PLANNING BOARD

FOR THE

CITY OF CAMBRIDGE

GENERAL HEARING
TUESDAY, AUGUST 8, 2023
6:30 p.m.
Remote Meeting
Cambridge, Massachusetts

Mary T. Flynn, Chair
H Theodore Cohen
Ashley Tan
Diego Macias
Adam Buchanan Westbrook

Community Development Staff
Iram Farooq, Assistant City Manager
Daniel Messplay
Swaathi Joseph
Mason Wells
Adithi Moogoor
Evan Spetrini
Brian Gregory



Precision, Speed, Reliability 617.547.5690 transcripts@ctran.com

INDEX	
CASE	PAGE
General Business	
Update from the Community Development Department	6
Adoption of Planning Board meeting transcripts	9
(5/16/2023 5/23/2023 , 6/6/2023)	
Public Hearings	
Affordable Housing Overlay Zoning Petition	10
General Business	
PB-396	
48-50 Bishop Allen Drive Extension Request	127

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	* * * *
3	(6:30 p.m.)
4	Sitting Members: Mary Flynn, H Theodore Cohen, Ashley Tan,
5	Diego Macias, and Adam Westbrook
6	MARY FLYNN: Good evening. Welcome to the August
7	8, 2023 meeting of the Cambridge Planning Board. My name is
8	Mary Flynn, and I am the Chair.
9	Pursuant to Chapter 2 of the Acts of 2023 adopted
10	by the Massachusetts General Court and approved by the
11	Governor, the City is authorized to use remote participation
12	at Planning Board meetings.
13	All Board members, applicants, and members of the
14	public will state their names before speaking. All votes
15	will be taken by roll call.
16	Members of the public will be kept on mute until
17	it is time for public comment. I will give instructions for
18	public comment at that time, and you can also find
19	instructions on the City's webpage for remote Planning Board
20	meetings.
21	This meeting is being video and audio recorded and
22	is being streamed live on the City of Cambridge online

```
meeting portal and on cable television Channel 22, within
1
 2
     Cambridge. There will also be a transcript of the
 3
     proceedings.
                I'll start by asking Staff to take Board member
 4
5
     attendance and verify that all members are audible.
 6
               Daniel?
7
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you, Chair Flynn.
8
     Bacci, are you present, and is the meeting visible and
9
     audible to you?
10
               [Pause]
11
               Absent. H Theodore Cohen, are you present, and is
12
     the meeting visible and audible to you?
13
               H THEODORE COHEN: Present, visible, and audible.
14
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Tom Sieniewicz, are you present,
15
     and is the meeting visible and audible to you?
               [Pause]
16
17
               Absent.
18
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Hugh Russell, are you present,
     and is the meeting visible and audible to you?
19
20
               [Pause]
21
               Absent.
22
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Ashley Tan, are you present, and
```

```
is the meeting visible and audible to you?
1
 2
               ASHLEY TAN: Present, visible, and audible.
 3
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Diego Macias, are you present,
 4
    and is the meeting visible and audible to you?
5
               DIEGO MACIAS: Present, visible, and audible.
 6
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Adam Westbrook, are you present,
7
    and is the meeting visible and audible to you?
8
               ADAM BUCHANAN WESTBROOK: Present and both --
9
    yeah, it's, the meeting, it's audible.
10
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Catherine Preston Connolly, are
11
     you present, and is the meeting visible and audible to you?
12
               [Pause]
               Absent. And Mary Flynn, are you present, and is
13
14
     the meeting visible and audible to you?
15
               MARY FLYNN: I am present, and it is visible and
    audible.
16
17
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you. That is five members
18
    present and four members absent, which does constitute a
19
    quorum.
20
               MARY FLYNN: Okay. Thank you, Daniel.
21
22
```

1 2 (6:34 p.m.)3 Sitting Members: Mary Flynn, H Theodore Cohen, Ashley Tan, Diego Macias, and Adam Westbrook 5 MARY FLYNN: The first item on the agenda is an Update from the Community Development Department. So I'll 6 7 be turning it back over to Daniel, and if you would also introduce the Staff that are present at the meeting, please? 9 DANIEL MESSPLAY: Sure. Thank you, Chair Flynn. 10 Hi, everyone. Good evening. My name is Daniel 11 Messplay. I'm the Acting Director of the Zoning and 12 Development Division here at CDD. 13 Quickly introducing the other Staff we have at the 14 meeting, so also from the Zoning and Development division we 15 have Swaathi Joseph, Mason Wells, Evan Spetrini and Adithi Moogoor. 16 17 We are also joined, or will soon be joined, with 18 Chris Cotter, our Director of Housing; I believe Cassie Arnaud, our Senior Housing Planner; and Iram Farooq, our 19 20 Assistant City Manager for Community Development will also be joining us this evening. From Urban Design, from 21 22 Community Planning we have Brian Gregory also in attendance.

And I believe that concludes the other Staff we have present at the meeting.

Before discussing the upcoming items on the Planning Board calendar, you might have noticed two new names on the Planning Board roll call this evening, so I would like to formally welcome to the Board Adam Westbrook and Diego Macias, who are our two new Associate Planning Board Members.

Adam, would you mind just quickly introducing yourself, and then Diego can follow?

ADAM BUCHANAN WESTBROOK: Sure. Hi, everybody.

Good evening. My name is Adam Westbrook. I'm very excited to be here serving on the Planning Board. I'm a renter here in Cambridge, in West Cambridge, and have just fallen in love with the city and am really excited to be able to serve on behalf of the Planning Board and look forward to working with all of you.

And I'll pass it over to Diego.

DIEGO MACIAS: Hi, everyone. My name is Diego Macias. I've both rented and owned in Cambridge, and we've established my family here. So it means a lot to be able to sit in these meetings and listen and contribute to the

1 growth of the city. Thank you.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thanks to both of you and happy to have you here.

So back to just our regularly scheduled business.

So tonight we'll have a Public Hearing on a City Council

Zoning Petition to amend the Affordable Housing Overlay. We will also consider an Extension Request for a special permit at 4850 Bishop Allen Drive.

Just as a reminder, there is no Planning Board meeting scheduled for next week, so Planning Board will resume on August 22. And at that August 22 meeting, we'll have a continued hearing on 2161 Mass Ave, as well as a Design Review of wayfinding signage at Cambridge Crossing.

In terms of updates to City Council, last night the City Council had their summer meeting, and passed the Cannabis Special Permit Amendment Zoning to a second reading, as well as the Alewife Overlay District Quadrangle Petition. As you recall, both of these petitions received a positive recommendation from the Planning Board, so it's exciting to see those move forward.

And I know we have a lot of potential discussion coming up on the item tonight, so I'm going to stop there

```
for the CDD update, and I will turn back to the Chair.
1
 2
               MARY FLYNN: Okay. Thank you. Do any members of
 3
     the Board have any questions on the Updates? Okay, I see no
    hands.
 4
5
 6
     (6:37 p.m.)
7
    Sitting Members: Mary Flynn, H Theodore Cohen, Ashley Tan,
                       Diego Macias, and Adam Westbrook
9
               MARY FLYNN:
10
               The next item, then, is the Approval of meeting
11
    minutes. The Board has received certified transcripts for
12
     the meetings held on May 16, May 23, and June 6, 2023.
     there are any questions from the Board, please say your name
13
14
    and can we answer any questions. Okay. Seeing none, is
15
     there a motion to accept the transcripts as the meeting
    minutes?
16
17
               H THEODORE COHEN: So moved.
18
              MARY FLYNN:
                            Okay.
               ASHLEY TAN: Second.
19
20
               MARY FLYNN: Thank you, Ashley. Daniel, do we
    have a roll call, please?
21
22
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Roll call on that motion:
```

```
1
     Theodore Cohen?
 2
               H THEODORE COHEN: Yes.
 3
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Ashley Tan?
 4
               ASHLEY TAN: Yes.
 5
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Diego Macias?
 6
               DIEGO MACIAS: Yes.
 7
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Adam Westbrook?
               ADAM BUCHANAN WESTBROOK: Yes.
 9
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: And Mary Flynn?
10
               MARY FLYNN: Yes.
11
               [All vote YES]
12
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: That is all members voting in
13
     favor.
14
               MARY FLYNN: Okay.
15
16
     (6:38 p.m.)
17
    Sitting Members: Mary Flynn, H Theodore Cohen, Ashley Tan,
18
                       Diego Macias, and Adam Westbrook
19
               MARY FLYNN:
                             The next item on the agenda is a
20
    public hearing on a zoning petition by the Cambridge City
    Council to amend Article 2 and Article 11 of the Zoning
21
22
    Ordinance in order to amend the allowable maximum building
```

heights and minimum yard setbacks among other changes for the Affordable Housing Overlay developments.

CDD Staff will first provide an update. Daniel, I think we're going back to you for that.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you, Chair Flynn. So this is a new zoning petition by City Council. The petition modifies the dimensional requirements pertaining to developments under the Affordable Housing Overlay, which is a citywide overlay district that was adopted in 2020 and creates an as-of-right approval process for 100 percent affordable housing projects that meet a series of prescriptive Zoning Standards.

The petition itself increases the base allowable heights under the AHO, as well as creates a concept of an AHO Square and AHO Corridor, where additional height could be achieved. And in addition to modifying the allowable heights, the petition eliminates front and side yard setbacks requirements, and reduces rear yard setbacks from 20' to 15' in certain circumstances.

And finally, the petition modifies requirements pertaining to split zone lots and alters open space requirements for small lots of 5000 square feet or less.

The Planning Board's action tonight will be to make a recommendation to the City Council on this petition.

Zoning and Development Staff alongside Housing and Law

Department Staff have prepared a memorandum to the Board that provides some additional background information and considerations in response to the petition, and both Housing and Zoning Staff are present to answer any questions the Board may have.

As a final note, this item was heard last week at the Ordinance Committee, and the Ordinance Committee voted to forward a positive recommendation on the petition to City Council.

And that concludes the introduction.

MARY FLYNN: Okay, thank you. Our presenters this evening are Councillors Marc McGovern and Quinton Zondervan.

And I'll also note that Councillor Azeem is present.

And so I'm going to just turn it over to the host of the presentation. You have up to 30 minutes that if you can be more concise, that would be great, but please introduce yourself and begin.

COUNCILLOR MCGOVERN: Great, thank you. I'm going to start. First of all, thanks for having us tonight and

welcome to all the new members. I appreciate your willingness to step up. It's tough work.

So I'm going to just start by kind of setting the table as to kind of why we're here and then I'm going to kick it over to my colleagues, Councillor Zondervan and Azeem to walk us through the presentation.

So as you know, and as we just heard, the Cambridge City Council passed the Affordable Housing Overlay in October 2020. And the AHO is a citywide Zoning Ordinance that grew out of the Envision Cambridge process and was meant to provide advantages for the building of 100 percent affordable housing. This is not for market-rent housing.

Those advantages including additional height above base zoning, additional density, and streamlining the permitting process and making the Planning Board Advisory.

The AHO does require developers to hold at least two community meetings and to come before the Planning Board, which is also a public meeting to gain input. There are also Design Guidelines for developers, and I'll point out that every AHO project thus far has changed dramatically, based on the input that's been received. So I think in that sense it's working well.

The AHO also calls for a yearly review and a five-year plan. So last night, the Council and the public received this year's review, and overall I think it was very positive but it did point out some information that I think further supports the need for us to make some amendments to the original ordinance.

So yeah, just to sort of ground us with where we are now, the report indicated that in the last three years, or in the three years since the AHO was passed, that there are currently six AHO projects in some stage of development. Some have broken ground, some are about to break ground, some are just acquired and haven't even begun the community process yet.

When completed, these buildings will yield 616 100 percent affordable units, which is great. That is far more than what we would typically get without the AHO.

What's also exciting is that 200 of those units are going to be three- and four-bedrooms, so family-sized units, which we are -- we desperately need. We all know that, you know, the list takes forever to get off the affordable housing waiting list, but the more bedrooms you need the longer it takes. So that has a great advantage.

What's also exciting is that you have projects from Blanchard Road, which is right up on, you know, the Belmont line near Sancta Maria, all the way to East Cambridge. And so one of the goals of the AHO was to spread out our affordable housing options and you're seeing that happen.

But what the report also indicated that there were 16 parcels that were looked at for AHO development. Now, seven of those 13 are still in limbo. There hasn't been a decision made one way or the other if an affordable housing developer is going to get that property or what have you. So we don't know how those projects will play out.

So of the remaining nine, our affordable housing partners were only able to acquire two of those units. And there's various reasons for that, but either they determined that the site was not conducive, often due to cost, or their bid was not accepted, but basically of those nine remaining only two are becoming affordable housing.

So we didn't know that at the time we filed these units -- these amendments but it does, we believe, reinforce that as great as the AHO has been so far, you know, we're still leaving some opportunities on the table that could be

helped with more -- to create with more height and density, which would create more opportunity and flexibility for our affordable housing partners moving forward.

So I just want to end with two things and take it to my colleagues. First, you've received many e-mails in opposition and in support, right? This is -- people have strong feelings about this, and it's pretty split. So I really understand that this is hard, believe me. We've been getting these e-mails too. We've been at these meetings.

We know that this is difficult.

But trying to solve a crisis is not easy. And if you believe that we have an affordable housing crisis, which I do, and I think my colleagues do, then maintaining the status quo isn't going to solve it.

And I just -- an example is almost 30 years ago after rent control was voted out, the City and the City Council tried to figure out what were we going to do to keep things somewhat more affordable. And they started the Inclusionary Zoning Program.

And it was not without its controversy. It was new, it was untested, and it was giving additional height and density to for profit developers, not even affordable

housing, for profit developers in exchange for a relatively small percentage of affordable housing.

And many of the same arguments in opposition being made today were made then. It's going to drive up profits for the developers, the buildings will be too tall, you know, what's this going to do to the character of our neighbor? All of those things were talked about. Thankfully, the City moved forward.

And some 30 years later, we have 1,500 inclusionary units that have housed thousands and thousands of people since its inception 27 years ago, or whatever it was. So it -- in the moment, it's easy to say, well we can't do this, it's too risky, we don't know how exactly it's going to play out, we need to just, you know, plan more, think more, think longer.

At some point, we have to have the courage to say, "But we have a crisis and we've got to do something about it." And they did that, and the AHO can do that as well.

Lastly, these conversations have a way of becoming very technical, which is, you know, part of what you guys do with your expertise. It's, you know, what are the dimensions, what are the setbacks? Where are the windows

going to go? What's going to happen with the parking? All of that is important, right? And that's why we're here and you're here.

But in our opinion, none of those are as important as talking about the people who are going to live in those buildings. Because ultimately that's what this is about.

It's about who gets to live in our city and who doesn't. Is our city going to remain the diverse community that we love or is it not?

So we can't lose sight that these folks -thousands of them who already live here or work here, these
are members of our community -- and when you look at the
Affordable Housing guidelines, these include teachers, DPW
workers, social workers, nurses, small business owners,
people who work in our restaurants as well as people who
have -- who need and have housing vouchers who may be
unhoused at the moment.

So, you know, as we think about this and as we talk about this, I just -- I always want to bring that to the table, because at the end of the day, I might like a 12-story building, you might like a 10-story building, someone else might like a six-story building, but at the end of the

```
day, we're talking about people. And we're talking about
1
 2
     our community. And so, I always want to bring that to the
 3
     table.
 4
               So I'm going to kick it to my colleagues now.
     Thank you for your time and I appreciate your patience. And
5
     I think, Quinton are you starting off?
 6
7
              COUNCILLOR ZONDERVAN: I'm happy to. But am I
8
    sharing the presentation?
9
               COUNCILLOR MCGOVERN: You're kind of quiet, but I
    don't know if that's just me or --
10
11
              MARY FLYNN: Yeah. I'm having trouble hearing you
12
    as well.
13
              COUNCILLOR ZONDERVAN: Oh, okay. Fixed.
14
              MARY FLYNN: Yeah.
15
              COUNCILLOR ZONDERVAN: Better? Am I sharing the
16
    presentation?
17
              COUNCILLOR AZEEM: Sure. Would you like to,
18
    Councillor Zondervan? Otherwise, I can as well.
19
              COUNCILLOR ZONDERVAN: I prefer if you do, yeah.
20
               COUNCILLOR AZEEM: Okay, great. Give me one
21
    moment. Okay. Can you guys see the presentation?
22
              COUNCILLOR ZONDERVAN: Not yet.
```

```
1
               COUNCILLOR AZEEM: Okay. Let me try this one more
 2
     time.
 3
               [Pause]
               Did this work?
 4
 5
               MARY FLYNN: Yes, it did.
 6
               COUNCILLOR MCGOVERN:
                                     Thank you.
 7
               COUNCILLOR ZONDERVAN: Awesome. So next slide,
8
    please.
 9
               So as Councillor McGovern mentioned, why do we
    need these amendments? We have a housing emergency, and it
10
11
    will not be solved by nibbling around the edges. We have
12
     over 21,000 people on the affordable housing waiting list,
13
     and of those, 6,500 of them live and/or work in Cambridge.
14
               And we're not anywhere close to reaching our
15
    Envision goals, which state that we'll build 12,500 housing
    units by 2030.
16
17
               Next slide, please.
18
               So another question might be, "Well, why do we
    have to do upzoning?" So as the Board knows very well,
19
20
     affordable housing production, other than by the Cambridge
    Housing Authority, requires new land acquisition. And
21
22
    without higher density affordable housing zoning, developers
```

lose out to private buyers on more of these lots.

After they do successfully acquire the land, usually with financial help from the City, it still takes years to finance, design and build a project. So additional density means that each project can produce more homes for people, in addition to giving the developers market advantage and reducing the per unit cost of the land.

And of course, by producing more homes, we can clear more people off the waiting list sooner.

So we actually have millions of dollars sitting in the Affordable Housing Trust, and this is the best way to put them to work quickly is to allow the affordable housing developers to build more densly than market rate.

Next slide, please.

So this is just a quick overview of the timeline.

And I'm not going to mention every single meeting, but the legislation was originally introduced in November of 2022.

It has been through the Housing Committee hearings,

Neighborhood and Long Term Planning hearings and, as well as Ordinance Committee hearings once we received language review from CDD. And of course, we are in the Planning Board's hearing now.

Next?

So why did we choose the corridors and squares for additional height? These sites provide direct transit options and the most plausible/potential "soft" sites. The affordable housing developers prefer to build larger buildings, because it amortizes the overhead cost of doing these projects.

And so, on the corridors and in the squares are the best locations to build larger affordable housing.

Many of the recent AHO missed opportunities were on the main corridors. And again, that's because the developers are preferentially seeking out these sites, whereas smaller-sized sites are not as conducive to land acquisition and affordable housing projects, because, again, those are smaller sites, and they can't build as much housing on each site.

So the focus is really to give the affordable housing developers the greatest possible market advantage where they need it the most, on the corridors and the squares.

And it's important to note that these corridors were picked out so that they do run through the entire city

in every neighborhood, because we are trying to make sure that our affordable housing development is balanced across the city.

Next slide, please.

COUNCILLOR AZEEM: I think I could take it from these slides. I just want to make sure; can you guys hear me? Okay. So I just wanted to give a quick summary of the proposed zoning changes that have come through this process.

I just wanted to also add that both, you know, this is different than what was initially introduced. What we introduced you to has been through amendments both by City Council, but also through CDD and their review of the proposals.

Essentially, the original AHO allows for three different districts: Four, six and seven stories. Four stories covers the vast majority of the city. Six stories is right abutting corridors, and then seven stories is on the corridors.

I say this roughly. The exact district maps, as you all know, doesn't quite match up. You know, northern Mass Ave is not zoned for seven stories under the AHO and things like that.

And so, we essentially took the six story from the AHO and bumped it to nine, and the seven story to 13, and then added the squares to go to 15 stories, and then removed FAR requirements in the squares to kind of say that, you know, we think that height will be the limiting factor there, as well as make some adjustments to the setbacks.

To give you a sense of what we're talking about when we talk about the squares, we really mean a very select part of the city. We mean Central Square and Harvard Square, as defined under the Overlays; Porter Square, right next to Lesley, which is very, very small, and then even smaller than that is Union, which really covers only a few properties.

And then these streets are bolded. But these are the corridors that we're defining under Albany, Alewife Brook Parkway, Bishop Allen, Broadway, Cambridge Street, Concord. And the goal was to try to have coverage throughout the neighborhoods.

So, you know, we have coverage throughout the city, even though we're not going deep into neighborhoods through this petition.

And there's an open space requirement. We've

heard a lot of feedback about, you know, Jefferson Park and other places where people would have preferred this project being slightly taller in exchange for preserving more open space.

And so, this amendment says that if you are not adding more density and you're preserving additional open space, you can go taller if you preserve more open space, but you cannot add more density. You cannot add more units throughout this process.

And then I would just -- like, CDD has amendments that they've highlighted. This was one of them about, you know, the height of an existing building on a lot, which I think is specifically around Millers River and the project there in East Cambridge.

Who will AHO projects use the heights and densities? You know, in general we've heard a lot from CHA and HRI. And I think that CDD can share with you the thoughts that they've shared in other meetings. But the petition would legalize prior projects like 2072. Crosslaminated timber is allowing for more heights to be able to use -- for more heights to be reached affordably.

The Open Space requirements in particular I think

get less fanfare but will make a lot more projects viable.

And it would make it viable on smaller lots, so we could

preserve more open space as well.

I also wanted to add at this time that, you know, zoning changes are scary and nervous for people because it's their homes and their neighborhoods. And I think that there is a benefit to allowing more height and density in that, you know, you can have projects built out.

But, you know, these projects even though they by right are not without approval, you know, almost every -- not almost -- every single AHO project at this point has required City funds. And, you know, if we find a price that we really like, like let's say 2072, and the Zoning doesn't allow it, then we're kind of stuck, we can't do anything.

But if we have a project that we don't like that is allowed, like, perhaps the Walden project, then we still have other mechanisms through restricting City funding to giving feedback and other ways that we can kind of resculpt those projects to something that makes a lot of sense. So I think that the negative risk here is a little bit controlled and managed.

We've talked a little bit about unit cost and how

we really think, you know, after you go to six stories, you do have a building code change. And so, you might say, you know, "Why not seven, it's just six?" But it's actually in order to get back to lowering per unit cost, you really do have to go significantly higher.

And then just to reiterate what I think, you know, Councillor McGovern started with, and I think the thing that really, we hear all the time from our community members is that, you know, there are thousands of people in the city who can barely afford rent, who are struggling and really don't want to move out of the city or the homes that they grew up in.

You know, we have 22,000 people on the waitlist, of which 6,501 have a local preference. 4,488 of our neighbors in Cambridge want to live in affordable housing. And of those 2,912 who work in Cambridge and 327 are veterans, these numbers are not additive.

But you know, it just goes to show that there's a lot of our neighbors who are in a lot of pain and struggle.

And, like, we're really trying to make the rules as flexible as possible. So when we find good opportunities and good projects that we want to build that have community support

that, you know, the zoning is not the thing that gets in the way.

And then there was just a simple question that was asked many times about are mixed-use projects eligible? I just wanted to emphasize that, you know, we are covering corridors here. But if we -- a project can contain nonactive, can contain active, nonresidential uses on the ground floor, as long as those are permitted by right in the base zoning.

And then this is just some other highlights of zoning changes that CDD makes but I'll let CDD answer any questions about those if they have.

This is all for me at this point. Thank you. And happy to answer any questions or take any other comments.

Thank you.

COUNCILLOR MCGOVERN: And Chair Flynn, I'll just point out there was one mistake with the earlier slide. It said 13 stories: it's 12, just so we're consistent with that. We just have to fix that.

And I would just say in closing, you know, when we passed the AHO three years ago, it was a new thing, and we were doing something that other places hadn't done. I just

want to point out that there are now cities across the country that are doing their own version of the AHO. And CDD and I have met with representatives from both Ireland and Australia, you know, who are looking at Cambridge.

So, you know, three years ago, we took this bold step and said, "We're going to do this thing that other places aren't doing because we feel that we need to." And it's producing units, and it's being modeled in other places. So I think we should be proud of that.

Thank you.

MARY FLYNN: Well, thanks to all of you for the presentations. It's much appreciated.

What we're going to do first before we get to questions and Planning Board discussion is go to public comment, because this is a public hearing.

Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the button that says, "Raise hand." And if you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9.

As of 5:00 p.m. yesterday, the Board had received over 50 written communications on this case. Written communications received after 5:00 p.m. yesterday will be

entered into the record. So I'm going to ask Staff to unmute people who wish to speak.

But we also just want to take a look -- I know there are many attendees this evening, and it would be helpful, and I think Daniel was going to save this, but I just want to say too if you could please put your hands up now if you wish to speak, so that we can get a sense for of how many speakers we will be having this evening.

So I'll give you a minute to do those, and then when you are recognized, begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will confirm that we can hear you. And we're going to figure out how many minutes, so Daniel, let me turn it over to you for a second. Let's see.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you, Chair Flynn. Sure.

And we'll just -- you know, just a -- a last call for folks
--

MARY FLYNN: Yeah.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: -- that you're aware, you know, if you're hand's not raised, we will not call on you. So if you do plan to provide comment, please, please use the "Raise hand" function now. And it looks like we have about 14 speakers with their hands raised so far, Chair Flynn?

MARY FLYNN: Okay. All right. And there's always also the possibility that someone else will get on the call. So I think to allow for ample time for questions later from the Planning Board to the proponents as well as to Staff, let's limit public comment to two minutes.

My guess is many of the people as I move through the list here, the people who have sent in written comments as well, so if you would -- everybody, just try to be brief. Two minutes is the limit. Try to summarize if you sent in written materials, summarize it as briefly as you can.

And also, it would be helpful if in addition to stating whether you support or oppose the petition, if you do hear that people have already made comments that you were planning on making, if you could just say that you're in support of those comments and just add whatever new comments you may have.

So let me turn it over to Daniel and let's begin.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you, Chair Flynn. It looks like our first speaker is Suzanne Blier followed by James Zall. So Suzanne, please begin by unmuting yourself and giving your name and address.

SUZANNE BLIER: Thank you. Suzanne Blier, 5

Fuller Place. And I urge you to oppose the AHO 2.0 amendment. We have no City plan to delineate where new housing should go. We've prioritized labs, which is part of the problem.

Envision never advised this kind of massive upzoning, and the City Council -- Councillor McGovern among others, said Envision is irrelevant. It's just goals, it doesn't matter. So we have nowhere on this.

The problems with the Annual Review is that they showed there's no final oversight. At nearly a million dollars per unit. Where is this money going? On 1627 Mass Ave, the last Planning Board meeting pointed out serious problems, even at that level. Frost Terrace came up before the AHO, and it was neighbors and the Planning Board who were really critical in creating that project. It was — without that we really are nowhere.

The Cambridge Day today did a report showing the vast majority sums of money spent by HRI and others on repairs, this is a critical issue. 2072 Mass Ave should not be legal. It has only one elevator. That's now illegal and ambulance drivers will not take people down from above the first floor if there's no -- if they need to go up there if

there's only one elevator.

We've got no reviews from residents of these units. If we go for federal and state funding, which is increasingly little, we don't include teachers and others, we would be able to do that if we used City funds. This is not for the unhoused, about 100 of them; it's an entirely different thing. This is an area national problem.

Councillor Azeem stated that no project would go forward without neighborhood support. That's false. This is intended to remove support and Blanchard Road and Walden Square are not on corridors or squares. Today, what the AHO has done is to double the density in current plans.

I'm stunned that so many Planning Board members chose not to come to this meeting. It shows how politicized this project; this whole effort has been. Hopefully we can get a new City Council.

Thank you.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you. The next speaker is James Zall, followed by Rabbi Yoni. James, please begin by unmuting yourself and giving your name and address.

JAMES ZALL: James Zall, 203 Pemberton Street.

And I'm speaking in favor of the amendments to the AHO.

Governor Healy declared a state of emergency today because of the record number of households, including many new immigrants and refugees seeking temporary places to live.

In some quarters, this is being described as an immigration crisis. But it's really a housing crisis. And it didn't start with the recent increase in immigrant.

During earlier waves of immigration like the one in the early 1900s, that brought all four of my grandparents here, it was common for newly arrived immigrants to have to double up and share apartments with other families. This arrangement was usually temporary until the newcomers learned English and were able to find jobs and move in to their own place.

In periods when the number of households exceeded the available housing supply, housing builders would respond by building more housing. By building more housing, the high immigration rates of the early 1900s led to a high rate of housing production in Cambridge and elsewhere, including many of the iconic triple-deckers still standing today.

But now our restrictive and exclusionary zoning regime largely prohibits the kind of adaptability that helped make Cambridge what it is today. Small apartments

are forbidden. Tall buildings are forbidden. Multifamily buildings are forbidden in much of Cambridge. This has led to a serious shortage of housing for residents throughout the region, even without the growth in immigration.

A recent report on zoning in the New York Times noted that "Zoning codes have grown sprawling and more prescriptive." I'm quoting here. "They've often been used to protect narrow interests over societal ones, and we've developed over time more rigid ideas about the built environment; that housing should gain value indefinitely; that politicians should ensure that that's so, and that property owners have a right to veto change around them."

This system is not helping, it's not benefitting the City of Cambridge, it's not serving us well, and I ask that you give this a favorable recommendation and help bring about some change to our zoning.

Thank you.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you. The next speaker is Rabbi Yoni, followed by Esther Hanig. Rabbi Yoni, please begin by unmuting yourself and giving your name and address.

RABBI YONI: Hi. I'm -- this is Rabbi Yoni. I'm speaking very strongly in favor of the AHO amendments. I

live in Central Square within one of the defined squares there.

I -- the dearth of housing impacts low-income households and their friends, the hardships and local house ownership. We're losing core members and are constrained in our growth because community members cannot find reasonably priced rentals within walking distance.

And this crisis situation is so dire, and time is vanishingly short however, so I support these amendments. I think we should at the same time consider the Tower of Babylon dynamic that may be set up by building very big buildings and balanced with better governance.

For example, managers of Inclusionary Housing have asserted inaccurate ledgers against nearly 30 percent of inclusionary tenants, in particular in a particular building. And some managers even issue notices to quit one after the other, even when a tenant owes no rent.

I believe it's time that we consider a way to have a compliance of the CDD against Inclusionary Housing landlords, similar to some of the power that CHA has to actually fine landlords for abuse of the tenants, and to allow the Cambridge Human Rights Commission to enforce CDD

Zoning and have regulations against Inclusionary Housing landlords, and also to provide that some -- a tenant who's prevailed in Probable Cause has protection against retaliation for six years as a finding rather than as a presumption.

Thank you. Please support the AHO amendments.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you. The next speaker is Esther Hanig followed by Helen Walker. Esther, please begin by unmuting yourself and giving your name and address.

