TOOLS

- Bandit detects common security problems (bandit -r.)
- SEMGREP detects common security problems (semgrep scan --config=p/python)
- Pip-audit scans for libraries and packages with vulnerabilities (pip-audit)
- ChatGPT manual code review by AI agent

Here the two backdoors have already been provided (Impact if it is exploited, Recommendations)

Weak keys – poc weak key register.py

- Weak keys make it easier for an attacker to crack by reducing the number of possible combinations in a brute force attack
- Current recommendations are a key which is 2048 bit

Inject unsigned USER ADVERTISE – poc inject unsigned advert.py

- Without proper validation, if this input is used elsewhere, an attacker can introduce malicious code to the system
- Make sure to validate and check all user input so they cannot introduce malicious code to your system

Other further notes:

Try, except, Pass is used often in the code. Instead, raise an exception so that bugs can be detected and are not hidden from the system.

Pip-audit found some vulnerabilities in the packages used but it seems that these do not impact your code.

Obviously do not commit the secret keys, but understandable considering this is an assignment.

Overall solid system!

Backdoors were given by the BACKDOOR readme.md

```
# Quarantine: Backdoor PoCs and Instructions
**Repository / Folder:** `quarantine/`
**Purpose:** This folder contains Proof-of-Concept (PoC) scripts demonstrating
**two intentionally planted, non-destructive backdoors** included for the
assignment exercise:
1. **Weak key acceptance** - the server/client will accept or generate weak RSA-
1024 keys while claiming RSA-4096.
2. **Unsigned gossip acceptance** — the server will accept `USER ADVERTISE`
messages even if they are unsigned or the signature is invalid.
> ! **Safety & ethics note**
> These backdoors are intentionally insecure and are present only for academic
exercise, detection, and mitigation practice. Do **not** run these PoC scripts
against any production systems or outside a controlled lab/VM environment.
## How to enable the backdoors (local testing only)
**1) Weak keys backdoor**
- This PoC assumes you applied the `keys.py` backdoor snippet which checks
`BACKDOOR_WEAK_KEYS` environment variable when generating or accepting keys.
To run your server in weak-key mode:
```bash
optional: run server with weak-key generation (makes server generate weaker keys
BACKDOOR_WEAK_KEYS=1 python3 server.py --name serverA --port 8765
BACKDOOR_WEAK_KEYS=1 python3 client.py --user alice --server ws://127.0.0.1:8765
2) Trust-unsigned-gossip backdoor
- This PoC assumes you applied the `server.py` backdoor snippet which checks
BACKDOOR_TRUST_GOSSIP`.
- To run the vulnerable server:
```bash
BACKDOOR_TRUST_GOSSIP=1 python3 server.py --name serverA --port 8765
## How to run the PoCs
> Run these from the repo root (so the server/client modules import correctly),
while the target server is running with appropriate env var(s).
### 1) Weak key registration PoC
```

```
Start (or ensure) your **vulnerable** server is running on the target port (8765 by
default). Then:
```bash
python3 quarantine/poc_weak_key_register.py --host 127.0.0.1 --port 8765
Expected output (if backdoor present):
- Server logs show the connecting user UUID and acceptance, e.g.:
 [server id] User poc weak key user (uuid) connected locally.
 [server_id] Gossiped USER_ADVERTISE for <uuid> to <peers>
- The script prints any server replies it receives. If no reply is printed, check
server logs for acceptance.
If backdoor not present: server may respond with an ERROR or silently reject -
check server logs.
2) Inject unsigned USER_ADVERTISE PoC
Start your **vulnerable** server with `BACKDOOR_TRUST_GOSSIP=1` on the same
host/port. Then:
```bash
python3 quarantine/poc_inject_unsigned_advert.py --host 127.0.0.1 --port 8765
**Expected output (if backdoor present):**
- The script prints connection status and any reply.
- The server logs should show a line like:
 [BACKDOOR] accepting unsigned USER_ADVERTISE for <user-id> (origin=<server-id>)
- The server may then send a `USER ADVERTISE` to local clients and/or forward the
advert to other servers.
**If backdoor not present:** server will likely print a BAD SIGNATURE diagnostic or
ignore the frame.
## PoC code location & cleanup
- Files:
  - `quarantine/poc_weak_key_register.py`
  - `quarantine/poc_inject_unsigned_advert.py`
 - `quarantine/BACKDOOR README.md`
 **Cleanup:** To remove the backdoor exposure:
```

- 1. Remove or revert the backdoor code snippets from `keys.py` and `server.py`.
- 2. Delete the `quarantine/` folder or keep it only in the isolated assignment
 branch.
 - 3. Do **not** merge the backdoored branch into a public or main branch.

