

# AutoML Modeling Report



<B.Akintade>

## Binary Classifier with Clean/Balanced Data

### Train/Test Split

158 data images used for training  
16 data images used for testing

IMAGES **TRAIN** EVALUATE PREDICT

**You have enough images to start training**

At least 100 images are currently assigned to each label. [Learn more](#)



Your images will be automatically split into [training and test sets](#), so you can evaluate your model's performance. Unlabeled images will not be used.

Training images	158
Validation images	26
Test images	16

### Confusion Matrix

Each of the cells in the confusion matrix describes how frequently each label has been classified by the model; and also shows how correctly the desired categories (normal and pneumonia) was identified i.e. the chart shows that 100% of the true "normal" class were classified 100% of the time in the "normal" category. Likewise, 100% of the "pneumonia" class was classified 100% of the time in the "pneumonia" category.

Values observed are 100.0% for normal, and 100.0% for pneumonia

#### Confusion matrix

This table shows how often the model classified each label correctly (in blue), and which labels were most often confused for that label (in orange).

True label	Predicted label	
	normal	pneumonia
normal	100.0%	-
pneumonia	-	100.0%

True positive rate for the "pneumonia" class: "pneumonia" was predicted positive and it's true i.e I predicted that the patient actually had "pneumonia" and it's true

	<p>False positive rate for the “normal” class: “normal” case was positively predicted and it’s false i.e I predicted that the patient is “normal” and does NOT have pneumonia, but turns out prediction was false</p>
	<p>Reported values for the true positive rate for the "pneumonia" class is 100% - indicating that the image is labelled as positive (for pneumonia) and the model outcome result is correct/positive i.e. pneumonia is present</p> <p>Reported values for the false positive rate for the "normal" class is 100% - indicating that the image is labelled as positive (for pneumonia) but the model outcome result is negative</p> <p>Both reported values of 100% for true positive rate for the "pneumonia" class and false positive rate for the "normal" class also show high precision of 100% which means the model is identifying relevant instances of pneumonia where each label is properly assigned and shows a *perfect matrix without misclassification or ambiguity.</p> <p>High precision of 100% also indicate that every child identified as testing positive for pneumonia actually have pneumonia, but the model may miss some children that do have pneumonia, since it is getting false negatives.</p>
<b>Precision &amp; Recall</b>	<p>Both precision and recall are two common measures used to evaluate a model in order to understand how the model performs for an individual class, and how it performs across classes. They also provide understanding to how accurately well a model is capturing information, and how much information it is leaving out.</p> <p>Precision helps to measure exactly how many labelled images/data that are supposed to be categorized with a specific label, from all the test examples that was assigned a label ('normal' or 'pneumonia'). Modeled precision answers the question of when the model makes a prediction, how likely is that prediction to be correct?</p> <p>Recall helps to measure the specific number of labels that was actually assigned from all the test examples that should have had the label assigned ('normal' or 'pneumonia'). Model recall answers the question of how good is a model at identifying actual occurrences of objects in the data. This will give us an understanding of whether or not the model can recognize these objects</p> <p>For a score threshold of 0.5, the model achieved a precision of 100.0% and recall of 100.0% (see image). Since the model achieved a ‘perfect’ precision of 100.0% for both, this indicates that the data may not generalize well as it was too easy.</p>



Model

AutoMLDataset\_v20190914021900

Created

Sep 13, 2019

1 compute hour

Analyzed

200 images

2 labels, 16 test images

Avg precision ?

1.0

Precision ?

100.0%

Recall ?

100.0%

Precision and recall are based on a score threshold of 0.5

Model

AutoMLDataset\_v20190914021900

Created

Sep 13, 2019

1 compute hour

Analyzed

200 images

2 labels, 16 test images

Avg precision ?

1.0

Precision ?

100.0%

Recall ?

100.0%

Precision and recall are based on a score threshold of 0.5

Score threshold ?

0.50

Converting between the models output scores to a set of applicable labels requires a threshold. Lower thresholds typically increase recall but lower precision.

Use the slider to see which score threshold works best for your model on the precision-recall tradeoff curve. [Learn more about these metrics and graphs](#)



