

CSSR Research Proposal

Alex Sacharow & Torben Klaus

October 28, 2016

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Related Literature	1
3	Data	2
4	Empirical strategy	3
	References	3

1 Introduction

In Western Europe alcohol is a commonly used medium for exhilaration. However, it is also known as a (if not *the most harmful*) drug (Nutt, King, and Phillips 2010), be it because of its toxic nature itself or the indirect consequences: The consumption of alcoholic beverages may on the one hand lead to behavioural changes and violent acts, as has been indicated by numerous studies (see e.g. Parker 1993; ???; Stolle, Sack, and Thomasius 2009; ???). On the other hand alcohol, if consumed regularly and over a longer period of time, does severe damage to individuals' health. It is therefore a policy concern not only to identify reasons and motivation for excessive alcohol consumption but also to develop measures to tackle this problem.

It is the aim of this paper to analyse both mentioned dimensions of the *challenge alcohol*. Using the example of all German federal states and time series data for different age groups from 2000 to 2014, we will [1] analyse the possible connection between medical diagnoses of alcohol misuse and possibly explanatory socioeconomic factors like gender, age, unemployment rate, education level, and regional economic performance. For this, we will take both short-term and long-term medical consequences of alcohol misuse into account by examining hospital health records on acute alcohol intoxication as well as on alcoholic liver disease. Besides the socio-economic factors mentioned above, we will [2] test the effect of recent policy measures on the German state level on alcohol consumption. The two cases we are going to examine are [a] the ban on alcohol night sales introduced in 2010 in the state of Baden-Wuerttemberg and [b] the prohibition of alcohol consumption in vehicles and stations of public transport in the federal city state of Hamburg, introduced in 2011.

With this approach, we aim to present an analysis that offers insights into both possible causes for alcohol misuse as well as the efficiency of policy measures designed to counter excessive drinking.

2 Related Literature

Our research proposal is inspired by the work of Marcus and Siedler (2015) who analysed “*The Effect of a Ban on Late-Night Off-premise Alcohol Sales on Alcohol-Related Hospital Stays in Germany*”. They find that the introduction of the alcohol night sales ban reduces alcohol-related hospitalizations among adolescents and young adults by about seven percent. In our analysis, we will not only try to replicate their work with the publicly available data sets. We are also going to build upon it – by differentiate between short-term and

longer-term effects. The focus on socio-economic explanatory factors like economic performance for the alcohol abuse as such is based upon research done by e.g. Popovici and French (2013) and Ettner (1997). Focusing on the United States, the two studies found inconsistent results: While one sees “a positive and significant effect of unemployment on drinking behaviors and the findings are robust to numerous sensitivity tests” (Popovici and French 2013) the other argues that “non-employment significantly reduces both alcohol consumption and dependence symptoms, probably due to an income effect.” (Ettner 1997) For the German case, Henkel (2000) finds a negative correlation between economic situation and alcohol and nicotine consumption.

3 Data

Our research health data origins from the German hospital diagnosis statistics from 2000 to 2014, obtained via the The Information System of the Federal Health Monitoring (GBE). The data is reported by hospitals to the state statistical bureaus and then aggregated by the Statistische Bundesamt Destatis. The data contains the aggregated numbers of hospital diagnoses for each German state by age group, gender and year. The diagnoses are published according to the WHO International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10).

The data is gathered via the online-interface of the GBE. Unfortunately the data provider neither provides and API nor a web-scrappable interface. Therefore we download the base tables manually by searching for the respective ICD-10 code, using the malleable tables to gather as much information in a single table as the data provider allows and export them.

To identify alcohol-related health problems we use the diagnose F10 (Mental and behavioural disorders due to use of alcohol), its subdivision F10.0 (Acute intoxication) and F10.2 (Dependence syndrome), and K70 (Alcoholic liver disease). The diagnoses category F10.0 covers cases of drunkenness and the like. It essentially captures short term effects of excessive drinking, and can be used as an indicator for binge drinking.¹ The categories F10.2 and K70 capture long-term effects of drinking.

The advantages of this data is that they reflect a complete survey of all civil hospitals in Germany for the time-frame of your investigation. Furthermore, the data is not self-reported by patients but gathered by a third party (the statistical bureau) there are no problems of self-reporting biases. For the case study of the Baden-Wuerttemberg night sale prohibition we do also benefit from the availability of a longer time series as prior work on the issue (Marcus and Siedler (2015)). Finally, the state-year aggregation level does allow us to supplement our analysis with a wide variety of other freely available data, e.g. the level of alcohol sales, unemployment rates etc.