Reflection & detection guidance (for graders / defenders)

- Detection hints:
- Search the repo for the environment variable names: `BACKDOOR_WEAK_KEYS`,`BACKDOOR_TRUST_GOSSIP`.
- Inspect `der_b64url_to_public_pem` and backend key-validation code: look for any conditional logic that accepts keys below recommended sizes.
- Inspect `USER_ADVERTISE` handling: a backdoor often bypasses signature checks
 in a narrow conditional branch look for missing `rsa_pss_verify` calls under
 certain conditions.

- Mitigation:

- Enforce explicit key size checks in the key import path (reject keys smaller than 2048 bits).
- Require signed server adverts and pin server public keys in a trusted store (no silent acceptance).
- Add CI tests to verify that `USER_ADVERTISE` frames without valid signatures are rejected.

Ethical statement

These PoCs are built for classroom assessment: to help other teams find, analyze, and ethically exploit the weaknesses in a controlled setting. They are intentionally limited in scope and non-destructive.

If you want, I can produce:

- a `quarantine/Makefile` with safe run targets, and
- a short `appendix.md` describing lines/names to inspect for graders.

Bandit Output

```
Test results:
>> Issue: [B110:try except pass] Try, Except, Pass detected.
 Severity: Low Confidence: High
 CWE: CWE-703 (https://cwe.mitre.org/data/definitions/703.html)
 More Info: https://bandit.readthedocs.io/en/1.8.6/plugins/b110 try except pass.html
 Location: ./client.py:357:28
356
                         await ws.ping()
357
                       except Exception:
                         pass
358
359
>> Issue: [B608:hardcoded sql expressions] Possible SQL injection vector through string-
based query construction.
 Severity: Medium Confidence: Medium
 CWE: CWE-89 (https://cwe.mitre.org/data/definitions/89.html)
 More Info:
https://bandit.readthedocs.io/en/1.8.6/plugins/b608 hardcoded sql expressions.html
 Location: ./datavault.py:165:35
             for table in data.keys():
164
165
                cur = conn.execute(f"SELECT * FROM {table}")
166
                cols = [c[0]  for c in cur.description]
>> Issue: [B505:weak cryptographic key] RSA key sizes below 2048 bits are considered
breakable.
 Severity: Medium Confidence: High
 CWE: CWE-326 (https://cwe.mitre.org/data/definitions/326.html)
 More Info:
https://bandit.readthedocs.io/en/1.8.6/plugins/b505 weak cryptographic key.html
 Location: ./quarantine/poc weak key register.py:38:10
        # generate a 1024-bit RSA key (INTENTIONAL WEAK KEY for PoC)
37
        key = rsa.generate private key(public exponent=65537, key size=1024)
38
39
        pub = key.public key()
>> Issue: [B110:try except pass] Try, Except, Pass detected.
 Severity: Low Confidence: High
 CWE: CWE-703 (https://cwe.mitre.org/data/definitions/703.html)
 More Info: https://bandit.readthedocs.io/en/1.8.6/plugins/b110 try except pass.html
 Location: ./server.py:276:20
275
                    user locations[uid] = "remote"
276
                  except Exception:
277
                    pass
278
```

