PLANNING BOARD
FOR THE
CITY OF CAMBRIDGE

GENERAL HEARING
TUESDAY, MARCH 28, 2023
6:30 p.m.
Remote Meeting
Cambridge, Massachusetts

Catherine Preston Connolly, Vice Chair
Louis J. Bacci, Jr.
Steven A. Cohen
H Theodore Cohen
Hugh Russell
Tom Sieniewicz
Ashley Tan

Community Development Staff
Iram Farooq, Assistant City Manager
Daniel Messplay
Swaathi Joseph
Erik Thorkildsen
Suzannah Bigolin
Khalil Mogassabi
Adithi Moogoor
Evan Spetrini



Precision, Speed, Reliability 617.547.5690 transcripts@ctran.com

	Page 2
I N D E X CASE	PAGE
General Business	
Update from the Community Development Department	5
Adoption of Planning Board meeting transcripts (1/31/2023, 2/1/2023, 2/14/2023, 2/28/2023)	8
PB-231A 75 First Street - Extension Request	10
PB-364 Lechmere Canal Park Improvements - Design Review	13
PB-38 1 Canal Park - Project Update	73
PB-189 295 Third Street - Use Determination Request	111

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 3 (6:30 p.m.) Sitting Members: Catherine Preston Connolly, Louis J. 4 5 Bacci, Jr., Steven A. Cohen, Theodore Cohen, Hugh Russell, Tom Sieniewicz, and 6 7 Ashley Tan 8 CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Good evening, and welcome to the March 28, 2023 meeting of the Cambridge 9 10 Planning Board. My name is Catherine Preston Connolly, and 11 I am the Vice Chair. 12 This meeting is being held remotely in accordance with Chapter 107 of the Acts of 2022 signed into law on July 13 14 16, 2022. 15 All Board members, applicants, and members of the public will state their names before speaking, and all votes 16 17 will be taken by roll call. 18 Members of the public will be kept on mute until it is time for public comment, and I will give instructions 19 20 for public comment at that time. You can also find instructions on the City's webpage for remote Planning Board 21 22 meetings.

```
This meeting is being video and audio recorded,
1
2
    And is being streamed live on the City of Cambridge online
 3
    meeting portal and on cable television Channel 22, within
 4
     Cambridge. There will also be a transcript of the
5
    proceedings.
 6
               I'll start by asking Staff to take Board member
    attendance and verify that all members are audible.
7
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Louis Bacci, are you present,
9
     and is the meeting visible and audible to you?
10
               LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Present, visible, and
11
     audible.
12
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: H Theodore Cohen, are you
13
    present, and is the meeting visible and audible to you?
14
               H THEODORE COHEN: Present, visible, and audible.
15
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Steven Cohen, are you present,
16
    and is the meeting visible and audible to you?
17
               STEVEN A. COHEN: Visible, and audible.
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Tom Sieniewicz, are you present,
18
19
    and is the meeting visible and audible to you?
20
               TOM SIENIEWICZ: Present, visible, audible.
21
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Hugh Russell, are you present,
22
    and is the meeting visible and audible to you?
```

```
1
               HUGH RUSSELL: Present, visible, and audible.
 2
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Ashley Tan, are you present, and
 3
     is and is the meeting visible and audible to you?
               ASHLEY TAN: Present, visible, and audible.
 4
5
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Mary Flynn, are you present, and
     is the meeting visible and audible to you?
 6
7
               [Pause]
8
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Absent. And Catherine Preston
9
     Connolly, are you present, and is the meeting visible and
10
     audible to you?
11
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Present, visible, and
12
    audible.
13
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you, Catherine.
14
    one, two, three, four -- seven members present and one
15
    member absent, which constitutes a quorum.
16
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Excellent. Thank you
17
    very much.
18
19
     (6:35 p.m.)
20
     Sitting Members: Catherine Preston Connolly, Louis J.
21
                       Bacci, Jr., Steven A. Cohen, Theodore
22
                       Cohen, Hugh Russell, Tom Sieniewicz, and
```

1 Ashley Tan 2 CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Okay. Then, as 3 usual, our first item is an Update from the Community Development Department. Please introduce Staff present at 4 5 the meeting and provide the update. DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you, Catherine. Daniel 6 7 Messplay here with Zoning and Development. Before I run through the full list of Staff at the 9 meeting, I just want to recognize our new Associate Zoning 10 Planner in the Division: Evan Spetrini, who comes to us 11 from Malden. And you will be seeing Evan some more in the 12 coming months. So I just want to give him an opportunity to 13 quickly say hello. 14 EVAN SPETRINI: Hi, everyone. Nice to meet you 15 all. I'm looking forward to working with you. CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Welcome, Evan. 16 17 DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you, Evan. 18 STEVEN A. COHEN: Well, this is going to be a lot 19 more fun for you. 20 DANIEL MESSPLAY: Don't scare him away too soon, Also with me from the Zoning and Development team we 21 22 have Swaathi Joseph, our Zoning Project Planner; and Adithi

Magoor, our Zoning and Development Intern. And we have a few other folks from CDD present with us this evening. Iram Farooq, the Assistant City Manager for Community Development is present; as well as Khalil Mogassabi, our Deputy Chief of -- sorry, our Chief Planner in CDD.

And we are also joined by Erik Thorkildsen from Community Planning. And I believe that's it. We should also be joined at some point in this meeting by Suzannah Bigolin, also from Community Planning.

Just a couple of quick updates: So I'll start with the upcoming Planning Board Agenda. Just a reminder that there is no meeting next week, but we will resume Planning Board on April 11.

On April 11, we'll have a public hearing on the Craig Kelley et al. Zoning Petition, a continued public hearing on 425 Mass Ave, and a public hearing on townhouse modifications at 6 Lilac Court.

We will be busy with some new zoning petitions that have been referred and will be coming to you shortly.

So here's just a few upcoming dates there. I mentioned the Craig Kelley petition, so again that will be at the Planning Board on April 11, and then it will be at

```
1
     the Ordinance Committee on May 3.
 2
               There is a petition that we're calling the
 3
     "Monestime et al. Petition," which relates to expanding some
     outdoor permitted uses in the Central Square Overlay
 4
5
     District. So that's at the Ordinance Committee on April 13,
    which will be before the Planning Board can hold its
 6
7
    hearing. But we're eyeing April 25 for that.
               And then there is the Charles Franklin et al.
9
     Zoning Petition, which includes a number of changes
10
     throughout the ordinance to encourage multifamily housing
11
     development. And we will most likely be seeing that
     sometime in May.
12
13
               And that concludes the CDD update, so I will turn
14
     it back to you, Chair Connolly.
15
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: All right.
16
    you, Daniel.
17
18
     (6:38 p.m.)
19
     Sitting Members: Catherine Preston Connolly, Louis J.
20
                       Bacci, Jr., Steven A. Cohen, Theodore
21
                       Cohen, Hugh Russell, Tom Sieniewicz, and
22
                       Ashley Tan
```

```
1
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: The next item we have
2
     is an Approval of meeting minutes. The Board has received
 3
     certified transcripts for the meetings held on January 31,
     February 7, February 14, and February 28, all 2023.
 4
5
     there are any questions from the Board, please say your
 6
    name.
7
               [Pause]
8
               Hearing none, is there a motion to accept the
9
     transcripts as the meeting minutes?
10
               STEVEN A. COHEN: So move.
11
               LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Second.
12
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Roll call vote?
13
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Roll call on that motion:
14
    Bacci?
15
               LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Yes.
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: H Theodore Cohen?
16
17
               H THEODORE COHEN: Yes.
18
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Steve Cohen?
               STEVEN A. COHEN: Yes.
19
20
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Tom Sieniewicz?
21
               TOM SIENIEWICZ: Yes.
22
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Hugh Russell?
```

```
1
               HUGH RUSSELL: Yes.
 2
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Ashley Tan?
 3
               ASHLEY TAN: Yes.
 4
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: And Catherine Preston Connolly?
5
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY:
 6
               [All vote YES]
 7
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: That is all members voting in
8
     favor.
9
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Great. Okay.
10
11
     (6:39 p.m.)
12
    Sitting Members: Catherine Preston Connolly, Louis J.
13
                       Bacci, Jr., Steven A. Cohen, Theodore
14
                       Cohen, Hugh Russell, Tom Sieniewicz, and
15
                       Ashley Tan
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Then the next item on
16
17
    our agenda is a Request for an Extension of Time for the
18
    hearing and decision on Planning Board Case No. PB-231A, a
    major amendment for an application for 75 First Street. And
19
20
    as usual, we'll have CDD Staff provide an update.
21
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you, Chair Connolly.
22
    Daniel Messplay again. This is a PUD case and the Zoning
```

set some additional timing requirements different from the normal special permit procedures.

The Planning Board made a preliminary determination on January 31, and the applicant is working on responses to include in a Final Development Plan that will come back for a second public hearing.

The Zoning requires that the Final Development
Plan submission be no later than 59 days from the
preliminary determination, and a second public hearing no
later than 69 days from the preliminary determination.

So the second public hearing has to be before

April 10, but that doesn't leave enough time to process the application materials and advertise the hearing.

So we've discussed this with the applicant, who has agreed to seek an extension to April 30 for the second public hearing. And the decision still needs to be filed within 90 days, which is May 1. So that deadline might also need to be addressed later on.

For now, this Extension Request is just for scheduling that second hearing.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Okay. Questions from Board members? Then is there a motion to agree to the

```
Extension of Time?
 1
 2
               STEVEN A. COHEN: Steve, so moved.
               LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Second.
 3
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Roll call vote?
 4
 5
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Roll call on that motion: Lou
    Bacci?
 6
 7
               LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Yes.
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: H Theodore Cohen?
 9
               H THEODORE COHEN: Yes.
10
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Steve Cohen?
11
               STEVEN A. COHEN: Yes.
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Tom Sieniewicz?
12
13
               TOM SIENIEWICZ: Yes.
14
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Hugh Russell?
15
               HUGH RUSSELL: Yes.
16
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Ashley Tan?
17
               ASHLEY TAN: Yes.
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: And Catherine Preston Connolly?
18
19
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Yes.
20
               [All vote YES]
21
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: That's all members voting in
22
    favor.
```

Page 13

```
1
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Great. All right.
2
     That brings us to the next item on the agenda.
 3
 4
     (6:41 p.m.)
5
     Sitting Members: Catherine Preston Connolly, Louis J.
                       Bacci, Jr., Steven A. Cohen, H Theodore
 6
 7
                       Cohen, Hugh Russell, Tom Sieniewicz, and
 8
                       Ashley Tan
 9
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Next item on the
     agenda, then: Design Review of the improvements to Lechmere
10
11
     Canal Park, associated with Case PB-364, a PUD special
    permit for the redevelopment at CambridgeSide site in East
12
13
    Cambridge.
14
               We'll begin with an update from CDD Staff, then an
15
    update from the applicant, followed by public comment, and
     then the Board will discuss the application.
16
17
    Our action is to grant or deny the request and I think this
18
     is just design approval, Daniel, is that correct?
19
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: That's correct. My apologies,
20
    Chair Connolly. It's Design Review approval this evening.
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Okay. So I just
21
22
    wanted to confirm. Our action, then, is to give design
```

approval or to request more -- additional information, should we require it, and continue the discussion to a future date.

So with that, I'm going to hand it over to Daniel, who will again bring us up to date with where we are procedurally.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you, Chair Connolly. As a reminder, this is part of the CambridgeSide Mall PUD special permit that was granted in 2021. The requirements of the special permit include improvements to Lechmere Canal Park, which is a public open space created during the original development of the Mall site and other sites around it.

Since the last meeting, the applicant has been working with City Staff on refining the proposal to be responsive to the Board's comments from the end of January.

And Erik Thorkildsen from Community Planning has been coordinating that review and is present to answer any questions the Board may have.

And just to be clear, the Board's action is to approve the design as shown, or to request additional changes or study.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Great. Thank you for

that, Daniel. All right.

I am going to turn things over to, I believe, John Twohig, who is representing the applicant. As usual, we ask you to be as concise as possible, but to introduce your project team and begin.

JOHN TWOHIG: Thank you, Vice Chair Connolly. For the record, my name is John Twohig. I'm with Brian Roessler from Elkus Manfredi; also Chris Jones from IBI, formerly Carol Johnson's group. Those are probably the folks you'll hear from tonight. And we have other members of our project team, in case there are any particular questions or the like.

So for tonight's meeting, a couple things -- and Swaathi, if you could go to the next slide -- just a little bit of introduction.

But I think our -- we're going to very briefly just touch on overview, just a reminder of sort of setting the stage of the key areas that we're focusing on. And then really Chris taking you through the key changes and clarifications that we made since the last meeting.

As an outgrowth of that meeting, discussions with Erik and other members of the Staff and -- you know, taking

in e-mails and other comments really to understand, you know, how best to move forward.

If we could go to the next slide of sort of the key improvement areas, you have seen a version of this before.

Just a reminder, really six areas of focus:

Number 1 really being the Mall edge. As you move around:

being on Thorndike; 3 on the lawn area; 4 back on the

playground, 5 in front of Thomas Graves' Landing, and then

6, which is really under the bridge.

But you'll see a few different 6s, because that's also, for example, where some of the art is, where the gazebo is, which is really -- you know, some of those, you know, I think, art installations and items.

So those are the -- again, this is just reminder, those are the key improvement areas.

If we go to the next slide?

I think from an improvement standpoint, this slide is helpful, because it really does focus us on the items that we've been looking at. So parkwide, you have lighting, landscaping, sort of furniture and fixtures, and then surface areas and materials. Those are the items of which,

you know, a lot of the questions and requests for clarifications came from.

Subset of those: The landmarks, gazebo and the art, the lawn, which is primarily accessibility, but we're going to talk a little bit about that. First, we'll expand on that, and then the playground -- accessibility and equipment.

So those are really the areas of the key improvements that Chris will walk you through.

As you move to the next slide -- and Daniel did a commentary on this -- just, again, as a reminder starting out on December 9 when we filed the initial Planning Board at the end of January, we took in comments, we took in a letter beforehand from Staff and then after, meeting with Erik and various other members of the team, coming up with a revised, incorporated set of materials.

Because I know one of the comments was, you know, we don't want to be -- we want one cohesive and comprehensive set. So that's what we tried to file on February 24.

And really tonight's goal is to go through those areas of primary focus that people had questions on.

1 So if we can go to the next slide, if we could --2 I'm going to turn it over to Chris in a minute. 3 He'll go through each one of these, but sort of 4 nine areas: The pathway in the materials, our 5 accessibility, the trees and plantings -- a lot of questions on, you know, could you be, you know, sure to give us some 6 7 more detail on that, the performance area, the tree, how does the grade change? 9 Bicycle and Bluebikes you're going to see, and hopefully you saw in the package, but Chris is going to talk 10 11 about our reorientation and shifting of the Bluebikes, for 12 example. 13 Play area features, which was focused on fencing 14 seating location ... 15 Art as Number 7. The lighting, both down and uplighting in the 16 17 bridge area. 18 And then the railing details to understand how we 19 could keep the essence of what's there by making it 20 compliant. So with that, if we could stay on this slide, I'll 21

turn it over to Chris, who will walk you through these

22

1 areas. Chris?

CHRIS JONES: Thanks, John. For the record, my name is Chris Jones with IBI Group, formerly Carol R.

Johnson Associates. As John mentioned, and as was highlighted, at our previous meeting, we received nine primary comments that needed clarification.

And the next series of slides focus on those comments received specifically trying to provide more detail and more clarity, so that you all as a group feel comfortable that we are moving in the right direction with materiality and detailing and finishes. So.

We can start with the biggest ticket on the next slide, which was the Lower Canal Walk Path. As you all recall, our proposal is for an integral, colored, bituminous concrete surface for the entirety of the lower path.

When I say, "integral colored concrete," bituminous concrete is poured in a two-part system with a binder course and a top course, otherwise known as a wearing course.

The design detail is that the binder course would be a traditional placement of a black bituminous asphalt that simply has a larger aggregate.

The wearing course on the top 1" profile would incorporate a finer aggregate and this pigmented, red additive that essentially transforms the black tar and bituminous into a dark red material.

And because it's integral as the surface is walked on and it's whether or not, you do not see a stripping away of that color.

There were some comments related to should we -what should the color be? Can we select the right color?
The lower right-hand corner, the manufacturer has three
different reds that they provide.

And in reviewing their product, the rust red in the 100-pound or the 80-pound blend seems to be the color that will get us closest to the weathered condition of the existing stone dust. It will go down initially as a darker product, because it's blended with bituminous.

But as it weathers, it will settle down to become more terracotta, more consistent with the colors that are there today. And it's why we selected that particular blend.

Next slide? I think there were some concerns or questions about, were we making any improvements to the ramp

and stair adjacent to the gazebo? We were updating all of the other ramps and stairs, and the answer is yes, we are.

We're regrading the ramp to ensure that it meets today's code, upgrading the rail profile so that it follows that new updated slope profile.

And then updating the -- refurbishing the rails for the stair itself -- all with, by using the existing handrail system so that we're consistent with the original design. And I'll touch on that on a later slide.

Next?

Hugh, I know you mentioned this specifically about, you know, well, if we're going to install new curbing, is it going to match existing? And the answer is absolutely.

Our intent -- and I sort of, maybe I brushed over it in the statement originally of saying that our goal is to make sure that it felt like it was always meant to be this way, and that was my way of saying that every detail, every design element -- finish material of curbing, brick, railings, granite steps, will all be built to the original detailing that was in the mylars.

That was one of the first things I did was pull

mylars out that Carolyn and Bill Taylor and John Gustavson and the whole team had drawn by hand and ensured that the detailing that we go forth with will match that exactly.

And that's little things like the curbed radii and radius on all of the -- all the granite, the split-face elements.

And this diagram illustrates in blue where new material has to occur, because of the reconstruction of the ramp and the stair, and where it would tie back to existing.

The green-dashed line represents the existing upper railing that will remain and be restored. And the pink that heads down the Canal represents where existing handrails will be refurbished.

Next slide is just the upper half -- oh, this is the planting, I'm sorry. Some comments about where new planting and existing planting occur. It's really simply aligned with where we have to make the most introduction of changes, which is at the ramp and stair at both Thorndike and the Mall entry. So the area in blue will be all new plantings.

Our proposal is to infuse a little bit of the existing, and then back it up with new natives that provide

some color and interest at these axial entries.

And then, in this lower right-hand corner image, you can see that over time some of the material has fallen away because either the shade increased and things did not survive, and somewhere along the way things like hosta were installed.

And those are not part of the original design. So where we had written, "selected infill," are in specific areas like this, where we would go back and pull out someone else nonnative elements and incorporate native shade-loving material to sort of refresh that, ultimately with the intent of knitting the old and the new together, so that it feels like a consistent surround that it was originally designed to be.

And we'll continue to work with CDD. I know Erik had some comments about specific species -- in particular trees.

Our original intent was to just use the existing palate of maples and things. But I think we're certainly open to discuss whether the introduction of some new thoughts on what the appropriate natives might be, and we'll work with Erik to continue fine-tuning that.

1 Next slide?

Oh, this is just the upper half of that. This is the limit of introduction of changes to the planting. All other parts of the shrub layer throughout the entire park are untouched and will remain as is, as well as the trees. So that is really the extent of where we are making introductions to new plantings.

Next slide?

Hugh, you mentioned the existing tree in the lawn.

And I don't think we illustrated it properly. So I created this diagram to help understand the intent for the leveling of the lawn.

The dashed red lines represent the existing contours that will be preserved.

And the solid red lines represent how we're actually lifting the grade and bringing the level quality of the lawn closer to the canal itself, not cutting the grade and leaving sort of a mound where the tree occurs, so that it really just blends gently from that tree elevation towards the canal.

And then we create some gentle, sort of 3:1 slopes that are comfortable to lay on and sort of forward-facing to

1 the canal itself.

The pink-dashed line represents along the curb line where we're taking the rail away and opening that for accessibility. In that location, we'll either refurbish, restore or replace the granite as needed for consistency. The green-dashed line represents the railing that will remain.

And the reason we did that -- and I know the reason Carol originally put this railing on this back edge, was to manage desire lines.

Without that green railing that curves at the end of Otis, there's the potential for people to create new path desire lines that would cross the lawn as you move towards the Canal. And this does just enough to kind of keep you on the path.

And it's also complimentary to the rail that's opposing the stair adjacent to the lawn and the slight ramp up. So we felt that was the appropriate piece to keep rail, and then remove the rest to open up the park.

Next slide?

The Bluebikes -- a lot of discussion about the areas that we studied. Some concerns about its visibility

from the Otis Street Alley Corridor. Our proposal and edits to that are to shift the bike station itself closer to 2 Canal.

We had originally placed it sort of central in that dimension between the face of the building and the edge of the lawn. But by shifting it slightly, we still create and provide ample circulation along 2 Canal, but we make a more generous pedestrian circulation against the Great Lawn and move it more out of that visual corridor.

We also are proposing to rotate it 180 degrees so that the bikes are accessed from the 2 Canal side and not from the Great Lawn side.

And you'll see that on -- the next image actually shows the study area that we explored. And this is just really a diagram to -- in yellow it highlights where the sun shines generally throughout the season.

We originally studied a location close to First Street. The problem is 1 Canal just provides too much shade into that corridor for that to function properly. And also, that location would cause the removal of benches.

And to be honest, you'll see it in a minute: It also is much more highly visible in that location than the

1 one proposed.

Lou, you asked us about opportunities for locating the Bluebike back in the active loading area. The active loading area is an active loading zone in dashed red for 2 Canal Park.

And the one opportunity we studied first was to push it up into that sunny zone where there's a small planting island. But you can see even with a reduced dimension that doesn't meet the 27-bike requirement, the Bluebikes Station would project into the active loading zone.

The other area you mentioned, Study Area 4, up against the back of the park is fully within the active loading zone. It's also where the entire array of dumpsters sits today for the loading and servicing of 2 Canal Park.

And the -- I highlighted the four large, mature trees that cast shadow over that entire edge.

So those are primarily where we studied. There were some massage spaces we studied as well between the Area 1 and Area 2, but ultimately Area 2 seemed to provide the best overall accommodations for accessibility in daylight.

And the next slide:

We created this visual, which is standing at First Street looking into the park. And you can see first and foremost the benches on the left in the foreground, where that first study area occurred is highly visible. It also would cause us to have to relocate and remove a lot of benches.

The interesting thing about this corridor is that it's heavily defined by the light poles in the trees that create the allay and the strong visual to the Nevergreen tree in the distance.

And you can see just in the distance the little blue box that represent the location of where that Bluebike dimension occurs, as we currently show it.

And we did that, so it helped to illustrate that it's really outside of the view corridor of this allay of trees that carries you all the way up into the park and hoped that that helped people understand that it was not a strong visual objection.

Next slide?

Some comments about the playground itself, specifically around seating and benches. But we also clarified a few additional details.

The playground as a larger entity needs to be secure. We incorporated a detail that works with the existing railing in place but incorporates an architectural mesh panel that would be mounted to the top and bottom rail, provided that secure perimeter, and then the incorporation of a new gated entry.

And you can see the detailing, the curbed granite coming in, and then the return curb of the top and bottom of the rail extending slightly into the space, and then the gate working from that last post.

From a bench seating standpoint, there are four benches highlighted in pink in the upper right within the playground. The one bench is immediately adjacent the entry exit where the red dot occurs.

And the two green benches, one that's visible in this image, and one just to the outside of the image, are just outside the gate. And I think that was another concern of having a bench close to the entry exit both inside and outside.

Next slide?

We touched on art before and we're still pretty much in the same -- using the same approach of restoring and

refreshing the historic etchings -- graphics within the gazebo, as well as the lighting; refreshing the Nevergreen tree, lighting the fountain, and then working with Cambridge Arts on the -- under the bridge near all our graphic that occurs there.

Next slide?

A question that came up about the lighting itself:

I don't think it was clear in our graphic, but the section
on the right shows you the profile of the strip lighting.

It's actually a boxed element with uplighting and
downlighting.

So we're not only casting light onto the overhead beams of the -- but we're washing the wall itself, all with the intent of highly improving the safety and sense of security within the space, but also a way to light the future graphic that would occur on this wall.

Next slide?

And then Hugh this was another one you touched on, and I apologize. The last graphic was really intent, but it wasn't exact. And it was -- it was always our intention to reuse the existing post locations of the -- the railing that occurs at Thomas Graves' Landing.

The challenge with this railing is that the existing railing and the proportions of the top rail to the bottom rail and the top rail height is 36". And to be a guardrail it has to rise up to the 42". And so, that changes the proportions.

At the same time, we have to ensure that all of the openings aren't greater than 4". So the challenge in the updates that we made were to raise the top rail, slightly lower the bottom rail, so we have a larger proportion, but generally trying to keep a similar ratio to create the 42" height that we need, and then incorporating the horizontal railing that would provide the 4" opening enclosure that meets guardrail.

And in the lower image on the lower right, there was one existing rail -- post -- that is very close to the corner in the original detail that felt very strange to us. So we eliminate that post, and we core one new post to create equal spacing. And that one location would have to be repaired.

The remainder of it -- and this is true on both ends, so there's two locations in total that have to be repaired -- the remainder would all use existing post

1 locations on the existing wall.

And then next slide?

Just as an informative: The way we're treating the existing rails on the stairs that don't meet code because it's not a continuous grab surface is that we'll modify the post where all the post connections meet the top rail by incorporating a sort of a gap and a riser connection piece that will be attached to allow the existing rail, top rail, to be continuous.

And then the only modification we have to make is to basically cut off the end return and give it a slight extension so it meets today's code.

So it's essentially a reuse of the existing rail in its entirety, with slight modifications so that if you squinted or you walked by, hopefully you'll think that it was the original design that was always there, but it meets today's code.

Next slide?

And I'll hand it back to John.

JOHN TWOHIG: Thank you, Chris. Just very quickly, Vice Chair Connolly, just two final things: As far as schedule, I mean, our great hope is to be able to do this

work this summer, really starting in the spring so we can move forward with the Design Review. That would be our goal.

If we can move to the next slide, Swaathi, just -you know, really just concluding -- I mean, we think this is
consistent. We hope you agree that we try to be responsive
to the comments, both your comments and the comments from
Staff and the public.

And certainly, we've read the most recent comment letter, and there clearly is a call for continuing coordination with Staff on play equipment and landscaping and art, all of which as we have done in the past we would continue to.

So we'll stop there. I'll turn it back to you,
Vice Chair Connolly. Our whole team is here to answer any
questions, and we appreciate the time.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: All right. Thank you so much. We will now actually move to public comment. This is not a public hearing, but the Board takes public comments for these kind of Design Review items.

Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the button that says, "Raise hand." And if you're

calling in by phone, you raise your hand by pressing \*9.

And as of 5:00 p.m. yesterday, the Board had

received written communications on this case from Marlene
Lundberg and Fred Fantini. Written communications received
after 5:00 p.m. yesterday will be entered into the record.

I will now ask Staff to unmute speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will confirm that we can hear you. After that, you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: It looks like our first speaker is Sharon Zimmerman, followed by Marie Saccoccio. Sharon, please begin by giving your name and address.

SHARON ZIMMERMAN: Hi. Sharon Zimmerman. I am the Executive Director of Cambridge Camping Association at 99 Bishop Allen Drive in Cambridge. Just want to do an audio check. Can you hear me?

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Yes, please proceed.

SHARON ZIMMERMAN: Okay. So Cambridge Camping has been providing Youth Services in Cambridge for over -- almost 130 years.

And what I'm really here to speak about isn't

about the design and the things that were just previously explained; I'm here to really just put in a word about CambridgeSide and working with them as a community partner, and how they prioritize and make decisions and take into consideration the needs of the public.

