Machine Learning Theory Lecture 4: Neural Network Gaussian Process and NTK

Richard Xu

September 29, 2021

1 Gaussian Process

This tutorial makes frequent references to GP, so we talk about it briefly:

 GP is a (potentially infinite) collection of RVs, s.t., joint distribution of every finite subset of RVs is multivariate Gaussian:

$$f \sim \mathcal{GP}(\mu(x), \mathcal{K}(x, x'))$$
 for any arbitary x, x'

• **prior** defined over $p(f|\mathcal{X})$, instead of p(x) over $\mathcal{X} \equiv \{x_1, \dots x_k\}$

$$p(f|\mathcal{X}) \equiv p\left(\begin{bmatrix} f(x_1) \\ \vdots \\ f(x_k) \end{bmatrix}\right) = \mathcal{N}\Big(0, K\Big) = \mathcal{N}\left(0, \begin{bmatrix} k(x_1, x_1) & \dots & k(x_1, x_k) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ k(x_k, x_1) & \dots & k(x_k, x_k) \end{bmatrix}\right)$$

1.1 marginal and conditional marginal under noisy output

• in a regression setting:

$$y_i = f(x_i) + \epsilon_i \qquad \epsilon_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_{\epsilon}^2)$$

• joint $[\mathcal{Y}, y^{\star}]^{\top}$, after integrate out f:

$$\begin{split} p\left(\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{Y} \\ y^{\star} \end{bmatrix} \middle| \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{X} \\ x^{\star \top} \end{bmatrix}, \sigma_{\epsilon}^{2} \right) &= \int p\left(\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{Y} \\ y^{\star} \end{bmatrix} \middle| \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{X} \\ x^{\star \top} \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{f} \right) p(\mathbf{f} | \mathcal{X}, x^{\star}) \mathrm{d}\mathbf{f} \\ &= \int \mathcal{N}\left(\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{Y} \\ y^{\star} \end{bmatrix} \middle| \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{f}(\mathcal{X}) \\ \mathbf{f}(x^{\star \top}) \end{bmatrix}, \sigma_{\epsilon}^{2} \mathbf{I} \right) p(\mathbf{f} | \mathcal{X}, x^{\star}) \mathrm{d}\mathbf{f} \\ &= \mathcal{N}\left(0, \begin{bmatrix} \underbrace{K(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}) + \sigma_{\epsilon}^{2} \mathbf{I}}_{\Sigma_{1,1}} & \underbrace{K(\mathcal{X}, x^{\star})}_{\Sigma_{2,1}} & \underbrace{K(\mathbf{X}^{\star}, x^{\star}) + \sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}}_{\Sigma_{2,2}} \end{bmatrix}\right) \end{split}$$

• **predictive distribution** of $y^*|\mathcal{Y}$ using conditional formula of multivariate Gaussian:

$$\begin{split} p\left(y^{\star}\big|\mathcal{Y},\mathcal{X},x^{\star}\right) &= \mathcal{N}\Big(\underbrace{0}_{\mu_{2}} + \underbrace{K(x^{\star},\mathcal{X})}_{\Sigma_{2,1}}\underbrace{\left(K(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{X}) + \sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}I\right)^{-1}}_{\Sigma_{1,1}^{-1}}(\mathcal{Y} - \underbrace{0}_{\mu_{1}}), \\ &\underbrace{k(x^{\star},x^{\star}) + \sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}}_{\Sigma_{2,2}} - \underbrace{K(x^{\star},\mathcal{X})}_{\Sigma_{2,1}}\underbrace{\left(K(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{X}) + \sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}I\right)^{-1}}_{\Sigma_{1,1}^{-1}}\underbrace{K(\mathcal{X},x^{\star})}_{\Sigma_{1,2}}\Big) \end{split}$$

1.2 marginal and conditional marginal under noiseless output

• **posterior** of f given $\mathcal Y$ in regression:

$$p\left(\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{Y} \\ f \end{bmatrix} \middle| \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{X} \\ \mathbf{x}^\top \end{bmatrix} \right) = p\left(\begin{bmatrix} f(\mathcal{X}) \\ f(\mathbf{x}) \end{bmatrix} \right) = \mathcal{N}\left(0, \begin{bmatrix} K(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}) + \sigma_{\epsilon}^2 \mathbf{I} & K(\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{x}) \\ K(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{X}) & K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}) \end{bmatrix} \right)$$
 for arbitrary variable \mathbf{x}

conditional marginal of $y^*|\mathcal{Y}$ using conditional formula of multivariate Gaussian:

$$\begin{split} p(f \big| \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}) &= \mathcal{GP}\Big(K(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{X})(K(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}) + \sigma_{\epsilon}^2 \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathcal{Y}, \\ & k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') - K(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{X}) \left(K(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}) + \sigma_{\epsilon}^2 I\right)^{-1} K(\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{x}') \Big) \end{split}$$

• deterministic function $y_i = f(x_i)$ is used, e.g., neural network's read-out layer $f(x_i)$, data y_i $p([\mathcal{Y}, y^{\star}]^{\top})$ no longer need to integrate f:

$$p\left(\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{Y} \\ y^{\star} \end{bmatrix} \middle| \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{X} \\ x^{\star \top} \end{bmatrix} \right) = p\left(\begin{bmatrix} f(\mathcal{X}) \\ f(x^{\star}) \end{bmatrix} \right) = \mathcal{N}\left(0, \begin{bmatrix} K(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}) & K(\mathcal{X}, x^{\star}) \\ K(x^{\star}, \mathcal{X}) & K(x^{\star}, x^{\star}) \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

predictive distribution $y^*|\mathcal{Y}$ using conditional formula of multivariate Gaussian:

$$p\left(y^{\star}\big|\mathcal{Y},\mathcal{X},x^{\star}\right) = \mathcal{N}\left(K(x^{\star},\mathcal{X})K(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{X})^{-1}\mathcal{Y},\right.$$
$$\left.k(x^{\star},x^{\star}) - K(x^{\star},\mathcal{X})K(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{X})^{-1}K(\mathcal{X},x^{\star})\right)$$

2 Kernel methods

consider the equation, where $\phi(\cdot) \in \mathbb{R}^m$:

$$y = \phi(x)^{\top} \boldsymbol{w}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1(x) \\ \vdots \\ \phi_m(x) \end{bmatrix}^{\top} \boldsymbol{w}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1(x) & \dots & \phi_m(x) \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{w}$$
(1)

using definition:

$$\mathcal{Y} = [y_1, \dots, y_n]^{\top}$$

$$\Phi = [\phi(x_1), \dots, \phi(x_n)]^{\top}$$

$$= \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \phi_1(x_1) & \dots & \phi_m(x_1) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \phi_1(x_n) & \dots & \phi_m(x_n) \end{bmatrix}}_{n \times m}$$
(2)