ESTHER HANIG: My name is Esther Hanig and I live at 136 Pine Street. I'm here today to state my strong support for the Overlay amendments, and to urge you to recommend it swift passage by the Cambridge City Council.

I never miss an opportunity to voice my support for measures that increase our affordable housing stock, because of the many families you've heard about tonight who are in desperate need of affordable, safe, and secure housing who are currently unable to find it.

I think of those families living in motels, shelters on the streets or crowded into small spaces with family and friends. I think about the anguish of the parents worrying about the effect these situations are

having on their children.

I also think about the wonderful opportunity to be able to welcome more families to our city and to strengthen its diversity.

I've heard concerns about the impact of these additional buildings in the neighborhoods where they would be built. As a member of the Cambridge Neighborhood and Apartment Housing Services Board, an adjunct of HRI, I have witnessed time and again how hard the staff works to respond to neighbor concerns.

I witnessed that again in the numerous meetings that I attended on the Cambridge Housing Authority's project at 116 Norfolk. The CHA staff made many revisions and changes to address neighbors' concerns. I saw the same thing again at meetings about Just-A-Start's new street project.

The passage of this amendment would allow our affordable housing developers the fiscal feasibility to compete and hopefully acquire additional properties to produce this critically needed affordable housing. Not only is this the moral and decent thing to do, but I also know that we can depend on our affordable housing developers to

create energy-efficient and attractive projects, and to 1 2 engage and respond to neighborhood input. 3 I thank you in advance for your support of this 4 important initiative. 5 DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you. The next speaker is Helen Walker followed by Robert Camacho. Helen, please 6 7 begin by unmuting yourself and giving your name and address. HELEN WALKER: Can you hear me? 9 DANIEL MESSPLAY: Yes. Please go ahead. 10 HELEN WALKER: I'm Helen Walker of 43 Linnaean 11 I'm an architect. Thank you for this opportunity Street. 12 to comment in opposition. 13 One counselor wrote to me, "It's important to note 14 that the AHO includes strict Design Guidelines and Community 15 Engagement Requirements, giving residents a voice in shaping their neighborhoods." 16 17 May I offer a couple of quotes from those same 18 original Design Guidelines, which the Planning Board is familiar with and values. 19 20 Quote, "It is expected that affordable housing 21 projects will be designed in a way that is compatible with 22 their existing neighborhood's context. Relate new building

1 height, massing scale and form to that of existing.

Incorporate stepbacks to relate to the heights of adjoining
buildings and to the scale of the streets, such as building
configuration and massing to maximize access to sunlight,

5 air and sky.

"Locate and orient new buildings so that their front yard setbacks relate. Locate open space in relation to adjacent yards, residential units and public spaces."

Now compare the amendments allowing building heights vastly out of scale with neighborhood context, where there are no minimum front and side yard setbacks, where FAR allowances negate stepback requirements, and where minimal to no open space is allowed. The existing AHO Design Guidelines, which we all value, become inapplicable.

As for resident voice, we've just seen nonbinding Planning Board review in action at 1627 Mass Avenue. You were there, I just read about it. But apparently there was general praise for providing affordable housing, but criticism of the project for not relating to the neighborhood. If this was merely six stories not 12 or 15 -- 12 or 15 stories are much more likely to not relate.

Please reject these amendments to the AHO.

And I'm sorry you did not invite Councillor

Carlone to make his presentation about how we should meet

our goals with mid-rise housing. That's a whole 'nother

story to tell, and I wish you would consider it.

Thank you.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you. The next speaker is Robert Camacho, followed by Cathleen Higgins. Robert, please begin by unmuting yourself and giving your name and address.

ROBERT CAMACHO: My name is Robert Camacho. I live across from Corporal Burns Road in Cambridge. I speak to you to urge that you not approve the AHO 2.0 before you for this meeting. This amendment will allow 12- and 15-story buildings in our squares and avenues, potentially setting up a 12-story housing development with no setback adjacent to a two- or two-and-a-half story single-family home, all without design oversight by your Board.

Letters and appeals in opposition to AHO 2 were submitted to the City Council, but a majority of this Council has chosen to totally and blatantly disregard these citizens. The only point of the AHO 2.0 Ordinance is to

remove neighborhood and professional Planning Board approval.

This Council has refused to undertake and approve an actual City plan as our surrounding cities and towns have done, and the Cambridge taxpayers have already paid millions of dollars for a City plan, and yet here we are now, and the City Council has refused to come up with any City plan.

I strongly urge the Planning Board to provide negative recommendation for this fiasco of AHO 2, which is only an example of this Council's politicizing of so much in the city, largely for real estate developers' interests, and certainly not for the interests of the residents of Cambridge.

Never in history has it been possible for a city to accommodate everyone that wants to live there. And for this Council to think that they can do it is the height of absolute arrogance. Thank you.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you. The next speaker is Cathleen Higgins, followed by David Halperin. Cathleen, please begin by unmuting yourself and giving your name and address.

CATHLEEN HIGGINS: My name is Cathleen Higgins. I

live at 345 Norfolk Street.

As a longtime renter in Cambridge who knows the struggles and anxiety attached to finding, keeping, financing a rental apartment, I'm in strong support of the AHO amendments currently before the Planning Board.

What it comes down to fundamentally, of course, is what people have said, is that we need more affordable homes. We need to listen to and consider primarily those not able to be here tonight who will benefit from the passage of these amendments whose lives are unnecessarily burdened by high rents and unsafe housing. These amendments are not radical, they are common sense, reasonable modifications.

At the July 31 Ordinance Committee meeting, there were many speakers who supported the amendments who spoke very eloquently from their personal experience about why they had urged a yes vote. If you haven't heard it, I urge you to listen to those comments.

I just want to point out a couple. Joe McGuirk, candidate for City Council said, "Displacement of lower- and middle-income residents is a much bigger threat to Cambridge than changes to our skyline."

And also, "It is easy to be dismissive of imperfect solutions to our housing crisis when you don't have to worry about where you're going to live in the next few months. Those of us who do not own our homes, even imperfect solutions are better than the status quo. Lower-and middle-income residents are essential to the will being of our city."

And echoing James Zall, another perspective not often heard which was heard that evening was spoken by the person who said supporting the amendments was an issue of gender and trans justice.

As families and young people are fleeing many states that put their lives at risk, they need to move, but they can't. They want to come to places like Cambridge.

They can't, because they really don't have enough housing.

If we really are a compassionate beacon we claim to be, we need to offer much more housing and be more welcoming to those fleeing persecution.

Thank you very much.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you. The next speaker is David Halperin, followed by Heather Hoffman. David, please begin by giving your name and address.

DAVID HALPERIN: Hi. David Halperin, 14 Valentine Street. I'd like to offer my strong support for these amendments. I live just a few blocks over from LBJ Apartments, which is much taller than all the buildings surrounding it in my neighborhood.

And, you know, it's an important community space.

And it, you know, having a tall building nearby is really no downside for me at all, and it gets to be the homes for, you know, the many people who are very happy to live there.

None of the downsides you're going to hear from anyone tonight, you know, are proportional to the upsides of, you know, what these homes will mean to the people who are in such desperate need.

We had, you know, 20,000 people on our affordable housing waitlist, and if we can loosen our zoning and get more units built than we otherwise could, then I think there's a real moral responsibility we have to do that.

Thank you.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you. The next speaker is Heather Hoffman, followed by Marilee Meyer. Heather, please begin by unmuting yourself and giving your name and address.

HEATHER HOFFMAN: Hello. Heather Hoffman, 213

Hurley Street. I want to correct a misimpression that was left by the very first sentence of the presentation. The AHO did not come out of Envision. Envision didn't talk about anything like this. All of the housing stuff that I heard going to Envision meetings was cast aside because someone decided that the AHO sounded like way more fun.

So no, it has nothing to do with Envision.

And I didn't appreciate the sneer at my neighborhood of East Cambridge. We in fact has several 100 percent affordable developments and one is on the way that I personally support and had hoped that that particular site would become affordable housing.

Now, there's another thing. We keep talking about the corridors and squares, but this upzones every last parcel in this city. And I wish that someone would acknowledge that instead of just putting it in the gobbledygook of numbers that are spread out that don't say, "We're upzoning everything."

And that is something that I think people who currently live in affordable housing might have been asked about. Do they want to live in places that stick out like a sore thumb because they're so much bigger than everything

else? Do they care if they have any green space? Do they care if they have trees?

People forget that the loudest voices speaking against 2072 Mass Ave were the residents of the Burns Apartments right next door -- the Russell Apartments, excuse me, right next door.

So I hear all of the talk to developers, but I never heard a single word that people went and talked to the people who currently live in affordable housing and asked them what was good and bad, and what could be done and what should be done.

Thanks.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you. The next speaker is Marilee Meyer, followed by Federico Muchnik. Marilee, please begin by unmuting yourself and giving your name and address.

MARILEE MEYER: Hi. Marilee Meyer, 10 Dana

Street. And this really is a full-court press with three

Councillors. It's rather intimidating.

The original AHO isn't even cold yet, let alone honoring the five-year review. You should be addressing practicality, feasibility, implementation, technology,

1 materials, design.

You can't -- you cannot legislate a motion, and I bet other places dealing with the AHO probably have exceptions that we do not have. Yes, we need housing. But there needs to be analysis and financial problem solving. And it does upzone all the city, we're not just talking about the corridors and squares.

5 percent protected open space can allow unlimited height. Not all corridors and blocks are appropriate for one-size fits all with no accommodation for nuances or exceptions.

Watchdog [unclear] departments are only now advisory. Unlike the original AHO, neighborhood transitions are eliminated. 15 stories is excessive in the small, historical triangle of Harvard Square. Construction technology isn't available yet for compliant 100 percent electricity.

The laminated wood of mass timber construction may be a nightmare for people with chemical sensitivities, and nobody has looked into that. It could even be PFAS.

The original AHO respected Neighborhood

Conservation districts with nonbinding reviews. Now NBCs

are separately being stripped of their guidelines, including dimension, mass, height and setbacks dovetailing with the new AHO.

Zoning Guidelines and Regulations are being inundated for faster development with no sober analysis.

Add in the politics, special interests, developer money and the election, and you have an irresponsible policy.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you. The next speaker is Federico -- Nick, I apologize if I'm mispronouncing your last name. Please begin by unmuting yourself and giving your name and address.

Richdale Ave. Well, look guys. I'm let's put it this way:
This is a pretty divisive topic. And AHO 2.0 is not ready.
I think you have to concede that the amount of dissidence
and acceptance and the amount of noise and the amount of
opposition that you're facing for voting for ratifying this
thing is quite intense. And there is a lot of opposition to
it.

And I think that that should be the writing on the wall that says that this has got to go back to the Council for reconsideration. I don't think you've seen anything

like this, you know, in a long time.

So that's one item, which is that it's just not ready for primetime. It's just not. And you're overlooking, if you approve this, too many opposing voices and opposing perspectives.

Case in point, I sent you guys today a petition with close to 500 signatures for people opposing the slabs at Walden Square.

And if we were to take the Walden Square Winn development saga as a case in point, we would very clearly see that the idea of going -- tear up a road, create a tunnel to build 95 units when the folks at Walden Square who are barely able to speak English, not registered to vote, not involved with City politics, and will wake up with this nightmare on their hands because of the infestation of rodents and so on and so forth that the construction will precipitate.

What we're seeing is the City playing dead and essentially giving carte blanche to the developers. Send it back, folks. Send it back.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you. The next speaker is Justin Saif, followed by Teresa Cardosi. Justin, please

begin by unmuting yourself and giving your name and address.

JUSTIN SAIF: Justin Saif. 259 Hurley Street.

Good evening members of the Planning Board. I'm in strong support of amending the Overlay to provide more affordable housing, so our local homebuilders who testified in support of these amendments time and again throughout the process can succeed in their attempts to buy more parcels on which to build more affordable homes.

We have a housing crisis in Cambridge and desperately need more affordable housing. Time and again, Cambridge residents have stated that housing costs are far and away the most important issue for leaders like you to address in Cambridge.

Getting a spot off CHA or the CDD waitlist is life-changing, as CHA has made clear in a series of waitlist profiles that I hope each of you has had a history chance to read. And if not, is hope you do so.

I live in East Cambridge. We have several, taller affordable housing buildings, including the Truman

Apartments. And I'm sure they faced strong opposition when built, but now they're part of the neighborhood. And not even on a corridor or in a square; next to two-story homes.

Similar buildings are present in many other Cambridge neighborhoods.

And you should know that some groups are spreading false information about the Overlay and the amendments. The fact is, we have 6,500 households on the waitlist with a local preference; at least almost 4,500 of those are Cambridge resident households.

The role of the Planning Board should be to help Cambridge plan to produce more affordable homes so that these thousands of households in dire need do not have to wait nearly a decade to find a home they can afford.

Speaking of Envision, we're not on pace even to meet our much too low Envision goal of 3,175 additional affordable homes by 2030. So far, we only have 414 new homes, with 212 rebuilt. And some from the Inclusionary Zoning [indiscernible].

We need to expand the AHO and increase our affordable housing. Please vote in favor of expanding the Overlay tonight. Thank you.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you. The next speaker is Teresa Cardosi followed by Joan Pickett. Teresa, please begin by unmuting yourself and giving your name and address. TERESA CARDOSI: Hi. My name is Teresa Cardosi, and I live at 7 Woodrow Wilson Court, which by the way is affordable housing. I grew up in Cambridge, and if I didn't have this affordable housing unit, I wouldn't be here in Cambridge.

The AHO does work. As Councillor McGovern said, the AHO 2023 Annual Review does show that 6 AHO projects are in development, and many of those units are two-, three- and four-bedrooms, which are really the most needed.

There is some misinformation going around. So for-profit developers would not use the AHO, because AHO requires 100 percent affordable units, deed-restricted in perpetuity. So no market rents allowed ever, permanently.

And another thing is that AHO amendments are not a one-size-fits-all, because the corridors and the main squares allow the 12- to 15- story height. But other areas of Cambridge allow height proportionate to the area.

But AHO restrictions have prevented units from being built. One example is 116 Norfolk Street housing, which has supportive services from the chronically homeless, some of which are mentally ill. This housing connects them to constant care.

I ask that you see affordable housing as people and not as buildings. Renters are no different than homeowners; we also want secure housing. So please support the AHO amendments.

I also want people to know if you Google the 2020 Ivory Prize Top 10, the AHO was a finalist of the Top 10 for that national price.

And one other thing: As a public housing tenant, as an affordable housing tenant, I don't mind if I were living in a tall building. I don't mind if there were 50,000 tall buildings close to me or near me, because those people are the people that I would enjoy, whether you are in two stories or one story or a million stories. Because you make the community. And in all communities, the more people that you can deal with, the better the community is. You get all different points of view.

Thank you for your time.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you. The next speaker is Joan Pickett, followed by Monica Raymond. Joan, please begin by unmuting yourself and giving your name and address.

JOAN PICKETT: Good evening. This is Joan

Pickett. And I live at 59 Ellery Street. And I'm not going

to repeat some of the things that have already been said, but I would like to emphasize a few points.

And I want to start by saying that I'm asking the Planning Board to not give a favorable recommendation to the zoning that's outlined in AHO 2.

Really all the provisions of the original AHO that were developed as part of a lengthy public process just several years ago are now being abandoned here. And this is where I have my biggest problem.

With this version, neighborhoods and adjacent property owners will have no say in height, setbacks, open space, undermining preexisting rights and uses of adjacent property owners.

And, as we found out, the Planning Board is excluding her many oversight roles in these decisions. And I do not think this is an acceptable outcome.

I just want to challenge everyone to think isn't there a way to provide some type of relief within the context of the neighborhoods without doing a one-size-fits-all heights. Because we know that one size usually fits none.

In the Housing meetings, the affordable housing

developers, they did not ask for 12 stories, and they did 1 2 not ask for 15 stories. They asked for some height relief, which is not defined. 3 4 And so, I just want to challenge us to think about that and keep the features that were in the original AHO 5 around the process and input from neighborhoods and adjacent 6 7 property owners. Thank you. 9 DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you. The next speaker is 10 Monica Raymond, followed by Amy Waltz. Monica, please begin 11 by unmuting yourself and giving your name and address. 12 [Pause] 13 Monica, it looks like you are unmuted, but we are 14 unable to hear you. 15 [Pause] Monica, we're still having difficulty hearing you. 16 17 Give you one more moment, and then we can try to return to 18 you at the end of the comment. 19 [Pause] 20 Still not hearing you, Monica, so just stay put 21 for right now and we will try you at the end. 22 The next speaker is Amy Waltz, followed by Marie

1 Elena Saccoccio.

Amy, please begin by unmuting yourself and giving your name and address.

AMY WALTZ: Hi, can you hear me?

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Yes. Please go ahead.

AMY WALTZ: Thanks. Hi. My name is Amy Waltz from 12 Blakely Street in Cambridge.

The Affordable Housing Overlay Amendment is environmentally, fiscally, and socially irresponsible. We urgently need you to close this major citywide zoning change. The impact of this zoning change is grossly understated as an amendment to the Overlay, when in reality it is a major upzoning that will affect the entire city, having potential for tenfold of the original Overlay's impact.

This representation alone should disqualify it from approval. It feels bizarre that a pro-builder majority City Council could potentially pass this in a matter of days, in spite of the wide public disapproval, as residents begins to understand its impact.

The amended Overlay cedes expansive rights far in excess of current zoning laws to developers and investments

without sufficient accountability to the community or for the environment. While we all want additional affordable housing, this requires particularly careful planning in a city that is already the most densely populated for its size in the U.S.

Some sites are appropriate for building and some sites are not. This is simply a reality, as people will be displaced, and lives will be upended. There are a myriad of human considerations when building housing for redeveloping. They all require careful planning and accountability to the community.

It's fiscally irresponsible. The housing being built has an unjustifiable price tag of near \$1 million dollars per unit without even owning the property being developed. There is surely a better method of securing housing that will actually be affordable. Purchasing property seems crucial as well as competitive bidding for development.

MARY FLYNN: Ms. Waltz, could you wrap up, please? You've gone beyond your time limit.

AMY WALTZ: Yes. Okay. Of greatest concern is the staggering environmental impact of redevelopment at a

```
critical moment when the climate crisis is nearing a tipping
1
 2
    point. Additionally, most of the people are --
 3
              MARY FLYNN: Ms. Waltz --
 4
              AMY WALTZ: -- seem to have financial --
5
              MARY FLYNN: Yeah, conclude because you're well
 6
    over the time. Thank you. You can send any comments you
7
    want to us in writing --
              AMY WALTZ: Okay.
 9
              MARY FLYNN: -- in addition. Thank you.
10
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you. The next speaker is
11
    Amy Waltz, followed by Regis DeSilva. Please begin by
12
    unmuting yourself and giving your name and address.
13
              Amy Waltz, you have the floor.
14
              AMY WALTZ: I already spoke.
15
              MARY FLYNN: We just did Amy.
16
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: I apologize. I apologize.
17
              MARY FLYNN: Yeah, let's --
18
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Our next speaker is Regis
19
    DeSilva.
              Regis, please begin by unmuting yourself and
20
     giving your name and address.
              REGIS DESILVA: I'm Regis DeSilva, 40 Larchwood,
21
22
    Cambridge. I've just one point to make. We are already the
```

1 | fourth most populous city in Massachusetts after Boston,

2 | Worcester and Springfield. We're only four square miles.

Each of these cities are 10 times the size of Cambridge.

The population in the last 40 years that I've lived here has increased from about 80,000 to 120,000. In the last 20 years it's increased from about 100,000 to 120,000 which is about a 20 percent increase.

We have seen increased traffic, and if you build 20,000 units let's say, you're going to have two people per unit and that will be another -- anywhere from 20- to 40,000 people in the city.

The traffic is already terrible. It takes half an hour now to get from Huron Ave in the area where I live to Fresh Pond between the hours of 2:00 to 6:00 p.m. This increases pollution. We have problems with climate, and as the previous speaker spoke to this issue, I will not repeat that.

But I think the congestion is a health hazard, and the number of accidents have been increasing. And I don't think that the quality of life in Cambridge will improve.

It's wonderful to take care of people who want to live here, but I think we have to think about the environmental impact.

1 Thanks a lot.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you. The last speaker is Marie Elena Saccoccio. So Marie, let's try again.

MARIE ELENA SACCOCCIO: Hi. Good evening. Marie Elena Saccoccio, 55 Otis Street. I'm a lifelong resident and I'm here to speak against adoptional recommendation of AHO 2.0.

Earlier today, I did forward to the Planning Board -- it's a study in excess of 100 pages called, "Quorums are how the free market houses the poor." Essentially, it's a study of how slums came about. And it was always prezoning.

And I see -- you know, I'm sure people are saying, "Oh, that's not what we're talking about tonight, but to really assess this plan, it's essential that you consider the real basis for zoning, and what it really was created to protect us against.

The plan in front of you essentially exempts AHO 2.0 from the protections and restrictions of Zoning and Historic Commission oversight that your other residents enjoy. Somehow, this class is not worthy of setbacks or height restrictions or fire restrictions or even parking.

At the presentations, over and over I see Millers
River and Truman Apartments presented as some kind of gold
standard. Well, that's rather ridiculous.

There was no objection when they're being built; they were built by MIT with MIT money as a gift to the city. Nobody was going to object to that. And they were built exclusively for elders. So that's very different than planning for families. High rises for elders can work, I mean obviously.

AHO 2 is all about warehousing people, as if they're widgets devoid of appreciation of privacy, space, history or architecture. We all realize that more housing is part of the plan for this city but that's not even our controversy. It's the exemption of all restrictions that are based on health, general welfare, and historic and architectural conduct. Please do not recommendation adoption of the AHO 2.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you. There is an additional speaker on the list. Maybe we'll just give this opportunity for one last call. If you do want to public comment on this item, please use the to "Raise hand" function now. And that speaker is James Williamson. James,

1 please begin by unmuting yourself and giving your name and 2 address.

JAMES WILLIAMSON: Can you hear me?

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Yes. Please go ahead.

JAMES WILLIAMSON: Okay. James Williamson, 30 Churchill Ave in Cambridge. I hope you've been requiring all of the speakers to give their home addresses tonight.

what happens is the people who are the heads of entities that do this so-called affordable housing, I don't think any of them -- any of the current ones who actually live in Cambridge, they're basically suburbanites who drive in from the suburbs in their single-occupancy vehicles and have their dedicated free parking spaces -- the housing that they live in is actually much more affordable than what they're proposing and building here in Cambridge.

Like, it's almost a million dollars a unit, but if you bought condos in the suburbs where they live, you'd probably have enough to give people keys to electric cars and have money left over. I actually resent that. I resent people who do not live in Cambridge dictating to us what our housing and zoning policies ought to be.

There are alternatives, which are not discussed.

The two proponents of this are both MIT graduates. Why have we stopped talking about MIT requiring MIT to house all of the graduate students on land they already own, in attractive apartments attractive enough to get them interested, and at rents that are affordable?

That would be approximately -- years ago that number was approximately 1,000 MIT graduate students, and Barry Bluestone among others pointed out that if you pulled those out of the basically traditional family housing, you'd free up family -- traditional family housing for Cantabridgians.

We were sold a bill of goods originally that this was all about restricted -- restrictive zoning, the kind of restricted zoning that is out in those suburbs where those people live. But nothing -- all of the building took place; it's all about doubling down on density where poor people already live.

Almost all of the projects -- none of them were in the privileged neighborhoods. And now we're being sold a new bill of goods that, "Oh, don't worry. We're really not going to go to the limits that are being proposed. Don't worry." I mean, come on. Thank you.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you. Next speaker is Susan Miller-Havens. Susan, please begin by unmuting yourself and giving your name and address.

SUSAN MILLER-HAVENS: Thank you. Susan Miller-Havens, 24 Bradbury Street, over 50 years a resident, both a renter and an owner.

I just -- I'm just so struck by the fact that I believe there are nine members of the Planning Board, and only four of you are here. And one of the individuals who is absent perhaps has the most experience of any of you and is the most knowledgeable about this.

Instead, we have two City Councillors who are prodevelopment. I don't know what to make of it, but it doesn't feel right.

And the other thing is this whole idea of okay, here's all this housing. You know, the City Council has been so involved in making sure that we have bikes everywhere so that we might partly cut down the amount of cars and traffic that we have.

You bring in this kind of building, I can't imagine how the streets the way they are -- in my humble opinion, they sort of look like a board game that's gone

1 | mad. And we're just trying to deal with that.

So anyway, I'm sorry I'm rambling, but I think the biggest thing is that I don't feel comfortable about not having at least eight of your members at this meeting.

Thank you.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you. Chair Flynn, that concludes the speakers on the list, so I will turn it back to you.

MARY FLYNN: Thanks. Okay. Thank you to all of the speakers and to all of the folks who wrote in with their public comment.

We're now going to move from public comment to

Board discussion. If this hearing is continued to a future

date and additional information is received, there will be

opportunity for public comment on the additional materials.

Additional written comments may also be submitted.

So first, I want to start with Board member questions, and then once we've gotten through that, we will move on to discussion as to how to proceed tonight. So does anyone have questions for either the proponent or CDD Staff?

And I see Ashley your hand is up. And for the new members of the Board, if you just hit that "Raise hand"

button if you do have a question, then I will acknowledge 1 2 you that way. 3 ASHLEY TAN: Thank you, Madam Chair. 4 MARY FLYNN: Go ahead, Ashley. 5 ASHLEY TAN: I'll start with an easy one. 6 list them off, but first one is where can we get a copy of 7 that one year report and maybe ask the question, I don't 8 know if it's for Staff or the City Councillors. 9 And then going into -- relating to this actual amendment, the first question is how were the AHO corridors 10 11 decided? I don't know if I got much clarity about that. 12 And then another question for the City Councillors were, I guess, like, what other programs are there in the 13 14 city that are available to encourage affordable housing. I know others have mentioned it's, you know, really expensive 15 to build. 16 17 And so I was just wondering what other programs 18 are out there. 19 And then maybe I should approach the Staff, but I 20 wasn't quite sure, I couldn't make out what changes to the amendment were proposed by a Staff versus what were by CDD, 21

versus what was originally proposed by the City Councillors.

22

```
I know there was a quick slide about that at the end, but I
1
 2
     didn't get to read that either.
 3
               Thank you.
 4
               MARY FLYNN: Okay. All right. Thank you.
5
     Councillor McGovern, do you want to field questions for your
 6
     team?
 7
               COUNCILLOR MCGOVERN: Well, I'm --
 8
               MARY FLYNN: And I think in this one it's really
9
     the question of the AHO corridors.
10
               COUNCILLOR MCGOVERN: Sure. I'm going to kick
11
     that to Councillor Zondervan to answer.
12
               COUNCILLOR ZONDERVAN: Thanks. Yeah. So we
     started with the Envision corridors that were identified.
13
14
    But then we also looked at making sure that we had good
15
    coverage of both, you know, geographic coverage of the city,
     and then also coverage of potential -- quote, unquote -- "soft
16
17
     sites," so sites that might yield affordable housing in the
     future.
18
               It's not an exact science of course, but, you
19
20
     know, I think we came up with a reasonable map that sort of
21
     optimizes those two strengths.
22
               MARY FLYNN: Okay. Thank you. I think it might
```

```
actually make sense for Staff to chime in on the next two.
1
 2
    One was the question about other housing programs. I know
 3
     there are members of the Housing Staff here. And then
 4
     Daniel, you can probably speak to the amendment and the
     redlining.
5
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Sure. And I can -- thank you,
 6
7
    Chair Flynn -- and I can speak maybe briefly to where the
8
     copy of the Annual Report might be available.
 9
               MARY FLYNN: Yes.
10
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: And kind of -- this -- it's a
11
    bit clunky to navigate the website, but we have the Open
    Meeting Portal part of the City Council, the City website,
12
     and if you go to the City Council meeting from yesterday,
13
14
    you can see the Annual Report there as part of the
15
     submission and review that. It might also be posted, if it
    hasn't already been posted to the Affordable Housing Overlay
16
17
     webpage on the CDD site it will be shortly.
18
               COUNCILLOR MCGOVERN: Daniel? I'm sorry to
19
     interrupt. I just put the link in the Chat for anybody who
20
    wants it.
21
               MARY FLYNN:
                            Yep.
22
```

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you. As far as what

changes were proposed by Staff versus what changes were proposed by City Council, there were two changes that were proposed by City Staff.

The first one was about the allowing an AHO development to match the height of an existing building on an adjacent lot.

And the second one is sort of -- it's tucked away towards the end of the petition under the Open Space section. And it relates to waiving the Open Space Requirement for an extremely small lot, so lots of 5,000 square feet or less where that lot is adjacent to a public open space of at least 1,500 square feet.

So that provision we've talked with some affordable housing developers who identified some sights in residential zoning districts that naturally have just a smaller lot size than what you would find say along a corridor or in a mixed-use area.

And they found that complying with the Open Space Dimensional Standards once you factor in like a typical Open Space requirement, which would be for instance 30 percent under the AHO, once you sort of subtract that from a really small lot area, you end up with a floor plate that's just

not really buildable.

So this was a provision that we plugged in that would allow an AHO development to move forward on a small lot, but also ensured that residents of that AHO development would have access to a public open space that would be immediately adjacent to it.

MARY FLYNN: Okay, thanks. Now, your other question had to do with other programs that help with the affordable housing, that the city offers. So I don't know whether Chris Cotter if that's something you want to address that would be helpful.

CHRIS COTTER: I'm happy to. Can I just ask that a question be repeated just to make sure that I've got it correct?

MARY FLYNN: Yes.

ASHLEY TAN: I was -- mostly was curious what other programs outside of, you know, strict zoning amendments are there to encourage affordable housing developments, at least from the City side. Because I know it is very expensive to build.

CHRIS COOK: Sure. Well, outside of the programs that we're talking about today that would create housing

through the Overlay with funding from the City and other public funders, the other big producer of new housing is the Inclusionary Housing Ordinance, which Councillor McGovern mentioned up top in the presentation that's produced about 1,500 more affordable units over the last 20 plus years.

So as far as bringing in new units online, it's really inclusionary in the City-funded housing that's built by groups like Homeowners' Rehab, Just-A-Start, Cambridge Housing Authority and a number of other developers of affordable housing.

We also have a comprehensive program to preserve existing affordable housing. That's been a big focus of us over the last 10 to 12 years, so we've preserved about 1,200 units where restrictions -- affordability restrictions were at risk of expiring.

So that was a big focus with the preservation of Fresh Pond Apartments out by Alewife being the last in that group, and now the focus has been more on building and adding to the affordable housing stock with new development.

A last thing I'll say is we have a comprehensive homebuyer program -- education counseling and then financial assistance, which includes HomeBridge. And the HomeBridge

is the program through which we offer funds to first-time homebuyers, so they have a preapproval to go out and shop for homes on the market. Those homes when someone buys with the HomeBridge assistance do become part of the City's affordable housing stock throughout the long-term.