So I actually have -- so Cambridge Camping's been working with CambridgeSide for almost eight years now. And I have a whole list.

While I was listening to the presentation, I was looking at this list, and I was going to read off these 10 things that New England Development and CambridgeSide have done as an amazing community partner to our very small and scrappy non-profit.

And it's not worth -- it's kind of boring the details, I guess. So I decided I'm not going to read them off. But I'm looking at them, and it's like so impressive. They -- they actually have between cash and in-kind services so our little, like, what's eight years, seven years ago, eight years ago was a half-million-dollar organization, they gave over \$200,000 to us. And that trickles out into the community.

And we have worked closely with them on probably

eight or nine, maybe even 10 projects over that time, and I can just say that they -- they deal with every detail, they address every detail, every concern.

They're thoughtful. They take into consideration all the constituents' best interests. They work really hard to solve issues. They listen. I could never ask for a better community partner, and especially as a prospect or community partner.

So I believe, you know, -- again, I can't talk about the specifics that were just presented, but I believe that their -- in their integrity and their willingness to see this through in the best way possible and make changes.

And so I wholeheartedly support this presentation. Thanks.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you. The next speaker is Marie Saccoccio followed by Robert Mack. Marie, please begin by unmuting yourself and giving your name and address.

MARIE ELENA SACCOCCIO: Marie Elena Saccoccio, 55
Otis Street in Cambridge. I did submit today, but I guess
it was after the 5:00 deadline from yesterday, so hopefully
people did get to read somewhat.

I'm a lifelong resident, and I was part of the

originally appointed City Committee that oversaw redevelopment of Lechmere Canal Waterfront and the municipal parking garage.

It was always to be seen -- Canal Park -- in the context of a system of parks: Centanni Park, the Canal Park, and Charles Park. So you can't just excise one and view it in isolation.

So I'm really happy with New England Development's responsiveness, because they've come a long way from the original suggested plan. But there are a few things that concern me.

And one is the Bluebike location still. I realize there is a modification to move it back on Otis Way, but it's still clearly going to be visible. So when you look at that prism and you're in Centanni Park, you're going to see the prism and you're going to see all the bike paraphernalia.

There is -- there's definitely room where you're focusing on that loading zone for 2 Canal. It's a huge area and the City owns it. I checked at the Registry of Deeds, and I checked on Planning Board documents from back when the easement was originally given. They got a variance for the

1 loading zone; they got an easement only for accessing that
2 loading zone. There's a massive area back there.

All of a sudden, I don't know at what point, but their trash receptacles line up inches away from the top lot. In fact, I took pictures, and I sent them to John Twohig to show him.

I mean, why would I bring my child there if it's just up against essentially a dump? I mean, between the exhaust from the cars, the noise from the cars, and the trash receptacles, it ruins any kind of experience that you would expect out of a tot lot.

Anyway, I wish that people would revisit. There's an easement for 2 Canal, but only for access to their loading zone. It's massive area back there -- plenty of room for a Bluebikes station, cargo bikes, easy access from Cambridge Street.

Also, I'm still concerned about the covering for the pathway that immediately surrounds the canal, which now has the -- what we call the "pink dust." People like to use fancy terms like, "bituminous" but, you know, in the end we learned that's essentially hardtop. I still --

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Ms. Saccoccio, can

you please wrap up?

MARIE ELENA SACCOCCIO: I still prefer the original plan. The pink dust has not been tended to by New England Development for over a year; I have the pictures from it, so I know how long it's been. Thank you very much.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Thank you.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: The next speaker is Robert Mack, followed by George Sommer. Robert, please begin by unmuting yourself and giving your name and address.

ROBERT MACK: Yes. Robert Mack, 4 Canal Park. I really wanted to speak today just to -- as a critic of the project -- a supportive critic, I hope they would agree -- right through the process. I feel they have responded to the questions I've raised.

I know they've made some decisions that some people are not completely happy with, but I personally feel that they have been responsive, and that they have met the concerns that I've been raising with them, and I wanted to express my support or approval tonight of the project as being presented.

I think it is important that they are able to get started in the spring, hopefully get finished this year.

And that's all I have to say. Thank you.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you. The next speaker is George Sommer, followed by Marlene Lundberg. George, please begin by giving your name and address.

GEORGE SOMMER: My name is George Sommer, and I'm at 29 Otis Street, just about a block away from the CambridgeSide Development. This evening I'm going to make my comments, and then I have comments of two other people who couldn't make it tonight.

First, I would like to support -- convey my support for this project. I urge the Planning Board to approve New England Development's excellent design plan for Canal Park improvements. As everyone in Cambridge and East Cambridge knows, the CambridgeSide Development is crucial for this area. Lechmere Canal Mall, office space and adjourning affordable housing are essential to continue the diverse and attractive atmosphere the project offers.

This area is already a great place, and the Development will bring it to a new and extraordinary level.

Finally, very important are the community benefits that will be received, including [indiscernible] contributions to the East End House [indiscernible] which

has been all -- been received. Let's get this done." 1 2 I have comments also from Joseph Capalbo who is 3 the General Manager of the Kimpton Marlowe Hotel. He could 4 not make it this evening. And he writes, "As General Manager of the Marlowe Hotel, I want 5 6 to again express my strong support to any development 7 submission for the Canal Park improvements. 8 "The design proposed for the Canal improvements as 9 they apply to pathway materials, accessibility, tree plantings, play areas and other details enhance greatly the 10 11 safety and look of the Canal. 12 "As area Business Manager for CambridgeSide, these 13 improvements are proposed -- are needed, and will benefit 14 the area." 15 Second, I have comments from Jim Spencer, who is on the Cambridge Planning Board. 16 17 "I want to express my ongoing support for proposed 18 Canal Park improvements. I'm a Cambridge neighbor and President of the Cambridge Black History Project. I am 19 20 unable to -- cannot make it tonight. "New England Development has done an excellent job 21 22 in designing these improvements, closely following input

```
from City officials, area residents, and the public at
1
2
     large.
3
               "I have supported this project from the start and
4
     ask tonight that you approve the design for the Canal Park
5
     improvements. They are needed and will benefit [connection
     interferencel.
 6
7
               "Finally, [connection interference] --"
8
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: George, I think you are breaking
9
    up a little bit. Your connection is kind of coming in and
10
    out.
11
               GEORGE SOMMER: Oh. [Connection interference]
    made his comments and [connection interference] Cambridge
12
13
    School Board has also made comments and has sent them in by
14
    mail.
15
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Okay.
16
               GEORGE SOMMER: Thank you.
17
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you. The next speaker is
18
    Marlene Lundberg, followed by Heather Hoffman. Marlene,
19
    please begin by unmuting yourself and giving your name and
20
    address.
               MARLENE LUNDBERG: Marlene Lundberg, 4 Canal Park.
21
22
     I am grateful to NED for the many desirable proposals
```

they've made for the Park.

I do have a couple of concerns, one regarding the depth of the surfacing on the Lower Canal Path, and the other the color of the surface.

First, I didn't realize until tonight that only one inch was being proposed for the top, reddish-colored layer. And all the research I've done, it is suggested to be a minimum of two inches and recommended three inches. So I'm not sure that's thick enough.

Secondly, the plan is to use regular aggregate, which may be black, brown, gray, I don't know what color it will be, and try to cover that -- it will be covered with black bitumen or the bonding agent, and also with a reddish pigment.

But I fear that that is going to result in a very dark color to begin, and that dark color could act like blacktop in absorbing the sun's rays. Blacktop is -- often gets much hotter than the surrounding air. For example, if the temperature is 87 degrees Fahrenheit, the blacktop can be 143 degrees Fahrenheit.

So that's a concern, because it would be too hot for elderly -- well, most people, and it would burn dogs'

1 paws.

So accordingly, instead of the usual dark aggregate, it seems like we should have a terra-cotta-colored aggregate. First, that would make the color lighter, and therefore cooler, because it would be reflecting the sun's rays.

And secondly, if the original pigment fades, it's going to be —— the path is going to be more gray and show more of the blacktop and also the whatever color the aggregate is.

So it would be better to just have red aggregate also. And that way, we would have a more accurate color, a more permanent color, and most of all a more sun reflective color that would help keep the path cooler and safer for people and pets.

Thank you.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you. The next speaker is Heather Hoffman, followed by Peter Crawley. Heather, please begin by unmuting yourself and giving your name and address.

HEATHER HOFFMAN: Hello. Heather Hoffman, 213

Hurley Street. Well, like Marie, I've been around long

enough -- not as long as she has, but -- long enough to have

seen this when it all started out. And I am very glad that the design has come back much closer to what we started with.

There's an American tendency to go for circus and excitement and all that, and as a member of the Open-Air Circus, I'm in favor of circuses; however, it is nice to have contemplative parks. And this park as it is right now attracting lots of people. And I would like to keep it that way.

So I am pleased that we have toned down the changes. I am always amused that everything in Cambridge is billed as an improvement, so that I suppose you aren't allowed to think otherwise. I would like it to be simply a bringing back.

One of the things that I care about is to have the path continue to look more or less like the path we have now. I understand that the choice of stone dust with a monetary choice at the beginning, but as it turns out, it fits in and gives a really nice feel to that path.

So I hope that what we get looks like that and does not look like a running track or the hideous stuff that the City decided to put instead of my sidewalk in front of

1 my house.

I am slightly concerned about lighting. One of the things that is an issue in a place like this with the annual Caring Run and other wildlife is that if it's too light, we interfere with the health and welfare of the other critters -- plants and animals -- that we share this area with. So I hope that this will be lighting that is enough for safety and stop there.

And I have -- I am thrilled that the gazebo is being restored. That is a fantastic thing. And I hope that the art under the bridge will -- will be more of the same.

Thank you very much.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you. The next speaker is Peter Crawley, followed by Charles Hinds. Peter, please begin by giving your name and address.

PETER CRAWLEY: Yes. Hello, my name is Peter
Crawley. I live at 88 Thorndike Street about three blocks
from the Park.

My family probably uses the Park on average once a day to walk to and from the River, and it's a really lovely and very important connector from the neighborhood to the Revere. So I'm delighted that it's been refreshed. It had

gotten quite tired, and this comprehensive refresh is really welcome.

I remember seeing the first design presented by NED. And it -- to Heather's point, it was quite sleek and modern and a little cold, in my opinion and didn't really respect the Carol Johnson design deeply.

And I -- I agree with many of the prior speakers that the changes NED and the landscape designer have made to kind of become more aligned with the look and feel and the organic textures of the original design are a big improvement, and make residents feel comfortable there.

So I want to applaud the revisions along the way and the level of listening and responsiveness of New England Development.

There are two features that I'll specifically mention that I think are going to be big improvements: The playground area. Having raised a family not far away but feeling that that playground was never really comfortable and attractive. It gets a lot of noise from Monsignor O'Brien Highway -- is it McGrath or Monsignor O'Brien Highway there?

So better screening, green screening there would

be excellent. Better play structures. More benches for 1 2 parents, which is see are being added, so I applaud that. 3 And then the underpass. The underpass has always been a little bit -- you know, scary at night. So I applaud 4 the lighting and the kind of embrace of some art, even if 5 it's a graffiti alley there. 6 7 One recommendation I would have is I don't know if 8 we're installing any, like, emergency alarm pulls in the 9 park at all, but near the underpass might be a good location for something like that. 10 11 And lastly, I would just say that I agree that New 12 England Development's been an excellent community partner. They're a developer you can take for their word, and I would 13 14 love to see this project finished as soon as possible. 15 So thank you for granting Design Review approval. 16 Thank you. 17 DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you. And the last speaker is Charles Hinds. Charles, please unmute yourself and give 18 19 your name and address. 20 CHARLES HINDS: Hi. My name is Charles Hinds. 21 live at 207.5 Charles Street, East Cambridge. I'm also a

President of the East Cambridge Planning Team, which I am

22

here to speak on their behalf.

First of all, I'd like to thank New England

Development for the great job with community outreach and

being responsive to neighborhood concerns. ECPT and New

England Development have had a great partnership since the

original Mall design, and they're a great friend to the

neighborhood.

Having said that, ECPT still prefers the stone dust. And I'd just like to clarify a few things about stone dust I've heard that aren't necessarily accurate.

Mandicapped accessibility: Stone dust is a material accepted by the United States Forest Service as accessible for wheelchairs, strollers, et cetera when properly installed with a drainage system and maintained -- the Guidelines U.S. Forestry Outdoor Recreational Accessibility Guidelines, which the state of Massachusetts also use when designing paths.

Plowability: Equipment is available to clear off the stone dust, and it uses a rotating barrel-shaped brush, and it brushes off instead of digging into the stone dust.

And drainage: There are passive drainage systems that can be designed for stone dust. What it is is a couple

of layers of different -sized gravel below the stone dust to allow the stone dust to percolate and not puddle.

And I did notice on the handicapped ramp in front of the -- at the rear entrance of the Galleria the drain at the end is clogged. All the vents are clogged on it, so all that water going down the handicap ramp I believe is starting across the pond.

Now, the path itself: I think a decision needs to be made if the path is a pedestrian walkway or a bicycle raceway. A Multi-Use Path requires a 14'-width and the current path is 10'-width. The path is on the bicycle plan, meaning that anywhere a bicycle is allowed -- the electric skateboards and other motorized vehicles are allowed -- changing from the stone dust to hard asphalt will increase the speed of these vehicles and increase the speed of bicycles.

In the presentation, we didn't see any bicycles shown on the path, but we saw families and some other people there.

Where I like about the -- what we like about the stone dust is there's traffic coming from bicycles, especially the motorized vehicles like skateboards and

```
Ebikes: It kind of warns of approaching bikes, because you
1
 2
     can hear the crunching noise of the stone dust. And we have
 3
     some site members of ECPT, they like that noise, so they can
 4
    hear something coming.
 5
               The stone dust is integrated, natural material.
 6
     Like, it gives it a nature walk kind of aspect to the park.
7
    And the stone dust can be easily colored for a terra-cotta
8
     design.
9
               Now, the -- okay, I'll stop there. I think I've
     said everything I need to say. Thank you.
10
11
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you. Chair Connolly, that
12
     concludes the speakers on the list, so I will turn it back
     to you.
13
14
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: All right. Thank you
15
    very much, Daniel. So now we will turn to Board discussion.
    Who -- Lou, you want to kick us off?
16
17
               LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Yes. These are all along
18
     the lines of questions about the stone dust path and so
     forth. So getting to the asphalt walkway: Will it have --
19
20
     I think my neighbors are correct: Without colored
21
    aggregate, this will be an exposed aggregate walkway very
```

shortly, exposing the color of the stone very quickly,

22

especially with the snow removal equipment.

So the binder will be a red color, but I'm not -I don't know if we're going to be using a matching color
stone. I'd like to have that answer.

And if not, what's the maintenance procedure? Do we recoat this every couple of years as it turns into a -- kind of an exposed aggregate walkway. It's going to change the color of this surface considerably, depending on what the stone color is as it wears.

My other concern -- and that -- going back the loading area to the north side of the playground, I thought I remembered hearing that this was a City-owned property with an easement.

And I've been keeping an eye on it every day on my way home. And it doesn't seem appropriate that this would be -- this playground would be boarded by trash receptacles this as far as I can tell by the easement have no right to be there.

Putting the Bluebikes station in that location, I know there is some problem with shading, but why can't we remotely mount the PV for that Bluebikes station to a sunnier spot? I don't understand why they have to be

integral. I see if we can get some explanation for that.

This area basically is a parking area for contractors -- some access to the building -- and a trash storage area. Quite a bit of wildlife in those dumpsters. They're overflowing every day. It's just inappropriate at the playground. It would be a better use for the Bluebikes Station, I think.

On the handrails on the stairs: Reducing the diameter below that rail: What kind of a reduction are we talking about? Is that going to create a weak spot that's going to be a maintenance issue? Are these rails going to suffer from fatigue? I would have a concern from there, especially they do get some use by skateboarders. So I'm curious about those three issues, really.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Okay. Thanks, Lou. Those are good questions. Let's take any other similar questions from Planning Board members so that we can then turn to the proponent and have them address those kinds of questions before we move on for more general comments and discussion on Planning Board action, if there are any other such questions.

Okay. Seeing none, then let me pose Lou's

```
questions to the proponent. He raised a number of good ones
1
 2
     that would be helpful for us to have answers to. Can
 3
     someone on the proponent team speak to those questions about
 4
    both the aggregate, the trash receptacles and the tot lot
     and the Bluebikes location?
 5
 6
               JOHN TWOHIG: Yeah. And thank you, Chair
    Connolly. I'm going to -- I should have broken them down
7
     into walkway, Bluebikes and handrails. But I think that's -
 9
     - all of which, you know, we spent a long time on the
    Bluebikes.
10
11
               So I think I'd start -- and maybe Chris Jones, if
12
     you could start with the walkway, you know, we have some
13
     other -- you know, materials that we could show you on color
14
     issue, but Chris, why don't you start with that issue?
15
               CHRIS JONES: Yeah, the issue of the aggregate --
16
     and maybe we should show that image, but we felt that the
17
     aggregate in an asphalt product or in a typical pathway is a
     gray aggregate.
18
               And it's -- it's -- it's altered or colored or
19
20
     infused with the bitumen, which makes it black for a period
```

You can start on the other slide. I put that

And then ultimately, it begins to fade.

of time.

21

other -- you can bring that one up first. I found some original details -- photographs that -- from our archives that show the original red stone dust and its -- its variation in color from red brick.

And you can see it's almost sort of a dusty rose, purple color, because it's a granite product. It's a polarized granite that is reddish, essentially, from row stone.

It's not terra-cotta -- even close to terra-cotta color. And that's not necessarily a good or a bad thing. I mean, I think it could certainly be a little more terracotta. All of the intent of highlighting that this is a -- you know, a pedestrian zone. It's not your typical bituminous, black bituminous surface.

But in terms of the aggregate, the aggregate's gray. The pigmentation if you go to the next slide...

Is essentially turning the black bitumen reddish.

And at install, it's a very dark red color. But you can see in the image on the left, their product as it weathers in the period of one year really starts to move into that terra-cotta color, and it's essentially encapsulating the aggregate, the gray aggregate.

And because it's not a matter roadway, the wearing on the surface is far less significant. And the notion that it will become a gray walkway over time is probably not as likely as it would be if it was a good black roadway condition.

It will fade, and this is the example I wanted you all to see of how it will fade from install to the one-year-mark to the one-year moment of weathering. And the image below shows you what it looks like in the batch plan when it's ready for install.

So we felt pretty comfortable that -- in talking to the manufacturer as well that it's not critical that the aggregate be red.

The red color of the aggregate would probably be a Dolomite product that would be more terra-cotta in color and less like the original stone dust granite color that you saw in the previous image. So that's why we felt comfortable that the pigmentation in that top one-inch wearing course would be adequate.

In regard to the handrail, Lou, we've done this detail over the years. It's -- it's reducing that inch-and-a-half profile of the post down to about a three-quarter-

inch, fully welded condition. So it's a pretty durable full seam weld condition. It makes the connection, but then,
like I said, achieves that continuous rail requirement that
we need on the top rail.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Lou, did you want to ask a follow-up?

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Yes, I have follow-up. I'm glad that you're only reducing it to three-quarters. And I think that will be adequate on the handrail.

But I do have to raise more concerns. The wearing surface on this -- on this walkway that will be used by bicycles I guess at some point: The wearing is all kind of concentrated.

Plus the fact that this will be -- I would think mechanically snow removal, either with brushes or with a small machine or so forth. Any scratching, any abrasion at all will expose the aggregate and make this a multicolored walkway.

And I don't know if that's what everyone expects.

I don't think it will be very long before the aggregate is fully exposed, and the binder is left around it to show the red color and we have a gray and black aggregate showing

1 through.

I'm not convinced, I've done too much of this work myself to really think that this is an appropriate matrix without a colored aggregate.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Okay. So we hit on the handrails and the aggregate, and we can discuss that further in Planning Board discussion. We -- I think we still wanted feedback on the tot lot/Bluebikes location.

CHRIS JONES: John, do you want that?

JOHN TWOHIG: Well, I mean a couple things on the Bluebikes location. I mean, I understand the Bluebikes discussion has been in coordination with the Bluebikes supplier as well as, you know, the Committees and the City about location appropriateness.

Also our ability to be able to place a Bluebikes location in an area that is -- and it may be by easement, as opposed to being owned at fee but for which others have rights to of access and loading and storage -- remembering this is a public park.

We don't have the right to be able to say to someone else who holds an easement on a neighboring property, you know, "This is what we're going to do" that's

outside of the Canal Park proper. I mean, we don't disagree.

I mean, we're going to spend a lot of money on creating a -a park and a play area with new equipment, with benches,

So certainly we care very much about, you know, what's on the other side of that and how to improve it.

with new landscaping, with new fencing.

I have to say that, you know, it's somewhat dependent upon the City if people are going beyond the scope of the easement that's been granted to them or want to try to enforce, you know, how they maintain it. I mean, that's not something that you have a right to do or could do.

We did spend the time trying to figure out if we could, you know, assuming we could somehow get permission to be able to do it and there wasn't any way in the Bluebikes Station to be able to get the number of Bluebikes or to get the sunlight that is required.

And again, that was working with the Bluebikes operator in that area, which is why we defaulted to keeping it back, moving it and reorienting it and trying to limit its visibility, to try to be responsive to the comments — you know, don't have it in the middle of the park. Try to make it so it doesn't impact, you know, benches and other

1 areas.

And I'd say to you, Lou, you know, we tried. And we looked at it. We looked at it. And Chris showed, you know, four different options. We looked at others historically and the ones that -- quite honestly City Staff said -- you know, recommended, supported and would agree with that is the preferred location that we're showing.

And I don't know how to move forward on an area that we don't control, doesn't have sufficient area, is subject to the rights of others for their loading and activities.

Again, we were totally with you on the idea of, what happens on that space as it relates to the adjacency to Canal Park? It's just not in our purview to, you know, tell them that they can't do that. We don't -- we didn't grant them the easement and we don't have enforcement powers.

So, you know, that by way of sort of summary.

It's not the answer you're looking for, I know, but it is sort of where we're at on that issue.

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: I understand it's not really all your issue. But I thought from our last meeting we would have gotten some more input from the City, and also, I

1 have to respond to my neighbors' request.

There's a lot of really well-meaning people in the neighborhood who are trying to get this resolved, but -- and I hate to hang you up on it. But it's really in a more appropriate location.

And I -- and maybe I can't put the Bluebikes completely on you, but I don't know why this wasn't researched before we did this.

JOHN TWOHIG: Well, can I respond to that through you, Madam Vice Chair?

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Sure.

JOHN TWOHIG: The other reason that we're -- you know, putting aside the legal issue, the accept issue, the ownership and easement rights, is when working with the Bluebikes people they wouldn't not approve it because of lack of size and lack of sun.

So -- and again, I know that's not the answer that you're looking for, but we sort of defaulted in that it was not acceptable, and they wouldn't approve it. So it wasn't that we didn't try to present it.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: So -- so I'm going to -- Lou, Iram is asking to weigh in here. So why don't --

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: I was going to say the City has some weight in this issue, considering they're one of the owners of Bluebikes.

IRAM FAROOQ: So thank you, Chair. Iram Farooq, CDD. I just wanted to say, then Lou, that there are multiple constraints with regard to Bluebikes siting.

And in addition to the square footage on the ground being available, there are these constraints that relate to the functionality. Because they are, for instance, solar-powered and they need to have sufficient sunlight in order for the station to function.

There also needs to be enough space for people to be able to -- to be able to take the bicycles in and out safely.

So I am -- I have to admit that I have not looked into this specific siting issue in detail. It is possible that somebody else on Staff has, and Daniel may have some additional light to shed.

We can take a look at that, but I would say that it would be -- if everything else is to the Board's satisfaction, I would say that the Board could direct us to take just a closer look at the issue in terms of the siting,

and you can make a decision on the rest of the Design

Review, and then Staff are of course committed to looking

into feasibility of additional spaces.

And there are, of course, it sounds like the legal constraints around the easement on the site as well.

So we will certainly take a look into that. But I did want to see if Daniel had any more specific information on this.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thanks, Iram. I hate to catch the football and immediately pass it, but I was -- I know Erik Thorkildsen has been sort of working closely with the developers and other City Staff on this project.

So Erik, I'm not sure if you have any additional information or insight that you could provide on this particular site element, but I'll pass it to you.

ERIK THORKILDSEN: No, not really. We talked with the bike experts at -- within the CDD. And this seemed a sensible location for it.

But we could certainly, as Iram suggested, you know, take a closer look at options and feasibility. There would be definitely things that would have to be addressed if it were in that other location.

IRAM FAROOQ: So we will -- Chair, in that case what we can commit to doing is having the conversation with the relevant folks who have the greater expertise on the siting decisions for Bluebikes.

We will -- I would suggest that the Board assume that this is the site, since this is the location, since folks have the relevant Staff have looked carefully at it for Erik's discussion, his comments.

But we will push on that issue given the testimony and the concerns that have been raised today and see if it is feasible to move to a different location. But I just want everybody to know that I might not be, and I just don't want to -- wouldn't want to hold up the project for something that is not in their control.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Okay. Thank you,

Iram and Daniel for -- and Erik for all that kind of

background information on Staff discussions. I think Iram

is right that we should assume that that is a location that

from Staff's point of view works best with the available

locations, which is not to say it's by any means ideal, but

we could -- I might recommend that in our decision we -
should we decide to advance approval here that we have some

greater flexibility than we would usually to relocate the Bluebikes Station if it better achieves the goals as we've clearly articulated tonight.

So that would be one way to handle it, but that assumes that there are not other things to handle.

So let's turn to some of those other things and get, you know, comments from other Planning Board members, since we've kind of exhausted the questions, I think that the applicant can address for us tonight.

Hugh?

HUGH RUSSELL: Thank you. Swaathi, could you put up that graphic I sent you on the screen?

[Pause]

Great. Thank you. As a preface, I will say that every design question I had has been answered, and from my point of view, they are the right answers and correct answers. And I'm very pleased by the revisions that have been made.

This particular diagram I comment about was not addressed. Really, it's page 62 of the document that was sent to us. and it's called, "The Bicycle Circulation Diagram."

And if you look on the legend, there's a dotted green line that says, "The Cambridge Bicycle Plan Off-Street Path." Now I believe that dotted green line is in fact a representation of the Cambridge Bicycle Plan. However, you can't ride a bicycle over it.

And I'm going to point out -- and -- a), and b), a new bicycle route was constructed along the street between the edge of park and the river, and that doesn't show on this plan, because it wasn't there when the -- this plan is about a decade old -- it wasn't done that way a decade ago.

So if you look in the lower right-hand corner, you'll see out in Land Boulevard there's a note that says, "Bike Lane." There's a new bike lane that goes a whole length of the street, and it's an asphalt bike lane. And it's clearly -- that's the place bicycles ought to be.

So the bicycle circulation should show that as the place bikes ought to be. Now, right next to it the -- the green dotted line turns and runs parallel to Land Boulevard to get down to the Canal elevation. And there are two flights of stairs in that path. I would not advise any bicyclist to try to do that on their bicycle.

And frankly, I don't think a bicycle plan that

requires people to get off and carry their bicycle down a flight of stairs is a good bicycle plan.

So what I'm worried about is that this whole diagram somehow gets again perpetrated with their mistakes. So the other mistakes are up at the connections to First Street. If you're going to ride a bike, you can't ride -- there are stairs in the places that are shown where I've circled one of them. But there's a stair on the other side.

What you have to do if you want to ride your bike there is on the outside of the canal, you go up the ramp by the gazebo, come across and connect in with the way you're going to go. It's perfectly -- it works fine as long as there's no pedestrian on that particular rampway. But --

And then on the -- getting up on the Thorndike

Street Connector, there's a new ramp being built in that

location. The present ramp is -- you really can't do on a

bicycle. I've tried. The new one's going to be wider.