>> Issue: [B105:hardcoded_password_string] Possible hardcoded password: 'default' Severity: Low Confidence: Medium

```
CWE: CWE-259 (https://cwe.mitre.org/data/definitions/259.html)
 More Info:
https://bandit.readthedocs.io/en/1.8.6/plugins/b105 hardcoded password string.html
 Location: ./server.py:402:38
401
                 dummy priv blob = "encrypted priv placeholder"
402
                 dummy password = "default"
                 await register user(
403
>> Issue: [B110:try except pass] Try, Except, Pass detected.
 Severity: Low Confidence: High
 CWE: CWE-703 (https://cwe.mitre.org/data/definitions/703.html)
 More Info: https://bandit.readthedocs.io/en/1.8.6/plugins/b110 try except pass.html
 Location: ./server.py:815:24
814
                      print(f"[announce] Sent USER ADVERTISE (server peer
{assigned id}) to local client {luid}")
815
                   except Exception:
816
                      pass
817
    _____
>> Issue: [B110:try except pass] Try, Except, Pass detected.
 Severity: Low Confidence: High
 CWE: CWE-703 (https://cwe.mitre.org/data/definitions/703.html)
 More Info: https://bandit.readthedocs.io/en/1.8.6/plugins/b110_try_except_pass.html
 Location: ./server.py:923:24
                      print(f"[announce] Sent USER ADVERTISE (server peer
{new sid}) to local client {luid}")
923
                   except Exception:
924
                      pass
925
_____
>> Issue: [B110:try except pass] Try, Except, Pass detected.
 Severity: Low Confidence: High
 CWE: CWE-703 (https://cwe.mitre.org/data/definitions/703.html)
 More Info: https://bandit.readthedocs.io/en/1.8.6/plugins/b110 try except pass.html
 Location: ./server.py:1075:24
1074
                      await ws.send(json.dumps(local remove))
1075
                    except Exception:
1076
                      pass
1077
    >> Issue: [B110:try except pass] Try, Except, Pass detected.
 Severity: Low Confidence: High
 CWE: CWE-703 (https://cwe.mitre.org/data/definitions/703.html)
 More Info: https://bandit.readthedocs.io/en/1.8.6/plugins/b110 try except pass.html
 Location: ./server.py:1094:24
1093
                      await ws.send(json.dumps(list result))
```

```
1094
                     except Exception:
1095
                       pass
1096
>> Issue: [B110:try except pass] Try, Except, Pass detected.
 Severity: Low Confidence: High
 CWE: CWE-703 (https://cwe.mitre.org/data/definitions/703.html)
 More Info: https://bandit.readthedocs.io/en/1.8.6/plugins/b110 try except pass.html
 Location: ./server.py:1150:24
1149
                        await ws.send(json.dumps(local remove))
1150
                     except Exception:
1151
                       pass
1152
>> Issue: [B110:try except pass] Try, Except, Pass detected.
 Severity: Low Confidence: High
 CWE: CWE-703 (https://cwe.mitre.org/data/definitions/703.html)
 More Info: https://bandit.readthedocs.io/en/1.8.6/plugins/b110 try except pass.html
 Location: ./server.py:1169:24
1168
                       await ws.send(json.dumps(list result))
1169
                     except Exception:
1170
                       pass
1171
>> Issue: [B110:try except pass] Try, Except, Pass detected.
 Severity: Low Confidence: High
 CWE: CWE-703 (https://cwe.mitre.org/data/definitions/703.html)
 More Info: https://bandit.readthedocs.io/en/1.8.6/plugins/b110 try except pass.html
 Location: ./server.py:1424:24
1423
                        await ws.send(json.dumps(list result))
1424
                     except Exception:
1425
                       pass
1426
>> Issue: [B110:try except pass] Try, Except, Pass detected.
 Severity: Low Confidence: High
 CWE: CWE-703 (https://cwe.mitre.org/data/definitions/703.html)
 More Info: https://bandit.readthedocs.io/en/1.8.6/plugins/b110 try except pass.html
 Location: ./server.py:1720:20
                     await ws.close()
1719
1720
                   except Exception:
1721
                     pass
             servers[sid] = None
1722
```

```
Code scanned:
Total lines of code: 2481
Total lines skipped (#nosec): 0

Run metrics:
Total issues (by severity):
Undefined: 0
Low: 11
Medium: 2
High: 0
Total issues (by confidence):
Undefined: 0
Low: 0
Medium: 2
High: 11

Files skipped (0):
```

SEMGREP

quarantine/poc weak key register.py

>> python.cryptography.security.insufficient-rsa-key-size.insufficient-rsa-key-size Detected an insufficient key size for RSA. NIST recommends a key size of 2048 or higher.

Details: https://sg.run/RoQq

Autofix 2048

38 key = rsa.generate_private_key(public_exponent=65537, key_size=1024)

Scan Summary |

Scan completed successfully.

• Findings: 1 (1 blocking)

Rules run: 151Targets scanned: 7Parsed lines: ~100.0%

• Scan was limited to files tracked by git

• For a detailed list of skipped files and lines, run semgrep with the --verbose flag Ran 151 rules on 7 files: 1 finding.

