PLANNING BOARD

FOR THE

CITY OF CAMBRIDGE

GENERAL HEARING
TUESDAY, JANUARY 10, 2023
6:30 p.m.
Remote Meeting
Cambridge, Massachusetts

Catherine Preston Connolly, Chair

Mary T. Flynn, Vice Chair

Steven A. Cohen

H Theodore Cohen

Hugh Russell

Tom Sieniewicz

Ashley Tan

Community Development Staff

Iram Farooq, Assistant City Manager

Jeff Roberts

Swaathi Joseph



Precision, Speed, Reliability 617.547.5690 transcripts@ctran.com

INDEX	
CASE	PAGE
General Business	
Update from the Community Development Department	3
Adoption of Planning Board meeting transcripts	
(11/22/2022, 12/6/2022, 12/13/2022)	
Public Hearings	
Climate Resilience Zoning Petition	12
Board of Zoning Appeal Cases	
BZA-203056 358 Broadway	72
General Business	
Election of the Planning Board Chair	75

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	* * * *
3	(6:30 p.m.)
4	Sitting Members: Catherine Preston Connolly, Mary T. Flynn,
5	Steven A. Cohen, H Theodore Cohen, Hugh
6	Russell, Tom Sieniewicz, and Ashley Tan
7	CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Good evening, and
8	welcome to the January 10, 2023 meeting of the Cambridge
9	Planning Board. My name is Catherine Preston Connolly, and
10	I am the Chair.
11	This meeting is being held remotely in accordance
12	with Chapter 107 of the Acts of 2022 signed into law on July
13	16, 2022.
14	All Board members, applicants, and members of the
15	public will state their name before speaking, and all votes
16	will be taken by roll call.
17	Members of the public will be kept on mute until
18	it is time for public comment. I will give instructions for
19	public comment at that time, and you can also find
20	instructions on the City's webpage for remote Planning Board
21	meetings.
22	This meeting is being video and audio recorded,

```
and is being streamed live on the City of Cambridge online
1
2
    meeting portal and on cable television Channel 22, within
3
     Cambridge. There will also be a transcript of the
4
    proceedings.
5
               I'll start by asking Staff to take Board member
 6
     attendance and verify that all members are audible.
7
               JEFF ROBERTS: Thank you, Catherine. This is Jeff
8
    Roberts, Director of Zoning and Development at CDD.
9
    Bacci, are you present, and is the meeting audible to you?
10
               [Pause]
11
               JEFF ROBERTS: Lou is absent. H Theodore Cohen,
12
    are you present, and is the meeting audible to you?
13
              H THEODORE COHEN: Present, and audible.
14
               JEFF ROBERTS:
                              Thank you, Ted. Steven Cohen, are
15
    you present, and is the meeting audible to you?
16
               STEVEN A. COHEN: Present, and audible.
17
               JEFF ROBERTS: Thank you, Steve. Mary Flynn, are
    you present, and is the meeting audible to you?
18
              MARY FLYNN: Present, and audible.
19
20
               JEFF ROBERTS:
                              Thank you, Mary. Hugh Russell, are
21
    you present, and is the meeting audible to you?
22
              HUGH RUSSELL: Present, and audible.
```

```
JEFF ROBERTS: Thank you, Hugh. Tom Sieniewicz,
1
2
     are you present, and is the meeting audible to you?
 3
               TOM SIENIEWICZ: Present, and audible.
 4
               JEFF ROBERTS: Thank you, Tom. Ashley Tan, are
     you present, and is the meeting audible to you?
5
               ASHLEY TAN: Present, and audible.
 6
 7
               JEFF ROBERTS: Thank you, Ashley. And Catherine
8
    Preston Connolly, please confirm that you're you present,
9
     and is the meeting audible to you?
10
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Present, and audible.
11
               JEFF ROBERTS: Thank you, Catherine. So that
     appears to be seven Planning Board members present.
12
13
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Excellent. Thank you
14
     so much. All right.
15
     (6:35 p.m.)
16
17
    Sitting Members: Catherine Preston Connolly, Mary T. Flynn,
18
                       Steven A. Cohen, H Theodore Cohen, Hugh
                       Russell, Tom Sieniewicz, and Ashley Tan
19
20
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: All right. As usual,
    we will start with an Update from the Community Development
21
22
    Department. Please introduce yourself and all Staff present
```

1 at the meeting.

IRAM FAROOQ: Thank you, Chair Connolly. Iram

Farooq, Assistant City Manager for Community Development

Department. And I am joined today -- a smaller than usual

Staff team from CDD. We have from Zoning and Development

Jeff Roberts and Swaathi Joseph from Administration. We have Khalil Mogassabi.

And we are joined by colleagues from the

Department of Public Works, Kathy Watkins and Kara Falise.

And I do want to say that the last time that Kathy Watkins

was here at the Planning Board, she was Acting Commissioner.

And I'm happy to report that she's joining us now as the

City's formal official Commissioner of Public Works.

So we are thrilled to have her as a partner.

She's been a great partner on this project and many others - well, this project being the one we're going to talk
about.

So today's agenda -- that gets us to today's agenda, and our principal item is a Planning Board hearing on a zoning petition related to climate change resilience.

And this was the work of a -- it comes from the work of a Working Group that was established by the City

Manager, and Ted and Lou both served as Planning Board representatives on that Board and on that committee. And this actually after many years is a Planning Board petition, so you all would have heard our update had agreed to sponsor it as a Planning Board petition. So it has been reported back to you at this point.

And in terms of other items coming up, I seem to have suddenly lost my notes, so please bear with me for just a moment.

We have for -- our next meeting will be January 17 and that includes a Special Permit Minor Amendment for Volpe. And there was a Use Determination for 222 Jacobs Street at Cambridge Crossing, and a Project Update on 325 Binney.

We have, we think, tentative cancellation on January 24.

And the last January meeting is expected to be the -- will be the thirty-first, at which time we expect to have a Public Hearing on 75 First Street Parcel E, which is an amendment to their special permit, as well as Design Review of Improvements on Lechmere Canal Park. So those are the items coming up at Planning Board.

In terms of City Council, we had a couple of hearings on zoning petitions last week that the Planning Board has also heard, but the one upcoming -- there actually are not a ton of hearings scheduled this weekend and next week.

But I did want to mention that on the eighteenth, not a Zoning item, but the City Council -- the set of City Council Committees are hosting a joint hearing on -- to receive an update on cannabis. And that is January 18, at 1:00.

A couple of items from last night's City Council meeting that the Board might want to know is that after having received the Net Zero Action Plan five-year update last year, the Council spent about a year discussing it and adding some information to it, including smart goals.

And so, that work has taken about a year with the Health and Environment Committee. And that was finalized and approved last night.

And of course, work has continued on the plan in the interim. So the implementation did not stop. But I think that is -- Tom was part of that committee, both in the first iteration and this one. And so, there has been Board

member involvement in that work. And I think it is -- we're very proud of where that has ended up.

There are a couple of other things that will relate to buildings: So as a Stretch Code community, the state has adopted new Stretch Code, and that came into effect in January.

And also, the state has a provision for a specialized Stretch Code that would essentially ask new construction and significant rehab projects to accommodate for electrification. And that is something that the City Council has to vote to adopt, and that we forwarded information — the state issued guidance on that at the end of the year, so we forwarded that last night to City Council. They've expressed interest in adopting it soon, with a July 1 effective date. But the item — Council exercised charter rights. So it will come up for discussion in two weeks at City Council meeting.

So that -- I think Board members will care about that. And then also related on fossil fuel free items that we've been discussing, both at Planning Board and at Council, there is a provision that a state has issued guidance on at the end of the year that is still in a

```
comment period but should become available in September for
1
 2
     cities to opt into. And that's the fossil-fuel free
 3
     emission project.
               Cambridge is one of the communities that is
 4
     eligible. So we had sent up a home rule petition related to
 5
     this item that will be under discussion in the community.
 6
7
    We'll be doing some outreach on both those items in the
     coming months.
 9
               Just wanted to use both the opportunity of being
     at Planning Board, especially on a climate related issue to
10
11
    push the message out to broader community here as well. And
12
     I know the Board cares about these matters. So with that, I
    will turn it back to you, Chair. Thank you very much.
13
14
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Okay. Thank you for
15
     those updates.
16
17
     (6:42 p.m.)
18
     Sitting Members: Catherine Preston Connolly, Mary T. Flynn,
                       Steven A. Cohen, H Theodore Cohen, Hugh
19
20
                       Russell, Tom Sieniewicz, and Ashley Tan
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: The next item on our
21
22
     agenda is Approval of meeting minutes. The Board has
```

```
received certified transcripts for the meetings held on
 1
 2
     November 22, December 6, and December 13, 2022. Are there
 3
     any questions from Board members on any of those
 4
     transcripts?
 5
               [Pause]
 6
               Hearing none, is there a motion to accept the
 7
     transcript as the meeting minutes?
 8
               STEVEN A. COHEN: Steve so moved.
 9
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Is there a second?
               MARY FLYNN: Mary second.
10
11
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Roll call vote?
12
               JEFF ROBERTS: On that motion, H Theodore Cohen?
13
               H THEODORE COHEN: Yes.
14
               JEFF ROBERTS: Steve Cohen?
15
               STEVEN A. COHEN: Yes.
16
               JEFF ROBERTS: Mary Flynn?
17
               MARY FLYNN: Yes.
18
               JEFF ROBERTS: Hugh Russell?
19
               HUGH RUSSELL: Yes.
20
               JEFF ROBERTS: Tom Sieniewicz?
21
               TOM SIENIEWICZ: Yes.
22
               JEFF ROBERTS: Ashley Tan?
```

```
1
               ASHLEY TAN: Yes.
 2
               JEFF ROBERTS: Catherine Preston Connolly?
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY MARY FLYNN:
 3
 4
               [All vote YES]
5
               JEFF ROBERTS: That's all members voting in favor.
 6
     CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Okay. Thank you very much.
7
    All right.
9
     (6:44 p.m.)
10
     Sitting Members: Catherine Preston Connolly, Mary T. Flynn,
11
                       Steven A. Cohen, H Theodore Cohen, Hugh
12
                       Russell, Tom Sieniewicz, and Ashley Tan
13
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Then we will move on
14
     to a Public Hearing on, as Iram noted, a zoning petition by
15
     the Planning Board to amend Articles 2, 5, 19 and 22 of the
     Cambridge Zoning Ordinance to promote resilience to the
16
17
    projected impacts of climate change.
18
               And as usual, we'll start with CDD Staff
     summarizing why this is before us. They will then present
19
20
     the petition. We'll take public comment, and then discuss
     the petition and whether to transmit a recommendation to
21
22
    City Council.
```

1 With that, let me hand it over I believe to Jeff.

JEFF ROBERTS: Thank you. And let me begin to Share. Please let me know if you can't see the slide screen here. Okay. So this is -- Happy New Year first of all, and happy new zoning petition. This is something that we discussed with the Planning Board back in November. And thanks to the Planning Board's action back in November, we're now discussing this as a Planning Board Zoning Petition.

So to go back and quote the standard script, this is a new petition. And the Planning Board's action is to hold a public hearing and to make a recommendation to the City Council.

Because this is a new zoning petition, I'm going to give it the full presentation treatment. But I'm going to try to move quickly with some of the in the room, because I'm going to be covering a lot of the same ground that I covered back in November.

But at the end, I'm going to try to cover a few of the items that came up in November's discussion and how we think about them.

As a quick introduction, as we'll get into, this

is -- and as Iram said, this is the work of a lot of people over a long period of time. I'll say again that personally this has really been an exciting and rewarding process to work on. It really is an example of forward-thinking planning.

This is about imagining a city that's not in existence today and conditions that aren't in existence today; it's about planning for conditions that we anticipate 50 plus years from now. So we really are using the best information we have to think about what that world is going to be like. And all indications are it's going to be a very different world than the one we live in now, and we should be prepared for that.

So this work came from a task force. Iram mentioned this. It was formed in 2018. It was formed after the city, in 2017, for the first time released the Climate Change Vulnerability Assessment. That was the first component of what we now call the "Resilient Cambridge Plan."

And what was really important about that assessment is that it was the first picture with real kind of facts and information attached to it, what the city's

climate would be like in the year 2070.

And [it] particularly focused on two specific impacts, but kind of part of a larger range of impacts. One is flooding, and what we would expect to see both in terms of the flooding created by precipitation across the city, as well as specifically what might happen through sea-level rise and storm surge over that period of time.

And also, what the impact of increased temperatures would have on heat in the city, particularly as we look at what's known as the urban heat island effect, which can create more significant heat impacts in urban areas.

So that was kind of the kickoff of this process.

And also, in 2017 was when, you know, two members of the community -- Doug Brown and Mike Nakagawa, who later served on our task force -- proposed a zoning petition, which was not adopted, but it was important in urging the City to start working right away on doing ways to incorporate those climate projections into our Development Standards in the Zoning Ordinance. And so, that's what the Task Force was formed to do.

This Task Force brought together stakeholders from

across the Cambridge community. Importantly, it included many of the groups that would be impacted, not just in terms of the impacts of climate change, but that would be impacted by any new regulations that would be recommended -- in particular, the Board affordable housing organizations as well as other people in the community that are responsible for development and the use of land.

So it brought a range of perspectives to the table. The work of this group was supported by the engineers and the landscape architects, who have been working on some of the other larger climate resilience initiatives that the City had had underway, including the Resilient Cambridge Plan, the Urban Forest Master Plan and some others.

And the Task Force met 19 times. There was an unfortunate seven-month gap during the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic. But all those meetings were open to the public. We got a lot of input, not just from the Task Force but from others. And the Task Force released its final report earlier -- early last year.

And since that time, we've been working internally with Staff and with our Law Department, as well as other

departments to develop a draft zoning text, which is now the petition that's before you.

This is just a quick reminder: This is one piece of a larger and integrated set of planning efforts around climate resilience.

Not pictured are the City's other kind of constellation of efforts to mitigate climate change, the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions. This is all in addition to regional efforts collaborating with other cities and towns and state agencies.

And it's also worth noting that the planning has not stopped in the five years since the Climate Change

Vulnerability Assessment was first released. The Planning

Board knows we've been incorporating this thinking in a very concrete way into the proposals that have come before the Planning Board.

And we really learned a lot during the time, you know, since we first started to get that picture about what it really means and how to shape our planning for the city.

And one of the important notes is that this Task

Force was focused on zoning. Zoning is somewhat of an

indirect policy tool. There are other policies that the

City has and can implement related to climate and climate resilience that have to do with things the City can directly control and directly invest in.

Zoning is about putting a set of rules in place that will encourage property owners and land developers to make choices that will support the public interest, but ultimately also will have to be in the interest of those property interests, so -- those property owners.

So if there was one kind of overarching theme to this Task Force discussion, it would be making sure that we're setting clear expectations and standards for where we want to be in the future, but to do that in a way that's not overly constraining to flexibility and choice.

The Task Force came up with a set of eight overall principles to guide the zoning recommendations. You can review these in more detail on the report. I won't go through all of them now. But I would note that these are the recommended approaches, or that the recommended approaches that are in the petition are shaped by these principles.

And then in addition to that, there is a set of land use and development objectives which similarly inform

what's in this zoning petition. These play the role of specifying the outcomes that the recommended zoning would aim to achieve.

So now getting into the petition itself, the petition has four main parts that are based on the Task Force's recommendations. The first two parts are creating new Standards in the Zoning Ordinance for both flood resilience and heat resilience.

As far as we know, these are fairly new inventions. I sort of hesitate to say that a little bit, because clearly, we borrowed many ideas from other places. But as far as we know, no other community has implemented this particular type of standard in this way. So that is something we're going to talk about.

And then the second two parts of the petition are adjustments to our current zoning. And one set of adjustments is meant to try to clear away some of the potential impediments that might exist in our current zoning ordinance to achieving the development objectives that were laid out by the Task Force.

And another set is meant to more explicitly include resilience along with the other factors and

components that are part of our development review process.

So the Flood Resilience Standards start with what we're calling Long-Term Flood Elevations. We've abbreviated that LTFEs because it's hard to write out a bunch of times.

These are based on the type of information that was in that 2017 report. These are climate models that look at a 50-year time horizon. So we're looking to the year 2070 currently. They're not based on historical occurrences of flooding, so the real difference between the standards we're looking at now and the Standards that we currently have in place and that many other communities have in place around flooding is that they're not based on FEMA flood maps, which is -- which is purely historical.

These are based on what we've modeled based on the current and most up to date science on climate change regionally.

There are two different standards for flood elevation based on the probability that flooding at that level would occur. And I'll talk a little bit about that, the 10 percent and the one percent, because there were questions about that before.

And these are elevations that are already made

available by the City through the Department of Public Works. We have something called The Cambridge FloodViewer online tool.

And the new zoning, if adopted, would move those from kind of Advisory Standards to Regulatory Standards, so that the Standards for Flood Resilience would be based on that set of long-term elevations.

And those most importantly would be reviewed and updated periodically as climate models change, as our understanding changes, as conditions around the city change.

You know, one example that I brought up the last time is that, you know, one of the factors that has a major impact on storm -- potential for storm surge or sea level rise is the status of the Amelia Earhart Dam, which is not in Cambridge; is not something that we have complete control over.

And so, that is one of the factors that has to be reviewed and updated regularly in order to make sure that we're using the best projections.

Development that is subject to the Flood

Resilience Standards would need to determine what those

long-term flood elevations are. Some sites would not be

affected at all by these. So if you found that there was no applicable flood elevation, then it wouldn't -- you know, you wouldn't really have to do anything.

The way I've learned to make sense of these, the
-- actually I'll talk a little bit more about the 10 percent
and one percent later.

I do want -- because sometimes it can be a little bit difficult -- remind everyone that 10 percent is low -- probability is lower than one percent probability. So one percent probability is something that's a little bit less likely to happen over the lifetime of the building.

And what the Standards say is that occupiable parts of a building have to be protected up to the 10 percent probability. "Protection" means that any part of the building that's not elevated above that would have to have some kind of like a barrier to prevent, you know, floodwaters from going into it.

And then for habitable parts of the building and habitable -- you know, so we talked a little bit the last time about the difference between "occupiable" and "habitable." These are building code definitions.

"Occupiable" means spaces that are, you know, used for kind

of regular human activity, whereas "habitable" spaces are a little bit more specific. Those refer to residential areas that are for -- that are for sleeping and bathrooms also can count as habitable space.

So those are areas that could potentially be more sensitive to flooding, and so, that's why they would be required to meet the higher standard.

And the last thing I'll note, since I'm trying to go through this sort of quickly is that the -- any portion of the building that is below that one percent probability long-term flooding level would need to be designed to be recoverable, meaning that if there's flooding that occurs, it would not cause permanent damage to that space.

And under this formulation -- under the formulation of this petition for these Standards, like our other building and Site Design Standards; in Article 19 for example, the Planning Board could approve modifications through a special permit process.

And we talked a little bit the last time about applicability. And I think it might have been a little bit lost on people. But to try to state it a little bit more simply, this would parallel the Green Building Review

process for the most part. And that applies to developments that are 25,000 square feet or more.

Primarily that's new construction. It could also be additions, or in some cases rehab projects that involve change of use might be subject to Green Building Review.