Ridge regression can be re-written as:

$$\mathbf{w}^{\star} = \underset{\mathbf{w}}{\operatorname{arg \, min}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \phi(x_i)^{\top} \mathbf{w})^2 + \lambda \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2$$
$$= \underset{\mathbf{w}}{\operatorname{arg \, min}} \|\mathcal{Y} - \Phi \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2$$
(3)

just like the normal ridge regression, the least-square solution is:

$$\boldsymbol{w}^{\star} = \left(\underbrace{\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Phi}}_{m \times m} + \lambda I\right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Y}} \tag{4}$$

substitute w^* back to $y = \phi(x)^\top w$ for a single pair of data, output (x, y):

$$y_{\boldsymbol{w}^{\star}}(x) = \phi(x)^{\top} \boldsymbol{w}^{\star}$$

$$= \phi(x)^{\top} \left(\Phi^{\top} \Phi + \lambda I \right)^{-1} \Phi^{\top} \mathcal{Y}$$

$$= \underbrace{\phi(x)^{\top} \Phi^{\top}}_{1 \times n} \left(\underbrace{\Phi \Phi^{\top}}_{n \times n} + \lambda I \right)^{-1} \mathcal{Y}$$

$$\text{using identity } \left(\Phi^{\top} \Phi + \lambda I \right)^{-1} \Phi^{\top} = \Phi^{\top} \left(\Phi \Phi^{\top} + \lambda I \right)^{-1}$$

$$(5)$$

2.1 Kernel trick

the above looks all good, except we want to avoid computing $\phi(x)$ explicitly, especially when m is large! However, knowing

$$[\Phi\Phi^{\top}]_{i,j} = \phi(x_i)^{\top}\phi(x_j) = \mathcal{K}(x_i, x_j)$$
$$[\phi(x)^{\top}\Phi^{\top}]_i = \phi(x)^{\top}\phi(x_j) = \mathcal{K}(x, x_j)$$
(6)

we dodged the bullet of of computing $\phi(x)$ explicitly!

3 Neutral network with Gaussian initialization

$$z_{k}^{l}(x) = b_{k}^{l} + \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l}} W_{k,j}^{l} \times \phi\left(z_{j}^{l-1}(x)\right) \qquad W_{k,j}^{l} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{l}}}\right) \quad b_{k}^{l} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_{b}) \quad \text{or} :$$

$$z_{k}^{l}(x) = \sigma_{b}b_{k}^{l} + \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{l}}} W_{k,j}^{l} \times \phi\left(z_{j}^{l-1}(x)\right) \qquad W_{k,j}^{l} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1) \quad b_{k}^{l} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$
(7)

4 Neural Network Expressivity in Gaussian Process

this is to paraphrase [?] [?]

4.1 pre-activation layer 1

putting in data $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{\text{in}}}$, we have:

$$z^{1}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} z_{1}^{1} \\ \vdots \\ z_{N_{2}}^{1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} W_{1,1}^{1} & \cdots & W_{1,d_{\text{in}}}^{1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ W_{N_{2},1}^{1} & \cdots & W_{N_{2},d_{\text{in}}}^{1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_{1} \\ \vdots \\ x_{d_{\text{in}}} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} b_{1} \\ \vdots \\ b_{k} \end{bmatrix}$$
(8)

similarly, we can have another expression for $\mathbf{x}' \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$z^{1}(\mathbf{x}') = \begin{bmatrix} z_{1}^{1} \\ \vdots \\ z_{N_{2}}^{1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} W_{1,1}^{1} & \dots & W_{1,d_{\text{in}}}^{1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ W_{N_{2},1}^{1} & \dots & W_{N_{2},d_{\text{in}}}^{1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x'_{1} \\ \vdots \\ x'_{d_{\text{in}}} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} b_{1} \\ \vdots \\ b_{N_{2}} \end{bmatrix}$$
(9)

Obviously, regardless if we use (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}) or $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$, when $k \neq k'$:

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{Cov}(z_k^1(\mathbf{x}), z_{k'}^1(\mathbf{x})) &= 0\\ \operatorname{Cov}(z_k^1(\mathbf{x}), z_{k'}^1(\mathbf{x}')) &= 0 \end{cases} \quad \forall k \neq k'$$
(10)

4.1.1 $p(z_k^1(\mathbf{x}))$

This should really be written as $p(z_k^1(\mathbf{x}) \mid \mathbf{x})$ to be inline with $p(z_k^1(\mathbf{x}) \mid z^{l-1})$, using the definition at:

$$z_k^1(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^{d_{\text{in}}} W_{k,j}^1 x_j + b_k = \sum_{j=1}^{N_1} W_{k,j}^1 x_j + b_k$$

$$\implies z_k^1(\mathbf{x}) \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma_b^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{N_1} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_1}} x_j\right)^2\right)$$

$$= \mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma_b^2 + \frac{1}{N_1} \sum_{j=1}^{N_1} x_j^2\right) = \mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma_b^2 + \frac{1}{N_1} \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{x}\right)$$
(11)

similarly,

$$z_k^1(\mathbf{x}') \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma_b^2 + \frac{1}{N_1}\mathbf{x}'^\top \mathbf{x}'\right)$$
 (12)

and co-variance would be:

$$\operatorname{Cov}(z_{k}^{1}(\mathbf{x}), z_{k}^{1}(\mathbf{x})) = \mathbb{E}\Big[\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{N_{1}} W_{k,j}^{1} x_{j} + b_{k}\Big) \Big(\sum_{j=1}^{N_{1}} W_{k,j}^{1} x_{j} + b_{k}\Big)\Big] \\
= \sum_{j=1}^{N_{1}} \mathbb{E}\Big[(W_{k,j}^{1})^{2}\Big] x_{j} x_{j} + \sum_{j=1}^{N_{1}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{1}} \mathbb{E}[W_{k,j}^{1}] \mathbb{E}[W_{k,i}^{1}] x_{j} x_{i}' + b_{k}^{2} \\
= \sigma_{b}^{2} + \frac{1}{N_{1}} \mathbf{x}^{\top} \mathbf{x}' \tag{13}$$

for any pairs of data \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{x}' , we have, $\forall k$:

$$\begin{bmatrix} z_k^1(\mathbf{x}) \\ z_k^1(\mathbf{x}') \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N} \left(\mathbf{0}, \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_b^2 + \frac{1}{N_1} \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{x} & \sigma_b^2 + \frac{1}{N_1} \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{x}' \\ \sigma_b^2 + \frac{1}{N_1} \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{x}' & \sigma_b^2 + \frac{1}{N_1} \mathbf{x}'^\top \mathbf{x}' \end{bmatrix} \right)$$
(14)

$$z_k^1(\mathcal{X}) \sim \mathcal{GP}(K^1)$$
 where $K^1(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = \sigma_b^2 + \frac{1}{N_1} \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{x}'$ (15)

4.1.2 adding activation ϕ :

$$\phi(z^{1}(\mathbf{x})) = \begin{bmatrix} \phi(z_{1}^{1}) \\ \vdots \\ \phi(z_{k}^{1}) \end{bmatrix}$$
(16)