It's a terrible idea to have bicycles there. I think it's a

terrible idea to have this be a link in the City Bicycle

Plan. This is a pedestrian area.

So I would like to get this drawing -- I would like to at least have it corrected so it shows where a

bicycle can actually safely travel, irrespective of whether they have to share it with a pedestrian.

I mean, I ride my bicycle in pedestrian areas when there is no better or safer option. And I ride slowly, and I try to not scare pedestrians. And there are just places where you have to do that.

You don't have to do that here. You know, there are other ways to get from the bike path on the river to where you actually want to go, which is actually over the bridge.

Now, if you want to -- if you happen to want to go shopping and this is the way you want to go, well that's fine. You can do that. You should be slowed down, and there should be keys and signals that you're in a pedestrian area.

That's one reason why I've always preferred the stone dust. I -- my understanding is this that the City does not think that is an appropriate material for other people in wheeled vehicles -- chairs, et cetera.

So, you know, if that's -- if it's got to be the way it's -- it's got to be something hard, I'm not going to stop this, I'm not going to draw a line in the sand, but I

really wish you would take a Charles Hinds approach. So those are my comments.

I mean, I'm -- I think the spirit of restoration of an iconic landscape that works really very well. It's just wonderful. And I really appreciate that's the way this project is going.

CHRIS JONES: Hugh, we can certainly update the diagram. We weren't -- I apologize, we weren't exacting with the flow, it was within the boundaries. We were highlighting the generally observed movements from First Street in from Thorndike and Otis and down. But we can update that, certainly.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Okay. Tom?

TOM SIENIEWICZ: Thank you, Madam Vice Chair. I have very little to add, other than a story. I once restored a Victorian house, and the owner wanted to paint it blue. And Charlie Sullivan at the Historic Commission said, "Well, that's inappropriate. It's supposed to be painted the color of dark gray stone, because that's what those houses mimic."

But he said, "Paint it any color the owner wants, because it's not irreversible. You can -- when an owner

with the right sensibility comes, we'll paint it gray."

And so, to some degree, that -- I'm very much a proponent. I'd prefer the stone dust on these pathways, but I'm also in my mind thinking, "Well, is the irreversible?"

You know, the next half a generation, when we need to restore these pathways, may have the opportunity to return to them to the dust or, you know, we can very quickly find, as Lou has predicted, that they wear in an unfortunate way and we could have this discussion sooner rather than later.

I agree with my fellow Board member Mr. Russell that the proponent has listened extremely carefully to the feedback that we gave them, and the community has given them, and that the spirit of this restoration, which captures and reinforces what is a beloved open space at considerable expense and care is very much appreciated by this Board member and I think by the community at large.

So that's where I am. I'm very anxious to see this put into place.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Okay. Thank you,

Tom. Other comments from Board members? All right. Seeing

none, I'm going to summarize what I have heard, in that we

Page 71

```
are very appreciative of the design responses that the team
1
 2
    has done and the general direction of the design.
 3
               We are anxious to see the improvements put into
 4
    place and kind of the one detail we particularly want
 5
     further work on with Staff is to see if there can be a
    better solution to the Bluebikes location that works both
 6
7
     for the operator and for the tot lot.
               But we can allow Staff to work with the proponents
 9
     and the surrounding property owners and frankly the City's
10
     Parks Department on what that solution might be.
11
               Is there -- are there Board members who are
12
    uncomfortable with that as our conclusion to the Design
    Review that would involve approving the design?
13
14
               [Pause]
15
               Okay. Then is there a motion to grant the Design
    Review approval subject to the continuing Design Review by
16
17
     Staff, consistent with those comments? Yeah, that's it.
18
               STEVEN A. COHEN: So moved.
19
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Thank you, Steve.
                                                                Ιs
20
     there a second?
21
               H THEODORE COHEN: Second.
22
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Ted got in there
```

```
first. So I'll add Ted second. Can we get a roll call vote
1
2
    on that?
 3
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Roll call on that motion: Lou
    Bacci?
 4
5
              LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: I don't think there's enough
 6
    to hold it up, so yes.
7
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: H Theodore Cohen?
8
              H THEODORE COHEN: Yes.
9
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: And Steve Cohen?
10
               STEVEN A. COHEN: Yes.
11
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Tom Sieniewicz?
12
              TOM SIENIEWICZ: Yes.
13
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Hugh Russell?
14
              HUGH RUSSELL: Yes.
15
              DANIEL MESSPLAY: Ashley Tan?
16
              ASHLEY TAN: Yes.
17
              DANIEL MESSPLAY: And Catherine Preston Connolly?
18
              CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Yes.
19
               [All vote YES]
20
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: That is all members voting in
    favor.
21
22
              CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: All right. Thank you
```

```
all very much and thank you for your continued work with the
1
2
     Staff and the City at large.
               CHRIS JONES: Thank you. We appreciate it.
 3
 4
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: All right.
5
 6
7
     (8:04 p.m.)
     Sitting Members: Catherine Preston Connolly, Louis J.
9
                       Bacci, Jr., Steven A. Cohen, H Theodore
10
                       Cohen, Hugh Russell, Tom Sieniewicz, and
11
                       Ashley Tan
12
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Okay. Next on the
     agenda we have a Project Update on Case PB-38, previously
13
14
     granted special permit at 1 Canal Park. This is an item of
15
     General Business, and we'll begin with an Update from CDD
16
     Staff.
17
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you, Chair Connolly.
18
     PUD special permit was originally granted by the Planning
    Board in 1984, and has been amended several times, and as
19
20
     recently as 2013.
               The original permit allowed for the construction
21
22
    of 2 four-story office buildings with retail on the ground
```

floor along First Street.

The applicant present today has purchased the property as of 2021 and is in the process of renovating the building.

A building permit was issued for new rooftop mechanical equipment and screening in April of 2022, but because this is a PUD special permit that is subject to Design Review, CDD -- Urban Design Staff felt that the Planning Board should review the updated design and provide comments before the project advances.

CDD did not provide a written memo for this item, but I believe Suzannah Bigolin is present now on the meeting and has been working with the applicant on the design and can answer any questions the Board may have.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Great. Thank you,

Daniel. So I believe Lauren Ferrando from the project team

is going to give us a brief presentation on the project, and

if you want to introduce others on the team, that would be

great and begin.

LAUREN FERRANDO: Thanks, Catherine. Hi, everyone. Thank you for the time this evening. Lauren Ferrando from Tishman Speyer Breakthrough Properties, the

1 ownership of 1 Canal Park.

I'm joined by my colleague, Matt Goodman, from
Tishman Speyer Breakthrough, along with our architect on the
project, Jeannie Thacker and Amanda Rapson (phonetic) and I
believe also Amanda Oski. I think I saw you on here.

We are here today as a follow-up to the Project Update in December focused on the penthouse screen for 1 Canal Park. Again, very appreciative of the thoughtful feedback that was shared during that meeting and Suzannah's help over the past few months that has helped to guide us where we are today.

We worked with Jeannie Thacker and her team at Tria to go back and make some changes to the penthouse screen, as you'll see tonight.

Can go to the next slide, please? Great.

Just brief agenda. I'll cover 1 through 3 and then I'll take it to Jeannie.

You can go to the next slide. Thank you.

So for location, to start, just a quick reminder where we are, though the previous topic set the stage well.

We are 1 Canal Park situated along First Street with the

Lechmere Canal Park behind us and bound by Otis Street and

Thorndike Street on either side of us.

Next slide?

And a quick update. As additional context, timeline of our major project milestones. So as Daniel laid out, we received our -- or we submitted our building permit in December of '21, received our building permit in April of 2022.

Construction commenced in May of 2022, and then two more critical milestones for the project in June of 2022 we released the steel trade for all structural reinforcements and all rooftop equipment.

In November, all of those structural reinforcements were completed. We were on track for core shell completion by September of '23.

Here we have a few snapshots of 1 Canal Park itself and our neighbors along First Street and at the Galleria.

As we've discussed [indiscernible] meeting and gotten a lot of feedback, 1 Canal Park is an existing building, existing to remain. The redevelopment is just focused on the interior, and we are significantly shorter that a lot of our neighbors along First Street.

And with that, I will hand it off to Jeannie

Thacker from TRIA, our architect on the project, to review
the changes we've made to this penthouse screen.

JEANNIE THACKER: Excellent. Good evening,
everyone. I am Jeannie Thacker. I am cofounder and
Principal at TRIA. And so like Lauren was saying, in our
last meeting that we had on December 6, we were looking at
the design really of the roof screen for the new penthouse
equipment.

So some of the really insightful and thoughtful things that we heard from that December 6 discussion was making the roof screen less dreary.

We were attempting to sort of blend it in and have it be nonexistent and not call attention to it, but I think that based on the discussions that we had for December 6, we realized the faultiness of that approach.

And so it was really looking at color changes, really speaking to the building's existing geometric rhythm, adding visual interest to the screen wall, adding plantings to the roof or any kind of trellises or life form on the roof as well, and then making especially the screen wall more of a complementary design feature versus the previous

1 approach, like we stated of trying to make it disappear.

We also looked at studying some -- incorporating pictural interest and adding some structure to the outside of the screen wall as well.

And then the last point was too just to provide sun scale and dimensional aspects on the screen wall as well.

So with that, we can go to the next slide, please. Oop. Thank you.

So this was a rendering of the original screen wall. So here you see a very muted gray tone. And so, we can skip ahead to the next one of our recommended alternative design.

And so, this, like Lauren was saying, we worked with Suzannah and the team and had a lot of options that we were looking at and ways of approaching this.

And so, what we're showing in this design tonight is a screen here. And you can see that we are choosing the color to match the granite inlays, and also the cornice detail along the roofline there as well.

So the building has some really great architecture. It has sort of this 133 middle, 331 rhythm happening in the

windows and sort of the overall geometry of the space, and then it has very strong features of the roofline with the granite inlay happening there as well.

So one of the things that we wanted to do, and we were particularly interested too, obviously, from the view from Canal Park in that space, and that impact, as this is a very prominent building in that landscape as well.

And so we've actually taken the balcony windows that you can see -- there are balconies that come out to that middle section in the middle of the screen and have proposed planter boxes there to bring some life and some great news elements into that building façade as well.

Then we've added a cornice detail onto the top of the screen wall. And so, that cornice detail we can look in a second on the next slide about some more detail of the design of that and why we chose that.

But I just want to take a moment here too just to reiterate, because I know it's been a while since we have looked at this together.

And so just to refresh everyone's memory, and so, this building actually has a great architectural feature in the middle of the building, and it's this very large

skylight that is the middle of the roof section.

And that brings a ton of great natural light into the middle of this building and is also a public access way.

It's a public interior promenade that people can access.

So keeping that -- all of that natural light and not impeding on that skylight that is in the center of the roof was very important for us. And so, that is also why we had to hold off on some of the rooftop equipment off the edges, and we couldn't concentrate it right in the center of the roof.

The other thing I think that's worth noting for this project as well is that this is a core and shell upgrade. We are replacing the roof membrane with a white membrane, so that we are reducing heat island effects, and then also with the updated high-efficiency rooftop equipment that we're doing, we are also achieving LEED gold for this building for the core and shell upgrades.

Next slide, please?

So here just looking in a bit more detail about the cornice detail that we're looking at, so I know it's a little bit hard to distinguish in this picture, but sort of that corner element that you're seeing there, that granite

1 line that you're seeing actually has a 24" depth dimension 2 - sorry 24" height.

And then the longer window that you're seeing along there is -- actually has a smaller dimension. It's a 16" height.

So for this cornice detail, we did choose to go with the higher height of two feet matching the existing geometry of the building and get that bolder line, if you will.

And then the depth of that cornice piece is also 6", so really providing a nice shadow line. We feel that that's a great dimension for that as well to bring in that additional horizontal element.

Again, the color will match the granite inlay, and we believe that the plantings that we're adding to the balcony, which have accessibility for maintenance, is also another good feature that helps to carry your line of significant from the existing plantings total sort of center portion of the building.

Next slide, please?

So we did study various other options that we had talked about.

And so, we can talk through a couple of those now.

And so, we did look at studying a different color -- a lot

of different color options, actually. Studied some colors

trying to match some of the brick midtones, and then also

this one here just studying.

It's Hugh that was kind of speaking to not only the window tinting, but also the mullions of the skylight, and then also the existing windows.

We looked at the making the entire roof screen sort of that till hue or maybe just doing an accent piece at the corner. But ultimately, we felt that this wasn't the right solution for this project, and that ultimately it may start to feel dated rather quickly.

Next slide?

So then the other thing that we did look at was instead of just doing sort of that horizontal cornice right at the very top, we did look at actually bringing that element down a bit further, and getting almost more depth there, if you will, and then sort of the stepback at the top.

But we really felt like it didn't really work as well with the strong geometry of the building. So we were

not going to recommend that one. We like the design of our recommended alternative.

So then just a couple quick things that we wanted to go through. I think Lauren had mentioned the timeline of where the building is currently, but some of the things that we really looked in a lot of depth -- and based on the comments that we were getting back from this group was really around the adding planter boxes to -- you know, trying to look at the living green wall and trellis or some other very substantial architectural attachments to the outside of the screen wall.

So the current conditions of 1 Canal Park is that the existing roof framing is a 1.5" roof deck supported by open steel joists, steel girders, and steel columns that go down and are supported by concrete pile foundations in the ground.

And so, we looked at a lot of these options with our partners at Thornton Tomasetti. And unfortunately the existing roof deck and the roof joists do not have adequate reserve capacity to support the additional planter boxes, the loads from them, or the trellis.

And the steel girders do not have adequate

capacity to support the additional loads as well. And many of the existing foundations of the current design are already near capacity and cannot be supported by the existing pile foundations.

We also did look at jogging the screen wall and doing some setbacks there, but because of the structural loads and then also the location of the mechanical duct work, we did not feel that those were achievable either.

So that is a really brief overview, but I want to turn it over and welcome any comments or questions that we can answer.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Okay. Let me get questions from the Board for either the proponent or for Suzannah about the design refinement process we've been through with this project. Tom?

TOM SIENIEWICZ: Really, it's a quick clarifying question about what's before us exactly. You know, I'm sensitive to the fact that the materials that were submitted in support of this application describe the project timeline, and where they are in terms of material ordering and such.

And so, here we are at the Planning Board it seems

at the eleventh hour or maybe the thirteenth hour making suggestions about how you might modify this, and that flies in the face of a project timeline. And I wonder how we got into that slightly awkward position. What's our role here?

And that's all. If somebody from the City could clarify that, that would be great for me. Thank you.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Daniel, is that something you can speak to?

DANIEL MESSPLAY: I can. And Swaathi Joseph from our team, who's been sort of our Building Permit Coordinator too can maybe add a little bit of context as well.

I think what happened in this case, Tom, you know, oftentimes when a building permit application is filed, there's a location tag on that building permit that will indicate whether or not it's part of a Planning Board special permit.

And for whatever reason, we're not 100 percent sure after talking with Inspectional Services what happened, but this was -- this was a case where a permit was issued, and that flag didn't come through. So we did, you know, miss that.

That said, you know, this -- this is a Planning

Board special permit. There are design elements that are subject to the Planning Board's review.

So our hope in having this conversation is that we can, you know, continue to engage with the applicant team, make refinements where it's possible and practical, or have maybe some additional direction for Staff on how to proceed in a way that would still be in substantial conformance with the Board's original approval.

So that's sort of where we are. You're absolutely correct, it's a thin line to walk. But I'm hoping, you know, we are fortunate that the applicants have been, you know, very engaged with our Urban Design Team and have made concerted efforts to try to be responsive to some of the comments from the Board and from Staff.

So our hope is that we can, you know, find sort of the critical path forward and the best way of being responsive, but also having a design that the Board is comfortable moving forward with.

TOM SIENIEWICZ: Thank you.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: All right. Thanks for that clarification, Daniel. Other questions for Staff or for the proponent?

Ted?

H THEODORE COHEN: Just a quick question of whether there was a view of the other side of the building on First Street, since not everybody will be viewing it from the Canal?

JEANNIE THACKER: Lauren, do we have a view of them?

LAUREN FERRANDO: So I would prefer we can pull up our previous presentation back from December if it's helpful to get, you know, to vantage point.

For this subsequent project, we focused on one view and multiple options on the screen wall, instead of multiple vantage points, which were more the focus for the last meeting.

And Jeannie and team, I know there was a 3D model that was used from the last December meeting that again has our now outdated screen wall. But it might be helpful to spin around if maybe we could keep going through questions.

And if Amanda -- not to put you on the spot -- if that's available to be pulled up. I know it's a very big file.

Yeah. That sounds like a good suggestion. Why

don't we keep going through questions, and then to the extent you can pull up some reference of photos or the model that would address Ted's question for a different vantage point from First Street. That would be helpful.

Tom, let me go back to you.

TOM SIENIEWICZ: Yeah. Another question actually in the spirit of understanding where the proponent is with their materials and their construction.

I was heartened to see the diagram that suggested a cutout, but then obviously closer review suggested that's not possible because of coordination with the mechanical ducts and moving off a structural line inspired by your other details. Because the notch I think helps a huge amount in terms of breaking up that line on the roof.

Would it be possible to simply do what -- use the same strategy you've used with your cornice element and simply move the screen out?

You could have outriggers on the steel that's on its way to the site right now, move the panels out a couple

of -- you know, 20 and then you would be able to modulate, cut a notch wherever you needed in order to get some relief

in the big gray box that's proposed on top of the building.

LAUREN FERRANDO: Yeah, I can jump in on this one first, and Jeannie you can add anything as you see fit. But I think in general, the biggest constraint here all comes back to the structural reinforcements, which are unfortunately one of the longest lead time items as many of you know for projects these days.

And we're rather -- we cast our fate in June of 2022 when we concluded our structural engineering studies with Thornton Tomasetti and proceeded based upon a pretty analyzed plan of specific rooftop equipment location and screen wall.

So even the steel dunnage, all structural reinforcements that support the screen wall itself have not really been, you know, in fabrication and production delivery for quite some time, but also now installed and physically at the site as of November of 2022.

And for a little more context there, in terms of when we first started conversation with this group on this topic, initial outreach was early September. And we submitted the, you know, last set of Board materials in November for that 12/06 Planning Board date.

So the one thing that really does constrain us is the structural. That said, you know, the things that we have in our toolbox we've worked to preserve since September to try and leave some room for some creativity and trying to get to a solution that works for everyone here.

And that toolbox is really color, some dimension and scale that is additive to the existing screen wall and screen wall layout.

And, you know, hopefully -- again, hearing the comments that were shared, I think, you know, multiple times in the last meeting and voiced from comments Suzannah has shared trying to study some sort of living element, adding that on the balconies to try and make a decision continuation -- a vertical continuation of the Lechmere Canal Park as another effort to try and make this project a little bit more visually interesting where we can.

I would also add something that Jeannie touched upon earlier: The atrium that bisects the middle of this building existing to remain puts additional constraint on where we could physically put mechanical equipment on top of the roof. So there's a lot of puzzle pieces that have kind of come into this end result here.

So that was a long-winded way of saying we're a little bit constrained with our toolbox, but we were -- we really want to work with you here.

TOM SIENIEWICZ: Yeah, no, no. Unfortunately we're -- this is one of the liabilities of being in Zoom.

Because these are the kinds of things that usually we could work out through some sketches and models, real time. And I -- for good reason, I can't do that because I would not be showing -- shown in the record.

But what I was -- maybe you misunderstood what I was suggesting. All of the existing structure, it's there, I'm just simply saying, and the loads will be exactly the same -- I'm saying outriggers add something onto the support structure for the mechanical screening.

Simply attach them, and then you can move the panels out some dimension, and then by contrast, leave some panels in the current proposed location in terms of their profile, and then you get the modulation of the penthouse consistent with the schedule and the materials that are already installed on the site.

It's an additive process, rather than a subtractive or a -- any modification that will require any

kind of structural redesign. 1 2 MATT GOODMAN: So I can take a stab at that. 3 Right now, we're 10 feet off the inside of the building line, which is the bare minimum that you need to achieve 4 5 with a screen like that. So that's why it was designed -- went to the 6 7 furthest extent we could, then tried to make the screen wall as small as we could, and that's where we ended up with that 10' surround. 10 TOM SIENIEWICZ: Yeah, I don't know whether you're 11 talking about in section or in plan. I'm going to stop 12 after this comment. I'm suggesting that you move it out 20" in plan, expand it a little bit, and then you can modify it, 13 14 and then you can carve it and give the City and the 15 respective bit of relief over what we've got for a proposal in front of us, which is bizarre. 16 17 LAUREN FERRANDO: Got it. I think for this one it 18 might be best if we follow up with you on an answer. CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Okay. 19 20 LAUREN FERRANDO: We can do some studying. 21 JEANNIE THACKER: And I just have one question for 22 Tom too for the follow-up. I think, like Lauren was saying,

we can reach out and work individually. 1 2 But were you thinking that it sort of mimics the 3 existing structure of the building where those popouts are maybe happening at the corners, or did you have something 4 5 else in mind? TOM SIENIEWICZ: I think there's all kinds of 6 7 options once you give yourself the license to move the panels back and forth in the plan. You can also add stuff to the outside of the fence. 9 10 But I don't -- I'm not -- it's not my position to 11 design it for you --12 JEANNIE THACKER: Mm-hm. 13 TOM SIENIEWICZ: -- other than to suggest that --14 and again, I'll be quiet after this -- other than to suggest 15 this is kind of monolithic to my eye and -- and pretty oppressive, and maybe that's the rendering. But I think 16 17 there are fairly straightforward ways to break that mass down. 18 19 JEANNIE THACKER: Okay. Thank you. 20 CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: All right. 21 Tom. Hugh?

HUGH RUSSELL: So this is -- you know, when you

22

get several architects on a Board, you get different responses. And my sense is if you could accomplish what Tom is asking you to do, you would have a lot more freedom to do a better job.

But I -- given the tenor of your response, which is, "Our hands are virtually tied," it gives you very little -- except add piece of the trim -- then I observe that the elevation of the existing brick building is really an essay in foyers. There are bigger square windows, medium-sized square windows, small square blocks of granite. The square is really important.

So what I'm wondering is what happens -- and I did it very easily with a pencil and a straight edge on my rendering that you furnished me -- I drew it, I divided each of the vertical panels in the penthouse with another shadow line so that it looks like it's constructed of square things.

Now, given the distance that you are from the actual thing, you could probably add some drip (sic) on the surface horizontally that would in fact look just like the joint you're getting vertically. It might even be the same material that's filling that joint. It might be able

shallow channel with a caulking in it, if it's caulking is what, you're -- what that vertical line is.

Now that makes it actually in some ways less interesting, but that's I think okay. I just don't like the -- I think it will look better if it's got a square rendering than a rectangular rendering. If you can do some of the things that Tom is suggesting, that would be better.

But I think at a minimum you can't, you've got to do this and make those panels square, or at least make it look like they're square. I don't really care. I mean, I looked at the structural thing and I said, "Well, there's a purlin behind the ones that have horizontal lines. and you can't -- that purlin is probably now fixed.

But I worked for a few years for Josep Lluis Sert in the 1960s and '70s. And Sert with a student of our Corbusier, and he designed our buildings according to a modular proportioning system that was developed by Corbusier and [indiscernible] in the closing days of World War II.

And it involved squares and golden sections.

So the square -- a square is a very powerful form.

And -- but Sert always said, "You know, you can cheat." If
you get something within 7 percent of a square, the eye will

1 tell you it's a square. So you don't have to be perfect,
2 but I think you have to make the effort.

Suzannah, I want to bring you into this conversation.

You've obviously had conversations with the proponent.

Would love to hear your thoughts on the process so far -what you think is feasible.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Thank you, Hugh.

And frankly, you know, if we were to hand this off to you today, do you feel like there is -- there are additional changes that can be made, that you could run forward with, or are we pretty much where we're going to be?

SUZANNAH BIGOLIN: Thank you, Catherine. We have had quite a -- like, a few meetings with the applicant. And we were appreciative of their ability to study different options.

And we thought this one was the -- the better of the options. We thought the color change was significant. It made it feel much more complimentary to the existing building.

We had suggested the notches, which Tom has suggested as well. But the structural constraints that we were presented with were just pretty strong. So we didn't

push for that. But I think -- I haven't thought of Tom's suggestion about moving it -- the screen out. So that is definitely something that could be considered as an option.

And Hugh's comments about the squares could be quite good as well. I -- we would need from the Board I guess a direction of which one to follow, and then the applicant will need to advise if it's possible. So.

But we were persuaded by the fact that the panels have already been ordered. The structure -- every, the steel's in place, so we understood the limitations a lot. But I think the Board has some sort of tweaks that could be considered.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Okay. That's really helpful for me as a nonarchitect to understand kind of what the scope of our review here is and should be.

Ted?

H THEODORE COHEN: Well, just following up on what Suzannah said, that the panels have already been ordered, does that mean there really is no option for saying use suggestions of a different size or a different indication of its pattern?

And similarly, does that mean there is no

possibility of a different color?

LAUREN FERRANDO: Yeah. So in short, that is circulate. And I know it's been a bit of time since our last project update, but I think we've spent a fair amount of our time and focus outlining the constraints as it relates to what's been ordered and planned for, which are the screen wall itself, the rooftop equipment and all of the steel for the structural reinforcements.

I would be remiss if I didn't also call out two other constraints that I think have been a bit on the back burner but are certainly present and I think relevant to this specific conversation here today and what's been proposed: the first being code.

And again, from what I understand from my role and position, there's a 10' setback that we need to abide by per code.

We also had specific discussions on this with ISD during the four months that our building permit application was being reviewed. And we were instructed to, you know, make sure that -- and confirm that we were abiding by this 10' setback.

So I'm actually not sure how much wiggle room we

```
would have to step out our screen wall in any way. Stepping
1
 2
     in the screen wall, we quite honestly can't fit. We don't
 3
    have the space to do so. If the --
               MATT GOODMAN: Is -- how full, if I share my
 4
     screen real quick to show the roof plans, you can see the
5
     setback and see our constraints, is that helpful for the
 6
7
     team?
               TOM SIENIEWICZ: We got that in our materials, so.
 9
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Yeah, no --
10
               MATT GOODMAN: Oh.
11
               TOM SIENIEWICZ: -- seen it already.
12
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Yeah.
13
               MATT GOODMAN: Okay. I --
14
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: So Ms. Ferrando, do
15
     you want to continue with your thought?
               LAUREN FERRANDO: Yeah, definitely. Just to wrap
16
17
    up and keep it short, we are quite constrained. And as I
18
     think, you know, slide 7 of our December 4 presentation
19
    materials has a great snapshot of the proposed rooftop
20
     equipment and you could kind of see how little room there is
    around that trim and to abide by that 10'-setback.
21
22
              CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Okay.
```

LAUREN FERRANDO: But to address the last comment, we can certainly play with color. That's something that we have preserved in our toolbox.

We have paused on that decision since September to hopefully leave room for some sort of, you know, meeting in the middle on that front and trim. So adding some sort of scale and visual interest where we can.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Okay. Thank you. Ted, did you have follow-up on that?

[Pause]

You're muted, Ted.

H THEODORE COHEN: I guess the follow-up on that would be a discussion with other Board members. If color and maybe some sort of applique or whatever is the only option, whether they wanted to talk about color.

Personally from the renderings, I rather like the greener -- the team leader, and maybe I'm a minority of one. So I'm just curious what other members thought about it.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: That's a good question to tee up, since it does sound like that's one of the things that has been suggested in our toolbox still here.