And then secondarily -- and this is for projects that are less than 25,000 square feet -- these would apply to any new buildings or any substantial addition to a new building. I'll talk a little bit about how some of that breaks down.

But the idea is to have kind of a blanket applicability to the Green Building projects, but to also make sure that there is some way when -- you know, not to have to have to deal with small-scale rehab projects. But if there's some significant new construction taking place, we want to have a way to make sure that that's being -- that the flood vulnerability is being accommodated in the plans for that development.

And the procedures would -- are laid out in the petition. They involve submitting plans, elevations. DPW would do a review process at the first stage and determine if it meets the Standards.

And then at other steps in the process, the same materials would be submitted, updated as necessary and then checked off to make sure that it's still in compliance through construction.

So now the Heat Resilience Standards. And the proposal here in this petition is to use the Green Factor approach.

That was one of the approaches that was suggested in the Doug Brown Zoning Petition back in 2017. We -- as we looked at the this, we thought it was an interesting idea.

And we thought it was particularly well-suited to dealing with mitigating urban heat island effect. As far as we know, there aren't any standards that other communities have that specifically are focused on mitigating urban heat island impacts. We know that communities are trying to incorporate a lot of these types of measures into their cities in order to do that.

And our consultants for this process put really a lot of work into developing a scheme that assigns different weighting factors to different site design features that based on the research that the City and our environmental consultants have done have a demonstrable impact on reducing heat in a particular area.

And so, that's -- and those include tree canopies
-- particularly preserving existing mature trees, planting
new trees where they can be planted, incorporating green
roofs, incorporating shrub and lawn plantings at the ground
level as opposed to, you know, not having plantings.

And then, you know, where there are places where there does need to be paving on a site to make sure that that paving -- that those areas are shaded; in fact, not only for paved areas but for, you know, other parts of -- areas around the building are shaded, and that the paving that is used is -- has a high solar reflectivity.

And it gets a little bit technical to explain it, but the way that the Standard works is that you put all these different site features together and you use them to calculate a score, which is called the "Cool Score."

The target is to reach a Cool Score of 1.0. And what a 1.0 means is that the cool area, which is that weighted average of all the measures that are being used on the site, is greater than or equal to the Cool Target.

And the Cool Target is either the open space requirement in the Zoning District or is 20 percent, if the requirement is less than 20 percent. So there's a 20

percent kind of floor where every development would have to use that as their target.

There might be instances where this -- because like I said Green Building Review sometimes applies. So this is going to -- I'm kind of jumping around a little bit, but the applicability for these Standards would have more or less the same as for Flood Resilience. But because in some cases Green Building Requirements apply to rehab projects, so buildings being rehabbed for a change of use might be subject to Green Building Review.

There is a kind of a provision in here to say that if there's no increase in the footprint of a building, if you're just kind of working with an existing building in an existing site, rather than having to meet the cool -- meet the Cool Score of 1.0, which might be impossible to meet, if the site -- if the building and site are, you know, non-conforming in some ways, then the Standard is you have to make sure that the Cool Score is not reduced from the existing condition.

So an example of this might be if you're doing this type of rehab project and you're proposing to add parking on the site, and you're paving some part of the site

that wasn't previously paved, you might need to do additional tree planting or other Cool measure to offset that change in the landscape plan.

And I already mentioned that the applicability will be basically same as what I said before.

And the review process would involve also submitting a package of plans for review. This time it would be reviewed by CDD. We've developed a score sheet, which is provided.

If you look at our website for this, you can see a draft version of the Score Sheet. That would be something that the City would provide that a developer or their architect or their landscape designer could fill in with information that would be taken from the plans.

And like the Flood Resilience, you would have an initial submission, and then as it goes through the development process, you would make revised submissions and Staff would have to certify that the requirements are still being met.

So now shifting quickly to the next part of the zoning, which I'll go through a little more quickly, there are provisions in the Zoning that might make it difficult to

incorporate some of these measure that we're trying to incorporate, and this set of zoning changes is trying to make adjustments to remove those impediments.

So examples would be shade canopies where those count in your setback calculations and your gross floor area calculations. And we're proposing to change that.

This petition would also make things like elevated stairs and ramps exempt from some dimensional limitations. It would allow building height to be adjusted if there is a flood risk that -- and a building were elevated to try to mitigate that flood risk, then there would be a compensating increase in the height limit to be able to do that. I'll talk a little bit about that, because that came up as a question.

Green roofs are an area where right now we have provisions for green roofs in the Zoning, but we find that often they're not getting built -- at least not electively, we have requirements that put them in place in some cases.

But in order to provide some more encouragement, this petition proposes adjusting some standards that are currently somewhat of an impediment.

And for usable basements, it's a little bit of a

-- this petition proposes a little bit of a give and take where right now basement area is exempt without any -- and only in certain cases, and without any review for flood resilience.

And this petition would make those basements exempt in all cases, but with a requirement for a flood resilient design.

Those of you who looked closely at the zoning language would know that -- would see that we've also kind of moved some things around to try to create a little bit of a clear and more consistent Article 5, which we hope will be somewhat helpful.

And the final piece of the petition is adding some both submission requirements in Article 19 for projects that have to come to the Planning Board for a Project Review special permit, as well as some additional objectives that would be in place to guide both the City through our Design process internally as well as guide applicants and guide the Planning Board in making determinations about projects and their conformance with our Urban Design Objectives overall.

So, you know, again, these are -- this is a petition that incorporates recommendations that have

meant to provide not just -- not just narrowly focus on flooding and heat, but also provide co benefits to encourage things that are going to also help mitigate climate change through greenhouse gas reductions to help, you know, improve other aspects of our general environmental well-being.

And it's meant to be adaptable. So it's meant to be something that can be kept up with over time and adjustments can be made if we find that that's appropriate.

It sits alongside other regulations. Every time we talk about this, there is often -- someone will bring up the issue of, "Well, what about -- well, what about the City's sewer system, what about our stormwater, what about our infrastructure? How can we -- you know, how are we dealing with that?" We do deal with that in other ways.

There are Standards and Regulations that are applied to stormwater and sewer. And since Kathy is here, she can, you know, fill in any detail needed on that.

And this is meant to complement other Zoning

Regulations we already have in place, and which I've already

talked about -- the Green Building requirements, the Green

Roof requirements.

Permeable area is another thing that came up.

That is something that's sort of well-embedded in our zoning requirements now. And so, this is meant to complement that by having additional measures dealing with these specific climate impacts.

And we do expect to be looking at this on a regular basis and, you known, periodically looking at how effective these Standards are, recommending changes as well as just keeping up with the latest science and the latest understanding of what our climate change future looks like.

So I want to take a second just to talk about the discussion that we had the last time. There was -- it was an interesting discussion.

A lot of things came up that we would look at, as well as in the written comments that we received, both from the public and from Planning Board members and from members of the Climate Resilience Zoning Task Force. And many of those were really clarifications -- things that people thought were really important.

And we were able to cover I think most of the written comments that we received, as well as the discussion at the November meeting in the revised draft.

So we can -- we can look more at some of these changes, we can talk more about them, we can certainly get more written comments if -- if either members of the Board or members of the public or the Task Force have additional written comments they wanted to send to us, we can continue to look at some of these text changes.

We've already got -- I've already gotten at least one that I'm still looking at. So -- so there is the potential for some more revision.

There were also some more substantive points that came up the last time, which I thought were a little more interesting. And I didn't want to just blow past them. I wanted to take a second to at least try to give a little bit more understanding of where we were coming from on some of these substantive points.

There are some points that -- so I'm going to try to cover some of these. Some of these, they're also just kind of questions or I think just general points for discussion among the Board. So there were some questions around green roofs and, you know, some of these changes that we're proposing to make green roofs a little bit easier.

And there were questions about, "Well, is there a

concern about could that potentially create more activity on the rooftops? Could it generate sort of more noise or more nuisance?"

You know, we're trying to consider the tradeoffs here, and we can certainly talk about it a little bit more. As I mentioned before, one of the things that we've learned is that the regulations that we currently have, which in some cases are meant to prevent that kind of noise and nuisance, can also be a discouragement from people to actually build the green roofs.

So -- so we're, you know, we're trying to kind of weigh those things together, and we can always, you know, make some additional adjustments based on feedback.

And then another point that I thought was interesting -- I think maybe Hugh brought this up -- is, you know, how do you deal with changes over time? Like, when you have something like a Cool Score and you review what someone designs the landscape plan, how do you know that that's not all going to change and it's -- you know.

And that's -- honestly, it's an ongoing concern.

I think anytime we have standards around landscaping, it's a concern and whenever we have conditions around landscaping

as part of a larger Planning Board case, that's always something that comes up needing enforcement, especially for something like landscaping. It's never perfect. We think it's pretty good. And we think it often is, you know, not that -- you know, we often do find ways to catch when things are not being done the way they're supposed to be done. We have a very active citizenry in Cambridge that, you know, keeps an eye on things, especially some of our larger development projects, and can let us know.

So, you know, we -- so it's -- I just wanted to note there that it's -- you know, we can't promise that everything is going to be perfect, but I think that just by having a standard like that where we, you know, where we are looking at what the landscape design is, we're giving people feedback and we're -- you know, we're telling people what the standards are, and we're making sure that they're at least initially meeting a particular standard, I think that's -- that's sort of better than not starting with that standard, even if -- you know, the enforcement of that standard might not always be 100 percent.

There was a question about how many projects we think would be subject to this. So I did a little bit of

digging into the City's database -- in both our Green

Buildings database and also tried to look at some of the

building permit data that we have.

Some of this information is a little bit imperfect. Sometimes there's things like duplicate records that can be hard to sort of deal with. But in terms of Green Building projects, we tend to get about eight to 12 that kind of churn through a year in terms of the number of buildings that we get.

As you can see, it tends to be a lot of development -- you know, one to two million square feet.

That's -- and we talked about this with the Task Force too.

You know, there's -- you know, when it comes to new development in the city, a huge proportion of the new development is taking place within, you know, a relatively small number of the buildings.

You know, while the city as it exists today is very dispersed and there's lots of small buildings around the city, when it comes to new development just by square footage, a lot of that's happening in these larger projects. So we are really covering a lot of ground with Green Building Review.

On the other new construction -- so projects that are less than 25,000 square feet, you know, the number of permits goes up -- you know, certainly was up before COVID and then went down, and then is kind of back up and normalized again.

And I would say that most of these probably, or just a little over half of these kinds of new construction permits are for one or two-family dwellings.

So again, on kind of both sides of things, there's a lot that's being covered through the Green Building Review, but there is also a lot of opportunity to make sure we're incorporating resilience into new -- you know, one-and two-family dwellings that are kind of scattered throughout the city, even though those are probably making -- you know, if you look at it just by the numbers, those are probably a pretty small percentage of the total growth in housing we're seeing in the city. It is, you know, a segment of our housing that would really benefit if it's resilient.

So that's just one kind of question I just wanted to illuminate a little bit.

There was a question of what's the difference

between the 10 percent probability and 1 percent

probability. This has come up a few times. So most people

will look at the FloodViewer and say, "Well, it really

doesn't look like there's much difference. There's maybe,

like, six inches or so between, you know, the 10 percent and

the one percent probability, so what's -- you know, why

don't we just use, you know, pick one or use one or the

other?"

And I think that aside from looking at that, looking at the actual -- you know, height in elevation, you know, we spent some time looking at what the probabilities actually mean. And this chart I think is very -- it's like evocative. This is just a math chart, really.

And what it points out is that when you take an annual probability and play it over the life of a building, which is certainly -- we imagine -- will be at least 30 years; probably, you know, more than 30 years, the difference between the 10 percent probability and the one percent is 10 percent is something that it's probably going to happen.

Like, it's very likely that this -- you know, if the probabilities are right, you know, then that degree of

flooding is very likely to happen at some point over the life of the building.

know, some significant likelihood. But it's not -- it's certainly not, you know, it's not more than half, not more than 50/50 and, you know, it's significant enough to be noticeable but it's -- but it's also an area where if you go back to some of the principles of this task force, we wanted to make sure that we're balancing, you know, where we put Standards, where we think it's really important to have a Standard that has to be backed, and where we want to allow some flexibility to property owners.

So I think that's one area where, you know, certainly a property owner or a builder could build to the one percent probability. And we want to make it absolutely possible for them to do that through this zoning if they want to protect to that one percent probability.

But we think that there's -- there is some room to be flexible in that area, except when it comes to the habitable spaces and areas of the building that are significantly vulnerable like building systems and electrical shutoffs and things that could really cause a

significant problem if, if they were -- if that flooding were to occur.

Why? In terms of the height compensation, so we talked about how you can adjust the height but not more than four feet. There's nothing really magical about four feet, except that in our zoning that's -- four feet above grade is kind of the standard that we use to distinguish between what's, you know, kind of what's a basement and what's a story above grade.

So the purpose of this is to allow for some flexibility in height, but not to let -- not to change the zoning so that it would let additional stories be built onto a building.

So that's why that four-foot standard is set.

It's kind of meant to align with the other kind of height and story-based standards that we have in the Zoning Ordinance.

Whoops. And then -- I think this is the last one; yeah -- then the last one, which I think is, it's one of the more important questions, is -- I think Mary asked this -- you know, how does this affect or how does it interact with the Affordable Housing Overlay?

This is a question that came up several times in the Climate Resilience Zoning process. It also came up in the Affordable Housing Overlay process. If you remember, the AHO process was adopted while this Climate Resilience process was going on, and the Planning Board and the Council sort of talked about how those things fit together.

There was a desire expressed at the time that our Climate Resilience -- like our Green Building Requirements, the Climate Resilience Standards would become part of the -- you know, development that's coming under the Affordable Housing Overlay.

So a couple ways of addressing this question: One is that affordable housing was a really important consideration in this process. Affordable housing providers were part of this process, and there was significant effort made to make sure that those concerns that they raised were listened to and were addressed, and that the group -- you know, worked together to try to -- to try to find solutions.

Another way to look at it is that most of our affordable housing in Cambridge is highly sustainable, and for good reason.

You know, the development of affordable housing is

something that is usually a long-term investment, both in the community and -- you know, both community-wise in terms of housing people, but also in terms of -- you know, in terms of the actual investment.

So these are things that, you know, when affordable housing providers build buildings, they want to make sure that those buildings are going to stand the test of time.

Most of our housing -- affordable housing -- is highly sustainable. And some of the examples that we can look at, you know, these are both kind of in the Alewife area: The Finch Cambridge Project, which was not under the Affordable Housing Overlay, it was a comprehensive permit project. But it was -- it was completed.

52 New Street is a project that was recently reviewed by the Planning Board. Both of these do incorporate the Flood Resilience Standards that were are -- that are recommended here.

So we do have evidence from recent projects and even from recent projects in more flood prone areas that these standards can be achieved. And we don't have any specific reason to believe that these Standards can't be met

with affordable housing developments.

That said, in a sense, you know, the Affordable Housing Overlay is based around the idea that additional regulations can always have unintended consequences in specific situations.

And the Affordable Housing Overlay is intended to be flexible enough to allow as-of-right development. So this is something that we expect, you know, the Council is going to need to consider the policy tradeoffs here.

And there's going to need to be some thinking around what level of regulatory flexibility is needed, if I is needed, to ensure that projects under the Affordable Housing Overlay can continue to proceed as-of-right.

So that is just a little bit of insight into the discussion that the Board members had. Look forward to any more. This is where the process stands.

The Board's hearing is tonight. The Ordinance Committee is going to be Thursday, January 26. I think that's at noon. I think that will be a hybrid meeting for anyone that wants to attend either in person or remotely.

Now is the period where we can consider any kind of refinements to the petition or amendments to the

1 petition.

An example that we thought of, you know, before this hearing -- and this process is moving along kind of quickly -- is that we'll have to think about implementation. You know, if this is adopted, when it's adopted.

A lot of it is based upon Standards and
Regulations that will ultimately need to be promulgated.
And so, before adoption, we'll need to have some
recommendations for how the new Standards would get phased
in if the Council is in favor of adoption.

And if they are in favor of adoption, the City Council could adopt this by April 27.

So that is the presentation. And I'm happy to put slides back up at any time if the Board wants to look at them, and to answer any questions at the appropriate time.

Thank you.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Thanks, Jeff. So what we'll do now is go to public comment, and then come back for Board questions and discussion.

So for public comment, any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the button that says, "Raise hand." And if you're calling in by phone, you can

raise your hand by pressing *9.

And as of 5:00 p.m. yesterday, the Board had received no written communication on this case, but written communications received after 5:00 p.m. yesterday will be entered into the record.

I'll ask Staff will unmute speakers one at a time. You begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will confirm that we can hear you, and after that you'll have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.

JEFF ROBERTS: So looking at the list there are a couple hands raised. I'll ask everyone, as I always od, to raise your hand now if you expect to speak, so it gives us and the Board a good sense of how many speakers to expect. The first speaker is Patrick Barrett. You can begin with your name and address.

PATRICK BARRETT: Hello. My name is Patrick

Barrett, 41 Pleasant Street. I just wanted to ask a few

questions to the Board and just say that for the most part I

think a lot of this is reasonable stuff. Currently

designing a building based on this new zoning is expecting,

you know, the majority of it to pass.

The questions that I have really revolve around

ADA compliance in commercial districts. You know, because we're elevating buildings in flood zones, you're going to have to deal with ramping. You're going to have to deal with some of those -- dealing with those elevations to try to mitigate. I'm hoping that there could be something in the language. I think, you know, for a special permit review we have some flexibility.

But, you know, a lot of this is -- especially on narrow lots -- you know, look at Central Square in particular or East Cambridge where you have smaller properties that might be affected by this, it's going to be very complicated to build anything new in the commercial districts that require multiple uses at grade. And now we're going to have to sort of figure out how we ramp into them, how we enter the buildings.

The other portion is more nuanced -- and I think if that asked Jeff about this a while ago -- is the Green Score. I'm hoping that there's some flexibility.

Because a lot of the times -- especially, again I go back and really thinking about how these -- how this interacts with the business districts, where there isn't a requirement for open space or where aren't trees. And we're

planting -- oftentimes we're asked to plant trees on city property. So that could also be included in our Green Score.

You know, I'm thinking about my particular project, but there's lots of projects I think that could come about in Central Square where we'll be asked to modify sidewalks, asked to plant trees, and typically those would not be included in how I understand the Green Score to be. And I'm hoping that there can be some flexibility added for that.

Thank you. That's it.

JEFF ROBERTS: Thank you. And the next speaker is Heather Hoffman.

HEATHER HOFFMAN: Hello. Heather Hoffman, 213

Hurley Street. And I want to thank Patrick, who talked to

me some about this and about problems that he sees. And I'd

like to just expand a little bit on what he said.

I was very pleased to hear Jeff talking about all of the other elements that go into making a building actually happen as a 3D thing on the ground besides Zoning.

I have come to realize how many people think that you do the zoning and then everything else --

[Beep]

-- is magical. Sorry. Smoke alarm; my husband's cooking.

And so besides that, I thought of something. Jeff mentioned the Building Code, but I thought of something that has been raised in other conversations I've had with people recently. And that's the existence of solar panels. I'm sure that we encourage them, but they do not work terribly well if you block out the sun.