It's difficult to tell what distribution this is

4.2 pre-activation layer l

$$z^{l}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} z_{1}^{l} \\ \vdots \\ z_{N_{l+1}}^{l} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} W_{1,1}^{l} & \cdots & W_{1,N_{l}}^{l} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ W_{N_{l+1},1}^{l} & \cdots & W_{k,N_{l}}^{l} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \phi(z_{1}^{l-1}(\mathbf{x})) \\ \vdots \\ \phi(z_{N_{l}}^{l-1}(\mathbf{x})) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} b_{1} \\ \vdots \\ b_{k} \end{bmatrix}$$
(17)

similarly, we can have:

$$z^{l}(\mathbf{x}') = \begin{bmatrix} z_{1}^{l} \\ \vdots \\ z_{N_{l+1}}^{l} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} W_{1,1}^{l} & \cdots & W_{1,N_{l}}^{l} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ W_{N_{l+1},1}^{l} & \cdots & W_{N_{l+1},N_{l}}^{l} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \phi(z_{1}^{l-1}(\mathbf{x}')) \\ \vdots \\ \phi(z_{N_{l}}^{l-1}(\mathbf{x}')) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} b_{1} \\ \vdots \\ b_{N_{l+1}} \end{bmatrix}$$
(18)

for a specific k^{th} row:

$$z_k^l(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^{N_l} W_{k,j}^l \phi(z_j^{l-1}(\mathbf{x})) + b_k^l$$
 (19)

4.2.1 marginal $p(z_k^l(\mathbf{x})|z^{l-1})$

problem is due to non-linearity of $\phi(z_j^{l-1}(\mathbf{x}))$, we do not know what distribution $z_k^l(\mathbf{x})$ is! However, let's look at an individual term inside the sum: $\sum_{j=1}^{N_l} W_{k,j}^l \phi(z_j^{l-1}(\mathbf{x}))$

$$\mathbb{E}[W_{k,1}^{l}\phi(z_{1}^{l-1}(\mathbf{x}))] = 0$$

$$\text{Var}[W_{k,1}^{l}\phi(z_{1}^{l-1}(\mathbf{x}))] = \mathbb{E}[(W_{k,1}^{l}\phi(z_{1}^{l-1}(\mathbf{x})))^{2}]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[(W_{k,1}^{l})^{2}]\mathbb{E}[\phi(z_{1}^{l-1}(\mathbf{x})))^{2}]$$

$$= \frac{1}{N_{l}}\text{Var}[\phi(z_{1}^{l-1}(\mathbf{x}))]$$
(20)

Since $\text{Var}[\cdot]$ can be chosen to be bounded, and each $W^l_{k,j}\phi(z^{l-1}_j(\mathbf{x}))$ to be i.i.d, so we can apply CLT, as $N_l o \infty$, and also $\{z_i^l(\mathbf{x})\}$ are i.i.d given z^{l-1} :

$$\begin{split} \sum_{j=1}^{N_l} W_{k,j}^l \phi(z_j^{l-1}(\mathbf{x})) &\stackrel{d}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{N}\Big(0, \ \operatorname{Var}\big[W_{k,j}^l \phi(z_j^{l-1}(\mathbf{x}))\big] N_l\Big) \\ &\stackrel{d}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{N}\Big(0, \ \frac{1}{N_l} \operatorname{Var}\big[\phi(z_1^{l-1}(\mathbf{x}))\big]\big] N_l\Big) \quad \text{substitute Eq.(20)} \\ &\stackrel{d}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{N}\Big(0, \ \operatorname{Var}\big[\phi(z_1^{l-1}(\mathbf{x}))\big]\Big) \end{split} \tag{21}$$

joint density $p(z_k^l(\mathbf{x}), z_k^l(\mathbf{x}') | z^l(\mathbf{x}), z^l(\mathbf{x}'))$

Here we use a multivariate version of CLT where each i.i.d team inside the sum is a vector: $\begin{bmatrix} z_k^l(\mathbf{x}) \\ z_i^l(\mathbf{x}') \end{bmatrix}$:

$$\begin{bmatrix} z_k^l(\mathbf{x}) \\ z_k^l(\mathbf{x}') \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^{N_l} W_{k,1}^l \phi(z_j^{l-1}(\mathbf{x})) \\ \sum_{j=1}^{N_l} W_{k,1}^l \phi(z_j^{l-1}(\mathbf{x}')) \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N} \left(\mathbf{0} , \Sigma \left(\begin{bmatrix} W_{k,1}^l \phi(z_1^{l-1}(\mathbf{x})) \\ W_{k,1}^l \phi(z_1^{l-1}(\mathbf{x}')) \end{bmatrix} \right) N_l \right)$$
(22)

use the notation for zero-meaned R.V:

$$\Sigma\left(\begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \mathbb{E}\left[\begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} [y_1 \quad y_2]\right] = \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{Var}(y_1) & \operatorname{Cov}(y_1, y_2) \\ \operatorname{Cov}(y_1, y_2) & \operatorname{Var}(y_2) \end{bmatrix}$$
(23)

We already know the variance (diagonal) from Eq.(21). How about the co-variance (off-diagonal) term: $\mathrm{Cov}(y_1,y_2) \equiv \mathrm{Cov}\big[W_{k,1}^{l-1}\phi(z_1^{l-1}(\mathbf{x}))\;,\;W_{k,1}^{l-1}\phi(z_1^{l-1}(\mathbf{x}'))\big];$

$$\begin{split} &\operatorname{Cov} \big[W_{k,1}^l \phi(z_1^{l-1}(\mathbf{x})) \;,\; W_{k,1}^l \phi(z_1^{l-1}(\mathbf{x}')) \big] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \big[W_{k,1}^l \phi(z_1^{l-1}(\mathbf{x})) \; W_{k,1}^l \phi(z_1^{l-1}(\mathbf{x}')) \big] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \big[(W_{k,1}^l)^2 \big] \; \mathbb{E} [\phi(z_1^{l-1}(\mathbf{x})) \phi(z_1^{l-1}(\mathbf{x}'))] \quad \text{we didn't need } \mathbb{E} \; \text{for } \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{x}' \; \text{as in Eq.} (13) \\ &= \frac{1}{N_l} \mathbb{E} \big[\phi(z_1^{l-1}(\mathbf{x})) \phi(z_1^{l-1}(\mathbf{x}')) \big] \end{split} \tag{24}$$

therefore, canceling out $\frac{1}{N_l} \times N_l$ and add σ_b^2 to each of the entries. It is important to note that σ_b^2 also appears in the off-diagonal entries as well as the diagonal entry.

$$\begin{bmatrix}
z_k^l(\mathbf{x}) = b_k + \sum_{j=1}^{N_l} W_{k,1}^l \phi(z_j^{l-1}(\mathbf{x})) \\
z_k^l(\mathbf{x}') = b_k + \sum_{j=1}^{N_l} W_{k,1}^l \phi(z_j^{l-1}(\mathbf{x}'))
\end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{d}$$

$$\mathcal{N} \left(\mathbf{0}, \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_b^2 + \mathbb{E} \left[\phi(z_1^{l-1}(\mathbf{x})) \phi(z_1^{l-1}(\mathbf{x})) \right] & \sigma_b^2 + \mathbb{E} \left[\phi(z_1^{l-1}(\mathbf{x})) \phi(z_1^{l-1}(\mathbf{x}')) \right] \\
\sigma_b^2 + \mathbb{E} \left[\phi(z_1^{l-1}(\mathbf{x})) \phi(z_1^{l-1}(\mathbf{x}')) \right] & \sigma_b^2 + \mathbb{E} \left[\phi(z_1^{l-1}(\mathbf{x}')) \phi(z_1^{l-1}(\mathbf{x}')) \right] \right] \right)$$
(25)

