

SUBSET-SUM

$$\text{SUBSET-SUM} = \{ \langle S, t \rangle \mid S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\}, \exists T \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, k\}, \text{s.t. } \sum_{i \in T} x_i = t \}$$

Given a set S and a target sum t , is there a subset of S , whose elements add up to t ?

let $S = \{6, 20, 32, 16, 5\}$.

Then $\langle S, 54 \rangle \in \text{SUBSET-SUM}$

$\langle S, 42 \rangle \in \text{SUBSET-SUM}$

$\langle S, 34 \rangle \notin \text{SUBSET-SUM}$

$\langle S, 1 \rangle \notin \text{SUBSET-SUM}$.

Theorem 7.56: SUBSET-SUM is NP-complete.

Proof: We need to show two things

1) SUBSET-SUM \in NP and (2) 3-SAT \leq_p SUBSET-SUM.

SUBSET-SUM \in NP. Already shown in lecture 4b.

On input $\langle S, t \rangle$:

1. Non deterministically select / reject each of x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k . $\rightarrow O(k)$ time
2. Add all the selected x_i and verify if they add up to t . $\rightarrow O(k)$
3. If $\text{sum} = t$, then accept. Else, reject.

Now we focus on $3\text{-SAT} \leq_p \text{SUBSET-SUM}$.

Given a 3-CNF formula Φ , we need to construct S, t such that

$$\langle \Phi \rangle \in 3\text{-SAT} \iff \langle S, t \rangle \in \text{SUBSET-SUM}.$$

Let Φ be a formula with n variables and m clauses. We build the SUBSET-SUM instance as follows:

$$x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$$

We construct S with $2(n+m)$ numbers.

Each variable x_i in Φ corresponds to two numbers in S — y_i and z_i .

Each clause C_j corresponds to two numbers — g_j and h_j .

	1	2	3	4	\dots	n	C_1	C_2	C_3	\dots	C_m
	1	2	3	4	\dots		1	2	3	\dots	m
y_1	1	0	0	0	\dots		0	1	0	\dots	0
z_1	1	0	0	0	\dots		0	0	0	\dots	0
y_2	0	1	0	0	\dots		0	0	0	\dots	1
z_2	0	1	0	0	\dots		0	0	1	\dots	0
y_3	0	0	1	0	\dots		0	0	1	\dots	0
z_3	0	0	1	0	\dots		0	0	1	\dots	0
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\ddots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\ddots	\vdots
y_n					\dots					\dots	
z_n					\dots		1			\dots	
g_1					\dots		1	0	0	\dots	0
h_1					\dots		1	0	0	\dots	0
g_2					\dots		0	1	0	\dots	0
h_2					\dots		0	1	0	\dots	0
g_3					\dots		0	0	1	\dots	0
h_3					\dots		0	0	1	\dots	0
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\ddots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\ddots	\vdots
g_m					\dots		0	0	0	\dots	1
h_m					\dots		0	0	0	\dots	1

$$t = \underbrace{1 \ 1 \ 1 \ \dots \ \dots \ 1}_{n} \underbrace{3 \ 3 \ 3 \ \dots \ 3}_{m}$$

In the top right quadrant, we choose the entries in the following manner.

- If x_i is in C_j , put 1 against y_i and C_j
- If \bar{x}_i is in C_j , put 1 against z_i and C_j
- 0's in all the other cells of the top right quadrant.

Important: All the numbers are to be read as decimal numbers.

$$S = \{ y_i, z_i, g_j, h_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m \}.$$

The table has $2(n+m)^2$ entries. So the construction of S is in polynomial time.

Now we need to show that :

ϕ is satisfiable $\Leftrightarrow S$ has a subset that sums to t .

(\Rightarrow) Suppose $\phi \in 3\text{-SAT}$. This means that there is a way to assign True / False to the variables x_i such that each clause is satisfied.

We pick a subset of S as follows.

x_i is TRUE in sat. assignment

\Rightarrow Choose y_i in S , and not z_i

x_i is FALSE \Rightarrow Choose z_i in S , and not y_i .

Since the assignment is a satisfying assignment, each column marked C_j has at least one 1 under it from the first $2n$ rows. And at most three 1's.

We include g_j alone, or both g_j and h_j to make up the sum under C_j to 3.

$\langle S, t \rangle \in \text{SUBSET-SUM}.$

(\Leftarrow) Suppose $\langle S, t \rangle \in \text{SUBSET-SUM}$.

let us note the following:

- All the digits of the numbers in S are 0/1.
- Each column has at most five 1's.
So no carry is possible while adding the numbers.
- We have a sum 1 in the first n columns.
This means for each $i \leq n$, exactly one of y_i or z_i is in the subset.

We claim that the following is a satisfying assignment:

- If y_i is in the subset, set x_i to TRUE.
- If z_i is in the subset, set x_i to FALSE.

In the last m columns, we need the sum to be 3 each. The contribution from the last $2m$ rows can be at most 2. So for each C_j , there must be a contribution of at least 1 from y_i or z_i .

- If contribution from y_i , then x_i appears in that clause, and is set to TRUE.
- If contribution from z_i , then \bar{x}_i appears in that clause, and x_i is set to FALSE.

In either case, the clause is satisfied.

Hence $\langle \phi \rangle \in 3\text{-SAT}$.

NPTEL