

# 5.17 Multicensored Data

## 1 Warming-up: empirical distribution

Suppose that there are  $n$  observations,  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ . We assume that there are  $k$  distinct observations,  $y_1 < y_2 < \dots < y_k$ , with frequencies,  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k$ , respectively. Then the likelihood function is given by

$$L(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k) \propto \prod_{j=1}^k p_j^{f_j},$$

with the constraint  $\sum_{j=1}^k p_j = 1$ . The log-likelihood with the constraint is

$$\ell(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k, \lambda) \propto \sum_{j=1}^k f_j \log p_j - \lambda \left( \sum_{j=1}^k p_j - 1 \right),$$

where  $\lambda$  is the Lagrange multiplier. It is immediate from

$$\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial p_j} = \frac{f_j}{p_j} - \lambda = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \lambda} = -\left( \sum_{j=1}^k p_j - 1 \right) = 0$$

that  $\lambda = \sum_{j=1}^k f_j = n$  and  $\hat{p}_j = f_j / \lambda = f_j / n$ . Thus, the empirical distribution is obtained as

$$\hat{F}_n(t) = \sum_{j=1}^k \hat{p}_j \cdot \mathbb{I}(y_j \leq t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^k f_j \cdot \mathbb{I}(y_j \leq t),$$

which is equivalent to

$$\hat{F}_n(t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{I}(x_i \leq t),$$

where  $\mathbb{I}(\cdot)$  is an indicator function.

## 2 Nonparametric estimation method for the survival function

We consider the empirical survival function which can be obtained by the nonparametric maximum likelihood method.

Suppose that there are  $n$  observations  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  and that there are  $k$  distinct observations  $(y_1 < y_2 < \dots < y_k)$  at which *failures* occur. We set  $y_0 = 0$  and  $y_{k+1} = \infty$  by convention.

We assume that the values of survival function change only at the distinct failure points, that is,  $S(y_j) = S(t)$  for  $y_{j-1} < t \leq y_j$ . Similarly,  $F(y_j) = F(t)$  for  $y_j \leq t < y_{j+1}$ . Let  $d_j$  denote the number of observed failures at  $y_j$  and  $n_j$  denote the number of items on test just before time  $y_i$ .

Suppose that there are  $c_j$  *right-censored* observations within the interval  $[y_j, y_{j+1})$ . (Only for convenience, we summarize right-censored observations like this setup. Note that these are *not* interval-censored). Note that  $n_1 = n$ ,  $n_{k+1} = 0$ ,  $n_{j+1} = n_j - c_j - d_j$ , and  $\sum_{j=i}^k (c_j + d_j) = n_i$ .

**Example 1** (6-MP data). An experiment is conducted to determine the effect of a drug named 6-mercaptopurine (6-MP) on leukemia remission times.<sup>1</sup> The 6-MP experiment data set contains  $n = 21$  patients on test whose failure observations are given by **6**, **6**, **6**, **6**<sup>+</sup>, **7**, **9**<sup>+</sup>, **10**, **10**<sup>+</sup>, **11**<sup>+</sup>, **13**, **16**, **17**<sup>+</sup>, **19**<sup>+</sup>, **20**<sup>+</sup>, **22**, **23**, **25**<sup>+</sup>, **32**<sup>+</sup>, **32**<sup>+</sup>, **34**<sup>+</sup>, **35**<sup>+</sup>.

$j$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
$y_j$	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>23</b>
$[y_j, y_{j+1})$	[6, 7)	[7, 10)	[10, 13)	[13, 16)	[16, 22)	[22, 23)	[23, $\infty$ )
$n_j$	21	17	15	12	11	7	6
$c_j$	1	1	2	0	3	0	5
$d_j$	3	1	1	1	1	1	1

$\Delta$

Let  $t_{j1}, t_{j2}, \dots, t_{jc_j}$  be the censoring times within the interval  $[y_j, y_{j+1})$ . Then the likelihood function becomes

$$L = \prod_{j=1}^k f(y_j)^{d_j} \times \prod_{j=1}^k \prod_{i=1}^{c_j} \{1 - F(t_{ji})\}. \quad (1)$$

Since the values of survival function change only at the *distinct failure* points and the distribution  $F(\cdot)$  is right-continuous, we have  $F(y_j) = F(t_{ji})$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, c_j$ . Thus, the above likelihood becomes

$$L = \prod_{j=1}^k f(y_j)^{d_j} \times \prod_{j=1}^k \{1 - F(y_j)\}^{c_j}. \quad (2)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>EXAMPLE 10.2 in: LEEMIS, L. M. Reliability: Probabilistic Models and Statistical Methods. 2nd edition. Williamsburg, Virginia: Lawrence M. Leemis, 2009.