Pip-Audit Found 74 known vulnerabilities in 22 packages

		on ID Fix Versions
aiohttp	3.8.3	PYSEC-2024-24 3.9.2
-		PYSEC-2023-120 3.8.5
		PYSEC-2023-250 3.9.0
		PYSEC-2023-251 3.9.0
aiohttp		
aiohttp		
aiohttp		
aiohttp	3.8.3	
aiohttp		
aiohttp		
aiohttp	3.8.3	GHSA-9548-qrrj-x5pj 3.12.14
astropy	5.1	GHSA-h2x6-5jx5-46hf 5.3.3
cookiecutt		
		0.1 GHSA-79v4-65xg-pq4g 44.0.1
		PYSEC-2023-62 2.2.5,2.3.2
		PYSEC-2024-60 3.7
		21.8.26 PYSEC-2023-174 2023.9.18
		21.8.26 GHSA-94vc-p8w7-5p49 2023.9.18
		GHSA-33p9-3p43-82vq 5.8.1
jupyter-sei	rver 2.5.	0 PYSEC-2023-155 2.7.2
jupyter-sei	rver 2.5.	0 PYSEC-2023-157 2.7.2 0 PYSEC-2023-272 2.11.2
		0 PYSEC-2024-165 2.14.1
jupyterlab	3.6.3	GHSA-44cc-43rp-5947 3.6.7,4.0.11
jupyterlab	3.6.3	GHSA-vvfj-2jqx-52jm 4.4.8
-		PYSEC-2021-427 1.3.0
nltk	3.7	PYSEC-2024-167 3.9
numexpr	2.8.4	PYSEC-2023-163 2.8.5
		PYSEC-2023-228 23.3
		GHSA-4xh5-x5gv-qwph 0 PYSEC-2023-238 14.0.1
pyarrow	11.0.0 11.0.0	
pyarrow	2.8.0	0 PYSEC-2024-161 17.0.0 PYSEC-2017-83
scrapy	2.8.0	PYSEC-2024-162 2.11.1
scrapy	2.8.0	PYSEC-2024-102 2.11.1 PYSEC-2024-258 2.0.0,2.11.2
scrapy	2.8.0	GHSA-cw9j-q3vf-hrrv 1.8.4,2.11.1
scrapy	2.8.0	GHSA-7j7m-v7m3-jqm7 1.8.4,2.11.1
scrapy scrapy	2.8.0	GHSA-23j4-mw76-5v7h 2.11.2
scrapy	2.8.0	GHSA-jm3v-qxmh-hxwv 2.11.2
torch	2.5.0	PYSEC-2025-41 2.6.0
torch	2.5.0	GHSA-3749-ghw9-m3mg 2.7.1rc1
torch	2.5.0	GHSA-887c-mr87-cxwp 2.8.0
tornado	6.2	PYSEC-2023-75 6.3.2
tornado	6.2	GHSA-qppv-j76h-2rpx 6.3.3
tornado	6.2	GHSA-753j-mpmx-qq6g 6.4.1
tornado	6.2	GHSA-w235-7p84-xx57 6.4.1
	-	F

```
tornado
           6.2
                  GHSA-8w49-h785-mj3c 6.4.2
           4.65.0
                  GHSA-g7vv-2v7x-gj9p 4.66.3
tqdm
transformers 4.29.2
                     PYSEC-2023-301
                                         4.36.0
transformers 4.29.2
                     PYSEC-2023-299
                                         4.30.0
transformers 4.29.2
                     PYSEC-2023-300
                                         4.36.0
transformers 4.29.2
                     PYSEC-2024-227
                                         4.48.0
transformers 4.29.2
                     PYSEC-2024-228
                                         4.48.0
transformers 4.29.2
                     PYSEC-2024-229
                                         4.48.0
transformers 4.29.2
                     PYSEC-2025-40
                                        4.49.0
transformers 4.29.2
                     GHSA-37q5-v5qm-c9v8 4.38.0
transformers 4.29.2
                     GHSA-6rvg-6v2m-4j46 4.48.0
transformers 4.29.2
                     GHSA-fpwr-67px-3qhx 4.50.0
transformers 4.29.2
                     GHSA-q2wp-rjmx-x6x9 4.51.0
transformers 4.29.2
                     GHSA-jjph-296x-mrcr 4.51.0
transformers 4.29.2
                     GHSA-phhr-52qp-3mj4 4.52.1
transformers 4.29.2
                     GHSA-37mw-44qp-f5jm 4.52.1
transformers 4.29.2
                     GHSA-9356-575x-2w9m 4.53.0
transformers 4.29.2
                     GHSA-59p9-h35m-wg4g 4.53.0
transformers 4.29.2
                     GHSA-rcv9-qm8p-9p6j 4.53.0
transformers 4.29.2
                     GHSA-4w7r-h757-3r74 4.53.0
twisted
           22.10.0 PYSEC-2023-224
                                       23.10.0rc1
twisted
           22.10.0 PYSEC-2024-75
                                       24.7.0rc1
twisted
           22.10.0
                   GHSA-c8m8-j448-xjx7 24.7.0rc1
werkzeug
             2.2.3
                    PYSEC-2023-221
                                        2.3.8,3.0.1
            2.2.3
                    GHSA-2g68-c3qc-8985 3.0.3
werkzeug
werkzeug
            2.2.3
                    GHSA-f9vj-2wh5-fj8j 3.0.6
werkzeug
            2.2.3
                    GHSA-q34m-jh98-gwm2 3.0.6
Name
               Skip Reason
                  Dependency not found on PyPI and could not be audited: anaconda-client
anaconda-client
(1.11.3)
anaconda-navigator
                    Dependency not found on PyPI and could not be audited: anaconda-
navigator (2.4.2)
anaconda-project
                   Dependency not found on PyPI and could not be audited: anaconda-
project (0.11.1)
              Dependency not found on PyPI and could not be audited: black (0.0)
black
              Dependency not found on PyPI and could not be audited: clyent (1.2.2)
clyent
               Dependency not found on PyPI and could not be audited: conda (23.5.2)
conda
conda-build
                 Dependency not found on PyPI and could not be audited: conda-build
(3.25.0)
conda-content-trust Dependency not found on PyPI and could not be audited: conda-
content-trust (0.1.3)
conda-index
                 Dependency not found on PyPI and could not be audited: conda-index
(0.2.3)
conda-libmamba-solver Dependency not found on PyPI and could not be audited: conda-
libmamba-solver (23.5.0)
conda-repo-cli
                 Dependency not found on PyPI and could not be audited: conda-repo-cli
(1.0.41)
```