MARY FLYNN: Iram, did you want to add something?

IRAM FAROOQ: So thank you, Chair. I just wanted to add one item to what Chris spoke about, which is just to really zoom out and to look at all of the components that we need for affordable housing to really successfully be built.

And one of the key components to that is funding, as some of you have noted. And that's something that comes from the incen-- you know, part of it comes from things like the Community Preservation Act Funds that the City gets from the state, and the City has consistently allocated the maximum possible allowable amount for affordable housing from that source.

It comes from Incentive Zoning provision, through commercial development, and it comes from City -- from the City's budget through taxes.

And the City has as of this year dedicated -Chris will correct me if I'm wrong -- but approximately \$40

million dollars just for the year to affordable housing purposes. So that, you know, in terms of the funding, that's something that the City is putting in this is getting channeled into construction of new affordable housing through the Affordable Housing Trust.

And regardless of whether a developer or builder is using the AHO or is using the State's provisions, that funding can support them.

The second thing we need is, you know, policies that really allow the -- well, actually, I'd say sites, you know, we need land on which to build. And the affordable housing builders are competing in the open market for that land.

When the City has land, there's a lot of discussion about City-owned land, and a parcel on Cherry Street is one that the City is allocating to affordable housing. There's an RFP out for that right now. We're looking at the City-owned parcels in Central Square to think about what might be future uses for that. And affordable housing is a central piece of that.

But really the sites -- access to sites is one of the biggest challenges, given how constrained Cambridge is

```
with our 6.25 square mile land area. And so, policies like
1
 2
     the AHO are really key to helping us, whether that be the
 3
     City going out to acquire land or whether that be our
 4
    builders going out to our non-profit and other partners who
    build affordable housing, go out to acquire those sites,
 5
     it's really helpful to have an advantage for affordable
 6
7
    housing. And that's what when we worked on the AHO, that
    was a key piece that we were trying to accomplish.
 9
               So I just wanted to sort of zoom out and put that
     in context, in addition to all of the programs that Chris
10
11
    has spoken about.
12
               MARY FLYNN: Great. Thank you. Ted, your hand is
13
    up. What are your thoughts? Questions, actually?
14
               H THEODORE COHEN: Just questions now.
15
               MARY FLYNN: Yes, questions.
               H THEODORE COHEN: So I think the questions are
16
17
     for Staff. I would like some clarification on the
18
     circumstances in which a -- there isn't unlimit (sic) to the
    height that a building could be constructed. And similarly,
19
     I'd like some clarification on the circumstances where
20
21
    protection, where increasing open space, would allow for
22
     increased density.
```

MARY FLYNN: Daniel?

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Sure. Thank you, Chair Flynn.

I can take that one. And Councillor McGovern or Councillor

Azeem or Councillor Zondervan might pass to you if there's

-- if you want to add anything about sort of the intent or

the rationale, don't want to get too far over my skis.

But the provision in the petition says that an AHO project can exceed the allowable height without limitation, but subject to the residential density limitations that would otherwise apply.

So as you remember from the AHO, for AHO developments, there's either an FAR cap of 2.0 or the FAR is unlimited over a certain point. So in this case you could exceed the height without limitation, subject to that FAR cap 2.0 or if you're in an area that otherwise would not have an FAR restriction, you sort of back into an FAR restriction by taking the lot area and subtracting the open space that would be required on that lot, and then multiplying the remainder by the allowable height that would apply on that parcel.

So it says unlimited, but in reality, there is sort of a height limitation that would apply because you

kind of back into a floor area ratio restriction just based on subtracting the minimum open space that would otherwise be required and multiplying what is left over by the applicable height in the district.

Again, I don't want to get sort of too far over my skis in terms of rationale, so I would defer to the petitioners but I think, you know, one of the challenges with the AHO, especially when you have a really large lot that ends up getting redeveloped.

So for instance the JP Federal site is one that we talked about a lot, is that you have that sort of -- that height cap that applies to the whole site, and you end up with a site that when it's redeveloped sort of looks like everything is at an even plane.

And there really isn't an opportunity under the AHO currently to mold some of those heights so that you can maybe have a taller building towards the interior of the lot and then scale down as you get towards the adjacent lots. Because the height limit that applies applies to every building on that lot.

So this would allow sort of a little bit of a reshaping, more flexibility to the height if you wanted one

of the buildings, for instance, to exceed the allowable height that would otherwise apply under the AHO you could do that, but there would still be sort of an overall floor area restriction that would apply.

And the only time that you would be able to take advantage of that provision is where you have existing green area open space that will be preserved on the lot that consists of at least 5 percent of the total lot area, and that the AHO development will exceed the minimum open space that would otherwise be required on that lot.

I don't know if the Councillors wanted to add anything else, since that was one of their revisions.

MARY FLYNN: Councillor Azeem has his hand raised. So I'll turn it over to you.

COUNCILLOR AZEEM: Thank you, Madam Chair. I just wanted to add that, in general, there's two ways to add restrictions on construction.

In my opinion, there's height and then there's

FAR. And oftentimes I think that in the City FAR is being

more restricted than the height, where even if you can build

up to a certain height, the FAR ends up being a much more a

limiting factor.

And I think that we were kind of taking that approach where the FAR is more limiting, but the benefit of FAR is that it does allow you to preserve more of a community's open space.

And so, in, like, very select parcels we've seen where people, like you know CHA doesn't build up to the maximum FAR anyways. They don't want to necessarily add more units or density. But, like, they would like to preserve more open space. And rearranging those units could be helpful.

So I think that the real intention behind that provision is to allow people to -- you know, if you have 100 units and you think of them as, like, Lego blocks, to rearrange them in a way that allows more, you know, open space to be preserved, rather than, you know, somehow that specific provision allowing for more units to be created. Thank you.

MARY FLYNN: Councillor Zondervan, did you want to add to that?

20 COUNCILLOR ZONDERVAN: Thank you, Madam Chair, to you; yes.

So in terms of rationale, another example that

came up during our discussions was 116 Norfolk Street, where everyone agreed that -- including the neighbors -- that they would prefer a slightly taller building in exchange for more preservation of the green open space on the land and including the preservation of some of the trees. But because of the limitations of the AHO, they were not able to go taller.

And so, what this provision does, it allows -- it would have allowed them in that particular case to build slightly taller and slightly skinnier; they still would end up with the same FAR, but the building would be a little bit taller in exchange for more open space protection on the ground.

MARY FLYNN: Thank you. Back to you, Councillor McGovern.

COUNCILLOR MCGOVERN: Thank you. And I think one of the ways I've been trying to sort of talk about this is that when we're looking at, when we're talking about the height because we're talking about up to 12 stories, right? It's not that it's always going to be 12 stories.

Even if you look at the HRI project on Mass Ave that you all did met last week, even under the current AHO,

they could go to seven stories, but they're not maxing that height out.

And so, you know, I think some of this -- you know, I think our affordable housing developers do a good job. I think they've been part of this community for a really long time, and I don't put them quite in the same boat as I put some of our for-profit developers who are trying to maximize profits.

And so, you know, if you think about it in terms

-- they all said, and we've heard in public comment the

affordable housing developers didn't ask for this -- they

have all said several times including at our most recent

meeting, that these amendments will give them more

opportunity and more flexibility and allow them to right

size projects with more flexibility and also to produce more

units. They are on record saying that.

And so, you're not -- just because you can go to 12 doesn't mean that you're going to get 12 with time.

MARY FLYNN: Thank you. Diego, your hand is up with a question?

DIEGO MACIAS: Yes. I guess I have two sort of topics that I have questions that I would hope to get

1 elaborated on.

The first is sort of the idea with these new heights and the lack of setbacks that buildings might get to close to another, and there won't really be much of a view, and sort of if someone could elaborate more on that. I'm not sure if it's a Councillor or the Staff.

And similarly, if that does happen, you know, I guess a question would be, which one of the public commenters brought up was sort of if there were any surveys on whether people would want to live in such a situation or current affordable housing, I'm just really not sure.

I didn't see anything in the documentation if that's been reviewed, or if there were, you know, questions about that to the public. And then that's sort of one topic.

And then the second topic is infrastructure. I guess I'm just curious if any thought was put into sort of, you know, loading traffic or, you know, buses and the current transit that we have for public transportation.

Thank you.

MARY FLYNN: Great. Thank you. Let me turn it to the proponents first. And Councillor McGovern, did you want

1 to start? I didn't know if your hand was up newly or from
2 the last time?

COUNCILLOR MCGOVERN: No, no, no. It's new.

Thank you, Madam Chair. I'm getting better at putting my hand down when --

MARY FLYNN: It's always hard to --

COUNCILLOR MCGOVERN: So I'll answer the second part of that first question a little bit. So, you know, we've had several meetings on this topic. There have been meetings where people who live in taller buildings in Cambridge -- in affordable housing buildings whether it was the Manning or Millers River, who called it and talked quite eloquently about -- that they have strong communities in these buildings.

You know, there was a letter written by people who live in Rindge Towers saying, "Please stop using us as an example, as what living in a tall building is terrible and awful. We love our community."

I think anybody who -- you know, I've had the great pleasure t last few weeks to go to events -- you know, it's the summer -- to go to barbecue events both at 808

Memorial Drive, Rindge Towers; I was just at Millers River.

There are really strong communities there.

And there was a slide earlier on -- and I'll have to see if I can dig it up -- from the CHA in one of our first presentations that talked about the thousands of people whose first choice, because when you get on the list you can choose where you want to live -- whose choices are these taller buildings.

The CHA has lots of different -- they have smaller buildings and taller buildings, and there's lots of different options, but a lot of people are choosing these taller buildings.

So look, some people like living in taller buildings, some people aren't going to like living in taller buildings. But I just spent Thursday -- a couple hours on Thursday -- with a 56-year-old woman and her disabled son who are getting evicted from their unit and they have nowhere to go. They're going to be homeless. And they're not on any list yet, and it's going to take years for us to try and find them something.

If she -- if we could offer her an apartment on the seventh, eighth, ninth, tenth floor of a building -- twelfth floor of a building -- I promise you that she would

take that. And, you know, I think we have to -- it's not the only answer, right? We need a variety of types of housing.

But this idea that just because it's a certain number of stories that in some sense is arbitrary, that somehow people aren't going to want it, that's just not what we're finding. People have been very outspoken about it.

Now, it doesn't mean it's always perfect for sure, right? There's a lot of work we have to do. But -- and again, these -- we're talking major corridors here, right? So these aren't tall buildings that are isolated on the outskirts of town.

So anyway, just for that part of the question, yes, we have heard from folks. And again, it's not that everybody thinks it's perfect, but no housing is always perfect.

But yes, there are -- and there are numbers to show that people are asking to live in these buildings.

MARY FLYNN: Thank you. Councillor Azeem, your hand is up also.

COUNCILLOR AZEEM: Thank you, Madam Chair. I wanted to first begin with the infrastructure question, and

to say that, you know, I think we often think about in terms of traffic patterns in terms of cars where it certainly is true that if you have more drivers on the road, you know, it can become more difficult to get to places.

I also want to acknowledge that it's the opposite for other forms of transit, right? Train ridership and bus ridership gets better the more people that use it. And in fact, a huge problem that we're facing before the MBTA right now is that ridership is down compared to pre-COVID levels.

And transit is something that moves dramatically, right? Like, New York, which is more dense than we are, has much more trains -- much easier to get a train everywhere than we do here. You know, just to get a sense of, like, you know, sometimes it's -- it could help if it would be easier to get around from places to place.

And I think that, you know, it's certainly true and what we found in the evidence, like percentage of car ownership in affordable housing, that a lot of people do use mass transit, and I think that is something that will benefit.

And that's also what was the intention among the co-authors, in that we introduced something that was really

focusing on squares in particular and corridors, because that is where transit is successful, right?

Harvard Square has not only a T station, but also is one of the biggest bus depots in the system. Central Square has obviously a train system and buses, but under the Bus Network Redesign, which I worked on this term, it will become one of the biggest bus hubs in the state.

Porter Square has the -- you know, Red Line of course, but it also has a commuter rail Station this is getting extensive work done. You know, these are really, really transit-accessible places, and that's why we focused so much on the square definition in particular.

And then to the other point about people's -- you know, whether people want to live in these buildings, I think we both heard in some public comment from, you know, I think that it's always -- at least some people in public comment who, like, live in public housing and do want to live there as well as, you know, like, I think in the broader conversations that we've had about people -- you know, like people in the Rindge Towers and other people -- really do love their homes.

And I would say, you know, two additional things,

that, like, one, you also get more services, right? Like, as -- you know, the son of a mom who does not speak particularly great English, maybe my English needs some work as well, but -- you know, like, you know, a lot of these larger public housing communities also offer the direct services to inbuild tenant support. They're really making it a community you can thrive in, versus having to live in a more separated community where you don't have that 24 asset and access.

And, you know, I think that it can be really easy to get drawn into some of the parts that we don't like. But you know I would not be here today -- like I don't enjoy this process either of going through having to talk about this bill for eight months, get lots of negative feedback, lots of people upset, it's not a fun process for me either.

You know, we were actually here because, like, we really do believe that, you know, we need affordable housing and that it can make things better, and that people really, really, really are struggling, you know.

Like, I think that we are now the third-most expensive metro area in the U.S. after New York and SF, and that really takes a toll on so many people. You hear about

it every single day. And, you know, that's why we're doing 1 2 this. So thank you. 3 MARY FLYNN: Thanks. Daniel? DANIEL MESSPLAY: Just real quickly; I think 4 5 Councillor Azeem covered infrastructure in terms of transit 6 and cars. So just to speak about the stuff underground, the 7 sewer and water, just want to quickly note, you know, AHO developments are subject to the same, you know, City 9 technical standards and requirements that would otherwise apply to all other developments in the city. 10 11 So our Department of Public Works reviews building permit applications and AHO proposals for AHO developments 12 to ensure that there will be adequate water and sewer 13 14 capacity, for instance, energy for the building. 15 And so, AHO developments aren't treated any differently than other developments in that regard. Just 16 17 wanted to note that. 18 MARY FLYNN: Great, thank you. Okay. Any other 19 questions from the Planning Board members? 20 Adam? 21 ADAM BUCHANAN WESTBROOK: Thank you, Chair. 22 just want to say thanks to all the community members who

have shared their perspectives, both tonight and in writing
over the past several weeks it sounds like.

My -- you know, there are a lot of critiques it sounds like of AHO 2.0. And I'm curious of the petitioners could say a little bit more about what's wrong with AHO 1.0 that AHO 2.0 really would do so much better?

I mean, on the one hand it increases density, and that's a piece of it, but are there other things about AHO 1.0 or any negative outcomes with AHO 1.0 that 2.0 would do much better?

MARY FLYNN: Thank you.

COUNCILLOR MCGOVERN: Sure. And I will point out, you know, through you, Madam Chair, that yes there are critiques. There's also a lot of people speaking positively about it.

And I think we focus so much in these conversations about, you know, what are people upset about as opposed to listening to the voices of people saying, "No, actually, you know, this is good."

So I would say from my perspective from what we've heard, so the AHO has been in existence for three years. We know that land costs continue to go up. We know that

construction costs continue to go up.

And so, we sometimes get criticized for having spoken to developers on this subject, but of course we went to our affordable housing developers and said, "What's the landscape look like? What's working, what's not working," right? They are the ones who built it. They know the affordable housing financing better than anybody.

And we engage in conversations where, you know, what came up -- and Councillor Zondervan mentioned this earlier -- is that it was more -- it was still cost prohibitive for them to build sort of deeper into the neighborhoods, right?

opportunities along corridors that were more viable for them, and that if there was -- if they had a little more flexibility in the height, that they could spread the costs out over more units which gets you more units, which is wonderful in its own right, because we need the number -- we need the units, but it would allow them more flexibility to purchase property, get involved.

And again, the funding for affordable housing is so complicated, and it takes so long, that it's really hard

when a private developer comes in with a suitcase full of unmarked bills and says, "You know, I'm going to pay you three times what the assessed value of your property is, and here you go."

And so, the whole point of the AHO -- and this goes to the concern that this is going to open up 12 stories for everybody -- the whole point is to give affordable housing developers a little bit more of an advantage to get their foot in the door to get property, and to take advantage of that.

Because if you leave it up to the market, they're going to lose. And so, for-profit developers who want to build luxury housing actually don't like this because we're creating more competition for them.

And so, for me, it's really that this would allow them more opportunity and more flexibility. That makes it better. That makes it an improvement on the -- you know, the first version of the AHO.

But again, as I said, I think the first version is working well but we should tinker with it, and we should, you know, make it better where we can.

Thanks.

```
1
               MARY FLYNN: All right. Thank you. Chris?
2
    have your hand -- oh, I'm sorry. Before you I see
 3
     Councillor Zondervan has his hand up, and it has been up for
     a while.
 4
 5
               COUNCILLOR ZONDERVAN:
                                      Thanks. Sorry. Could you
     repeat the question again?
 6
7
               MARY FLYNN: His question was about AHO 2 -- 2.0,
8
    why is it -- why does -- why -- what does it correct in AHO
9
     1. And if I'm not saying this right, Adam, please -- you
     know, sort of like what's the -- what is really improved
10
11
     over AHO 1, and are there any negative impacts from your
12
    proposal?
13
               COUNCILLOR MCGOVERN:
                                     Thanks.
14
               COUNCILLOR ZONDERVAN: Right. So one of them is
15
     of course this open space flexibility piece that we are
     trying to correct for there explicitly.
16
17
               But the other one is that, you know, even the
18
     original AHO part of the goal was to say let's get
     affordable housing built everywhere across the city, right?
19
20
    And it's just not practical, because in the lower density
    parts of our city, the property values are very high.
21
22
               And, you know, because there's a lot of single-
```

family homes there that are sold for millions of dollars.

And it just doesn't make any sense for the affordable housing developers to buy that expensive land to build low-rise affordable housing.

And furthermore, even if they were to do that, those projects would be smaller because they're limited by height and density. And so, it doesn't amortize across the land cost and the fixed costs of those projects.

So it just doesn't add up, right? And so, you still get this concentration of affordable housing in the denser, lower value neighborhoods.

And so, by upzoning along the corridors and in the squares, the thinking is that we would get more projects in those areas, which does spread out the development across the city a little better. It still doesn't go deep into the neighborhood, but that was never practical -- at least not for income-restricted rental housing.

Where that does occasionally happen is more affordable home ownership, but the developers do very few of those projects, because the funding is limited. They are smaller projects. And so, again, you don't get good cost amortization.

So, you know, but they still do them occasionally. 1 2 And so, hopefully we will continue to see some of that 3 happening deeper in the neighborhoods, where that type of affordable housing is more appropriate to build some 4 affordable homeownership into the neighborhoods. 5 But the larger affordable rental housing really 6 belongs on the corridors in the squares, so that's what 7 8 we're trying to optimize. 9 MARY FLYNN: Thank you. Councillor Azeem, did you want to respond to that question? 10 11 COUNCILLOR AZEEM: Yes, please. Thank you. think that the -- my fellow Councillors have done a great 12 perspective talking about the bill and its benefits. 13 I just 14 wanted to talk a little bit about the context, right? 15 Like the Affordable Housing Overlay is an 16 interesting bill in that its goal is to create, you know, 17 competitive advantage. So that means it has to be better 18 than the base zoning, right, in order to do that. 19 And so the landscape has changed in ways that, I 20 think -- you know the three of us Councillors introduced a

22 So I think -- and the reason that we support --

bill that removed parking minimums last year.

21

but the original Overlay in my mind kind of did three

things: It removed parking requirements for affordable

housing, it let four stories in the neighborhoods, and then

it let six to seven stories on the corridors.

Now at this time, we don't have parking requirements for market-rate housing.

H THEODORE COHEN: Mm-hm.

COUNCILLOR AZEEM: We're talking about ending Exclusionary Zoning and perhaps allowing triple-deckers throughout the city. And three and four have small difference, but it's much smaller than the difference that exists.

And I think at most, you know, between Alewife and northern Mass Ave studying the potential zonings throughout the other city (sic) I think that it's likely that, you know, if not six stories but we're heading to something similar there for a lot of corridors and squares, which I think is, you know, even more popular than the AHO.

I think most of the City Councillors have talked in support of six stories for market-rate housing, you know, up and down Mass Ave on major corridors.

And I think at that point, if you look at the

environment, then the AHO essentially becomes a one-story bonus, and it's a much, much smaller benefit than it was initially intended to. And I think that's a real shame, because affordable housing really deserves a big benefit.

And I think, you know, our goal here is to kind of futureproof it so we're not coming before you guys every time we make a change to the base zoning to also have to maintain a similar level of density bonus for affordable housing.

Thank you.

MARY FLYNN: Chris, you've been very patient.

CHRIS COTTER: Thank you. No, no, no, I'm happy to go after Councillor Azeem, because I wanted to raise the same point about parking.

And going back to what Iram said up top about the original intent of the Overlay was to create an increment to give an advantage to affordable housing builders. A big piece of that was in the adoption AHO 1 was the elimination of parking requirements for affordable housing and builders.

That was a huge piece of that, and really an impactful one, as we would often see that development outcomes were almost dictated by how much parking you needed

to build. And so, having that flexibility was big piece of the Overlay, and really gave a huge advantage to the affordable housing builders.

As Councillor Azeem knows, that's now available to market-rate builders, you know, with the elimination of minimum parking standards citywide, and when that change was made, we have now seen that market-rate developers are also looking at sites now without that minimum parking requirement in place.

So in some way, they have the flexibility that was offered to the affordable housing builders, exclusively AHO

1, which really diminishes that advantage somewhat.

And so, we have seen stronger competition for sites that maybe there would have been that bigger increment over the last year plus, as developers across the board have that flexibility to not include parking if they don't think that it's needed.

And so, in some ways looking at changing the advantage here for affordable housing is a reasonable response to that elimination of the advantage the parking difference provided there. So that's just one change I think in the context that is helpful when you look at AHO

2.0 versus the original.

MARY FLYNN: While you're here, let me just add I agree with you. I mean, I can remember when the Planning Board was discussing the minimum parking requirement elimination, that we did talk about how it was giving away the advantage to the developers who would want to do affordable housing under the AHO.

So, you know, I think part of the problem is that there are so many tradeoffs that the City has to make, between environmental needs and then also, you know, wanting to produce as much affordable housing as possible.

But my question for you is in terms of the report that's been done in terms of the sites that were looked at and rejected for one reason or another, how much analysis does the City get from the affordable housing developers as to the reason why the housing didn't work on the site?

CHRIS COTTER: It's a good question. And it varies depending on the site. There are some sites that we're more aware and wanted to understand how they're looking at it, and if there's something that's not feasible, then to pull in a different agency to have them also take a look. And then there are other sites that they're looking at

on their own where we're not as aware.

The other piece that often comes up is -- as was noted in the report -- there are a number of sites that are under consideration and continue to be.

And so, oftentimes there's an issue of timing as well that comes in if, you know, there are three or four offers pending at one point in time if one is moving forward, then the others either continue or an agency might decide to prioritize one over the other or push the other out a little bit.

So it varies. We're aware of some of the reasons

To the extent that we know them, we put them in that report,

just to give some context. And, as noted, there are -- as a

-- a number of sites that the analysis is continuing, or the

discussions are continuing.

MARY FLYNN: Thank you. Ted?

H THEODORE COHEN: Yeah. I mean, all of the reasons for AHO 1 have now been mostly put out and explained. But the biggest one from my point of view was that AHO 1 was not a discretionary permit, but it was allowed as of right. And so, developers of affordable housing knew that they were not going to be challenged in

court and end up in a multiyear litigation. 1 2 Similarly, they didn't have to know -- they knew 3 in advance how many units they could actually build, and what the cost of everything would be for them, which put 4 them in a much better situation than non affordable housing 5 6 developers, who never were sure what the Planning Board or 7 the ZBA would authorize. Maybe some people don't recall, but the St James 9 housing in North Cambridge was challenged and took 10 years 10 from the time the permit was initially granted until the 11 time they resolved all the litigation and were able to go 12 forward building that housing. Now that's not 100 percent affordable housing, and 13 14 so, that was one of the main rationales from my perspective 15 of the need for the AHO and the benefit of the AHO. 16 MARY FLYNN: Thank you. 17 COUNCILLOR MCGOVERN: Madam Chair? 18 MARY FLYNN: Yes. Councillor McGovern, before you 19 20 COUNCILLOR MCGOVERN: Thank you.

just throw one more thing in, so maybe you can address that

21

MARY FLYNN: -- before you address that, can I

```
at the same time. And that is a few people noted that under
1
 2
     the new AHO 2.0 that there would not be the kind of review
 3
     that we do have under an AHO 1, which I realize is very
 4
              It's advisory what the Planning Board does, et
5
     cetera.
               I haven't read anywhere in the documents --
 6
 7
               COUNCILLOR MCGOVERN: No.
 8
              MARY FLYNN: -- that any of Board review is
 9
     eliminated. So --
10
               COUNCILLOR MCGOVERN: No.
11
               MARY FLYNN: -- they just want to clarify that.
12
     So --
13
               COUNCILLOR MCGOVERN: Yeah, thank you, Madam
14
            That was the point that I was going to make.
15
     There's a lot of confusing and misinformation that's going
    around. And in some ways people are trying to relitigate
16
17
     the original AHO and what was put in there.
18
               This version doesn't touch -- this isn't taking --
19
     this isn't changing anything regarding the input of the
20
     Planning Board and the community. We made that decision
21
     three years ago. And we voted it.
22
               So when people say, "Oh, these amendments are --
```

```
you know, taking that away, these amendments don't --
1
2
    they're silent on that. That's not what we're talking
3
    about. This is -- and so, that is I think a
4
   misunderstanding that's out there.
5
              Now, people may want to say we didn't like that
    then and we don't like it now, that's fine. That's fair.
6
7
   But the -- to say that these amendments are somehow
8
    weakening what was already decided three years ago is
9
    completely inaccurate.
```

MARY FLYNN: And just in terms of Urban Design Guidelines, I know obviously we have them for the AHO projects, but I would assume that they need to be revised and updated, should this petition be passed, you know. And there's a lot of difference between a -- you know, a 12-story building and a six-story building and its impacts, et cetera.

So has any work been done at this point in terms of Design Guidelines revisions?

Daniel?

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Sure, thank you Chair Flynn. I realize Erik Thorkildsen, or resident Urban Designer, isn't present tonight but we have had some preliminary

conversation with the Urban Design folks about, you know, updating those Design Guidelines should the petition move forward. And that would be the plan, that the Design Guidelines would be updated to address, you know, taller and larger buildings that would be allowed under the petition, probably adding sections for towers, dealing with massing and articulation, relationship of the towers to the street walls, shadow and wind impacts.

So I think that the guidelines would probably need to be fleshed out and added onto to sort of reflect this new height scale that would be added under the petition. And that would be Staff recommendation, should it move forward.

MARY FLYNN: Thank you. Okay. So why don't we now move to Planning Board discussion, since we've thrown out some questions, which doesn't mean you can't ask another question if you have one, but I think it would be helpful to get the Board's -- get a sense of where the Board is on this proposal, and see what type of action, if any, we would want to take tonight.

So do I have a volunteer to begin the discussion? Ted? Thank you.

H THEODORE COHEN: Okay. Well, the first thing

I'd like to say in the discussion is that in all my years on this Board I have never known any of my colleagues to shy away from a controversial subject.

And so, I really take umbrage at the suggestion that why some of my colleagues are not here tonight -- and I have no knowledge why -- but that it was because they're afraid of being in this hearing or having to be part of this discussion.

I think no one should take anything away from why, you know, the makeup of the Board this evening.

MARY FLYNN: Yeah. Well said. Thank you.

And also, that I like tall buildings. And so, the height of these buildings is not a problem for me.

I also think, you know, the Councillors have pointed out a lot of the misconceptions that already have been stated. But I think the biggest misconception is that thought that there are going to be dozens and dozens of proposed affordable housing towers throughout the city.

Knowing how expensive it is to build any housing,

and, you know, the private developers' desire to make a greater profit, I think we will see some towers. And I don't even know that you could call a 12-15 story building a tower -- but some larger buildings than we've had before -- but I just don't think there are going to be that many.

We get similar discussions for non-affordable housing whenever there's a suggestion about something being larger. We hear the same effect arguments all the time; it's going to ruin Cambridge, it's going to destroy the neighborhoods, it's going to ruin the environment when, in terms of the environment, as one of the Councillors pointed out, New York is considered one of the most environmentally friendly cities because of its large, tall and dense buildings on top of a lot of public transit.

And I think that the environmental tradeoffs that some people might worry about in terms of shadows is going to be offset by the increased density and putting people together on top of transit-oriented locations in the squares and in the corridors.

I think there are some questions about some of the language in the proposal that Staff has done a great job of pointing out in their memo, and I think that, should this be

adopted, it ought to go back to Staff and the City 1 2 Solicitor's Office to clean up some of the language to make 3 sure that is the says exactly what the City Council intends it to say but I think, you know, bottom line is we need 4 5 housing. You know, we need middle-class housing, which this probably went too much for. Maybe we'll get -- you know, 6 7 maybe the City Council will seek to get -- reinstate rent control at some point. But, you know, we need housing at 9 all levels, and we certainly need affordable housing in the city. 10 11 And so, I think the increase in density and the

And so, I think the increase in density and the increase in height that AHO 2 provides and proposes can only be beneficial.

[Pause]

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Mary, we can't hear you.

MARY FLYNN: Thank you. That's the other thing I wanted forget to do besides putting down my own hand is unmuting myself.

Ashley, you're next.

ASHLEY TAN: Thank you. First of all, thank you for all the City Councillors for coming here tonight and spending a lot of time with us.

You know, in my own work I get to work with a lot of affordable housing developers in other municipalities, and I always point to them and say I wish other municipalities had something like the AHO where developers can -- or at least affordable housing developers can try to get projects through without having to get a discretionary permit. And that's huge.

And I agree with Ted that we do need more affordable housing and we do need a mix. And I'm surprisingly also on the same page as Ted about height. I'm actually fine with the height. And the one -- with that said, I think just my one concern is -- I think the height is fine; I think the squares are fine.

The corridors based off of the map -- I was looking at one of the memos -- seemed to cover unscientific, but looking at the map looks like the corridors cover a lot of ground, a lot of land area -- maybe a fifth to even a quarter of the available -- of the land area in Cambridge.

And so, that part is the part that worries me a little, and I didn't think about this until I was retaking my oath last week where it made me reread the preamble of our lovely Zoning Ordinance, which I'm going to read a

little of, you know, it says "The purpose of this Ordinance is to lessen congestion, conserve health, secure safety from fire or panic/other attack, provide adequate light and air, prevent overcrowding of land."