4.2.3 Relationship with Gaussian Process (GP):

let $f(x) \equiv z_k^l(x)$ be some function, and since for every arbitrary point pair, x and x', we have:

$$\begin{bmatrix} f(x) \\ f(x') \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N} \left(\mathbf{0}, \begin{bmatrix} K(x,x) & K(x,x') \\ K(x,x') & K(x',x') \end{bmatrix} \right)
\implies f \sim \mathcal{GP}(0, \mathbf{K})$$
(26)

looking at mean and co-variance as $N_l \to \infty$, for each x, x' pair:

$$\begin{bmatrix} z_k^l(x) \\ z_k^l(x') \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N} \left(\mathbf{0} , \begin{bmatrix} K^l(x,x) & K^l(x,x') \\ K^l(x,x') & K^l(x',x') \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

$$\text{marginal} \quad z_k^l(x) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N} \left(0, \sigma_b^2 + \mathbb{E} \left[\phi \left(z_1^{l-1}(x) \right)^2 \right] \right) \quad \text{as } N_l \to \infty$$

$$\text{where:} \quad \text{Cov} \left[z_k^l(x), z_k^l(x') \right] = K^l(x,x') = \sigma_b^2 + \mathbb{E} \left[\phi \left(z_1^{l-1}(x) \right) \times \phi \left(z_1^{l-1}(x') \right) \right]$$

putting it in layer specific GP define over some domain \mathcal{X} as $N_l \to \infty$:

$$\implies z_k^l(\mathcal{X}) \sim \mathcal{GP}(0, \mathbf{K}^l)$$
 (28)

The recursion tells us $z_1^{l-1}(\mathcal{X})\sim\mathcal{GP}(0,\mathbf{K}^{l-1}).$ Remove the suffix $z_1\to z$:

$$\Rightarrow z_k^l(\mathcal{X}) \sim \mathcal{GP}(0, \mathbf{K}^l) \quad \forall k$$
where $\mathbf{K}^l = \sigma_b^2 + \mathbb{E}_{z^{l-1}(\mathcal{X}) \sim \mathcal{GP}(0, \mathbf{K}^{l-1})} \left[\phi(z^{l-1}(\mathcal{X})) \phi(z^{l-1}(\mathcal{X}))^\top \right]$ (29)

5 NTK at initialization

this section describe [?]

5.1 expression

5.2 re-parameterized formulation

different to NNGP, we now write neural network expression as:

NNGP
$$z_k^l(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{N_l} W_{k,j}^l \phi(z_j^{l-1}(x)) + \sigma_b b_k^l$$
 $W_{k,j}^l \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_l}}\right)$

In $z_k^l(x) = \frac{1}{N_l} \sum_{j=1}^{N_l} W_{k,j}^l \phi(z_j^{l-1}(x)) + \sigma_l b_k^l$ $W_{k,j}^l \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ $\sigma_l \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$

in NTK we use re-parameterization $z_k^l(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_l}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_l} W_{k,j}^l \phi \left(z_j^{l-1}(x) \right) + \sigma_b b_k^l \qquad W_{k,j}^l \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1) \quad \sigma_b \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$

Given a single input x, we show the following is the relationship between two adjacent layers $z^{l-1}(x) \to z^l(x)$:

$$\begin{bmatrix} z_{1}^{l}(x) \\ \vdots \\ z_{k}^{l}(x) \\ \vdots \\ z_{N_{l+1}}^{l}(x) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{l}}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l}} W_{1,j}^{l} \phi(z_{j}^{l-1}(x)) + \sigma_{b} b_{1}^{l} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{l}}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l}} W_{k,j}^{l} \phi(z_{j}^{l-1}(x)) + \sigma_{b} b_{k}^{l} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{l}}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l}} W_{N_{l+1},j}^{l} \phi(z_{j}^{l-1}(x)) + \sigma_{b} b_{N_{l+1}}^{l} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(31)$$

5.3 Prove by Induction

5.3.2 For NTK

we need to show by induction:

1. assume for a small network, at l=1 we prove:

$$\Theta_{k,k'}^{1}(x,x') = \left(\underbrace{\frac{1}{d_{\text{in}}}x^{\top}x' + \sigma_{b}^{2}}_{K^{1}}\right)\delta_{k,k'}$$
(32)

even better, no need to show: $\Theta^1_{k,k'}(x,x') \to K^1\delta_{k,k'}$. it is actually equal! Besides there is no N_1 to take limit to ∞

2. then by assuming:

$$\Theta_{k,k'}^{l-1}(x,x') = \frac{\partial z_k^{l-1}(x,\theta)}{\partial \theta^l}^{\top} \frac{\partial z_k^{l-1}(x',\theta)}{\partial \theta^l} \xrightarrow{N_l \to \infty} \Theta_{\infty}^{l-1}(x,x') \delta_{k,k'}$$
(33)

we can prove:

$$\Theta_{k,k'}^{l}(x,x') = \frac{\partial z_k^{l}(x,\theta)}{\partial \theta^{l}}^{\top} \frac{\partial z_k^{l}(x',\theta)}{\partial \theta^{l}} \xrightarrow{N_{l+1} \to \infty} \Theta_{\infty}^{l}(x,x') \delta_{k,k'}$$
(34)

5.4 when
$$l=1$$
: $\Theta^1_{k,k'}(x,x')=\left(\frac{1}{d_{\text{in}}}x^\top x'+\sigma_b^2\right)\delta_{k,k'}$

From the Eq.(31), we have:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_{\text{in}}}} \sum_{j=1}^{d_{\text{in}}} W_{1,j}^{1} x_{1} + \sigma_{b} b_{1}^{1} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_{\text{in}}}} \sum_{j=1}^{d_{\text{in}}} W_{k,j}^{1} x_{2} + \sigma_{b} b_{k}^{1} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_{\text{in}}}} \sum_{j=1}^{d_{\text{in}}} W_{N_{2},j}^{1} x_{d_{\text{in}}} + \sigma_{b} b_{N_{2}}^{1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} z_{1}^{1}(x) \\ \vdots \\ z_{k}^{1}(x) \\ \vdots \\ z_{N_{2}}^{1}(x) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(35)$$

note when computing $\frac{\partial z_k^1(x)}{\partial W_{i,j}^1}$ only k^{th} row going to return a gradient, i.e., $\frac{\partial z_k^1(x)}{\partial W_{i,j}^1}=0$ if $i\neq k$, and the gradient correspond to $\frac{1}{\partial W_{i,j}^1}$ is x_j :

$$\frac{\partial z_k^1(x)}{\partial W_{i,j}^1} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_{\text{in}}}} x_j & \text{if } i = k \text{ i.e., row } k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_{\text{in}}}} \delta_{i,k} x_j \qquad (36)$$

$$\implies \frac{\partial z_{k'}^1(x)}{\partial W_{i,j}^1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_{\text{in}}}} \delta_{i,k'} x_j$$