So have we thought about how this will all work in with solar panels? To the extent that any of the Standards end up requiring different dimensions of buildings, I remember that a -- that special zoning was passed for the Constellation Center because -- not for energy efficiency, but for keeping sound out of the different theaters from the other theaters. They were allowed to count how big their building was by a different standard.

If for example we have super insulation of some sort and that makes the material different than the square footage of a building, depending on how you count it, is that the kind of thing that this would have anything to do with?

And I'm glad to hear Patrick mention trees on City property. Just in general, I hope that we can agree with the City Council that trees are essential infrastructure and make sure that any standards that are adopted here truly provide not just room for but encouragement for trees and other greenery, including green roofs and other such things. So thank you very much.

JEFF ROBERTS: Thank you. That is -- oh, there is one more speaker. And that is Mike Nakagawa.

MIKE NAKAGAWA: Hi. Can you hear me?

JEFF ROBERTS: Yes.

MIKE NAKAGAWA: I was hoping that -- Doug is -- Brown is having trouble joining, and I was going to have him go first.

But speaking on behalf of the coauthors of the Climate Safety Petition, which kind of kicked off this process for Doug and I with the help of a few others and supported by many of the residents, I just want to thank you the Planning Board for taking this on as a Planning Board zoning petition.

I had a couple of quick points. I didn't quite get the applicability. The Affordable Housing Overlay was

addressed, but I do recall from the previous meeting of the Planning Board that the Board didn't want to deny resilience -- climate resilience for the affordable housing residents.

And I just wanted to make sure that's still the case.

In terms of the 10 percent versus one percent discussion, just want to point out that builders often buy a property and sell it to the new owners, who are stuck with whatever the builders decided to get away with, presuming that the City Guidelines would protect them.

Just want you to consider that if you want -- if you thought a one in four chance that your habitable areas would get flooded if that is really considered low risk.

But overall, I just want to say Doug and I think that this should have gone far, but for now let's just get something on the books. It's five years after our original proposal. And since then, many projects have been completed in that time frame. And we thank you for your support in getting this done quickly. Thank you.

JEFF ROBERTS: Thank you. And we have one more speaker. That's Marilee Meyer.

MARILEE MEYER: Hi. Marilee Meyer, 10 Dana

Street. And I'm actually new and rather a layman to this --

to this topic. But I'm wondering, in your slide

presentation, you showed the effect of the Green Score with

trees in the front yard, the more trees, the higher the

score kind of thing.

And you also stated that this is to be integrated with other zoning, so there will be some nuance and kind of checks and balances between all the different plans that are floating around.

This is a hypothetical; may not be fair. But if this petition was implemented before Jefferson Park plans in tearing down the 11 buildings and getting rid of the 114 trees or whatever it was/is, would this plan have -- would that plan have been treated differently with the trees and tearing down trees, or are -- is it a blanket kind of, "Well, if we take a mature tree, we can always put in two saplings"? I mean, would this -- would this plan have affected Jefferson Park?

And I have one more question that's kind of related, if I can just jump into it.

And if this is a resilience zoning, is it citywide, or is it -- does it just apply to the flood zones itself?

```
1
               If this is a more lenient zoning for the
2
     floodplains, can a developer use the same kind of leniency
 3
     on high ground and inland, where there would be a chance of
     less flooding?
 4
 5
               Could they look for a quicker way of doing things
    using this, when it doesn't really apply to where they're
 6
7
    building? Do you understand my question?
 8
               JEFF ROBERTS: We'll get to -- I think we'll avoid
9
    discussion about this until we get to the Planning Board.
10
              MARILEE MEYER: Okay.
11
               JEFF ROBERTS: Thank you for your comments.
                                                            Is
12
     there any more --
13
              MARILEE MEYER: Thank you.
14
               JEFF ROBERTS: -- that you want to say? Okay.
15
              MARILEE MEYER: No, I'm fine. Thank you, Jeff.
               JEFF ROBERTS: Thank you. So that is all the
16
17
     speakers. And so, we can go back to the Board.
18
              CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Okay. Thank you,
     Jeff.
19
20
               So now we will move from public comment to Board
    discussion. As usual, if we can do the hearing, there will
21
22
    be other opportunities for public comment. And as with any
```

```
zoning petition, there is -- as Jeff noted -- a public
1
 2
    hearing before City Council as well.
 3
               So let's start with questions for City Staff.
    Board members have questions for Staff?
 4
 5
               [Pause]
               Seeing no questions. Oh. Hugh?
 6
 7
               HUGH RUSSELL: I just want to know if -- in a way
8
     I'd sort of like a take on it from Commissioner Watkins.
 9
    And because the Staff bears a great deal of responsibility
     for implementing this, and does she -- where does she stand?
10
11
     Does she have reservations? Does she -- is she going to
     say, "Well, I can do this; I'm going to need some more
12
     Staff"? You know, it's not an isolated thing. This is past
13
14
     the Zoning thing.
15
               KATHY WATKINS: Thanks, Hugh. Great question.
                                                                So
    what I would say is that particularly on the flood
16
17
    resilience side, which is I think probably where the
18
    heaviest burden sort of falls on the Public Works group,
    we've really bene implementing this over the last number of
19
20
     years.
               And so, we've been working with developers,
21
22
    walking them through the FloodViewer, really sort of
```

strongarming people into doing what we're now talking about incorporating into Zoning.

So in some ways it's probably less work than what we've been doing, because it's more clear to developers, and people can sort of know what to expect.

So I would say, again, like, the conversations we've had people have been much more positive and responsive about incorporating the Flood Resilience measures that we're talking about. And so, we're actually really excited to see this be fully adopted and be sort of very clear what the expectations and requirements are.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Great. Steve?
[Pause]

Steve, you're muted.

STEVEN A. COHEN: There we go, sorry. Certainly, my understanding is everything that we're talking about and all of the changes that we're discussing are primarily about new construction and certain substantial changes of buildings. And that's very important in value. But of course, the vast majority of buildings in this city exist already. And so, even if this is passed and it's very positive, it's only going to impact a very small portion of

the buildings in the city.

And so I'm assuming that that is my understanding correctly, is there any possibility, any approach, any legal approach that could be taken that is being discussed at all, that would affect existing buildings?

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Jeff, you want to take that?

JEFF ROBERTS: I'll take it. And maybe I'll -CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Or Iram?

JEFF ROBERTS: -- and maybe I'll pass it to Iram too. Because I think that it goes to -- you know, one of the things I mentioned in this presentation. Really, Zoning is a particular kind of, you know, legal regulation that we have that can regulate land use and can set development standards for new land use. It does have, you know, protections based into it and, you know, we're kind of putting protections in sort of a different context than flood protection.

But, you know, buildings -- you know, they -there isn't a way through zoning to say that a new existing
building that was, you know, legal at the time it was built
has to be changed or modified in some way. So that kind of

speaks to this being part of a larger effort that the City is undertaking that involves a lot of different components.

I would say before passing it over to Iram, it was -- we did kind of look at that, but you kind of put it as a statement, but kind of a question of, like, "Well, how does the city break down in terms of new construction versus existing buildings?"

And we do find that, like, the -- the balance is sort of interesting in that, you know, certainly by number of buildings the majority of buildings in the city are, you know, older buildings built before sort of 1930 or something.

But, you know, as you look at just the square footage and you look at the number of units and housing where people live, like a lot of that is really tipping more towards, you know, newer construction.

And so there really is a lot of opportunity to make a difference through, you know, Standards for new development -- and, you know, more than it might seem if you just kind of look around a neighborhood that's -- you know, that's older.

So maybe we can talk a little bit more about the

1 kind of larger City efforts.

IRAM FAROOQ: Yeah. Thank you, Jeff. And through you, Chair, so it's -- you know, this particular effort sits within a microcosm of other efforts under the Resilient Cambridge rubric.

And so, Resilient Cambridge is a plan that has multiple sections. It deals with buildings. It deals with infrastructure. It deals with community and people. So all of those aspects need to be addressed. I mean, if we -- and there are a host of recommendations around each one of those.

So it's interesting when you asked the question, Steve, which I think is actually a really, really important question. But you zoomed out from, you know, new buildings to older buildings.

But in the course of the Task Force discussions, we -- as -- the more we talked, we ended up at, you know, "But what about people? Oh, but what about City parks and roadways?"

And so I just wanted to zoom out really, to say that we actually have action items under each of those umbrellas that we are already in process of implementing.

But it's going to be a long-term effort.

And between us and the Department of Public Works and Public Health Department, we were the three partners, but it also extends into other departments.

For existing buildings, I do think that we're -we feel that we are going to need to come up with additional
solutions, because while there are -- you know, with new
buildings there often is a lifetime of let's say 50 years or
so. A lot of developers are building now for that time
frame.

So you could imagine that buildings that were built 15,20 years ago are now ripe for retrofit of some sort. And we might these them in the next few years.

But -- but there are -- I live in a 110-year-old building. And we have a lot of buildings like mine that exist in the City of Cambridge that probably will outlast folks like me. And so, we do need to think about what are those measures.

And I don't know if Kathy will want to say this -to get into this a little more, so I'll give her -- I'll
turn it over to her when I'm done.

But DPW has already started putting out some

guidance for folks who are in -- particular in smaller buildings -- for, measures that one could take, that homeowners and others can take to retrofit their buildings each time they are making any kind of change.

But I also think that we as the city are going to need to do some -- some thinking about how we can support in even a bigger way both through education and also supporting -- financially supporting folks in doing so those kinds of retrofits.

Because right now, you know, like at some point in the next 10, 20,30 years, these things are going to become more profoundly impactful on people, particularly -- not throughout the city, but particularly in districts that are really prone to flooding. But the heat impacts actually are perhaps easier to deal with. But I will give -- turn it over to Kathy if she has additional questions.

KATHY WATKINS: Yeah. I would just say really briefly like, you know, the same kind of coordination that we do with, you know, developers are coming in -- you know, one of the -- really the goals behind the FloodViewer was to make the information as accessible as possible, so that we could sort of try to encourage people to have some

conversations and thoughts, you know, about existing buildings as well.

And so, it's certainly -- you know, a service we provide in terms of talking through (sic) people what the options are.

And then I think there's also, you know, additional new products that are coming on the market in terms of -- and this goes a little bit to I think Patrick's question about, you know, what do you do for a building where you don't want to elevate it because you're trying to make accessibility?

And so, I think, you know, there's additional new products coming in in terms of how do you protect buildings.

And so, I think it is definitely an evolution of things to come.

STEVEN A. COHEN: Yeah, if I could just follow up with a comment. I and many people in the city live in a building which is 100-150 years old. Nevertheless, my 150-year-old building has to go up to code in many respects, in safety and other elements.

And you could make the analogy with all sorts of other buildings, existing buildings, just as they have to

bring up to code and safety in many respects, you could at least in principle make certain arguments with respect to these issues that we're discussing today.

At least in principle, there isn't a difference between that -- you know, where it's safety. It's a different kind of safety, but it is still a long-term safety issue for the City.

And just one more comment about that, and that is that, you know, if we are going to possibly motivate older buildings to upgrade and address these issues that we're discussing, there are ways that the City could give them certain, you know, ways of making it more accommodating financially or otherwise -- perhaps through their taxes or otherwise.

So again, you know, if we were motivated to important not only just the existing buildings, I mean new buildings, but also existing buildings, if we were so motivated, I think there are ways that we could move forward a little bit there and motivate people to improve.

Long-term, you know, that's what we want to achieve, not just the very, very, very small proportion of buildings that are new. That's a very small proportion of

1 our city.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Thanks, Steve. I think what I heard Staff say this evening is that there's no one who disagrees with what you're saying about wanting to motivate all kinds of building owners and people throughout the city to do these things, and that Zoning is just one of the tools that we do that through that.

And it is a tool that is best designed to address buildings at the moment that they are undergoing major renovation and/or development.

But there are, as you noted, you know, building code requirements apply to buildings throughout their life, not just when they have to be evaluated for Zoning. So it's great to hear that the City is taking a comprehensive approach to really approaching all of the ways that this can be addressed.

And this zoning petition being put forward by the Planning Board is just one of those ways. So really approach that from everybody.

Ted, let's go to you next.

[Pause]

Ted, you're muted.

1 H THEODORE COHEN: I'm sorry.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Okay.

H THEODORE COHEN: Jumping into the conversation as one of the members on the Task Force, you know we went through 19 meetings and had all these discussions about how far zoning could go, what we could do through Zoning, what could, what couldn't be done and what had to be done through other processes. And also, you know, there were stakeholders from all parts of Cambridge.

And, you know, to a certain extent, this zoning proposal is filled with compromises. There are some people who would say, you know, housing is the most important thing we can do in the city, and there should be no restrictions that would impact on housing.

And there were other people who would say, you know, the most important thing is the tree canopy, and we should not allow anything that impacts on the tree canopy.

So there were compromises all along. Some people think this doesn't go far enough. Some people think it goes too far. But this was what the Committee -- the Task Force thought was doable right now. It was not an attempt to require every single and two-family homeowner right now to

raise their house four feet.

And so, the idea was that new construction could address these things right away, and similarly that alterations to existing properties that reached a certain standard would be required to meet these Standards right now.

You know, I think, Mike Nakagawa, you know, had it correct. Maybe this doesn't go as far as we want to go and as far as we'll need to go in 50 years from now.

But this is something we think we can do right now, and that it's important to do something right now and to get the city moving along in zoning and in other aspects to -- you know, make it continue to be a livable city dealing with the issues of flooding and heating that we know are going to come.

And so, you know, the questions are great. But I just want to remind people over a lengthy period of time, we were discussing these -- you know, we have two large universities that have historic buildings; they have to be dealt with. We have lots of other historic buildings that have to be dealt with. And so, you know, I think the Task Force felt that, you know, this is something we can do right

1 | now and ought to do right now.

And, you know, maybe it's just a first step. And maybe in five years there will be new things we can do.

Maybe in 10 years there will be further things we can do.

But it's, I think, incumbent upon the City to take at least this first step right now and get things in place for at least the new development and for major renovations and alterations.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Thanks, Ted.

Appreciate the comment. We've clearly segued directly into comments now. So I see Tom has his hand up. But other folks who want to kind of get in line to add their thoughts should feel free as well. Also, obviously questions to Staff still welcome. But we want to just pull the discussion right into the group here.

TOM SIENIEWICZ: Great.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Go ahead, Tom.

TOM SIENIEWICZ: Well, Thank you, Madam Chair.

And I sensed exactly what you just summarized in Ted's comments, which were very well put, and I really appreciate the perspective that he gave us on how the language was borne.

Just quick-- so very, very quickly, here's where

I'm feeling about it: Excited about imagining what the

architecture and materials of recoverable spaces look like.

I have some experience with my institutional users that

fortifying their buildings against the inevitable sea level

rise and climate change by necessity, because they're health

care institutions. And so, we're deep into it as design

teams on how to resist rising waters.

But the whole base of the buildings may change, or lower levels of the buildings may change in terms of their materials. And I hope it's not all concrete block, although I've made some good buildings out of concrete blocks.

Secondly, it's wonderful to see trees rationally codified within the Zoning Ordinance finally. And I think we'll start here. But I can sense that protecting trees and protecting the canopy in, I think, a very rational and creative way might spread to other areas of the Code. But this is really, really great to have that section.

Thirdly, as I mentioned, the work has been incredibly careful and systematic and thoughtful, both with the committee, but also, I sense that there was somebody working on this zoning language that had some experience

with it before.

And it's wonderful to see a lot petition that comes to us almost fully -- fully formed. In reading it, it was very hard to pick it apart and find any areas where I could improve on it.

And I guess lastly, where Jeff Roberts started, I also feel the pride in a planning effort that is leading the region and maybe the nation in terms of thinking about an inevitable changing world and its climate and the forces on the built environment. And I think that's spectacular.

And I very much appreciate it's not only us and CDD, but as Commissioner Watkins said, this is a perspective she's been bringing to petitioners as the current state of the art, and very much appreciates that the Zoning Ordinance is following her wisdom.

So that's where I am. I'm delighted to push this forward with a very positive recommendation as it stands.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Thank you, Tom.

Further comments from Board members? Ashley?

ASHLEY TAN: Thank you. I think last time reading it, as Tom said, it felt already 99 percent there. There's minor changes that can be tweaked.

And one thing that I was slightly concerned last time was this useable or occupiable green roof variant. I think with the changes this time around, you know, making sure there's a maintenance plan, further defining what can be in a green roof area, I think there's -- at least has satisfied my concerns.

And yeah. So that's all I have.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: It is interesting commenting on a petition that we ourselves have put forward for consideration. It's perfect. I wouldn't change a thing. Mary, can we get your comments next?

MARY FLYNN: Sure. So I really don't have much to add, if anything. You know, I think my colleagues have done a very good job of expressing the importance of moving forward.

You know, it's -- it doesn't include everything, but, you know, again as Ted said, you make compromises and you start somewhere and over time, you know, I think, you know, in some cases we're going to be forced to adjust, whether we like to or not. So I think this is the place to start and I do understand Steve's perspective.

I worry, you know, in particular about older homes

with basement apartments that might be in areas that are, you know, particularly vulnerable.

You know, I think with those, then, you get the tradeoff. If it's a small property and the owner is trying to get some income to help, you know, maybe stabilize his own -- his or her own situation, they may not be able to afford to the improvement.

So, you know, the question is what do you do? Not have the housing? Or it -- so it's complicated. So I think over time, you know, we'll continue to struggle with those issues and hopefully come up with some creative solutions.

So I'm 100 percent in favor of the -- of the petition. I think it should be a very positive recommendation. I think my colleagues have done a very good job of articulating all the reasons why. So I'm not going to go on any further. Thank you.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: All right. Thank you, Mary. Hugh?