6.2

GHSA-7cx3-6m66-7c5m 6.5

tornado

conda-token	Dependency not found on PyPI and could not be audited: conda-token		
(0.4.0)			
conda-verify	Dependency not found on PyPI and could not be audited: conda-verify		
(3.4.2)	D 1		
datashape	Dependency not found on PyPI and could not be audited: datashape (0.5.4)		
libmambapy	Dependency not found on PyPI and could not be audited: libmambapy		
(1.4.1)			
navigator-update	er Dependency not found on PyPI and could not be audited: navigator-		
updater (0.4.0)			
pycosat	Dependency not found on PyPI and could not be audited: pycosat (0.6.4)		
ruamel-yaml-conda Dependency not found on PyPI and could not be audited: ruamel-			
yaml-conda (0.17.21)			
•	Dependency not found on PyPI and could not be audited: tbb (0.2)		

Using AI

Prompt - Scan and test this code for security vulnerabilities or backdoors. Analyse the potential ways the identified vulnerabilities can be exploited and the potential impacts of such exploitations. Provide ways to improve the code

Quick summary (high level)

- Confirmed intentional backdoors / PoCs present in the repo (under quarantine/ and BACKDOOR_README.md). These deliberately demonstrate vulnerabilities: weak-key acceptance and accepting unsigned gossip/adverts. Files: Security-Programming/quarantine/*.py, Security-Programming/BACKDOOR README.md.
- Private keys committed inside .keys/(e.g. Security-Programming/.keys/*.priv.pem) high severity (exfiltration of these = full compromise).
- Server backdoor guarded by environment flags (BACKDOOR_TRUST_GOSSIP) in Security-Programming/server.py code path exists to accept unsigned adverts.
- **PoC scripts** exist that show exactly how to exploit the backdoors:
 - o quarantine/poc_weak_key_register.py (register a user with a 1024-bit key).
 - o quarantine/poc inject unsigned advert.py (inject unsigned advert).
- Other issues: repository includes .git/ contents, WAL write-ahead files for SQLite (data_vault.sqlite-wal), README notes that describe enabling backdoors—these make it easy to reproduce attacks accidentally or leak secrets.