now, taking pair of data x and x', each element of the outer product matrix $\Theta^l(x,x') = \sum_{d=1}^{|\theta|} \frac{\partial F_k^l(x)}{\partial \theta_d} \otimes \frac{\partial F_k^l(x)}{\partial \theta_d}$ $\frac{\partial F_{k'}^l(x')}{\partial \theta_d}.$ The individual element of $\Theta^l(x,x')$ at k,k' is:

$$\begin{split} \Theta_{k,k'}^{1}(x,x') &= \sum_{d=1}^{|\theta^{1}|} \frac{\partial F_{k}^{1}(x)}{\partial \theta_{d}^{1}} \frac{\partial F_{k'}^{1}(x')}{\partial \theta_{d}^{1}} \quad \theta^{1} = \{W^{1},b^{1}\} \\ &= \sum_{d=1}^{|W^{1}|} \frac{\partial F_{k}^{1}(x)}{\partial W_{d}^{1}} \frac{\partial F_{k'}^{1}(x')}{\partial W_{d}^{1}} + \sum_{d=1}^{|b^{1}|} \frac{\partial F_{k}^{1}(x)}{\partial b_{d}^{1}} \frac{\partial F_{k'}^{1}(x')}{\partial b_{d}^{1}} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{N_{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{d_{in}} \frac{\partial z_{k}^{1}(x)}{\partial W_{i,j}} \frac{\partial z_{k'}^{1}(x')}{\partial W_{i,j}} + \sum_{i=1}^{N_{2}} \frac{\partial z_{k}^{1}(x)}{\partial b_{i}} \frac{\partial z_{k'}^{1}(x')}{\partial b_{i}} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{N_{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{d_{in}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_{in}}} x_{j} \delta_{i,k'} \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_{in}}} x_{j}' \delta_{i,k} + \sum_{i=1}^{N_{2}} \sigma_{b} \delta_{i,k} \sigma_{b} \delta_{i,k'} \quad \text{only one } i \in \{1, \dots N_{2}\} \text{ in outer sum remain} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{d_{in}} \frac{1}{d_{in}} x_{j} x_{j}' \delta_{k,k'}^{2} + \sigma_{b}^{2} \delta_{k,k'} \quad \delta_{i,k'} \delta_{i,k} = \delta_{k,k'} \\ &= \frac{1}{d_{in}} x^{\top} x' \delta_{k,k'} + \sigma_{b}^{2} \delta_{k,k'} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{d_{in}} x^{\top} x' + \sigma_{b}^{2}\right) \delta_{k,k'} \\ &\equiv K^{1}(x,x') \delta_{k,k'} \end{cases} \tag{37}$$

5.4.1 structure of $\Theta^1(x, x')$

now we have each element $\Theta^1_{k,k'}(x,x')$, the final $\Theta^1(x,x')$ is:

$$\Longrightarrow \Theta^{1}(x,x') = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} K^{1}(x,x') & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & K^{1}(x,x') & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & K^{1}(x,x') & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & K^{1}(x,x') \end{bmatrix}}_{k \in \{1,\dots,N_{2}\}} k' \in \{1,\dots,N_{2}\}$$

$$= \text{repeating diagonal with } K^{1}(x,x')\delta_{k,k'}$$

$$= \underbrace{K^{1}(x,x')}_{\text{scalar}} \otimes_{\text{outer}} \mathbf{I}_{N_{1} \times N_{2}}$$
(38)

 Θ^1 matrix of square the size of input $(N_2 \times |\mathcal{X}|) \times (N_2 \times |\mathcal{X}|)$, importantly, there is no limit to take for Θ^1 , and so $\Theta^1 = K^1(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}) \otimes_{\text{outer}} \mathbf{I}_{N_1 \times N_2}$

5.5 when l > 1

$$\begin{bmatrix} z_{1}^{l}(x) \\ \vdots \\ z_{k}^{l}(x) \\ \vdots \\ z_{N_{l+1}}^{l}(x) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{l}}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l}} W_{1,j}^{l} \phi(z_{j}^{l-1}(x)) + \sigma_{b} b_{1}^{l} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{l}}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l}} W_{k,j}^{l} \phi(z_{j}^{l-1}(x)) + \sigma_{b} b_{k}^{l} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{l}}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l}} W_{N_{l+1},j}^{l} \phi(z_{j}^{l-1}(x)) + \sigma_{b} b_{N_{l+1}}^{l} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(39)$$

split sum into two parts: $\{W^l, b^l\}$ and θ^{l-1}

$$\Theta_{k,k'}^{l}(x,x') = \sum_{d=1}^{|\theta^{l}|} \frac{\partial z_{k}^{l}(x)}{\partial \theta_{d}^{l-1}} \frac{\partial z_{k'}^{l}(x')}{\partial \theta_{d}^{l-1}}$$

$$= \underbrace{\sum_{d=1}^{|W^{l},b^{l}|} \frac{\partial z_{k}^{l}(x)}{\partial \{W^{l},b^{l}\}} \frac{\partial z_{k'}^{l}(x')}{\partial \{W^{l},b^{l}\}}}_{1} + \underbrace{\sum_{d=1}^{|\theta^{l-1}|} \frac{\partial z_{k}^{l}(x)}{\partial \theta_{d}^{l-1}} \frac{\partial z_{k'}^{l}(x')}{\partial \theta_{d}^{l-1}}}_{2} \tag{40}$$

5.5.2 Expression for $\sum_{d=1}^{|\theta^{l-1}|} \frac{\partial z_k^l(x)}{\partial \theta_x^{l-1}} \frac{\partial z_{k'}^l(x')}{\partial \theta_x^{l-1}}$

$$\text{in expression}\underbrace{\sum_{d=1}^{|\theta^{l-1}|} \frac{\partial z_k^l(x)}{\partial \theta_d^{l-1}} \, \frac{\partial z_{k'}^l(x')}{\partial \theta_d^{l-1}}}_{\text{2}}:$$

derivatives with respect to the single terms: $\frac{\partial z_k^l(x)}{\partial \theta_d^{l-1}}$

$$z_{k}^{l} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{l}}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l}} W_{k,j}^{l} \phi(z_{j}^{l-1}(x)) + \sigma_{b} b_{k}^{l}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{l}}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l}} W_{k,j}^{l} \phi\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{l-1}}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l-1}} W_{j,i}^{l-1} \phi(z_{i}^{l-1}(x)) + \sigma_{b} b_{j}^{l-1}\right) + \sigma_{b} b_{j}^{l}$$

$$(41)$$

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial z_k^l(x)}{\partial \theta_d^{l-1}} &= \frac{\partial z_k^l(x)}{\partial \phi(z^{l-1}(x))} \, \frac{\partial \phi(z^{l-1}(x))}{\partial z^{l-1}(x)} \, \frac{\partial z^{l-1}(x)}{\partial \theta_d^{l-1}} \quad \text{drop index for the last two terms} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_l}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_l} W_{k,j}^l \, \frac{\partial \phi(z_j^{l-1}(x))}{\partial z_j^{l-1}(x)} \, \frac{\partial z_j^{l-1}(x)}{\partial \theta_d^{l-1}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_l}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_l} W_{k,j}^l \, \dot{\phi}(z_j^{l-1}(x)) \, \frac{\partial z_j^{l-1}(x)}{\partial \theta_d^{l-1}} \qquad \text{leave last derivative as is, in "recursion"} \end{split}$$