**Theorem 1.** *We have*

$$S(y_j) = \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} [1 - h(y_i)]$$

for  $j \geq 2$  and  $S(y_1) = 1$ .

*Proof.* The survival function is a step function because it changes only at the distinct failure points. Since  $S(y_j) = P(Y \geq y_j) = f(y_j) + f(y_{j+1}) + \cdots + f(y_k)$  and  $S(y_{j+1}) = f(y_{j+1}) + f(y_{j+2}) + \cdots + f(y_k)$ , we have  $f(y_j) = S(y_j) - S(y_{j+1})$ . Also, it is easily seen that  $S(y_1) = f(y_1) + f(y_2) + \cdots + f(y_k) = 1$ .

The hazard rate function is then given by

$$h(y_j) = \frac{f(y_j)}{S(y_j)} = \frac{S(y_j) - S(y_{j+1})}{S(y_j)} = 1 - \frac{S(y_{j+1})}{S(y_j)}.$$

It is immediate from solving the above for  $S(y_{j+1})$  that we have

$$S(y_{j+1}) = S(y_j)[1 - h(y_j)].$$

Then using the mathematical induction with  $S(y_1) = 1$ , we have

$$S(y_{j+1}) = [1 - h(y_j)][1 - h(y_{j-1})] \cdots [1 - h(y_1)] = \prod_{i=1}^j [1 - h(y_i)], \quad (3)$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

For notational convenience, we let  $h_j = h(y_j)$ . We have  $S(y_j) = \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} (1 - h_i)$  for  $j \geq 2$ . We can also rewrite as

$$S(y_j) = \prod_{i=1}^j (1 - h_i) \cdot (1 - h_j)^{-1} \quad (4)$$

for  $j \geq 1$ . It is easily seen from (4) that

$$f(y_j) = h(y_j)S(y_j) = h_j S(y_j) = h_j \prod_{i=1}^j (1 - h_i) \cdot (1 - h_j)^{-1}. \quad (5)$$

Since  $F(y_j) = f(y_1) + f(y_2) + \cdots + f(y_j)$  and  $S(y_{j+1}) = f(y_{j+1}) + f(y_{j+2}) + \cdots$ , we have  $F(y_j) + S(y_{j+1}) = 1$ . Using this and (3), we have

$$1 - F(y_j) = S(y_{j+1}) = \prod_{i=1}^j (1 - h_i). \quad (6)$$

It should be noted that  $1 - F(x) \neq S(x)$  for this discrete case since  $1 - F(x) = 1 - P(X \leq x) = P(X > x)$  and  $S(x) = P(X \geq x)$ . Also,  $F(x) = P(X \leq x)$  is right-continuous, but

$S(x) = P(X \geq x)$  is left-continuous. Note that  $R(x) = P(X > x)$  is right-continuous due to  $R(x) = 1 - F(x)$ .