It goes on. It does say "Encourage housing for persons of all income levels, infrastructure," and all of that. But I guess my long-winded way of saying I'm not the corridor person, I'm a little concerned about -- just because I haven't -- I understand it was for coverage over a lot of area, but I'm not sure what the impacts are.

And the other -- and I don't know if it's related to this particular petition that this reminded me of last time we had an AHO petition, which was maybe a month ago.

And, you know, that meeting was also very long.

And one thing Vice Chair Connolly pointed out was that the current AHO as we have it doesn't seem to have much clarity on what we're expecting at the first hearings versus the second hearings.

And I -- maybe this is for a future date, but I would love for maybe Staff or maybe a City Councillors to see what we can do to kind of clean that up, because -- you know, that did provide a lot of intention and lack of

clarity as to what we should be seeing in Design Review.

And I think lastly, I agree with Ted if this is moved forward, there are tweaks that need to be made. The lawyer now is able to find and way to find blocks where you can have no FAR requirement, no height requirement, no open space requirements and that's probably a loophole, but I do think that would have to be cleaned up.

MARY FLYNN: Thank you. Diego, what are your thoughts?

DIEGO MACIAS: I also just wanted to echo that I was appreciative of and just thankful for everyone who spoke today. That was really helpful and enlightening to hear all the different perspectives and all the knowledgeable perspectives and just getting history on everything.

I would say that my -- pretty much my only concern from -- after hearing about everything is sort of the connection with open space and nature in general for inhabitants in taller buildings.

And from what I've seen of the Affordable Housing
Design Guidelines and, you know, I haven't seen the Urban
Design Guidelines yet, but I'm sure that does touch on the
subject, but I just feel like, you know, I also agree that

don't get in other buildings, and I love that, but I think one of the things that's harder to connect with is the connection to nature and sort of being in open space. So you're in a dense place and then you get to be outside and you're close to that -- very close to you in distance.

Because I used to live in a building that didn't have sort of a patio, an independent outdoor space, but it was right next to a park outside and I would go there almost every day. And I think that's just really helpful and great for mental health.

And yeah, that's pretty much all of what I would like to say. Thanks.

MARY FLYNN: Thank you. Adam, would you like to add anything?

ADAM BUCHANAN WESTBROOK: Sure. Thanks, Chair.

Yeah. I'll just echo as well, I really appreciate all the clarifications, especially from the Council members and the petitioners. It was really, really helpful to hear kind of some of the importance around the competitive edge for affordable housing developers. I'm very compelled by that. It almost seems, given the need for housing in Cambridge, if

affordable housing does not have any supportive competitive edge, it won't be -- it won't have any edge at all. And so, as Ted pointed out, I do think affordable housing is the primary issue here.

If I do have some concerns, it is around kind of the environmental impact. I -- you know, and just some of the challenges that the MBTA system has faced over the last year. There's an article in the Boston Globe -- I think just last week or two weeks ago that interviewed a number of people that said if you could drive somewhere faster and take the MBTA, would you? And it was overwhelmingly yes, because the perception of the MBTA is so low right now.

So I know that's definitely much beyond the City of Cambridge, but I wonder if we can do things to be partners with the MBTA and maybe even work closer with them to hopefully come up with a strategy, given some of the increased density and increased population that could be coming to Cambridge if this amendment process goes through.

Yes. Those are my initial thoughts at this point. Thanks.

MARY FLYNN: Just to add to that, I totally support the idea of affordable housing. I think all the

Board members have been very, very clear on that.

I'm not as comfortable as Ted and Ashley might be with height; this level of height increase.

I do think that more height along the corridors and the squares makes sense, but I don't know that the number being proposed is the right number.

And I guess part of what concerns me is that, you know, it seems as if all of this is anecdotal. I mean, it makes sense, you know, when I think about the competitive edge and all of that, but we don't really have any hard data or numbers that shows us why a particular project hasn't moved for what or what you would need on certain sites to really be able to do something.

And I worry about context. You know, we talked about the project that we looked at a couple of weeks ago on Mass Ave. It is a wonderful project in that it's combining that beautiful historic building with the new project, and yet that's only six stories but it was very close to a much lower height property, lower density neighborhood. And, you know, there were setbacks but it was still very, very close. And so again, light and air is being blocked and all of that.

So I think to just -- see the problem with the AHO overall has always been we don't have the ability to control that flexibility, to shape it in any way.

Yes, they can come in and talk to us and so far, it's been working fine, but I just worry that when we start to get some of these really higher numbers in terms of height, that it's going to create more and more conflicts between existing and new. Maybe that's good, maybe that's bad but it's a concern to me because what I don't want to see is to see any more of the sort of divide that's coming up, and that seems to be building in the city over affordable housing in terms of what it should look like and how we permit it.

And I think, you know, we got over, I think, like over 125 comments this time. Since I've been on the Board, I have never -- that's the most by a tenfold number of comments that we've received. So clearly, it's getting a lot of interest from people in the city, both for and against. There's no doubt about it.

And so, I just worry that we haven't taken enough time to really study the pieces that don't work, and we're just thinking, well, you know, we thought parking was going

1 to do it.

But then, the City has so many different goals and objectives that, you know, parking was eliminated for everyone, because it's environmentally preferred, right?

So now we have to find something else, which, you know, is height. But that is going to have more of an impact on existing neighbors.

And again, while I think everybody does support affordable housing, I think people also care about the character of the city and the -- you know, just the camaraderie, the way the city is, you know? I mean, I understand that it's a big need, but again, I won't repeat all of it, but I am concerned about the higher numbers.

Again, I'm perfectly -- I would be perfectly willing to see more height, I just am concerned what's being proposed is going to be too much in certain circumstances.

So those are my concerns. So I think we're kind of all over the map on this one tonight.

So we have some options. Let me go through them. So we can make a recommendation tonight if we feel strongly about it, or we can continue the hearing.

And our alternatives would be that we could either

recommend the petition be adopted, which could include comments or suggestions for changes, recommend the petition not be adopted with an explanation why, or we could provide comments without a recommendation. And then the last is to continue the hearing to a further meeting and discussion.

So would someone like to propose an avenue that we should take at this point?

[Pause]

All right, well Ted. Thank you.

H THEODORE COHEN: Okay. I don't know that continuing the hearing and having further discussion was going to be of any particular value for me or --

MARY FLYNN: Mm-hm.

H THEODORE COHEN: -- perhaps for the Board. My personal recommendation would be that we make a positive recommendation to adopt amendments to the AHO, but acknowledging that in making that recommendation that there are concerns about whether the heights that are specified are indeed the correct heights, and whether the descriptions of the corridors are the correct locales, and that there needs to be some modification of the language for, you know, the City Solicitor's Office to review to make sure that it

accurately reflects what is intended to be accompanied.

That would be my preference. I certainly would not recommend a -- I do not recommend, the other alternative is to just list -- not make a recommendation one way or the other and just list comments that were made this evening, but I think there's a benefit from my point of view to actually making a positive recommendation.

MARY FLYNN: Okay. Thank you. Other thoughts?

Okay. Then I agree with you that it makes sense to make a recommendation, Ted. And I don't really want to make a negative one either. But I feel in a way like the message needs to be really clear.

And I -- what the concerns are, and I'm just afraid that if we send positive recommendations, even with those changes, that there's less likelihood that the Council will seriously consider them.

Ashley, what are your thoughts?

ASHLEY TAN: I'm on the same page with you, and I was also going to -- I know the Staff normally does this, but I would also feel more comfortable if all the -- I know we mentioned there were, like sixty some letters, but to actually list out which ones were in support versus which

ones were in opposition I think just to add to the notes I think would be helpful.

MARY FLYNN: Okay. The other concern I have, and I understand what you're saying, Ted, about, you know, not wanting to continue the hearing, but I am -- I'm not concerned that our other Board members aren't here, because I don't think they -- I agree with you, they're not trying to avoid the issue, I think it's just summer and it's scheduling and all that sort of thing.

But there are several members of the Board who have had very key thoughts on the AHO all along. And there's a part of me that feels like it would be really helpful to get their input into it as well.

So another alternative would be to just continue the hearing so that we have the opportunity to hear from the other members of the Board. I appreciate the new Board members who are here, and I appreciate your confidence. I think they've been very on point.

But there are people who have, you know, just a bit more history that I think would be really helpful.

Yes, Adam?

ADAM BUCHANAN WESTBROOK: I was just going to say

that I think that that makes a lot of sense to me as well.

I think given what you said about the history of Board and
this being one of the maybe most controversial things that
have come to the Board an the most number of comments that
have come in, I think getting input from the other Board
members could be really helpful as well.

So I just want to say I'm in support of that thought.

MARY FLYNN: Okay. So Daniel, turning to you, in terms of scheduling, if we did -- I just want to sort of be sure that our schedule syncs with the City Council schedule if we do continue the hearing. It's obviously going to be very important that the Council has our comments before they come back into session, which I believe is the second week of September, maybe?

So from a scheduling standpoint, would we be able to accomplish getting -- continuing the hearing to a certain date? And then getting the report to them in time?

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Sure. Thank you, Chair Flynn.

And I might lean on our Planning Board schedule expert here
in Swaathi Joseph. But I believe the shortest we could
probably turn around to a future date would be the August 29

meeting of the Planning Board, which would be a tight 1 2 turnaround. 3 Assuming the Planning Board makes its formal recommendation at that time, you know, the City Council 4 could take this up again at its September 11 meeting. 5 There would still be the need to pass it to a 6 7 second reading, so I think we would have enough time to be able to turn a recommendation back to the City Council in 9 writing, should the Board have that continued hearing on the 10 twenty-ninth. 11 But Swaathi is -- am I getting that right? 12 wanted to confirm. 13 SWAATHI JOSEPH: Yes. Thank you, Daniel. So if 14 the Board is taking a decision to continue the hearing, then 15 continuing it to August 29 has to be announced at today's meeting, because we do not have time for the legal notice to 16 17 be advertised for that date. So that --18 CHRIS COOK: Well, let me ask you this, if I may. Just interrupt. We have a meeting on August 22 as well. 19 20 What does that agenda look like? Is that really packed? 21 SWAATHI JOSEPH: Yes. At this point --

MARY FLYNN: Yeah.

22

```
SWAATHI JOSEPH: -- we have one Public Hearing, a
1
2
     Design Review, and a Special Permit Extension.
 3
              MARY FLYNN: Okay. Okay. Okay. All right.
     the twenty-ninth would have to be it. Now, Ted, I know you
 4
5
    won't be at the twenty-ninth.
               H THEODORE COHEN: I'm not certain. I don't know.
 6
7
    Can I ask Swaathi or --
              MARY FLYNN: Yes.
9
              H THEODORE COHEN: -- Daniel a question?
10
              MARY FLYNN: Yes.
11
              H THEODORE COHEN: I know it's not a special
12
    permit, but can we add -- if we continue the hearing, can we
13
    add members who were not at the hearing this evening?
14
               SWAATHI JOSEPH: We can, after exercising the
15
    affidavit, the Mullin affidavit --
              MARY FLYNN: Oh.
16
17
               SWAATHI JOSEPH: -- after the recording.
18
              H THEODORE COHEN: Okay. They would have to
    relisten to the recording or --
19
20
               SWAATHI JOSEPH: Yes. Becoming this is still part
    of the Public Hearing and not a General Business item.
21
22
              H THEODORE COHEN: Mm-hm.
```

```
MARY FLYNN: Right. So they would have to have --
1
 2
    hear all of the testimony, et cetera, et cetera. Okay.
 3
    Well, that does put a bit of a burden on them but, I guess,
     you know, we could proceed with that, and if for some reason
 4
     they are unable to do it, then we're back to this group,
5
 6
     although without Ted or even -- just in case he isn't able
7
     to attend --
              ASHLEY TAN: I will also be absent that day.
9
              MARY FLYNN: Oh, you will be? Okay. All right.
    All right. So this is presenting a bit of a conundrum then.
10
11
               SWAATHI JOSEPH: I mean, Mary if needed we can
     continue this to August 22. We do have a combination of
12
13
     three special permit amendments for August 29 so between the
14
     two, it might relatively be the same, I think, in terms of
15
    what --
16
              MARY FLYNN: Okay. Ashley and Ted, are you
17
    available? Well --
18
               H THEODORE COHEN: Yes. I'm available on the
19
     twenty-second.
20
              MARY FLYNN: Okay. Let's start, then. Because I
    think as long as Board members have signed the affidavit and
21
22
    we know that they're up to speed, I don't know, we probably
```

do still have to go through a public comment period, which hopefully won't be as lengthy as tonight's.

But I think we can get to a conclusion relatively quickly, because our questions have been answered. And I think the other Board members can probably weigh in on this without too much further explanation.

So let's -- so could I have a motion then, to -- oh, Daniel?

DANIEL MESSPLAY: I'm sorry, Chair Flynn, you were on a roll. Yeah, I apologize. If it's at all possible,

I'll make maybe one last pitch for August 29.

MARY FLYNN: The twenty-ninth.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: I realize that Ted and Ashley, we won't have you there, but I think we have gotten the benefit of at least getting your comments at this meeting, and, you know, we'll obviously carry those forward as well. I think the twenty-second will be a bit of a marathon if we try to tack this one as well.

So I would just -- if at all possible, make one last pitch for the twenty-ninth, if we can.

MARY FLYNN: All right. Is there any chance of moving anything from the twenty-second to the twenty-ninth

```
without having to jump through hoops?
1
 2
              DANIEL MESSPLAY: Unfortunately, not, because
3
    those legal notices have been sent out.
              MARY FLYNN: Okay. All right. All right. Well,
 4
     I've tried my best. All right. So is there a motion, then,
5
    to continue this hearing to August 29, yeah, for further
 6
7
    discussion.
              ADAM BUCHANAN WESTBROOK: Yeah, a motion.
9
              MARY FLYNN: Who said that? I'm sorry, I missed
10
    it.
11
              ADAM BUCHANAN WESTBROOK: That's Adam.
12
              MARY FLYNN: Oh.
13
              ADAM BUCHANAN WESTBROOK: Yeah. Motion.
14
              MARY FLYNN: Thank you, Adam. Okay. So I have
15
    one motion. And is there a second?
16
              ASHLEY TAN: Ashley second.
17
              MARY FLYNN: Seconds. Okay. Is there -- can we
    have a roll call, please, Daniel?
18
              DANIEL MESSPLAY: Sure. This is a roll call on
19
20
    the motion to continue the hearing until August 29.
21
              H Theodore Cohen: Yes.
22
              DANIEL MESSPLAY: Ashley Tan?
```

```
1
              ASHLEY TAN: [No audible reply].
2
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Diego Macias?
 3
              DIEGO MACIAS: Yes.
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Adam Westbrook?
 4
5
              ADAM BUCHANAN WESTBROOK: Yes.
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: And Ashley, I apologize.
 6
7
    going to go back to you real quick just so it's clear for
8
     the record, because I think you got muted right before your
9
    vote was recorded.
10
              ASHLEY TAN:
                            Yes.
11
              DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you. And Mary Flynn?
12
              MARY FLYNN: Yes.
13
               [All vote YES]
14
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: That is all members voting in
15
     favor.
16
              MARY FLYNN: Great. Thank you, everyone.
                                                          Thank
17
    you, Councillors. Your input has been very helpful.
    again, thank you to everyone who testified. And I
18
    appreciate the patience of the Board in terms of continuing
19
20
    this so our other colleagues can weigh in on it.
21
              COUNCILLOR MCGOVERN: Madam Chair?
22
              MARY FLYNN: Yes.
```

```
COUNCILLOR MCGOVERN: Just a quick question.
1
 2
              MARY FLYNN: Yes.
 3
              COUNCILLOR MCGOVERN: Thank you. Are you going to
 4
    need us to -- do we have to do the presentation again, or
5
    what is the --
              MARY FLYNN: No.
 6
7
               COUNCILLOR MCGOVERN: I mean, we should be here,
8
    we should be there to answer questions, obviously, I think?
9
              MARY FLYNN: Correct. Yes.
10
              COUNCILLOR MCGOVERN: Okay.
11
              MARY FLYNN: No, I don't think the presentation is
12
    necessary again. I think, you know, that -- do we have it
13
     online, Swaathi, or we could put it online for the Board
14
    members to be able to see it.
15
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: It -- I'll chime in there.
16
    Thank you, Chair.
17
              MARY FLYNN: Yeah.
18
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Yeah, the presentation is
    available online. We also also have this meeting recording
19
20
    available as well for --
21
              MARY FLYNN: Okay.
22
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: -- the other members.
```

```
1
               MARY FLYNN: Right. And they'll all have read the
2
     transcript of the meeting or listen to the meeting. So yes.
 3
     I think just to be here for questions would be perfect.
               COUNCILLOR MCGOVERN: Great. Thank you very much
 4
     and thank you for your time tonight.
5
               MARY FLYNN: Great. Thanks to all of you.
 6
7
     appreciated. Okay. So we're going to move on then.
9
     (9:07 p.m.)
     Sitting Members: Mary Flynn, H Theodore Cohen, Ashley Tan,
10
11
                       Diego Macias, and Adam Westbrook
12
               MARY FLYNN: The next item on the agenda is a
     request for an extension of time for a hearing and decision
13
14
     on Planning Board Case PB-396, a special permit under
15
     consideration for a residential development located at 48-50
    Bishop Allen Drive. And CDD Staff are going to provide an
16
17
    update on this.
18
               And Daniel, I'll turn it over to you.
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you, Chair Flynn.
19
20
     special permit was heard by the Board earlier this summer
     and was continued. At that hearing, the Board provided
21
22
     several comments related to the building's flood elevations,
```

```
1
     its design, its community engagement process.
 2
               And the applicant has been working diligently to
 3
    be responsive to all of the Board's comments in order to
     fully address those comments, however, and also submit
 4
 5
    updated plans for Staff Review before it comes back to the
    Board. The applicant has requested additional time for
 6
     filing that decision.
7
               MARY FLYNN: Okay. Great. Are there questions
9
     from the Board in regards to the extension?
10
               [Pause]
11
               No. Okay. Just for the background of Adam and
12
    Diego, the Board really did have a lot of concerns and
13
     issues they basically wanted the proponent to look at.
14
     it's understandable that it could take them this additional
15
     time.
               So is there a motion to agree to the extension of
16
17
     time until December 23, 2023, as requested by the applicant?
               H THEODORE COHEN: So moved; Ted.
18
19
               MARY FLYNN:
                            Thank you. Second?
20
               ASHLEY TAN: Ashley second.
                            Thank you Ashley. And Daniel,
21
              MARY FLYNN:
22
    another roll call, please?
```

```
1
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Roll call on that motion:
 2
     Theodore Cohen?
 3
               H THEODORE COHEN: Yes.
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Ashley Tan?
 4
5
               ASHLEY TAN: Yes.
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Diego Macias?
 6
 7
               DIEGO MACIAS: Yes.
 8
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Adam Westbrook?
 9
              ADAM BUCHANAN WESTBROOK: Yes.
10
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: And Mary Flynn?
11
              MARY FLYNN: Yes.
12
               [All vote YES]
13
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: That is all members voting in
14
     favor.
15
               FLYNN: Excellent. Thank you. Okay, that
    concludes the business on the agenda. Do any of the Board
16
17
    members or CDD Staff have any other items to add?
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: No, nothing from the City Staff.
18
    Appreciate the Board's time and input this evening.
19
20
              MARY FLYNN: Yeah. We appreciate all that you did
    to prep for all of this too.
21
               So again, welcome to Adam and Diego. We're really
22
```

```
happy to have you on the team. You started on a very
1
2
     difficult night. Yeah. You know, this was a tough one for
3
     sure so baptism by fire, I quess.
 4
              Anyway, great to have you here and Ahsley and Ted,
5
     if we don't see you at the next meeting, I hope you have a
     lovely evening, hopefully on vacation.
 6
7
              H THEODORE COHEN: Well, I plan to be around for
8
    the twenty-second.
9
              MARY FLYNN: Mm-hm. Okay. Good. All right. All
     right. So we'll see you then. All right. Very good.
10
11
    Well, thank you, everyone. The meeting is adjourned.
    Goodnight.
12
13
              ASHLEY TAN:
                           Thank you.
14
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Goodnight.
15
     [9:09 p.m. End of proceedings.]
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
```

1	ERRATA SHEET
2	Page Line 'Change From' 'Change To' Reason for change
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12 13	
14	
15	I have read the foregoing transcript of the Planning
16	Board meeting, and except for any corrections or changes
17	noted above, I hereby subscribe to the transcript as an
18	accurate record of the proceedings.
19	
20	
21	Name Date
22	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	Commonwealth of Massachusetts
3	Middlesex, ss.
4	I, Michele Dent, Notary Public in and for the
5	Commonwealth of Massachusetts, do hereby certify that the
6	above transcript is a true record, to the best of my
7	ability, of the proceedings.
8	I further certify that I am neither related to nor
9	employed by any of the parties in or counsel to this action,
10	nor am I financially interested in the outcome of this
11	action.
12	In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand this
13	12th day of September, 2023.
14	
15	MohilDen
16	Notary Public
17	My commission expires:
18	June 12, 2026
19	
20	Michele Y. Dent Notary Public COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
21	My Commission Expires June 12, 2026
22	

A	acquired 14:12	additive 27:17	advised 32:5	101:13 105:14
abandoned 55:8	acquisition	address 30:11	advisory 13:15	105:21 107:9
ability 114:2	20:21 22:14	31:21 33:20	48:13 102:4	108:2,5,9
132:7	Act 73:14	35:20 37:9	affect 57:13	110:19 111:21
able 7:15,21	Acting 6:11	38:14 39:7	affidavit 121:15	112:1,3,22
15:14 25:20	action 12:1	41:10 42:21	121:15 122:21	114:12 115:9
	40:16 104:18	44:22 45:21	afford 27:10	affordably
33:5 34:12	132:9,11	47:16 49:11	52:11	25:21
38:3 43:9	active 28:7	51:1,13 52:22	affordability	afraid 105:7
50:13 78:5	Acts 3:9	54:20 56:11	72:14	117:14
80:6 101:11	actual 42:4 67:9	57:3 59:12,20	affordable 2:11	agency 99:21
110:4 113:13	Adam 1:9 3:5	63:2 65:3	8:6 11:2,8,11	100:8
119:16 120:8	5:6,8 6:4 7:6,9	71:10 101:21	13:8,12 14:15	agenda 6:5
122:6 126:14	7:11,12 9:8	101:22 104:4	14:21 15:5,10	10:19 120:20
absent 4:11,17	10:7,8,18	128:4	15:13,18 16:3	127:12 129:16
4:21 5:13,18	89:20,21 93:9	addresses 63:7	16:12,18,22	ago 16:15 17:11
65:10 122:8	111:14,16	addressing	17:2 18:13	28:21 29:5
absolute 42:17	118:21,22	47:21	20:12,20,22	55:8 64:6
abuse 36:21	124:8,11,11,13	adequate 89:13	21:11,12 22:5	102:21 103:8
abutting 23:17	124:14 125:4,5	109:3	22:9,14,17	109:13 112:9
accept 9:15	127:11 128:11	Adithi 1:12 6:15	23:2 27:15	113:15
acceptable	129:8,9,22	adjacent 40:8	37:15,17 38:18	agree 99:3 108:8
55:16	adaptability	41:17 55:10,12	38:20,22 39:20	110:2,22 117:9
acceptance	34:21	56:6 70:6,11	40:18 43:7	118:7 128:16
49:16	add 23:9 25:8,8	71:6 77:18	45:14 46:10,12	agreed 80:2
accepted 15:17	26:4 31:15	adjoining 40:2	46:20 47:9	ahead 39:9 57:5
access 40:4 71:5	49:6 73:6,8	adjoining 40.2	51:4,8,10,19	63:4 67:4
74:21 88:9	76:5 78:11,16	130:11	52:9,14,18	AHO 11:14,15
accidents 60:19	78:16 79:7,19	adjunct 38:8	53:3,4,12 54:1	11:15 13:9,16
accommodate	94:9 99:2	adjustments	54:9 55:22	13:20 14:1,9
42:15	111:15 112:21	24:6	57:8 58:2,16	14:10,16 15:4
accommodation	118:1 121:12	adopt 116:16	63:9,14 64:5	15:8,21 17:18
48:10	121:13 129:17	adopted 3:9	67:14 68:17	22:10 23:14,21
accompanied	added 24:3	11:9 107:1	69:16 70:14	24:2 25:15
117:1	104:10,11	116:1,3	71:9,18 72:5	26:11 28:21
accomplish 75:8	adding 25:6	adoption 2:7	72:10,12,19	29:2 32:1,14
119:17	72:19 104:6	62:17 97:18	73:5,10,16	33:11,22 35:22
accountability	addition 11:16	adoptional 61:6	74:1,4,5,11,16	37:6 39:14
58:1,10	21:6 31:11	advance 39:3	74:19 75:5,6	40:13,22 41:13
accurate 131:18	59:9 75:10	101:3	81:4,11 82:11	41:19,22 42:9
accurately	additional 11:15	advantage 14:22	83:11 86:18	43:5 46:3,6
117:1	12:5 13:13,14	21:7 22:18	88:17 91:4,7	47:20 48:3,13
achieved 11:16	16:21 21:4	75:6 78:6 92:8	91:21 92:7	48:21 49:3,14
acknowledge	22:3 25:6 38:6	92:10 95:17	93:19 94:3,4	52:17 53:6,7,7
46:16 67:1	38:19 52:13	97:17 98:2,12	94:10,19 95:4	53:11,11,14,18
86:5	58:2 62:19	98:19,20 99:6	95:5,6,15 96:2	54:4,6 55:5,6
acknowledging	66:14,15,16	advantages	97:4,8,17,19	56:5 61:7,18
116:17	87:22 128:6,14	13:11,13	98:3,11,19	62:10,17 67:10
acquire 15:14	Additionally	advertised	99:7,11,15	68:9 70:4,21
21:2 38:19	59:2	120:17	100:21 101:5	71:3,4 74:7
75:3,5	37.4	120.1/	100.21 101.3	/1.3,7 /7./
	1	1	·	•

	·	ı	·	
75:2,7 76:7,11	alongside 12:3	announced	approach 67:19	127:10 128:20
76:11 77:8,16	alternative	120:15	79:2	128:20,21
78:2,9 80:6,22	117:3 118:14	Annual 32:9	appropriate	129:4,5 130:13
89:7,12,12,15	alternatives	53:7 69:8,14	48:9 58:6 95:4	aside 46:5
90:4,5,6,8,9,21	63:22 115:22	answer 9:14	approval 9:10	asked 28:4
92:5,18 93:7,8	alters 11:21	12:7 28:11,14	11:10 26:10	46:20 47:9
93:11,18 96:18	ambulance	68:11 83:7	42:2 57:17	56:2
97:1,18 98:11	32:21	85:2 126:8	approve 41:13	asking 4:4 55:3
98:22 99:7	amend 8:6 10:21	answered 123:4	42:3 50:4	85:18
100:18,20	10:22	anxiety 43:3	approved 3:10	aspect 105:13
101:15,15	amended 57:21	anybody 69:19	* *	aspect 103.13 asserted 36:14
· ·		83:19 91:7	approximately	
102:2,3,17	amending 51:4		64:6,7 73:22	assess 61:15
103:11 107:12	amendment	anyway 66:2	arbitrary 85:5	assessed 92:3
108:4 109:13	8:16 25:5 32:2	85:13 130:4	architect 39:11	asset 88:8
109:16 114:1	38:17 41:14	anyways 79:7	architectural	assistance 72:22
116:16 118:11	57:8,12 67:10	apartment 38:8	62:16	73:4
Ahsley 130:4	67:21 69:4	43:4 84:20	architecture	Assistant 1:10
air 40:5 109:3	112:18	apartments	62:12	6:20
113:21	amendments	34:10,22 45:4	area 33:7 53:17	Associate 7:7
Albany 24:15	14:5 15:20	47:5,5 51:20	60:13 70:17,22	assume 103:12
Alewife 8:17	20:10 23:11	62:2 64:4	75:1 76:15,17	Assuming 120:3
24:15 72:17	25:10 33:22	72:17	77:1 78:3,7,8	attached 43:3
96:13	35:22 36:9	apologize 49:9	88:21 108:17	attack 109:3
Allen 2:15 8:8	37:6,12 40:9	59:16,16	108:18 109:10	attempts 51:7
24:16 127:16	40:22 43:5,10	123:10 125:6	areas 53:16	attend 122:7
allocated 73:15	43:11,15 44:10	apparently	94:14	attendance 4:5
allocating 74:16	45:3 51:6 52:4	40:17	arguments 17:3	6:22
allow 21:12	53:14 54:4	appeals 41:19	106:8	attended 38:12
26:14 31:3	71:18 81:13	applicable 77:4	Arnaud 6:19	attendees 30:4
36:22 38:17	102:22 103:1,7	applicant 128:2	arrangement	attractive 39:1
41:14 48:8	116:16 122:13	128:6,17	34:11	64:4,4
53:16,17 71:3	amenities 111:1	applicants 3:13	arrived 34:9	audible 4:5,9,12
74:10 75:21	amortization	applications	arrogance 42:17	4:13,15,19 5:1
77:21 79:3,12	94:22	89:12	article 10:21,21	5:2,4,5,7,9,11
81:14 91:19	amortize 94:7	applies 77:12,19	112:8	5:14,16 125:1
92:15	amortizes 22:6	77:19	articulation	audio 3:21
allowable 10:22	amount 49:15	apply 76:10,20	104:7	August 1:4 3:6
11:13,16 73:16	49:16,16 65:18	76:22 78:2,4	as-of-right	8:11,11 119:22
76:8,19 78:1	73:16	89:10	11:10	120:15,19
allowances	ample 31:3	appreciate 13:1	Ashley 1:8 3:4	122:12,13
40:12	Amy 56:10,22	19:5 46:8	4:22 5:2 6:3	123:11 124:6
allowed 26:16	57:2,4,6,6	111:17 118:16	9:7,19,20 10:3	124:20
40:13 53:13	58:21 59:4,8	118:17 125:19	10:4,17 66:21	Australia 29:4
80:9 100:21	59:11,13,14,15	129:19,20	67:3,4,5 71:16	Authority 20:21
104:5	analysis 48:5	appreciated	107:19,20	72:9
allowing 25:20	49:5 99:14	29:12 127:7	113:2 117:17	Authority's
26:7 40:9 70:4	100:14	appreciation	117:18 122:8	38:12
79:16 96:9	and/or 20:13	62:11	122:16 123:13	authorize 101:7
allows 23:14	anecdotal 113:8	appreciative	124:16,16,22	authorized 3:11
79:14 80:8	anguish 37:21	110:11	125:1,6,10	available 34:15
I	I	I	I	I