Lou, let me turn to you. 1 2 LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Yes, just a little 3 clarification. Is this completely fabricated and ready to 4 install? And if so, how are you proposing to change the color? 5 LAUREN FERRANDO: Yes. So completely fabricated, 6 7 ready to install -- frankly as of September we have paused 8 with the manufacturer, they are holding it for us as we, you 9 know, make space for potential refinements on color and/or 10 trim. 11 LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: So then it isn't fabricated? 12 Because I don't know how -- this is a metal panel, correct? LAUREN FERRANDO: Yes, it would be painted. 13 14 the metal panel could be installed on the property today. 15 It's quite literally being stored, and you would paint it like you would paint a fence. 16 17 LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Oh. Yeah. That's a --18 LAUREN FERRANDO: With a heavier duty paint, of 19 course. But. 20 LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Yeah. This will be a maintenance nightmare. This will definitely be on your 21 22 roofs after a short time. Painting over an already

```
prefinished panel?
1
 2
               Um, you know --
 3
               LAUREN FERRANDO: I don't want to misrepresent it,
 4
     though.
5
               LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: -- it's a shame that we're
 6
     in this --
7
               LAUREN FERRANDO: It would be coated the same way.
8
     It's a finished coating. We've just saved the very --
9
               LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Yeah, so --
10
              LAUREN FERRANDO: -- to preserve a color change.
11
               LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Hm.
12
              LAUREN FERRANDO: This isn't a Band Aid fix on
    color.
13
14
               LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: So just recoat it, because I
15
     don't know how they would give you a call warranting on the
     coating. Let's not get down (sic) that. But this is such a
16
17
    prominent location that this is a -- kind of a nightmare
    scenario.
18
               Was there ever any thought about doing anything
19
20
    with the height of that long cornice line of changing -- you
21
     know, maybe mimicking the original parapets or anything of
22
    that?
```

You know, it seems like this was done -- and I know you got stopped by ISD -- it's just, it's such a prominent location on the Canal, and it's just a giant box. And it's just -- you know, it's not the most pleasant thing to look at.

I mean, it's fairly simplistic, and that has something to be said also, but it's a -- we're constantly fighting to get these to look better, because they're getting larger and larger as we -- every year there seems to be another 10' added to these.

And having it have some kind of a shadow line and to Hugh or Tom's ideas sound good, but if this is already fabricated, I don't think that's going to happen without a big fight. So we really hate that this is dumped in our lap.

JEANNIE THACKER: Mm-hm. I can completely appreciate that. And I would just like to comment to that. I think that playing with the shadow lines, that's something that we can definitely still do and even talking about sort of that caulking element to try and get to more of that square shape.

But I will say that the team in Breakthrough, we

```
really did try to keep the screen height to a minimum, so
1
 2
     we've also purchased additional third-party sound
 3
     attenuators to go over the equipment to make sure that we
 4
     could keep the screen height to the absolute minimum on the
 5
    project.
               So -- so we did try and do that, but I completely
 6
7
     appreciate the position that the Board is now in.
 8
               LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Yeah. I mean, you know, it
 9
    would be nice to have some articulation in this -- either
     you know, in and out, up and down, shadow line, something.
10
11
    But it's, you know, column -- but --
12
               JEANNIE THACKER: Mm-hm.
13
               LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: -- it's just -- it's a shame
14
     that we're in this predicament. That's all I have.
15
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Thanks, Lou.
16
               HUGH RUSSELL: Responding to Ted's question, you
17
     know, not every day is blue sky. It's cloudy a lot. If you
18
    put something on top of the building that's kind of the
19
     color of a gray cloud, it literally disappears on those
20
     days. Trying to keep it -- so I think the gray color that
21
     they selected will make it seem smaller, because it doesn't
22
     stand out as much as a darker color like the teal would.
```

Now, so -- so I had a -- I had another question for you to consider. You -- you tried dropping the whole cornice down a bit, and what happens if you leave the cornice up high at the corners and then drop it down in between? if you will -- mimicking -- obviously the panels are going to be up there, and -- but it's a -- so it's a good, strong shape.

And it might -- have you -- did you look at that, and, you know, if you do that do you then pop it up at the center of the building back again? Just to -- even though the brick doesn't do that?

So I don't know. Just little things. But I think the fundamental problem that you face is you've got -you're very highly constrained. You've taken the approach of trying to minimize the height, which is the right approach. And now I think this grayish color is also problem the best thing.

I'd also comment that this is not going to be terribly visible from First Street because -- you'll see part of it when you're on the opposite sidewalk looking up at the sky.

It's not something people tend to do, but they --

the 10' setback does hide quite a bit of it when looking up at a steep angle. And obviously the Sears building, which I still like to call it, will sort of block the view from that direction.

And so, you know, it's a -- it's too bad that we're where we are, but I think with some more tweaking it can be a little better. So that's what I'd like to propose we do.

And I think -- I don't know, you know,

procedurally how Suzannah wants to proceed with this, and

whether it needs to come back to us. I mean, I'm willing to

work with Suzannah and the proponents because I'm retired,

and I've got the time and sit in on those conversations and

-- and try to relay what thinking I've heard from my

colleagues.

But I think they really have -- you know, they're under a very severe time constraint now, and I think we really have to make a decision tonight one way or the other.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Okay. Thank you,
Hugh. I note Amanda Oski has her hand up. Is that someone
from the project team?

LAUREN FERRANDO: Yes.

```
CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Okay.
1
2
               LAUREN FERRANDO: That might just be a mistake.
 3
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Okay. All right.
     That being the case, then, Hugh has suggested that one way
 4
5
     we might proceed this evening is to conclude the update and
     approve the design as presented, subject to continuing
 6
7
    design by Staff, where Hugh could work as an on-the-ground
    voice of the Board as needed to ensure that what
     improvements can be made are made.
9
               And that's one option. There's certainly -- you
10
11
     know, we've certainly heard all about the constraints that
12
     the proponent is under. You know, we have to -- the
13
    constraint we're under is that we have to be comfortable
14
     that we are doing our job here.
15
               So what do other Board members think in terms of
    how we should proceed this evening?
16
17
               STEVEN A. COHEN: I think Hugh's idea is the way
18
    to go.
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: All right.
19
                                                        Thank
20
    you, Steve.
21
                                I agree. I think Hugh's idea is
               TOM SIENIEWICZ:
22
    the way to go. I think we owe the petitioner some
```

```
consideration, given how they've been tripped up potentially
1
2
    by a miss somewhere along the line in process here.
3
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Okay. Do -- are
4
     there Board members who -- who either disagree with that, or
    even though this is a General Business item and we do not
5
 6
    have to take public comment want to hear from the public
7
    before we conclude this?
               Ted?
9
               H THEODORE COHEN: As to your last point, it seems
     that we are in a bind --
10
11
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY:
                                            Mm-hm.
12
              H THEODORE COHEN: -- and that I, you know, just
    as we're trying to work around it or figure it out, I don't
13
14
     know that there's anything really that would be contributed
15
    by the public at large, because we're all just down to the
     same issues, in which case I think that what Hugh has
16
17
    suggested or -- and what you've summarized -- is a sensible
18
    approach to move everybody along in an expeditious manner,
19
    given where we are at this late date.
20
              CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Okay. All right.
                                                               So
    Hugh?
21
22
               HUGH RUSSELL: I just think decisions should be
```

clear that the authority is being shifted to the Staff --1 2 CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: 3 HUGH RUSSELL: -- and I'm advising the Staff. 4 CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: I -- that is a very good point, Hugh that -- so what we're proposing to move 5 6 forward with here is an approval subject to continuing 7 Design Review by Staff, and that Hugh has volunteered to advise the Staff and the proponent in those ongoing discussions. 9 10 But the authority to approve or frankly to -- if 11 something truly goes further wrong, which God knows I hope it doesn't, to say, "Wait, this is not what was presented to 12 the Board at all." Suddenly, you know, it's twice as tall or 13 14 something bizarre like that happens when you take it out of 15 storage, you know. JEANNIE THACKER: That's the good thing about 16 17 having the panel ordered; we know what we're getting. 18 CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: [Laughter]. Right. But I mean that would be, obviously, a situation where Staff 19 20 would have the, you know, option of bringing it back to the Board. 21

All right. So with that summary of where we are

22

```
and how we're proposing to move forward, is there a motion
1
2
    to approve the Design Review, subject to continuing Staff
3
    review as discussed this evening?
 4
               STEVEN A. COHEN: So moved.
5
              CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Is there a second?
 6
              LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Second.
7
              CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Roll call vote?
8
              DANIEL MESSPLAY: Roll call on that motion: Lou
    Bacci?
9
10
              LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Yes.
11
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: H Theodore Cohen?
12
              H THEODORE COHEN: Yes.
13
              DANIEL MESSPLAY: Steve Cohen?
14
               STEVEN A. COHEN: Yes.
              DANIEL MESSPLAY: Tom Sieniewicz?
15
16
               TOM SIENIEWICZ: Yes.
17
              DANIEL MESSPLAY: Hugh Russell?
18
              HUGH RUSSELL: Yes.
19
              DANIEL MESSPLAY: Ashley Tan?
20
              ASHLEY TAN: Yes.
              DANIEL MESSPLAY: And Catherine Preston Connolly?
21
22
              CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Yes.
```

```
1
               [All vote YES]
 2
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: That's all members voting in
     favor.
 3
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: All right. Thank you
 4
5
     to the proponent for the candid conversation tonight and to
    Hugh for working even more hours as a Planning Board
 6
7
    volunteer to advise Staff and the proponent as we -- to work
8
    on the last tweaks that can be made to the design.
9
               LAUREN FERRANDO: Thank you, everyone. And Hugh,
    we look forward to continuing to work with you on this.
10
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Great. All right.
11
12
13
     (8:55 p.m.)
14
     Sitting Members: Catherine Preston Connolly, Louis J.
15
                       Bacci, Jr., Steven A. Cohen, H Theodore
                       Cohen, Hugh Russell, Tom Sieniewicz, and
16
17
                       Ashley Tan
18
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: The last scheduled
19
     item on our agenda this evening is a Use Determination for
20
     PB-189, a previously granted special permit at 295 Third
21
     Street.
22
               And we will start again by having Staff summarize
```

1 | what's before us.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you, Chair Connolly. So this PUD special permit was originally granted by the Planning Board in 2004 and included the construction of 578 dwelling units and 8500 square feet of retail uses on the ground-floor.

The proposal involves locating a quick-service food establishment in the space that was previously occupied by Barismo and Cove adjacent to the B.GOOD on Third Street, and across from Athenaeum Street.

And the special permit authorizes retail uses as permitted in Section 13.2.4 of the zoning ordinance. And that does not include quick-service food establishments, but that zoning allows the Planning Board to approve other uses upon written determination.

And CDD Staff did not prepare a written memorandum for this request, but we do have zoning Urban Design Staff present and can answer any questions the Board may have.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: All right. Thank you. Before I go to the proponent, Suzannah, you have your hand up. Have we missed something important that I need to make sure you have?

```
1
               SUZANNAH BIGOLIN: No, sorry. That was an
 2
     accident.
 3
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Oaky. All right.
 4
               SUZANNAH BIGOLIN: My apologies.
 5
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: I -- you know, it's
    not a problem at all. I just always want to make sure --
 6
7
     especially if we just asked you with ongoing Design Review
8
     the that we've not missed something. So okay.
9
               Then -- then we are in fact ready to move to the
    presentation here regarding this new quick service food
10
11
     establishment. I believe that's going to be from Myoungkeun
12
    Kim of Fish Design and Architecture representing the owner.
13
              MYOUNGKEUN KIM: Okay. Good evening. Myoungkeun
14
    here with Fish Design. So I'm also here with the applicant,
15
    Loic Le Garrec, and then Adriano Gontigio, then, our
     contractor here.
16
17
               Could we actually just move to page 5? I think it
18
    will be quicker to explain those two spaces altogether.
              Next one, please? Next? Okay.
19
20
               So space on the right is called Batifol which is
    already existing, owned and run by the applicant Loic.
21
22
    that is the French restaurant. He opened it the last year.
```

I think that went really well and very successful. And now he wants to open a bakery shop on the space on the left, which is 295 Third Street. It comes with -- it's a 2400-square-foot space. It came with a two -- consists of two almost square spaces, roughly 1200 square feet each.

The first one facing Third Street was proposed to be the bakery space serving the customer coming for a bakery, and then light drinks. And I believe they're serving light lunch menus, which Loic will -- I'm going to hand this over to Loic to give him more details.

The second -- the square above, came with a two existing -- which will be made available for the bakery customers. And then that space was proposed to have 36-seat indoors. Also proposed to have another 36 outdoor seats there.

So what's interesting about this arrangement is that he's -- the applicant Loic -- is going to serve the bakery and light -- the lunch menu with the light drinks during daytime from 6:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

And after 5:00 p.m., the seating space will be used for Batifol, which is the French restaurant he owns, which is only 75 square feet -- 75 feet away from this

location. 1 2 So this seating area indoor/outdoor, are proposed to be used multiple times. I think it's a very interesting 3 way of using the existing structure. 4 5 As mentioned above before, this space was occupied by Barismo -- very similar use. They also serve the coffee, 6 7 light drinks with a light, light lunch menu before the pandemic. During the pandemic, they moved out and this location -- this too -- this location was made available for 9 10 COVID, the tests and COVID vaccine shots. 11 And now these applicants are trying to use the 12 space as it was used before pre-pandemic area, as very 13 similar to Barismo. 14 So Loic -- so I'd like to hand this over to Loic, 15 the applicant, to give you more details about the bakery he proposed to this very location. 16 17 Loic, whenever you're ready. Do we have the PDF 18 following this document? Okay, great. Thank you. 19 Loic, are you ready? 20 He's here. Let me see. 21 LOIC LE GARREC: Hi. Can you hear me, guys? 22 DANIEL MESSPLAY: Yes.

1 MYOUNGKEUN KIM: Yes. 2 DANIEL MESSPLAY: We can hear you. 3 LOIC LE GARREC: Is this working? Sorry. 4 used to using the mic, that's why. Good evening. So the concept is very self-explanatory. It's a French bakery. 5 The kitchen -- just so you understand very well --6 7 is -- we'll build just a satellite kitchen, because we're 8 opening a main kitchen in Somerville on Beacon Street, where 9 we're going to bake all our goods every morning and ship them every day to the bakery. 10 11 So everything will be fresh and homemade, but not 12 made at this location. This is just going to be a point of 13 sale. 14 And so the first area will be the retail space 15 where people come in and get their food. They can sit outside or inside, and like Myoung said, after 5:00 p.m. I 16 17 wanted to use the patio especially for the restaurant service. 18 19

And so, the restaurant -- the bakery will be open every day, seven days a week, serving fresh bread,

Viennoiserie, pastries, sandwiches and salads, very light

lunch -- fast service, yes. That's all I have, if you have

20

21

22

any questions.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: That's fine. Thank you. All right. Do Board members have questions for the applicant or their design team?

Ashley?

ASHLEY TAN: Thank you. Quick question. It looks like on the plan there is an alleyway or some sort of gap between the two spaces. So is that privately owned?

Is -- how would -- if food is being brought from one side to the other after 5:00 p.m., how does that work?

Is that interfering with anything is my main question, thank you.

LOIC LE GARREC: So should -- so we mainly want to use the patio in the evening. If we do have functions in the evening at the bakery, the food will be brought during the day in the kitchen, and that's where we'll set up the food and serve it. So nothing will be traveling during the service.

But the alleyway is owned by the -- it's a whole property. It's about -- I think it's seven feet wide, and it separates the two patios. So it's very, very close, yeah. It's almost together.

```
1
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: So it -- just to
2
     follow up on that bit.
 3
               LOIC LE GARREC: Yeah.
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: The landlord and
 4
5
     owner of that private alleyway understands the operations
     you have proposed, and does not think that that use will
 6
7
     interfere with other tenants or the operation of the
    building as a whole?
9
              LOIC LE GARREC: No, they're for it. They -- when
10
    we signed the lease, we spoke about this. We actually are
11
    working with the ABCC license --
12
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Mm-hm.
13
              LOIC LE GARREC: -- because I need to have a
14
     liquor license in order to do this. So there's a whole
15
    application going with this. So the landlord has to approve
     it. It has to be stated on the lease.
16
17
              CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Yep.
18
              LOIC LE GARREC: Yeah. It's not something --
              CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY:
19
20
              LOIC LE GARREC: -- that can move just like that,
            It'll be taken care of.
21
    yeah?
22
              CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Great. Thank you for
```

```
that clarification.
1
 2
               Other questions?
 3
               [Pause]
               Okay. Then are there any objections to making a
 4
5
     determination that the proposed use is consistent with the
     objectives of the PUD-KS district and the policy and
 6
7
    guidelines set forth in the East Cambridge Plan? If not --
    Hugh?
               HUGH RUSSELL: My only objection is it's way too
9
10
     far from my house.
11
               COLLECTIVE: [Laughter]
12
               STEVEN A. COHEN: I agree. That's two of us.
13
    That's a big issue for us.
14
               LOIC LE GARREC: Okay. We'll deliver to you guys.
15
    How about that?
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: All right. So then
16
17
    can I have a motion to make the finding that the use is
    consistent? That we determined the proposed use is
18
    consistent with the objectives of the PUD district and the -
19
20
               TOM SIENIEWICZ: So moved.
21
22
              CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Thank you, Tom.
```

```
STEVEN A. COHEN: Second.
 1
 2
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Steve seconds. Roll
    call vote?
 3
 4
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: On that motion, Lou Bacci?
 5
               LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Yes.
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: H Theodore Cohen?
 6
 7
               H THEODORE COHEN: Definitely yes.
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Steve Cohen?
 9
               STEVEN A. COHEN: Yes.
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Tom Sieniewicz?
10
11
               TOM SIENIEWICZ: Yes.
12
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Hugh Russell?
13
               HUGH RUSSELL: Yes.
14
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Ashley Tan?
15
               ASHLEY TAN: Yes.
16
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: And Catherine Preston Connolly?
17
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Yes.
18
               [All vote YES]
19
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: That is all members voting in
20
    favor.
21
              HUGH RUSSELL: You have to bring food for all of
22
    us now.
```

```
1
               LOIC LE GARREC: You got it.
 2
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: All right.
 3
              LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Good luck.
              LOIC LE GARREC: Thank you.
 5
              MYOUNGKEUN KIM: Thank you so much.
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: All right.
 6
7
     concludes the business listed on our agenda. Any additional
     comments from Staff?
9
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: No. Thank you, Chair Connolly.
     Just a quick reminder: No meeting next week. There -- I
10
11
    would be remiss to -- to not mention, you know, there is
12
    this extension to the Remote Meeting Law, which is set to
     expire at the end of the month.
13
14
               We are aware that there is a further extension
15
     that is currently sitting with the Governor and waiting to
    be approved, and we anticipate that before our next meeting
16
17
    it would be approved, in which case we would be planning to
18
    continue to have remote meetings for the near term.
               But we will be in touch with the Board with
19
20
     further details on that once that situation has cleared up.
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Okay. Thank you for
21
22
    that update. All right. Any --
```

```
1
               H THEODORE COHEN: Could I just --
 2
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY:
 3
               H THEODORE COHEN: -- ask a follow-up question,
     Daniel?
 4
 5
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Yes.
               H THEODORE COHEN: Well, if there were an
 6
7
     extension, is that something that we have to do and hold
    meetings remote, or is it optional for the Board to decide
 9
     to go back to live meetings?
10
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: I think there is some element of
11
     optionality here, Ted. I know that in the past when we've
12
     sort of neared these expirations of extensions and the Board
13
    has expressed an interest in at some point returning to a
14
    hybrid mode or an in-person mode with the ability to
15
    participate remotely.
               For us, we are still working on retrofitting the
16
17
     annex to accommodate the Planning Board for that hybrid
18
    mode. And we need --
19
               H THEODORE COHEN: Right.
20
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: -- a little bit more time to do
21
     that. But all that said, we -- you know, would be happy to
22
     engage in that conversation with the Board at an upcoming
```

```
meeting to figure out maybe a more firm timeline on when
1
2
    that transition would take place.
               H THEODORE COHEN: Great.
3
                                          Thank you.
4
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Sure.
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: All right.
5
                                                         Then
    unless there are any further questions from the Board, we
6
7
    are adjourned. Thank you all.
               COLLECTIVE: Thank you, everyone. Goodnight,
8
    everyone. Thank you. Goodnight.
9
     [9:10 p.m. End of proceedings.]
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
```

1	
1	ERRATA SHEET
2	Page Line 'Change From' 'Change To' Reason for change
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	I have read the foregoing transcript of the
16	Planning Board meeting, and except for any corrections or
17	changes noted above, I hereby subscribe to the transcript
18	as an accurate record of the proceedings.
19	
20	
21	Name Date
22	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	Commonwealth of Massachusetts
3	Middlesex, ss.
4	I, Catherine Burns, Notary Public in and for the
5	Commonwealth of Massachusetts, do hereby certify that the
6	above transcript is a true record, to the best of my
7	ability, of the proceedings.
8	I further certify that I am neither related to nor
9	employed by any of the parties in or counsel to this action,
10	nor am I financially interested in the outcome of this
11	action.
12	In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand this
13	<u>fourth</u> day of <u>April</u> , 2023.
14	
15	Cid
16	Notary Public
17	My commission expires:
18	July 28, 2028
19	
20	Catherine M. Burns Notary Public COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
21	My Commission Expires July 28, 2028
22	

				Page 126
	10.15.00	1.,	50.10.10.50.01	
A	action 13:17,22	Adoption 2:4	50:12,13 73:21	114:17 115:15
a-half 56:22	14:19 53:20	Adriano 113:15	allows 112:14	117:4
<b>a.m</b> 114:19	125:9,11	advance 64:22	altered 54:19	applicants 3:15
<b>ABCC</b> 118:11	active 27:3,3,4	advances 74:10	alternative	86:11 115:11
<b>abide</b> 98:15	27:10,13	advertise 11:13	78:13 83:2	application
99:21	activities 60:11	advise 66:20	altogether	10:19 11:13
abiding 98:20	Acts 3:13	97:7 109:8	113:18	13:16 84:19
ability 58:15	actual 94:19	111:7	<b>Amanda</b> 75:4,5	85:13 98:18
96:14 122:14	add 69:15 72:1	advising 109:3	87:19 106:20	118:15
125:7	85:11 89:3	affordable	amazing 35:12	applique 100:14
able 32:22 39:21	90:17 91:13	40:16	amended 73:19	<b>apply</b> 41:9
58:15,20 59:14	93:8 94:7,19	agenda 7:11	amendment	appointed 37:1
59:15 62:13,13	added 48:2	10:17 13:2,10	10:19	appreciate
88:21 94:22	79:13 103:10	73:13 75:16	American 45:4	33:16 69:5
abrasion 57:16	<b>adding</b> 77:19,19	111:19 121:7	amount 88:14	73:3 103:17
absent 5:8,15	78:3 81:15	agent 43:13	98:4	104:7
absolute 104:4	83:8 90:12	aggregate 19:22	<b>ample</b> 26:7	appreciated
absolutely 21:14	100:6	20:2 43:10	amused 45:11	70:16
86:9	addition 62:7	44:3,4,10,11	analyzed 89:11	appreciative
absorbing 43:17	additional 11:1	51:21,21 52:7	and/or 101:9	71:1 75:8
accent 82:10	14:1,20 28:22	54:4,15,17,18	angle 106:2	96:14
accept 9:8 61:13	62:18 63:3,13	55:15,22,22	animals 46:6	approach 29:22
acceptable	76:3 81:13	56:13,14 57:17	annex 122:17	69:1 77:16
61:19	83:20 84:1	57:20,22 58:4	annual 46:4	78:1 105:14,16
accepted 49:12	86:6 90:19	58:6	answer 14:17	108:18
access 38:13,15	96:10 104:2	aggregate's	21:2,13 33:15	approaching
53:3 58:18	121:7	55:15	52:4 60:18	51:1 78:16
80:3,4	additive 20:3	ago 35:18,19	61:17 74:14	appropriate
accessed 26:11	90:7 91:21	66:10	84:11 92:18	23:21 25:18
accessibility	address 34:7,13	agree 11:22 33:6	112:18	52:15 58:3
17:4,6 18:5	36:3,17 39:9	39:12 47:7	answered 65:15	61:5 68:18
25:4 27:21	40:4 42:20	48:11 60:6	answers 54:2	appropriateness
41:9 49:11,16	44:19 46:15	70:11 107:21	65:16,17	58:14
81:16	48:19 53:18	119:12	anticipate	approval 9:2
accessible 49:13	65:9 88:3	agreed 11:15	121:16	13:18,20 14:1
accessing 38:1	100:1	<b>ahead</b> 78:12	anxious 70:18	39:19 48:15
accident 113:2	addressed 11:18	Aid 102:12	71:3	64:22 71:16
accommodate	63:21 65:20	air 43:18	Anyway 38:12	86:8 109:6
122:17	adequate 56:19	al 7:15 8:3,8	apologies 13:19	approve 14:20
accommodati	57:9 83:19,22	<b>alarm</b> 48:8	113:4	40:12 42:4
27:21	<b>Adithi</b> 1:14 6:22	aligned 22:17	apologize 30:19	61:15,19 107:6
accomplish 94:2	adjacency 60:13	47:9	69:8	109:10 110:2
accurate 44:12	adjacent 21:1	allay 28:9,15	applaud 47:12	112:14 118:15
49:10 124:17	25:17 29:13	<b>Allen</b> 34:16	48:2,4	approved
achievable 84:8	112:9	alley 26:1 48:6	applicant 11:4	121:16,17
achieve 92:4	adjourned	alleyway 117:7	11:14 13:15	approving 71:13
achieves 57:3	123:7	117:19 118:5	14:13 15:3	<b>April</b> 7:13,14,22
65:2	adjourning	allow 32:8 50:2	65:9 74:2,13	8:5,7 11:12,15
achieving 80:16	40:16	71:8	86:4 96:13	74:6 76:6
act 43:16	admit 62:15	allowed 45:13	97:7 113:14,21	architect 75:3
13.10			ĺ	l