Because the repo intentionally contains educational backdoors, the presence of the backdoors is expected in a lab context. If your goal is to prepare safe production code, the recommendations below show how to **remove or harden** these features.

Files I inspected (representative)

- Security-Programming/server.py main server; contains BACKDOOR TRUST GOSSIP logic.
- Security-Programming/keys.py key generation & crypto helpers; contains generation helper and references to weak-key flows.
- Security-Programming/datavault.py user registration / key storage (controls which keys are accepted).
- \bullet Security-Programming/quarantine/poc_weak_key_register.py PoC to register 1024-bit key.
- Security-Programming/quarantine/poc_inject_unsigned_advert.py PoC to send unsigned advert.
- Security-Programming/BACKDOOR_README.md documents the backdoors and env flags.
- .keys/ contains private keys (sensitive).

Detailed findings, exploitation & impact

1) Intentional backdoors: BACKDOOR_TRUST_GOSSIP (unsigned adverts accepted)

Where: Security-Programming/server.py

What: When BACKDOOR_TRUST_GOSSIP=1 (env var), the server accepts USER_ADVERTISE messages even if the transport signature is missing/invalid. The code logs [BACKDOOR] accepting unsigned USER_ADVERTISE.... There is also README showing how to enable it.

How an attacker exploits:

- If a server is run with this env var enabled (accidentally or in production), a remote attacker can send forged USER_ADVERTISE frames to impersonate other servers or users.
- This may let an attacker inject bogus public keys, causing local clients to accept attacker-controlled keys (TOFU/poisoning) which enables eavesdropping or message forgery.

Impact:

• **High** — attacker can impersonate users/servers, map or hijack accounts, and undermine trust between servers. This is effectively a protocol-level backdoor to break authentication/trust.

Remediation (short):

- Remove the backdoor environment flag entirely from production code.
- If a testing backdoor must exist for lab exercises, gate it behind a compile-time/test-only flag, accessible only in an isolated test harness, and never enabled by default.
- Enforce signature verification for adverts unconditionally in production.

2) Weak-key acceptance PoC and weak key generation

Where: Security-Programming/quarantine/poc_weak_key_register.py, notes in keys.py and BACKDOOR_README.md. Repo has helpers that can generate 1024-bit keys for PoC.

How an attacker exploits:

- If the server accepts weak RSA keys (e.g., 1024-bit) during registration, an attacker can create a weak keypair, register as a user, then factor the private key or brute-force in feasible time and impersonate that user elsewhere or break E2E encryption if weak keys are used.
- Weak keys could also enable offline attacks or allow forging signatures.

Impact:

• **High** — weak keys break crypto assumptions; if accepted, attacker can impersonate users or decrypt messages.

Remediation (short):

- Enforce minimum key size (e.g., 3072 or 4096 bits depending on policy); reject any public key shorter than that at registration.
- Validate key types and parameters (RSA exponent, modulus size).
- Replace ad-hoc key generation with well-tested library calls that enforce security parameters.
- In code: check decoded DER/pem key size before accepting.

3) Secrets and private keys committed to repo

Where: Security-Programming/.keys/*.priv.pem, plus possibly other key files and database WAL files containing secrets.

How an attacker exploits:

Anyone with access to the repo (or a packaged zip, backup, or .git history) can
extract private keys and impersonate servers/users, decrypt traffic, and sign arbitrary
messages. If the repo is pushed to a remote or shared, it's an immediate compromise.

Impact:

• Critical — complete compromise of confidentiality and authentication.

Remediation (short):

- Remove private keys from the repo immediately.
- Add .keys/to .gitignore.
- Rotate any keys that were committed (generate fresh keys and revoke old ones).
- Use environment-provisioned secrets (vault, HSM, OS keyring) instead of files in repo.
- Ensure the distributed artifact does not include .git directories or secret files.

4) .git/ directory and history present in zip

Where: Security-Programming/.git/... inside the uploaded zip.

Why it matters: .git history often contains old keys/credentials, commit messages with secrets, or config exposing endpoints.

Impact:

• **High** — a remote attacker with the repo can inspect the history for secrets.