Substituting (5) and (6) into (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \prod_{j=1}^k f(y_j)^{d_j} \times \prod_{j=1}^k \{1 - F(y_j)\}^{c_j} \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^k \left[ h_j \prod_{i=1}^j (1 - h_i) \cdot (1 - h_j)^{-1} \right]^{d_j} \times \prod_{j=1}^k \left[ \prod_{i=1}^j (1 - h_i) \right]^{c_j}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the above results with tedious algebra, the likelihood is given by Substituting (5) and (6) into (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \prod_{j=1}^k h_j^{d_j} \times \prod_{j=1}^k \left[ \prod_{i=1}^j (1 - h_i) \cdot (1 - h_j)^{-1} \right]^{d_j} \times \prod_{j=1}^k \left[ \prod_{i=1}^j (1 - h_i) \right]^{c_j} \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^k h_j^{d_j} \times \underbrace{\prod_{j=1}^k \left[ \prod_{i=1}^j (1 - h_i) \right]^{d_j}}_{\text{Term 1}} \times \prod_{j=1}^k \left[ \prod_{i=1}^j (1 - h_i) \right]^{c_j} \times \prod_{j=1}^k (1 - h_j)^{-d_j} \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^k h_j^{d_j} \times \underbrace{\prod_{j=1}^k \left\{ \left[ \prod_{i=1}^j (1 - h_i) \right]^{d_j} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^j (1 - h_i) \right]^{c_j} \right\}}_{\text{Term 2}} \times \prod_{j=1}^k (1 - h_j)^{-d_j} \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^k h_j^{d_j} \times \underbrace{\prod_{j=1}^k \left[ \prod_{i=1}^j (1 - h_i) \right]^{d_j + c_j}}_{\text{Term 3}} \times \prod_{j=1}^k (1 - h_j)^{-d_j} \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^k h_j^{d_j} \times \prod_{j=1}^k \prod_{i=1}^j (1 - h_i)^{c_j + d_j} \times \prod_{j=1}^k (1 - h_j)^{-d_j} \tag{7} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\prod_{j=1}^k \prod_{i=1}^j a_{ij} = \prod_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=i}^k a_{ij}$  and  $\sum_{j=i}^k (c_j + d_j) = n_i$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{j=1}^k \prod_{i=1}^j (1 - h_i)^{c_j + d_j} &= \prod_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=i}^k (1 - h_i)^{c_j + d_j} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^k (1 - h_i)^{\sum_{j=i}^k (c_j + d_j)} = \prod_{i=1}^k (1 - h_i)^{n_i} = \prod_{j=1}^k (1 - h_j)^{n_j}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the above into (7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \prod_{j=1}^k h_j^{d_j} \times \underbrace{\prod_{j=1}^k (1 - h_j)^{n_j}}_{\text{Term 4}} \times \prod_{j=1}^k (1 - h_j)^{-d_j} \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^k h_j^{d_j} \times \underbrace{\prod_{j=1}^k (1 - h_j)^{n_j - d_j}}_{\text{Term 5}} \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^k h_j^{d_j} (1 - h_j)^{n_j - d_j} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\ell = \log L = \sum_{j=1}^k \left\{ d_j \log h_j + (n_j - d_j) \log(1 - h_j) \right\}.$$

It is immediate from  $\partial \ell / \partial h_i = 0$  that

$$\hat{h}_i = \frac{d_i}{n_i}.$$

Since  $S(y_j) = \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} (1 - h_i)$  for  $j \geq 2$  from Theorem 1, we can estimate the survival function as

$$\hat{S}(t) = \prod_{j: y_j < t} (1 - \hat{h}_j) = \prod_{j: y_j < t} \left( 1 - \frac{d_j}{n_j} \right) = \prod_{j: y_j < t} \frac{n_j - d_j}{n_j}, \quad (8)$$

where  $t > y_1$ . This estimate is commonly known as the *Kaplan–Meier* or *product limit* estimate of the survival function. Note that  $\hat{S}(t) = 1$  for  $t \leq y_1$ . The right-continuous version of the survival function estimate, denoted by  $\hat{R}(t)$ , is given by

$$\hat{R}(t) = \prod_{j: y_j \leq t} \frac{n_j - d_j}{n_j}.$$

It should be noted that we have  $\hat{R}(t) = \hat{S}(t^{+0})$ .

**Example 2** (6-MP data). For the previous example, we have following the survival function estimates.

$j$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
$y_j$	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>23</b>
$[y_j, y_{j+1})$	[6, 7)	[7, 10)	[10, 13)	[13, 16)	[16, 22)	[22, 23)	[23, $\infty$ )
$n_j$	21	17	15	12	11	7	6
$d_j$	3	1	1	1	1	1	1
$\frac{n_j - d_j}{n_j}$	$\frac{18}{21}$	$\frac{16}{17}$	$\frac{14}{15}$	$\frac{11}{12}$	$\frac{10}{11}$	$\frac{6}{7}$	$\frac{5}{6}$
$\hat{R}(y_j)$	$\frac{18}{21}$	$\frac{18}{21} \cdot \frac{16}{17}$	$\frac{18}{21} \cdot \frac{16}{17} \cdot \frac{14}{15}$	$\frac{18}{21} \cdot \frac{16}{17} \cdot \frac{14}{15} \cdot \frac{11}{12}$	$\frac{32}{51}$	$\frac{64}{119}$	$\frac{160}{357}$

$\triangle$

### 3 Variance of the Kaplan–Meier estimate of the survival function

From (8), the logarithm of the Kaplan–Meier estimator of the survival function is given by

$$\log \hat{S}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^k \log \hat{\pi}_j,$$

where  $k = \max\{j : y_j < t\}$  and  $\hat{\pi}_j = (n_j - d_j)/n_j$ . Then its variance becomes

$$\text{Var}(\log \hat{S}(t)) = \sum_{j=1}^k \text{Var}(\log \hat{\pi}_j). \quad (9)$$

We assume that the number of items which survive in the interval  $[y_j, y_{j+1})$  has a binomial distribution with parameters  $n_j$  and  $\pi_j$ , that is,

$$n_j - d_j \sim \text{Bin}(n_j, \pi_j).$$

**Theorem 2** (Delta Method). *Suppose that we are interested in the variance of  $g(Y)$ . Then we can estimate it approximately with*

$$\text{Var}(g(Y)) \approx \{g'(\mu)\}^2 \text{Var}(Y),$$

where  $\mu = E(Y)$ .