HUGH RUSSELL: I think this is a model of how to approach legislation and changing. And I -- in the previous plan that came to us, I really felt we put together a tremendously good team on the Review Committee, on the

```
people who actually developed it, and as one of my
1
 2
     colleagues pointed out, the language of the petition is, you
 3
     know, incredibly thoughtfully crafted over something that is
    particularly complicated.
 4
 5
               So I'm all on board to forward it to the Council
    with the most glowing recommendation we can give it.
 6
 7
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Excellent. All
8
     right. Well, I hear a lot of consensus around forwarding
 9
     that positive recommendation.
10
               Are there any suggestions or changes that we want
11
     to include in that recommendation? I think we had most of
12
     our suggestions for changes addressed by Staff in between
    when they presented a draft to us and what it was forwarded
13
14
     to the Council.
15
               But if anyone feels strongly that there are other
     things that we need to note, this would be the time to do
16
17
     it. Otherwise, we could just forward a glowing
18
     recommendation.
               Okay, hearing no suggestions for changes, which is
19
20
     awesome -- always good to hear -- then is there a motion
     that we recommend the petition be adopted?
21
```

STEVEN A. COHEN: Steve so moved. Glowing

22

```
recommendation.
 1
 2
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: [Laughter] Excellent.
     Is there a second?
 3
               TOM SIENIEWICZ: Second.
 4
 5
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Roll call vote?
               JEFF ROBERTS: On that motion, Ted Cohen?
 6
 7
               H THEODORE COHEN: Most definitely.
               JEFF ROBERTS: Make that a yes?
 9
               STEVEN A. COHEN: Yes.
10
               JEFF ROBERTS: Steven Cohen?
11
               STEVEN A. COHEN: Yes.
               JEFF ROBERTS: Mary Flynn?
12
13
               MARY FLYNN: Yes.
14
               JEFF ROBERTS: Hugh Russell?
15
               HUGH RUSSELL: Yes.
16
               JEFF ROBERTS: Tom Sieniewicz?
17
               TOM SIENIEWICZ: Yes.
18
               JEFF ROBERTS: Ashley Tan?
19
               ASHLEY TAN: Yes.
20
               JEFF ROBERTS: And Catherine Preston Connolly?
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Yes.
21
22
               [All vote YES]
```

```
JEFF ROBERTS: That is all members voting in
1
 2
     favor. And thank you.
 3
     CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: All right. Thank you all very
    much. And thank you to the Staff and Committee members, all
 4
     the volunteers, everyone who worked on this. It has been a
5
 6
     long haul to get here, but it is an excellent kind of first
7
     legislative step, so thank you all.
9
     (8:01 p.m.)
     Sitting Members: Catherine Preston Connolly, Mary T. Flynn,
10
11
                       Steven A. Cohen, H Theodore Cohen, Hugh
12
                       Russell, Tom Sieniewicz, and Ashley Tan
13
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: All right. We can
14
     then move on to the next item on our agenda, which is a
15
     review of a BZA case to be heard on January 12. This is a
    matter of General Business. And our action is to decide
16
17
    whether or not to make any recommendations to the BZA on
     this case.
18
19
               One of the Board members asked to discuss BZA No.
20
     203056, which seeks dimensional relief to convert an office
21
     space to a residential dwelling at 358 Broadway.
22
     So Mike Witt, a representative of -- the property owner,
```

```
excuse me -- is present.
1
 2
     Do Board members have any questions for the representative
     or for CDD Staff?
 3
               HUGH RUSSELL: Um--
 5
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Hugh, go ahead.
               HUGH RUSSELL: So I was the one who asked this be
 6
7
    brought forward. And it's really very simple. It's a
     small, nonconforming building. It has mixed-use in it, and
9
     the goal is to create a -- on the second floor, part of the
     second floor into a residential space.
10
11
               This is just the sort of thing that shouldn't even
12
     require Zoning Board action. I think we just want to
     endorse it to let the Zoning Board know that we the Planning
13
14
    Board feel this is a very positive step and it is part of
15
    what -- part of the solution to get more housing in the
16
     city.
17
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Okay. Other Board
18
    member comments or questions on that proposal from Hugh that
    we make a positive recommendation to endorse this second-
19
20
     floor convention? Mary?
               MARY FLYNN: I concur with Hugh. I think it makes
21
22
    a lot of sense that we go on record, because it is -- it is
```

```
a positive move and, you know, it doesn't add great numbers
1
 2
     to the housing stock, but every little bit helps so. A unit
 3
     is a unit, so.
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Okay.
5
               MARY FLYNN: Let's make -- drive the point home.
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: All right. Are there
 6
7
    any Board members with objections to making that positive
    recommendation?
9
               Seeing none, are there -- is there a motion to
10
     forward a positive recommendation to the BZA on this matter?
11
               JEFF ROBERTS: So move.
12
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: [Laughter] Hugh moves.
13
               STEVEN A. COHEN: Second.
14
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Steve seconds.
15
    roll call vote?
               JEFF ROBERTS: On that motion, Ted Cohen?
16
17
              H THEODORE COHEN: Yes.
18
               JEFF ROBERTS: Steve Cohen?
               STEVEN A. COHEN: Yes.
19
20
               JEFF ROBERTS: Mary Flynn?
              MARY FLYNN: Yes.
21
22
               JEFF ROBERTS: Hugh Russell?
```

```
HUGH RUSSELL: Yes.
1
 2
               JEFF ROBERTS: Tom Sieniewicz?
 3
               TOM SIENIEWICZ: Yes.
 4
               JEFF ROBERTS: Ashley Tan?
 5
               ASHLEY TAN: Yes.
               JEFF ROBERTS: Catherine Preston Connolly?
 6
 7
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY:
               [All vote YES]
 9
               JEFF ROBERTS: That's all members voting in favor.
10
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Excellent.
                                                        All
11
     right.
12
     (8:01 p.m.)
13
14
     Sitting Members: Catherine Preston Connolly, Mary T. Flynn,
15
                       Steven A. Cohen, H Theodore Cohen, Hugh
16
                       Russell, Tom Sieniewicz, and Ashley Tan
17
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: We'll move on to our
18
    next agenda item, which is the annual election of Planning
    Board Chair. The Chair serves a term of one year. I will
19
20
     open the floor to nominations and start by my -- taking my
21
    Chair's prerogative here to nominate Mary Flynn as the next
22
    Planning Board Chair. Are there other nominations?
```

```
STEVEN A. COHEN: I would nominate the existing
1
2
    Chair. Is she interested, or is she out of it?
 3
              MARY FLYNN: So Mary and I obviously have had a
 4
    conversation about this.
5
               STEVEN A. COHEN: I see. You've already made it
    call. I --
 6
 7
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Well --
 8
              MARY FLYNN: I tried, Steve.
9
               STEVEN A. COHEN: I hold back my recommendation.
10
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: [Laughter]. I would
11
    not nominate Mary had I not consulted with her and confirmed
12
    her willingness to serve ahead of time. So.
13
               STEVEN A. COHEN: I thought you were just being
14
    polite.
15
              CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: [Laughter].
16
              MARY FLYNN: Believe me, I tried to persuade her
17
    to continue on, because she does an excellent job. However
18
19
               STEVEN A. COHEN: We've seen you from time to time
20
    and you've done an excellent job as well. And you will be
21
     in the year to come.
22
              CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: All right. If there
```

```
are no other nominees, is there a motion to close
 1
 2
    nominations?
 3
               H THEODORE COHEN: So moved.
 4
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Is there a second
 5
               STEVEN A. COHEN: Second.
 6
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Roll call vote?
 7
               JEFF ROBERTS: On that motion, Ted Cohen?
               H THEODORE COHEN: Yes.
 9
               JEFF ROBERTS: Steve Cohen?
10
               STEVEN A. COHEN: Yes.
11
               JEFF ROBERTS: Mary Flynn?
12
               MARY FLYNN: Yes.
13
               JEFF ROBERTS: Hugh Russell?
14
               HUGH RUSSELL: Yes.
15
               JEFF ROBERTS: Tom Sieniewicz?
16
               TOM SIENIEWICZ: Yes.
17
               JEFF ROBERTS: Ashley Tan?
18
               ASHLEY TAN: Yeah.
19
               JEFF ROBERTS: Catherine Preston Connolly?
20
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Yes.
21
               [All vote YES]
22
               JEFF ROBERTS: That's all seven members voting in
```

```
favor of I believe it's to close nominations.
1
2
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Correct. So now we
 3
    have a roll call vote to elect Mary Flynn as Planning Board
    Chair for a term of one year. Jeff, do you want to do the
4
5
    roll call on that?
6
               JEFF ROBERTS: Yes. I will do the roll call on
7
    that. So this is on electing Mary Flynn as Chair for the
8
    next year.
9
              CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Yep.
              JEFF ROBERTS: Ted Cohen?
10
11
              H THEODORE COHEN: Yes, indeed.
12
              JEFF ROBERTS: Steven Cohen?
13
              STEVEN A. COHEN: Yes.
14
              JEFF ROBERTS: Mary Flynn?
15
              MARY FLYNN: Yes.
16
              JEFF ROBERTS: Hugh Russell?
17
              HUGH RUSSELL: Yes, gladly.
18
              JEFF ROBERTS: Tom Sieniewicz?
19
              TOM SIENIEWICZ: Yes.
20
              JEFF ROBERTS: Ashley Tan?
21
              ASHLEY TAN: Yes.
22
              JEFF ROBERTS: Catherine Preston Connolly?
```

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Yes. 1 2 [All vote YES] 3 JEFF ROBERTS: So that's all members voting in favor, and Mary's elected Chair. 4 5 CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: All right. JEFF ROBERTS: Beginning the next -- I believe 6 7 beginning the next meeting. CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: That is customary for 9 certain. So I get to do one last act here, then we'll 10 accept nominations for Vice Chair to serve a term of one 11 year. 12 CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Mary? 13 MARY FLYNN: Well, I'm not willing to let you give 14 up all responsibility for Chairing these meetings. I am 15 going to nominate Catherine Preston Connolly as Vice Chair. CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: You know, these are 16 17 the deals you have to make sometimes to get someone to take 18 on the role. So -- I would accept that nomination. STEVEN A. COHEN: This is how deals are made. 19 20 CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Are there any other 21 nominations? Anyone want to, you know, step into the Vice 22 Chair role, so that -- you know, Mary doesn't need me?

1	[Pause]
2	Then is there a motion to close nominations?
3	MARY FLYNN: So moved.
4	STEVEN A. COHEN: Second.
5	CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Roll call vote on
6	closing nominations.
7	JEFF ROBERTS: On that motion, Ted Cohen?
8	H THEODORE COHEN: Yes.
9	JEFF ROBERTS: Steve Cohen?
10	STEVEN A. COHEN: Yes.
11	JEFF ROBERTS: Mary Flynn?
12	MARY FLYNN: Yes.
13	JEFF ROBERTS: Hugh Russell?
14	HUGH RUSSELL: Yes.
15	JEFF ROBERTS: Tom Sieniewicz?
16	TOM SIENIEWICZ: Yes.
17	JEFF ROBERTS: Ashley Tan?
18	ASHLEY TAN: Yeah.
19	JEFF ROBERTS: Catherine Preston Connolly?
20	CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Yes.
21	[All vote YES]
22	JEFF ROBERTS: So that's all members voting in

```
favor and nominations are closed.
1
 2
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: All right. And then
 3
    we'll do a roll call vote to elect myself, Catherine Preston
    Connolly, as Vice Chair for a one-year term.
 4
5
               JEFF ROBERTS: On the election, Ted Cohen?
               H THEODORE COHEN: Yes.
 6
 7
               JEFF ROBERTS: Steve Cohen?
               STEVEN A. COHEN: Yes.
 9
               JEFF ROBERTS: Mary Flynn?
10
              MARY FLYNN: Yes.
11
               JEFF ROBERTS: Hugh Russell?
12
              HUGH RUSSELL: Yes; also gladly.
13
               JEFF ROBERTS: Tom Sieniewicz?
14
               TOM SIENIEWICZ: Yes.
15
               JEFF ROBERTS: Ashley Tan?
              ASHLEY TAN: Yes.
16
17
               JEFF ROBERTS: Catherine Preston Connolly?
18
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Yes.
19
               [All vote YES]
20
               JEFF ROBERTS: So that's all members voting in
    favor of electing Catherine Preston Connolly Vice Chair.
21
22
               STEVEN A. COHEN: This was much better than, you
```

```
know, the vote for the speaker of the -- you know, of that.
1
 2
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: We did discuss
 3
     drawing it out for the drama, but --
               STEVEN A. COHEN: Yeah, exactly.
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: -- people might
 5
    prefer having some of their evening back instead.
 6
 7
               STEVEN A. COHEN: We could have done this for a
8
    week.
 9
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: All right. Well,
     that concludes the business on our agenda tonight. Any
10
11
     additional comments from Staff?
12
               JEFF ROBERTS: I don't have anything more.
     I see, maybe has something more to add?
13
14
               IRAM FAROOQ: I just wanted to say a huge thank
15
     you, because the Resilience Zoning work has taken -- you
     know, has been shepherded by Staff for such a long time, and
16
17
     not just the people in the room right now.
18
               And so, just a lot of appreciation for the Board
19
     and your openness to seeing, stepping into a -- you know,
20
    what is going to be interesting new territory and both for
    Staff as well as for the Planning Board as you -- as you all
21
22
    were viewing applications that come in under this zoning,
```

assuming that it's adopted by City Council. 1 2 So we are delighted to be moving, you know, for --3 to be one step closer to that. And really appreciate the Board's support in all of this. And also wanted to echo the 4 5 word of thanks from the Board to Jeff for always doing such an incredible job on --6 H THEODORE COHEN: 7 8 CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Hear, hear. 9 IRAM FAROOQ: -- thank you. 10 CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Excellent. Thank 11 you, Iram. JEFF ROBERTS: I actually do have one thing to 12 13 I sort have thought maybe Iram was going to say it, 14 but I thank Iram for that. I wanted to also just 15 congratulate Mary on the election. So it will be a great 16 year. 17 I need to thank Catherine for the years that 18 you've spent in the Chair role. It's really been a 19 remarkable run. We've been through quite a lot and we've 20 seen a lot of changes in the Planning Board format. And,

you know, from -- I think on behalf of all the Staff, I

don't think -- I don't know how we would have gotten through

21

22

```
it. So -- so thank you so much and we really appreciate it.
1
 2
               IRAM FAROOQ: Yes. Thank you.
 3
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: It's been my honor to
 4
     serve.
5
               STEVEN A. COHEN: How many -- how many years was
 6
     it, Mary? I mean --
7
              MARY FLYNN: So far none, Steve.
 8
              COLLECTIVE: [Laughter]
               STEVEN A. COHEN: Will you last as many years?
9
10
              MARY FLYNN: Well, time will tell. You never
11
     know.
12
              CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: It's one year at a
13
    time.
14
              MARY FLYNN: One year at a time. Who knows? I
15
    may decide to travel the world or something.
16
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Well --
17
              MARY FLYNN: We'll see.
18
              CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: I see both Ted and
    Mary have their hands up to say something before we adjourn.
19
20
    So ted, let me turn it to you?
21
              H THEODORE COHEN: Well, I just wanted to applaud
22
    and thank you, Catherine, for the tremendous job you have
```

```
done as Chair in this incredibly difficult time period. You
1
 2
     know, I can't imagine what it has been like to deal with
 3
     this in the pandemic and doing it through Zoom and herding
     all of us at all times.
 4
 5
               So I just wanted to go on record to, you know, to
 6
     thank you because you've done a phenomenal job.
 7
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Oh, well.
 8
               STEVEN A. COHEN: Hear, hear. I think we'll agree
 9
    with that.
10
               MARY FLYNN:
                            Yes.
11
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Well, as all of you
12
    have noted with regard to the Petition, we have an amazing
     Staff. And as I, you know, experience each week anew when
13
14
     I'm going through the helpful notes and scripts that they
15
    prepare for me, I could not have done this without their
    professionalism. And it's been a great partnership.
16
17
               And, you know, as I told my husband when I let him
18
     know that I was no longer going to be Chair, it's like, "No,
     you're not going to have to start seeing me on Tuesday
19
20
    nights, I'm still going to be on the Board."
21
               COLLECTIVE: [Laughter]
22
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: You know?
```

I'm not going anywhere. I'm looking forward, frankly, to being in a different role on the Board most of the time, and to being able to step in when Mary needs a break from chairing, as all Chairs do from time to time.

So Mary, should I let you have the last word here tonight?

MARY FLYNN: Sure. Yes. Well, I again want to thank you for -- for a great job for the last I don't know how many, three or four years? I mean, it really has been difficult with COVID and all.

But I also want to thank you for agreeing to stay on as Vice Chair because, you know, I think your expertise is going to be very helpful to me as I take this on.

And my thanks to my fellow Board members for your votes of support. I'm very happy that we only did it in one ballot, even though I too thought that perhaps a little competition would be nice. But nonetheless, it's nice to be efficient. So thank you all very much. I appreciate it and look forward to the next year.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Awesome. All right. And then with that, we are adjourned. Have a good night, everyone.

Page 87

```
MARY FLYNN: Goodnight, all.
 1
 2
               COLLECTIVE: Goodnight.
     [8:15 p.m. End of proceedings.]
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
```

1	ERRATA SHEET
2	Page Line 'Change From' 'Change To' Reason for change
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	I have read the foregoing transcript of the Planning
16	Board Meeting, and except for any corrections or changes
17	noted above, I hereby subscribe to the transcript as an
18	accurate record of the proceedings.
19	
20	
21	Name Date
22	
- -	

CERTIFICATE
Commonwealth of Massachusetts
Middlesex, ss.
I, Catherine Burns, Notary Public in and for the
Commonwealth of Massachusetts, do hereby certify that the
above transcript is a true record, to the best of my
ability, of the proceedings.
I further certify that I am neither related to nor
employed by any of the parties in or counsel to this action,
nor am I financially interested in the outcome of this
action.
In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand this
Notary Public
My commission expires:
July 28, 2028
Catherine M. Burns Notary Public COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
My Commission Expires July 28, 2028

22

	50 (50 1 (1 165 17	(5.10.20.67.11	70 20 21 00 17
A	58:6 59:16	ahead 65:17	65:10,20 67:11	78:20,21 80:17
abbreviated	60:7,12 82:11	73:5 76:12	83:3 84:1	80:18 81:15,16
20:3	additions 24:4	AHO 41:4 aim 19:3	86:18	aside 38:9
ability 89:7	address 45:7,15 61:10 62:8	alm 19:3 alarm 48:2	appreciates 67:14	asked 40:20
able 29:12 32:20	64:3	Alewife 42:11		46:17 47:1,6,7 57:12 72:19
69:6 86:3	addressed 41:17	align 40:15	appreciation 82:18	73:6
absent 4:11	50:1 57:9	allow 29:9 39:11	approach 25:6	asking 4:5
absolutely 39:15	62:16 70:12	40:10 43:7	55:3,4 62:15	asking 4.5 aspects 31:6
accept 11:6	addressing	63:17	62:19 69:20	57:9 64:12
79:10,18	41:12	allowed 48:16	approaches	assessment
accessibility	adjourn 84:19	alongside 31:10	18:18,19 25:7	14:17,21 17:13
60:11	adjourned	alterations 64:4	approaching	assigns 25:18
accessible 59:21	86:21	65:8	62:15	Assistant 1:12
accommodate 9:9	adjust 40:4	amazing 85:12	appropriate	6:3
accommodated	68:19	Amelia 21:14	31:9 44:15	assuming 55:2
24:17	adjusted 29:9	amend 12:15	Approval 10:22	83:1
accommodating	adjusting 29:20	amendment	approve 23:17	attached 14:22
61:12	adjustments	7:11,20	approved 8:18	attempt 63:21
accurate 88:18	19:16,17 29:3	amendments	April 44:12	attend 43:20
achieve 19:3	31:9 34:13	43:22	architect 28:13	attendance 4:6
61:21	Administration	analogy 60:21	architects 16:10	audible 4:6,9,12
achieved 42:21	6:6	and/or 62:10	architecture	4:13,15,16,18
achieving 19:19	adopt 9:11	anew 85:13	66:3	4:19,21,22 5:2
act 79:9	44:12	annual 38:15	area 25:22 26:17	5:3,5,6,9,10
Acting 6:11	adopted 9:5	75:18	29:5,15 30:2	audio 3:22
action 8:13 13:7	15:17 21:4	answer 44:15	32:1 39:7,13	available 10:1
13:11 57:21	41:4 44:5,5	anticipate 14:8	39:19 42:12	21:1
72:16 73:12	49:4 54:10	anytime 34:21	68:5	average 26:18
89:9,11	70:21 83:1	apart 67:4	areas 15:12 23:2	avoid 52:8
active 35:7	adopting 9:14	apartments 69:1	23:5 26:8,9,10	awesome 70:20
activity 23:1	adoption 2:5	Appeal 2:8	39:20 42:20	86:20
34:1	44:8,10,11	appears 5:12	50:11 66:17	B
Acts 3:12	Advisory 21:5	applaud 84:21	67:4 69:1	Bacci 4:9
actual 38:10	affect 40:21 55:5 afford 69:7	applicability 23:20 24:12	arguments 61:2 art 67:14	back 7:6 10:13
42:4	affordable 16:5	27:6 28:4	Article 23:16	13:6,7,10,18
ADA 46:1	40:22 41:3,10	49:22	30:11,14	25:8 37:4 39:8
adaptable 31:7	41:13,14,20,22	applicable 22:2	Articles 12:15	44:14,19 46:20
add 27:21 65:12	42:6,9,13 43:1	applicants 3:14	articulating	52:17 76:9
68:13 74:1	43:2,6,12	30:18	69:15	82:6
82:13 83:13	49:22 50:3	applications	as-of-right 43:7	backed 39:11
added 47:9	agencies 17:10	82:22	43:13	balance 56:8
adding 8:15	agenda 6:18,19	applied 31:17	Ashley 1:10 3:6	balances 51:7
30:13 addition 17:9	10:22 72:14	applies 24:1	5:4,6,7,19	balancing 39:9
	75:18 82:10	27:4	10:20 11:22	ballot 86:16
18:21 24:8 additional 28:2	ago 46:17 58:12	apply 24:7 27:8	12:1,12 67:19	Barrett 45:14,16
30:16 32:4	agree 49:2 85:8	51:21 52:6	67:20 71:18,19	45:17
33:4 34:13	agreed 7:4	62:12	72:12 75:4,5	barrier 22:16
40:12 43:3	agreeing 86:11	appreciate	75:16 77:17,18	base 66:9
10.12 73.3		••	ĺ	