substitute it back to (2)

$$\sum_{d=1}^{|\mathcal{O}^{l-1}|} \frac{\partial z_k^l(x)}{\partial \theta_d^{l-1}} \frac{\partial z_{k'}^l(x')}{\partial \theta_d^{l-1}}$$

$$(2)$$

$$= \sum_{d=1}^{|\mathcal{O}^{l-1}|} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_l}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_l} W_{k,j}^l \dot{\phi}(z_j^{l-1}(x)) \frac{\partial z_j^{l-1}(x)}{\partial \theta_d^{l-1}} \right) \times \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_l}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_l} W_{k',j}^l \dot{\phi}(z_j^{l-1}(x')) \frac{\partial z_j^{l-1}(x')}{\partial \theta_d^{l-1}} \right) \text{ by substitution}$$

$$\text{although it looks like it is in the form of Section[??], however, } W_{k,j}^l \dot{\phi}(z_j^{l-1}(x)) \frac{\partial z_j^{l-1}(x')}{\partial \theta_d^{l-1}} \text{ is not}$$

$$\text{independent of } W_{k',j'}^l \dot{\phi}(z_j^{l-1}(x')) \frac{\partial z_{j'}^{l-1}(x')}{\partial \theta_d^{l-1}} \text{ for } j \neq j', \text{ therefore:}$$

$$= \sum_{d=1}^{|\mathcal{O}^{l-1}|} \frac{1}{N_l} \sum_{j=1}^{N_l} \sum_{j'=1}^{N_l} \left(W_{k,j}^l \dot{\phi}(z_j^{l-1}(x)) \frac{\partial z_{j'}^{l-1}(x)}{\partial \theta_d^{l-1}} \right) \times \left(W_{k',j'}^l \dot{\phi}(z_j^{l-1}(x')) \frac{\partial z_{j'}^{l-1}(x')}{\partial \theta_d^{l-1}} \right) \text{ re-arrange}$$

$$= \sum_{d=1}^{|\mathcal{O}^{l-1}|} \frac{1}{N_l} \sum_{j=1}^{N_l} \sum_{j'=1}^{N_l} W_{k,j}^l W_{k',j'}^l \dot{\phi}(z_j^{l-1}(x)) \dot{\phi}(z_{j'}^{l-1}(x')) \frac{\partial z_{j'}^{l-1}(x)}{\partial \theta_d^{l-1}} \frac{\partial z_{j'}^{l-1}(x')}{\partial \theta_d^{l-1}} \text{ re-arrange}$$

$$= \frac{1}{N_l} \sum_{j=1}^{N_l} \sum_{j'=1}^{N_l} W_{k,j}^l W_{k',j'}^l \dot{\phi}(z_j^{l-1}(x)) \dot{\phi}(z_{j'}^{l-1}(x')) \sum_{d=1}^{|\mathcal{O}^{l-1}|} \frac{\partial z_{j'-1}^l(x)}{\partial \theta_d^{l-1}} \frac{\partial z_{j'-1}^l(x')}{\partial \theta_d^{l-1}}$$

$$\frac{\partial z_{j'-1}^l(x)}{\partial \theta_d^{l-1}} \frac{\partial z_{j'-1}^l(x')}{\partial \theta_d^{l-1}} \frac{\partial z_{j'-1}^l(x')}{\partial \theta_d^{l-1}}$$

$$\frac{\partial z_{j'-1}^l(x)}{\partial \theta_d^{l-1}} \frac{\partial z_{j'-1}^l(x')}{\partial \theta_d^{l-1}} \frac{\partial z_{j'-1}$$

instead of using CLT, we shall apply LoLN here:

(44)

$$\begin{split} \Theta_{\infty}^{l-1}(x,x') & \frac{1}{N_{l}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l}} W_{k,j}^{l} \ W_{k',j}^{l} \dot{\phi}(z_{j}^{l-1}(x)) \ \dot{\phi}(z_{j}^{l-1}(x')) \\ & = \Theta_{\infty}^{l-1}(x,x') \mathbb{E}_{W_{k,1}^{l},W_{k',1}^{l},z_{1}^{l-1}(x),z_{1}^{l-1}(x')} \Big[W_{k,1}^{l},W_{k',1}^{l} \dot{\phi}(z_{1}^{l-1}(x)) \ \dot{\phi}(z_{1}^{l-1}(x')) \Big] \\ & = \Theta_{\infty}^{l-1}(x,x') \mathbb{E}_{\left(z_{1}^{l-1}(x),z_{1}^{l-1}(x')\right)} \Big[\dot{\phi}(z_{1}^{l-1}\dot{\phi}(z_{1}^{l-1}(x')) \Big] \mathbb{E}_{W_{k,1}^{l},W_{k',1}^{l}} \Big[W_{k,1}^{l} \ W_{k',1}^{l} \Big] \\ & = \Theta_{\infty}^{l-1}(x,x') \mathbb{E}_{z^{l-1}} \sim \mathcal{GP}\left(0,K^{l-1}\right) \Big[\dot{\phi}(z_{1}^{l-1}(x)) \dot{\phi}(z_{1}^{l-1}(x')) \Big] \delta_{k,k'} \\ & = \delta_{k,k'} \dot{K}^{l}(x,x') \ \Theta_{\infty}^{l-1}(x,x') \end{split} \tag{45}$$

1. Derivation of $\delta_{k,k'}$ part:

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}_{W_{k,1}^l,W_{k',1}^l} \left[W_{k,1}^l \ W_{k',1}^l \right] &= \begin{cases} \mathbb{E} \left[W_{k,1}^l \ W_{k',1}^l \right] & k \neq k' \\ \mathbb{E} \left[(W_{k,1}^l)^2 \right] & k = k' \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & k \neq k' \\ 1 & k = k' \end{cases} \quad \text{re-parameterized expression} \quad W_{k,1}^l \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1) \\ &= \delta_{k,k'} \end{split}$$

2. notice the expression here:

$$\frac{1}{N_l} \sum_{j=1}^{N_l} W_{k,j}^l \ W_{k',j}^l \dot{\phi}(z_j^{l-1}(x)) \ \dot{\phi}(z_j^{l-1}(x'))$$
(47)

is the very similar of NNGP formulation, except:

$$\phi(z_i^{l-1}(x)) \to \dot{\phi}(z_i^{l-1}(x)) \tag{48}$$

so expect same CLT/LoLN treatment applies here

3. looking at abbreviation symbol $\dot{K}^l(x, x')$:

$$\dot{K}^{l}(x,x') = \sigma_{w}^{2} \mathbb{E}_{\left(z_{1}^{l-1}(x),z_{1}^{l-1}(x')\right) \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0,K^{l-1}(x,x')\right)} \left[\dot{\phi}\left(z_{1}^{l-1}(x)\right)\dot{\phi}\left(z_{1}^{l-1}(x')\right)\right] \\
= \mathbb{E}_{\left(z_{1}^{l-1}(x),z_{1}^{l-1}(x')\right) \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0,K^{l-1}(x,x')\right)} \left[\dot{\phi}\left(z_{1}^{l-1}(x)\right)\dot{\phi}\left(z_{1}^{l-1}(x')\right)\right] \quad \text{assume } \sigma_{w} = 1$$
(49)

compare with Eq. (??) the recursion in NNGP:

$$K^{l}(x, x') = \sigma_{b}^{2} + \sigma_{w}^{2} \mathbb{E}_{\left(z_{1}^{l-1}(x), z_{1}^{l-1}(x')\right)} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, K^{l-1}(x, x')\right) \left[\phi\left(z_{1}^{l-1}(x)\right)\phi\left(z_{1}^{l-1}(x')\right)\right]$$
(50)

note $\dot{K}^l(x,x')$ is **not** a recursion, and $K^l(x,x')$ is expressed in recursion