*Proof.* The first-order Taylor series expansion of  $g(Y)$  around  $\mu$  is

$$g(Y) - g(\mu) \approx g'(\mu)(Y - \mu),$$

where  $E(Y) = \mu$ . The result is easily obtained by taking the variance of the above.  $\square$

Using the above theorem, we have

$$\text{Var}(\log \hat{\pi}_j) \approx \left(\frac{1}{\pi_j}\right)^2 \text{Var}(\hat{\pi}_j).$$

Since  $n_j - d_j \sim \text{Bin}(n_j, \pi_j)$ , we have  $\text{Var}(\hat{\pi}_j) = \pi_j(1 - \pi_j)/n_j$ . Then we have

$$\text{Var}(\log \hat{\pi}_j) \approx \frac{1 - \pi_j}{\pi_j n_j},$$

and it can thus be estimated by  $\widehat{\text{Var}}(\log \hat{\pi}_j) \approx (1 - \hat{\pi}_j)/(\hat{\pi}_j n_j)$ . Again, note that  $\hat{\pi}_j = (n_j - d_j)/n_j$ . Thus, we can estimate  $\text{Var}(\log \hat{\pi}_j)$  with

$$\widehat{\text{Var}}(\log \hat{\pi}_j) \approx \frac{d_j}{n_j(n_j - d_j)}. \quad (10)$$

It is immediate upon using (9) and (10) that we have

$$\widehat{\text{Var}}(\log \hat{S}(t)) \approx \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{d_j}{n_j(n_j - d_j)}, \quad (11)$$

where  $y_j < t \leq y_{j+1}$  and  $k = \max\{j : y_j < t\}$  again.

Applying the delta method again to  $\text{Var}(\log \hat{S}(t))$  with  $E[\hat{S}(t)] \approx S(t)$ , we have

$$\text{Var}(\log \hat{S}(t)) \approx \frac{1}{S(t)^2} \cdot \text{Var}(\hat{S}(t)), \quad (12)$$

so that we also have

$$\text{Var}(\hat{S}(t)) \approx S(t)^2 \cdot \text{Var}(\log \hat{S}(t)).$$

Using (11) and  $\hat{S}(t)$  as an estimate of  $S(t)$ , we can estimate the above with

$$\widehat{\text{Var}}(\hat{S}(t)) \approx \hat{S}(t)^2 \cdot \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{d_j}{n_j(n_j - d_j)}.$$

This result is known as *Greenwood's formula*. The approximate standard error is also obtained by

$$\text{SE}(\hat{S}(t)) = \hat{S}(t) \cdot \left[ \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{d_j}{n_j(n_j - d_j)} \right]^{1/2}. \quad (13)$$

**Example 3.** Twenty five units of dry bearings are subjected to a creep test and their failure times are given by<sup>2</sup> **70, 180, 190<sup>+</sup>, 200, 210, 230, 275, 295, 310, 370<sup>+</sup>, 395, 420, 480, 495, 560, 600<sup>+</sup>, 620<sup>+</sup>, 680, 750, 780, 800, 900, 980<sup>+</sup>, 1010<sup>+</sup>, 1020<sup>+</sup>**. For more details, see the textbook.

```

1 # Example 5.31 on Page 348 of Elsayed.
2 times = c( 70, 180, 190, 200, 210, 230, 275, 295, 310, 370,
3           395, 420, 480, 495, 560, 600, 620, 680, 750, 780,
4           800, 900, 980,1010,1020 )
5 d= c(1,1,0,1,1,1,1,1,1,0,1,1,1,1,1,0,0,1,1,1,1,0,0,0 )
6 cbind(times, d)
7
8 # Estimates of Survivals Using library
9 library(survival)
10 Sn = survfit(Surv(times,d)~1)
11 summary(Sn)
12