48:16 67:14	28:9 95:18	72:12,16 97:4	66:17,22 89:19	21:13 22:5,9
69:8 98:4	97:7	97:17 98:1	98:15 99:4	21:13 22:3,9 22:15 27:22
108:18 122:17	based 13:21	115:12	101:6 102:4,8	50:12 51:8
122:18 126:19	62:15 77:1	bigger 43:21	102:20 104:14	60:8 67:16
126:20	108:14	46:22 98:14	102:20 104:14	71:20 74:11
Ave 8:12 23:21	basically 15:17	biggest 55:9	104.17 103.2	75:5 78:20
32:12,19 47:4	63:11 64:9	66:3 74:22	114:15 116:14	79:6 80:9
49:13 60:13	128:13		118:6,10,16,16	91:11 92:13
63:6 80:21	basis 61:16	87:4,7 100:19 105:19	119:2,4,5,20	94:4 95:4 98:1
96:14,21	beacon 44:16	bikes 65:17	120:1,3,9,14	101:3 105:22
113:16	beautiful 113:17	bill 64:12,20	120.1,5,9,14	buildable 71:1
avenue 40:16	becoming 15:18	88:14 95:13,16	125:19 126:13	builder 74:6
116:6	17:19 121:20	95:21	127:14,20,21	builders 34:15
avenues 41:15	bedrooms 14:21	bills 92:2	128:6,9,12	74:12 75:4
avoid 118:8			128:0,9,12	
aware 30:18	begins 57:20 begun 14:12	Bishop 2:15 8:8 24:16 127:16	Board's 12:1	97:17,19 98:3 98:5,11
99:19 100:1,11	behalf 7:16	bit 26:20,22		*
	believe 6:18 7:1	· ·	21:22 104:17	building 10:22
Awesome 20:7		69:11 77:21	128:3 129:19	13:11 18:21,21 18:22 25:12
awful 83:18 Azeem 12:16	15:20 16:8,12 36:18 65:8	80:11 83:8 90:5 92:8	boat 81:7 bold 29:5	
	88:17 119:14	90:5 92:8 95:14 100:10	bolded 24:14	27:2 34:16,16
13:6 19:17,20 20:1 23:5 33:8	88:17 119:14 119:21	118:20 122:3		36:11,16 39:22
76:4 78:13,15	Belmont 15:3	122:10 123:17	bonus 97:2,8 Boston 60:1	40:3,9 45:7 54:10 58:6,9
			112:8	· ·
85:19,21 89:5	belongs 95:7	bizarre 57:17		63:15 64:15
95:9,11 96:8	beneficial	Blakely 57:7	bottom 107:4	65:20 70:5
97:13 98:4	107:13	Blanchard 15:2	bought 63:17	72:18 75:19
B	benefit 26:7	33:10	Bradbury 65:5	77:17,20 80:3
Babylon 36:11	43:9 79:2	blanche 50:19	break 14:11 Brian 1:13 6:22	80:11 83:17
Bacci 4:8	86:20 97:2,4 101:15 117:6	blatantly 41:21	brief 31:8	84:21,22 89:11 89:14 101:12
back 6:7 8:4 9:1	123:15	Blier 31:19,22 31:22		
11:4 27:4	benefits 95:13	blocked 113:21	briefly 31:10	103:15,15
	Denemits 95.15	i Diockea (15.2)		1/1/6.2 111.7/
49.71.50.70.70	honofitting		69:7	106:3 111:7
49:21 50:20,20	benefitting	blocks 45:3 48:9	bring 18:19 19:2	113:17 114:11
66:7 76:16	35:13	blocks 45:3 48:9 79:13 110:4	bring 18:19 19:2 35:15 65:20	113:17 114:11 building's
66:7 76:16 77:1 80:14	35:13 best 21:11 22:9	blocks 45:3 48:9 79:13 110:4 Bluestone 64:8	bring 18:19 19:2 35:15 65:20 111:1	113:17 114:11 building's 127:22
66:7 76:16 77:1 80:14 97:15 107:1	35:13 best 21:11 22:9 124:5 132:6	blocks 45:3 48:9 79:13 110:4 Bluestone 64:8 board 1:1 2:7	bring 18:19 19:2 35:15 65:20 111:1 bringing 72:6	113:17 114:11 building's 127:22 buildings 14:14
66:7 76:16 77:1 80:14 97:15 107:1 119:14 120:8	35:13 best 21:11 22:9 124:5 132:6 bet 48:3	blocks 45:3 48:9 79:13 110:4 Bluestone 64:8 board 1:1 2:7 3:7,12,13,19	bring 18:19 19:2 35:15 65:20 111:1 bringing 72:6 broader 87:19	113:17 114:11 building's 127:22 buildings 14:14 17:5 18:6 22:6
66:7 76:16 77:1 80:14 97:15 107:1 119:14 120:8 122:5 125:7	35:13 best 21:11 22:9 124:5 132:6 bet 48:3 better 19:15	blocks 45:3 48:9 79:13 110:4 Bluestone 64:8 board 1:1 2:7 3:7,12,13,19 4:4 7:4,5,6,8	bring 18:19 19:2 35:15 65:20 111:1 bringing 72:6 broader 87:19 Broadway 24:16	113:17 114:11 building's 127:22 buildings 14:14 17:5 18:6 22:6 35:1,2 36:12
66:7 76:16 77:1 80:14 97:15 107:1 119:14 120:8 122:5 125:7 128:5	35:13 best 21:11 22:9 124:5 132:6 bet 48:3 better 19:15 36:12 44:5	blocks 45:3 48:9 79:13 110:4 Bluestone 64:8 board 1:1 2:7 3:7,12,13,19 4:4 7:4,5,6,8 7:13,16 8:9,10	bring 18:19 19:2 35:15 65:20 111:1 bringing 72:6 broader 87:19 Broadway 24:16 broken 14:11	113:17 114:11 building's 127:22 buildings 14:14 17:5 18:6 22:6 35:1,2 36:12 38:6 40:3,6
66:7 76:16 77:1 80:14 97:15 107:1 119:14 120:8 122:5 125:7 128:5 background	35:13 best 21:11 22:9 124:5 132:6 bet 48:3 better 19:15 36:12 44:5 54:15 58:15	blocks 45:3 48:9 79:13 110:4 Bluestone 64:8 board 1:1 2:7 3:7,12,13,19 4:4 7:4,5,6,8 7:13,16 8:9,10 8:19 9:3,11,13	bring 18:19 19:2 35:15 65:20 111:1 bringing 72:6 broader 87:19 Broadway 24:16 broken 14:11 Brook 24:16	113:17 114:11 building's 127:22 buildings 14:14 17:5 18:6 22:6 35:1,2 36:12 38:6 40:3,6 41:15 45:4
66:7 76:16 77:1 80:14 97:15 107:1 119:14 120:8 122:5 125:7 128:5 background 12:5 128:11	35:13 best 21:11 22:9 124:5 132:6 bet 48:3 better 19:15 36:12 44:5 54:15 58:15 83:4 86:7	blocks 45:3 48:9 79:13 110:4 Bluestone 64:8 board 1:1 2:7 3:7,12,13,19 4:4 7:4,5,6,8 7:13,16 8:9,10 8:19 9:3,11,13 12:4,8 13:15	bring 18:19 19:2 35:15 65:20 111:1 bringing 72:6 broader 87:19 Broadway 24:16 broken 14:11 Brook 24:16 brought 34:8	113:17 114:11 building's 127:22 buildings 14:14 17:5 18:6 22:6 35:1,2 36:12 38:6 40:3,6 41:15 45:4 51:19 52:1
66:7 76:16 77:1 80:14 97:15 107:1 119:14 120:8 122:5 125:7 128:5 background 12:5 128:11 bad 47:10 114:9	35:13 best 21:11 22:9 124:5 132:6 bet 48:3 better 19:15 36:12 44:5 54:15 58:15 83:4 86:7 88:18 90:6,10	blocks 45:3 48:9 79:13 110:4 Bluestone 64:8 board 1:1 2:7 3:7,12,13,19 4:4 7:4,5,6,8 7:13,16 8:9,10 8:19 9:3,11,13 12:4,8 13:15 13:18 20:19	bring 18:19 19:2 35:15 65:20 111:1 bringing 72:6 broader 87:19 Broadway 24:16 broken 14:11 Brook 24:16 brought 34:8 82:9	113:17 114:11 building's 127:22 buildings 14:14 17:5 18:6 22:6 35:1,2 36:12 38:6 40:3,6 41:15 45:4 51:19 52:1 54:2,11 78:1
66:7 76:16 77:1 80:14 97:15 107:1 119:14 120:8 122:5 125:7 128:5 background 12:5 128:11 bad 47:10 114:9 balanced 23:2	35:13 best 21:11 22:9 124:5 132:6 bet 48:3 better 19:15 36:12 44:5 54:15 58:15 83:4 86:7 88:18 90:6,10 91:7 92:17,21	blocks 45:3 48:9 79:13 110:4 Bluestone 64:8 board 1:1 2:7 3:7,12,13,19 4:4 7:4,5,6,8 7:13,16 8:9,10 8:19 9:3,11,13 12:4,8 13:15 13:18 20:19 29:14,20 31:4	bring 18:19 19:2 35:15 65:20 111:1 bringing 72:6 broader 87:19 Broadway 24:16 broken 14:11 Brook 24:16 brought 34:8 82:9 Buchanan 1:9	113:17 114:11 building's 127:22 buildings 14:14 17:5 18:6 22:6 35:1,2 36:12 38:6 40:3,6 41:15 45:4 51:19 52:1 54:2,11 78:1 82:3 83:10,11
66:7 76:16 77:1 80:14 97:15 107:1 119:14 120:8 122:5 125:7 128:5 background 12:5 128:11 bad 47:10 114:9 balanced 23:2 36:12	35:13 best 21:11 22:9 124:5 132:6 bet 48:3 better 19:15 36:12 44:5 54:15 58:15 83:4 86:7 88:18 90:6,10 91:7 92:17,21 94:15 95:17	blocks 45:3 48:9 79:13 110:4 Bluestone 64:8 board 1:1 2:7 3:7,12,13,19 4:4 7:4,5,6,8 7:13,16 8:9,10 8:19 9:3,11,13 12:4,8 13:15 13:18 20:19 29:14,20 31:4 32:12,14 33:13	bring 18:19 19:2 35:15 65:20 111:1 bringing 72:6 broader 87:19 Broadway 24:16 broken 14:11 Brook 24:16 brought 34:8 82:9 Buchanan 1:9 5:8 7:11 10:8	113:17 114:11 building's 127:22 buildings 14:14 17:5 18:6 22:6 35:1,2 36:12 38:6 40:3,6 41:15 45:4 51:19 52:1 54:2,11 78:1 82:3 83:10,11 83:14 84:7,9,9
66:7 76:16 77:1 80:14 97:15 107:1 119:14 120:8 122:5 125:7 128:5 background 12:5 128:11 bad 47:10 114:9 balanced 23:2 36:12 baptism 130:3	35:13 best 21:11 22:9 124:5 132:6 bet 48:3 better 19:15 36:12 44:5 54:15 58:15 83:4 86:7 88:18 90:6,10 91:7 92:17,21 94:15 95:17 101:5	blocks 45:3 48:9 79:13 110:4 Bluestone 64:8 board 1:1 2:7 3:7,12,13,19 4:4 7:4,5,6,8 7:13,16 8:9,10 8:19 9:3,11,13 12:4,8 13:15 13:18 20:19 29:14,20 31:4 32:12,14 33:13 38:8 39:18	bring 18:19 19:2 35:15 65:20 111:1 bringing 72:6 broader 87:19 Broadway 24:16 broken 14:11 Brook 24:16 brought 34:8 82:9 Buchanan 1:9 5:8 7:11 10:8 89:21 111:16	113:17 114:11 building's 127:22 buildings 14:14 17:5 18:6 22:6 35:1,2 36:12 38:6 40:3,6 41:15 45:4 51:19 52:1 54:2,11 78:1 82:3 83:10,11 83:14 84:7,9,9 84:11,13,14
66:7 76:16 77:1 80:14 97:15 107:1 119:14 120:8 122:5 125:7 128:5 background 12:5 128:11 bad 47:10 114:9 balanced 23:2 36:12 baptism 130:3 barbecue 83:21	35:13 best 21:11 22:9 124:5 132:6 bet 48:3 better 19:15 36:12 44:5 54:15 58:15 83:4 86:7 88:18 90:6,10 91:7 92:17,21 94:15 95:17 101:5 beyond 58:20	blocks 45:3 48:9 79:13 110:4 Bluestone 64:8 board 1:1 2:7 3:7,12,13,19 4:4 7:4,5,6,8 7:13,16 8:9,10 8:19 9:3,11,13 12:4,8 13:15 13:18 20:19 29:14,20 31:4 32:12,14 33:13 38:8 39:18 40:16 41:18	bring 18:19 19:2 35:15 65:20 111:1 bringing 72:6 broader 87:19 Broadway 24:16 broken 14:11 Brook 24:16 brought 34:8 82:9 Buchanan 1:9 5:8 7:11 10:8 89:21 111:16 118:22 124:8	113:17 114:11 building's 127:22 buildings 14:14 17:5 18:6 22:6 35:1,2 36:12 38:6 40:3,6 41:15 45:4 51:19 52:1 54:2,11 78:1 82:3 83:10,11 83:14 84:7,9,9 84:11,13,14 85:11,18 87:14
66:7 76:16 77:1 80:14 97:15 107:1 119:14 120:8 122:5 125:7 128:5 background 12:5 128:11 bad 47:10 114:9 balanced 23:2 36:12 baptism 130:3 barbecue 83:21 barely 27:10	35:13 best 21:11 22:9 124:5 132:6 bet 48:3 better 19:15 36:12 44:5 54:15 58:15 83:4 86:7 88:18 90:6,10 91:7 92:17,21 94:15 95:17 101:5 beyond 58:20 112:13	blocks 45:3 48:9 79:13 110:4 Bluestone 64:8 board 1:1 2:7 3:7,12,13,19 4:4 7:4,5,6,8 7:13,16 8:9,10 8:19 9:3,11,13 12:4,8 13:15 13:18 20:19 29:14,20 31:4 32:12,14 33:13 38:8 39:18 40:16 41:18 42:1,8 43:5	bring 18:19 19:2 35:15 65:20 111:1 bringing 72:6 broader 87:19 Broadway 24:16 broken 14:11 Brook 24:16 brought 34:8 82:9 Buchanan 1:9 5:8 7:11 10:8 89:21 111:16 118:22 124:8 124:11,13	113:17 114:11 building's 127:22 buildings 14:14 17:5 18:6 22:6 35:1,2 36:12 38:6 40:3,6 41:15 45:4 51:19 52:1 54:2,11 78:1 82:3 83:10,11 83:14 84:7,9,9 84:11,13,14 85:11,18 87:14 104:5 105:15
66:7 76:16 77:1 80:14 97:15 107:1 119:14 120:8 122:5 125:7 128:5 background 12:5 128:11 bad 47:10 114:9 balanced 23:2 36:12 baptism 130:3 barbecue 83:21 barely 27:10 50:13	35:13 best 21:11 22:9 124:5 132:6 bet 48:3 better 19:15 36:12 44:5 54:15 58:15 83:4 86:7 88:18 90:6,10 91:7 92:17,21 94:15 95:17 101:5 beyond 58:20 112:13 bid 15:17	blocks 45:3 48:9 79:13 110:4 Bluestone 64:8 board 1:1 2:7 3:7,12,13,19 4:4 7:4,5,6,8 7:13,16 8:9,10 8:19 9:3,11,13 12:4,8 13:15 13:18 20:19 29:14,20 31:4 32:12,14 33:13 38:8 39:18 40:16 41:18 42:1,8 43:5 51:3 52:8 55:4	bring 18:19 19:2 35:15 65:20 111:1 bringing 72:6 broader 87:19 Broadway 24:16 broken 14:11 Brook 24:16 brought 34:8 82:9 Buchanan 1:9 5:8 7:11 10:8 89:21 111:16 118:22 124:8 124:11,13 125:5 129:9	113:17 114:11 building's 127:22 buildings 14:14 17:5 18:6 22:6 35:1,2 36:12 38:6 40:3,6 41:15 45:4 51:19 52:1 54:2,11 78:1 82:3 83:10,11 83:14 84:7,9,9 84:11,13,14 85:11,18 87:14 104:5 105:15 105:16 106:4
66:7 76:16 77:1 80:14 97:15 107:1 119:14 120:8 122:5 125:7 128:5 background 12:5 128:11 bad 47:10 114:9 balanced 23:2 36:12 baptism 130:3 barbecue 83:21 barely 27:10 50:13 Barry 64:8	35:13 best 21:11 22:9 124:5 132:6 bet 48:3 better 19:15 36:12 44:5 54:15 58:15 83:4 86:7 88:18 90:6,10 91:7 92:17,21 94:15 95:17 101:5 beyond 58:20 112:13 bid 15:17 bidding 58:17	blocks 45:3 48:9 79:13 110:4 Bluestone 64:8 board 1:1 2:7 3:7,12,13,19 4:4 7:4,5,6,8 7:13,16 8:9,10 8:19 9:3,11,13 12:4,8 13:15 13:18 20:19 29:14,20 31:4 32:12,14 33:13 38:8 39:18 40:16 41:18 42:1,8 43:5 51:3 52:8 55:4 55:14 61:8	bring 18:19 19:2 35:15 65:20 111:1 bringing 72:6 broader 87:19 Broadway 24:16 broken 14:11 Brook 24:16 brought 34:8 82:9 Buchanan 1:9 5:8 7:11 10:8 89:21 111:16 118:22 124:8 124:11,13 125:5 129:9 budget 73:20	113:17 114:11 building's 127:22 buildings 14:14 17:5 18:6 22:6 35:1,2 36:12 38:6 40:3,6 41:15 45:4 51:19 52:1 54:2,11 78:1 82:3 83:10,11 83:14 84:7,9,9 84:11,13,14 85:11,18 87:14 104:5 105:15 105:16 106:4 106:14 110:18
66:7 76:16 77:1 80:14 97:15 107:1 119:14 120:8 122:5 125:7 128:5 background 12:5 128:11 bad 47:10 114:9 balanced 23:2 36:12 baptism 130:3 barbecue 83:21 barely 27:10 50:13	35:13 best 21:11 22:9 124:5 132:6 bet 48:3 better 19:15 36:12 44:5 54:15 58:15 83:4 86:7 88:18 90:6,10 91:7 92:17,21 94:15 95:17 101:5 beyond 58:20 112:13 bid 15:17	blocks 45:3 48:9 79:13 110:4 Bluestone 64:8 board 1:1 2:7 3:7,12,13,19 4:4 7:4,5,6,8 7:13,16 8:9,10 8:19 9:3,11,13 12:4,8 13:15 13:18 20:19 29:14,20 31:4 32:12,14 33:13 38:8 39:18 40:16 41:18 42:1,8 43:5 51:3 52:8 55:4	bring 18:19 19:2 35:15 65:20 111:1 bringing 72:6 broader 87:19 Broadway 24:16 broken 14:11 Brook 24:16 brought 34:8 82:9 Buchanan 1:9 5:8 7:11 10:8 89:21 111:16 118:22 124:8 124:11,13 125:5 129:9	113:17 114:11 building's 127:22 buildings 14:14 17:5 18:6 22:6 35:1,2 36:12 38:6 40:3,6 41:15 45:4 51:19 52:1 54:2,11 78:1 82:3 83:10,11 83:14 84:7,9,9 84:11,13,14 85:11,18 87:14 104:5 105:15 105:16 106:4

built 26:8 35:9	35:14 36:22	69:17 127:16	131:2,2	23:16 24:9,20
38:7 45:16	37:13 38:7,12	129:17	changed 13:20	26:12,17 27:9
51:21 53:19	41:12 42:5,13	cedes 57:21	95:19	27:11 32:2,6
58:13 62:4,5,6	43:2,21 44:14	central 24:9	changes 11:1	33:5,16 35:14
72:7 73:10	46:9 51:9,11		23:8 26:5	37:13 38:3
		36:1 74:18,20		
91:6 93:19	51:13,18 52:1	87:4	28:11 38:14	41:20 42:4,6,7
bumped 24:2 burden 122:3	52:7,9 53:3,5	certain 11:19	43:22 67:20	42:7,11,14 43:20 44:7
	53:17 57:7	76:13 78:21	70:1,1,2 116:2	
burdened 43:11	59:22 60:3,20	85:4 113:12	117:15 131:16	46:15 48:6
Burns 41:12	63:6,11,15,20	115:16 119:17	changing 98:18	50:14,18 57:13
47:4	72:8 74:22	121:6	102:19	57:18 58:4
bus 86:6 87:4,6	83:11 101:9	certainly 42:12	Channel 4:1	60:1,11 62:5
87:7	106:9 108:18	86:2,16 107:9	channeled 74:4	62:13 65:12,16
buses 82:18 87:5	111:22 112:14	117:2	Chapter 3:9	67:8,12,14,22
business 2:5,13	112:18	CERTIFICA	character 17:6	68:15 69:12,12
8:4 18:14	candidate 43:20	132:1	115:10	69:13 70:2,3
121:21 129:16	Cannabis 8:16	certified 9:11	Chat 69:19	71:9,19 72:1
button 29:17	Cantabridgians	certify 132:5,8	chemical 48:19	73:14,15,19,21
67:1	64:11	cetera 102:5	Cherry 74:15	74:3,14,16
buy 51:7 94:3	cap 76:12,15	103:16 122:2,2	children 38:1	75:3 78:19
buyers 21:1	77:12	CHA 25:16	chime 69:1	89:8,10 93:19
buys 73:3	capacity 89:14	36:20 38:13	126:15	93:21 94:15
	car 86:17	51:14,15 79:6	choice 84:5	96:10,15,19
C	Cardosi 50:22	84:3,8	choices 84:6	99:9,15 105:14
C 3:1	52:21 53:1,1	Chair 1:7 3:8	choose 22:2 84:6	105:21 107:1,3
cable 4:1	care 47:1,2	4:7 6:9 9:1	choosing 84:10	107:7,10,21
calendar 7:4	53:22 60:21	11:5 28:16	chose 33:14	109:20 112:13
call 3:15 7:5	115:9	30:14,22 31:18	chosen 41:21	114:11,18
9:21,22 30:15	careful 58:3,10	66:6 67:3 69:7	Chris 6:18 71:10	115:2,10,11
30:19 31:2	Carlone 41:2	73:7 76:2	71:12,21 73:8	116:22 119:11
62:20 106:3	carry 123:16	78:15 79:20	73:22 75:10	120:4,8 129:18
124:18,19	cars 63:18 65:19	83:4 85:21	93:1 97:11,12	City's 3:19 73:4
128:22 129:1	86:2 89:6	89:21 90:13	99:17 120:18	73:20
called 61:9	carte 50:19	101:17 102:14	chronically	City-funded
83:12	case 2:3 29:21	103:20 109:15	53:20	72:7
calling 29:18	50:6,10 76:13	111:16 119:19	Churchill 63:6	City-owned
calls 14:1	80:9 122:6	123:9 125:21	circumstances	74:15,18
Camacho 39:6	127:14	126:16 127:19	11:19 75:18,20	citywide 11:9
41:8,11,11	Cassie 6:18	challenge 55:17	115:16	13:9 57:10
camaraderie	cast 46:5	56:4	cities 29:1 42:4	98:6
115:11	Catherine 5:10	challenged	60:3 106:13	claim 44:16
Cambridge 1:2	Cathleen 41:8	100:22 101:9	citizens 41:22	clarification
1:6 3:7,22 4:2	42:19,19,22,22	challenges 74:22	city 1:2,10 3:11	75:17,20
7:14,14,20	Cause 37:3	77:7 112:7	3:22 6:20 7:15	clarifications
8:13 10:20	CDD 6:12 9:1	chance 51:16	8:1,5,14,15	111:18
13:8,10 15:4		123:21		
20:13,20 24:16	11:3 21:21	change 27:2	10:20 11:6	clarify 102:11
25:14 27:15,16	23:12 25:10,17		12:2,11 13:8	clarity 67:11
29:4 32:17	28:11,11 29:3	35:12,16 57:11	16:16,16 17:8	109:17 110:1
34:18,22 35:2	36:19,22 51:14	57:11 97:7	18:7,8 21:3	class 61:21
37.10,22 33.2	66:20 67:21	98:6,21 131:2	22:22 23:3,12	clean 107:2
	ı	I	ı	I

109:21	comfortable	102:20 128:1	128:12	36:5 74:22
cleaned 110:7	66:3 113:2	community's	concise 12:19	constructed
clear 21:9 51:15	117:20	79:4	conclude 59:5	75:19
113:1 117:12	coming 8:22	commuter 87:9	concludes 7:1	construction
125:7	97:6 107:21	compare 40:9	12:13 66:7	48:15,18 50:16
clearly 50:10	112:18 114:10	compared 86:9	129:16	74:4 78:17
114:17	comment 3:17	compassionate	conclusion	91:1
click 29:17	3:18 29:15	44:16	123:3	contain 28:6,7
climate 59:1	30:20 31:5	compatible	Concord 24:17	context 39:22
60:15	39:12 56:18	39:21	condos 63:17	40:10 55:19
close 20:14 50:7	62:21 66:11,12	compelled	conducive 15:16	75:10 95:14
54:11 57:10	66:15 81:10	111:21	22:13	98:22 100:13
82:4 111:6,6	87:15,17 123:1	compete 38:19	conduct 62:16	113:14
113:18,20	commenters	_	confidence	continue 90:22
closer 112:15	82:9	competing 74:12	118:17	91:1 95:2
closing 28:20	comments 28:14	competition	configuration	100:4,8 115:21
clunky 69:11	31:7,13,15,15	92:14 98:13	40:4	116:5 118:5,14
co-authors	43:18 59:6	competitive	confirm 30:11	119:12 120:14
86:22	66:16 114:15	58:17 95:17	120:12	121:12 122:12
code 27:2	114:17 116:2,4	111:20 112:1	conflicts 114:7	124:6,20
codes 35:6	117:5 119:4,13	113:9	confusing	continued 8:12
Cohen 1:7 3:4	123:15 127:22	completed 14:14	102:15	66:13 120:9
4:11,13 6:3 9:7	128:3,4	completely	congestion	127:21
9:17 10:1,2,17	commercial	103:9	60:18 109:2	continuing
75:14,16 96:7	73:19	compliance	connect 111:3	100:14,15
100:17 104:22	commission	36:19	connection	116:11 119:17
105:12 116:10	36:22 61:20	compliant 48:16	110:17 111:4	120:15 125:19
116:14 121:6,9	132:17	complicated	connects 53:21	contribute 7:22
121:11,18,22	Committee	91:22	Connolly 5:10	control 16:16
122:18 124:21	12:10,10 21:18	complying	109:15	107:8 114:2
127:10 128:18	21:20 43:14	70:18	Conservation	controlled 26:20
129:2,3 130:7	common 34:9	components	48:22	controversial
cold 47:20	43:12	73:9,11	conserve 109:2	105:3 119:3
colleagues 13:5	Commonwealth	comprehensive	consider 8:7	controversy
16:5,13 19:4	132:2,5	72:11,20	36:10,18 41:5	16:20 62:14
105:2,5 125:20	communicatio	concede 49:15	43:8 61:15	conundrum
combination	29:21,22	concentration	117:16	122:10
122:12	communities	94:10	consideration	conversation
combining	54:14 83:13	concept 11:14	100:4 127:15	104:1
113:16	84:1 88:5	concern 58:21	considerations	conversations
come 13:17 23:8	community 1:10	92:6 108:12	12:6 58:9	17:19 87:19
33:14 42:7	2:6 6:6,20,22	110:15 114:9	considered	90:17 91:8
44:14 46:3	13:17 14:12	118:3	106:12	COOK 71:21
64:22 105:12	18:8,12 19:2	concerned 109:8	consistent 28:18	120:18
112:16 114:4	27:8,22 36:6	115:13,15	consistently	copy 67:6 69:8
119:4,5,14	39:14 45:6	118:6	73:15	core 36:5
comes 43:6	54:14,15 58:1	concerns 38:5	consists 78:8	Corporal 41:12
73:12,13,18,19	58:11 73:14	38:10,14 112:5	constant 53:22	correct 46:1
92:1 100:2,6	81:5 83:18	113:7 115:17	constitute 5:18	71:14 73:22
128:5	88:7,8 89:22	116:18 117:13	constrained	93:8,16 116:19