4. note $\delta_{k,k'}\dot{K}^l(x,x')$ $\Theta^{l-1}_{\infty}(x,x')$ is a scalar, in particular $\dot{K}^l(x,x')$ is a scalar. However, $\Theta(x,x')$ is the constructed matrix, where elements are of $\dot{K}^l(x,x')$

5.5.3 Expression for $\sum_{d=1}^{|W^l,b^l|} \frac{\partial z_k^1(x)}{\partial \{W^l,b^l\}} \frac{\partial z_{k'}^{l}(x')}{\partial \{W^l,b^l\}} \frac{\partial z_{k'}^{l}(x')}{\partial \{W^l,b^l\}}$

$$\text{in expression}\underbrace{\sum_{d=1}^{|W^l,b^l|} \frac{\partial z_k^1(x)}{\partial \{W^l,b^l\}} \; \frac{\partial z_{k'}^l(x')}{\partial \{W^l,b^l\}}}_{\text{1}} :$$

$$\sum_{d=1}^{|W^l, b^l|} \frac{\partial z_k^l(x)}{\partial \{W^l, b^l\}} \frac{\partial z_{k'}^l(x')}{\partial \{W^l, b^l\}}$$
 (51)

and compare that with for l=1:

$$\sum_{d=1}^{|\theta^1|} \frac{\partial z_k^1(x)}{\partial \theta_d^1} \frac{\partial z_{k'}^1(x')}{\partial \theta_d^1} \quad \theta^1 = \{W^1, b^1\}$$

$$= \left(K^1(x, x') \equiv \frac{1}{d_{\text{in}}} x^\top x' + \sigma_b^2\right) \delta_{k, k'}$$
(52)

then, we do know:

$$\sum_{d=1}^{|W^l, b^l|} \frac{\partial z_k^l(x)}{\partial \{W^l, b^l\}} \frac{\partial z_{k'}^l(x')}{\partial \{W^l, b^l\}}$$

$$= \left(K^l(x, x') \equiv \frac{1}{N_l} \phi(z^l(x))^\top \phi(z^l(x)) + \sigma_b^2\right) \delta_{k, k'}$$
(53)

5.5.4 putting all together

$$\Theta_{k,k'}^{l}(x,x') = \sum_{d=1}^{|W^{l},b^{l}|} \frac{\partial z_{k}^{l}(x)}{\partial \{W^{l},b^{l}\}} \frac{\partial z_{k'}^{l}(x')}{\partial \{W^{l},b^{l}\}} + \sum_{d=1}^{|\theta^{l-1}|} \frac{\partial z_{k}^{l}(x)}{\partial \theta_{d}^{l-1}} \frac{\partial z_{k'}^{l}(x')}{\partial \theta_{d}^{l-1}} \\
= K^{l}(x,x') \, \delta_{k,k'} + \delta_{k,k'} \dot{K}^{l}(x,x') \, \Theta_{\infty}^{l-1}(x,x') \quad N_{l+1} \to \infty \qquad (54)$$

$$= \left(K^{l}(x,x') + \dot{K}^{l}(x,x')\Theta_{\infty}^{l-1}(x,x')\right) \delta_{k,k'}$$

$$= \Theta_{\infty}^{l}(x,x')\delta_{k,k'}$$

this does what we want to achieve in Eq.[33], by assuming $\Theta_{k,k'}^{l-1}(x,x') \xrightarrow{N_l \to \infty} \Theta_{\infty}^{l-1}(x,x') \delta_{k,k'}$, we prove: $\Theta_{k,k'}^{l}(x,x') \xrightarrow{N_{l+1} \to \infty} \Theta_{\infty}^{l}(x,x') \delta_{k,k'}$

then finally:

$$\Theta^{l}(x, x') = \underbrace{\left(K^{l}(x, x') + \dot{K}^{l}(x, x')\Theta_{\infty}^{l-1}(x, x')\right)}_{\text{scalar}} \otimes_{\text{outer}} \underbrace{\mathbf{I}_{N_{l+1} \times N_{l+1}}}_{\text{same value for all } k, k' \text{ pairs}}$$
(55)

5.5.5 apply the above to l=1

apply the above to l=1, when $l=1, \dot{\phi}(\cdot)=0 \implies \dot{K}$ just a zero matrix. This is as expected just data x, i.e., constant.

6 linearized model

See NTK in action: Linearized model [?]:

6.1
$$f_t^{\mathrm{lin}}(x, \theta_t)$$
 and $\dot{\omega}$

linearized model is:

$$f_t^{\text{lin}}(x,\theta_t) = f_0(x,\theta_0) + \nabla_{\theta} f(x,\theta_t) \Big|_{\theta_t \to \theta_0} \triangle \theta(t)$$

$$= f_0(x,\theta_0) + \nabla_{\theta} f_0(x,\theta_0) \left(\theta(t) - \theta(0) \right)$$

$$= f_0(x,\theta_0) + \nabla_{\theta} f_0(x,\theta_0) \omega_t$$
(56)

both $f_0(x, \theta_0)$ and $\nabla_{\theta} f_0(x, \theta_0)$ are constants

6.1.1 dynamics of $\dot{\omega}$

looking at the dynamics of linearized gradient flow of **linearized model**, it is obvious that $\dot{\omega}$ only depends on \mathcal{X} instead, as parameter dynamics only depends on training data \mathcal{X} :

$$\theta_{t+1} = \theta_t - \eta \nabla_{\theta_t} \mathcal{L}(\cdot)$$

$$\theta_{t+1} - \theta_t = -\eta \nabla_{\theta_t} \mathcal{L}(\cdot)$$

$$\dot{\omega} = \theta_{t+1} - \theta_t = -\eta \nabla_{\theta_t} \mathcal{L}(\cdot) \qquad \text{why not } \dot{\omega} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{\theta_{t+1} - \theta_t}{\eta} = -\eta \nabla_{\theta_t} \mathcal{L}(\cdot)?$$

$$= -\eta \left(\nabla_{\theta_t} f_t^{\text{lin}} \nabla_{f_t^{\text{lin}}(\mathcal{X})} \mathcal{L}(\cdot) \right)$$

$$= -\eta \left(\nabla_{\theta_t} \left[f_0(x, \theta_0) + \nabla_{\theta} f_0(x, \theta_0) \left(\theta(t) - \theta(0) \right) \right] \nabla_{f_t^{\text{lin}}(\mathcal{X})} \mathcal{L}(\cdot) \right)$$

$$= -\eta \nabla_{\theta} f(\mathcal{X}, \theta_0)^{\top} \nabla_{f_t^{\text{lin}}(\mathcal{X})} \mathcal{L}(\cdot)$$

$$(57)$$

$$\dot{\omega} = -\eta \nabla_{\theta} f(\mathcal{X}, \theta_0)^{\top} \nabla_{f_t^{\text{lin}}(\mathcal{X})} \mathcal{L}(\cdot)$$

