

Choreography and Orchestration of Services

Oxford University
Software Engineering
Programme
April 2021



© Paul Fremantle 2016 except where credited elsewhere. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License
See <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/>

Business Process Management

- Hammer & Champy [1993] “A collection of activities that takes one or more kinds of input and creates an output that is of value to the customer.”
- Davenport [1992] “A structured, measured set of activities designed to produce a specific output for a particular customer or market. It implies a strong emphasis on how work is done within an organization, in contrast to a product focus’s emphasis on what.”




Composition

- Services provide *platform- and language-independent* access to *software components*
- But these components are *isolated*: they need to be *assembled* into *service-oriented architectures*
- Ideally, they should be recursively *composable* to form composite services in their own right
- *Workflow* languages for scripting or ‘glue’ between individual services
- BPMN, WSCI, WSFL, XLANG, BPEL. . .
- beyond mere *business protocol specifications* like RosettaNet, which are essentially paper specifications so can’t be automated and won’t scale



Removal of Dependencies (Leymann and Roller)

- DBMS provides independence from data *representation*; workflow provides independence from control or data *flow*.



Motivations


- Model Business Processes
 - Understand what happens?
 - Who is responsible?
 - What is involved?
- Simulate
 - Improve and model
- Execute
 - Automate processes
 - Improve them more quickly
- Monitor
 - Get a real-time health status of processes



Orchestration vs Choreography



<http://www.flickr.com/photos/herrolm/>



<http://www.flickr.com/photos/tasuki/>



© Paul Fremantle 2016 except where credited elsewhere. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License
See <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/>

Orchestration vs Choreography

- *Orchestration*
 - Describes procedure
 - instructs participants globally – imperative; centralized
 - typically deterministic: ‘must’
- *Choreography*
 - Describes protocol
 - Constraints on interaction, but participants act locally – declarative; no ‘current state’
 - Usually non-deterministic: ‘may’
- Orchestra has a conductor, Ballet does not




BPMN 1.1



- Designed to allow process designers to communicate
 - Think UML
- Activities, Gateways, Events
- Control and Data Flow
- Organization modelling (Pools, Swimlanes)



BPMN Example



Amazon Simple Workflow Service



Amazon SWF

- Maintains distributed application state
- Tracks workflow executions
- Ensures consistency of execution history
- Provides visibility into executions
- Holds and dispatches tasks
- Provides control over task distribution
- Retains workflow execution history

<http://aws.amazon.com/swf/>



Cloud



Workers for Activity 1



Mobile



Workers for Activity 2



On Premises



Workers for Activity 3



Turbine

Turbine is a JavaScript workflow engine. It vastly simplifies the development, deployment, and testing of complex web applications through the use of declarative workflows that express your app's program logic in a form that is simple to read and to understand.

Why Turbine?

Turbine is the ideal solution for apps (or parts of apps) with multi-step processes involving many possible branches, sub-flows, or permutations. Examples include:

- Signup forms
- Login forms
- Interactive tours
- Shopping carts
- Checkout flows
- Asset creation (i.e. upload photo -> add filter -> add caption -> tag friends -> share)
- etc.

The programming of these types of apps usually involves a tangled nightmare of conditionals, switches, callbacks, promises, and other strands of spaghetti code.

This tightly coupled code makes it almost impossible to A/B/n test different flows or variations -- any attempt to do so usually makes the problem even worse. It is also very difficult to follow the program logic to trace all the possible flows through the code.

Argo Workflows - The workflow engine for Kubernetes

Overview

Core Concepts

Quick Start

User Guide ▾

Operator Manual ▾

Developer Guide ▾

Releases 📖

Roadmap

Blog 📖

Slack 📖

Twitter 📖



What is Argo Workflows?

Argo Workflows is an open source container-native workflow engine for orchestrating parallel jobs on Kubernetes. Argo Workflows is implemented as a Kubernetes CRD (Custom Resource Definition).

- Define workflows where each step in the workflow is a container.
- Model multi-step workflows as a sequence of tasks or capture the dependencies between tasks using a directed acyclic graph (DAG).
- Easily run compute intensive jobs for machine learning or data processing in a fraction of the time using Argo Workflows on Kubernetes.
- Run CI/CD pipelines natively on Kubernetes without configuring complex software development products.



Business Process Execution Language (BPEL)

- Standardised XML language for executable processes
- Well defined execution
 - No deadlocks
 - Graphs must be acyclic
- Tied to WSDL concepts
- No built in support for human activities (though this has been added)
- No graphical notation



The main strength of BPEL

(IMO)

- BPEL is a completely executable standalone language
 - PartnerLinks define places where you can call WSDL services
 - Or where other parties can call WSDL Services into the process
- Deployment descriptor + BPEL can be executed without any Java or other language



The main weaknesses of BPEL

(IMO)

- Too much like a programming language
 - Need WS-HumanTask, BPEL4People and script or Java extensions to make it useful for real processes
- No swimlanes (explained in a minute)
- No common visual notation



BPMN + BPEL

- In theory:
 - Process experts design and model in BPMN
 - Developers/Implementors implement in BPEL
- No standard bridging/mapping
 - Double the effort





BPMN 2.0


- A notation for a subset of BPEL
- Execution semantics for BPMN
- Notational support for choreography
- The best of both worlds?
- Cons:
 - Need to write external logic in another language to implement a process



BPMN 2.0




BPMN 2.0 Basics




Swimlanes:

partition an activity diagram into the responsibilities of different entities




Swimlanes represent different participants




BPMN Basic Constructs

- Events




- Activities



- Gateways




- Sequence Flow








Text Annotations

- How you document your processes



Start Events

- Start Event 
 - Message Start 
 - Timer Start 
 - Conditional Start 
 - Signal Start 

Some Intermediate Events



Basic Intermediate Event



Message Catch



Message Throw




Timer




Escalation


Some End Events




Activities




Send Task
- sends a message




Receive Task
- receives a message




User Task
- requires input
or action from a
user, mediated
by the engine




Manual Task
- completely manual task (out
side the engine)




Business Rule Task
- implemented by a BR engine



Service Task
- implemented by a service



Script Task
- implemented by a script



Call Activity-
calls another
process

Service Task

- Call a service
 - Unlike BPEL there is no direct way of capturing the actual service
 - Typically need to write some code (e.g. Java) to capture that




Data Flow


- Transitions between activities represent *control dependencies*: one activity must complete before another can start
- Workflows also have *data dependencies*: one activity produces a result that another requires
- UML activity diagrams allow *object flow* as well as *control flow*
- Dependent data is shown as an object icon (rectangle with underlined name and type)
 - *dependencies* shown as dashed arrows from generating activity to object, and from object to consuming activity(s)
 - same object may occur multiple times in an activity diagram, typically in different *states* (shown in square brackets after object name)



Example Object Flow



Flows



Sequence flows are within a Swimlane


Message flows between swimlanes

Gateways

- Exclusive Gateway
 - Fork – choose one path (if/else)
 - Join – wait for a single event
- Parallel Gateway
 - Fork – do both / all paths
 - Join – wait for all inputs




Event Gateway



An Event Gateway allows different events to trigger different actions

Complex Gateway



How much BPMN do you need?

How Much Language is Enough?
Theoretical and Practical Use of the
Business Process Management Notation
http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2038665




Fig. 1. Occurrence Frequency of BPMN Constructs

Summary

- Process Management has a strong place in composing SOA systems
 - Externalising dependencies
 - Agility
 - Sharing with the business owners

