

This is a good indicator of which datasets to look for (specifically for the NLP functionality of the model - no TTS or sound datasets included) and which to eliminate from our already existing ones - along with a good training workflow explanation for how everything will come together.

### Phase 1: Core Knowledge & Pre-training

- ◆ **Goal:** Build foundational **STEM understanding** with **clean, structured text** before fine-tuning.
- ◆ **Challenges:**
  - Research papers are **noisy** (citations, funding notes, redundant explanations).
  - Need **structured, high-quality data** without excessive experimental comparisons.

#### Datasets & Sources for Pre-training:

Category	Sources	Notes
<b>General STEM Knowledge</b>	Wikipedia (STEM subset), OpenAI's The Pile (STEM sections), Project Gutenberg (classic science books)	Clean but broad—ensures general domain knowledge
<b>Mathematical &amp; Scientific Reasoning</b>	GSM8K, MATH, DeepMind Math, SciQA	Focused problem-solving datasets
<b>Computer Science &amp; Coding</b>	Stack Overflow, GitHub (curated repos), HumanEval, LeetCode	Code comprehension & generation
<b>Research Papers (Filtered for Noise)</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>S2ORC</b> (Semantic Scholar Corpus) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>ArXiv CS/Physics subset</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>The Pile</b> (ArXiv section)	Prefiltered & structured research content
<b>Semantic Knowledge Base Integration</b>	Wikidata, ConceptNet, SciBERT embeddings	Helps with common sense & logical reasoning

#### ◆ Cleaning & Filtering Strategy for Papers:

- **Extract abstracts + conclusions** (ignore redundant comparisons).
- **Filter by discipline:** Math, CS, Physics, Engineering (skip Bio-heavy content).

- **Use NLP-based summarization** (e.g., BART, GPT-4) to strip unnecessary citations & experiment details.
- 

## Phase 2: Explainability & Refinement

- ◆ **Goal:** Improve clarity, explanation depth, and adaptability to different users.
- ◆ **Challenges:**
  - Need to balance **technical accuracy vs. explainability**.
  - Must avoid **over-simplifications** while keeping things engaging.

### Datasets & Sources for Explainability:

Category	Sources	Notes
<b>Human-like Explanation</b>	ELI5 (Explain Like I'm 5), Natural Instructions v2	Helps model adapt explanations to different audiences
<b>Answer Refinement</b>	Anthropic HH-RLHF, OpenAI InstructGPT datasets	Helps improve structured, coherent responses
<b>Logical &amp; Common Sense Reasoning</b>	ConceptNet, SocialIQa, Metamath Proofs	Ensures model follows logical reasoning & avoids contradictions

### ◆ Filtering Strategy:

- **Skip overly trivialized answers** (e.g., avoid dumbed-down content).
  - **Balance simple & technical explanations** by weighting different sources in training.
- 

## Phase 3: Humor & Geek Culture Integration

- ◆ **Goal:** Make responses **witty, engaging, and relatable** to STEM users.
- ◆ **Challenges:**
  - Most humor datasets focus on **general jokes**, not **STEM-specific jokes**.
  - Some humor sources are **too crude** (need moderation).

### Humor Datasets & Sources:

Category	Sources	Notes
<b>STEM-Oriented Humor</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> XKCD dataset <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> StackExchange humor threads <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MIT OpenCourseWare jokes	Science/math/programming humor
<b>Geeky Pop Culture References</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TV show transcripts (Big Bang Theory, Futurama) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Classic comedy books (Monty Python, George Carlin)	Ensures nerdy personality quirks
<b>Reddit Humor (Filtered)</b>	OrionW humor dataset, r/ProgrammerHumor, r/PhysicsMemes	Must apply NLP-based <b>joke filtering</b> to avoid low-quality humor

◆ **Filtering Strategy:**

- **Use NLP-based joke structure analysis** (detect setup–punchline formats).
- **Keyword filtering:** Include terms like “quantum,” “integral,” “algorithm,” etc.
- **Profanity moderation:** Allow in context (not excessive swearing).