6.1.2 dimensionality

 $\nabla_{\theta} f_0(\mathcal{X}, \theta_0) \in \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{X}| \times |\theta|}$:

$$\nabla_{\theta} f(\mathcal{X}, \theta) \nabla_{\theta} f(\mathcal{X}, \theta)^{\top} = \sum_{i=1}^{|\theta|} \left(\nabla_{\theta} f(\mathcal{X}, \theta_i) \right) \left(\nabla_{\theta} f(\mathcal{X}, \theta_i) \right)^{\top} = \hat{\Theta}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})$$
 (58)

one of the important NTK is when t=0, i.e., at initialization:

$$\nabla_{\theta} f(\mathcal{X}, \theta_0) \nabla_{\theta} f(\mathcal{X}, \theta_0)^{\top} = \hat{\Theta}_0(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})$$
(59)

6.1.3 dynamics of \dot{f}_t^{lin}

$$\hat{f}_{t}^{\text{lin}}(x,\theta_{t}) = \nabla_{\theta} f_{0}(x,\theta_{0}) \, \dot{\omega}(t)
= \nabla_{\theta} f_{0}(x,\theta_{0}) \, \left[-\eta \nabla_{\theta} f(\mathcal{X},\theta_{0})^{\top} \nabla_{f_{t}^{\text{lin}}(\mathcal{X})} \mathcal{L}(\cdot) \right]
= -\eta \hat{\Theta}_{0}(x,\mathcal{X}) \nabla_{f_{t}^{\text{lin}}(\mathcal{X})} \mathcal{L}(\cdot)$$
(61)

6.1.4 ODE solution using $\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} ||f(\mathcal{X}) - \mathcal{Y}||_2^2$

$$f_t^{\text{lin}}(\mathcal{X}, \theta_t) = -\eta \hat{\Theta}_0(x, \mathcal{X}) \nabla_{f_t^{\text{lin}}(\mathcal{X})} \mathcal{L}(\cdot)
= -\eta \hat{\Theta}_0(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}) (f_t^{\text{lin}}(\mathcal{X}) - \mathcal{Y})$$
(62)

then ODE has the close-form solution:

1. note the following has terms in \mathcal{X} :

$$f_t^{\text{lin}}(\mathcal{X}, \theta) = \mathcal{Y} + (f_0(\mathcal{X}, \theta_0) - \mathcal{Y}) \exp^{-\eta \hat{\Theta}_0(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}) t}$$

$$= \mathcal{Y} + f_0(\mathcal{X}, \theta_0) \exp^{-\eta \hat{\Theta}_0(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}) t} - \mathcal{Y} \exp^{-\eta \hat{\Theta}_0(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}) t} +$$

$$= (\mathbf{I} - \exp^{-\eta \hat{\Theta}_0(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}) t}) \mathcal{Y} + \exp^{-\eta \hat{\Theta}_0(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}) t} f_0(\mathcal{X}, \theta_0)$$
(63)

- (a) t = 0: $f_t^{\text{lin}}(\mathcal{X}, \theta)|_{t=0} = f_0(\mathcal{X}, \theta_0)$
- (b) $t = \infty$: $f_t^{\text{lin}}(\mathcal{X}, \theta)|_{t=\infty} = \mathcal{Y}$
- (c) it makes sense as f_t^{lin} is an interpolation between $f_0(\mathcal{X}, \theta_0)$ and \mathcal{Y}
- 2. ODE solution for parameter ω_t is:

$$\omega_t = -\nabla_{\theta} f(\mathcal{X}, \theta_0)^{\top} \hat{\Theta}_0(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})^{-1} \left(\mathbf{I} - \exp^{-\eta \hat{\Theta}_0(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}) t} \right) \left(f_0(\mathcal{X}, \theta_0) - \mathcal{Y} \right)$$
(64)

3. prediction of x is:

$$f_{t}^{\text{lin}}(x,\theta_{t}) = f_{0}(x,\theta_{0}) + \nabla_{\theta} f_{0}(x,\theta_{0}) \, \omega_{t} \quad \text{subtitute Eq.(64)}$$

$$= f_{0}(x,\theta_{0}) - \nabla_{\theta} f_{0}(x,\theta_{0}) \, \nabla_{\theta} f(\mathcal{X},\theta_{0})^{\top} \hat{\Theta}_{0}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{X})^{-1} \big(\mathbf{I} - \exp^{-\eta \hat{\Theta}_{0}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{X}) \, t} \big) \big(f_{0}(\mathcal{X},\theta_{0}) - \mathcal{Y} \big)$$

$$= f_{0}(x,\theta_{0}) - \hat{\Theta}_{0}(x,\mathcal{X}) \hat{\Theta}_{0}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{X})^{-1} \big(\mathbf{I} - \exp^{-\eta \hat{\Theta}_{0}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{X}) \, t} \big) \big(f_{0}(\mathcal{X},\theta_{0}) - \mathcal{Y} \big)$$
(65)

alternatively it is written as:

$$f_t^{\text{lin}}(x,\theta) = \hat{\Theta}_0(x,\mathcal{X})\hat{\Theta}_0(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{X})^{-1} \left(\mathbf{I} - \exp^{-\eta \hat{\Theta}_0(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{X}) t}\right) \mathcal{Y} + f_0(x,\theta_0) + \hat{\Theta}_0(x,\mathcal{X})\hat{\Theta}_0(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{X})^{-1} \left(\mathbf{I} - \exp^{-\eta \hat{\Theta}_0(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{X}) t}\right) f_0(\mathcal{X},\theta_0)$$
(66)

(a)
$$t = 0$$
: $f_t^{\text{lin}}(x, \theta)|_{t=0} = f_0(x, \theta)$

(b) $t = \infty$:

$$f_t^{\text{lin}}(x,\theta)|_{t=\infty} = \hat{\Theta}_0(x,\mathcal{X})\hat{\Theta}_0(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{X})^{-1}\mathcal{Y} + f_0(x,\theta_0) - \hat{\Theta}_0(x,\mathcal{X})\hat{\Theta}_0(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{X})^{-1}f_0(\mathcal{X},\theta_0)$$
(67)

6.2 lazy training

finally one need to prove these:

$$\sup_{t \ge 0} \|f_t(x) - f_t^{\text{lin}}\|_2
\sup_{t \ge 0} \frac{\|\theta_t - \theta_0\|_2}{\sqrt{n}}
\sup_{t \ge 0} \|\hat{\Theta}_t - \hat{\Theta}_0\|_F$$

$$= \mathcal{O}(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \text{ as } n \to \infty$$
(68)