				Tage 71
based 19:5 20:5	board 1:1 2:5,8	23:10,16,22	calculate 26:15	68:8 69:17
20:8,12,14,14	2:10 3:9,14,20	24:5,9,12	calculations	70:7 71:2,5,20
20:18 21:6	4:5 5:12 6:11	26:10 27:4,8	29:5,6	71:21 72:3,10
25:20 34:13	6:19 7:1,2,3,5	27:10,12,13,16	call 3:16 11:11	72:13 73:5,17
43:3 44:6		29:9,10 31:21	14:18 71:5	· ·
	7:22 8:3,12,22	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		74:4,6,12,14
45:20 55:16	9:18,20 10:10	36:3,7,22	74:15 76:6	75:6,7,10,14
basement 30:2	10:12,22 11:3	37:10 38:15	77:6 78:3,5,6	75:17 76:7,10
40:8 69:1	12:15 13:6,8	39:2,20,21	80:5 81:3 called 21:2	76:15,22 77:4
basements	16:5 17:14,16	40:13 41:8	26:15	77:6,19,20
29:22 30:5	23:17 30:15,19	45:20 47:19		78:2,9,22 79:1
basically 28:5	32:16 33:3,19	48:5,17,20	calling 20:3 44:22	79:5,8,12,15
basis 32:7	35:1 41:5	52:7 55:21		79:16,20 80:5
bathrooms 23:3	42:16 43:15	58:9,15 60:9	Cambridge 1:2	80:19,20 81:2
bear 7:8	44:14,19 45:2	60:18,19 62:5	1:6 3:8 4:1,3	81:3,17,18,21
bears 53:9	45:13,18 49:19	62:11 73:8	7:13 10:4	82:2,5,9 83:8
Beep 48:1	49:19 50:2,2	buildings 9:4 24:8 27:9 36:2	12:16 14:18	83:10,17 84:3
beginning 79:6	52:9,17,20		16:1,13 21:2	84:12,16,18,22
79:7 behalf 49:15	53:4 62:18	36:9,16,18	21:15 35:7	85:7,11,22 86:20 89:4
83:21	67:19 70:5	42:6,7 46:2,15	41:20 42:12	
	72:19 73:2,12	48:12 51:11	46:10 57:5,6	cause 23:13
believe 13:1	73:13,14,17	54:19,20 55:1	58:16 63:9	39:22 CDD 4.9 6.5
42:22 76:16 78:1 79:6	74:7 75:19,22	55:5,19 56:7	Canal 7:21	CDD 4:8 6:5 12:18 28:8
bene 53:19	78:3 82:18,21	56:10,10,11 57:7,14,15	cancellation 7:15	67:12 73:3
benefit 37:18	83:5,20 85:20 86:2,14 88:16	58:5,8,11,15	cannabis 8:9	Center 48:14
benefits 31:3	*			Center 48.14 Central 46:9
best 14:9 21:19	Board's 13:7,11 43:17 83:4	59:2,3 60:2,13	canopies 26:1 29:4	47:6
62:8 89:6	books 50:15	60:22,22 61:10 61:16,17,17,22	canopy 63:16,17	certain 30:3
better 35:18	borne 65:22	62:9,12 64:19	66:16	54:18 61:2,12
81:22	borrowed 19:11	64:20 66:5,9	care 9:18 66:7	63:10 64:4
big 48:16	break 56:6 86:3	66:10,12	careful 66:20	79:9
bigger 59:7	breaks 24:10	built 29:17	cares 10:12	certainly 33:2
Binney 7:14	briefly 59:18	40:12 55:21	case 2:3 35:1	34:5 37:3
bit 19:10 20:19	bring 31:11 61:1	56:11 58:12	45:3 50:4	38:16 39:5,14
22:5,8,10,19	bringing 67:13	67:10	72:15,18	54:15 56:9
23:2,19,20,21	broader 10:11	bunch 20:4	cases 2:8 24:4	60:3
24:9 26:12	Broadway 2:8	burden 53:18	27:8 29:18	CERTIFICA
27:5 29:13,22	72:21	Burns 89:4	30:3,6 34:8	89:1
30:1,10 33:13	brought 15:22	business 2:4,9	68:19	certified 11:1
33:21 34:5	16:8 21:11	46:21 72:16	catch 35:5	certify 28:18
35:22 36:4	34:15 73:7	82:10	Catherine 1:7	89:5,8
37:21 43:14	Brown 15:15	button 44:21	3:4,7,9 4:7 5:7	Chair 1:7,7 2:10
47:17 56:22	25:8 49:13	buy 50:6	5:10,11,13,17	3:10 6:2 10:13
60:8 61:19	build 34:10	BZA 72:15,17	5:20 10:14,18	57:3 65:18
74:2	39:14 42:6	72:19 74:10	10:21 11:9,11	75:19,19,22
blanket 24:11	46:12	BZA-2030562:8	12:2,3,6,10,13	76:2 78:4,7
51:14	builder 39:14		44:17 52:18	79:4,10,15,22
block 48:9 66:11	builders 50:6,8	C	54:12 55:6,9	81:4,21 83:18
blocks 66:12	building 22:11	C 3:1	62:2 63:2 65:9	85:1,18 86:12
blow 33:12	22:13,15,18,21	cable 4:2	65:17 67:18	Chair's 75:21

				rage 72
chairing 79:14	53:2,3 54:20	71:10,11 72:11	6:11,13 53:8	concerned 68:1
86:4	55:1 56:1,6,10	72:11 74:13,16	67:12	concerns 41:16
Chairs 86:4	57:1,18 58:16	74:17,18,19	committee 7:2	68:6
chance 50:11	59:5,13 60:17	75:15,15 76:1	8:17,21 43:18	concludes 82:10
52:3	61:7,11 62:1,6	76:5,9,13,19	63:20 66:21	concrete 17:15
change 6:20	62:14 63:13	77:3,5,7,8,9,10	69:22 72:4	66:11,12
12:17 14:17	64:12,13 65:5	78:10,11,12,13	Committees 8:8	concur 73:21
16:3 17:7,12	73:16 83:1	79:19 80:4,7,8	Commonwealth	condition 27:19
20:15 21:9,10	city's 3:20 6:13	80:9,10 81:5,6	89:2,5	conditions 14:7
24:5 27:9 28:3	14:22 17:6	81:7,8,22 82:4	communication	14:8 21:10
29:6 31:4	31:13 36:1	82:7 83:7 84:5	45:3	34:22
32:10 34:19	citywide 51:21	84:9,21 85:8	communicatio	confirm 5:8 45:8
40:11 59:4	clarifications	collaborating	45:4	confirmed 76:11
66:6,9,10	32:18	17:9	communities	conformance
68:10 88:2,2,2	clear 18:11	colleagues 6:8	10:4 20:11	30:20
changed 55:22	19:17 30:11	68:13 69:14	25:12,14	conforming
changes 21:10	54:4,10	70:2	community 1:11	27:17
29:2 32:8 33:2	clearly 19:11	COLLECTIVE	2:4 5:21 6:3	congratulate
33:6,20 34:16	65:10	84:8 85:21	9:4 10:6,11	83:15
54:17,18 67:22	click 44:21	87:2	15:15 16:1,6	Connolly 1:7 3:4
68:3 70:10,12	climate 2:7 6:20	come 9:16 17:15	19:12 42:2	3:7,9 5:8,10,13
70:19 83:20	10:10 12:17	30:15 38:2	57:8	5:17,20 6:2
88:16	14:16 15:1,19	44:18 47:6,21	community-w	10:14,18,21
changing 67:9	16:3,11 17:5,7	58:6 60:15	42:2	11:9,11 12:2,3
69:20	17:12 18:1,1	64:15 69:11	compensating	12:6,10,13
Channel 4:2	20:6,15 21:9	76:21 82:22	29:11	44:17 52:18
Chapter 3:12	31:4 32:5,10	comes 6:21 35:2	compensation	54:12 55:6,9
chart 38:12,13	32:17 41:2,4,8	36:13,19 39:19	40:3	62:2 63:2 65:9
charter 9:16	41:9 49:16	67:3	competition	65:17 67:18
checked 25:2	50:3 66:6 67:9	coming 7:7,22	86:17	68:8 69:17
checks 51:7	close 77:1 78:1	10:8 33:14	complement	70:7 71:2,5,20
choice 18:13	80:2	41:10 59:19	31:19 32:3	71:21 72:3,10
choices 18:6	closed 81:1	60:7,13	complete 21:15	72:13 73:5,17
churn 36:8	closely 30:8	comment 3:18	completed 42:14	74:4,6,12,14
cities 10:2 17:9	closer 83:3	3:19 10:1	50:16	75:6,7,10,14
25:16	closing 80:6	12:20 44:18,20	compliance 25:3	75:17 76:7,10
citizenry 35:7	coauthors 49:15	52:20,22 60:17	46:1	76:15,22 77:4
city 1:2,12 4:1	code 9:4,5,8	61:8 65:10	complicated	77:6,19,20
6:3,22 8:1,7,7	22:21 48:5	commenting	46:12 69:9	78:2,9,22 79:1
8:11 9:10,13	60:19 61:1	68:9	70:4	79:5,8,12,15
9:17 12:22	62:12 66:17	comments 32:15	component	79:16,20 80:5
13:13 14:6,16	codified 66:14	32:21 33:3,5	14:18	80:19,20 81:2
15:5,9,17	Cohen 1:8,9 3:5	52:11 65:11,20	components	81:4,17,18,21
16:12 17:19	3:5 4:11,13,14	67:19 68:11	20:1 56:2	82:2,5,9 83:8
18:1,2 21:1,10	4:16 5:18,18	73:18 82:11	comprehensive	83:10 84:3,12
25:20 28:12	10:19,19 11:8	commercial	42:13 62:14	84:16,18 85:7
30:17 36:14,17	11:12,13,14,15	46:1,12	compromises	85:11,22 86:20
36:19 37:14,17	12:11,11 54:15	commission	63:11,18 68:17	consensus 70:8
44:11 47:1	60:16 63:1,3	89:17	concern 34:1,20	consequences
49:1,3 50:9	70:22 71:6,7,9	Commissioner	34:22	43:4
.,,5 5 5.,	, 3.22 , 1.0, 1, 9			.5

	I	1	1	
consider 34:4	53:2 70:5,14	59:15 85:2	develop 17:1	discuss 12:20
43:9,21 50:10	83:1	dealing 25:11	developed 28:8	72:19 82:2
consideration	counsel 89:9	31:15 32:4	70:1	discussed 13:6
41:14 68:10	count 23:4 29:5	46:4 64:14	developer 28:12	55:4
considered	48:16,20	deals 57:7,7,8	52:2	discussing 8:14
50:12	couple 8:1,11	79:17,19	developers 18:5	9:20 13:8
consistent 30:11	9:3 41:12	dealt 64:20,21	53:21 54:4	54:17 61:3,11
constellation	45:11 49:21	December 11:2	58:9 59:19	64:18
17:7 48:14	course 8:19	11:2	developing	discussion 9:16
constraining	54:20 57:16	decide 72:16	25:18	10:6 13:20
18:13	cover 13:19	84:15	development	18:10 32:12,13
construction 9:9	32:20 33:17	decided 50:8	1:11 2:4 4:8	32:21 33:19
24:3,15 25:4	covered 13:18	deep 66:7	5:21 6:3,5	43:15 44:19
37:1,7 54:18	37:10	defining 68:4	15:19 16:7	50:6 52:9,21
56:6,16 64:2	covering 13:17	definitely 60:14	18:22 19:19	65:15
consultants	36:21	71:7	20:1 21:20	discussions
25:17,21	COVID 37:3	definitions	24:18 27:1	57:16 63:5
consulted 76:11	86:10	22:21	28:17 35:9	dispersed 36:18
context 55:17	COVID-19	degree 38:22	36:11,14,15,19	distinguish 40:7
continue 33:5	16:17	delighted 67:16	41:10,22 43:7	District 26:21
43:13 64:13	crafted 70:3	83:2	55:14 56:19	districts 46:1,13
69:10 76:17	create 15:11	demonstrable	62:10 65:7	46:21 59:13
continued 8:19	30:10 34:1	25:21	developments	doable 63:21
control 18:3	73:9	deny 50:2	24:1 43:1	doesn't 64:8
21:15	created 15:5	Department 2:4	difference 20:9	doing 10:7 15:18
convention	creating 19:6	5:22 6:4,9	22:20 37:22	27:20 52:5
73:20	creative 66:17	16:22 21:1	38:4,18 56:18	54:1,4 59:8
conversation	69:11	58:2,3	61:4	83:5 85:3
63:3 76:4	Crossing 7:13	departments	different 14:12	Doug 15:15 25:8
conversations	current 19:16	17:1 58:4	20:17 25:18,19	49:12,17 50:13
48:6 54:6 60:1	19:18 20:15	depending	26:14 48:12,15	DPW 24:20
convert 72:20	67:13	48:20	48:17,19 51:7	58:22
cooking 48:3	currently 20:8	design 7:20	55:17 56:2	draft 17:1 28:11
cool 26:15,16,17	20:10 29:21	23:16 25:19	61:6 86:2	32:22 70:13
26:19,20 27:14	34:7 45:19	30:7,17,20	differently	drama 82:3
27:15,18 28:2	customary 79:8	35:14 66:7	51:13	drawing 82:3
34:17	D	designed 23:11	difficult 22:8	drive 74:5
coordination		62:8	28:22 85:1	duplicate 36:5
59:18	D 2:1 3:1	designer 28:13	86:10	dwelling 72:21
correct 64:8	Dam 21:14	designing 45:20	digging 36:1	dwellings 37:8
78:2	damage 23:13	designs 34:18	dimensional	37:13
corrections	Dana 50:21	desire 41:7	29:8 72:20	
88:16	data 36:3	detail 18:16	dimensions	
correctly 55:3	database 36:1,2 date 9:15 20:15	31:18	48:12	E 2:1 3:1,1 7:19
Council 8:1,7,8		Determination	directly 18:2,3	88:1,1,1 Earhart 21:14
8:11,14 9:11	88:21	7:12	65:10 Di 1 1 0	earlier 16:20
9:14,15,17,21	day 89:13 deal 24:14 31:15	determinations	Director 4:8	
12:22 13:13	34:16 36:6	30:19	disagrees 62:4	early 16:20 easier 33:21
41:5 43:8		determine 21:21	discouragement	59:15
44:10,12 49:3	46:3,3 53:9	24:21	34:9	J7.1J
	I	<u>I</u>	1	I

-				1 agc 31
East 46:10	73:19	27:13,14,19	features 25:19	21:6,20,22
echo 83:4	energy 48:14	55:5,20 56:7	26:14	22:2 24:17
education 59:7	enforcement	58:5 60:1,22	feedback 34:13	27:7 28:15
effect 9:6 15:10	35:2,19	61:16,17 64:4	35:15	29:10,11 30:3
25:11 51:2	engineers 16:10	76:1	feel 58:6 65:13	30:6 42:17,20
effective 9:15	ensure 43:12	exists 36:17	67:7 73:14	46:2 51:21
32:8	enter 46:15	expand 47:17	feeling 66:2	53:16 54:8
efficiency 48:14	enter 40.13	expand 47.17 expect 7:18 15:4	feels 70:15	55:18
efficient 86:18	environment	32:6 43:8	feet 24:2,7 36:11	flooded 50:12
effort 41:15 56:1	8:17 67:10	45:12,13 54:5	37:2 40:5,5,6	flooding 15:4,5
57:3 58:1 67:7	environmental	expectations	64:1	20:9,12,18
efforts 17:4,7,9	25:20 31:6	18:11 54:11	fellow 86:14	23:6,11,12
57:1,4	equal 26:19		felt 64:22 67:21	31:3 39:1 40:1
	_	expected 7:17	69:21	52:4 59:14
eight 18:14 36:7	especially 10:10	expecting 45:20		
eighteenth 8:6	35:2,8 46:8,19	experience 66:4	FEMA 20:12	64:14
either 26:20	essential 49:3	66:22 85:13	figure 46:14	floodplains 52:2
33:3 43:20	essentially 9:8	expertise 86:12	fill 28:13 31:18	FloodViewer
elect 78:3 81:3	established 6:22	expires 89:17	filled 63:11	21:2 38:3
elected 79:4	evaluated 62:13	explain 26:12	final 16:19	53:22 59:20
electing 78:7	evening 3:7 62:3	explicitly 19:21	30:13	floodwaters
81:21	82:6	expressed 9:14	finalized 8:17	22:17
election 2:10	everybody	41:7	finally 66:14	floor 27:1 29:5
75:18 81:5	62:19	expressing	financially 59:8	73:9,10,20
83:15	evidence 42:19	68:14	61:13 89:10	75:20
electively 29:17	evocative 38:13	extends 58:4	Finch 42:12	Flynn 1:7 3:4
electrical 39:22	evolution 60:14	extent 48:11	find 3:19 29:16	4:17,19 5:17
electrification	exactly 65:19	63:10	31:9 35:5	10:18 11:10,16
9:10	82:4	eye 35:8	41:18 56:8	11:17 12:3,10
elements 47:19	example 14:4		67:4	68:12 71:12,13
60:20	21:11 23:17	<u>F</u>	fine 52:15	72:10 73:21
elevate 60:10	27:20 44:2	fact 26:8	first 7:19 8:22	74:5,20,21
elevated 22:15	48:18	Factor 25:6	13:4 14:16,17	75:14,21 76:3
29:7,10	examples 29:4	factors 19:22	14:21 16:16	76:8,16 77:11
elevating 46:2	42:10	21:12,17 25:19	17:13,18 19:6	77:12 78:3,7
elevation 20:18	excellent 5:13	facts 14:22	24:21 45:14	78:14,15 79:13
22:2 38:10	70:7 71:2 72:6	fair 51:9	49:14 65:2,6	80:3,11,12
elevations 20:3	75:10 76:17,20	fairly 19:9	72:6	81:9,10 84:7
20:22 21:7,22	83:10	Falise 6:9	fit 41:6	84:10,14,17
24:20 46:4	excited 54:9	falls 53:18	five 17:12 50:15	85:10 86:7
eligible 10:5	66:2	far 19:9,12	65:3	87:1
emission 10:3	exciting 14:3	25:11 50:14	five-year 8:13	focus 31:2
emissions 17:8	excuse 73:1	63:6,19,20	flexibility 18:13	focused 15:2
employed 89:9	exempt 29:8	64:8,9 84:7	39:12 40:11	17:21 25:13
encourage 18:5	30:2,6	Farooq 1:12 6:2	43:11 46:7,18	folks 58:17 59:1
31:3 48:8	exercised 9:16	6:3 57:2 82:14	47:9	59:8 65:12
59:22	exist 19:18	83:9 84:2	flexible 39:19	follow 60:16
encouragement	54:20 58:16	favor 12:5 44:10	43:7	following 67:15
29:19 49:5	existence 14:7,7	44:11 69:12	floating 51:8	footage 36:20
ended 9:2 57:17	48:7	72:2 75:9 78:1	flood 19:7 20:2	48:20 56:14
endorse 73:13	existing 26:2	79:4 81:1,21	20:3,12,17	footprint 27:12
				*
-				