```

<sup>2</sup>EXAMPLE 5.31 in: ELSAYED, E. A. Reliability Engineering. 2nd edition. Wiley, 2012.

```

13 # Compare the above with the following:
14 nj = c(25,24,22,21,20,19,18,17,15,14,13,12,11,8,7,6,5,4)
15 dj = rep(1,18)
16 cumprod( (nj-dj)/nj )
17
18 # Table 5.17 on Page 348
19 h.hat = dj / nj
20 H.hat = cumsum(h.hat)
21 R.ch = exp( -cumsum(dj/nj) )
22 R.pl = cumprod( (nj-dj)/nj )
23
24 out = cbind( times[d==1], nj, dj, h.hat, H.hat, R.ch, R.pl)
25 round(out,3)
26
27 # Plots of Survivals
28 Sn = survfit(Surv(times,d)~1)
29 plot(Sn)
30 plot(Sn, conf.int=FALSE) # without CI

```

△



## 4 Point-wise confidence interval for the survival function

A  $100(1 - \alpha)\%$  confidence interval for  $S(t)$  for a given value of  $t$  can be obtained by using the approximate standard error in (13), which is called the standard (or linear plain) confidence interval. The confidence limits for this interval have

$$\hat{S}(t) \pm z_{\alpha/2} \cdot \text{SE}(\hat{S}(t)),$$

where  $z_{\alpha/2}$  is the  $\alpha/2$  upper quantile of the standard normal distribution. Note that this confidence interval is symmetric at  $\hat{S}(t)$  so that it can be outside of the range of the survival function,  $[0, 1]$ , when the survival estimate,  $\hat{S}(t)$ , is very close to zero or one. One easy simple solution for this problems is to replace a limit greater than one with one and any value less than zero with zero.

We can obtain better confidence intervals by transforming the survival function. Possible choices can be  $\log S(t)$  (log-transformation),  $\log \{-\log S(t)\}$  (log-log-transformation),  $\log [S(t)/(1 - S(t))]$  (logistic-transformation), arcsin-square root transformation, etc. For more details, one can refer to Borgan and Liestøl<sup>3</sup>.

For the log-transformation alternative, the variance of  $\log S(t)$  can be estimated by using the approximation in (11). Thus, the approximate standard error is given by

$$\text{SE}(\log \hat{S}(t)) = \left[ \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{d_j}{n_j(n_j - d_j)} \right]^{1/2}. \quad (14)$$

Then a  $100(1 - \alpha)\%$  confidence limits for  $\log S(t)$  is  $\log \hat{S}(t) \pm z_{\alpha/2} \text{SE}(\log \hat{S}(t))$ , which leads to a  $100(1 - \alpha)\%$  confidence limits for  $S(t)$  of the form

$$\hat{S}(t) \exp [\pm z_{\alpha/2} \cdot \text{SE}(\log \hat{S}(t))].$$

This log-transformation guarantees that the confidence limits are always greater than zero. However, it can have a value greater than one.

For the log-log-transformation, we need to estimate the variance of  $\log [-\log S(t)]$ . Analogous with the approach used in (12) to obtain the variance of  $\log \hat{S}(t)$ , the variance of  $\log \{-\log \hat{S}(t)\}$  is given by

$$\text{Var} \left[ \log \{-\log \hat{S}(t)\} \right] \approx \frac{1}{\{\log S(t)\}^2} \cdot \text{Var}(\log \hat{S}(t)). \quad (15)$$

---

<sup>3</sup>BORGAN, ØRNULF/LIESTØL, KNUT A Note on Confidence Intervals and Bands for the Survival Function Based on Transformations. Scandinavian Journal of Statistics, 17 1990.

The approximate standard error of  $\log \{ -\log \hat{S}(t) \}$  is easily obtained using (11) 1

$$\text{SE} \left[ \log \{ -\log \hat{S}(t) \} \right] = \left[ \frac{1}{\{\log \hat{S}(t)\}^2} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{d_j}{n_j(n_j - d_j)} \right]^{1/2}, \quad (16) \quad 2$$

which results in the  $100(1 - \alpha)\%$  confidence limits of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \log \{ -\log \hat{S}(t) \} \pm z_{\alpha/2} \cdot \text{SE} &= \log \{ -\log \hat{S}(t) \cdot \exp(\pm z_{\alpha/2} \text{SE}) \} \\ &= \log \{ -\log \hat{S}(t)^{\exp(\pm z_{\alpha/2} \text{SE})} \}, \end{aligned}$$

where we denote the approximate standard error formula in (16) by SE for brevity. Note 3  
that the inverse of the function,  $y = \log(-\log x)$ , is given by  $x = \exp(-\exp(y))$ . Using 4  
this inverse, we can obtain the  $100(1 - \alpha)\%$  confidence limits for  $S(t)$  5

$$\hat{S}(t)^{\exp(\pm z_{\alpha/2} \text{SE})}. \quad 6$$

It should be noted that these limits are always in  $[0, 1]$ . 7