				rage 127
77:2	asking 4:6 61:22	aware 121:14	115:6,13	67:18
architects 94:1	94:3	awkward 85:4	barrel-shaped	<b>bicyclist</b> 66:21
architectural	aspect 51:6	axial 23:1	49:19	big 47:10,16
29:3 79:21	aspects 78:6	axiai 23.1	based 77:15	87:20 89:1
83:10	aspects 78.0 asphalt 19:21	B	83:6 89:10	103:14 119:13
architecture	50:14 51:19	<b>b</b> 66:6		<b>bigger</b> 94:9
78:21 113:12	54:17 66:14	<b>B.GOOD</b> 112:9	<b>basically</b> 32:11 53:2	-
		Bacci 1:7 3:5 4:8	<b>batch</b> 56:9	<b>biggest</b> 19:12 89:4
archives 55:2 area 16:8 18:7	<b>Assistant</b> 1:11 7:3	4:10 5:21 8:20		
18:13,17 22:19	Associate 6:9	9:11,14,15	<b>Batifol</b> 113:20 114:21	<b>Bigolin</b> 1:13 7:9 74:12 96:12
26:14 27:3,4	associated 13:11	10:13 12:3,6,7	<b>Beacon</b> 116:8	113:1,4
· ·		13:6 51:17	beams 30:13	bike 26:2 37:16
27:12,12,19,20	Associates 19:4	57:7 60:20		
27:20 28:4	Association 34:15	62:1 72:4,5	beginning 45:18	63:17 66:13,13
37:19 38:2,14		73:9 101:2,11	begins 54:21	66:14 67:6,9
40:15,18 41:12	assume 64:5,18	101:17,20	behalf 49:1	68:8
41:14 42:1	assumes 65:5	101.17,20	believe 7:7 15:2	bikes 26:11
46:6 47:17	assuming 59:13	102.3,9,11,14	36:9,10 50:6	38:15 51:1
52:11 53:2,2,4	Athenaeum	110:9,10	66:3 74:12,16	66:17
58:16 59:3,18	112:10	111:15 120:4,5	75:5 81:15	Bill 22:1
60:8,9 67:20	atmosphere	121:3	113:11 114:8	billed 45:12
68:15 115:2,12	40:17	back 8:14 11:6	beloved 70:15	bind 108:10
116:14	atrium 90:18		bench 29:11,13	binder 19:18,20
areas 15:18 16:4	attach 91:15	16:8 22:9,22 23:9 25:9 27:3	29:18	52:2 57:21
16:6,16,22	attached 32:8		benches 26:20	bisects 90:18
17:8,22 18:4	attachments	27:13 32:19	28:3,6,21	<b>Bishop</b> 34:16
19:1 23:9	83:10	33:14 37:13,21	29:12,15 48:1	bit 15:15 17:5
25:22 41:10	attempting	38:2,14 45:2	59:3,22	22:21 42:9
60:1 68:3	77:13	45:14 51:12	benefit 41:13	48:4 53:4
arrangement	attendance 4:7	52:10 59:19	42:5	80:19,21 82:18
114:16	attention 77:14	75:13 83:7	benefits 40:20	85:11 90:16
array 27:14	attenuators	87:9 88:5 89:5	best 16:2 27:21	91:2 92:13,15
<b>art</b> 16:12,14	104:3	93:8 98:10	36:5,12 64:19	98:3,10 105:3
17:4 18:15	attracting 45:8	105:10 106:11	86:16 92:18	106:1 118:2
29:21 33:12	attractive 40:17	109:20 122:9	105:17 125:6	122:20
46:11 48:5	47:19	background	better 36:7	bitumen 43:13
articulated 65:3	<b>audible</b> 4:7,9,11	64:17	44:11 47:22	54:20 55:17
articulation	4:13,14,16,17	bad 55:10 106:5	48:1 53:6 65:2	bituminous
104:9	4:19,20,22 5:1	bake 116:9	68:4 71:6 94:4	19:14,17,21
<b>Arts</b> 30:4	5:3,4,6,10,12	bakery 114:2,7	95:5,7 96:16	20:4,16 38:20
<b>Ashley</b> 1:10 3:7	audio 4:1 34:17	114:8,12,18	103:8 106:7	55:14,14
5:2,4 6:1 8:22	authority 109:1	115:15 116:5	beyond 59:8	bizarre 92:16
10:2,3,15	109:10	116:10,19	bicycle 18:9	109:14
12:16,17 13:8	authorizes	117:15	50:9,11,12	<b>black</b> 19:21 20:3
72:15,16 73:11	112:11	balconies 79:9	65:21 66:2,4,5	41:19 43:11,13
110:19,20	available 49:18	90:13	66:7,16,21,22	54:20 55:14,17
111:17 117:5,6	62:8 64:19	balcony 79:8	67:1,2,17,19	56:4 57:22
120:14,15	87:20 114:12	81:16	68:1,3	blacktop 43:17
aside 61:13	115:9	<b>Band</b> 102:12	bicycles 50:16	43:17,19 44:9
asked 27:2	<b>Ave</b> 7:16	bare 92:4	50:17,21 57:12	<b>blend</b> 20:13,20
113:7	average 46:19	Barismo 112:9	62:13 66:15	77:13
	1	l	l	l

blended 20:16	bonding 43:13	78:21 79:7,12	40:14 41:12	<b>catch</b> 63:9
<b>blends</b> 24:19	<b>boring</b> 35:14	79:21,22 80:3	Camping 34:15	Catherine 1:7
block 40:6 106:3	<b>bottom</b> 29:4,8	80:17 81:8,19	34:19	3:4,8,10 5:8,11
blocks 46:17	31:3,9	82:22 83:5	Camping's 35:6	5:13,16,20 6:2
94:10	Boulevard	85:10,13,14	canal 2:8,9	6:6,16 8:15,19
blue 22:7,19	66:12,18	87:3 89:1	13:11 14:10	9:1,12 10:4,5,9
28:12 69:17	bound 75:22	90:19 92:3	19:13 22:12	10:12,16 11:21
104:17	boundaries 69:9	93:3 94:8	24:17,20 25:1	12:4,18,19
Bluebike 27:3	box 28:12 89:1	96:19 98:18	25:14 26:3,7	13:1,5,9,21
28:12 37:12	103:3	104:18 105:10	26:11,18 27:5	14:22 33:17
Bluebikes 18:9	<b>boxed</b> 30:10	106:2 118:8	27:15 37:2,4,5	38:22 39:6
18:11 25:21	boxes 79:11 83:8	building's 77:18	37:19 38:13,18	51:14 53:15
27:10 38:15	83:20	buildings 73:22	39:10 40:13,15	57:5 58:5
52:19,21 53:6	<b>bread</b> 116:20	95:16	41:7,8,11,18	61:11,21 64:15
54:5,8,10	<b>break</b> 93:17	<b>built</b> 21:20	42:4,21 43:3	69:13 70:20
58:11,11,12,15	breaking 42:8	67:15	59:1 60:14	71:19,22 72:17
59:14,15,17	88:14	burn 43:22	66:19 67:10	72:18,22 73:4
61:6,15 62:3,6	Breakthrough	burner 98:11	73:14 75:1,8	73:8,12 74:15
64:4 65:2 71:6	74:22 75:3	Burns 125:4	75:21,22 76:15	74:20 84:12
<b>Board</b> 1:1 2:4	103:22	business 2:3	76:19 79:6	85:7 86:20
3:10,15,21 4:6	Brian 15:7	41:12 73:15	83:12 87:5	92:19 93:20
7:11,13,22 8:6	brick 21:19 55:4	108:5 121:7	90:15 103:3	96:3,12 97:13
9:2,5 10:18	82:4 94:8	<b>busy</b> 7:18	candid 111:5	99:9,12,14,22
11:3,22 13:16	105:11	<b>button</b> 33:22	capacity 83:20	100:8,19
14:18 17:12	bridge 16:10		84:1,3	104:15 106:19
33:19 34:2	18:17 30:4	C	Capalbo 41:2	107:1,3,19
37:21 40:11	46:11 68:10	C 3:1	captures 70:14	108:3,11,20
41:16 42:13	<b>brief</b> 74:17	cable 4:3	care 45:15 59:5	109:2,4,18
51:15 53:17,20	75:16 84:9	call 3:17 9:12,13	70:16 95:10	110:5,7,21,22
58:7 62:21	briefly 15:16	12:4,5 33:10	118:21	111:4,11,14,18
64:5 65:7	<b>bring</b> 14:5 38:7	38:19 72:1,3	carefully 64:7	112:19 113:3,5
70:11,16,21	40:19 55:1	77:14 98:9	70:12	117:2 118:1,4
71:11 73:19	79:11 81:12	102:15 106:3	<b>cargo</b> 38:15	118:12,17,19
74:9,14 84:13	96:4 120:21	110:7,8 120:3	Caring 46:4	118:22 119:16
84:22 85:15	bringing 24:16	called 65:21	Carol 15:9 19:3	119:22 120:2
86:1,14,17	45:14 82:17	113:20	25:9 47:6	120:16,17
89:21,22 94:1	109:20	calling 8:2 34:1	Carolyn 22:1	121:2,6,21
97:5,11 100:13	<b>brings</b> 13:2 80:2	Cambridge 1:2	carries 28:16	122:2 123:5
104:7 107:8,15	broken 54:7	1:6 3:9 4:2,4	carry 67:1 81:17	125:4
108:4 109:13	brought 117:9	13:13 30:3	cars 38:9,9	caulking 95:1,1
109:21 111:6	117:15	34:15,16,19,20	carve 92:14	103:20
112:4,14,18	brown 43:11	35:6 36:19	case 2:2 10:18	cause 26:20 28:5
117:3 121:19	brush 49:19	38:16 40:13,14	10:22 13:11	CDD 7:2,5 8:13
122:8,12,17,22	brushed 21:15	41:16,18,19	15:11 34:3	10:20 13:14
123:6 124:16	brushes 49:20	42:12 45:11	64:1 73:13	23:15 62:5
Board's 14:15	57:15	48:21,22 66:2 66:4 119:7	85:12,19 107:4	63:17 73:15
14:19 62:20	build 116:7		108:16 121:17	74:8,11 112:16
86:2,8	<b>building</b> 26:5	CambridgeSide 13:12 14:8	cash 35:17	Centanni 37:5
boarded 52:16	53:3 74:4,5	35:3,7,11 40:7	cast 27:17 89:8	37:15
bolder 81:8	76:5,6,20	JJ.J,/,11 40./	casting 30:12	center 80:6,9
	I	l	ı	ı

				Tage 127
81:18 105:10	child 38:7	closely 35:22	55:21 56:14,15	33:19 40:8,8
central 8:4 26:4	choice 45:17,18	41:22 63:11	56:16 57:22	41:2,15 42:12
certainly 23:19	choose 81:6	closer 24:17	69:19,21 77:17	42:13 53:19
33:9 55:11	choosing 78:18	26:2 45:2	78:19 81:14	59:20 64:8
59:5 63:6,19	chosing 78.16	62:22 63:20	82:2,3 90:6	65:7 69:2
69:7,12 98:11	Chris 15:8,19	88:10	96:17 98:1	70:21 71:17
100:2 107:10	17:9 18:2,10	closest 20:14	100:2,13,15	74:10 83:7
107:11	18:22 19:1,2,3	closing 95:18	101:5,9 102:10	84:10 86:14
CERTIFICA	32:20 54:11,14	cloud 104:19	101.3,9 102.10	90:10,11 97:4
125:1	54:15 58:9	cloudy 104:17	104:13 104:19	121:8
certified 9:3	60:3 69:7 73:3	coated 102:7	104.20,22	commission
certify 125:5,8	circled 67:8	coating 102:8,16	colored 19:14,16	69:17 125:17
cetera 49:13	circulate 98:3	code 21:4 32:4	44:4 51:7,20	commit 64:2
68:19	circulation 26:7	32:12,17 98:13	54:19 58:4	committed 63:2
Chair 1:7 3:11	26:8 65:21	98:16	colors 20:18	Committee 8:1
8:14 10:21	66:16	coffee 115:6	82:3	8:5 37:1
				Committees
13:20 14:7 15:6 32:21	circus 45:4,6 circuses 45:6	cofounder 77:5	<b>column</b> 104:11 <b>columns</b> 83:14	58:13
33:15 51:11	City 1:2,11 4:2	Cohen 1:8,9 3:5	come 11:6 37:9	Commonwealth
	7:3 14:14 37:1	3:6 4:12,14,15		
54:6 61:10		4:17 5:21,22	45:2 67:11	125:2,5
62:4 64:1	37:20 42:1	6:18 8:20,21	79:9 85:20	communicatio
69:14 73:17	45:22 58:13	9:10,16,17,18	90:22 106:11	34:3,4
112:2 121:9	59:8 60:5,22	9:19 10:13,14	116:15	community 1:11
chairs 68:19	62:1 63:12	12:2,8,9,10,11	comes 6:10 70:1	2:3 6:3 7:3,7,9
challenge 31:1,7	67:19 68:17	13:6,7 71:18	89:4 114:3	14:16 35:3,12
change 18:8	73:2 85:5	71:21 72:7,8,9	comfortable	35:21 36:7,8
52:7 96:17	92:14	72:10 73:9,10	19:10 24:22	40:20 48:12
101:4 102:10	City's 3:21 71:9	87:2 97:17	47:11,18 56:11	49:3 70:13,17
124:2,2,2	City-owned	100:12 107:17	56:17 86:18	complementary
changes 8:9	52:12	108:9,12 110:4	107:13	77:22
14:21 15:19	clarification	110:11,12,13	coming 6:12	completed 76:13
22:18 24:3	19:6 86:21	110:14 111:15	7:19 17:15	completely
31:5 36:12	101:3 119:1	111:16 119:12	29:8 42:9	39:16 61:7
45:11 47:8	clarifications	120:1,6,7,8,9	50:21 51:4	101:3,6 103:16
75:13 77:3,17	15:20 17:2	122:1,3,6,19	114:7	104:6
96:10 124:16	clarified 28:22	123:3	commenced	completion
<b>changing</b> 50:14	clarify 49:9 85:6	cohesive 17:18	76:8	76:14
102:20	clarifying 84:16	cold 47:5	comment 3:19	compliant 18:20
channel 4:3 95:1	<b>clarity</b> 19:9	colleague 75:2	3:20 13:15	complimentary
Chapter 3:13	clear 14:19 30:8	colleagues	33:9,18 65:19	25:16 96:18
Charles 8:8 37:6	49:18 109:1	106:15	92:12 100:1	comprehensive
46:14 48:18,18	cleared 121:20	COLLECTIVE	103:17 105:18	17:19 47:1
48:20,20,21	clearly 33:10	119:11 123:8	108:6	concentrate
69:1	37:14 65:3	<b>color</b> 20:7,9,9,13	commentary	80:9
<b>Charlie</b> 69:17	66:15	23:1 43:4,11	17:11	concentrated
cheat 95:21	click 33:22	43:16,16 44:4	comments 14:15	57:13
check 34:17	clogged 50:5,5	44:9,12,13,14	16:1 17:13,17	concept 116:5
<b>checked</b> 37:20	close 26:17	51:22 52:2,3,8	19:6,8 20:8	concern 29:17
37:21	29:18 31:15	52:9 54:13	22:15 23:16	36:3 37:11
Chief 7:4,5	55:9 117:21	55:4,6,10,18	28:20 33:7,7,7	43:21 52:10
	l	<u> </u>	l	<u> </u>

53:12	71:19,22 72:17	constraint 89:4	<b>convey</b> 40:10	<b>COVID</b> 115:10
concerned 38:17	72:18,22 73:4	90:19 106:17	convinced 58:2	115:10
46:2	73:8,12,17	107:13	cooler 44:5,14	Craig 7:15,21
concerns 20:21	74:15 84:12	constraints 62:6	coordinating	Crawley 44:18
25:22 39:18	85:7 86:20	62:8 63:5	14:17	46:14,16,17
43:2 49:4	92:19 93:20	96:21 98:5,10	coordination	create 24:21
57:10 64:10	96:3 97:13	99:6 107:11	33:11 58:12	25:12 26:6
concerted 86:13	99:9,12,14,22	constructed	88:11	28:9 31:11,18
concise 15:4	100:8,19	66:7 94:16	Coordinator	53:10
conclude 107:5	104:15 106:19	construction	85:10	created 14:11
108:7	107:1,3,19	73:21 76:8	Corbusier 95:16	24:10 28:1
concluded 89:9	108:3,11,20	88:8 112:4	95:17	creating 59:2
concludes 8:13	109:2,4,18	contemplative	core 31:17 76:13	creativity 90:4
51:12 121:7	110:5,7,21,22	45:7	80:12,17	critic 39:11,12
concluding 33:5	111:4,11,14,18	context 37:5	corner 20:10	critical 56:12
conclusion	112:2,19 113:3	76:3 85:11	23:2 31:16	76:9 86:16
71:12	113:5 117:2	89:18	66:11 80:22	critters 46:6
concrete 19:15	118:1,4,12,17	continuation	82:11	cross 25:13
19:16,17 83:15	118:19,22	90:14,14	corners 93:4	crucial 40:14
condition 20:14	119:16,22	continue 14:2	105:4	crunching 51:2
56:5 57:1,2	120:2,16,17	23:15,22 33:13	cornice 78:19	curb 25:2 29:8
conditions 83:12	121:2,6,9,21	40:16 45:16	79:13,14 80:20	curbed 22:4
confirm 13:22	122:2 123:5	86:4 99:15	81:6,10 82:16	29:7
34:8 98:20	consider 105:2	121:18	88:16 102:20	curbing 21:13
conformance	considerable	continued 7:15	105:3,4	21:19
86:7	70:15	73:1	correct 13:18,19	curious 53:14
connect 67:11	considerably	continuing	51:20 65:16	100:18
connection 32:7	52:8	33:10 71:16	86:10 101:12	current 50:11
42:5,7,9,11,12	consideration	107:6 109:6	corrected 67:22	83:12 84:2
57:2	35:5 36:4	110:2 111:10	corrections	91:17
connections	108:1	continuous 32:5	124:16	currently 28:13
32:6 67:5	considered 97:3	32:9 57:3	corridor 26:1,9	83:5 121:15
connector 46:21	97:12	contours 24:14	26:19 28:7,15	curves 25:11
67:15	considering	contractor	cotta 55:12	customer 114:7
<b>Connolly</b> 1:7 3:4	62:2	113:16	counsel 125:9	customers
3:8,10 5:9,11	consistency 25:5	contractors 53:3	couple 7:10	114:13
5:16,20 6:2,16	consistent 20:18	contrast 91:16	15:13 43:2	cut 32:11 88:22
8:14,15,19 9:1	21:8 23:13	contributed	49:22 52:6	<b>cutout</b> 88:10
9:12 10:4,5,9	33:6 71:17	108:14	58:10 82:1	cutting 24:17
10:12,16,21	91:19 119:5,18	contributions	83:3 88:19	
11:21 12:4,18	119:19	40:22	course 19:18,18	D
12:19 13:1,5,9	consists 114:4	control 60:9	19:19,20 20:1	<b>D</b> 2:1 3:1
13:20,21 14:7	constantly 103:7	64:14	56:18 63:2,4	<b>Daniel</b> 1:12 4:8
14:22 15:6	constituents'	conversation	101:19	4:12,15,18,21
32:21 33:15,17	36:5	64:2 86:3	<b>Court</b> 7:17	5:2,5,8,13 6:6
38:22 39:6	constitutes 5:15	89:19 96:4	Cove 112:9	6:6,17,20 8:16
51:11,14 53:15	constrain 90:1	98:12 111:5	cover 43:12	9:13,16,18,20
54:7 57:5 58:5	constrained	122:22	75:16	9:22 10:2,4,7
61:11,21 64:15	91:2 99:17	conversations	covered 43:12	10:21,22 12:5
69:13 70:20	105:14	96:5 106:13	covering 38:17	12:8,10,12,14
	l			l

12:16,18,21	75:7 76:6 77:7	51:8 63:1	11:5,7 14:12	distance 28:10
13:18,19 14:4	77:11,15 87:9	65:15 71:1,2	35:11 39:4	28:11 94:18
14:7 15:1	87:16 99:18	71:12,13,15,16	40:7,14,19	distinguish
17:10 34:11,18	decide 64:22	74:8,8,9,13	41:6,21 47:14	80:21
36:15 39:7	122:8	77:8,22 78:13	49:3,5	district 8:5
40:2 42:8,15	decided 35:15	78:17 79:16	Development's	119:6,19
42:17 44:17	45:22	83:1 84:2,14	37:8 40:12	diverse 40:17
46:13 48:17	decision 10:18	86:1,12,17	48:12	divided 94:14
51:11,15 62:17	11:16 50:8	93:11 107:6,7	diagram 22:7	Division 6:10
63:7,9 64:16	63:1 64:21	109:7 110:2	24:11 26:15	document 65:20
72:3,7,9,11,13	90:13 100:4	111:8 112:17	65:19,22 67:4	115:18
72:15,17,20	106:18	113:7,12,14	69:8 88:9	documents
73:17 74:16	decisions 35:4	117:4	diameter 53:9	37:21
76:4 85:7,9	39:15 64:4	designed 23:13	different 11:1	dogs' 43:22
86:21 110:8,11	108:22	49:22 92:6	16:11 20:11	doing 64:2 80:16
110:13,15,17	deck 83:13,19	95:16	50:1 60:4	82:10,16 84:6
110:19,21	Deeds 37:20	designer 47:8	64:11 82:2,3	102:19 107:14
111:2 112:2	deeply 47:6	designing 41:22	88:3 94:1	<b>Dolomite</b> 56:15
115:22 116:2	defaulted 59:18	49:17	96:14 97:20,20	dot 29:14
120:4,6,8,10	61:18	desirable 42:22	98:1	dotted 66:1,3,18
120:12,14,16	defined 28:8	desire 25:10,13	digging 49:20	downlighting
120:19 121:9	definitely 37:18	detail 18:7 19:8	dimension 26:5	30:11
122:4,5,10,20	63:21 97:3	19:20 21:18	27:9 28:13	drain 50:4
123:4	99:16 101:21	29:2 31:16	81:1,4,12 90:6	drainage 49:14
dark 20:4 43:16	103:19 120:7	36:2,3 56:21	91:16	49:21,21
43:16 44:2	degree 70:2	62:16 70:10	dimensional	draw 68:22
55:18 69:19	degrees 26:10	71:4 78:20	78:6	drawing 67:21
darker 20:15	43:19,20	79:13,14,15	direct 62:21	drawn 22:2
104:22	delighted 46:22	80:19,20 81:6	direction 19:10	dreary 77:12
<b>dashed</b> 24:13	deliver 119:14	detailing 19:11	71:2 86:6 97:6	drew 94:14
27:4	delivery 89:16	21:21 22:3	106:4	drinks 114:8,18
date 14:3,5	deny 13:17	29:7	Director 34:15	115:7
89:22 108:19	Department 2:3	details 18:18	disagree 59:1	<b>drip</b> 94:19
124:22	6:4 71:10	28:22 35:15	108:4	<b>Drive</b> 34:16
dated 82:13	dependent 59:8	41:10 55:2	disappear 78:1	drop 105:4
<b>dates</b> 7:20	depending 52:8	88:13 114:10	disappears	dropping 105:2
day 46:20 52:14	<b>depth</b> 43:3 81:1	115:15 121:20	104:19	duct 84:7
53:5 104:17	81:10 82:18	determination	discuss 13:16	ducts 88:12
116:10,20	83:6	2:11 11:4,9,10	23:20 58:6	dump 38:8
117:16 125:13	Deputy 7:4	111:19 112:15	discussed 11:14	<b>dumped</b> 103:14
daylight 27:21	describe 84:19	119:5	76:18 110:3	dumpsters
days 11:8,10,17	design 2:8 13:10	determined	discussion 14:2	27:14 53:4
89:7 95:18	13:18,20,22	119:18	25:21 51:15	dunnage 89:13
104:20 116:20	14:20 19:20	developed 95:17	53:20 58:7,12	durable 57:1
daytime 114:19	21:9,19 23:7	developer 48:13	64:8 70:9	dust 20:15 38:19
deadline 11:17	32:16 33:2,20	developers	77:11 100:13	39:3 45:17
36:20	35:1 40:12	63:12	discussions	49:9,10,11,19
<b>deal</b> 36:2	41:8 42:4 45:2	development	15:21 64:17	49:20,22 50:1
<b>decade</b> 66:10,10	47:3,6,10	1:11 2:3 6:4,7	77:15 98:17	50:2,14,21
December 17:12	48:15 49:6	6:21 7:1,3 8:11	109:9	51:2,5,7,18
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

	l	I	İ	Ī
55:3 56:16	<b>Elena</b> 36:18,18	99:20 104:3	Executive 34:15	10:17 11:15,19
68:17 70:3,7	39:2	Erik 1:13 7:6	exhaust 38:9	12:1 32:12
dusty 55:5	elevation 24:19	14:16 15:22	exhausted 65:8	121:12,14
<b>duty</b> 101:18	66:19 94:8	17:15 23:15,22	existing 20:15	122:7
dwelling 112:5	eleventh 85:1	63:11,13,16	21:7,13 22:9	extensions
	eliminate 31:17	64:16	22:10,12,16,22	122:12
E	Elkus 15:8	Erik's 64:8	23:18 24:9,13	extent 24:6 88:2
E 2:1 3:1,1	embrace 48:5	especially 36:7	29:3 30:21	92:7
124:1,1,1	emergency 48:8	50:22 52:1	31:2,15,22	extraordinary
e-mails 16:1	employed 125:9	53:13 77:21	32:1,4,8,13	40:19
earlier 90:18	encapsulating	113:7 116:17	76:19,20 77:18	extremely 70:12
early 89:20	55:21	essay 94:8	81:7,18 82:8	eye 52:14 93:15
easement 37:22	enclosure 31:13	essence 18:19	83:13,19 84:2	95:22
38:1,13 52:13	encourage 8:10	essential 40:16	84:4 90:7,19	eyeing 8:7
52:17 58:16,21	ended 92:8	essentially 20:3	91:11 93:3	
59:9 60:16	ends 31:21	32:13 38:8,21	94:8 96:18	F
61:14 63:5	enforce 59:10	55:7,17,21	113:21 114:12	fabricated 101:3
easily 51:7 94:13	enforcement	establishment	115:4	101:6,11
East 13:12 40:13	60:16	112:8 113:11	exit 29:14,18	103:13
40:22 48:21,22	engage 86:4	establishments	expand 17:5	fabrication
119:7	122:22	112:13	92:13	89:15
easy 38:15	engaged 86:12	et 7:15 8:3,8	expanding 8:3	façade 79:12
Ebikes 51:1	engineering	49:13 68:19	expect 38:11	face 26:5 85:3
ECPT 49:4,8	89:9	etchings 30:1	expects 57:19	105:13
51:3	England 35:11	<b>Evan</b> 1:15 6:10	expeditious	facing 114:6
<b>edge</b> 16:7 25:9	37:8 39:4	6:11,14,16,17	108:18	fact 38:5 57:14
26:5 27:17	40:12 41:21	<b>evening</b> 3:8 7:2	expense 70:16	66:3 84:18
66:8 94:13	47:13 48:12	13:20 40:7	experience	94:20 97:8
edges 80:9	49:2,5	41:4 74:21	38:10	113:9
<b>edits</b> 26:1	enhance 41:10	77:4 107:5,16	expertise 64:3	<b>fade</b> 54:21 56:6
effects 80:14	<b>ensure</b> 21:3 31:6	110:3 111:19	experts 63:17	56:7
<b>effort</b> 90:15 96:2	107:8	113:13 116:4	expirations	fades 44:7
efforts 86:13	ensured 22:2	117:14,15	122:12	Fahrenheit
eight 35:7,18,19	entered 34:5	everybody	<b>expire</b> 121:13	43:19,20
36:1	entire 24:4	64:12 87:4	expires 125:17	fair 98:4
either 23:4 25:4	27:14,17 82:9	108:18	explain 113:18	<b>fairly</b> 93:17
57:15 76:1	entirety 19:15	everyone's	explained 35:2	103:6
84:8,13 104:9	32:14	79:20	explanation	fallen 23:3
108:4	entity 29:1	exact 30:20	53:1	families 50:18
elderly 43:22	entrance 50:4	exacting 69:8	explored 26:14	<b>family</b> 46:19
electric 50:12	entries 23:1	exactly 22:3	expose 57:17	47:17
element 21:19	entry 22:19 29:6	84:17 91:12	exposed 51:21	fancy 38:20
30:10 63:15	29:13,18	example 16:12	52:7 57:21	fantastic 46:10
80:22 81:13	<b>equal</b> 31:18	18:12 43:18	exposing 51:22	Fantini 34:4
82:18 88:16	equipment 17:7	56:6	express 39:19	far 32:21 47:17
90:12 103:20	33:11 49:18	excellent 5:16	41:6,17	52:17 56:2
122:10	52:1 59:3 74:6	40:12 41:21	expressed	96:6 119:10
elements 22:6	76:11 77:9	48:1,12 77:4	122:13	Farooq 1:11 7:3
23:10 79:12	80:8,15 89:11	excise 37:6	extending 29:9	62:4,4 64:1
86:1	90:20 98:7	excitement 45:5	extension 2:6	fast 116:22
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l