116:20 126:9	28:16 32:6	71:22 95:16	49:8 50:21	declared 34:1
corrections	33:8 41:1 53:6	97:16 114:7	52:20 54:18	dedicated 63:13
131:16	68:5,7,10,11	created 61:16	56:9 57:5	73:21
corridor 11:15	68:12 69:18	79:16	59:10,16,18	deed-restricted
51:22 70:17	72:3 76:3,3,4	creates 11:10,14	61:2 62:18	53:12
109:8	78:13,15 79:18	creating 32:15	63:4 65:1 66:6	deep 24:20
corridors 22:2,8	79:20 80:14,16	92:14	69:4,6,10,18	94:15
22:11,19,21	82:6,22 83:3,7	crisis 16:11,12	69:22 76:1,2	deeper 91:11
	85:19,21 89:5	17:17 34:5,5	89:3,4 103:19	95:3
23:17,18 24:15 28:6 33:11	90:12 91:9	36:8 44:2 51:9	103:20 119:9	defer 77:6
46:14 48:7,9		59:1	119:19 120:13	defined 24:10
53:15 67:10	93:3,5,13,14 95:9,11 96:8		121:9 123:8,9	36:1 56:3
	97:13 98:4	critical 32:15,19 59:1	123:13 124:2	
68:9,13 85:10	101:17,18,20		123:13 124:2 124:18,19,22	defining 24:15
87:1 91:14	′ ′	critically 38:20	· / /	definitely
94:12 95:7	102:7,10,13	criticism 40:19	125:2,4,6,11	112:13
96:4,17,21	125:21 126:1,3	criticized 91:2	125:14 126:15	definition 87:12
106:19 108:14	126:7,10 127:4 Councillors	critiques 90:3	126:18,22	delineate 32:2
108:16 113:4		90:14	127:18,19	dense 86:11
116:20	12:15 47:19	Cross- 25:19	128:21 129:1,4	106:13 111:5
cost 15:16 21:7	65:12 67:8,12	Crossing 8:13	129:6,8,10,13	densely 58:4
22:6 26:22	67:22 78:11	crowded 37:20	129:18 130:14	denser 94:11
27:4 91:10	95:12,20 96:19	crucial 58:17	data 113:10	densities 25:16
94:8,21 101:4	105:17 106:11	curious 71:16	date 66:14	density 13:14
costs 51:11	107:21 109:20	82:17 90:4	109:19 119:18	16:1,22 20:22
90:22 91:1,16	125:17	current 33:12	119:22 120:17	21:5 25:6,8
94:8	counsel 132:9	57:22 63:10	131:21	26:7 33:12
Cotter 6:18	counseling	80:22 82:11,19	David 42:19	64:16 75:22
71:10,12 97:12	72:21	109:16	44:21,21 45:1	76:9 79:8 90:7
99:17	counselor 39:13	currently 14:10	45:1	93:20 94:7
Council 8:5,14	country 29:2	37:18 43:5	day 18:20 19:1	97:8 106:17
8:15 10:21	couple 39:17	46:20 47:9	32:17 89:1	107:11 112:17
11:6 12:2,12	43:19 84:14	77:16	111:10 122:8	113:19
13:8 14:2	113:15	cut 65:18	132:13	densly 21:13
16:17 23:12	courage 17:16	D	days 57:19	Dent 132:4
32:6 33:16	course 21:8,21	D = D $D = D$	dead 50:18	Department 2:6
37:13 41:20,21	43:6 68:19		deal 54:15 66:1	6:6 12:4 89:11
42:3,7,16	87:9 91:3	Dana 47:17	dealing 48:3	departments
43:20 49:21	93:15	Daniel 1:11 4:6	104:6	48:12
57:18 65:16	court 3:10 53:2	4:7,14,18,22	dearth 36:3	depend 38:22
69:12,13 70:2	101:1	5:3,6,10,17,20	decade 52:11	depending
107:3,7 111:18	cover 108:15,16	6:7,9,10 8:2	December	99:18
117:15 119:11	coverage 24:17	9:20,22 10:3,5	128:17	depots 87:4
119:13 120:4,8	24:19 68:15,15	10:7,9,12 11:3	decent 38:21	described 34:4
Council's 42:10	68:16 109:9	11:5 30:5,12	decide 100:9	descriptions
Councillor	covered 89:5	30:14,18 31:17	decided 46:6	116:19
12:16,21 13:5	covering 28:5	31:18 33:18	67:11 103:8	deserves 97:4
19:7,9,13,15	covers 23:16	35:18 37:7	decision 15:10	design 6:21 8:13
19:17,18,19,20	24:12	39:5,9 41:7	102:20 120:14	13:19 21:4
19:22 20:1,6,7	create 16:1,2	42:18 44:20	127:13 128:7	39:14,18 40:13
20:9 23:5 27:7		1 /1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1		. 41 10 40 1
20.7 23.3 21.1	39:1 50:11	45:19 47:13	decisions 55:15	41:18 48:1

103:10,18	developments	29:14 66:13,19	drive 2:15 8:8	elevator 32:20
104:1,2,3	11:2,8 46:10	74:15 104:14	17:4 63:11	33:1
110:1,20,21	71:19 76:12	104:20 105:1,8	83:22 112:10	eligible 28:4
121:2 128:1	89:8,10,12,15	116:5,11 124:7	127:16	eliminated
designed 39:21	89:16	discussions 80:1	drivers 32:21	48:14 102:9
Designer 103:21	devoid 62:11	100:15 106:6	86:3	115:3
DeSilva 59:11			due 15:16	eliminates 11:17
	dictated 97:22	dismissive 44:1		
59:19,21,21	dictating 63:20	displaced 58:8	dynamic 36:11	elimination
desire 106:1	Diego 1:8 3:5	Displacement		97:18 98:5,20
desperate 37:17	5:3,5 6:4 7:7	43:20		99:5
45:13	7:10,18,19,19	disqualify 57:16	E 2:1 3:1,1	Ellery 54:22
desperately	9:8 10:5,6,18	disregard 41:21	131:1,1,1	eloquently
14:19 51:10	81:19,21 110:8	dissidence 49:15	e-mails 16:5,9	43:16 83:13
destroy 106:9	110:10 125:2,3	distance 36:7	earlier 28:17	emergency
determined	127:11 128:12	111:6	34:7 61:8 84:2	20:10 34:1
15:15	129:6,7,22	district 8:17	91:10 127:20	emphasize 28:5
developed 35:9	difference 96:11	11:9 23:19	early 34:8,17	55:2
55:7 58:15	96:11 98:21	77:4	easier 86:12,15	employed 132:9
developer 15:11	103:14	districts 23:15	East 15:3 25:14	encourage 67:14
49:6 74:6 92:1	different 23:10	48:22 70:15	46:9 51:18	71:18 109:5
developers	23:15 33:7	diverse 18:8	easy 16:11 17:12	ends 77:9 78:21
13:16,19 16:22	54:2,16 62:7	diversity 38:4	44:1 67:5	energy 89:14
17:1,5 20:22	84:8,10 99:21	divide 114:10	88:10	energy-efficient
21:6,13 22:5	110:13 115:2	division 6:12,14	echo 110:10	39:1
22:12,18 38:18	differently	divisive 49:14	111:17	enforce 36:22
38:22 47:7	89:16	documentation	echoing 44:8	engage 39:2
50:19 53:11	difficult 16:10	82:12	edge 111:20	91:8
56:1 57:22	86:4 130:2	documents	112:2,2 113:10	engagement
70:14 72:9	difficulty 56:16	102:6	edges 20:11	39:15 128:1
			education 72:21	
81:4,7,11 91:3	dig 84:3	doing 22:6 28:22	effect 37:22	English 34:12
91:4 92:8,12	diligently 128:2	29:2,7 55:19	106:8	50:13 88:3,3
94:3,19 98:7	dimension 49:2	89:1	effort 33:15	enjoy 54:12
98:15 99:6,15	dimensional	dollars 21:10		61:21 88:12
100:21 101:6	11:7 70:19	32:11 42:6	eight 66:4 88:14	enlightening
108:2,4,5	dimensions	58:14 63:16	eighth 84:21	110:12
111:21	17:22	74:1 94:1	either 15:15	ensure 35:11
developers'	diminishes	door 47:5,6 92:9	66:20 68:2	89:13
42:11 106:1	98:12	double 33:12	76:12 88:13,15	ensured 71:4
development	dire 36:8 52:10	34:10	100:8 115:22	entered 30:1
1:10 2:6 6:6,12	direct 22:3 88:5	doubling 64:16	117:11	entire 22:22
6:14,20 12:3	Director 6:11,18	doubt 114:19	elaborate 82:5	57:13
14:10 15:8	disabled 84:15	dovetailing 49:2	elaborated 82:1	entirely 33:6
23:2 41:16	disapproval	downside 45:8	elders 62:7,8	entities 63:9
49:5 50:10	57:19	downsides 45:10	election 49:7	environment
53:8 58:18	discretionary	dozens 105:20	electric 63:18	35:10 58:2
65:13 70:5	100:20 108:6	105:20	electricity 48:17	97:1 106:10,11
71:3,4 72:19	discussed 63:22	DPW 18:13	Elena 57:1 61:3	environmental
73:19 78:9	discussing 7:3	dramatically	61:4,5	58:22 60:22
94:14 97:21	99:4	13:21 86:10	elevations	99:10 106:15
127:15	discussion 8:21	drawn 88:11	127:22	112:6
127.10	21504551011 U.21			112.0

				Page 140
environmenta	48:11	127:13 128:9	foosibility 20.10	fire 61:22 109:3
57:9 106:12	excess 57:22	128:16	feasibility 38:18 47:22	130:3
115:4	61:9	extensive 87:10	feasible 99:20	first 6:5 11:3
Envision 13:10	excessive 48:14	extensive 87:10 extent 100:12	features 56:5	12:22 16:5
20:15 32:5,7		extent 100.12	federal 33:3	29:13 31:19
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	exchange 17:1		77:10	32:22 46:2
46:3,3,5,7	25:3 80:3,12 excited 7:12,15	extremely 70:10	Federico 47:14	
52:12,13 68:13 Erik 103:21	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\mathbf{F}		66:17 67:6,10
especially 77:8	exciting 8:20 14:17 15:1	faced 51:20	49:9,12,12 feedback 25:1	70:4 82:2,22 83:8 84:4,5
111:18	excluding 55:15	112:7	26:18 88:14	85:22 92:18,19
essential 44:6	excluding 55.15 exclusionary	facing 49:17	feel 29:7 65:14	104:22 107:20
61:15	34:20 96:9	86:8	66:3 110:22	104.22 107.20
essentially 23:14	exclusively 62:7	fact 46:9 52:5	115:20 117:11	first-time 73:1
24:1 50:19	98:11	65:7 86:8	117:20	fiscal 38:18
61:10,18 97:1	excuse 47:5	factor 24:5	feelings 16:7	fiscally 57:9
established 7:21	exemption	70:19 78:22	feels 57:17	58:12
estate 42:11	62:14	fair 103:6	118:12	fits 48:10 55:20
Esther 35:19	exempts 61:18	fallen 7:14	feet 11:22 70:11	five 5:17
37:8,8,10,10	exempts 01.18	false 33:9 52:4	70:12	five- 14:1
et 102:4 103:15	121:14	familiar 39:19	fellow 95:12	five-year 47:21
122:2,2	existence 90:21	families 34:10	felt 91:13	fix 28:19
Evan 1:13 6:15	existing 25:12	37:16,19 38:3	fiasco 42:9	fixed 19:13 94:8
evening 3:6 6:10	39:22 40:1,13	44:12 62:8	field 68:5	fleeing 44:12,18
6:21 7:5,12	70:5 72:12	family 7:21	fifth 108:17	fleshed 104:10
12:15 30:4,8	78:6 114:8	37:21 64:9,10	figure 16:17	flexibility 16:2
44:9 51:3	115:7	64:10 94:1	30:12	77:22 81:14,15
54:21 61:4	exists 96:12	family-sized	filed 15:19	91:16,19 92:16
105:10 117:5	expand 52:17	14:18	filing 128:7	93:15 98:1,10
121:13 129:19	expanding	fanfare 26:1	final 12:9 32:10	98:16 114:3
130:6	52:18	far 13:20 14:15	finalist 54:6	flexible 27:20
events 83:20,21	expansive 57:21	15:21 24:4	finally 11:20	flood 127:22
everybody 7:11	expected 39:20	30:22 40:11	finance 21:4	floor 28:8 32:22
31:8 85:15	expecting	51:11 52:14	financial 21:3	59:13 70:22
92:7 115:8	109:17	57:21 69:22	48:5 59:4	77:1 78:3
evicted 84:16	expensive 67:15	72:6 76:6,12	72:21	84:21,22
evidence 86:17	71:20 88:21	76:12,14,16,16	financially	Flynn 1:7 3:4,6
exact 23:19	94:3 105:22	77:5 78:19,19	132:10	3:8 4:7 5:13,15
68:19	experience	78:21 79:2,3,7	financing 43:4	5:20 6:3,5,9
exactly 17:13	43:16 65:10	80:11 110:5	91:7	9:2,7,9,18,20
107:3	expert 119:20	114:4	find 3:18 26:12	10:9,10,14,17
example 16:15	expertise 17:21	Farooq 1:10	27:21 34:12	10:19 11:5
36:13 42:10	expires 132:17	6:19 73:7	36:6 37:18	12:14 19:11,14
53:19 79:22	expiring 72:15	faster 49:5	52:11 70:16	20:5 28:16
83:17	explained	112:10	84:19 110:4,4	29:11 30:14,17
exceed 76:8,14	100:19	favor 10:13	115:5	30:22 31:1,18
78:1,9	explanation	33:22 35:22	finding 37:4	58:19 59:3,5,9
exceeded 34:14	116:3 123:6	52:18 125:15	43:3 85:7	59:15,17 66:6
Excellent	explicitly 93:16	129:14	fine 36:21 103:6	66:9 67:4 68:4
129:15	extension 2:15	favorable 35:15	108:11,13,13	68:8,22 69:7,9
exceptions 48:4	8:7 121:2	55:4	114:5	69:21 71:7,15
				, , ,

73:6 75:12,15	for-profit 53:11	funders 72:2	21:6 26:18	60:9 62:6
76:1,2 78:13	81:7 92:12	funding 26:17	31:21 33:20	64:21 66:12
79:18 80:14	forbidden 35:1	33:3 72:1	35:20 37:9	67:9 68:10
81:19 82:21	35:1,2	73:11 74:2,8	39:7,15 41:9	75:3,4 80:20
83:6 85:19	foregoing	91:21 94:20	42:20 44:22	81:18 84:13,17
89:3,18 90:11	131:15	funds 26:12 33:5	45:21 47:15	84:18 85:6
93:1,7 95:9	forever 14:20	73:1,14	49:10 50:19	88:13 92:2,6
97:11 99:2	forget 47:3	further 14:5	51:1 52:22	92:12 97:15
100:16 101:16	107:17	116:5,11 123:6	54:20 56:11	100:22 102:14
101:18,21	form 40:1	124:6 132:8	57:2 59:12,20	100:22 102:14
102:8,11	formal 120:3	furthermore	63:1 65:3 99:5	106:5,9,9,10
102:8,11	formally 7:6	94:5	Globe 112:8	106:16 108:22
104:13 105:11	forms 86:6	future 66:13	go 18:1 24:3	114:7,22 115:6
107:16 110:8	forth 50:16	68:18 74:19	O	115:16 116:12
			25:7 27:1,5	
111:14 112:21	forward 7:16	109:19 119:22	29:14 32:3,22	117:19 118:22
116:13 117:8	8:20 12:11	futureproof	33:3,8 39:9	119:12 125:7
118:3 119:9,19	16:3 17:8 33:9	97:6	49:21 57:5	126:3 127:7,16
120:22 121:3,8	61:8 71:3	G	63:4 64:21	gold 62:2
121:10,16	100:8 101:12	$\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ 3:1	67:4 69:13	good 3:6 6:10
122:1,9,16,20	104:3,12 110:3	gain 13:18 35:10	73:2 75:5 80:7	7:12 27:21,21
123:9,12,21	123:16	game 65:22	81:1,17 83:20	47:10 51:3
124:4,9,12,14	found 55:14	gender 44:11	83:21 84:17	54:21 61:4
124:17 125:11	70:18 86:17	genuer 44.11 general 1:3 2:5	90:22 91:1	68:14 81:4
125:12,16,22	four 5:18 23:15	2:13 3:10	92:4 94:15	90:19 94:21
126:2,6,9,11	23:15 34:8	25:16 40:18	97:13 101:11	99:17 114:8
126:17,21	60:2 65:9 96:3	62:15 78:16	107:1 111:9	130:9,10
127:1,6,10,12	96:10 100:6	110:17 121:21	115:19 123:1	Goodnight
127:19 128:8	four-bedrooms	geographic	125:7	130:12,14
128:19,21	14:18 53:9	68:15	goal 24:17 52:13	goods 64:12,20
129:10,11,15	fourth 60:1	getting 16:9	93:18 95:16	Google 54:5
129:20 130:9	free 61:10 63:13	51:14 74:3	97:5	gotten 66:18
focus 22:17	64:10		goals 15:4 20:15	123:14
72:12,16,18	Fresh 60:14	77:9 83:4 84:16 87:10	32:7 41:3	governance
90:16	72:17	110:14 114:17	115:2	36:12
focused 87:11	friendly 106:13		gobbledygook	Governor 3:11
focusing 87:1	friends 36:4	119:5,17,18 120:11 123:15	46:17	34:1
folks 18:10	37:21		goes 27:18 92:6	graduate 64:3,7
30:15 50:12,20	From' 131:2	gift 62:5	109:5 112:18	graduates 64:1
66:10 85:14	front 11:17 40:7	give 3:17 19:20 22:17 23:7	going 8:22 11:4	grandparents
104:1	40:11 61:18	24:7 30:9	12:17,21 13:3	34:8
follow 7:10	Frost 32:13	35:15 55:4	13:4 14:18	granted 101:10
followed 31:19	full 92:1		15:11 16:14,17	great 12:19,21
33:19 35:19	full-court 47:18	56:17 62:19	17:4,6,14 18:1	14:15,22 15:21
37:8 39:6 41:8	Fuller 32:1	63:7,18 81:13	18:1,5,8 19:4	19:20 75:12
42:19 44:21	fully 128:4	92:7 97:17	21:16 24:20	82:21 83:20
45:20 47:14	fun 46:6 88:15	100:13	29:6,13 30:1,5	88:3 89:18
50:22 52:21	function 30:21	given 74:22	30:12 32:11	95:12 106:21
54:19 56:10,22	62:22	111:22 112:16	44:3 45:10	111:10 125:16
59:11	fundamentally	119:2	46:5 50:11	127:4,6 128:8
foot 92:9	43:6	giving 16:21	53:10 54:22	130:4
	I	I	I	I

greater 106:2	44:21 45:1,1	44:9,9 46:5	Hello 45:22	homebuyer
greatest 22:18	hand 29:17,18	47:8 81:10	help 21:3 35:15	72:21
58:21	30:21 62:21	85:14 87:15	52:8 71:8	homebuyers
green 47:1 78:6	66:21,22 75:12	90:21 127:20	86:14	73:2
80:4	78:13 81:19	hearing 1:3 8:5	helped 16:1	homeless 53:20
Gregory 1:13	83:1,5 85:20	8:12 10:20	34:22	84:17
6:22	90:7 93:2,3	19:11 21:22	helpful 30:5	homeowners
grew 13:10	107:17 132:12	29:15 56:16,20	31:11 71:11	54:3
27:12 53:3	hand's 30:19	66:13 105:7	75:6 79:10	Homeowners'
grossly 57:11	hands 9:4 30:6	110:16 115:21	98:22 104:16	72:8
ground 14:7,11	30:22 50:15	116:5,11 118:5	110:12 111:10	homeownership
14:11 28:8	Hanig 35:19	118:15 119:12	111:19 118:2	95:5
80:13 108:17	37:8,10,10	119:17 120:9	118:13,20	homes 21:5,8
group 72:18	happen 15:6	120:14 121:1	119:6 125:17	26:6 27:11
122:5	18:1 82:7	121:12,13,21	helping 35:13	43:8 44:4 45:8
groups 52:3	94:18	124:6,20	75:2	45:12 51:8,22
72:8	happening 95:3	127:13,21	hereunto 132:12	52:9,14,15
grown 35:6	happens 63:8	hearings 2:10	Hi 6:10 7:11,19	73:3,3 87:21
growth 8:1 35:4	happy 8:2 19:7	21:18,19,20	35:21 45:1	94:1
36:6	28:14 45:9	109:17,18	47:17 53:1	honoring 47:21
guess 31:6 67:13	71:12 97:12	Heather 44:21	57:4,6 61:4	hoops 124:1
81:21 82:8,17	130:1	45:20,20,22,22	Higgins 41:8	hope 51:16,17
109:7 113:7	hard 16:8 38:9	height 11:15	42:19,22,22	63:6 81:22
122:3 130:3	83:6 91:22	13:13 16:1,21	high 34:17,17	130:5
guidelines 13:19	113:10	22:3 24:5	43:11 62:8	hoped 46:11
18:13 39:14,18	harder 111:3	25:12 26:7	93:21	hopefully 33:15
40:14 49:1,4	hardships 36:4	40:1 42:16	higher 20:22	38:19 95:2
103:11,18	Harvard 24:9	48:9 49:2	27:5 114:6	112:16 123:2
104:2,4,9	48:15 87:3	53:16,17 55:11	115:13	130:6
110:20,21	haven't 43:17	56:2 61:22	highlighted	host 12:17
guys 17:20	Havens 65:5	70:5 75:19	25:11	hour 60:13
19:21 23:6	hazard 60:18	76:8,14,19,22	highlights 28:10	hours 60:14
49:13 50:6	heading 96:16	77:4,12,19,22	historic 61:20	84:14
97:6	heads 63:8	78:2,18,20,21	62:15 113:17	house 36:4 64:2
H	health 60:18	80:19 81:2	historical 48:15	housed 17:10
H 1:7 3:4 4:11	62:15 109:2	91:16 94:7	history 42:14 51:16 62:12	households 34:2
4:13 6:3 9:7,17	111:11	104:11 105:16 107:12 108:10	110:14 118:20	34:14 36:4
9:22 10:2,17	Healy 34:1 hear 23:6 27:8	107:12 108:10	119:2	52:5,7,10 houses 61:10
75:14,16 96:7	30:11 31:13	110:5 113:3,3	hit 66:22	
100:17 104:22	39:8 45:10	110:5 113:5,3	Hoffman 44:21	housing 2:11 6:18,19 8:6
105:17 104:22	47:7 56:14	115:4,19 114:7	45:20,22,22	11:2,8,11 12:3
116:14 121:6,9	57:4 63:3	heights 11:1,14	hold 13:16	12:6 13:8,12
121:11,18,22	88:22 106:8	11:17 25:15,20	home 41:18	13:12 14:21
122:18 124:21	107:15 110:12	25:21 40:2,10	52:11 63:7	15:5,10,13,18
127:10 128:18	111:19 118:15	55:20 77:16	94:19	16:3,12 17:1,2
129:1,3 130:7	122:2	82:3 116:18,19	HomeBridge	18:13,16 20:10
131:1	heard 12:9 13:7	held 9:12	72:22,22 73:4	20:12,15,20,21
half 60:12	25:1,16 37:16	Helen 37:8 39:6	homebuilders	20:12,13,20,21
Halperin 42:19	38:5 43:17	39:6,8,10,10	51:5	21:18 22:5,9
•	30.3 .3.17	37.0,0,10,10		21.10 22.3,7
L				

	I	I	I	1
22:14,16,18	112:1,3,22	119:13	independent	112:9
23:2 27:15	114:12 115:9	improve 60:20	111:8	intimidating
32:3 34:5,15	HRI 25:17 32:18	improved 93:10	indicated 14:8	47:19
34:15,16,16,18	38:8 80:21	improvement	15:7	introduce 6:8
35:3,10 36:3	hubs 87:7	92:17	indiscernible	12:20
36:13,19 37:1	huge 86:8 97:20	inaccurate	41:3 52:16	introduced
37:15,18 38:8	98:2 108:7	36:14 103:9	individuals 65:9	21:17 23:10,11
38:12,18,20,22	Hugh 4:18	inapplicable	infestation	86:22 95:20
39:20 40:18	human 36:22	40:14	50:15	introducing
41:4,16 43:11	58:9	inbuild 88:6	information	6:13 7:9
44:2,15,17	humble 65:21	incen 73:13	12:5 14:4 52:4	introduction
45:15 46:4,12	Hurley 46:1	Incentive 73:18	66:14	12:13
46:20 47:9	51:2	inception 17:11	infrastructure	inundated 49:5
48:4 51:5,9,10	Huron 60:13	include 18:13	82:16 85:22	investments
51:11,19 52:18		33:4 98:16	89:5 109:6	57:22
53:3,4,19,21	I	116:1	inhabitants	invite 41:1
54:1,3,8,9	iconic 34:19	includes 39:14	110:18	involved 50:14
55:22,22 57:8	idea 50:11 65:15	72:22	initial 112:19	65:17 91:20
58:3,9,12,16	82:2 85:4	including 13:13	initially 23:10	Iram 1:10 6:19
62:12 63:9,13	112:22	34:2,18 49:1	97:3 101:10	73:6,7 97:15
63:21 64:9,10	ideas 35:9	51:19 80:2,5	initiative 39:4	Ireland 29:3
65:16 67:14	identified 68:13	81:12	input 13:18,21	irrelevant 32:7
68:17 69:2,3	70:14	inclusionary	39:2 56:6	irresponsible
69:16 70:14	ill 53:21	16:19 17:10	102:19 118:13	49:7 57:9
71:9,18,22	illegal 32:20	36:13,15,19	119:5 125:17	58:12
72:2,3,7,9,10	imagine 65:21	37:1 52:15	129:19	isolated 85:11
72:12,19 73:5	immediately	72:3,7	instance 70:20	issue 32:19
73:10,16 74:1	71:6	income 109:6	77:10 78:1	36:16 44:10
74:4,5,12,17	immigrant 34:6	income-restri	89:14	51:12 60:16
74:20 75:5,7	immigrants	94:17	instructions	100:5 112:4
81:4,11 82:11	34:3,9	Incorporate	3:17,19	118:8
83:11 85:3,15	immigration	40:2	intended 33:10	issues 128:13
86:18 87:17	34:5,7,17 35:4	increase 34:6	97:3 117:1	item 6:5 8:22
88:5,17 91:4,7	impact 38:5	37:15 52:17	intends 107:3	9:10 10:19
91:21 92:8,13	57:11,15,20	60:7 107:11,12	intense 49:18	12:9 50:2
93:19 94:3,4	58:22 60:22	113:3	intent 76:5	62:21 73:8
94:10,17 95:4	112:6 115:7	increased 60:5,6	97:16	121:21 127:12
95:6,15 96:3,6	impactful 97:21	60:8 75:22	intention 79:11	items 7:3 129:17
96:20 97:4,9	impacts 36:3	106:17 112:17	86:21 109:22	Ivory 54:6
97:17,19 98:3	93:11 103:15	112:17	interest 114:18	
98:11,19 99:7	104:8 109:10	increases 11:13	interested 64:5	J
99:11,15,16	imperfect 44:2,5	60:15 90:7	132:10	James 31:20
100:22 101:5,9	implementation	increasing 60:19	interesting	33:19,19,21,21
101:12,13	47:22	75:21	95:16	44:8 62:22,22
105:14,15,21	importance	increasingly	interests 35:8	63:3,5,5 101:8
105:22 106:7	111:20	33:4	42:11,12 49:6	Jefferson 25:1
107:5,5,8,9	important 18:2	increment 97:16	interior 77:17	Joan 52:21
108:2,5,9	18:4 22:21	98:14	interrupt 69:19	54:19,19,21,21
109:5 110:19	39:4,13 45:6	indefinitely	120:19	job 81:5 106:21
111:21,22	51:12 105:13	35:10	interviewed	jobs 34:12
ĺ				

Joe 43:19	25:12,16 26:4	118:4,19 120:4	lean 119:20	line 15:3 87:8
joined 6:17,17	26:8,9,10,12	121:4,6,11	learned 34:12	107:4 131:2
joining 6:21	27:1,3,6,9,13	122:4,22,22	leave 92:11	link 69:19
Joseph 1:11	27:18 28:1,5	123:16 126:12	leaving 15:22	Linnaean 39:10
6:15 119:21	28:20 29:4,5	130:2	led 34:17 35:2	list 14:20,21
120:13,21	30:3,15,18	Knowing 105:22	ledgers 36:14	20:12 21:9
· ·	38:21 45:6,7,9	_	left 46:2 63:19	31:7 62:19
121:1,14,17,20 122:11	45:11,12,14	knowledge 105:6	77:3	66:7 67:6 84:5
JP 77:10	50:1 52:3 54:5		legal 32:20	84:18 117:4,5
July 43:14	55:20 61:13	knowledgeable 65:11 110:13	120:16 124:3	117:22
jump 124:1	65:13,16 67:8	known 105:2	legalize 25:19	listen 7:22 43:8
June 9:12	67:11,15,15	knows 20:19	legislate 48:2	43:18 127:2
132:18	68:1,15,20	43:2 98:4	legislation 21:17	listening 90:18
Just-A-Start	69:2 71:9,17	43.2 90.4	Lego 79:13	litigation 101:1
72:8	71:19 73:13	-L	lengthy 55:7	101:11
Just-A-Start's	74:2,9,11 77:7	labs 32:3	123:2	little 26:20,22
38:15	78:11 79:6,12	lack 82:3 109:22	Lesley 24:11	33:4 77:21
justice 44:11	79:14,15 81:3	laminated 25:20	lessen 109:2	80:11 83:8
Justin 50:22,22	81:4,9 82:7,13	48:18	let's 26:13 30:13	90:5 91:15
51:2,2	82:18,18 83:1	land 20:21 21:2	31:5,17 49:13	92:8 94:15
31.2,2	83:8,15,19,20	21:7 22:13	59:17 60:9	95:14 100:10
K	85:1 86:1,3,13	64:3 74:11,13	61:3 93:18	108:20 109:1,8
keep 16:17	86:14,16 87:8	74:14,15 75:1	122:20 123:7	live 3:22 18:5,7
46:13 56:5	87:10,14,15,18	75:3 80:4	letter 83:15	18:11 20:13
keeping 43:3	87:20,22 88:2	90:22 94:3,8	letters 41:19	27:15 34:3
kept 3:16	88:4,4,10,12	108:17,18	117:21	36:1 37:10
key 73:11 75:2,8	88:16,17,19	109:4	level 32:13 97:8	41:12 42:15
118:11	89:1,7,8 90:3	landlords 36:20	113:3	43:1 44:3 45:3
keys 63:18	90:13,17,19,22	36:21 37:2	levels 86:9 107:9	45:9 46:20,21
kick 13:5 19:4	90:13,17,19,22	landscape 91:5	109:6	47:9 51:18
68:10	92:2,17,21	95:19	life 60:20	53:2 54:22
kind 13:3,4 19:9	93:10,17,22	language 21:20	life-changing	60:13,21 63:11
24:4 26:14,18	95:1,16,20	106:21 107:2	51:15	63:14,17,20
32:5 34:21	96:13,16,18,20	116:21	lifelong 61:5	64:15,17 82:10
62:2 64:13	97:5 98:5 99:8	Larchwood	light 109:3	83:10,16 84:6
65:20 69:10	99:10 100:6,12	59:21	113:21	85:18 87:14,17
77:1 79:1 96:1	101:2 103:1,11	large 77:8	likelihood	87:18 88:7
97:5 102:2	103:13,14	106:13	117:15	111:7
109:21 111:19	103:13,14	largely 34:21	limbo 15:9	lived 60:5
112:5 115:17	105:17 106:1,3	42:11	limit 31:5,9	lives 43:10 44:13
knew 100:22	107:4,5,6,8	larger 22:5,9	58:20 77:19	58:8
101:2	107:4,5,0,8	88:5 95:6	limitation 76:8	living 37:19
know 8:21 13:7	109:14,22	104:5 106:4,8	76:14,22	54:10 83:17
14:19,20 15:2	110:20,22	lastly 17:19	limitations 76:9	84:12,13
15:12,19,21	110.20,22	110:2	80:6	loading 82:18
16:10 17:6,13	113:8,9,14,20	Law 12:3	limited 94:6,20	local 27:14 36:4
17:14,20,21	114:14,22	laws 57:22	102:4	51:5 52:6
18:18 19:10	115:3,6,10,11	lawyer 110:4	limiting 24:5	locales 116:20
23:9,20,20	116:10,21	LBJ 45:3	78:22 79:2	Locate 40:6,7
24:5,19 25:1	117:19,20	leaders 51:12	limits 64:21	located 127:15
Ĭ				