Remediation:

- When sharing code for audit or packaging, create an export without .git (e.g., git archive or use a clean distribution tarball).
- Run secret-scanners (trufflehog, git-secrets) on history and rotate secrets if anything leaked historically.

5) Sensitive DB files included (data_vault.sqlite*)

Where: Security-Programming/data_vault.sqlite, ...-wal

Why: The DB might contain stored public/private key blobs, user records, or even

passwords.

Impact:

• **High** if the DB contains secrets; sensitive data leakage.

Remediation:

- Treat DB files as secrets do not commit.
- If shipped accidentally, rotate secrets and replace the DB with a blank or seeded DB for distribution.

6) Logging of sensitive operations & verbose backdoor logs

Where: server.py outputs e.g. [BACKDOOR] accepting unsigned USER_ADVERTISE... and other potentially verbose logs.

Why unsafe: Logs can leak metadata or secrets if not controlled.

Remediation:

- Avoid logging private keys or secrets.
- Add configurable log levels; ensure sensitive logs are disabled in production.
- Protect logs and log storage.

Concrete code hardening examples

Below are short, safe code snippets you can apply. They show how to (a) enforce signature checking, (b) enforce key size, and (c) remove backdoor by default.

1. **Enforce signature verification (server.py)** — require sig for USER_ADVERTISE and fail if missing:

2. Enforce minimum RSA key size before accepting user registration (keys.py or datavault.py)

```
from cryptography.hazmat.primitives import serialization
from cryptography.hazmat.primitives.asymmetric import rsa

MIN_RSA_BITS = 3072  # or 4096 if you prefer

def load_pubkey_and_validate(pem_data: bytes):
    pub = serialization.load_pem_public_key(pem_data)
    if isinstance(pub, rsa.RSAPublicKey):
        bits = pub.key_size
        if bits < MIN_RSA_BITS:
            raise ValueError(f"RSA key too small: {bits} bits. Minimum is

{MIN_RSA_BITS}.")
    # else: check EC keys and parameters similarly
    return pub</pre>
```

3. **Remove or restrict backdoor env flags** — force them to be activated only in a test-mode module:

```
# At top of server.py
_BACKDOOR_ALLOWED = False  # default: never enable
# In tests you can monkeypatch or import an explicit test harness that
toggles this.
BACKDOOR_TRUST_GOSSIP = os.getenv("BACKDOOR_TRUST_GOSSIP") == "1" and
BACKDOOR_ALLOWED
```

4. **Do not commit private keys** — add .gitignore entry and delete from repo:

```
# .gitignore
.keys/
data_vault.sqlite
*.pem
*.key
```

Then remove committed keys and history:

```
git rm --cached .keys/*.priv.pem
git commit -m "Remove private keys from repo"
# To purge from history, use git-filter-repo or BFG, then rotate keys
```

Dynamic tests (in an isolated VM / container):

- Start the server in a **safe sandbox** (local VM, not internet), with and without BACKDOOR TRUST GOSSIP:
 - When BACKDOOR_TRUST_GOSSIP=1 you can run the PoC scripts to reproduce the documented behavior (but **only** in a sandbox).
 - When not set, verify the server rejects unsigned adverts and weak keys (as it should after fixing).

PoC (safe reproduction in lab):

- To see the exploit in the original repo:
 - o Start server (lab network): python3 server.py --name serverA --port 8765
 - o Run PoC: python3 quarantine/poc_inject_unsigned_advert.py -- host 127.0.0.1 --port 8765 (should succeed if backdoor is enabled).
- After hardening, repeat PoC it should fail.

Important: *Do not* run PoC scripts against production systems, public-facing servers, or any system you do not own/explicitly control.

Prioritised action plan (what to do right now)

- 1. **Remove private keys from repo** and replace with placeholders. Rotate all keys that were committed. (Critical)
- 2. **Purge** .git secret history if keys were ever committed; treat keys as compromised and rotate. (Critical)
- 3. *Disable all BACKDOOR_flags** by default and remove code paths that accept unsigned adverts or weak keys for production. (High)
- 4. Implement key-size validation at registration (>=3072 or 4096). (High)
- 5. Add .gitignore for key files and DB files; add pre-commit secret scanning. (Medium)
- 6. Add automated security scans in CI. (Medium)
- 7. Audit datavault for any stored secrets and sanitize/log access. (High)