fate 89:8	fighting 103:8	<b>floor</b> 74:1	111:10	
fatigue 53:12	figure 59:12	flow 69:9	forward-facing	G
faultiness 77:16	108:13 123:1	Flynn 5:5	24:22	G 3:1
favor 10:8 12:22	file 17:19 87:21	focus 16:6,19	found 55:1	Galleria 50:4
45:6 72:21	filed 11:16 17:12	17:22 19:7	foundations	76:17
111:3 120:20	85:13	87:13 98:5	83:15 84:2,4	gap 32:7 117:7
fear 43:15	filling 94:22	focused 18:13	fountain 30:3	garage 37:3
feasibility 63:3	final 11:5,7	75:7 76:21	four 5:14 27:16	Garrec 113:15
63:20	32:21	87:11	29:11 60:4	115:21 116:3
<b>feasible</b> 64:11	Finally 40:20	<b>focusing</b> 15:18	98:18	117:13 118:3,9
96:7	42:7	37:19	<b>four-story</b> 73:22	118:13,18,20
feature 77:22	financially	folks 7:2 15:9	foyers 94:9	119:14 121:1,4
79:21 81:17	125:10	64:3,7	framing 83:13	gate 29:10,17
features 18:13	find 3:20 70:7	follow 92:18	Franklin 8:8	gated 29:6
47:15 79:2	86:15	97:6 118:2	frankly 66:22	gazebo 16:13
February 9:4,4	finding 119:17	follow-up 57:6,7	71:9 96:8	17:3 21:1 30:2
9:4 17:20	fine 67:12 68:13	75:6 92:22	101:7 109:10	46:9 67:11
fee 58:17	117:2	100:9,12 122:3	Fred 34:4	<b>general</b> 1:3 2:3
feedback 58:8		followed 13:15		41:3,5 53:19
	fine-tuning		freedom 94:3	71:2 73:15
70:12 75:9	23:22 finer 20:2	34:12 36:16	French 113:22	89:4 108:5
76:19		39:8 40:3	114:21 116:5	generally 26:16
feel 19:9 39:13	finish 21:19	42:18 44:18	fresh 116:11,20	31:10 69:10
39:16 45:19	finished 39:22	46:14	friend 49:6	generation 70:5
47:9,11 81:11	48:14 102:8	following 41:22	From' 124:2	generous 26:8
82:13 84:8	finishes 19:11	97:17 115:18	front 16:9 45:22	gentle 24:21
96:9,18	firm 123:1	follows 21:4	50:3 92:16	gently 24:19
feeling 47:18	first 2:6 6:3	food 112:8,13	100:6	geometric 77:18
feels 23:12	10:19 17:5	113:10 116:15	<b>full</b> 6:8 57:1	geometry 79:1
feet 81:7 92:3	21:22 26:17	117:9,15,17	99:4	81:8 82:22
112:5 114:5,22	27:6 28:1,2,4	120:21	fully 27:13 57:1	George 39:8
114:22 117:20	34:11 40:10	footage 62:7	57:21	40:3,3,5,5 42:8
fellow 70:11	43:5 44:4 47:3	football 63:10	fun 6:19	42:11,16
felt 21:17 25:18	49:2 55:1 67:5	foregoing	<b>function</b> 26:19	getting 51:19
31:16 54:16	69:10 72:1	124:15	62:11	67:14 82:18
56:11,17 74:8	74:1 75:21	foreground 28:3	functionality	83:7 94:21
82:11,21	76:16,22 87:4	foremost 28:3	62:9	103:9 109:17
fence 93:9	88:4 89:3,19	Forest 49:12	functions	<b>giant</b> 103:3
101:16	98:13 105:19	Forestry 49:15	117:14	<b>girders</b> 83:14,22
fencing 18:13	114:6 116:14	form 77:20	fundamental	give 3:19 6:12
59:4	Fish 113:12,14	95:20	105:13	13:22 18:6
Ferrando 74:16	fit 89:3 99:2	formerly 15:8	furnished 94:14	32:11 48:18
74:20,22 87:8	fits 45:19	19:3	furniture 16:21	74:17 92:14
89:2 92:17,20	fix 102:12	forth 22:3 51:19	further 58:7	93:7 102:15
98:2 99:14,16	fixed 95:13	57:16 93:8	71:5 82:18	114:10 115:15
100:1 101:6,13	fixtures 16:21	119:7	109:11 121:14	given 37:22 64:9
101:18 102:3,7	flag 85:20	fortunate 86:11	121:20 123:6	70:13 94:5,18
102:10,12	flexibility 65:1	forward 6:15	125:8	108:1,19
106:22 107:2	flies 85:2	16:2 33:2 60:8	furthest 92:7	gives 45:19 51:6
111:9	flight 67:2	86:16,18 96:11	future 14:3	94:6
<b>fight</b> 103:14	flights 66:20	109:6 110:1	30:16	<b>giving</b> 34:13
	l		l	- I

	1	1	1	
36:17 39:9	55:10 56:4	32:22 40:18	half-million-d	90:9
40:4 42:19	67:2 77:4	49:3,5,6 65:14	35:19	heartened 88:9
44:19 46:15	81:17 87:22	74:15,19 75:15	hand 14:4 22:2	heat 80:14
<b>glad</b> 45:1 57:8	91:8 97:5	78:21 79:12,21	32:19 33:22	Heather 42:18
<b>go</b> 15:14 16:3,17	100:19 103:12	80:2 81:12	34:1 77:1 96:8	44:18,18,20,20
17:21 18:1,3	105:7 109:5,16	85:6 99:19	106:20 112:21	Heather's 47:4
20:15 22:3	113:13 116:4	111:11 115:18	114:10 115:14	heavier 101:18
23:9 45:4	121:3	118:22 123:3	125:12	heavily 28:8
55:16 67:10,12	Goodman 75:2	greater 31:7	handicap 50:6	height 31:3,11
68:9,11,12	92:2 99:4,10	64:3 65:1	handicapped	81:2,5,7
75:13,15,18	99:13	greatly 41:10	49:11 50:3	102:20 104:1,4
78:8 81:6 83:4	Goodnight	green 25:11	handle 65:4,5	105:15
83:14 88:5	123:8,9	29:15 47:22	handrail 21:8	held 3:12 9:3
104:3 107:18	<b>goods</b> 116:9	66:2,3,18 83:9	56:20 57:9	hello 6:13 44:20
107:22 112:20	gotten 47:1	green-dashed	handrails 22:13	46:16
122:9	60:22 76:19	22:10 25:6	53:8 54:8 58:6	help 24:11 44:14
goal 17:21 21:16	Governor	greener 100:17	<b>hands</b> 94:6	75:10
33:3	121:15	ground 62:8	hang 61:4	<b>helped</b> 28:14,17
<b>goals</b> 65:2	grab 32:5	73:22 83:16	happen 68:11	75:10
<b>God</b> 109:11	grade 18:8	ground-floor	103:13	helpful 16:19
goes 66:13	24:16,17	112:6	happened 85:12	54:2 87:9,17
109:11	graffiti 48:6	group 15:9 19:3	85:18	88:4 97:14
going 6:18 14:4	granite 21:20	19:9 83:7	happening	99:6
15:2,16 17:5	22:5 25:5 29:7	89:19	78:22 79:3	helps 81:17
18:2,9,10	55:6,7 56:16	guardrail 31:4	93:4	88:13
21:12,13 35:10	78:19 79:3	31:13	happens 60:13	hereunto 125:12
35:15 37:14,15	80:22 81:14	guess 35:15	94:12 105:3	Hi 6:14 34:14
37:16 40:7	94:10	36:19 57:12	109:14	48:20 74:20
43:15 44:8,8	grant 13:17	97:6 100:12	<b>happy</b> 37:8	115:21
47:16 50:6	60:15 71:15	<b>guide</b> 75:10	39:16 122:21	hide 106:1
52:3,7,10	granted 14:9	guidelines 49:15	hard 36:5 50:14	hideous 45:21
53:10,11,11	59:9 73:14,18	49:16 119:7	68:21 80:21	high 105:4
54:7 58:22	111:20 112:3	Gustavson 22:1	hardtop 38:21	high-efficiency
59:2,8 61:21	granting 48:15	guys 115:21	hate 61:4 63:9	80:15
62:1 66:6 67:6	<b>graphic</b> 30:4,8	119:14	103:14	higher 81:7
67:12,17 68:21	30:16,19 65:12		He'll 18:3	highlighted 19:5
68:22 69:6	graphics 30:1	H	heads 22:12	27:16 29:12
70:22 74:17	grateful 42:22	H 1:9 4:12,14	health 46:5	highlighting
83:1 87:18	gravel 50:1	9:16,17 12:8,9	hear 15:10 34:8	55:12 69:10
88:1 92:11	Graves' 16:9	13:6 71:21	34:17 51:2,4	highlights 26:15
96:11 103:13	30:22	72:7,8 73:9	96:6 108:6	<b>highly</b> 26:22
105:6,18	gray 43:11 44:8	87:2 97:17	115:21 116:2	28:4 30:14
113:11 114:9	54:18 55:16,22	100:12 108:9	<b>heard</b> 49:10	105:14
114:17 116:9	56:3 57:22	108:12 110:11	70:22 77:11	Highway 47:20
116:12 118:15	69:19 70:1	110:12 111:15	106:14 107:11	47:21
<b>gold</b> 80:16	78:11 89:1	120:6,7 122:1	hearing 1:3 7:14	Hinds 46:14
<b>golden</b> 95:19	104:19,20	122:3,6,19	7:16,16 8:7 9:8	48:18,20,20
Gontigio 113:15	grayish 105:16	123:3 124:1	10:18 11:6,9	69:1
<b>good</b> 3:8 48:9	great 10:9 13:1	half 22:14 24:2	11:11,13,16,20	historic 30:1
53:16 54:1	14:22 26:8,12	70:5	33:19 52:12	69:17
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	l

historically 60:5	10:14 12:14,15	improvement	indoors 114:14	interesting 28:7
History 41:19	13:7 21:11	16:4,16,18	infill 23:8	90:16 95:4
hit 58:5	24:9 30:18	45:12 47:11	information	114:16 115:3
Hm 102:11	65:10,11 69:7	improvements	14:1 63:7,14	interests 36:5
Hoffman 42:18	72:13,14 73:10	2:8 13:10	64:17	interests 36.5
44:18,20,20	82:6 93:21,22	14:10 17:9	informative	118:7
hold 8:6 64:13	96:3 103:12	20:22 40:13	32:3	interference
72:6 80:8	104:15,16	41:7,8,13,18	infuse 22:21	42:6,7,11,12
122:7	106:20 107:4,7	41:22 42:5	infused 54:20	interfering
holding 101:8	108:16,21,22	47:16 71:3	initial 17:12	117:11
holds 58:21	109:3,5,7	107:9	89:20	interior 76:21
home 52:15	110:17,18	improving	initially 20:15	80:4
homemade	111:6,9,16	30:14	inlay 79:3 81:14	Intern 7:1
116:11	119:8,9 120:12	in-kind 35:17	inlays 78:19	introduce 6:4
honest 26:21	120:13,21	in-person	input 41:22	15:4 74:18
honestly 60:5	Hugh's 97:4	122:14	60:22	introduction
99:2	107:17,21	inappropriate	inside 29:18	15:15 22:17
hope 32:22 33:6	Hurley 44:21	53:5 69:18	92:3 116:16	23:20 24:3
39:12 45:20	hybrid 122:14	inch 43:6 57:1	insight 63:14	introductions
46:7,10 86:3	122:17	inch-and- 56:21	insightful 77:10	24:7
86:15 109:11		inches 38:4 43:8	Inspectional	involve 71:13
hoped 28:17	I	43:8	85:18	involved 95:19
hopefully 18:10	<b>IBI</b> 15:8 19:3	include 11:5	inspired 88:12	involved 33:13
32:15 36:20	iconic 69:4	14:10 112:13	install 21:12	Iram 1:11 7:2
39:22 90:9	idea 60:12 67:18	included 112:4	55:18 56:7,10	61:22 62:4,4
100:5	67:19 107:17	includes 8:9	101:4,7	63:9,19 64:1
hoping 86:10	107:21	including 40:21	installations	64:16,17
horizontal 31:12	ideal 64:20	incorporate	16:14	irrespective
81:13 82:16	ideas 103:12	20:2 23:10	installed 23:6	68:1
95:12	II 95:18	incorporated	49:14 89:16	irreversible
horizontally	illustrate 28:14	17:16 29:2	91:20 101:14	69:22 70:4
94:20	illustrated 24:10	incorporates	installing 48:8	ISD 98:17 103:2
hosta 23:5	illustrates 22:7	29:3	instance 62:10	island 27:8
hot 43:21	image 23:2	incorporating	instructed 98:19	80:14
Hotel 41:3,5	26:13 29:16,16	31:11 32:7	instructions	isolation 37:7
<b>hotter</b> 43:18	31:14 54:16	78:2	3:19,21	issue 46:3 53:11
hour 85:1,1	55:19 56:8,17	incorporation	integral 19:14	54:14,14,15
hours 111:6	immediately	29:5	19:16 20:5	60:19,21 61:13
house 40:22	29:13 38:18	increase 50:14	53:1	61:13 62:2,16
46:1 69:16	63:10	50:15	integrated 51:5	62:22 64:9
119:10	impact 59:22	increased 23:4	integrity 36:11	119:13
houses 69:20	79:6	indicate 85:15	<b>intent</b> 21:15	issued 74:5
housing 8:10	impeding 80:6	indication 97:20	23:11,18 24:11	85:19
40:16	important 39:21	indiscernible	30:14,19 55:12	issues 36:6
hue 82:10	40:20 46:21	40:21,22 76:18	intention 30:20	53:14 108:16
<b>huge</b> 37:19	80:7 94:11	95:18	interest 23:1	It'll 118:21
88:13	112:21	individually	77:19 78:3	item 6:3 9:1
<b>Hugh</b> 1:8 3:6	impressive	93:1	100:7 122:13	10:16 13:2,9
1 4 21 5 1 22	35:16	1 • 1 / 41	1 1 1 1 70 5	I 72 14 74 11
4:21 5:1,22		indoor/outdoor	interested 79:5	73:14 74:11
4:21 5:1,22 8:21 9:22 10:1	improve 59:6	115:2	125:10	/3:14 /4:11 108:5 111:19

161110	1		l	1
items 16:14,19	5:21 8:20 9:11	knitting 23:12	Landing 16:9	lease 118:10,16
16:22 33:20	9:15 10:13	know 15:22 16:2	30:22	leave 11:12 90:4
89:6	12:3,7 13:6	16:13,14 17:1	landlord 118:4	91:16 100:5
J	51:17 57:7	17:17,17 18:6	118:15	105:3
	60:20 62:1	18:6 21:11,12	landmarks 17:3	leaving 24:18
<b>J</b> 1:7 3:4 4:10	72:5 73:9	23:15 25:8	landscape 47:8	Lechmere 2:8
5:20 8:19 9:11	101:2,11,17,20	33:5 36:9 38:3	69:4 79:7	13:10 14:10
9:15 10:12	102:5,9,11,14	38:20 39:5,15	landscaping	37:2 40:15
12:3,7 13:5	104:8,13 110:6	43:11 48:4,7	16:21 33:11	75:22 90:14
51:17 57:7	110:10 111:15	52:3,20 54:9	59:4	<b>LEED</b> 80:16
60:20 62:1	120:5 121:3	54:12,13 55:13	lane 66:13,13,14	left 28:3 55:19
72:5 73:8	<b>July</b> 3:13 125:18	57:19 58:13,22	lap 103:15	57:21 114:3
101:2,11,17,20	<b>jump</b> 89:2	59:5,7,10,13	large 27:16 42:2	legal 61:13 63:4
102:5,9,11,14	<b>June</b> 76:9 89:8	59:21,22 60:2	70:17 73:2	legend 66:1
104:8,13 110:6		60:4,6,8,14,17	79:22 108:15	length 66:14
110:10 111:14	K	60:18 61:7,13	larger 19:22	let's 41:1 53:16
120:5 121:3	keep 18:19	61:17 63:10,20	29:1 31:9	65:6 102:16
January 9:3	25:14,18 31:10	64:12 65:7	103:9,9	letter 17:14
11:4 14:15	44:14 45:8	68:7,20 70:5,7	lastly 48:11	33:10
17:13	87:18 88:1	79:18 80:20	late 108:19	level 24:16
<b>Jeannie</b> 75:4,12	99:17 104:1,4	83:8 84:17	Laughter	40:19 47:13
75:17 77:1,4,5	104:20	85:12,20,22	109:18 119:11	leveling 24:11
87:6,15 89:3	keeping 52:14	86:4,11,12,15	<b>Lauren</b> 74:16,20	liabilities 91:5
90:17 92:21	59:18 80:5	87:10,15,20	74:21 77:6	license 93:7
93:12,19	Kelley 7:15,21	88:21 89:7,15	78:14 83:4	118:11,14
103:16 104:12	kept 3:18	89:21 90:2,9	87:6,8 89:2	life 77:20 79:11
109:16	key 15:18,19	90:10 92:10	92:17,20,22	lifelong 36:22
<b>Jim</b> 41:15	16:4,16 17:8	93:22 95:21	98:2 99:16	lifting 24:16
<b>job</b> 41:21 49:3	keys 68:14	96:8 98:3,19	100:1 101:6,13	<b>light</b> 28:8 30:12
94:4 107:14	<b>Khalil</b> 1:14 7:4	99:18 100:5	101:18 102:3,7	30:15 46:5
jogging 84:5	kick 51:16	101:9,12 102:2	102:10,12	62:18 80:2,5
<b>John</b> 15:2,6,7	<b>Kim</b> 113:12,13	102:15,21	106:22 107:2	114:8,9,18,18
19:2,4 22:1	116:1 121:5	103:1,2,4	111:9	115:7,7,7
32:19,20 38:5	Kimpton 41:3	104:8,10,11,17	law 3:13 121:12	116:21
54:6 58:9,10	kind 25:14	105:9,12 106:5	lawn 16:8 17:4	lighter 44:5
61:9,12	33:20 35:14	106:9,9,16	24:9,12,17	lighting 16:20
Johnson 19:4	38:10 42:9	107:11,12	25:13,17 26:6	18:16 30:2,3,7
47:6	47:9 48:5 51:1	108:12,14	26:8,12	30:9 46:2,7
Johnson's 15:9	51:6 52:7 53:9	109:13,15,17	lay 24:22	48:5
<b>joined</b> 7:6,8	57:12 64:16	109:20 113:5	layer 24:4 43:7	Lilac 7:17
75:2	65:8 71:4	121:11 122:11	layers 50:1	limit 24:3 59:19
joint 94:21,22	77:20 82:6	122:21	layout 90:8	limitations
joists 83:14,19	90:21 92:1	known 19:18	Le 113:15	97:10
<b>Jones</b> 15:8 19:2	93:15 97:14	knows 40:14	115:21 116:3	line 22:10 25:2,3
19:3 54:11,15	99:20 102:17	109:11	117:13 118:3,9	25:6 38:4 66:2
58:9 69:7 73:3	103:11 104:18		118:13,18,20	66:3,18 68:22
<b>Josep</b> 95:14	kinds 53:18 91:6	L	119:14 121:1,4	81:1,8,11,17
Joseph 1:12	93:6	lack 61:16,16	lead 89:6	86:10 88:12,14
6:22 41:2 85:9	kitchen 116:6,7	laid 76:4	leader 100:17	92:4 94:16
<b>Jr</b> 1:7 3:5 4:10	116:8 117:16	<b>Land</b> 66:12,18	learned 38:21	95:2 102:20
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>

103:11 104:10	75:19 84:7	<b>lot</b> 6:18 17:1	<b>Madam</b> 61:10	matching 52:3
108:2 124:2	85:14 89:11	18:5 25:21	69:14	81:7
lines 24:13,15	91:17 102:17	28:5 38:5,11	Magoor 7:1	material 20:4
25:10,13 51:18	103:3 115:1,9	47:19 54:4	mail 42:14	21:19 22:8
95:12 103:18	115:9,16	59:2 61:2 71:7	main 116:8	23:3,11 49:12
link 67:19	116:12	76:19,22 78:15	117:11	51:5 68:18
liquor 118:14	locations 30:21	82:2 83:6,17	maintain 59:10	84:20 94:22
list 6:8 35:8,10	31:21 32:1	90:21 94:3	maintained	materiality
51:12	64:20	97:10 104:17	49:14	19:11
listed 121:7	Loic 113:15,21	lot/Bluebikes	maintenance	materials 11:13
listen 36:6	114:9,10,17	58:8	52:5 53:11	16:22 17:16
listened 70:12	115:14,14,17	lots 45:8	81:16 101:21	18:4 41:9
listening 35:9	115:19,21	Lou 9:13 12:5	major 10:19	54:13 84:18
47:13	116:3 117:13	27:2 51:16	76:4	88:8 89:21
literally 101:15	118:3,9,13,18	53:15 56:20	making 18:19	91:19 99:8,19
104:19	118:20 119:14	57:5 60:2	20:22 24:6	matrix 58:3
little 15:14 17:5	121:1,4	61:22 62:5	77:12,21 82:9	Matt 75:2 92:2
22:4,21 28:11	long 37:9 39:5	70:8 72:3	85:1 119:4	99:4,10,13
35:18 42:9	44:21,22,22	101:1 104:15	<b>Malden</b> 6:11	matter 56:1
47:5 48:4	54:9 57:20	110:8 120:4	Mall 14:8,12	mature 27:16
55:11 69:15	67:12 102:20	Lou's 53:22	16:7 22:19	McGrath 47:20
80:21 85:11	long-winded	Louis 1:7 3:4	40:15 49:6	mean 32:22 33:5
89:18 90:16	91:1	4:8,10 5:20	manage 25:10	38:7,8 55:11
91:2 92:13	longer 81:3	8:19 9:11,15	Manager 1:11	58:10,11 59:1
94:6 99:20	longest 89:6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		10:12 12:3,7	7:3 41:3,5,12	59:2,10 68:3
101:2 105:12	look 37:14 41:11	13:5 51:17	Manfredi 15:8	69:3 95:10
106:7 122:20	45:16,21 47:9	57:7 60:20	manner 108:18	97:19,22 103:6
live 4:2 46:17	62:19,22 63:6	62:1 72:5 73:8	manufacturer	104:8 106:11
48:21 122:9	63:20 66:1,11	101:2,11,17,20	20:10 56:12	109:19
living 83:9 90:12	79:14 82:2,15	102:5,9,11,14	101:8	meaning 50:12
Lluis 95:14	82:17 83:9	104:8,13 110:6	maples 23:19	means 64:20
loading 27:3,4,4	84:5 94:20	110:10 111:14	March 1:4 3:9	meant 21:17
27:10,14,15	95:5,10 103:5	120:5 121:3	Marie 34:12	mechanical 74:6
37:19 38:1,2	103:8 105:8	love 48:14 96:6	36:16,16,18,18	84:7 88:11
38:14 52:11	111:10	lovely 46:20	39:2 44:21	90:20 91:14
58:18 60:10	looked 60:3,3,4	lower 19:13,15	mark 56:8	mechanically
loads 83:21 84:1	62:15 64:7	20:10 23:2	Marlene 34:3	57:15
84:7 91:12	78:2 79:19	31:9,14,14	40:3 42:18,18	medium-sized
locating 27:2	82:9 83:6,17	43:3 66:11	42:21,21	94:9
112:7	95:11	luck 121:3	Marlowe 41:3,5	meet 6:14 27:9
location 18:14	looking 6:15	lunch 114:9,18	Mary 5:5	32:4,6
25:4 26:17,20	16:20 28:2	115:7 116:22	mass 7:16 93:17	meeting 1:5 2:4
26:22 28:12	35:10,16 60:18	Lundberg 34:4	Massachusetts	3:9,12 4:1,3,9
31:18 37:12	61:18 63:2	40:3 42:18,21	1:6 49:16	4:13,16,19,22
48:9 52:19	77:7,17 78:16	42:21	125:2,5	5:3,6,9 6:5,9
54:5 58:8,11	80:19,20		massage 27:19	7:8,12 9:2,9
58:14,16 60:7	105:20 106:1		massive 38:2,14	14:13 15:13,20
61:5 63:18,22	looks 34:11	machine 57:16	match 21:13	15:21 17:14
64:6,11,18	45:20 56:9	Mack 36:16	22:3 78:19	19:5 60:21
67:16 71:6	94:16 117:6	39:7,10,10	81:14 82:4	74:12 75:9
			I	I

				1 agc 130
76:18 77:7	12.9 10 12 14	mistalva 107.2	move 9:10 16:2	<b>native</b> 23:10
87:14,16 90:11	12:8,10,12,14 12:16,18,21	mistake 107:2 mistakes 67:4,5	16:7 17:10	natives 22:22
100:5 121:10	13:19 14:7	misunderstood	25:13 26:9	23:21
		91:10		natural 51:5
121:12,16	34:11,18 36:15		33:2,4,18	
123:1	39:7 40:2 42:8	Mm-hm 93:12	37:13 53:19	80:2,5
meetings 3:22	42:15,17 44:17	103:16 104:12	55:20 60:8	nature 51:6
9:3 96:13	46:13 48:17	108:11 118:12	64:11 88:17,19	near 30:4 48:9
121:18 122:8,9	51:11 63:9	mode 122:14,14	91:15 92:12	84:3 121:18
meets 21:3	72:3,7,9,11,13	122:18	93:7 108:18	neared 122:12
31:13 32:12,16	72:15,17,20	model 87:15	109:5 110:1	necessarily
member 4:6	73:17 85:9	88:2	113:9,17	49:10 55:10
5:15 45:5	110:8,11,13,15	models 91:7	118:20	NED 42:22 47:4
70:11,17	110:17,19,21	modern 47:5	moved 12:2	47:8
members 3:4,15	111:2 112:2	modification	71:18 110:4	need 11:18
3:15,18 4:7	115:22 116:2	32:10 37:13	115:8 119:21	31:11 51:10
5:14,20 8:19	120:4,6,8,10	91:22	movements	57:4 62:10
10:7,12 11:22	120:12,14,16	modifications	69:10	70:5 92:4 97:5
12:21 13:5	120:19 121:9	7:17 32:14	moving 19:10	97:7 98:15
15:10,22 17:15	122:5,10,20	modify 32:6	59:19 86:18	112:21 118:13
33:21 51:3	123:4	85:2 92:13	88:12 97:2	122:18
53:17 65:7	met 39:17	modular 95:17	mullions 82:7	needed 19:6
70:21 71:11	metal 101:12,14	modulate 88:21	Multi-Use 50:10	25:5 41:13
72:20 73:8	mic 116:4	modulation	multicolored	42:5 88:22
100:13,18	middle 59:21	91:18	57:17	107:8
107:15 108:4	78:22 79:10,10	Mogassabi 1:14	multifamily	needs 11:16 29:1
111:2,14 117:3	79:22 80:1,3	7:4	8:10	35:5 50:8
120:19	90:18 100:6	moment 56:8	multiple 62:6	62:12 106:11
membrane	Middlesex 125:3	79:17	87:12,13 90:10	neighbor 41:18
80:13,14	midtones 82:4	Monestime 8:3	115:3	neighborhood
memo 74:11	milestones 76:4	monetary 45:18	municipal 37:2	46:21 49:4,7
memorandum	76:9	money 59:2	mute 3:18	61:3
112:16	mimic 69:20	monolithic	muted 78:11	neighboring
memory 79:20	mimicking	93:15	100:11	58:21
mention 47:16	102:21 105:5	Monsignor	mylars 21:21	neighbors 51:20
121:11	mimics 93:2	47:19,20	22:1	76:16,22
mentioned 7:21	mind 70:4 93:5	month 121:13	<b>Myoung</b> 116:16	neighbors' 61:1
19:4 21:11	minimize	months 6:12	Myoungkeun	neither 125:8
24:9 27:12	105:15	75:10 98:18	113:11,13,13	never 36:6 47:18
83:4 115:5	minimum 43:8	Moogoor 1:14	116:1 121:5	Nevergreen
menu 114:18	92:4 95:8	morning 116:9		28:9 30:2
115:7	104:1,4	<b>motion</b> 9:8,13	N	new 6:9 7:18
menus 114:9	minority 100:17	11:22 12:5	N 2:1 3:1	21:5,12 22:7
mesh 29:4	minute 18:2	71:15 72:3	name 3:10 9:6	22:15,19,22
Messplay 1:12	26:21	110:1,8 119:17	15:7 19:3 34:7	23:12,20 24:7
4:8,12,15,18	minutes 9:2,9	120:4	34:13 36:17	25:12,20 27
4:21 5:2,5,8,13	34:9	motorized 50:13	39:9 40:4,5	31:17 35:11
6:6,7,17,20	misrepresent	50:22	42:19 44:19	37:8 39:3
9:13,16,18,20	102:3	mound 24:18	46:15,16 48:19	40:12,19 41:21
9:22 10:2,4,7	missed 112:21	mount 52:21	48:20	47:13 48:11
10:21,22 12:5	113:8	mounted 29:4	names 3:16	49:2,4 59:3,4,4
10.21,22 12.3	113.0	mounted 27.7		T7.2,T 77.3,T,T
I	•	•	•	•

