

**Solution set 10:**

# Discontinuous Galerkin methods

**Exercise 10.1 (a)** To develop a DG method for the PDE

$$u_t + au_x = bu \quad (1)$$

we suppose the approximation  $u_h$  is given in the  $k$ th element  $D^k = [x_l^k, x_r^k]$  by

$$u_h^k(x, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{N_p} \hat{u}_n^k(t) \psi_n^k(x), \quad (2)$$

where  $\psi_n^k$  is some basis of the space of polynomials of degree no greater than  $N = N_p - 1$ . We require that for each  $k = 1, \dots, K$ , the residual  $\mathcal{R}_h = (\partial_t + a\partial_x - b)u_h$  satisfies

$$0 = (\mathcal{R}_h, \psi_j^k)_{D^k} = \int_{D^k} \mathcal{R}_h \psi_j^k dx \quad j = 1, \dots, N_p. \quad (3)$$

We use the divergence theorem and substitute the boundary terms by the numerical flux  $f^*$  to get

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N_p} \frac{d\hat{u}_n^k}{dt} \int_{D^k} \psi_n^k \psi_j^k dx - \sum_{n=1}^{N_p} a \hat{u}_n^k \int_{D^k} \psi_n^k \frac{d\psi_j^k}{dx} dx - \sum_{n=1}^{N_p} b \hat{u}_n^k \int_{D^k} \psi_n^k \psi_j^k dx = - [\psi_j^k f^*]_{x_l^k}^{x_r^k}. \quad (4)$$

Equations (4) with  $j = 1, \dots, N_p$ , can also be written as a linear system

$$\hat{\mathcal{M}}^k \frac{d}{dt} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_h^k - a \left( \hat{\mathcal{S}}^k \right)^T \hat{\mathbf{u}}_h^k - b \hat{\mathcal{M}}^k \hat{\mathbf{u}}_h^k = - [\psi^k f^*]_{x_l^k}^{x_r^k} \quad (5)$$

where  $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_h^k = (\hat{u}_1^k, \dots, \hat{u}_{N_p}^k)^T$ , and  $\psi^k = (\psi_1^k, \dots, \psi_{N_p}^k)^T$ .

**(b)** In this exercise, we suppose that  $a > 0$  and use the upwind flux

$$f_{k,k+1}^* = au_r^k. \quad u_r^k = u_h^k(x_r^k, \cdot). \quad (6)$$

We also write  $u_h^k(x_l^k, \cdot) = u_l^k$ . Multiplying (5) by  $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_h^k$ , and summing over the elements provides

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u_h\|^2 - b \|u_h\|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^K \left[ a (u_r^k)^2 - a (u_l^k)^2 - 2u_r^k f_{k,k+1}^* + 2u_l^k f_{k-1,k}^* \right]. \quad (7)$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|u_h\|^2 - b \|u_h\|^2 = & - \sum_{k=1}^{K-1} \left[ -a (u_r^k)^2 + 2u_r^k f_{k,k+1}^* + a (u_l^{k+1})^2 - 2u_l^{k+1} f_{k,k+1}^* \right] \\ & + a (u_r^K)^2 - 2u_r^K f_{K,K+1}^* - a (u_l^1)^2 + 2u_l^1 f_{0,1}^*. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Since the terms

$$+a (u_r^K)^2 - 2u_r^K f_{K,K+1}^* - a (u_l^1)^2 + 2u_l^1 f_{0,1}^*$$

correspond to the boundary conditions, we will not address them here. Therefore, it is left to show that for each  $k = 1, \dots, K-1$ , the term

$$\delta^k = -a (u_r^k)^2 + 2u_r^k f_{k,k+1}^* + a (u_l^{k+1})^2 - 2u_l^{k+1} f_{k,k+1}^* \quad (9)$$

is nonnegative. Since clearly,

$$\delta^k = -a (u_r^k)^2 + 2a u_r^k u_l^{k+1} + a (u_l^{k+1})^2 - 2a u_r^k u_l^{k+1} = a (u_r^k - u_l^{k+1})^2 \geq 0 \quad (10)$$

the scheme is stable.

**Exercise 10.2 (a)** In this exercise you were asked to propose a DG method for the non-homogeneous advection equation. To prevent confusion, we denote the forcing function by  $\eta$  (instead of the original notation in the exercise  $f$ ), and reserve the letter  $f$  for the flux. The construction of a DG scheme for the PDE

$$u_t + au_x = \eta \quad (11)$$

is similar to what we have seen. Here, for each  $k = 1, \dots, K$ , we get

$$(\partial_t u_h^k, \psi_j^k)_{D^k} - a(u_h^k, \partial_x \psi_j^k)_{D^k} = -[f^* \psi_j^k]_{x_l^k}^{x_r^k} + \hat{\eta}_j^k \quad j = 1, \dots, N_p, \quad (12)$$

where

$$\hat{\eta}_j^k = (\eta, \psi_j^k)_{D^k}. \quad (13)$$

This can be also written as a system

$$\hat{\mathcal{M}}^k \frac{d}{dt} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_h^k - a(\hat{\mathcal{S}}^k)^T \hat{\mathbf{u}}_h^k = -[f^* \boldsymbol{\psi}^k]_{x_l^k}^{x_r^k} + \hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}}^k \quad (14)$$

(b) We take the dot product of (14) and  $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_h^k$ , and sum over  $k = 1, \dots, K$  to get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u_h\|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^K \left[ a(u_r^k)^2 - a(u_l^k)^2 - 2u_r^k f_{k,k+1}^* + 2u_l^k f_{k-1,k}^* \right] + 2(\eta, u_h). \quad (15)$$

To prove the stability of this method, we suppose that the numerical flux  $f^*$  corresponds to a stable DG method for the homogeneous advection equation  $v_t + av_x = 0$ . Then, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u_h\|^2 \leq (\eta, u_h) \leq \|\eta\| \|u_h\|, \quad (16)$$

which leads to the desired bound on the norm of  $u_h$ .