However, there are several serious limitations to the data we are using. First of all, the data set we are using can only be exploited with strong limitations with sophisticated methods like panel data analysis as we only have access to data which is reported annually and by state.² Hence, for the panel data analysis we can only use 14 years and 16 states, which makes a total of 224 data point for each combination of diagnoses, age group and gender. We still think it is meaningful to work with the data as we will also use simpler but still insightful methods like multiple linear regression and because our approach can be easily extended to more fine-grained data if it becomes available in the future. A second short coming is the lack of further patient information beyond their age and gender, e.g. socio-economic characteristics.

As already mentioned our analysis will be supplemented by data from other sources. We are in particular using indicators for the state level. They include the populations, the population density, unemployment rates of different age groups, the state gdp and beer sales by state. We are collecting this data from different statistics provided by *Destatis*.

¹In contrast to Marcus and Siedler (2015) and Wicki and Gmel (2011) we do not include T51 (Intoxication due to alcohol) as its covers the consumption of pure ethanol and its number are relatively small.

²In principle there is more fine-grained data available, even down to the individual level. But to get access to the data we would have to use the paid services of the Forschungsdatenzentrum.

4 Empirical strategy

We want to make a two stage analysis of alcohol-related hospitalizations (ARH). First, a multiple regression for a better understanding of factors correlated to high rates of hospital accessions. This analysis will show us how characteristics of the state and the individual are correlated to the number ARH. The simple multiple regression model for one year t looks like this:

$$ARH_S = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \cdot GDP_S + \alpha_2 \cdot UR_S + \alpha_3 \cdot B_S + \alpha_4 \cdot PD_S + \epsilon \quad (1)$$

Where GDP stands for the states GDP level, UR for the states unemployment rate, B for the beer consumption in the state and DP for the population density.

To identify the effect of the night sales ban in Baden-Wuerttemberg we are using the Difference-in-Difference approach. Baden-Wuerttemberg is the treatment group, where as all other states are in the control group. The treatment began in 2010, which is captured by the treatment dummy. Before spelling out the model, I will just make a few assumptions. We will look at specific age group, in particular young individuals. From Marcus and Siedler (2015) work we would expect to find a minor decrease of alcohol related hospitalizations for young individuals. The formal model looks like this:

$$ARH_{S,T} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot BAN_{S,T} + \beta_2 \cdot POST_T + \beta_3 \cdot BW_S + e_{S,T} \quad (2)$$

where BAN is a dummy variable for the night-sale-prohibition, post a dummy for the time after the treatment began and BW a dummy to indicate the treatment group. This model still works without controll variables, which we include in the next model:

$$ARH_{S,T} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot BAN_{S,T} + X\beta_2 + c_S + \eta_{S,T} \quad (3)$$

References

- Ettner, Susan L. 1997. "Measuring the Human Cost of a Weak Economy: Does Unemployment Lead to Alcohol Abuse?" *Social Science & Medicine* 44 (2): 251–60.
- Henkel, Dieter. 2000. "Zum Konsum von Alkohol, Tabak Und Psychoaktiven Medikamenten Bei Arbeitslosen Und Einkommensarmen." *Abhängigkeiten* 6: 26–43.
- Marcus, Jan, and Thomas Siedler. 2015. "Reducing Binge Drinking? The Effect of a Ban on Late-Night Off-Premise Alcohol Sales on Alcohol-Related Hospital Stays in Germany." *Journal of Public Economics* 123: 55–77. doi:10.1016/j.jpubeco.2014.12.010.
- Nutt, David J., Leslie A. King, and Lawrence D. Phillips. 2010. "Drug Harms in the UK: A Multicriteria Decision Analysis." *The Lancet* 376 (9752): 1558–65. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(10)61462-6.
- Parker, Robert Nash. 1993. "The Effects of Context on Alcohol and Violence." *Alcohol Research and Health* 17 (2): 117.
- Popovici, Ioana, and Michael T. French. 2013. "Does Unemployment Lead to Greater Alcohol Consumption?" *Industrial Relations: A Journal of Economy and Society* 52 (2): 444–66.
- Stolle, M., Peter-Michael Sack, and Rainer Thomasius. 2009. "Binge Drinking in Childhood and Adolescence." *Deutsches Ärzteblatt International* 106 (19): 323–28.
- Wicki, Matthias, and Gerhard Gmel. 2011. "Hospital Admission Rates for Alcoholic Intoxication After Policy Changes in the Canton of Geneva, Switzerland." *Drug and Alcohol Dependence* 118 (2-3): 209–15. doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2011.03.020.