66:7,13 67:15	88:10 96:5	109:8 113:7	23:18 31:16	118:5
67:17 74:5	105:5 106:2	online 4:2	32:16 37:10	owners 62:3
77:8 113:10	109:19	Oop 78:9	39:3 44:7	71:9
news 79:12	occupied 112:8	open 14:11	47:10 49:6	ownership
nice 6:14 45:6	115:5	23:20 25:19	55:2,3 56:16	61:14 75:1
45:19 81:11	occur 22:8,16	70:15 83:14	73:21 78:10	owns 37:20
104:9	30:16	114:2 116:19	86:8 102:21	114:21
<b>night</b> 48:4	occurred 28:4	Open-Air 45:5	originally 21:16	
nightmare	occurs 24:18	opened 113:22	23:13 25:9	P
101:21 102:17	28:13 29:14	opening 25:3	26:4,17 37:1	<b>P</b> 3:1
nine 18:4 19:5	30:5,22	31:12 116:8	37:22 73:18	<b>p.m</b> 1:4 3:3 5:19
36:1	Off-Street 66:2	openings 31:7	112:3	8:18 10:11
noise 38:9 47:19	offers 40:17	operation 118:7	Oski 75:5	13:4 34:2,5
51:2,3	office 40:15	operations	106:20	73:7 111:13
non-profit 35:13	73:22	118:5	Otis 25:12 26:1	114:19,20
nonarchitect	officials 42:1	operator 59:18	36:19 37:13	116:16 117:10
97:14	oftentimes	71:7	40:6 69:11	123:10
nonexistent	85:13	opinion 47:5	75:22	package 18:10
77:14	oh 22:14 24:2	opportunities	ought 66:15,17	page 2:2 65:20
nonnative 23:10	42:11 99:10	27:2	outcome 125:10	113:17 124:2
normal 11:2	101:17 118:19	opportunity	outdated 87:17	paint 69:16,21
north 52:11	okay 6:2 10:9	6:12 27:6 70:6	outdoor 8:4	70:1 101:15,16
<b>Notary</b> 125:4,16	11:21 13:21	opposed 58:17	49:15 114:14	101:18
notch 88:13,22	34:19 42:15	opposing 25:17	outgrowth	painted 69:18
notches 96:20	51:9 53:15,22	opposite 105:20	15:21	101:13
note 66:12	58:5 64:15	oppressive	outlining 98:5	Painting 101:22
106:20	69:13 70:20	93:16	outreach 49:3	<b>palate</b> 23:19
noted 124:16	71:15 73:12	<b>option</b> 68:4 97:3	89:20	pandemic 115:8
notice 50:3	84:12 92:19	97:19 100:15	outriggers 88:18	115:8
<b>noting</b> 80:11	93:19 95:4	107:10 109:20	91:13	panel 29:4
notion 56:2	97:13 99:13,22	optional 122:8	outside 28:15	101:12,14
November	100:8 106:19	optionality	29:16,17,19	102:1 109:17
76:12 89:17,22	107:1,3 108:3	122:11	59:1 67:10	<b>panels</b> 88:19
<b>number</b> 8:9 16:7	108:20 113:8	options 60:4	78:3 83:11	91:16,17 93:8
18:15 54:1	113:13,19	63:20 78:15	93:9 116:16	94:15 95:9
59:15	115:18 119:4	81:21 82:3	overall 27:21	97:8,18 105:5
	119:14 121:21	83:17 87:12	79:1	parallel 66:18
0	old 23:12 66:10	93:7 96:15,17	overflowing	parapets 102:21
<b>O</b> 3:1	on-the-ground	order 62:11	53:5	paraphernalia
<b>O'Brien</b> 47:20	107:7	88:22 118:14	overhead 30:12	37:17
47:20	once 46:19	ordered 97:9,18	Overlay 8:4	parents 48:2
Oaky 113:3	69:15 93:7	98:6 109:17	oversaw 37:1	park 2:8,9 13:11
objection 28:18	121:20	ordering 84:20	overview 15:17	14:10 24:4
119:9	one's 67:17	ordinance 8:1,5	84:9	25:19 27:5,13
objections 119:4	one-inch 56:18	8:10 112:12	owe 107:22	27:15 28:2,16
objectives 119:6	one-year 56:8	organic 47:10	owned 58:17	37:4,5,6,6,15
119:19	one-year- 56:7	organization	113:21 117:8	39:10 40:13
observe 94:7	ones 54:1 60:5	35:19	117:19	41:7,18 42:4
observed 69:10	95:12	original 14:11	owner 69:16,21	42:21 43:1
obviously 79:5	ongoing 41:17	21:8,20 23:7	69:22 113:12	45:7 46:18,19
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

48:9 51:6	Pause 5:7 9:7	74:5,7 76:5,6	123:2	pleasant 103:4
58:19 59:1,3	65:13 71:14	85:10,13,14,16	placed 26:4	please 6:4 9:5
59:21 60:14	100:10 119:3	85:19 86:1	placement 19:21	34:13,18 36:16
66:8 73:14	paused 100:4	98:18 111:20	places 67:7 68:5	39:1,8 40:3
75:1,8,21,22	101:7	112:3,11	plan 11:5,8	42:19 44:18
76:15,19 79:6	paws 44:1	permitted 8:4	37:10 39:3	46:14 48:18
83:12 90:15	PB-189 2:10	112:12	40:12 43:10	75:15 78:8
parking 37:3	111:20	perpetrated	50:11 56:9	80:18 81:20
53:2	PB-231A 2:6	67:4	66:2,4,9,9,22	113:19
parks 37:5 45:7	10:18	personally	67:2,20 89:11	pleased 45:10
71:10	PB-364 2:7	39:16 100:16	92:11,13 93:8	65:17
parkwide 16:20	13:11	persuaded 97:8	117:7 119:7	plenty 38:14
part 14:8 23:7	<b>PB-38</b> 2:9 73:13	Peter 44:18	<b>planned</b> 98:6	Plowability
36:22 85:15	PDF 115:17	46:14,14,16,16	<b>Planner</b> 6:10,22	49:18
105:20	pedestrian 26:8	petition 7:15,21	7:5	Plus 57:14
participate	50:9 55:13	8:2,3,9	planning 1:1 2:4	point 7:8 38:3
122:15	67:13,20 68:2	petitioner	3:10,21 7:7,9	47:4 57:12
particular 15:11	68:3,14	107:22	7:11,13,22 8:6	64:19 65:16
20:19 23:16	pedestrians 68:5	petitions 7:18	10:18 11:3	66:6 78:5
63:15 65:19	pencil 94:13	petrions 7.18 pets 44:15	14:16 17:12	87:10 88:4
67:13	penthouse 75:7	phone 34:1	37:21 40:11	108:9 109:5
	75:13 77:3,8	phone 34.1 phonetic 75:4	41:16 48:22	116:12 122:13
particularly 71:4 79:5	91:18 94:15	-		
		photographs 55:2	53:17,20 58:7 65:7 73:18	points 87:13
parties 125:9	people 17:22 25:12 28:17			polarized 55:7
partner 35:3,12		photos 88:2	74:9 84:22	poles 28:8
36:7,8 48:12	36:21 38:12,19	<b>physically</b> 89:17 90:20	85:15,22 86:2 89:22 111:6	policy 119:6
partners 83:18	39:16 40:8			pond 50:7
partnership 49:5	43:22 44:15 45:8 50:18	pictural 78:3	112:4,14 121:17 122:17	pop 105:9
		picture 80:21		popouts 93:3
parts 24:4 pass 63:10,15	59:8 61:2,15 62:12 67:1	<b>pictures</b> 38:5 39:4	124:15	portal 4:3
pass 05:10,15 passive 49:21	68:19 80:4		plans 99:5	portion 81:19
*	105:22 116:15	piece 25:18 32:8 81:10 82:10	<b>planter</b> 79:11	pose 53:22
pastries 116:21		94:7	83:8,20	position 85:4
path 19:13,15	percent 85:17 95:22		planting 22:15	93:10 98:15 104:7
25:12,15 43:3 44:8,14 45:16		pieces 90:21	22:16,16 24:3 27:8	
45:16,19 50:8	percolate 50:2	<b>pigment</b> 43:14 44:7		possibility 98:1
· ·	perfect 96:1	· ·	plantings 18:5	possible 15:4
50:9,10,11,11	perfectly 67:12	pigmentation	22:20 24:7	36:12 48:14
50:18 51:18	performance	55:16 56:18	41:10 77:19	62:16 86:5
66:3,20 68:8	18:7	pigmented 20:2	81:15,18	88:11,15 97:7
86:16	perimeter 29:5	pile 83:15 84:4	plants 46:6	post 29:10 30:21
paths 49:17	period 54:20	pink 22:12	play 18:13 33:11	31:15,17,17,22
pathway 18:4	55:20	29:12 38:19	41:10 48:1	32:6,6 56:22
38:18 41:9	permanent	39:3	59:3 100:2	potential 25:12
54:17	44:13	pink-dashed	playground	101:9
pathways 70:3,6	permission 50.12	25:2	16:9 17:6	potentially
patio 116:17	59:13	place 29:3 40:18	28:20 29:1,13	108:1
117:14	permit 11:2	46:3 58:15	47:17,18 52:11	poured 19:17
patios 117:21	13:12 14:9,10	66:15,17 70:19	52:16 53:6	powerful 95:20
pattern 97:21	73:14,18,21	71:4 97:10	playing 103:18	powers 60:16
	I	I	I	I

Page 141

practical 86:5	39:6 51:14	95:13	74:22	7:15,16 11:6,9
pre-pandemic	53:15 57:5	<b>problem</b> 26:18	property 52:12	11:11,16 13:15
115:12	58:5 61:11,21	52:20 105:13	58:22 71:9	14:11 33:8,18
predicament	64:15 69:13	105:17 113:6	74:3 101:14	33:19,19,21
104:14	70:20 71:19,22	procedurally	117:20	35:5 42:1
predicted 70:8	72:17,18,22	14:6 106:10	proponent	58:19 80:3,4
preface 65:14	73:4,8,12	procedure 52:5	53:18 54:1,3	108:6,6,15
prefer 39:2 70:3	74:15 84:12	procedures 11:2	70:3,12 84:13	125:4,16
87:8	85:7 86:20	proceed 34:18	86:22 88:7	PUD 10:22
preferred 60:7	92:19 93:20	86:6 106:10	96:5 107:12	13:11 14:8
68:16	96:3 97:13	107:5,16	109:8 111:5,7	73:18 74:7
prefers 49:8	99:9,12,14,22	proceeded 89:10	112:20	112:3 119:19
prefinished	100:8,19	proceedings 4:5	proponents 71:8	PUD-KS 119:6
102:1	104:15 106:19	123:10 124:18	106:12	puddle 50:2
preliminary	107:1,3,19	125:7	proportion	pull 21:22 23:9
11:3,9,10	107.1,3,19	process 11:12	31:10	87:8 88:2
1 1		39:13 74:3		
prepare 112:16	109:2,4,18		proportioning 95:17	pulled 87:20
present 4:8,10	110:5,7,21,22	84:14 91:21		pulls 48:8
4:13,14,15,18	111:4,11,14,18	96:6 108:2	proportions	purchased 74:2
4:20,21 5:1,2,4	112:19 113:3,5	product 20:12	31:2,5	104:2
5:5,9,11,14 6:4	117:2 118:1,4	20:16 54:17	proposal 14:14	purlin 95:12,13
7:2,4 14:17	118:12,17,19	55:6,19 56:15	19:14 22:21	purple 55:6
61:20 67:16	118:22 119:16	production	26:1 92:15	purview 60:14
74:2,12 98:11	119:22 120:2	89:15	112:7	push 27:7 64:9
112:18	120:16,17	profile 20:1 21:4	proposals 42:22	97:1
presentation	121:2,6,21	21:5 30:9	propose 106:7	put 25:9 35:2
35:9 36:13	122:2 123:5	56:22 91:18	proposed 27:1	45:22 54:22
50:17 74:17	pretty 29:21	project 2:9 6:22	41:8,13,17	61:6 65:11
87:9 99:18	56:11 57:1	15:5,10 27:10	43:6 79:11	70:19 71:3
113:10	89:10 93:15	39:12,19 40:11	89:1 91:17	87:19 90:20
presented 36:10	96:11,22	40:17 41:19	98:13 99:19	104:18
39:20 47:3	previous 19:5	42:3 48:14	114:6,13,14	<b>puts</b> 90:19
96:22 107:6	56:17 75:20	63:12 64:13	115:2,16 118:6	putting 52:19
109:12	77:22 87:9	69:6 73:13	119:5,18	61:13
preserve 90:3	previously 35:1	74:10,16,17	proposing 26:10	<b>puzzle</b> 90:21
102:10	73:13 111:20	75:4,6 76:4,9	101:4 109:5	<b>PV</b> 52:21
preserved 24:14	112:8	77:2 80:12	110:1	
100:3	primarily 17:4	82:12 84:15,19	prospect 36:7	Q
President 41:19	27:18	85:3 87:11	provide 6:5	quality 24:16
48:22	primary 17:22	90:15 98:4	10:20 19:8	question 30:7
pressing 34:1	19:6	104:5 106:21	20:11 22:22	65:15 84:17
<b>Preston</b> 1:7 3:4	Principal 77:6	projects 36:1	26:7 27:20	87:2 88:3,6
3:8,10 5:8,11	prior 47:7	89:7	31:12 63:14	92:21 100:20
5:16,20 6:2,16	prioritize 35:4	promenade 80:4	74:9,11 78:5	104:16 105:1
8:15,19 9:1,12	<b>prism</b> 37:15,16	prominent 79:7	provided 29:5	117:6,11 122:3
10:4,5,9,12,16	private 118:5	102:17 103:3	provides 26:18	questions 9:5
11:21 12:4,18	privately 117:8	proper 59:1	providing 34:20	11:21 14:18
12:19 13:1,5,9	probably 15:9	properly 24:10	81:11	15:11 17:1,22
13:21 14:22	35:22 46:19	26:19 49:14	public 3:16,18	18:5 20:22
33:17 38:22	56:3,14 94:19	Properties	3:19,20 7:14	33:16 39:14
	l	] -		l
-				

51:18 53:16,17	64:10	85:17 91:8	reference 88:2	remembered
53:19,21 54:1	raising 39:18	124:2	referred 7:19	52:12
54:3 65:8	ramp 20:22 21:3	recall 19:14	refinement	remembering
74:14 84:10,13	22:9,18 25:17	received 9:2	84:14	58:18
86:21 87:18	50:3,6 67:10	19:5,8 34:3,4	refinements	reminder 7:11
88:1 112:18	67:15,16	40:21 41:1	86:5 101:9	14:8 15:17
117:1,3 119:2	ramps 21:2	76:5,6	refining 14:14	16:6,15 17:11
123:6	ramps 21.2	receptacles 38:4	reflecting 44:6	75:19 121:10
quick 7:10 75:19	Rapson 75:4	38:10 52:16	reflective 44:13	remiss 98:9
76:3 83:3	ratio 31:10	54:4	refresh 23:11	121:11
84:16 87:2	rays 43:17 44:6	recoat 52:6	47:1 79:20	remote 1:5 3:21
99:5 113:10	reach 93:1	102:14	refreshed 46:22	121:12,18
117:6 121:10	read 33:9 35:10	recognize 6:9	refreshing 30:1	122:8
quick-service	35:15 36:21	recommend	30:2	remotely 3:12
112:7,13	124:15	64:21 83:1	refurbish 25:4	52:21 122:15
quicker 113:18	ready 56:10	recommendat	refurbished	removal 26:20
quickly 6:13	101:3,7 113:9	48:7	22:13	52:1 57:15
32:21 51:22	115:17,19	recommended	refurbishing	remove 25:19
70:7 82:13	real 91:7 99:5	43:8 60:6	21:6	28:5
quiet 93:14	realize 37:12	78:12 83:2	regard 56:20	rendering 78:10
quite 47:1,4	43:5	reconcile 70:10	62:6	93:16 94:14
53:4 60:5	realized 77:16	reconstruction	regarding 43:2	95:6,6
89:16 96:13	really 15:19	22:8	113:10	renderings
97:5 99:2,17	16:1,6,7,10,13	record 15:7 19:2	Registry 37:20	100:16
101:15 106:1	16:19 17:8,21	34:5 91:9	regrading 21:3	renovating 74:3
quorum 5:15	22:16 24:6,19	124:18 125:6	regular 43:10	reorientation
	26:15 28:15	recorded 4:1	reinforcements	18:11
R	30:19 33:1,5	Recreational	76:11,13 89:5	reorienting
<b>R</b> 3:1 19:3 124:1	34:22 35:2	49:15	89:14 98:8	59:19
124:1	36:5 37:8	rectangular	reinforces 70:15	repaired 31:19
raceway 50:10	39:11 45:19	95:6	reiterate 79:18	31:22
radii 22:4	46:20 47:1,5	red 20:2,4,12	relate 62:9	replace 25:5
radius 22:5	47:18 53:14	24:13,15 27:4	related 20:8	replacing 80:13
rail 21:4 25:3,16	55:20 58:3	29:14 44:11	125:8	represent 24:13
25:18 29:4,9	60:20 61:2,4	52:2 55:3,4,18	relates 8:3 60:13	24:15 28:12
31:2,3,3,8,9,15	63:16 65:20	56:13,14 57:22	98:6	representation
32:7,8,9,13	67:16 69:1,4,5	reddish 43:13	relay 106:14	66:4
53:9 57:3,4	77:8,10,17,18	55:7,17	released 76:10	representing
railing 18:18	78:21 81:11	reddish-colored	relevant 64:3,7	15:3 113:12
22:11 25:6,9	82:21,21 83:6	43:6	98:11	represents
25:11 29:3	83:8 84:9,16	redesign 92:1	relief 88:22	22:10,12 25:2
30:21 31:1,2	89:15 90:1,6	redevelopment	92:15	25:6
31:12	91:3 94:8,11	13:12 37:2	relocate 28:5	request 2:6,11
railings 21:20	95:10 97:13,19	76:20	65:1	10:17 11:19
rails 21:6 32:4	103:14 104:1	reds 20:11	remain 22:11	13:17 14:1,20
53:11	106:16,18	reduced 27:8	24:5 25:7	61:1 112:17
raise 31:8 33:22	108:14 114:1	reducing 53:8	76:20 90:19	requests 17:1
34:1 57:10	rear 50:4	56:21 57:8	remainder	require 14:2
raised 39:14	reason 25:8,9	80:14	31:20,22	91:22
47:17 54:1	61:12 68:16	reduction 53:9	remember 47:3	required 59:16
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				1490 143
requirement	32:11 70:6	rights 58:18	109:3 110:17	screen 65:12
27:9 57:3	returning	60:10 61:14	110:18 111:16	75:7,14 77:3,8
requirements	122:13	rise 31:4	119:9 120:12	77:12,19,21
11:1 14:9	reuse 30:21	riser 32:7	120:13,21	78:4,6,10,18
requires 11:7	32:13	river 46:20 66:8	rust 20:12	79:10,14 82:9
50:10 67:1	<b>Revere</b> 46:22	68:8		83:11 84:5
research 43:7	review 2:8 13:10	roadway 56:1,4	S	87:12,17 88:17
researched 61:8	13:20 14:17	<b>Robert</b> 36:16	S 3:1 124:1	89:12,14 90:7
reserve 83:20	33:2,20 48:15	39:7,8,10,10	Saccoccio 34:12	90:8 92:5,7
resident 36:22	63:2 71:13,16	Roessler 15:7	36:16,18,18	97:2 98:7 99:1
residents 42:1	71:16 74:8,9	role 85:4 98:14	38:22 39:2	99:2,5 104:1,4
47:11	77:2 86:2	roll 3:17 9:12,13	safely 62:14	screening 47:22
resolved 61:3	88:10 97:15	12:4,5 72:1,3	68:1	47:22 74:6
respect 47:6	109:7 110:2,3	110:7,8 120:2	safer 44:14 68:4	91:14
respective 92:15	113:7	roof 77:8,12,20	safety 30:14	seam 57:2
respective 92.13 respond 61:1,9	reviewed 98:19	77:21 80:1,7	41:11 46:8	Seam 37.2 Sears 106:2
respond 61.1,9	reviewing 20:12	80:10,13 82:9	salads 116:21	sears 100.2 season 26:16
39:13	reviewing 20:12 revised 17:16	83:13,13,19,19	sale 116:13	seating 18:14
	revisions 47:12	88:14 90:21	sand 68:22	28:21 29:11
Responding 104:16	65:17	99:5	sand oc.22 sandwiches	114:20 115:2
	revisit 38:12	roofline 78:20	116:21	seats 114:14
response 94:5		79:2	satellite 116:7	
responses 11:5 71:1 94:2	rhythm 77:18 78:22	roofs 101:22	satisfaction	second 9:11 11:6
			62:21	11:9,11,15,20
responsive	ride 66:5 67:6,6	rooftop 74:5	saved 102:8	12:3 41:15
14:15 33:6	67:9 68:3,4	76:11 80:8,15	saw 18:10 50:18	71:20,21 72:1
39:17 49:4	right 8:15 13:1	89:11 98:7	56:16 75:5	79:15 110:5,6
59:20 86:13,17	15:1 19:10	99:19	saying 21:16,18	114:11 120:1
responsiveness	20:9 29:12	room 37:18	34:7 77:6	secondly 43:10
37:9 47:13	30:9 31:14	38:15 90:4	78:14 91:1,12	44:7
rest 25:19 63:1	33:17 39:13	98:22 99:20	91:13 92:22	seconds 120:2
restaurant	45:7 51:14	100:5	97:19	section 30:8
113:22 114:21	52:17 58:20	rose 55:5	says 33:22 66:2	79:10 80:1
116:17,19	59:11 64:18	rotate 26:10	66:12	92:11 112:12
restoration 69:3	65:16 66:17	rotating 49:19	scale 78:6 90:7	sections 95:19
70:14	70:1,21 72:22	roughly 114:5	100:7	secure 29:2,5
restore 25:5	73:4 80:9	route 66:7	scare 6:20 68:5	security 30:15
70:6	82:12,16 86:20	row 55:7	scary 48:4	see 16:11 18:9
restored 22:11	88:19 92:3	ruins 38:10	scary 48:4 scenario 102:18	20:6 23:3
46:10 69:16	93:20 105:15	run 6:8 46:4	schedule 32:22	26:13,21 27:8
restoring 29:22	107:3,19	96:10 113:21	91:19	28:2,11 29:7
result 43:15	108:20 109:18	running 45:21	scheduled	36:12 37:15,16
90:22	109:22 111:4	runs 66:18	scheduled 111:18	48:2,14 50:17
resume 7:12	111:11 112:19	Russell 1:8 3:6		53:1 55:5,18
retail 73:22	113:3,20 117:3	4:21 5:1,22	scheduling	56:7 63:7
112:5,11	119:16 121:2,6	8:21 9:22 10:1	11:20 Sahaal 42:12	64:10 66:12
116:14	121:22 122:19	10:14 12:14,15	School 42:13	70:18 71:3,5
retired 106:12	123:5	13:7 65:11	scope 59:8 97:15	75:14 78:11,18
retrofitting	right-hand	70:11 72:13,14	scrappy 35:13	79:9 88:9 89:3
122:16	20:10 23:2	73:10 93:22	scratching	99:5,6,20
return 29:8	66:11	104:16 108:22	57:16	105:19 115:20
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	

seeing 6:11 8:11	severe 106:17	30:9 56:9	8:19 10:12	snapshots 76:15
47:3 53:22	shade 23:4	67:22	13:5 73:8	snow 52:1 57:15
70:21 80:22	26:18	shrub 24:4	111:14 121:15	solar-powered
81:1,3	shade-loving	sic 94:19 102:16	situated 75:21	62:10
seek 11:15	23:10	side 26:11,12	situation 109:19	solid 24:15
seen 16:4 37:4	shading 52:20	52:11 59:6	121:20	<b>solution</b> 71:6,10
45:1 99:11	shadow 27:17	67:8 76:1 87:3	six 16:6	82:12 90:5
select 20:9	81:11 94:15	117:10	size 61:16 97:20	solve 36:6
selected 20:19	103:11,18	sidewalk 45:22	sized 50:1	somebody 62:17
23:8 104:21	104:10	105:20	skateboarders	85:5
self-explanatory	shallow 95:1	Sieniewicz 1:9	53:13	Somerville
116:5	shame 102:5	3:6 4:18,20	skateboards	116:8
sense 30:14 94:2	104:13	5:22 8:21 9:20	50:13,22	somewhat 36:21
sensibility 70:1	shape 103:21	9:21 10:14	sketches 91:7	59:7
sensible 63:18	105:7	12:12,13 13:7	skip 78:12	<b>Sommer</b> 39:8
108:17	share 46:6 68:2	69:14 72:11,12	sky 104:17	40:3,5,5 42:11
sensitive 84:18	99:4	73:10 84:16	105:21	42:16
sent 38:5 42:13	shared 75:9	86:19 88:6	skylight 80:1,6	soon 6:20 48:14
65:12,21	90:10,12	91:4 92:10	82:7	sooner 70:9
separates	Sharon 34:12,12	93:6,13 99:8	sleek 47:4	sorry 7:5 22:15
117:21	34:14,14,19	99:11 107:21	slide 15:14 16:3	81:2 113:1
	shed 62:18	110:15,16	16:17,18 17:10	116:3
<b>September</b> 76:14 89:20		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· ·	
	shell 76:14	111:16 119:21	18:1,21 19:13	sort 15:17 16:3
90:3 100:4	80:12,17	120:10,11	20:21 21:9	16:21 18:3
101:7	shift 26:2	signals 68:14	22:14 24:1,8	21:15 23:11
series 19:7	shifted 109:1	signed 3:13	25:20 27:22	24:18,21,22
Sert 95:14,15,21	shifting 18:11	118:10	28:19 29:20	26:4 32:7 55:5
serve 114:17	26:6	significant 56:2	30:6,17 32:2	60:17,19 61:18
115:6 117:17	shines 26:16	81:18 96:17	32:18 33:4	63:11 77:13
service 49:12	ship 116:9	significantly	54:22 55:16	78:22 79:1
113:10 116:18	shop 114:2	76:21	75:15,18 76:2	80:21 81:18
116:22 117:18	shopping 68:12	similar 31:10	78:8 79:15	82:10,16,19
services 34:20	short 98:2 99:17	53:16 115:6,13	80:18 81:20	85:10 86:9,15
35:17 85:18	101:22	similarly 97:22	82:14 99:18	90:12 93:2
servicing 27:15	shorter 76:21	simplistic 103:6	slides 19:7	97:11 100:5,6
serving 114:7,9	shortly 7:19	simply 19:22	slight 25:17	100:14 103:19
116:20	51:22	22:16 45:13	32:11,14	106:3 117:7
set 11:1 17:16	shots 115:10	88:15,17 91:12	slightly 26:6	122:12
17:19 75:20	show 28:13 38:6	91:15	29:9 31:9 46:2	sound 100:20
89:21 117:16	44:8 54:13,16	<b>sit</b> 106:13	85:4	103:12 104:2
119:7 121:12	55:3 57:21	116:15	slope 21:5	sounds 63:4
125:12	66:8,16 99:5	site 13:12 14:12	slopes 24:21	87:22
setback 98:15	showed 60:3	51:3 63:5,15	<b>slowed</b> 68:13	<b>space</b> 14:11 29:9
98:21 99:6	showing 57:22	64:6 88:19	slowly 68:4	30:15 40:15
106:1	60:7 78:17	89:17 91:20	small 27:7 35:12	60:13 62:12
setbacks 84:6	91:9	sites 14:12	57:16 92:8	70:15 79:1,6
setting 15:17	shown 14:20	siting 62:6,16,22	94:10	99:3 101:9
settle 20:17	50:18 67:7	64:4	smaller 81:4	112:8 113:20
seven 5:14 35:18	91:9	sits 27:15	104:21	114:2,4,7,13
116:20 117:20	shows 26:14	sitting 3:4 5:20	snapshot 99:19	114:20 115:5
			-	l

