therefore now random

- $\bullet$  Y is the response/dependent variable, x is the predictor/regressor/independent variable/covariate
- Now: Impose assumptions on  $\epsilon$ : They are IID with mean  $E(\epsilon)=0, V(\epsilon)=\sigma^2<\infty$
- From that it follows:

$$E(Y) = E(g(x)) + E(\epsilon) = g(x) + 0 = g(x)$$
  
 $V(Y) = \sigma^2 + V(g(x)) = \sigma^2 + 0 = \sigma^2$ 

- So what are we trying to find or achieve? g(x) I'm pretty sure
- Yup g(x)
- Your mission, if you choose to accept it: Estimate g
- Let's relax the formal definition
- aaaaaaaahhhh relaxing
- In general: We might have several regressors like a billion or so

$$x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k$$
$$Y = q(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) + \epsilon$$

- Simple case: Assume something about g. The parametric form!
- Parametric form  $\Rightarrow$  functional form of g is known to us, apart from particular parameters  $\underline{\beta}$

$$Y = g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k; \underline{beta}) + \epsilon$$

- For instance g = a + bx where we don't know a, b
- More simple: Functional form of g is linear:

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \dots + \beta_k x_k + \epsilon$$

• That's the multiple linear regression model

• The unknown parameters are:

$$\underline{\beta} = (\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_k)^T; \sigma^2$$

 $\Rightarrow (k+2)$  unknown parameters

- We will work with this a lot
- The simplest case of multiple linear regression: k=1

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \epsilon$$

- Intercept, slope, error (with mean 0). So Y is an  $RV, E(Y) = \beta_0 + \beta_1(x), V(Y) = \sigma^2 < \infty$
- So take a sample out of the population and estimate the parameters, and then we can use our estimated regression model, we can do something
- So what are the  $\beta$  boiz? The slope and the intercept?

$$\beta_0$$
: Value of  $E(Y)$  if  $x=0$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  Mean of distribution of Y when x = 0

 $\beta_1$ : Amount of change in E(Y) by unit increase in x

- Always mention the mean of Y when thinking about these things!
- Sample regression model:

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \epsilon_i, i = 1, \dots, n$$

- Target: Estimate  $\beta_0, \beta_1, \sigma^2$  based on sample data
- How? With least-squares ya dummy!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!