				1 agc 1 1 5
locations 22:9	103:14 105:18	85:10 96:21	80:14 81:19	maxing 81:1
106:18	106:14 107:22	majority 23:16	82:21 83:6	MBTA 86:8
long 21:19 28:8	108:1,16,17	32:18 41:20	85:19 89:3,18	112:7,11,12,15
50:1 81:6	109:10,22	57:17	90:11 93:1,7	McGovern
91:22 109:14	114:18 119:1	makeup 105:10	95:9 97:11	12:15,21 19:9
122:21	128:12	making 13:15	99:2 100:16	20:6,9 27:7
long-term 73:5	lots 11:21,22	31:14 65:17	101:16,18,21	28:16 32:6
long-winded	21:1 26:2	68:14 88:6	101:10,18,21	53:6 68:5,7,10
109:7	70:10 77:18	116:17 117:7	102:8,11	69:18 72:3
longer 14:22	84:8,9 88:14	managed 26:21	105:11 107:15	76:3 80:15,16
17:15	88:15	Manager 1:10	107:16 110:8	82:22 83:3,7
longtime 43:2	Lou 4:7	6:20	111:14 112:21	90:12 93:13
look 7:16 18:12	loudest 47:3	managers 36:13	116:13 117:8	101:17,18,20
30:3 49:13		36:16		
	love 7:15 18:8		118:3 119:9	102:7,10,13
65:22 73:9	83:18 87:21	Manning 83:12	120:22 121:3,8	125:21 126:1,3
80:21 84:12	109:20 111:2	map 68:20	121:10,16	126:7,10 127:4
91:5 96:22	lovely 108:22	108:14,16	122:1,9,11,16	McGuirk 43:19
98:22 99:22	130:6	115:18	122:20 123:12	mean 24:8,9
114:12 120:20	low 52:13	maps 23:19	123:21 124:4,9	45:12 62:9
128:13	112:12	marathon	124:12,14,17	64:22 81:18
looked 15:8	low-income 36:3	123:17	125:11,12,16	85:8 90:7 99:3
48:20 68:14	low-rise 94:4	Marc 12:15	125:22 126:2,6	100:17 104:15
99:13 113:15	lower 93:20	Maria 15:3	126:9,11,17,21	113:8 115:11
looking 29:4	94:11 113:19	Marie 56:22	127:1,6,10,12	122:11 126:7
74:18 80:18	113:19	61:3,3,4,4	128:8,19,21	means 7:21 21:5
98:8,18 99:20	lower- 43:20	Marilee 45:20	129:10,11,20	95:17
99:22 108:15	44:5	47:14,14,17,17	130:9	meant 13:11
108:16	lowering 27:4	market 21:6,13	Mason 1:12 6:15	measures 37:15
looks 30:21	luxury 92:13	22:18 53:13	mass 8:12 23:21	mechanisms
31:19 56:13	M	61:10 73:3	32:11,19 40:16	26:17
77:13 108:16		74:12 92:11	47:4 48:18	meet 11:11 41:2
loophole 110:6	Macias 1:8 3:5	market-rate	49:2 80:21	52:13
loosen 45:15	5:3,5 6:4 7:7	96:6,20 98:5,7	86:19 96:14,21	meeting 1:5 2:7
lose 18:10 21:1	7:19,20 9:8	market-rent	113:16	3:7,21 4:1,8,12
92:12	10:5,6,18	13:12	Massachusetts	4:15,19 5:1,4,7
losing 36:5	81:21 110:10	Mary 1:7 3:4,6,8	1:6 3:10 60:1	5:9,11,14 6:8
lot 7:21 8:21	125:2,3 127:11	5:13,15,20 6:3	132:2,5	6:14 7:2 8:10
25:1,12,16	129:6,7	6:5 9:2,7,9,18	massing 40:1,4	8:11,15 9:10
26:1,19 27:19	mad 66:1	9:20 10:9,10	104:6	9:15 13:18
27:19 49:18	Madam 67:3	10:14,17,19	massive 32:5	21:16 32:12
61:1 70:6,10	78:15 79:20	12:14 19:11,14	match 23:20	33:14 41:14
70:11,16,22	83:4 85:21	20:5 29:11	70:5	43:14 66:4
71:4 74:14	90:13 101:17	30:17 31:1	materials 31:10	69:12,13 81:13
76:17,18 77:8	102:13 125:21	58:19 59:3,5,9	48:1 66:15	109:14 116:5
77:11,17,20	main 22:11	59:15,17 66:9	matter 32:8	120:1,5,16,19
78:7,8,10	53:15 101:14	67:4 68:4,8,22	57:18	123:15 126:19
84:10 85:9	maintain 97:8	69:9,21 71:7	maximize 40:4	127:2,2 130:5
86:18 88:4	maintaining	71:15 73:6	81:8	130:11 131:16
90:3,14 93:22	16:13	75:12,15 76:1	maximum 10:22	meetings 3:12
96:17 102:15	major 57:10,13	78:13 79:18	73:16 79:7	3:20 7:22 9:12

13:17 16:9	59:10,16,18	12:18 30:12	motels 37:19	54:7
25:18 38:11,15	61:2 62:18	31:5,9	motion 9:15,22	naturally 70:15
46:5 55:22	63:4 65:1 66:6	misconception	48:2 123:7	nature 110:17
83:9,10	69:6,10,22	105:19	124:5,8,13,15	111:4
member 4:4	76:2 89:4	misconceptions	124:20 128:16	navigate 69:11
29:16 38:7	103:20 119:19	105:18	129:1	NBCs 48:22
66:17				
	123:9,13 124:2	misimpression 46:1	move 8:20 27:11 31:6 34:12	near 15:3 54:11
members 3:4,13	124:19,22	_		58:13
3:13,16 4:5	125:2,4,6,11	misinformation	44:13 66:12,19	nearby 45:7
5:17,18 6:3 7:8	125:14 126:15	53:10 102:15	71:3 104:2,12	nearing 59:1
9:2,7 10:12,17	126:18,22	mispronounci	104:14 127:7	nearly 32:10
13:1 18:12	127:19 129:1,4	49:9	moved 9:17 17:8	36:14 52:11
27:8 33:13	129:6,8,10,13	missed 22:10	110:3 113:12	necessarily 79:7
36:5,6 51:3	129:18 130:14	124:9	128:18	necessary
65:8 66:4,22	met 29:3 80:22	mistake 28:17	moves 86:10	126:12
69:3 89:19,22	method 58:15	misunderstan	moving 16:3	need 14:5,19,22
111:18 113:1	metro 88:21	103:4	100:7 123:22	17:14 18:16
118:6,10,16,17	Meyer 45:20	MIT 62:5,5 64:1	Muchnik 47:14	20:10 22:19
119:6 121:13	47:14,17,17	64:2,2,7	49:12,12	29:7 32:22
122:21 123:5	Michele 132:4	mix 108:9	Mullin 121:15	37:17 43:7,8
125:14 126:14	middle-class	mixed-use 28:4	Multifamily	44:13,17 45:13
126:22 127:10	107:5	70:17	35:1	48:4 51:10
129:13,17	middle-income	Mm-hm 96:7	multiplying	52:10,17 57:10
memo 106:22	43:21 44:6	116:13 121:22	76:19 77:3	73:10 74:9,11
memorandum	Middlesex 132:3	130:9	multiyear 101:1	85:2 88:17
12:4	mid-rise 41:3	modeled 29:8	municipalities	91:18,19
Memorial 83:22	mile 75:1	modification	108:2,4	101:15 103:12
memos 108:15	miles 60:2	116:21	mute 3:16	104:9 105:14
mental 111:11	Miller- 65:4	modifications	muted 125:8	105:14 107:4,5
mentally 53:21	Miller-Havens	43:13	myriad 58:8	107:8,9 108:8
mention 21:16	65:2,4	modifies 11:7,20	N	108:9 110:3
mentioned 20:9	Millers 25:13	modifying 11:16		111:22 113:12
67:15 72:4	62:1 83:12,22	mold 77:16	N 2:1 3:1	115:12 120:6
91:9 117:21	million 32:10	mom 88:2	name 3:7 6:10	126:4
merely 40:20	54:13 58:13	moment 17:12	7:12,19 9:13	needed 38:20
message 117:11	63:16 74:1	18:17 19:21	30:10 31:21	53:9 97:22
Messplay 1:11	millions 21:10	56:17 59:1	33:20 35:20	98:17 122:11
4:7,14,18,22		money 32:11,18	37:9,10 39:7	needs 48:5 88:3
5:3,6,10,17 6:9	42:5 94:1	49:6 62:5	41:9,11 42:20	99:10 116:21
6:11 8:2 9:22	mind 7:9 54:9	63:19	42:22 44:22	117:12
10:3,5,7,9,12	54:10 96:1	Monica 54:19	45:21 47:15	negate 40:12
11:5 30:14,18	minimal 40:12	56:10,10,13,16	49:10,11 51:1	negative 26:20
31:18 33:18	minimum 11:1	56:20	52:22 53:1	42:9 88:14
35:18 37:7	40:11 77:2	month 109:13	54:20 56:11	90:9 93:11
39:5,9 41:7	78:9 98:6,8	months 44:4	57:3,6 59:12	117:11
42:18 44:20	99:4	88:14	59:20 63:1	neighbor 17:7
45:19 47:13	minimums	Moogoor 1:12	65:3 131:21	38:10
49:8 50:21	95:21	6:16	names 3:14 7:5	neighborhood
52:20 54:18	minute 30:9	moral 38:21	narrow 35:8	21:19 23:1
56:9 57:5	I • 4 0 11 1 C		I mational IIII	
0013 0710	minutes 9:11,16	45:17	national 33:7	33:9 38:7 39:2

				rage 147
40.10.20.42.1	nino 15.12 17	O 3:1	one-size-fits-	95:18 128:3
40:10,20 42:1 45:5 46:9	nine 15:13,17 24:2 65:8	oath 108:21	55:19	ordinance 10:22
48:13,21 51:21	ninth 84:21	object 62:6	one-size-fits-all	12:10,10 13:9
94:16 113:19	noise 49:16	objection 62:4	53:15	14:6 21:20
	non 101:5	U U		41:22 43:14
neighborhood's 39:22		objectives 115:3	one-story 97:1	
= -	non-affordable	obviously 62:9	ones 35:8 63:10	72:3 108:22
neighborhoods	106:6	87:5 103:11	91:6 117:22	109:1
24:18,20 26:6	non-profit 75:4	119:12 123:16	118:1	orient 40:6
38:6 39:16	nonactive 28:7	126:8	online 3:22 72:6	original 14:6
52:2 55:10,19	nonbinding	occasionally	126:13,13,19	23:14 39:18
56:6 64:19	40:15 48:22	94:18 95:1	open 11:21	47:20 48:13,21
91:12 94:11	nonresidential	October 13:9	24:22 25:3,6,7	55:6 56:5
95:3,5 96:3	28:7	offer 39:17	25:22 26:3	57:14 93:18
106:10	Norfolk 38:13	44:17 45:2	40:7,13 48:8	96:1 97:16
neighbors 27:15	43:1 53:19	73:1 84:20	55:11 69:11	99:1 102:17
27:19 32:14	80:1	88:5	70:8,9,12,18	originally 21:17
80:2 115:7	normally 117:19	offered 98:11	70:19 71:5	64:12 67:22
neighbors'	North 101:9	offers 71:9	74:12 75:21	Otis 61:5
38:14	northern 23:20	100:7	76:17 77:2	ought 63:21
neither 132:8	96:14	Office 107:2	78:7,9 79:4,9	107:1
nervous 26:5	Notary 132:4,16	116:22	79:14 80:4,12	outcome 55:16
Network 87:6	note 12:9,16	offset 106:17	92:6 93:15	132:10
never 32:5 37:14	22:21 39:13	oftentimes	110:5,17 111:4	outcomes 90:9
42:14 47:8	89:7,17	78:19 100:5	opinion 18:4	97:22
94:16 101:6	noted 35:6 73:12	oh 19:13 61:14	65:22 78:18	outdoor 111:8
105:2 114:16	100:3,13 102:1	64:20 93:2	opportunities	outlined 55:5
new 7:4,7 11:6	131:17	102:22 121:16	15:22 22:10	outside 71:17,21
13:1 16:21	notes 118:1	122:9 123:8	27:21 91:14	111:5,9
20:21 28:21	nother 41:4	124:12	opportunity	outskirts 85:12
31:15 32:2	notice 120:16	okay 5:20 9:2,3	16:2 37:14	outspoken 85:7
33:16 34:2	noticed 7:4	9:14,18 10:14	38:2 39:11	overall 14:3
35:5 38:15	notices 36:16	12:14 19:13,20	62:20 66:15	78:3 114:2
39:22 40:6	124:3	19:21 20:1	77:15 81:14	overcrowding
49:3 52:14	November	23:7 31:1	92:16 118:15	109:4
64:20 66:21	21:17	58:21 59:8	oppose 31:12	overhead 22:6
72:2,6,19 74:4	nuances 48:10	63:5 65:15	32:1	overlay 2:11 8:6
82:2 83:3	number 34:2,14	66:9 68:4,22	opposed 90:18	8:17 11:2,8,9
86:11 88:21	60:19 64:7	71:7 89:18	opposing 50:4,5	13:8 37:12
102:2 104:10	72:9 85:5	104:13,22	50:7	51:4 52:4,19
106:12 113:17	91:18 100:3,14	116:10 117:8,9	opposite 86:5	57:8,12,21
114:8 118:16	112:9 113:6,6	118:3 119:9	opposition 16:6	69:16 72:1
newcomers	114:16 119:4	121:3,3,3,18	17:3 39:12	95:15 96:1
34:11	numbers 27:17	122:2,9,16,20	41:19 49:17,18	97:16 98:2
newly 34:9 83:1	46:17 85:17	124:4,14,17	51:20 118:1	Overlay's 57:14
nibbling 20:11	113:11 114:6	126:10,21	optimize 95:8	Overlays 24:10
Nick 49:9	115:13	127:7 128:8,11	optimizes 68:21	overlooking
night 8:14 14:2	numerous 38:11	129:15 130:9	options 15:5	50:4
130:2	nurses 18:14	once 21:20	22:4 84:10	oversight 32:10
nightmare		66:18 70:19,21	115:19	41:18 55:15
48:19 50:15	0	one-size 48:10	order 10:22 27:4	61:20

	I		I	I
overview 21:15	105:7 108:19	44:12 45:9,12	perpetuity	46:21 48:3
overwhelmingly	108:19 113:7	45:14 46:19	53:13	86:4,15 87:11
112:11	118:12 121:20	47:3,8,9 48:19	persecution	plan 14:2 17:14
owes 36:17	participation	50:7 54:1,5,12	44:18	30:20 32:2
owned 7:20	3:11	54:12,14 58:7	person 44:10	42:4,6,7 52:9
owner 65:6	particular 25:22	59:2 60:9,11	109:8	61:15,18 62:13
owners 18:14	36:15,15 46:11	60:21 61:13	personal 43:16	104:3 130:7
35:12 55:11,13	80:9 87:1,12	62:10 63:8,18	116:15	plane 77:14
56:7	109:12 113:11	63:20 64:15,16	personally	Planner 6:19
ownership 36:5	116:12	79:6,12 82:10	46:11	planning 1:1 2:7
86:18 94:19	particularly	83:10,15 84:5	persons 109:6	3:7,12,19 6:22
owning 58:14	58:3 88:3	84:10,12,13	perspective 44:8	7:4,5,7,13,16
	parties 132:9	85:6,7,18 86:7	90:20 95:13	8:9,10,19 12:1
P	partly 65:18	86:18 87:14,16	101:14	13:15,17 21:19
P 3:1	partners 15:14	87:19,20,20	perspectives	21:21 29:14
p.m 1:4 3:3 6:2	16:3 75:4	88:15,18,22	50:5 90:1	31:4,14 32:12
9:6 10:16	112:15	90:14,17,18	110:13,14	32:14 33:13
29:20,22 60:14	parts 88:11	101:8 102:1,16	pertaining 11:7	39:18 40:16
127:9 130:15	93:21	102:22 103:5	11:21	42:1,8 43:5
pace 52:12	pass 7:18 57:18	106:16,17	petition 2:11 8:6	51:3 52:8 55:4
packed 120:20	76:4 120:6	112:10 114:18	8:18 10:20	55:14 58:3,10
page 2:3 108:10	passage 37:13	115:9 118:19	11:6,6,13,17	61:8 62:8 65:8
117:18 131:2	38:17 43:10	people's 87:13	11:20 12:2,6	89:19 99:3
pages 61:9	passed 8:15 13:8	percent 11:10	12:11 24:21	101:6 102:4,20
paid 42:5	14:9 28:21	13:11 14:15	25:19 31:12	104:14 119:20
pain 27:19	103:13	36:14 46:10	50:6 70:8 76:7	120:1,3 127:14
panic/other	patience 19:5	48:8,16 53:12	103:13 104:2,5	131:15
109:3	125:19	60:7 70:20	104:11 109:12	plans 33:12
parcel 46:15	patient 97:11	78:8 101:13	109:13 116:1,2	128:5
74:15 76:20	patio 111:8	percentage 17:2	petitioners 77:7	plate 70:22
parcels 15:8	patterns 86:2	86:17	90:4 111:19	plausible/pote
51:7 74:18	Pause 4:10,16	perception	petitions 8:18	22:4
79:5	4:20 5:12 20:3	112:12	PFAS 48:20	play 15:12 17:14
parents 37:22	56:12,15,19	perfect 85:8,15	phone 29:18	playing 50:18
park 25:1 111:9	107:14 116:8	85:16 127:3	picked 22:22	please 6:8 9:13
parking 18:1	128:10	perfectly 115:14	Pickett 52:21	9:21 12:19
61:22 63:13	pay 92:2	115:14	54:19,21,22	20:8,17 21:14
95:21 96:2,5	PB-396 2:14	period 123:1	piece 74:20 75:8	23:4 30:6,20
97:14,19,22	127:14	periods 34:14	90:8 93:15	30:20 31:20
98:6,8,16,20	Pemberton	permanently	97:18,20 98:1	33:19 35:19
99:4 114:22	33:21	53:13	100:2	37:6,8 39:6,9
115:3	pending 100:7	permit 8:7,16	pieces 114:21	40:22 41:9
Parkway 24:16	people 16:6	89:12 100:20	Pine 37:11	42:20 44:21
part 17:20 24:9	17:11 18:5,15	101:10 108:7	pitch 123:11,20	45:20 47:15
32:3 51:21	18:15 19:1	114:13 121:2	place 32:1 34:13	49:10 50:22
55:7 62:13	20:12 21:6,9	121:12 122:13	64:15 86:15	52:18,21 54:3
69:12,14 73:4	25:2 26:5 27:9	127:14,20	98:9 111:5	54:19 56:10
73:13 81:5	27:13 30:2	permitted 28:8	places 25:2	57:2,5 58:19
83:8 85:13	31:6,7,13	permitting	28:22 29:7,9	59:11,19 62:16
93:18 99:8	32:21 43:7	13:15	34:3 44:14	62:21 63:1,4
	l	l	l	I

				Tage 145
65:2 83:16	12:11 14:4	5:2,3,5,6,8,11	92:1 106:1	prohibitive
93:9 95:11	116:15 117:7	5:13,15,18 6:8	privileged 64:19	91:11
124:18 128:22	117:14	7:1 12:7,16	Prize 54:6	prohibits 34:21
pleasure 83:20	positively 90:14	52:1 103:22	pro- 65:12	project 13:20
_	, <u>.</u>		_	1 0
plugged 71:2	possibility 31:2	presentation	pro-builder	21:4,5 25:2,13
plus 72:5 98:15	possible 22:18	12:18 13:6	57:17	26:11,15,16
point 13:19 14:4	27:21 42:14	19:8,16,21	Probable 37:3	28:6 32:15
17:16 26:11	73:16 99:11	41:2 46:2 72:4	probably 48:3	33:8,15 38:12
28:13,17 29:1	123:10,19	126:4,11,18	63:18 69:4	38:16 40:19
41:22 43:19	posted 69:15,16	presentations	104:6,9 107:6	76:8 80:21
50:6,10 59:2	potential 8:21	29:12 62:1	110:6 119:22	113:11,15,16
59:22 76:13	57:14 96:14	84:4	122:22 123:5	113:17
87:13 90:12	potential	presented 62:2	problem 32:4	projects 11:11
92:5,7 96:22	68:16	presenters	33:7 48:5 55:9	14:10 15:1,12
97:14 100:7,19	potentially	12:14	86:8 99:8	22:7,14 25:15
102:14 103:17	41:15 57:18	presenting	105:16 114:1	25:19 26:1,8,9
107:8 108:3	power 36:20	122:10	problems 32:9	26:19 27:22
112:19 116:7	practical 93:20	preservation	32:13 60:15	28:4 39:1,21
117:6 118:18	94:16	72:16 73:14	proceed 66:19	53:7 64:18
120:21	practicality	80:4,5	122:4	81:15 94:6,8
pointed 32:12	47:22	preserve 25:7	proceedings 4:3	94:13,20,21
64:8 105:18	praise 40:18	26:3 72:11	130:15 131:18	103:12 108:6
106:11 109:15	pre-61:11	79:3,9	132:7	promise 84:22
112:3	pre-COVID	preserved 72:13	process 11:10	properties 24:13
pointing 106:22	86:9	78:7 79:15	13:10,15 14:13	38:19
points 54:16	preamble	preserving 25:3	23:8 25:9 51:6	property 15:11
55:2	108:21	25:6	55:7 56:6	35:12 55:11,13
policies 63:21	preapproval	press 47:18	88:13,15	56:7 58:14,17
74:9 75:1	73:2	pressing 29:19	112:18 128:1	91:20 92:3,9
policy 49:7	precipitate	Preston 5:10	produce 21:5	93:21 113:19
politicians 35:11	50:17	presumption	38:20 52:9	proponent
politicized 33:14	preexisting	37:5	81:15 99:11	66:20 128:13
politicizing	55:12	pretty 16:7	produced 72:4	proponents 31:4
42:10	prefer 19:19	49:14 110:15	producer 72:2	64:1 82:22
politics 49:6	22:5 80:3	111:12	producing 21:8	proportional
50:14	preference	prevailed 37:3	29:8	45:11
pollution 60:15	27:14 52:6	prevent 109:4	production	proportionate
Pond 60:14	117:2	prevented 53:18	20:20 34:18	53:17
72:17	preferentially	previous 60:16	professional	proposal 93:12
poor 61:10	22:12	price 26:12 54:7	42:1	104:18 106:21
64:16	preferred 25:2	58:13	profiles 51:16	proposals 23:13
popular 96:18	115:4	priced 36:7	profit 16:22	89:12
populated 58:4	preliminary	primarily 43:8	17:1 106:2	propose 116:6
population 60:4	103:22	primary 112:4	profits 17:4 81:8	proposed 23:8
112:17	prep 129:21	primetime 50:3	program 16:19	64:21 67:21,22
populous 60:1	prepared 12:4	prior 25:19	72:11,21 73:1	70:1,2,3
portal 4:1 69:12	prescriptive	prioritize 100:9	programs 67:13	105:21 113:6
Porter 24:10	11:12 35:7	prioritized 32:3	67:17 69:2	115:16
87:8	present 4:8,11	privacy 62:11	71:8,17,21	proposes 107:12
positive 8:19	4:13,14,18,22	private 21:1	75:10	proposing 63:15
	l		l	l

	I	I	I	Ī
protect 35:8	put 21:12 30:6	81:6 83:12	really 7:15 16:8	recognized
61:17	44:13 49:13	quo 16:14 44:5	22:17 24:8,12	30:10
protected 48:8	56:20 69:19	quorum 5:19	26:13 27:1,4,8	recommend
protection 37:3	75:9 81:6,7	Quorums 61:9	27:10,20 32:15	37:13 116:1,2
75:21 80:12	82:17 100:12	quote 39:20	32:16 34:5	117:3,3
protections	100:18 101:4	68:16	44:15,16 45:7	recommendat
61:19	102:17 122:3	quotes 39:17	47:18 53:9	8:19 12:2,11
proud 29:9	126:13	quoting 35:7	55:6 61:15,16	35:15 42:9
provide 11:3	putting 46:16		64:20 67:15	55:4 61:6
13:11 22:3	74:3 83:4	R	68:8 70:21	62:16 104:12
30:20 37:2	106:17 107:17	R 3:1 131:1,1	71:1 72:7 73:9	115:20 116:4
42:8 51:4		Rabbi 33:19	73:10 74:10,21	116:15,16,17
55:18 109:3,22	Q	35:19,19,21,21	75:2,6 77:8,15	117:4,7,10
116:3 127:16	Quadrangle	radical 43:12	81:6 82:4,11	120:4,8
provided 98:21	8:17	rail 87:9	84:1 86:22	recommendat
127:21	quality 60:20	raise 29:17,18	87:10,11,21	117:14
provides 12:5	quarter 108:18	30:21 62:21	88:6,10,17,18	reconsideration
107:12	quarters 34:4	66:22 97:13	88:19,19,22	49:22
providing 40:18	question 20:18	raised 30:19,22	90:6 91:22	record 30:1 34:2
provision 70:13	28:3 67:1,7,10	78:13	92:15 93:10	81:16 125:8
71:2 73:18	67:12 68:9	rambling 66:2	95:6 97:4,20	131:18 132:6
76:7 78:6	69:2 71:8,13	rate 21:13 34:17	98:2,12 105:4	recorded 3:21
79:12,16 80:8	81:20 82:8	rates 34:17	110:12 111:10	125:9
provisions 55:6	83:8 85:13,22	ratifying 49:17	111:17,19,19	recording
74:7	93:6,7 95:10	ratio 77:1	113:10,13	121:17,19
public 2:10 3:14	99:12,17	rationale 76:6	114:6,21	126:19
3:16,17,18 8:5	104:16 121:9	77:6 79:22	117:10,12	Red 87:8
10:20 13:18	126:1	rationales	118:12,20	Redesign 87:6
14:2 29:14,15	questions 9:3,13	101:14	119:6 120:20	redeveloped
29:16 31:5	9:14 12:7	Raymond 54:19	128:12 129:22	77:9,13
40:8 54:8 55:7	28:12,14 29:14	56:10	rear 11:18	redeveloping
57:19 62:20	31:3 66:18,20	reached 25:21	rearrange 79:14	58:9
66:11,12,15	68:5 75:13,14	reaching 20:14	rearranging	redevelopment
70:11 71:5	75:15,16 81:22	read 40:17	79:9	58:22
72:2 81:10	82:13 89:19	51:17 68:2	reason 95:22	redlining 69:5
82:8,14,19	104:15 106:20	102:6 108:22	99:14,16 122:4	reduces 11:18
87:15,16,17	123:4 126:8	127:1 131:15	131:2	reducing 21:7
88:5 89:11	127:3 128:8	reading 8:17	reasonable	reflect 104:10
106:14 121:1	quick 21:15 23:7	120:7	43:12 68:20	reflects 117:1
121:21 123:1	68:1 125:7	ready 49:14	98:19	refugees 34:3
132:4,16	126:1	50:3	reasonably 36:6	refused 42:3,7
pull 99:21	quickly 6:13 7:9	real 42:11 45:17	reasons 15:15	regard 89:16
pulled 64:8	21:12 89:4,7	61:16 79:11	100:11,18	regarding
purchase 91:20	123:4	89:4 97:3	rebuilt 52:15	102:19
Purchasing	quiet 19:9	125:7	recall 8:18 101:8	regardless 74:6
58:16	Quinton 12:15	reality 57:12	received 8:18	regards 128:9
purpose 109:1	19:6	58:7 76:21	9:11 13:21	regime 34:21
purposes 74:2	quit 36:16	realize 62:12	14:3 16:5	region 35:4
Pursuant 3:9	quite 23:20	102:3 103:21	21:20 29:20,22	Regis 59:11,18
push 100:9	49:18 67:20	123:13	66:14 114:17	59:19,21,21
	<u> </u>	l	l	

registered 50:13	rents 43:11	residents 33:2	RFP 74:17	128:22 129:1
regularly 8:4	53:13 64:5	35:3 39:15	Richdale 49:13	roughly 23:19
regulations 37:1	repairs 32:19	42:12 43:21	ridership 86:6,7	ruin 106:9,10
49:4	repeat 55:1	44:6 47:4	86:9	rules 27:20
Rehab 72:8	60:16 93:6	51:11 57:19	ridiculous 62:3	run 22:22
reinforce 15:20	115:12	61:20 71:4	right 15:2 16:6	Russell 4:18
reinstate 107:7	repeated 71:13	resolved 101:11	18:2 23:17	47:5
reiterate 27:6	reply 125:1	respected 48:21	24:10 26:10	47.3
	report 14:8 15:7	respond 34:15	28:8 31:1	S
reject 40:22	32:17 35:5	38:9 39:2		S 3:1 131:1
rejected 99:14		95:10	35:12 47:5,6	Saccoccio 57:1
relate 39:22	67:7 69:8,14		56:21 65:14	61:3,4,5
40:2,7,21	99:12 100:3,12	response 12:6	68:4 74:17	safe 37:17
related 109:11	119:18	98:20	80:19 81:14	safety 109:2
127:22 132:8	representation	responsibility	85:2,9,10 86:6	safety 109.2 saga 50:10
relates 70:9	57:16	45:17	86:8,11 87:2	Saif 50:22 51:2
relating 40:19	representatives	responsive	88:1 91:6,12	
67:9	29:3	128:3	91:18 93:1,9	51:2
relation 40:7	request 2:15 8:7	restaurants	93:14,19 94:9	Sancta 15:3
relationship	127:13	18:15	95:14,18	save 30:5
104:7	requested 128:6	restricted 64:13	100:21 111:9	saw 38:14
relatively 17:1	128:17	64:14 78:20	112:12 113:6	saying 30:10
122:14 123:3	require 13:16	restricting	115:4 116:9	55:3 61:13
relief 55:18 56:2	58:10	26:17	120:11 121:3	81:16 83:16
relisten 121:19	required 26:12	restriction	122:1,9,10	90:18 93:9
relitigate 102:16	76:18 77:3	76:16,17 77:1	123:21 124:4,4	109:7 118:4
remain 18:8	78:10	78:4	124:5 125:8	says 25:5 29:17
remainder	requirement	restrictions	127:1 130:9,10	49:21 76:7,21
76:19	24:22 70:10,20	53:18 61:19,22	130:10	92:2 107:3
remaining 15:13	98:9 99:4	61:22 62:14	rights 36:22	109:1
15:17	110:5,5	72:14,14 78:17	55:12 57:21	scale 40:1,3,10
remember	requirements	restrictive 34:20	rigid 35:9	77:18 104:11
76:11 99:3	11:7,18,20,22	64:13	Rindge 83:16,22	scary 26:5
reminded	24:4 25:22	resume 8:11	87:20	schedule 119:11
109:12	39:15 40:12	retaking 108:20	rises 62:8	119:11,20
reminder 8:9	89:9 96:2,6	retaliation 37:4	risk 26:20 44:13	scheduled 8:4
remote 1:5 3:11	97:19 110:6	return 56:17	72:15	8:10
3:19	requires 20:21	review 8:13 14:1	risky 17:13	scheduling
remove 33:10	53:12 58:3	14:3 21:21	River 25:13 62:2	118:9 119:10
42:1	requiring 63:6	23:12 32:9	83:12,22	119:16
removed 24:3	64:2	40:16 47:21	road 15:2 33:10	science 68:19
95:21 96:2	reread 108:21	53:7 69:15	41:12 50:11	second 8:16 9:19
rent 16:16 27:10	resculpt 26:18	102:2,8 110:1	86:3	30:13 70:7
36:17 107:7	resent 63:19,19	116:22 121:2	Robert 39:6	74:9 82:16
rental 43:4	reshaping 77:22	128:5	41:8,8,11,11	83:7 109:18
94:17 95:6	resident 40:15	reviewed 82:13	rodents 50:16	119:14 120:7
rentals 36:7	52:7 61:5 65:5	reviews 33:2	role 52:8	124:15,16
rented 7:20	103:21	48:22 89:11	roles 55:15	128:19,20
rented 7.20 renter 7:13 43:2	residential 40:8	revised 103:12	roll 3:15 7:5	Seconds 124:17
65:6	70:15 76:9	revisions 38:13	9:21,22 123:10	section 70:9
Renters 54:2	127:15	78:12 103:18	124:18,19	sections 104:6
IXCHICLS JT.2	14/.13	70.12 103.10	127.10,17	
	•	•	•	•