115:12 116:14       94:16 95:5,9       118:16       straightforward       109:6 110         spaces 27:19       95:10,20,20,22       statement 21:16       93:17       submission         63:3 113:18       96:1 103:21       States 49:12       strange 31:16       41:7         114:5 117:8       112:5 114:5,5       station 26:2       strategy 88:16       submit 36:         spacing 31:18       114:11,22       27:10 38:15       streamed 4:2       submitted         speak 33:21       squares 95:19       52:19,21 53:7       street 2:6,11       84:18 89:         24:0 22 30:11       97:4       50:15 62:11       10:10 26:1 18       subspace ibs	11:8 19 76:5
spaces 27:19         95:10,20,20,22         statement 21:16         93:17         submission           63:3 113:18         96:1 103:21         States 49:12         strange 31:16         41:7           114:5 117:8         112:5 114:5,5         station 26:2         strategy 88:16         submit 36:           spacing 31:18         114:11,22         27:10 38:15         streamed 4:2         submitted           speak 33:21         squares 95:19         52:19,21 53:7         street 2:6,11         84:18 89:	11:8 19 76:5
63:3 113:18 96:1 103:21 States 49:12 strange 31:16 strategy 88:16 spacing 31:18 speak 33:21 squares 95:19 States 49:12 strategy 88:16 streamed 4:2 submitted street 2:6,11 84:18 89:	19 76:5
114:5 117:8       112:5 114:5,5       station 26:2       strategy 88:16       submit 36:         spacing 31:18       114:11,22       27:10 38:15       streamed 4:2       submitted         speak 33:21       squares 95:19       52:19,21 53:7       street 2:6,11       84:18 89:	76:5
spacing 31:18         114:11,22         27:10 38:15         streamed 4:2         submitted           speak 33:21         squares 95:19         52:19,21 53:7         street 2:6,11         84:18 89:	76:5
speak 33:21         squares 95:19         52:19,21 53:7         street 2:6,11         84:18 89:	
34:9,22 39:11 97:4 59:15 62:11 10:19 26:1,18 <b>subscribe</b>	
49:1 54:3 85:8   squinted 32:15   65:2   28:2 36:19   124:17	
speaker 34:11   ss 125:3   stay 18:21   38:16 40:6   subsequen	t
36:15 39:7   stab 92:2   steel 76:10 83:14   44:21 46:17   87:11	
40:2 42:17   Staff 1:11 4:6   83:14,14,22   48:21 66:7,14   Subset 17:3	3
44:17 46:13 6:4,8 10:20 88:18 89:13 67:6,15 69:11 <b>substantia</b>	
48:17 13:14 14:14 98:8 74:1 75:21,22 83:10 86:	
speakers 34:6   15:22 17:14   steel's 97:10   76:1,16,22   subtractive	
47:7 51:12 33:8,11 34:6,8 <b>steep</b> 106:2 87:4 88:4 91:22	
speaking 3:16         60:5 62:17         step 99:1         105:19 111:21         successful	114:1
77:18 82:6 63:2,12 64:7 <b>stepback</b> 82:19 112:9,10 114:3 <b>sudden</b> 38:	
special 11:2   64:17 71:5,8   Stepping 99:1   114:6 116:8   Suddenly	
13:11 14:8,10 71:17 73:2,16 <b>steps</b> 21:20 <b>strip</b> 30:9 109:13	
73:14,18 74:7	2
85:16 86:1 86:21 107:7 12:2,10 71:19 strollers 49:13 sufficient 6	
111:20 112:3	
112:11	:5
<b>species</b> 23:16	
specific 23:8,16   121:8   4:15,17 5:21   105:7   suggested 3	37:10
62:16 63:7   Staff's 64:19   6:18 8:20 9:10   structural 76:10   43:7 63:1	
89:11 98:12,17   <b>stage</b> 15:18   9:19 10:13   76:12 84:6   88:9,10 9	6:20
specifically 19:8 75:20 12:2,11 13:6 88:12 89:5,9 96:21 100	
21:11 28:21   <b>stair</b> 21:1,7 22:9   71:18 72:10   89:13 90:2   107:4 108	8:17
47:15 22:18 25:17 73:9 107:17 92:1 95:11 <b>suggesting</b>	
<b>specifics</b> 36:10 67:8 110:4,14 96:21 98:8 91:11 92:	
speed 50:15,15   stairs 21:2 32:4   111:15 119:12   structure 78:3   95:7	
<b>Spencer</b> 41:15 53:8 66:20 120:1,9 91:11,14 93:3 <b>suggestion</b>	
<b>spend</b> 59:2,12   67:2,7   <b>stone</b> 20:15   97:9 115:4   87:22 97:	
spent 54:9 98:4   stand 104:22   45:17 49:8,9   structures 48:1   suggestion	s 85:2
Spetrini 1:15   standing 28:1   49:11,19,20,22   student 95:15   97:20	
6:10,14   <b>standpoint</b>   50:1,2,14,21   <b>studied</b> 25:22   <b>Sullivan</b> 69	9:17
<b>Speyer</b> 74:22   16:18 29:11   51:2,5,7,18,22   26:17 27:6,18   <b>summarize</b>	e
75:3 <b>start</b> 4:6 7:10 52:4,9 55:3,8 27:19 82:3 70:22 11	1:22
spin 87:18         19:12 42:3         56:16 68:17         studies 89:9         summarize	ed
spirit 69:3 70:14   54:11,12,14,22   69:19 70:3   study 14:21   108:17	
88:7 75:19 82:13 <b>stop</b> 33:14 46:8 26:14 27:12 <b>summary</b> 6	50:17
split-face 22:5         111:22         51:9 68:22         28:4 81:21         109:22	
spoke 118:10         started 39:22         92:11         90:12 96:14         summer 33	3:1
spot 52:22 53:10   45:1,2 89:19   stopped 103:2   studying 78:2   sun 26:15 4	14:13
87:19 <b>starting</b> 17:11 <b>storage</b> 53:4 82:2,5 92:20 61:16 78:	:6
spring 33:1         33:1 50:7         58:18 109:15         stuff 45:21 93:8         sun's 43:17	7 44:6
39:22   starts 55:20   stored 101:15   subject 60:10   sunlight 59	9:16
square 8:4 62:7   state 3:16 49:16   story 69:15   71:16 74:7   62:11	
94:9,10,10,10   stated 78:1   straight 94:13   86:2 107:6   sunnier 52	:22

sunny 27:7	T 124:1,1	television 4:3	75:18 78:9	15:16 16:14,18
supplier 58:13	tag 85:14	tell 52:17 60:14	85:6 86:19	20:21 23:19
support 36:13	take 4:6 35:4	96:1	93:19 96:3,12	24:10 29:17
39:19 40:10,11	36:4 48:13	temperature	100:8 106:19	30:8 32:15
41:6,17 83:20	53:16 62:13,19	43:19	107:19 111:4,9	33:5 39:21
84:1,19 89:14	62:22 63:6,20	tenants 118:7	112:2,19	42:8 45:13
91:13	69:1 75:17	tend 105:22	115:18 117:2,6	47:16 50:8
supported 42:3	79:17 92:2	tended 39:3	117:11 118:22	51:9,20 53:7
60:6 83:13,15	108:6 109:14	tendency 45:4	119:22 121:4,5	54:8,11 55:11
84:3	123:2	tenuency 45.4 tenor 94:5	121:9,21 123:3	57:9,14,20
supportive	taken 3:17 79:8	term 121:18	123:7,8,9	58:3,7 64:17
39:12	105:14 118:21	terms 38:20	Thanks 19:2	65:8 66:22
	takes 33:19	55:15 62:22	36:14 53:15	67:18 68:18
suppose 45:12 supposed 69:18	talk 17:5 18:10	84:20 88:14	63:9 74:20	69:3 70:17
supposed 69.18 sure 18:6 21:17	36:9 82:1	89:18 91:17	86:20 93:20	72:5 75:5
43:9 61:11	100:15	107:15	104:15 <b>Theodore</b> 1:9	77:14 80:11
63:13 85:18	talked 63:16 81:22	terra- 55:11		83:4 85:12
98:20,22 104:3 112:22 113:6		terra-cotta 51:7	3:5 4:12,14 5:21 8:20 9:16	88:13 89:4
	talking 53:10	55:9,9,21 56:15		90:10 92:17,22
123:4	56:11 85:18		9:17 10:13	93:6,16 95:4,5
surface 16:22	92:11 103:19	terra-cotta-	12:8,9 13:6	95:8 96:2,7
19:15 20:5	tall 109:13	44:3	71:21 72:7,8	97:1,11 98:4
32:5 43:4 52:8	Tan 1:10 3:7 5:2	terracotta 20:18	73:9 87:2	98:10,11 99:18
55:14 56:2	5:4 6:1 8:22	terrible 67:18	97:17 100:12	103:13,18
57:11 94:20	10:2,3,15	67:19	108:9,12	104:20 105:12
surfacing 43:3	12:16,17 13:8	terribly 105:19	110:11,12	105:16 106:6,9
surround 23:13	72:15,16 73:11	testimony 64:9	111:15 120:6,7	106:16,17
92:9	110:19,20	tests 115:10	122:1,3,6,19	107:15,17,21
surrounding	111:17 117:6	textures 47:10	123:3	107:22 108:16
43:18 71:9	120:14,15	Thacker 75:4,12	thick 43:9	108:22 113:17
surrounds 38:18	tar 20:3	77:2,4,5 87:6	thin 86:10	114:1 115:3
survive 23:5	<b>Taylor</b> 22:1	92:21 93:12,19	thing 28:7 46:10	117:20 118:6
<b>Suzannah</b> 1:13	teal 104:22	103:16 104:12	55:10 80:11	122:10
7:8 74:12	team 6:21 15:5	109:16	82:15 90:1	thinking 70:4
78:15 84:14	15:11 17:15	thank 5:13,16	94:19 95:11	93:2 106:14
90:11 96:4,12	22:2 33:15	6:6,17 8:15	103:4 105:17	Third 2:11
97:18 106:10	48:22 54:3	10:21 14:7,22	109:16	111:20 112:9
106:12 112:20	71:1 74:16,18	15:6 32:20	things 15:2,13	114:3,6
113:1,4	75:12 78:15	33:17 36:15	21:22 22:4	third-party
Suzannah's 75:9	85:10 86:4,12	39:5,6 40:1,2	23:4,5,19	104:2
Swaathi 1:12	87:15 99:7	42:16,17 44:16	32:21 35:1,11	thirteenth 85:1
6:22 15:14	100:17 103:22	44:17 46:12,13	37:10 45:15	<b>Thomas</b> 16:9
33:4 65:11	106:21 117:4	48:15,16,17	46:3 49:9	30:22
85:9	Ted 71:22 72:1	49:2 51:10,11	58:10 63:21	Thorkildsen
system 19:17	87:1 97:16	51:14 54:6	65:5,6 77:11	1:13 7:6 14:16
21:8 37:5	100:9,11 108:8	62:4 64:15	79:4 83:3,5	63:11,16
49:14 95:17	122:11	65:11,14 69:14	90:2 91:6	Thorndike 16:8
systems 49:21	Ted's 88:3	70:20 71:19	94:17 95:7	22:18 46:17
T	104:16	72:22 73:1,3	100:21 105:12	67:14 69:11
	tee 100:20	73:17 74:15,21	think 13:17	76:1
	<u> </u>	l	l	l

Thornton 83:18	32:12,17	121:19	31:10 59:12,19	98:14 116:6
89:10	Tom 1:9 3:6	touched 29:21	61:3 78:1 82:4	understanding
thought 52:11	4:18,20 5:22	30:18 90:17	83:9 90:4,12	68:17 88:7
60:21 96:16,17	8:21 9:20,21	townhouse 7:16	104:20 105:15	understands
97:1 99:15	10:14 12:12,13	track 45:21	108:13 115:11	118:5
100:18 102:19	13:7 69:13,14	76:13	TUESDAY 1:4	understood
thoughtful 36:4	70:21 72:11,12	trade 76:10	turn 8:13 15:2	97:10
75:8 77:10	73:10 84:15,16	traditional	18:2,22 33:14	unfortunate
thoughts 23:21	85:12 86:19	19:21	51:12,15 53:18	70:8
96:6	88:5,6 91:4	traffic 50:21	65:6 84:10	unfortunately
three 5:14 20:10	92:10,22 93:6	transcript 4:4	101:1	83:18 89:6
34:9 43:8	93:13,21 94:2	124:15,17	turning 55:17	91:4
46:17 53:14	95:7 96:20	125:6	turns 45:18 52:6	<b>United</b> 49:12
three-quarter-	99:8,11 107:21	transcripts 2:4	66:18	units 112:5
56:22	110:15,16	9:3,9	tweaking 106:6	unmute 34:6
three-quarters	111:16 119:21	transforms 20:3	tweaks 97:11	48:18
57:8	119:22 120:10	transition 123:2	111:8	<b>unmuting</b> 36:17
thrilled 46:9	120:11	trash 38:4,10	twice 109:13	39:8 42:19
ticket 19:12	Tom's 97:1	52:16 53:3	two 5:14 29:15	44:19
tie 22:9	103:12	54:4	31:21 32:21	untouched 24:5
tied 94:6	Tomasetti 83:18	travel 68:1	40:8 43:8	upcoming 7:11
till 82:10	89:10	traveling 117:17	47:15 66:19	7:20 122:22
time 3:19,20	ton 80:2	treating 32:3	76:9 81:7 98:9	update 2:3,9 6:3
10:17 11:12	ton 80.2 tone 78:11	tree 18:7 24:9	113:18 114:4,5	6:5 8:13 10:20
12:1 23:3 31:6	toned 45:10	24:18,19 28:10	114:11 117:8	13:14,15 69:7
33:16 34:7	tonight 15:10	30:3 41:9	117:21 119:12	69:12 73:13,15
36:1 54:9,21	39:19 40:9	trees 18:5 23:17	two-part 19:17	75:7 76:3 98:4
56:3 59:12	41:20 42:4	24:5 27:16	Two-part 19.17 Two-hig 15:3,6,7	107:5 121:22
74:21 89:6,16	43:5 65:3,9	28:8,16	32:20 38:6	updated 21:5
91:7 98:3,5	75:14 78:17	trellis 83:9,21	54:6 58:10	74:9 80:15
101:22 106:13	106:18 111:5	trellises 77:20	61:9,12	updates 7:10
106:17 122:20	tonight's 15:13	Tria 75:13 77:2	typical 54:17	31:8
timeline 76:4	17:21	77:6	55:13	updating 21:1,6
83:4 84:20	toolbox 90:3,6	trickles 35:20	33.13	upgrade 80:13
85:3 123:1	91:2 100:3,21	tried 17:19 60:2	U	upgrades 80:17
times 73:19	top 19:18 20:1	67:17 92:7	U.S 49:15	upgrades 80.17 upgrading 21:4
90:10 115:3	29:4,8 31:2,3,8	105:2	ultimately 23:11	uplighting 18:16
timing 11:1	32:6,8 38:4	trim 94:7 99:21	27:20 54:21	30:10
tinting 82:7	43:6 56:18	100:6 101:10	82:11,12	upper 22:11,14
tired 47:1	57:4 79:13	tripped 108:1	Um 102:2	24:2 29:12
Tishman 74:22	82:17,20 89:1	true 31:20 125:6	unable 41:20	Urban 74:8
75:3	90:20 104:18	truly 109:11	uncomfortable	86:12 112:17
<b>To'</b> 124:2	topic 75:20	try 33:6 43:12	71:12	urge 40:11
today 20:19	89:20	59:9,20,21	underpass 48:3	use 2:11 23:18
27:15 36:19	tot 38:11 54:4	61:20 66:21	48:3,9	31:22 38:19
39:11 64:10	58:8 71:7	68:5 86:13	understand 16:1	43:10 49:17
74:2 75:6,11	total 31:21	90:4,13,15	18:18 24:11	53:6,13 88:15
96:9 98:12	81:18	103:20 104:1,6	28:17 45:17	97:19 111:19
101:14	totally 60:12	105.20 104.1,0	52:22 58:11	115:6,11
today's 21:4	touch 15:17 21:9	trying 19:8	60:20 97:14	116:17 117:14
touay 5 21.4	touch 13.1/21.9	u ying 19.0	00.20 7 !	110.1/11/.14
	•	1		1

	I	l	Ī	l
118:6 119:5,17	28:9,18 77:19	wanted 13:22	60:7,19 61:12	<b>white</b> 80:13
119:18	100:7	39:11,18 56:6	78:17 80:16,20	wholeheartedly
uses 8:4 46:19	visually 90:16	58:8 62:5	81:15 85:17	36:13
49:19 112:5,11	<b>voice</b> 107:8	69:16 79:4	89:8 91:1,5	wide 117:20
112:14	voiced 90:11	83:3 100:15	92:3 96:11	wider 67:17
usual 6:3 10:20	volunteer 111:7	116:17	102:5 103:7	<b>wiggle</b> 98:22
15:3 44:2	volunteered	wants 69:21	104:14 106:6	wildlife 46:4
usually 65:1	109:7	106:10 114:2	107:13 108:13	53:4
91:6	vote 9:12 10:6	<b>War</b> 95:18	108:15 109:5	<b>willing</b> 106:11
	12:4,20 72:1	warns 51:1	109:17 110:1	willingness
V	72:19 110:7	warranting	116:7,9	36:11
vaccine 115:10	111:1 120:3,18	102:15	we've 11:14	window 81:3
vantage 87:10	<b>votes</b> 3:16	washing 30:13	16:20 33:9	82:7
87:13 88:3	voting 10:7	wasn't 30:20	56:20 65:2,8	windows 79:1,8
variance 37:22	12:21 72:20	59:14 61:7,19	76:18 77:3	82:8 94:9,10
variation 55:4	111:2 120:19	66:9,10 82:11	79:8,13 84:14	wish 33:21
various 17:15		water 50:6	90:3 92:15	38:12 69:1
81:21	W	Waterfront 37:2	98:4 102:8	witness 125:12
vehicles 50:13	<b>Wait</b> 109:12	way 21:18,18	104:2 107:11	wonder 85:3
50:15,22 68:19	waiting 121:15	23:5 28:16	113:8 122:11	wonderful 69:5
vents 50:5	walk 17:9 18:22	30:15 32:3	weak 53:10	wondering
verify 4:7	19:13 46:20	36:12 37:9,13	wear 70:8	94:12
version 16:4	51:6 86:10	44:12 45:9	wearing 19:18	word 35:2 48:13
versus 77:22	walked 20:5	47:12 52:15	20:1 56:1,18	work 23:15,22
vertical 90:14	32:15	59:14 60:17	57:10,12	33:1 36:5 58:2
94:15 95:2	walkway 50:9	65:4 66:10	wears 52:9	71:5,8 73:1
vertically 94:21	51:19,21 52:7	67:11 68:12,21	weathered	82:21 84:8
Vice 1:7 3:11	54:8,12 56:3	69:5 70:8 80:3	20:14	91:3,7 93:1
15:6 32:21	57:11,18	86:7,16 88:19	weathering 56:8	106:12 107:7
33:15 61:10	wall 30:13,16	91:1 99:1	weathers 20:17	108:13 111:7
69:14	32:1 77:19,21	102:7 106:18	55:19	111:10 117:10
Victorian 69:16	78:4,6,11	107:4,17,22	webpage 3:21	worked 35:22
video 4:1	79:14 83:9,11	115:4 119:9	week 7:12	75:12 78:14
Viennoiserie	84:5 87:12,17	ways 68:8 78:16	116:20 121:10	90:3 95:14
116:21	89:12,14 90:7	93:17 95:3	weigh 61:22	working 6:15
view 28:15 37:7	90:8 92:7 98:7	<b>we'll</b> 7:14 10:20	weight 62:2	11:4 14:14
64:19 65:16	99:1,2	13:14 17:5	welcome 3:9	29:10 30:3
79:5 87:3,6,12	want 6:9,12	23:15,21 25:4	6:16 47:2	35:3,7 59:17
106:3	17:18,18 34:16	32:5 33:14	84:10	61:14 63:11
viewing 87:4	41:5,17 47:12	70:1 73:15	weld 57:2	74:13 111:6
virtually 94:6	51:16 57:5	116:7 117:16	welded 57:1	116:3 118:11
visibility 25:22	58:9 59:9 63:7	119:14	welfare 46:5	122:16
59:20	64:12,13,13	we're 8:2,7	well-meaning	works 29:2
visible 4:9,10,13	67:9 68:9,11	15:16,18 17:4	61:2	64:19 67:12
4:14,16,17,19	68:11,12 71:4	21:3,8,12	went 92:6 114:1	69:4 71:6 90:5
4:20,22 5:1,3,4	74:18 79:17	23:19 24:15	weren't 69:8,8	<b>World</b> 95:18
5:6,9,11 26:22	84:9 91:3 96:4	25:3 29:21	wheelchairs	worried 67:3
28:4 29:15	99:15 102:3	30:12,13 32:3	49:13	worth 35:14
37:14 105:19	108:6 113:6	48:8 52:3	wheeled 68:19	80:11
visual 26:9 28:1	117:13	58:22 59:2	whereof 125:12	<b>wouldn't</b> 61:15
	Į	l	-	l

				1490 119
61:19 64:13	1	<b>2022</b> 3:13,14	<b>6</b> 7:17 16:10	
wrap 34:10 39:1		74:6 76:7,8,9	77:7,11,15	
99:16	12:9 11:17 16:7	89:9,17	81:11	
writes 41:4	20:1 26:18	<b>2023</b> 1:4 3:9 9:4	<b>6:30</b> 1:4 3:3	
written 23:8	27:20 73:14	125:13	114:19	
34:3,4 74:11	75:1,7,16,21	<b>2028</b> 125:18	<b>6:35</b> 5:19	
112:15,16	76:15,19 83:12	<b>207.5</b> 48:21	<b>6:38</b> 8:18	
wrong 109:11	1.5 83:13	<b>21</b> 76:6	<b>6:39</b> 10:11	
wrong 107.11	1/31/2023 2:5	<b>213</b> 44:20	<b>6:41</b> 13:4	
X	10 2:6 11:12	<b>213</b> 44.20 <b>22</b> 4:3	<b>62</b> 65:20	
$\overline{\mathbf{X}}$ 2:1 124:22	35:10 36:1	<b>23</b> 76:14	<b>69</b> 11:10	
7. 2.1 12 1.22	92:3		<b>6s</b> 16:11	
Y	<b>10'</b> 92:9 98:15	<b>24</b> 17:20 81:1,2	08 10:11	
yeah 54:6,15	98:21 103:10	2400-square-f	7	
71:17 87:22	106:1	114:4	7 9:4 18:15	
88:6 89:2 91:4	10'-setback	<b>25</b> 8:7	95:22 99:18	
92:10 98:2	99:21	<b>27-bike</b> 27:9	70s 95:15	
99:9,12,16	<b>10'-width</b> 50:11	<b>28</b> 1:4 3:9 9:4	<b>73</b> 2:9	
101:17,20	<b>100</b> 85:17	125:18	<b>75</b> 2:6 10:19	
102:9 104:8	100-pound	<b>29</b> 40:6		
117:22 118:3	20:13	<b>295</b> 2:11 111:20	114:22,22	
118:18,21	<b>107</b> 3:13	114:3	8	
year 39:4,22	<b>11</b> 7:13,14,22	3	8 2:4	
55:20 103:9	<b>111</b> 2:10	<b>3</b> 8:1 16:8 75:16	<b>8:04</b> 73:7	
113:22	<b>12/06</b> 89:22		<b>8:55</b> 111:13	
years 34:21 35:7	<b>1200</b> 114:5	<b>3:1</b> 24:21	<b>80-pound</b> 20:13	
35:18,18,19	<b>13</b> 2:7 8:5	30 11:15	<b>8500</b> 112:5	
52:6 56:21	<b>13.2.4</b> 112:12	<b>31</b> 9:3 11:4	<b>87</b> 43:19	
95:14	<b>130</b> 34:21	<b>331</b> 78:22	<b>88</b> 46:17	
yellow 26:15	<b>133</b> 78:22	<b>36</b> 31:3 114:14	00 40.17	
Yep 118:17	<b>14</b> 9:4	<b>36-seat</b> 114:13	9	
122:2	<b>14'-width</b> 50:10	<b>3D</b> 87:15	9 17:12 34:1	
	<b>143</b> 43:20	4	<b>9:10</b> 123:10	
yesterday 34:2,5 36:20	<b>16</b> 3:14 81:5	4 16:8 27:12	<b>90</b> 11:17	
	<b>180</b> 26:10		<b>99</b> 34:16	
Youth 34:20	<b>1960s</b> 95:15	31:7,12 39:10	) J J J T 1 I U	
	<b>1984</b> 73:19	42:21 99:18		
Zimmerman		<b>42</b> 31:4,11 <b>425</b> 7:16		
34:12,14,14,19	2	425 /:10		
zone 27:4,7,11	<b>2</b> 16:7 26:2,7,11	5		
27:14 37:19	27:4,15,20,20	<b>5</b> 2:3 16:9		
38:1,2,14	37:19 38:13	113:17		
55:13	73:22	<b>5:00</b> 34:2,5		
<b>zoning</b> 6:7,9,21	<b>2/1/2023</b> 2:5	36:20 114:19		
6:22 7:1,15,18	<b>2/14/2023</b> 2:5	114:20 116:16		
8:9 10:22 11:7	<b>2/28/2023</b> 2:5	117:10		
112:12,14,17	<b>20</b> 88:21 92:12	<b>55</b> 36:18		
Zoom 91:5	<b>200,000</b> 35:20	<b>578</b> 112:4		
200111 71.J	<b>2004</b> 112:4	<b>59</b> 11:8		
0	<b>2013</b> 73:20	37 11.0		
	<b>2021</b> 14:9 74:3	6		
	l		l	l