	I		Ì	I
force 14:14	further 65:4	38:19 41:5	groups 16:2	12:14 13:12
15:16,20,22	67:19 68:4	42:7 43:9,10	growth 37:16	43:17 44:3
16:15,18,19	69:16 89:8	43:18 46:2,3	guess 67:6	52:21 53:2
17:21 18:10,14	future 18:12	46:11,14 49:13	guidance 9:12	70:19
19:20 32:17	32:10	53:11,12 54:22	9:22 59:1	hearings 2:6 8:2
33:4 36:12		58:1,6 59:5,11	guide 18:15	8:4
39:8 57:16	G	61:9 64:15	30:17,18,18	heat 15:9,10,11
63:4,20 64:22	G 3:1	68:19 69:15	Guidelines 50:9	19:8 25:5,11
Force's 19:6	gap 16:16	79:15 82:20		25:13,22 31:3
forced 68:19	gas 17:8 31:5	83:13 85:14,18	H	59:14
forces 67:9	general 1:3 2:4	85:19,20 86:1	H 1:9 3:5 4:11	Heather 47:13
foregoing 88:15	2:9 31:6 33:18	86:13	4:13 5:18	47:14,14
Forest 16:13	49:2 72:16	good 3:7 35:4	10:19 11:12,13	heating 64:14
formal 6:13	generate 34:2	41:21 45:13	12:11 63:1,3	heaviest 53:18
format 83:20	getting 19:4	66:12 68:14	71:7 72:11	height 29:9,12
formed 14:15,15	29:17 50:18	69:14,22 70:20	74:17 75:15	38:10 40:3,4
15:21 67:3	51:11	86:21	77:3,8 78:11	40:11,15
formulation	give 3:18 13:15	Goodnight 87:1	80:8 81:6 83:7	held 3:11 11:1
23:14,15	30:1 33:13	87:2	84:21 88:1	Hello 45:16
fortifying 66:5	58:20 59:15	gotten 33:7	habitable 22:18	47:14
forward 43:15	61:11 70:6	83:22	22:19,21 23:1	help 31:4,5
61:18 62:17	79:13	grade 40:6,9	23:4 39:20	49:17 69:5
67:17 68:9,15	gives 45:12	46:13	50:11	helpful 30:12
70:5,17 73:7	giving 35:14	great 6:15 53:9	half 37:7 39:5	85:14 86:13
74:10 86:1,19	glad 49:1	53:15 54:12	hand 13:1 44:22	helps 74:2
forward-looki	gladly 78:17	62:14 64:16	45:1,12 65:11	herding 85:3
31:1	81:12	65:16 66:18	89:12	hereunto 89:12
forward-think	glowing 70:6,17	74:1 83:15	hands 45:11	hesitate 19:10
14:4 31:1	70:22	85:16 86:8	84:19	Hi 49:10 50:21
forwarded 9:11	go 13:10 18:16	greater 26:19	happen 15:6	high 26:11 52:3
9:13 70:13	23:9 28:21	green 23:22 24:5	22:11 38:20	higher 23:7 51:3
forwarding 70:8	39:7 44:18	24:12 25:6	39:1 47:20	highly 41:20
fossil 9:19	46:20 47:19	26:3 27:4,8,10	happening	42:10
fossil-fuel 10:2	49:14 52:17	29:15,16 31:21	36:20	historic 64:19
found 22:1	54:15 60:19	31:21 33:20,21	happy 6:12 13:4	64:20
four 19:5 40:5,5	62:20 63:6,19	34:10 36:1,7	13:5 44:13	historical 20:8
40:6 50:11	64:8,8,9 65:17	36:21 37:10	86:15	20:13
64:1 86:9	69:16 73:5,22	41:8 46:17	hard 20:4 36:6	Hoffman 47:13
four-foot 40:14	85:5	47:2,8 49:6	67:4	47:14,14
frame 50:17	goal 73:9	51:2 68:2,5	haul 72:6	hold 13:12 76:9
58:10	goals 8:15 59:20	greenery 49:6	health 8:17 58:3	home 10:5 74:5
frankly 86:1	goes 28:16 37:3	greenhouse 17:8	66:6	homeowner
free 9:19 10:2	55:11 60:8	31:5	hear 45:8 47:18	63:22
65:13	63:19	gross 29:5	49:1,10 62:14	homeowners
From' 88:2	going 6:16 13:14	ground 13:17	70:8,20 83:8,8	59:3
front 51:3	13:15,17,19	26:4 36:21	85:8,8	homes 68:22
fuel 9:19	14:10,11 19:14	47:20 52:3	heard 7:4 8:3	honestly 34:20
full 13:15	22:17 27:5	group 6:22 16:9	62:3 72:15	honor 84:3
fully 54:10 67:3	31:4 33:16	41:17 53:18	hearing 1:3 6:19	hope 30:11 49:2
67:3	34:19 35:12	65:15	7:19 8:8 11:6	66:11
	l		l	I

Page 96

hopefully 69:11	54:22 63:14	29:1,2 42:17	32:13 33:12	11:12,14,16,18
	mpacted 16:2,3	incorporates	34:15 56:9	11:20,22 12:2
1 0	mpactful 59:12	30:22	57:12 68:8	12:5 13:1,2
	mpacts 12:17	incorporating	82:20	44:17 45:10
host 57:10	15:3,3,11 16:3	17:14 26:3,4	interests 18:8	46:17 47:12,18
hosting 8:8	25:14 32:5	37:12 54:2,8	interim 8:20	48:4 49:8,11
house 64:1	59:14 63:17	increase 27:12	internally 16:21	50:19 52:8,11
	mpediment	29:12	30:18	52:14,15,16,19
37:17,18 40:22	29:21	increased 15:8	introduce 5:22	53:1 55:6,8,10
· ·	mpediments	incredible 83:6	introduction	57:2 67:6 71:6
41:20,22 42:3	19:18 29:3	incredibly 66:20	13:22	71:8,10,12,14
· ·	mperfect 36:5	70:3 85:1	inventions 19:10	71:16,18,20
	mplement 18:1	incumbent 65:5	invest 18:3	72:1 74:11,16
	mplementation	indications	investment 42:1	74:18,20,22
56:14 63:12,14	8:20 44:4	14:11	42:4	75:2,4,6,9 77:7
	mplemented	indirect 17:22	involve 24:4,20	77:9,11,13,15
74:2	19:12 51:10	inevitable 66:5	28:6	77:17,19,22
	mplementing	67:9	involvement 9:1	78:4,6,10,12
82:14	53:10,19 57:22	inform 18:22	involves 56:2	78:14,16,18,20
-	mportance	information	Iram 1:12 6:2,2	78:22 79:3,6
4:20,22 5:1,18	68:14	8:15 9:12	12:14 14:1,14	80:7,9,11,13
1	mportant 14:20	14:10,22 20:5	55:9,10 56:3	80:15,17,19,22
12:11 34:15	15:17 17:20	28:14 36:4	57:2 82:12,14	81:5,7,9,11,13
53:6,7,15	32:19 39:10	59:21	83:9,11,13,14	81:15,17,20
69:18,19 71:14	40:20 41:13	infrastructure	84:2	82:12 83:5,12
71:15 72:11	54:19 57:13	31:14 49:3	island 15:10	Jefferson 51:10
73:4,5,6,18,21	61:16 63:12,16	57:8	25:11,14	51:17
74:12,22 75:1	64:11	initial 28:16	isolated 53:13	job 68:14 69:15
· ·	mportantly	initially 35:17	issue 10:10	76:17,20 83:6
78:16,17 80:13	16:1 21:8	initiatives 16:12	31:12 61:7	84:22 85:6
-	mpossible	inland 52:3	issued 9:12,21	86:8
human 23:1	27:15	input 16:18	issues 61:3,10	joined 6:4,8
	mprove 31:5	insight 43:14	64:14 69:11	joining 6:12
husband 85:17	61:19 67:5	instances 27:3	item 6:19 8:7	49:13
husband's 48:2 in	mprovement	institutional	9:15 10:6,21	joint 8:8
hybrid 43:19	69:7	66:4	72:14 75:18	Joseph 1:13 6:6
hypothetical I	mprovements	institutions 66:7	items 7:7,22	July 3:12 9:15
51:9	7:21	instructions	8:11 9:19 10:7	89:18
iı	nches 38:5	3:18,20	13:20 57:21	jump 51:19
	nclude 19:22	insulation 48:18	iteration 8:22	jumping 27:5
idea 24:11 25:9	26:1 68:16	integrated 17:4		63:3
43:3 64:2	70:11	51:5	J	
	ncluded 16:1	intended 43:6	Jacobs 7:12	K
illuminate 37:21	47:2,8	interact 40:21	January 1:4 3:8	Kara 6:9
	ncludes 7:11	interacts 46:21	7:10,16,17 8:9	Kathy 6:9,10
	ncluding 8:15	interest 9:14	9:6 43:18	31:17 53:15
imagining 14:6	16:12 49:6	18:6,7	72:15	58:19 59:16,17
	ncome 69:5	interested 76:2	Jeff 1:12 4:7,7	keeping 32:9
	ncorporate	89:10	4:11,14,17,20	48:15
. 01 10 05 01	-			
21:13 25:21	15:18 25:15	interesting 25:9	5:1,4,7,11 6:6	keeps 35:8

kont 2.17 21.0	55.11 12 15 16	74.12 76.10 15	56.15 50.14	68.1
kept 3:17 31:8	55:11,13,15,16	74:12 76:10,15	56:15 58:14	68:4
Khalil 6:7	55:19,19,21	84:8 85:21	60:17	major 21:12
kicked 49:16	56:9,11,13,16	law 3:12 16:22	long 14:2 72:6	62:9 65:7
kickoff 15:13	56:18,19,20	lawn 26:4	82:16	majority 45:21
kind 14:21 15:3	57:3,14,17	layman 50:22	long-term 20:3	54:20 56:10
15:13 17:6	58:7,19 59:10	leading 67:7	21:7,22 23:11	making 18:10
18:9 21:5	59:18,19,19	learned 17:17	42:1 58:1 61:6	30:19 35:16
22:16,22 24:11	60:1,3,6,9,12	22:4 34:6	61:20	37:14 47:19
27:1,5,11,13	61:5,9,12,15	Lechmere 7:21	longer 85:18	59:4 61:12
30:9 33:18	61:20 62:11	legal 55:3,13,21	look 15:10 20:6	68:3 74:7
34:8,11 36:8	63:4,8,10,12	legislation 69:20	28:10 32:14	Manager 1:12
37:4,9,13,20	63:16 64:7,7	legislative 72:7	33:1,6 36:2	6:3 7:1
40:7,8,15,15	64:13,14,16,18	lengthy 64:17	37:15 38:3,4	maps 20:13
42:11 43:21	64:21,22 65:2	leniency 52:2	41:19 42:11	Marilee 50:20
44:3 48:21	68:3,13,16,17	lenient 52:1	43:15 44:14	50:21,21 52:10
49:16 51:4,6	68:18,19,22	let's 50:14 53:3	46:9 52:5 56:4	52:13,15
51:14,18 52:2	69:2,3,5,8,10	58:8 62:20	56:13,14,20	market 60:7
55:13,16,22	70:3 73:13	74:5	66:3 86:19	Mary 1:7 3:4
56:4,4,5,20	74:1 79:16,21	level 20:19	looked 25:8 30:8	4:17,19,20
57:1 59:4,18	79:22 82:1,1	21:13 23:11	looking 20:7,10	5:17 10:18
61:6 65:12	82:16,19 83:2	26:5 43:11	32:6,7 33:8	11:10,10,16,17
72:6	83:21,22 84:11	66:5	35:14 38:9,10	12:3,10 40:20
kinds 37:7 59:8	85:2,5,13,17	levels 66:10	38:11 45:10	68:11,12 69:18
62:5	85:18,22 86:8	life 38:15 39:2	86:1	71:12,13 72:10
know 8:12 10:12	86:12	62:12	looks 32:10	73:20,21 74:5
13:3 15:14	known 15:10	lifetime 22:11	lost 7:8 23:21	74:20,21 75:14
17:18 19:9,12	32:7	58:8	lot 13:17 14:1	75:21 76:3,3,8
21:11,12 22:2	knows 17:14	likelihood 39:4	16:18 17:17	76:11,16 77:11
22:16,19,22	84:14	limit 29:12	25:15,18 32:14	77:12 78:3,7
24:13 25:12,14		limitations 29:8	36:10,20,21	78:14,15 79:12
26:5,6,9 27:16		line 65:12 88:2	37:10,11 44:6	79:13,22 80:3
30:9,21 31:5	laid 19:20 24:19	list 45:10	45:19 46:8,19	80:11,12 81:9
31:14,18 33:20	land 16:7 18:5	listened 41:17	56:2,15,17	81:10 83:15
34:4,11,12,16	18:22 55:14,15	little 19:10	58:9,15 67:2	84:6,7,10,14
34:18,19 35:4	landscape 16:10	20:19 22:5,7	70:8 73:22	84:17,19 85:10
35:5,7,9,10,11	28:3,13 34:18	22:10,19 23:2	82:18 83:19,20	86:3,5,7 87:1
35:13,15,19	35:14	23:19,20,21	lots 36:18 46:9	Mary's 79:4
36:11,13,13,15	landscaping	24:9 26:12	47:5 64:20	Massachusetts
36:17 37:2,3	34:21,22 35:3	27:5 28:21	Lou 4:11 7:1	1:6 89:2,5
37:12,15,17	language 30:9	29:13,22 30:1	Louis 4:8	Master 16:13
38:5,6,7,10,11	46:6 65:21	30:10 33:11,13	low 22:8 50:12	material 48:19
38:17,21,22	66:22 70:2	33:21 34:5	lower 22:9 66:10	materials 25:1
39:4,5,6,9,13	large 64:18	35:22 36:4	LTFEs 20:4	66:3,11
40:8,21 41:10	larger 15:3	37:7,21 43:14		math 38:13
41:18,22 42:2	16:11 17:4	47:17 56:22		matter 72:16
42:3,5,11 43:2	35:1,8 36:20	58:20 60:8	Madam 65:18	74:10
43:8 44:2,5	56:1 57:1	61:19 74:2	magical 40:5	matters 10:12
45:21 46:1,6,8	lastly 67:6	86:16	48:2	mature 26:2
46:9 47:4 53:7	latest 32:9,9	livable 64:13	main 19:5	51:15
53:13 54:5	Laughter 71:2	live 4:1 14:12	maintenance	mean 38:12
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				rage 90
51:16 57:9	mentioned	72:14 74:1,11	24:3,8,8,15	number 36:8,16
61:16 84:6	14:15 28:4	75:17	26:3 36:13,14	37:2 53:19
86:9	34:6 48:5	moved 11:8	36:19 37:1,7	56:9,14
meaning 23:12	55:12 66:19	30:10 70:22	37:12 42:15	numbers 37:15
meaning 23.12 means 17:19	message 10:11	77:3 80:3	44:9 45:20	74:1
22:14,22 26:17	met 16:15 28:19	moves 74:12	46:12 50:7,22	/ 4.1
meant 19:17,21	42:22	moving 44:3	54:18 55:15,20	0
31:2,7,7,19	Meyer 50:20,21	64:12 68:14	56:6,18 57:14	$\overline{\mathbf{O}3:1}$
32:3 34:8	50:21 52:10,13	83:2	58:7 60:7,12	objections 74:7
40:15	52:15	multiple 46:13	61:16,22 64:2	objectives 18:22
measure 28:2	microcosm 57:4	57:7	65:3,7 82:20	19:19 30:16,20
29:1	Middlesex 89:3	mute 3:17	newer 56:16	obviously 65:13
measures 25:15	Mike 15:15 49:9	muted 54:14	nice 86:17,17	76:3
26:18 32:4	49:10,12 64:7	62:22	night 8:18 9:13	occupiable
54:8 58:18	72:22	02.22	86:21	22:12,20,22
59:2	million 36:11	N	night's 8:11	68:2
meet 23:7 27:14		$\overline{N2:13:1}$	0	occur 20:19 40:2
	mine 58:15	Nakagawa	nights 85:20	occurrences
27:14,15 64:5	minor 7:11	15:15 49:9,10	noise 34:2,8	20:8
meeting 1:5 2:5	67:22	49:12 64:7	nominate 75:21	occurs 23:12
3:8,11,22 4:2,9	minutes 10:22	name 3:9,15	76:1,11 79:15	od 45:11
4:12,15,18,21	11:7 45:9	45:7,15,16	nomination	office 72:20
5:2,5,9 6:1	mitigate 17:7	88:21	79:18	official 6:13
7:10,17 8:12	29:11 31:4	narrow 46:9	nominations	offset 28:2
9:17 10:22	46:5	narrowly 31:2	75:20,22 77:2	oftentimes 47:1
11:7 32:22	mitigating 25:11	nation 67:8	78:1 79:10,21	oh 49:8 53:6
35:17 43:19	25:13	necessary 25:2	80:2,6 81:1	57:18 85:7
50:1 79:7 88:16	mixed-use 73:8	necessity 66:6	nominees 77:1 non- 27:16	Okay 10:14 12:6
	model 69:19	need 21:21		13:4 52:10,14
meetings 3:21	modeled 20:14	23:11 26:7	nonconforming	52:18 63:2
11:1 16:17	models 20:6	28:1 43:9,10	73:8	70:19 73:17
63:5 79:14	21:9	44:7,8 53:12	noon 43:19	74:4
meets 24:22	modifications	57:9 58:6,17	normalized 37:5	old 60:18
member 4:5 9:1	23:17	59:6 64:9	Notary 89:4,16	older 56:11,21
73:18	modified 55:22	70:16 79:22	note 18:17 23:8	57:15 61:9
members 3:4,14	modify 47:6	83:17	35:11 70:16	68:22
3:14,17 4:6	Mogassabi 6:7	needed 31:18	noted 12:14 53:1	one- 37:12
5:12,17 9:18	moment 7:9	43:11,12	62:11 85:12	one-year 81:4
10:18 11:3	62:9	needing 35:2	88:17	ongoing 34:20
12:5,10 15:14	months 10:8	needs 86:3	notes 7:8 17:20	online 4:1 21:3
32:16,16 33:3	motion 11:6,12	neighborhood	85:14	open 16:17
33:4 43:15	70:20 71:6	56:20	noticeable 39:7	26:20 46:22
44:20 53:4	74:9,16 77:1,7	neither 89:8	noting 17:11	75:20
63:4 67:19	80:2,7	Net 8:13	November 11:2	openness 82:19
72:1,4,10,19	motivate 61:9	never 35:3 84:10	13:6,7,18	opportunities
73:2 74:7 75:9	61:19 62:5	Nevertheless	32:22	52:22
75:14 77:22	motivated 61:15	60:18	November's	opportunity
79:3 80:22	61:18	new 9:5,8 13:4,5	13:20	10:9 37:11
81:20 86:14	move 12:13	13:11,14 16:4	nuance 51:6	56:17
mention 8:6	13:16 21:4	19:7,9 21:4	nuanced 46:16	
49:1	52:20 61:18	17.1,7 41.4	nuisance 34:3,9	opposed 26:5
1	I	I	I	I