	_	_	_	
secure 37:17	services 38:8	sights 70:14	60:3 70:16	123:9 124:9
54:3 109:2	53:20 88:1,6	signage 8:13	81:15	sort 14:7 65:22
securing 58:15	111:1	signatures 50:7	skinnier 80:10	68:20 70:7,21
see 8:20 9:3	serving 7:13	signed 122:21	skis 76:6 77:6	75:9 76:5,16
19:21 30:13	35:14	significantly	sky 40:5	76:22 77:5,11
50:11 54:1	session 119:14	27:5	skyline 43:22	77:13,21 78:3
61:13 62:1	set 36:11 132:12	silent 103:2	slabs 50:7	80:17 81:21
66:21 69:14	setback 41:16	similar 36:20	slide 20:7,17	82:2,5,9,14,17
82:12 84:3	setbacks 11:1,18	52:1 96:17	21:14 23:4	91:11 93:10
93:2 95:2	11:18 17:22	97:8 106:6	28:17 68:1	104:10 110:16
97:21 104:18	24:6 40:7,11	similarly 75:19	84:2	111:4,8 114:10
106:2 109:21	49:2 55:11	82:7 101:2	slides 23:6	118:9 119:10
114:1,10,10	61:21 82:3	simple 28:3	slightly 25:3	sounded 46:6
115:15 126:14	113:20	simple 28.3 simply 58:7	80:3,10,10	sounds 90:2,4
130:5,10	setting 13:3	single 21:16	slums 61:11	source 73:17
seeing 9:14 15:5	41:16	26:11 47:8	small 11:22 17:2	space 11:21
50:18 110:1	seven 15:9 23:15	89:1	18:14 24:11	24:22 25:4,7,7
seek 107:7	23:17,21 24:2	single- 93:22	34:22 37:20	25:22 26:3
seeking 22:12	27:3 81:1 96:4	single-family	48:14 70:10,22	40:7,13 45:6
34:3	seventh 84:21	41:17	71:3 96:10	47:1 48:8
seen 40:15 49:22	sewer 89:7,13	·	smaller 22:15	55:12 62:11
60:8 79:5 98:7	SF 88:21	single-occupa 63:12	24:12 26:2	70:8,9,12,18
		sit 7:22		1 1 1
98:13 110:19	shadow 104:8		70:16 84:8	70:20 71:5
110:20	shadows 106:16	site 15:16 22:16	94:6,21 96:11	75:21 76:18
select 24:8 79:5	shame 97:3	46:11 69:17	97:2	77:2 78:7,9
send 50:19,20	shape 114:3	77:10,12,13	smaller-sized	79:4,9,15 80:4
59:6 117:14	shaping 39:15	99:16,18	22:13	80:12 93:15
Senior 6:19	share 25:17	sites 22:3,4,12	sneer 46:8	110:6,17 111:4
sense 13:22 24:7	34:10	22:13,15 58:6	so-called 63:9	111:8
26:19 30:7	shared 25:18	58:7 68:17,17	sober 49:5	spaces 37:20
43:12 69:1	90:1	74:10,21,21	social 18:14	40:8 63:13
85:5 86:13	sharing 19:8,15	75:5 98:8,14	socially 57:9	speak 29:16
94:2 104:17	shelters 37:20	99:13,18,22	societal 35:8	30:2,7 41:12
113:5,9 117:9	shop 73:2	100:3,14	soft 22:4 68:16	50:13 61:6
119:1	short 36:9	113:12	sold 64:12,19	69:4,7 88:2
sensitivities	shortage 35:3	sitting 3:4 6:3	94:1	89:6
48:19	shortest 119:21	9:7 10:17	Solicitor's 107:2	speaker 31:19
sent 31:7,9 50:6	shortly 69:17	21:10 127:10	116:22	33:18 35:18
124:3	show 27:18 53:7	situation 36:8	solutions 44:2,5	37:7 39:5 41:7
sentence 46:2	85:18	82:10 101:5	solve 16:11,14	42:18 44:20
separated 88:8	showed 32:10	situations 37:22	solved 20:11	45:19 47:13
separately 49:1	showing 32:17	six 14:10 23:15	solving 48:5	49:8 50:21
September	shows 33:14	23:16 24:1	somewhat 16:18	52:20 54:18
119:15 120:5	113:11	27:1,3 37:4	98:12	56:9,22 59:10
series 11:11	shy 105:2	40:20 96:4,16	son 84:15 88:2	59:18 60:16
51:15	sic 75:18 96:15	96:20 113:18	soon 6:17	61:2 62:19,22
serious 32:12	side 11:17 40:11	six-story 18:22	sooner 21:9	65:1
35:3	71:19	103:15	sore 46:22	speakers 30:8
seriously 117:16	Sieniewicz 4:14	sixty 117:21	sorry 41:1 66:2	30:22 43:15
serve 7:15	sight 18:10	size 55:20 58:4	69:18 93:2,5	63:7 66:7,10
	l	l	l	l

	 	 	l	
speaking 3:14	St 101:8	stock 37:15	struggling 27:10	46:11 51:4,5
33:22 35:22	staff 1:10 4:4	72:19 73:5	88:19	54:3 74:8 88:6
47:3 52:12	6:8,13 7:1 11:3	stop 8:22 83:16	stuck 26:14	95:22 96:20
90:14	12:3,4,7 30:1	stopped 64:2	students 64:3,7	112:22 115:8
special 8:7,16	30:11 31:4	stories 23:15,16	study 61:9,11	117:22 119:7
49:6 121:2,11	38:9,13 66:20	23:16,17,21	114:21	supported 43:15
122:13 127:14	67:8,19,21	24:3 27:1	studying 96:14	supporting
127:20	69:1,3 70:1,3	28:18 40:20,21	stuff 46:4 89:6	44:10
specific 79:16	75:17 82:6	48:14 54:13,13	stunned 33:13	supportive
specifically	104:12 106:21	56:1,2 80:19	subject 76:9,14	53:20 112:1
25:13	107:1 109:20	80:20 81:1	89:8 91:3	supports 14:5
specified 116:18	117:19 127:16	85:5 92:6 96:3	105:3 110:22	sure 6:9 7:11
speed 122:22	128:5 129:17	96:4,16,20	submission	19:17 23:1,6
spending 107:22	129:18	113:18	69:15	30:14 51:20
spent 32:18	stage 14:10	story 18:21 24:1	submit 128:4	61:13 65:17
84:14	staggering	24:2 41:4,15	submitted 41:20	67:20 68:10,14
Spetrini 1:13	58:22	41:17 53:16	66:16	69:6 71:13,21
6:15	standard 62:3	54:13 103:15	subscribe	76:2 82:6,11
spite 57:19	standards 11:12	106:3	131:17	85:8 90:12
split 11:21 16:7	70:19 89:9	strategy 112:16	subtract 70:21	101:6 103:20
spoke 43:15	98:6	streamed 3:22	subtracting	107:3 109:10
59:14 60:16	standing 34:19	streamlining	76:17 77:2	110:21 111:16
73:8 110:11	standpoint	13:14	suburbanites	116:22 119:11
spoken 44:9	119:16	street 24:16	63:11	119:19 124:19
75:11 91:3	start 4:4 12:22	33:21 37:11	suburbs 63:12	130:3
spot 51:14	13:3 34:6 55:3	38:15 39:11	63:17 64:14	surely 58:15
sprawling 35:6	66:17 67:5	43:1 45:2 46:1	succeed 51:7	surprise 105:13
spread 15:4	83:1 114:5	47:18 51:2	successful 87:2	surprisingly
46:17 91:16	122:20	53:19 54:22	successfully	108:10
94:14	started 16:18	57:7 61:5 65:5	21:2 73:10	surrounding
spreading 52:3	27:7 68:13	74:16 80:1	sufficient 58:1	42:4 45:5
Springfield 60:2	130:1	104:7	suggestion	surveys 82:9
square 11:15,22	starting 19:6	streets 24:14	105:4 106:7	Susan 65:2,2,4,4
24:9,10,10	state 3:14 20:15	37:20 40:3	suggestions	Suzanne 31:19
33:11 36:1	33:3 34:1	65:21	116:2	31:20,22,22
48:15 50:8,9	37:11 73:15	strengthen 38:3	suitcase 92:1	Swaathi 1:11
50:12 51:22	87:7	strengths 68:21	summarize 31:9	6:15 119:21
60:2 70:11,12	State's 74:7	strict 39:14	31:10	120:11,13,21
74:18 75:1	stated 33:8	71:17	summary 23:7	121:1,7,14,17
87:3,5,8,12	51:11 105:19	stripped 49:1	summer 8:15	121:20 122:11
squares 22:2,8	states 44:13	strong 16:7	83:21 118:8	126:13
22:20 24:3,4,8	stating 31:12	37:11 43:4	127:20	swift 37:13
33:11 36:1	station 87:3,9	45:2 51:3,20	sums 32:18	syncs 119:11
41:15 46:14	status 16:14	83:13 84:1	sunlight 40:4	system 35:13
48:7 53:16	44:5	stronger 98:13	supply 34:15	87:4,5 112:7
87:1 94:13	stay 56:20	strongly 35:22	support 16:6	
95:7 96:17	step 13:2 29:6	42:8 115:20	27:22 31:12,15	<u>T</u>
106:18 108:13	stepback 40:12	struck 65:7	33:9,10 36:9	t 1:7 83:20 87:3
113:5	stepbacks 40:2	struggle 27:19	37:6,12,14	131:1,1
ss 132:3	stick 46:21	struggles 43:3	39:3 43:4 45:2	table 13:4 15:22
	l	l -	l	

				rage 134
18:20 19:3	67:5 71:16	Terrace 32:13	thankful 110:11	42:16 45:16
tack 123:18	107:20 117:18	terrible 60:12	Thankfully 17:8	46:19 49:15,20
tack 123.16 tag 58:13	122:8 124:16	83:17	thanks 8:2 12:22	49:22 55:16,17
tag 38.13 take 4:4 16:4	124:22 125:1	testified 51:5	29:11 47:12	56:4 60:18,20
23:5 28:14	124.22 123.1	125:18	57:6 61:1 66:9	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
				60:22,22 63:10
30:3 32:21	128:20 129:4,5	testimony 122:2	68:12 71:7	66:2 68:8,20
50:9 60:21	130:13	thank 4:7 5:17	89:3,22 92:22	68:22 74:18
76:3 78:5	taxes 73:20	5:20 6:9 8:1	93:5,13 111:13	75:16 77:7
84:18 85:1	taxpayers 42:5	9:2,20 11:5	111:16 112:20	78:19 79:1,11
92:9 99:21	teachers 18:13	12:14,21 19:5	127:6	79:13 80:16
104:19 105:4,9	33:4	20:6 28:13,15	that's 98:4	81:3,4,5,9
112:11 116:7	team 68:6 130:1	29:10 30:14	108:7 112:13	83:19 85:1
120:5 128:14	tear 50:11	31:18,22 33:17	Theodore 1:7	86:1,1,16,19
taken 3:15	technical 17:20	33:18 35:17,18	3:4 4:11,13 6:3	87:15,16,18
114:20	89:9	37:6,7 39:3,5	9:7,17 10:1,2	88:10,20 89:4
takes 14:20,22	technology	39:11 41:6,7	10:17 75:14,16	90:16 92:19
21:3 60:12	47:22 48:16	42:17,18 44:19	96:7 100:17	95:12,20,22
88:22 91:22	Ted 75:12	44:20 45:18,19	104:22 105:12	96:13,15,18,19
talk 18:19 24:8	100:16 104:21	47:13 49:8	116:10,14	96:22 97:3,5
46:3 47:7	108:8,10 110:2	50:21 52:19,20	121:6,9,11,18	98:16,22 99:8
80:17 88:13	112:3 113:2	54:17,18 56:8	121:22 122:18	103:3 104:9,16
95:14 99:5	116:9 117:10	56:9 59:6,9,10	124:21 127:10	105:9,12,13,17
114:4	118:4 121:4	61:2 62:18	128:18 129:2,3	105:19 106:2,5
talked 17:7	122:6,16	64:22 65:1,4	130:7	106:15,20,22
26:22 47:8	123:13 128:18	66:5,6,9 67:3	thing 27:7 28:1	107:4,11
70:13 77:11	130:4	68:3,4,22 69:6	28:21 29:6	108:12,12,13
83:12 84:4	television 4:1	69:22 73:7	33:7 38:15,21	108:20 110:2,7
96:19 113:14	tell 41:4	75:12 76:2	46:13 49:18	111:2,10 112:3
talking 18:5	temporary 34:3	78:15 79:17,20	53:14 54:8	112:8,22 113:4
19:1,1 24:7	34:11	80:14,16 81:19	65:15 66:3	113:9 114:1,14
46:13 48:6	tenant 36:17	82:20,21 83:4	72:20 74:9	114:14 115:8,9
61:14 64:2	37:2 54:8,9	85:19,21 89:2	101:22 104:22	115:17 117:6
71:22 80:18,19	88:6	89:18,21 90:11	107:16 109:15	118:1,2,7,8,18
85:10 95:13	tenants 36:15,21	93:1 95:9,11	118:9	118:20 119:1,2
96:8 103:2	tenfold 57:14	97:10,12	things 16:4,18	119:5 120:7
tall 17:5 35:1	114:16	100:16 101:16	17:7 23:22	122:14,21
45:7 54:10,11	tenth 84:21	101:20 102:13	55:1 73:13	123:3,5,14,17
83:17 85:11	Teresa 50:22	103:20 104:13	87:22 88:18	125:8 126:8,11
105:15 106:13	52:21,21 53:1	104:21 105:11	90:8 96:2	126:12 127:3
111:1	53:1	107:16,20,20	111:3 112:14	thinking 94:13
taller 25:3,7	term 21:19 87:6	110:8 111:14	119:3	114:22
45:4 51:18	terms 8:14 74:2	116:9 117:8	think 11:4 13:22	thinks 85:15
77:17 80:3,7	77:6 79:22	119:19 120:13	14:3,4 16:13	third-most
80:10,12 83:10	81:9 86:1,2	124:14 125:11	17:15,15 18:18	88:20
84:7,9,11,12	89:5 99:12,13	125:16,16,18	19:6 23:5 24:5	Thorkildsen
84:13 104:4	103:10,17	126:3,16 127:4	25:13,17,22	103:21
110:18	106:11,16	127:5,19	26:6,20 27:1,6	thought 82:17
Tan 1:8 3:4 4:22	114:6,12	128:19,21	27:7 29:9 30:5	105:20 114:22
5:2 6:3 9:7,19	119:10 122:14	129:15 130:11	31:3 36:10	119:8
10:3,4,17 67:3	125:19	130:13	37:19,21 38:2	thoughts 25:18
				_

75:13 110:9	tinker 92:20	87:5	82:21 119:22	understated
112:19 117:8	tipping 59:1	trains 86:12	120:8 127:18	57:12
117:17 118:11	To' 131:2	trans 44:11	turnaround	undertake 42:3
thousands 17:10	today 17:4 32:17	transcript 4:2	120:2	Unfortunately
17:10 18:11	33:11 34:1,19	127:2 131:15	turning 6:7	124:2
27:9 52:10	34:22 37:11	131:17 132:6	119:9	unhoused 18:17
84:4	50:6 61:8	transcripts 2:7	tweaks 110:3	33:6
threat 43:21	71:22 88:12	9:11,15	twelfth 84:22	Union 24:12
three 14:8,9	110:12	transit 22:3	twenty-ninth	unit 21:7 26:22
23:14 28:21	today's 120:15	82:19 86:6,10	120:10 121:4,5	27:4 32:11
29:5 47:18	toll 88:22	86:19 87:2	123:12,20,22	53:4 58:14
90:21 92:3	Tom 4:14	89:5 106:14	twenty-second	60:10 63:16
95:20 96:1,10	tonight 8:5,22	transit-accessi	122:19 123:17	84:16
100:6 102:21	12:1,22 37:16	87:11	123:22 130:8	units 14:15,17
103:8 122:13	43:9 45:11	transit-oriented	two 7:4,7 13:17	14:19 15:14,20
three- 14:18	52:19 61:14	106:18	15:14,18 16:4	17:10 20:16
53:8	63:7 66:19	transitions	31:5,9 54:13	25:8 29:8 33:3
thrive 88:7	90:1 103:22	48:13	60:9 64:1	40:8 45:16
throw 101:22	104:19 105:5	transportation	65:12 68:21	50:12 53:8,12
thrown 104:14	107:21 115:18	82:19	69:1 70:2	53:18 60:9
thumb 46:22	115:20 127:5	treated 89:15	78:16 81:21	72:5,6,14 79:8
Thursday 84:14	tonight's 123:2	trees 47:2 80:5	87:22 112:9	79:9,13,16
84:15	top 54:6,6 72:4	triangle 48:15	122:14	81:16 91:17,17
tight 120:1	97:15 106:14	tried 16:17	two- 41:17 53:8	91:19 101:3
timber 25:20	106:18	124:5	two-and-a-half	unjustifiable
48:18	topic 49:14	triple-deckers	41:17	58:13
time 3:17,18	82:15,16 83:9	34:19 96:9	two-story 51:22	unlimit 75:18
15:19 19:5	topics 81:22	trouble 19:11	type 55:18 95:3	unlimited 48:8
20:2 26:4 27:8	total 78:8	true 86:3,16	104:18	76:13,21
31:3 35:9 36:8	totally 41:21	132:6	types 85:2	unmarked 92:2
36:10,18 38:9	112:21	Truman 51:19	typical 70:19	unmute 30:2
50:1 51:6,10	touch 102:18	62:2	typically 14:16	unmuted 56:13
54:17 58:20	110:21	Trust 21:11 74:5		unmuting 31:20
59:6 78:5 81:6	tough 13:2	try 20:1 24:17	U VI G 50 5 00 21	33:20 35:20
81:18 83:2	130:2	31:8,9 56:17	U.S 58:5 88:21	37:9 39:7 41:9
96:5 97:7	tower 36:10	56:21 61:3	ultimately 18:6	42:20 45:21
100:7 101:10	106:4	84:19 108:5	umbrage 105:4	47:15 49:10
101:11 102:1	towers 83:16,22	123:18	unable 37:18	51:1 52:22
106:8 107:22	87:20 104:6,7	trying 16:11	56:14 122:5	54:20 56:11
109:13 114:15	105:21 106:2	23:1 27:20	unclear 48:12	57:2 59:12,19
114:21 119:18	town 85:12	66:1 75:8	underground	63:1 65:2
120:4,7,16	towns 42:4	80:17 81:8	89:6	107:18
127:5,13 128:6	tradeoffs 99:9	93:16 95:8	undermining	unnecessarily
128:15,17	106:15	102:16 118:7	55:12 understand 16:8	43:10
129:19	traditional 64:9	tucked 70:7	57:20 99:19	unquote 68:16
timeline 21:15	64:10	TUESDAY 1:4	109:9 115:12	unsafe 43:11
times 28:4 35:5	traffic 60:8,12	tunnel 50:12	118:4	unscientific
60:3 81:12	65:19 82:18	turn 9:1 12:17	understandable	108:15
92:3	86:2	30:13 31:17	128:14	untested 16:21
timing 100:5	train 86:6,12	66:7 78:14	120.17	upcoming 7:3
	I	ı	ı	1

	1	1	1	
update 2:6 6:6	version 29:2	walking 36:7	39:21 46:6,10	weakening
9:1 11:3	55:10 92:18,19	wall 49:21	49:13 53:2	103:8
127:17	102:18	walls 104:8	55:18 65:21	webpage 3:19
updated 103:13	versus 67:21,22	waltz 56:10,22	67:2 79:14	69:17
104:4 128:5	70:1 88:7 99:1	57:4,6,6 58:19	98:10 109:7	website 69:11,12
updates 8:14 9:3	109:17 117:22	58:21 59:3,4,8	110:4 114:3	week 8:10 12:9
updating 104:2	veterans 27:17	59:11,13,14	115:11 117:4	80:22 108:21
upended 58:8	veto 35:12	want 16:4 18:19	117:11	112:9 119:14
upset 88:15	viable 26:1,2	19:2 23:6	wayfinding 8:13	weeks 83:20
90:17	91:14	27:11,15,22	ways 26:18	90:2 112:9
upsides 45:11	Vice 109:15	29:1 30:3,6	78:16 80:17	113:15
upzone 48:6	video 3:21	43:19 44:14	95:19 98:18	weigh 123:5
upzones 46:14	view 54:16 82:4	46:1,21 54:3,5	102:16	125:20
upzoning 20:19	100:19 117:6	55:3,17 56:4	we'll 8:5,11	welcome 3:6 7:6
32:6 46:18	visible 4:8,12,13	58:2 59:7	20:15 30:15	13:1 38:3
57:13 94:12	4:15,19 5:1,2,4	60:21 62:20	62:19 107:6	129:22
Urban 6:21	5:5,7,11,14,15	66:17 68:5	123:16 130:10	welcoming
103:10,21	voice 37:14	71:10 73:6	we're 11:4 13:4	44:18
104:1 110:20	39:15 40:15	76:5,6 77:5	15:21 18:2	welfare 62:15
urge 32:1 37:12	voices 47:3 50:4	79:7,18 82:10	19:1,1 20:14	Wells 1:12 6:15
41:13 42:8	90:18	82:22 84:6	24:7,15,20	went 47:8 91:3
43:17	volunteer	85:6 86:5	26:14 27:20	107:6
urged 43:17	104:20	87:14,17 89:7	28:18 29:6,13	West 7:14
urgently 57:10	vote 10:11 43:17	89:22 92:12	30:12 36:5	Westbrook 1:9
use 3:11 25:15	50:13 52:18	95:10 99:6	46:18 48:6	3:5 5:6,8 6:4
25:21 30:20	125:9,13	102:11 103:5	50:18 52:12	7:6,11,12 9:8
53:11 62:21	129:12	104:18 114:9	56:16 60:2	10:7,8,18
86:7,18	voted 12:10	117:10 119:7	61:14 64:19,20	89:21 111:16
uses 28:7 55:12	16:16 102:21	119:10	66:1,12 71:22	118:22 124:8
74:19	votes 3:14	wanted 23:7,9	74:17 80:18,18	124:11,13
usually 21:3	voting 10:12	26:4 28:5 73:7	80:19 85:7,10	125:4,5 127:11
34:11 55:20	49:17 125:14	75:9 77:22	86:8 89:1	129:8,9
	129:13	78:11,16 85:22	92:13 95:8	whereof 132:12
V	vouchers 18:16	89:17 95:14	96:8,16 97:6	wide 57:19
vacation 130:6	***	97:13 99:19	99:19 100:1,11	widgets 62:11
Valentine 45:1	<u>W</u>	107:17 110:10	103:2 109:17	Williamson
value 35:10	wait 52:11	120:12 128:13	114:21 115:17	62:22 63:3,5,5
40:14 92:3	waiting 14:21	wanting 99:10	122:5 127:7	willing 115:15
94:11 116:12	20:12 21:9	118:5	129:22	willingness 13:2
values 39:19	waitlist 27:13	wants 42:15	we've 7:20 16:8	Wilson 53:2
93:21	45:15 51:14,15	69:20	16:9 17:17	wind 104:8
vanishingly 36:9	52:5	warehousing	24:22 25:16	windows 17:22
varies 99:18	waiving 70:9	62:10	26:22 32:3	Winn 50:9
100:11	wake 50:14	wasn't 67:20	33:2 35:8	wish 30:2,7 41:4
variety 85:2	Walden 26:16	Watchdog 48:12	40:15 66:18	46:15 108:3
various 15:15	33:10 50:8,9	water 89:7,13	70:13 72:13	wishes 29:16
vast 23:16 32:18	50:12	waves 34:7	79:5 81:10	witness 132:12
vastly 40:10	walk 13:6	way 15:3,10	83:9 87:19	witnessed 38:9
vehicles 63:12	Walker 37:8	17:19 21:11	90:20 104:14	38:11
verify 4:5	39:6,8,10,10	28:2 36:18	106:4 114:17	woman 84:15
	I	I		<u> </u>

wonder 112:14	Y	79:18,20 91:9	12 28:18 40:20	9:12 53:7
wonderful 38:2	yard 11:1,17,18	93:3,5,14	40:21 56:1	128:17 132:13
60:21 91:18	40:7,11	zone 11:21	57:7 72:13	2026 132:18
113:16	yards 40:8	zoned 23:21	80:19,20 81:18	203 33:21
wondering	yeah 5:9 14:7	zoning 2:11 6:11	81:18 92:6	2030 20:16
67:17	19:11,14,19	6:14 8:6,16	132:18	52:14
wood 48:18	30:17 49:12	10:20,21 11:6	12- 18:20 41:14	2072 25:19
Woodrow 53:2	59:5,17 68:12	11:12 12:3,7	53:16 103:14	26:13 32:19
Worcester 60:2	100:17 102:13	13:9,14 16:19	12-15 106:3	47:4
word 47:8	105:11 111:12	20:22 23:8	12-story 41:16	21,000 20:12
work 13:2 18:11	111:17 120:22	26:5,13 28:1,9	12,500 20:15	212 52:15
18:15 20:4,13	123:10 124:6,8	28:11 34:20	120,000 60:5,7	213 45:22
21:12 27:16	124:13 126:17	35:5,6,16 37:1	125 114:15	2161 8:12
53:6 62:8 85:9	126:18 129:20	45:15 49:4	127 2:15	22 4:1 8:11,11
87:10 88:3	130:2	52:16 55:5	13 15:9 24:2	120:19 122:12
99:16 103:17	year 14:2 67:7	57:10,11,22	28:18	22,000 27:13
108:1,1 112:15	73:21 74:1	61:12,16,19	136 37:11	23 9:12 128:17
114:21	95:21 98:15	63:21 64:13,14	14 30:22 45:1	24 65:5 88:8
worked 75:7	112:8	70:15 71:17	15 24:3 40:20,21	259 51:2
87:6	vear's 14:3	73:18 95:18	48:14 56:2	27 17:11
workers 18:14	year's 14.5 yearly 14:1	96:9 97:7	15' 11:19	29 119:22
18:14	yeariy 14.1 years 14:8,9	108:22	15- 41:14 53:16	120:15 122:13
working 7:16	16:15 17:9,11	zonings 96:14	16 9:12 15:8	123:11 124:6
13:22 91:5,5	21:4 28:21	zoom 73:9 75:9	1627 32:11	124:20
92:20 114:5	29:5 37:4 55:8		40:16	
128:2	60:4,6 64:6	0	1900s 34:8,17	3
works 38:9	65:5 72:5,13			3,175 52:13
89:11	84:18 90:21	1	2	30 12:18 16:15
worries 108:19	101:9 102:21	1 58:13 93:9,11	2 3:9 10:21	17:9 36:14
worry 44:3	101.9 102.21	97:18 98:12	41:19 42:9	63:5 70:20
64:20,22	Yep 69:21	100:18,20	55:5 62:10,17	31 43:14
106:16 113:14	yesterday 29:20	102:3	93:7 107:12	327 27:16
114:5,20	29:22 69:13	1,000 64:7	2,912 27:16	345 43:1
worrying 37:22	yield 14:14	1,200 72:13	2.0 32:1 41:13	
worthy 61:21	68:17	1,500 17:9 70:12	41:22 49:14	4
wouldn't 53:4	Yoni 33:19	72:5	61:7,19 76:12	4,488 27:14
wrap 58:19	35:19,19,21,21	1.0 90:5,9,9	76:15 90:4,6,9	4,500 52:6
writing 49:20	York 35:5 86:11	10 2:11 47:17	93:7 99:1	40 59:21 60:4
59:7 90:1	88:21 106:12	54:6,6 60:3	102:2	73:22
120:9	young 44:12	72:13 101:9	2:00 60:14	40,000 60:10
written 29:21,21	young 44.12	10-story 18:21	20 60:6,7 72:5	414 52:14
31:7,10 66:16	$\overline{\mathbf{Z}}$	100 11:10 13:11	20' 11:19	43 39:10
83:15	Zall 31:20 33:19	14:14 33:6	20- 60:10	47:53 41:3
wrong 73:22	33:21,21 44:8	46:9 48:16	20,000 45:14	48-50 2:15
90:5	ZBA 101:7	53:12 61:9	60:9	127:15
wrote 39:13	Zondervan	79:12 101:13	200 14:17	4850 8:8
66:10	12:15 13:5	100,000 60:6	2020 11:9 13:9	5
	19:7,13,15,18	11 10:21 120:5	54:5	
X	19:19,22 20:7	116 38:13 53:19	2022 21:17	531:22 48:8
X 2:1	68:11,12 76:4	80:1	2023 1:4 3:7,9	78:8
	_			

	Pa	age 158
5,000 70:10 5/16/2023 2:8		
5/23/2023 2:8		
5:00 29:20,22		
50 29:21 65:5		
50,000 54:11		
500 50:7		
5000 11:22		
55 61:5		
56-year-old 84:15		
59 54:22		
6		
6 2:6 9:12 53:7		
6,500 20:13 52:5		
6,501 27:14		
6.25 75:1		
6/6/2023 2:8		
6:00 60:14 6:30 1:4 3:3		
6:34 6:2		
6:37 9:6		
6:38 10:16		
616 14:14		
7		
7 53:2		
8		
8 1:4 3:7		
80,000 60:5		
808 83:21		
82 49:12		
9		
9 2:7 29:19		
9:07 127:9		
9:09 130:15		
95 50:12		