-				rage 33
am4 10.2	24.1.27.22			-law 10.1 29.15
opt 10:2	24:1 27:22 28:20 35:1	percent 20:20	petitioners 67:13	play 19:1 38:15
options 60:5		20:20 22:5,6,8		Pleasant 45:17
order 21:18 25:16 29:19	41:9,15 45:18	22:9,10,14	petitions 8:2	please 5:8,22 7:8 13:3
	56:1 73:9,14	23:10 26:21,22	phased 44:9	
ordinance 12:16	73:15	27:1 35:20	phenomenal	pleased 47:18
15:20 19:7,19	particular 16:5	38:1,1,5,6,18	85:6	plus 14:9
40:17 43:17	19:13 25:22	38:19,19 39:3	phone 44:22	point 7:6 34:14
66:14 67:14	35:17 46:10	39:15,17 50:5	pick 38:7 67:4	39:1 50:6
organizations	47:4 55:13	50:5 67:21	picture 14:21	59:10 74:5
16:5	57:3 59:1	69:12	17:18	pointed 70:2
original 50:15	68:22	percentage	pictured 17:6	points 33:10,15
ought 65:1	particularly	37:16	piece 17:3 30:13	33:16,18 38:14
outcome 89:10	15:2,9 25:10	perfect 35:3,12	place 18:4 20:11	49:21
outcomes 19:2	26:2 53:16	68:10	20:11 24:15	policies 17:22
outlast 58:16	59:12,13 69:2	period 10:1 14:2	29:18 30:17	policy 17:22
outreach 10:7	70:4	15:7 43:21	31:20 36:15	43:9
overall 18:14	parties 89:9	64:17 85:1	65:6 68:20	polite 76:14
30:20 50:13	partner 6:14,15	periodically	places 19:11	portal 4:2
overarching	partners 58:3	21:9 32:7	26:6	portion 23:9
18:9	partnership	permanent	plan 8:13,19	46:16 54:22
Overlay 40:22	85:16	23:13	14:19 16:13,13	positive 54:7,22
41:3,11 42:13	parts 19:5,6,15	Permeable 32:1	28:3 34:18	67:17 69:13
43:3,6,13	22:13,18 26:9	permit 7:11,20	51:12,13,16	70:9 73:14,19
49:22	63:9	23:18 30:16	57:6 68:4	74:1,7,10
overly 18:13	pass 45:21 55:10	36:3 42:13	69:21	possibility 55:3
owner 39:14	passed 48:13	46:6	planning 1:1 2:5	possible 39:16
69:4 72:22	54:21	permits 37:3,8	2:10 3:9,20	59:21
owners 18:5,8	passing 56:3	person 43:20	5:12 6:11,19	possibly 61:9
39:12 50:7	Patrick 45:14,16	personally 14:2	7:1,3,5,22 8:2	potential 19:18
62:5	45:16 47:15	perspective	9:20 10:10	21:13 33:9
	49:1	65:21 67:12	12:15 13:6,7,8	potentially 23:5
P	Patrick's 60:8	68:21	13:11 14:5,8	34:1
P 3:1	Pause 4:10 11:5	perspectives	17:4,11,13,16	precipitation
p.m 1:4 3:3 5:16	53:5 54:13	16:8	17:19 23:17	15:5
10:17 12:9	62:21 80:1	persuade 76:16	30:15,19 32:16	prefer 82:6
45:2,4 72:9	paved 26:9 28:1	petition 2:7 6:20	35:1 41:5	prepare 85:15
75:13 87:3	paving 26:7,8,10	7:3,5 10:5	42:16 49:19,19	prepared 14:13
package 28:7	27:22	12:14,20,21	50:2 52:9	prerogative
Page 2:3 88:2	people 14:1 16:6	13:5,9,11,14	62:18 67:7	75:21
pandemic 16:17	23:21 32:18	15:16 17:2	73:13 75:18,22	present 4:9,12
85:3	34:9 35:14,15	18:19 19:1,4,5	78:3 82:21	4:13,15,16,18
panels 48:7,11	38:2 42:3	19:15 23:15	83:20 88:15	4:19,21,22 5:2
parallel 23:22	47:21 48:6	24:20 25:6,8	plans 24:17,20	5:3,5,6,8,10,12
Parcel 7:19	54:1,5,7 56:15	29:7,20 30:1,5	28:7,14 51:7	5:22 12:19
Park 7:21 51:10	57:8,18 59:12	30:13,22 43:22	51:10	73:1
51:17	59:22 60:4,17	44:1 49:16,20	plant 47:1,7	presentation
parking 27:22	61:19 62:5	51:10 53:1	planted 26:3	13:15 44:13
parks 57:18	63:11,15,18,19	62:17 67:2	planting 26:2	51:2 55:12
part 8:21 15:3	64:17 70:1	68:9 69:13	28:2 47:1	presented 70:13
20:1 22:14	82:5,17	70:2,21 85:12	plantings 26:4,5	preserving 26:2
	,1,	, 5.2,21 55.12	F	P. 5551 , Img 20.2

pressing 45:1	probably 37:6	64:4	67:16	read 88:15
Preston 1:7 3:4	37:14,16 38:17	property 18:5,8	put 25:17 26:13	reading 67:3,20
3:7,9 5:8,10,13	38:19 53:17	18:8 39:12,14	29:18 39:9	real 14:21 20:9
5:17,20 10:14	54:3 58:16	47:2 49:2 50:7	44:13 51:15	realize 47:21
10:18,21 11:9	problem 40:1	69:4 72:22	56:4 62:17	really 14:3,4,9
-	-			
11:11 12:2,3,6	problems 47:16	proportion	65:20 68:9	14:20 17:17,19
12:10,13 44:17	procedures	36:14 61:21,22	69:21	22:3 25:17
52:18 54:12	24:19	proposal 25:5	putting 18:4	32:18,19 36:21
55:6,9 62:2	proceed 43:13	50:16 63:11	55:17 58:22	37:18 38:3,13
63:2 65:9,17	proceedings 4:4	73:18	0	39:10,22 40:5
67:18 68:8	87:3 88:18	proposals 17:15		41:13 45:22
69:17 70:7	89:7	proposed 15:16	question 29:14	46:20 50:12
71:2,5,20,21	process 14:3	proposes 29:20	35:21 37:20,22	52:6 53:19,22
72:3,10,13	15:13 20:1	30:1	41:1,12 51:18	54:9 55:12
73:5,17 74:4,6	23:18 24:1,21	proposing 27:21	52:7 53:15	56:15,17 57:13
74:12,14 75:6	25:1,17 28:6	29:6 33:21	56:5 57:12,14	57:13,20 59:14
75:7,10,14,17	28:17 30:18	protect 39:17	60:9 69:8	59:17,20 62:15
76:7,10,15,22	41:2,3,4,5,14	50:9 60:13	questions 11:3	62:18 65:20
77:4,6,19,20	41:15 43:16	protected 22:13	20:21 33:18,19	66:18,18 68:12
78:2,9,22 79:1	44:3 49:17	protecting 66:15	33:22 40:20	69:21 73:7
79:5,8,12,15	57:22	66:16	44:15,19 45:18	83:3,18 84:1
79:16,20 80:5	processes 63:8	protection 22:14	45:22 53:3,4,6	86:9
80:19,20 81:2	products 60:7	55:18	59:16 64:16	reason 41:21
81:3,17,18,21	60:13	protections	65:13 73:2,18	42:22 88:2
82:2,5,9 83:8	professionalism	55:16,17	quick 13:22 17:3	reasonable
83:10 84:3,12	85:16	proud 9:2	49:21	45:19
84:16,18 85:7	profoundly	provide 28:12	quick 66:1	reasons 69:15
85:11,22 86:20	59:12	29:19 31:2,3	quicker 52:5	recall 50:1
presuming 50:8	project 6:15,16	49:5 60:4	quickly 13:16	receive 8:9
pretty 35:4	7:13 10:3	provided 28:9	23:9 28:20,21	received 8:13
37:16	27:21 30:15	providers 41:14	44:4 50:18	11:1 32:15,21
prevent 22:16	42:12,14,15	42:6	66:1	45:3,4
34:8	47:5	provision 9:7,21	quite 49:21	recommend
previous 50:1	projected 12:17	27:11	83:19	70:21
69:20	projections	provisions 28:22	quote 13:10	recommendat
previously 28:1	15:19 21:19	29:16		12:21 13:12
pride 67:7	projects 9:9	public 2:6 3:15	R	67:17 69:14
primarily 24:3	24:4,6,12,14	3:17,18,19 6:9	R 3:1 88:1,1	70:6,9,11,18
54:17	27:8 30:14,19	6:13 7:19	raise 44:22 45:1	71:1 73:19
principal 6:19	35:9,21 36:7	12:14,20 13:12	45:12 64:1	74:8,10 76:9
principle 61:2,4	36:20 37:1	16:18 18:6	raised 41:16	recommendat
principles 18:15	42:19,20 43:12	21:1 32:16	45:11 48:6	18:15 19:6
18:20 39:8	47:5 50:16	33:4 44:18,20	ramp 46:14	30:22 44:9
probabilities	promise 35:11	44:20 52:20,22	ramping 46:3	57:10 72:17
38:11,22	promise 33.11 promote 12:16	53:1,18 58:2,3	ramps 29:8	recommended
probability	promote 12.10 promulgated	89:4,16	range 15:3 16:8	16:4 18:18,18
20:18 22:9,9	44:7	pull 65:14	rational 66:16	19:2 42:18
22:10,14 23:10	prone 42:20	purely 20:13	rationally 66:13	recommending
38:1,2,6,15,18	59:14	purpose 40:10	reach 26:16	32:8
39:3,15,17	properties 46:11	push 10:11	reached 64:4	record 45:5
37.3,13,17	Properties 10.11	Pasi iviii		130014 13.3
i e				

				- 3
73:22 85:5	43:20	resist 66:8	Roberts 1:12 4:7	72:12 73:4,6
88:18 89:6	remove 29:3	respect 61:2	4:8,11,14,17	74:22 75:1,16
recorded 3:22	renovation	respects 60:19	4:20 5:1,4,7,11	77:13,14 78:16
records 36:5	62:10	61:1	6:6 11:12,14	78:17 80:13,14
recoverable	renovations	responsibility	11:16,18,20,22	81:11,12
23:12 66:3	65:7	53:9 79:14	12:2,5 13:2	
reduced 27:18	report 6:12	responsible 16:6	45:10 47:12	S
reducing 25:21	16:20 18:16	responsive 54:7	49:8,11 50:19	S 3:1 88:1
reduction 17:8	20:6	restrictions	52:8,11,14,16	safety 49:16
reductions 31:5	reported 7:5	63:13	55:8,10 67:6	60:20 61:1,5,6
refer 23:2	representative	retrofit 58:12	71:6,8,10,12	61:6
refinements	72:22 73:2	59:3	71:14,16,18,20	saplings 51:16
43:22	representatives	retrofits 59:9	72:1 74:11,16	satisfied 68:6
reflectivity	7:2	review 7:20	74:18,20,22	saying 45:7 62:4
26:11	require 46:13	18:16 20:1	75:2,4,6,9 77:7	says 44:21
regard 85:12	63:22 73:12	23:22 24:5,21	77:9,11,13,15	scattered 37:13
region 67:8	required 23:7	27:4,10 28:6,7	77:17,19,22	scheduled 8:4
regional 17:9	64:5	30:3,15 34:17	78:6,10,12,14	scheme 25:18
regionally 20:16	requirement	36:22 37:11	78:16,18,20,22	science 20:15
regular 23:1	26:21,22 30:6	46:7 69:22	79:3,6 80:7,9	32:9
32:7	46:22	72:15	80:11,13,15,17	score 26:15,15
regularly 21:18	requirements	reviewed 21:8	80:19,22 81:5	26:16 27:15,18
regulate 55:14	27:8 28:18	21:17 28:8	81:7,9,11,13	28:8,11 34:17
regulation 55:13	29:18 30:14	42:16	81:15,17,20	46:18 47:3,8
regulations 16:4	31:21,22 32:3	revised 28:17	82:12 83:12	51:2,4
31:10,16,20	41:8 54:11	32:22	role 19:1 79:18	screen 13:3
34:7 43:4 44:7	62:12	revision 33:9	79:22 83:18	script 13:10
regulatory 21:5	requiring 48:12	revolve 45:22	86:2	scripts 85:14
43:11	research 25:20	rewarding 14:3	roll 3:16 11:11	sea 21:13 66:5
rehab 9:9 24:4	reservations	rid 51:11	71:5 74:15	sea-level 15:6
24:14 27:8,21	53:11	right 5:14,20	77:6 78:3,5,6	second 11:9,10
rehabbed 27:9	residential 23:2	12:7 15:18	80:5 81:3	19:15 32:11
relate 9:4	72:21 73:10	29:15 30:2	roof 31:22 68:2	33:13 71:3,4
related 6:20	residents 49:18	38:22 59:10	68:5	73:9,10 74:13
9:19 10:5,10	50:3	63:21,22 64:3	roofs 26:4 29:15	77:4,5 80:4
18:1 51:19	resilience 2:7	64:5,10,11,22	29:16 33:20,21	second- 73:19
89:8	6:20 12:16	65:1,6,15	34:10 49:6	secondarily 24:6
relatively 36:15	16:11 17:5	69:17 70:8	rooftops 34:2	Secondly 66:13
released 14:16	18:2 19:8,8,22	72:3,13 74:6	room 13:16	seconds 74:14
16:19 17:13	20:2 21:6,21	75:11 76:22	39:18 49:5	section 66:18
relief 72:20	25:5 27:7	79:5 81:2 82:9	82:17	sections 57:7
remarkable	28:15 30:4	82:17 86:20	rubric 57:5	see 13:3 15:4
83:19	32:17 37:12	rights 9:16	rule 10:5	28:10 30:9 36:10 54:9
remember 41:3	41:2,4,8,9	ripe 58:12	rules 18:4	65:11 66:13
48:13	42:17 50:2,3	rise 15:7 21:14	run 83:19	67:2 76:5
remind 22:8	51:20 53:17	66:6	Russell 1:9 3:6	82:13 84:17,18
64:17	54:8 82:15	rising 66:8	4:20,22 5:19	seeing 37:17
reminder 17:3	resilient 14:18	risk 29:10,11	10:20 11:18,19	53:6 74:9
remote 1:5 3:20	16:13 30:7	50:12	12:12 53:7	82:19 85:19
remotely 3:11	37:19 57:4,6	roadways 57:19	69:19 71:14,15	02.17 03.17
i	I	I	I	ı

				rage 102
seeks 72:20	71.4 16 17	29:21 30:12	48:19 56:13	23:21 67:13
seeks /2:20 seen 76:19 83:20	71:4,16,17 72:12 75:2,3	soon 9:14	\$\$ 89:3	stated 51:5
sees 47:16	75:16 77:15,16		stabilize 69:5	statement 56:5
	78:18,19 80:15	sorry 48:2 54:15 63:1	Staff 1:11 4:5	status 21:14
segment 37:18	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	sort 19:10 23:9	5:22 6:5 12:18	
segued 65:10	80:16 81:13,14			stay 86:11
sell 50:7	signed 3:12	32:2 34:2	16:22 28:18	step 65:2,6 72:7
send 33:5	significant 9:9	35:18 36:6	45:6,7 53:3,4,9	73:14 79:21
sense 22:4 43:2	15:11 24:15	41:6 46:14	53:13 62:3	83:3 86:3
45:13 66:15,21	39:4,6 40:1	48:19 53:8,18	65:14 70:12	stepping 82:19
73:22	41:15	53:22 54:5,10	72:4 73:3	steps 25:1
sensed 65:19	significantly	55:17 56:9,11	82:11,16,21	Steve 4:17 11:8
sensitive 23:6	39:21	58:13 59:22	83:21 85:13	11:14 54:12,14
sent 10:5	similarly 18:22	73:11 83:13	stage 24:21	57:13 62:2
September 10:1	64:3	sorts 60:21	stairs 29:8	70:22 74:14,18
serve 76:12	simple 73:7	sound 48:15	stakeholders	76:8 77:9 80:9
79:10 84:4	simply 23:22	space 23:4,13	15:22 63:9	81:7 84:7
served 7:1 15:15	single 63:22	26:20 46:22	stand 42:7 53:10	Steve's 68:21
serves 75:19	site 23:16 25:19	72:21 73:10	standard 13:10	Steven 1:8 3:5
service 60:3	26:7,14,19	spaces 22:22	19:13 23:7	4:14,16 5:18
set 8:7 17:4 18:4	27:14,16,16,22	23:1 39:20	26:13 27:17	10:19 11:8,15
18:14,21 19:16	27:22	66:3	35:13,17,19,20	12:11 54:15
19:21 21:7	sites 21:22	speak 44:21	39:11 40:7,14	60:16 70:22
29:2 40:14	sits 31:10 57:3	45:9,12	48:17 64:5	71:9,10,11
55:14 89:12	Sitting 3:4 5:17	speaker 45:14	standards 15:19	72:11 74:13,19
setback 29:5	10:18 12:10	47:12 49:9	18:11 19:7	75:15 76:1,5,9
setting 18:11	72:10 75:14	50:20 82:1	20:2,9,10,17	76:13,19 77:5
seven 5:12 77:22	situation 69:6	speakers 45:6	21:5,5,6,21	77:10 78:12,13
seven-month	situations 43:5	45:13 52:17	22:12 23:15,16	79:19 80:4,10
16:16	six 38:5	speaking 3:15	24:22 25:5,12	81:8,22 82:4,7
sewer 31:13,17	sleeping 23:3	49:15	27:6 29:20	84:5,9 85:8
shade 29:4	slide 13:3 51:1	speaks 56:1	31:1,16 32:8	stock 74:2
shaded 26:8,10	slides 44:14	special 7:11,20	34:21 35:16	stop 8:20
shape 17:19	slightly 68:1	23:18 30:16	39:10 40:16	stopped 17:12
shaped 18:19	small 36:16,18	46:6 48:13	41:9 42:17,21	stories 40:12
Share 13:3	37:16 54:22	specialized 9:8	42:22 44:6,9	storm 15:7
sheet 28:8,11	61:21,22 69:4	specific 15:2	48:11 49:4	21:13,13
shepherded	73:8	23:2 32:4	55:15 56:18	stormwater
82:16	small-scale	42:22 43:5	64:5	31:13,17
shifting 28:20	24:14	specifically 15:6	stands 43:16	story 40:9
showed 51:2	smaller 6:4	25:13	67:17	story-based
shrub 26:4	46:10 59:1	specifying 19:2	start 4:5 5:21	40:16
shutoffs 39:22	smart 8:15	spectacular	12:18 15:18	streamed 4:1
sic 60:4	Smoke 48:2	67:10	20:2 53:3	Street 7:13,19
side 53:17	solar 26:11 48:7	spent 8:14 38:11	66:15 68:18,21	42:15 45:17
sides 37:9	48:11	83:18	75:20 85:19	47:15 50:22
sidewalks 47:7	solution 73:15	sponsor 7:4	started 17:18	Stretch 9:4,5,8
Sieniewicz 1:10	solutions 41:18	spread 66:17	58:22 67:6	strongarming
3:6 5:1,3,19	58:7 69:11	square 24:2,7	starting 35:18	54:1
10:20 11:20,21	somebody 66:21	36:11,19 37:2	state 3:15 9:5,7	strongly 70:15
12:12 65:16,18	somewhat 17:21	46:9 47:6	9:12,21 17:10	struggle 69:10
			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
L				

				Page 103
1.50.5				(1.10.62.2
stuck 50:7	66:20	taxes 61:13	62:2 65:9 83:5	61:18 62:3
stuff 45:19	systems 39:21	team 6:5 69:22	86:14	63:19,19 64:7
subject 21:20		teams 66:8	that's 22:15	64:10,21 65:5
24:5 27:10	-	tearing 51:11,14	26:1 56:20	66:14,16 67:10
35:22	T 1:7 3:4 5:17	technical 26:12	theaters 48:15	67:20 68:3,5
submission	10:18 12:10	ted 4:14 7:1	48:16	68:13,18,20
28:16 30:14	72:10 75:14	62:20,22 65:9	theme 18:9	69:3,9,13,14
submissions	88:1,1	68:17 71:6	Theodore 1:9	69:19 70:11
28:17	table 16:9	74:16 77:7	3:5 4:11,13	73:12,21 83:21
submitted 25:2	take 4:5 12:20	78:10 80:7	5:18 10:19	83:22 85:8
submitting	30:1 32:11	81:5 84:18,20	11:12,13 12:11	86:12
24:20 28:7	33:13 38:14	Ted's 65:19	63:1,3 71:7	thinking 17:14
subscribe 88:17	51:15 53:8	television 4:2	72:11 74:17	43:10 46:20
substantial 24:8	55:7,8 59:2,3	tell 84:10	75:15 77:3,8	47:4 59:6 67:8
54:18	65:5 79:17	telling 35:15	78:11 80:8	Thirdly 66:19
substantive	86:13	temperatures	81:6 83:7	thirty-first 7:18
33:10,15	taken 3:16 8:16	15:9	84:21	thought 25:9,10
suddenly 7:8	28:14 55:4	tend 36:7	thing 23:8 32:1	32:19 33:11
suggested 25:7	82:15	tends 36:10	47:20 48:21	34:14 44:2
suggestions	talk 6:16 19:14	tentative 7:15	51:4 53:13,14	48:4,5,10
70:10,12,19	20:19 22:5	term 75:19 78:4	63:12,16 68:1	50:11 63:21
summarized	24:9 29:13	79:10 81:4	68:11 73:11	76:13 83:13
65:19	31:11 32:11	terms 7:7 8:1	83:12	86:16
summarizing	33:2 34:5	15:4 16:2 36:6	things 9:3 18:2	thoughtful
12:19	56:22	36:8 40:3 42:2	29:7 30:10	66:20
sun 48:9	talked 22:19	42:3,4 50:5	31:4 32:14,18	thoughtfully
super 48:18	23:19 31:21	56:6 60:4,8,13	34:6,12 35:5,8	70:3
support 18:6	36:12 40:4	66:10 67:8	36:5 37:9	thoughts 60:1
50:17 59:6	41:6 47:15	terribly 48:8	39:22 41:6	65:12
83:4 86:15	57:17	territory 82:20	42:5 49:6 52:5	three 45:9 58:3
supported 16:9	talking 47:18	test 42:7	55:12 59:11	86:9
49:18	54:1,9,16 60:4	text 17:1 33:6	60:15 62:6	thrilled 6:14
supporting 59:7	Tan 1:10 3:6 5:4	thank 4:7,14,17	64:3 65:3,4,6	Thursday 43:18
59:8	5:6,19 10:20	4:20 5:1,4,7,11	70:16	time 3:18,19
supposed 35:6	11:22 12:1,12	5:13 6:2 10:13	think 7:15 8:21	6:10 7:18 14:2
sure 18:10 21:18	67:20 71:18,19	10:14 12:6	9:1,18 13:21	14:16 15:7
24:13,16 25:3	72:12 75:4,5	13:2 44:16	14:10 23:20	16:21 17:17
26:7 27:18	75:16 77:17,18	47:11,12,15	32:20 33:18	20:7 21:12
35:16 37:11	78:20,21 80:17	49:7,8,18	34:15,21 35:3	22:20 23:19
39:9 41:16	80:18 81:15,16	50:17,18,19	35:4,12,17,22	28:7 31:8,10
42:7 48:8 49:4	target 26:16,19	52:11,13,15,16	38:9,12 39:10	32:12 33:11
50:4 68:4,12	26:20 27:2	52:18 57:2	39:13,18 40:18	34:16 38:11
86:7	task 14:14 15:16	65:18 67:18,20	40:19,20 43:18	41:7 42:8
surge 15:7 21:13	15:20,22 16:15	69:16,17 72:2	43:19 44:4	44:14,15 45:6
sustainable	16:18,19 17:20	72:3,4,7 82:14	45:19 46:6,16	50:17 55:21
41:20 42:10	18:10,14 19:5	83:9,10,14,17	47:5,21 50:13	58:9 59:4
Swaathi 1:13	19:20 32:17	84:1,2,22 85:6	52:8 53:17	64:17 67:20
6:6	33:4 36:12	86:8,11,18	55:11 57:13	68:2,3,18
system 31:13	39:8 57:16	thanks 13:7	58:5,17 59:5	69:10 70:16
systematic	63:4,20 64:21	44:17 53:15	60:6,8,12,14	76:12,19,19

82:16 84:10,13	66:13,15	underway 16:12	74:15 75:8	24:13,16 26:13
84:14 85:1	tremendous	unfortunate	77:6,21 78:3	35:6 41:19
86:2,4,4	84:22	16:16	79:2 80:5,21	52:5 53:7
times 16:15 20:4	tremendously	unintended 43:4	81:3,19 82:1	55:20,22 59:7
38:2 41:1	69:22	unit 74:2,3	votes 3:15 86:15	66:17
46:19 85:4		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	tried 36:2 76:8	units 56:14	voting 12:5 72:1	ways 15:18
tipping 56:15	76:16	universities	75:9 77:22	27:17 31:15
To' 88:2	trouble 49:13	64:19	79:3 80:22	35:5 41:12
today 6:4 14:7,8	true 89:6	unmute 45:6	81:20	54:3 61:11,12
36:17 61:3	truly 49:4	upcoming 8:3	vulnerability	61:18 62:15,18
today's 6:18,18	try 13:16,19	update 2:4 5:21	14:17 17:13	we'll 10:7 12:18
told 85:17	19:17 23:21	7:4,13 8:9,13	24:17	12:20 13:22
Tom 1:10 3:6	29:10 30:10	updated 21:9,18	vulnerable	44:4,8,18 47:6
5:1,3,4,19 8:21	33:13,16 41:18	25:2	39:21 69:2	52:8,8 64:9
10:20 11:20,21	41:18 46:4	updates 10:15	W	66:15 69:10
12:12 65:11,16	59:22	upgrade 61:10		75:17 79:9
65:17,18 67:18	trying 23:8	urban 15:10,11	walking 53:22	81:3 84:17
67:21 71:4,16	25:14 29:1,2	16:13 25:11,13	want 6:10 8:6,12	85:8
71:17 72:12	34:4,11 60:10	30:20	18:12 22:7	we're 6:16 9:1
75:2,3,16	69:4	urging 15:17	24:16 32:11	13:8 18:11
77:15,16 78:18	Tuesday 1:4	usable 29:22	33:12 39:11,15	19:14 20:3,7
78:19 80:15,16	85:19	use 7:12 10:9	39:17 42:6	20:10 21:18
81:13,14	turn 10:13 58:21	16:7 18:22	47:15 49:18	29:1,6 33:21
ton 8:4	59:15 84:20	24:5 25:6	50:2,6,10,10	34:4,11,11
tonight 43:17	tweaked 67:22	26:14 27:2,9	50:13 52:14	35:14,15,15,16
82:10 86:6	two 9:17 15:2,14	38:7,7 40:7	53:7 55:6	37:12,17 39:9
tool 17:22 21:3	19:6,15 20:17	52:2 55:14,15	58:19 60:10	46:2,14,22
62:8	36:11 51:15	useable 68:2	61:20 64:8,17	47:1 54:1,8,9
tools 62:7	64:18	users 66:4	65:12,14 70:10	54:16,17 55:16
topic 51:1	two-family 37:8	uses 46:13	73:12 78:4	58:5 61:3,10
total 37:16	37:13 63:22	usual 5:20 6:4	79:21 86:7,11	66:7 68:19
towns 17:10	type 19:13 20:5	12:18 52:21	wanted 10:9	we've 9:20 16:21
tradeoff 69:4	27:21	usually 42:1	33:5,13 35:10	17:14 20:3,14
tradeoffs 34:4	types 25:15		37:20 39:8	28:8 30:9 33:7
43:9	typically 47:7	V	45:17 50:4	34:6 53:19,21
transcript 4:3		value 54:19	57:20 82:14	54:4,7 65:10
11:7 88:15,17	U	variant 68:2	83:4,14 84:21	76:19 83:19,19
89:6	ultimately 18:7	vast 54:20	85:5	webpage 3:20
transcripts 2:5	44:7	verify 4:6	wanting 62:4	website 28:10
11:1,4	Um 73:4	version 28:11	wants 43:20	week 8:2,5 82:8
transmit 12:21	umbrellas 57:22	versus 50:5 56:6	44:14	85:13
travel 84:15	undergoing 62:9	Vice 1:7 79:10	was/is 51:12	weekend 8:4
treated 51:13	understand 47:8	79:15,21 81:4	wasn't 28:1	weeks 9:17
treatment 13:15	52:7 68:21	81:21 86:12	waters 66:8	weigh 34:12
tree 26:1 28:2	understanding	video 3:22	Watkins 6:9,10	weighted 26:18
51:15 63:16,17	21:10 32:10	viewing 82:22	53:8,15 59:17	weighting 25:19
trees 26:2,3	33:14 54:16	Volpe 7:12	67:12	welcome 3:8
46:22 47:1,7	55:2	volunteers 72:5	wave 16:16	65:14
49:1,3,5 51:3,3	undertaking	vote 9:11 11:11	way 17:15 18:12	well-being 31:6
51:12,13,14	56:2	12:4 71:5,22	19:13 22:4	well-embedded
31.12,13,17		- /		,, cii ciiibcaaca
i .	=	=	=	=

32:2 well-suited 25:10 years 8:14,14,16 years 73:4 63:4 whereof 89:12 Willing r9:13 rof-12 willing r9:13 rof-12 willing r9:13 rof-12 willing r9:13 willing r9:14 wisdom 67:15 wish 44:21 year-old 60:19 years 7:3 14:9 wonderful 60:13 50:15 53:20 st.16 st.21; 59:11 60:18 word 83:5 86:5 64:9 65:3,4 work 62:1,22 working 6:22 work 15:18 l6:11,21 22:26:13 53:18 st.2 22:18 st.18 l6:11,22 22:18 st.18 l7:25 worked 41:18 roz-13 st.18 l6:11,22 26:13 53:18 st.2 22:19 st.18 l7:25 work 62:2 22 63:13 20 st.18 l7:25 l7:26 l7					
well-suited 80:18 82:4 year 8:14,14,16 year 8:14,14,16 year 8:14,14,16 year 8:14,14,16 year 8:14,14,16 year 8:14,14,16 year 9:13,22 13:4 1 1 122 4:2 11:2 12:15 Whorps 40:18 willing po:13 willing ness willing po:13 rof:12 willing mess willing po:13 willing mess willing po:13 willing mess willing point willing self-willing mess willing point willing self-willing mess willing point willing mess willing mes	22.2	69.7.77.19		213 47.14	
25:10 year 8:14,14,16 year 9:12 19:15 38:1 10:26:16,17 22:712 24:716 22:715 22:712 24:716 22:715 22:712 24:716 22:715			U		
went 37:4 63:4 whereof 89:12 whoops 40:18 willing 79:13 willing ress willing 79:13 willing mess rof-6:12 wish 44:21 wisdom 67:15 wish 44:21 witness 89:12 witness 89:12 worderful 66:13 67:2 some of foil 60:19 windering 51:1 word 83:s 86:5 work 6:21,22 8:16,19 9:1 14:1,4,14 16:9 25:18 48:8,10 54:3 66:19 82:15 work 6:41:18 72:5 working 6:22 82:18 18:14 14:10,12 66:22 words 6:9,13 21:2 26:13 53:21 66:22 words 6:9,13 21:2 26:13 53:21 66:22 words 6:9,13 21:2 26:13 53:21 66:19 82:15 some ship ship ship ship ship ship ship ship			1		
whereof 89:12 Whoops 40:18 willing 79:13 willing mess 15:1 16:20 20:7 36:8 75:19 76:21 78:4,8 79:11 83:16 84:12,14 years 7:3 14:9 year-old 60:19 year-old 60:19 year-old 60:19 year-old 60:19 year-old 60:19 58:8,12,13 67:2 1.02:6:16,17 27:15 1:00 8:10 37:2 24 7:16 25,000 24:2,7 744:12 28 89:18 27 44:12 28 89:18 27 44:12 28 89:18 27 44:12 28 89:18 3 32:4 30 38:16,17 3257:13 33 32:4 30 38:16,17 3257:13 33 32:4 30 38:16,17 3257:13 33 32:4 30 38:16,17 3257:13 33 32:4 30 38:16,17 3257:13 3264:40:17 work 6:21,22 22 15:18 16:11,21 27:13 53:21 66:22 works 6:9,13 21:2 26:13 53:18 58:2 world 14:10,12 67:9 84:15 67:9 84:15 67:9 84:15 67:9 84:15 world 14:10,12 67:9 84:15 world 14:10,12 67:9 84:15 world 14:10,12 67:9 84:15 world 14:10,12 67:9 84:15 world 14:10,12 67:9 84:15 99:14:40:16 41:2 40:16			1 0.15 20.1		
Whoops 40:18 willing 79:13 20:7 36:8 75:19 76:21 75:19 76:21 83:16 84:12,14 27:15 10 8:10 8:10 37:2 37:2 37:2 37:2 37:2 37:2 37:2 37:2		/			
willing 79:13 willingness 75:19 76:21 78:48 79:11 78:48 79:11 78:48 79:11 78:48 79:12 wisdom 67:15 wish 44:21 year-old 60:19 year-old 60:19 year-old 60:19 year-old 60:19 year-old 60:19 58:17:12 38:17,17 100 35:20 69:12 71:12 38:17,17 100 35:20 69:12 71:12 38:17,17 100 35:20 69:12 71:12 38:17,13 15:11 15:11 358 2:8 71:13 11:12 71:13 53:21 66:19 86:9 72:5 work 64:18 72:5 working 6:22 15:18 16:11,21 27:13 53:21 66:22 15:18 16:11,21 27:13 53:21 66:22 15:18 16:11,21 27:13 53:21 66:22 15:18 16:11,21 27:13 53:21 66:22 15:18 16:11,21 27:13 53:21 66:22 15:18 16:11,21 27:13 53:21 66:22 15:18 16:11,21 27:13 53:21 66:22 15:18 16:11,21 27:13 53:21 66:22 15:18 16:11,21 27:13 53:21 66:22 15:18 16:11,21 26:19 84:15 15:20 17:1.21 18:4,15 15:20 17:1.21 1			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
willingness 78:4,8 79:11 87:148 879:11 10 1:4 3:8 20:20 26 43:18 27 44:12 28 49:18 27 44:12 28 49:18 27 44:12 28 89:18 27 44:12 28 89:18 27 44:12 28 89:18 27 44:12 28 89:18 27 44:12 28 89:18 28 89:18 28 89:18 28 89:18 28 89:18 27 44:12 28 89:18 29 44:12 24 41:12 25 48:18 25 49:14 24 41:12 25 49:14 25 49:14 25 49:14 25 49:14 25 49:14 26 49:14 26 49:14 27 49:12 27 49:12 28 49:18 28 49:18 28 49:18 28 49:18 28 49:18 28 49:18 29 44:12 28 49:18 29 44:19 29 44:11 29 44:11 29 44:11 29 44:19 29 45:11 29 44:19 2	_				
76:12 wisdom 67:15 wish 44:21 wisdom 67:15 wish 44:21 witness 89:12 Witt 72:22 wonderful 66:13 67:2 58:18,12,13 59:11 60:18 ordering 51:1 word 83:5 86:5 work 6:21,22 81:6,19 9:1 14:1,4,14 16:9 25:18 48:8,10 54:3 66:19 82:15 worked 41:18 72:5 zones 46:2 51:21 zones 46:2 51:22 zo					
wisdom 67:15 86:19 38:5,18,19 28 89:18 with 44:21 year-old 60:19 year-old 60:19 year-old 60:19 38:5,18,19 33:5,18,19 Witt 72:22 Witt 72:22 17:12 38:17,17 65:4 100 35:20 69:12 3 32:4 wondering 51:1 word 83:5 86:5 64:9 65:3,4 107 3:12 33 32:4 33 32:5,7:13 work 6:21,22 81:16 19 9:1 64:9 65:3,4 110-year-old 325 7:13 325 7:13 work 6:21,22 86:9 25:18 48:8,10 58:8,12,11 110-year-old 325 7:13 30 38:16,17 325 7:13 325 7:13 325 7:13 325 7:13 325 7:13 325 7:13 325 7:13 30 38:16,17 325 7:13 325 7:13 325 7:13 325 7:13 325 7:13 310 47:20 44 41 45:17 325 7:13 30 47:20 44 41 45:17 325 7:13 310 47:20 44 41 45:17 325 7:13 310 47:20 31 47:20 31 47:20 325 7:13 31 47:20 31 47:20 31 47:20 31 47:20 31 47:20 31 47:20 31 47:20 31 47:20<					
wish 44:21 witness 89:12 witness 89:12 wonderful 66:13 67:2 wonderful 66:13 50:15 53:20 58:8,12,13 59:11 60:18 17:12 38:17,17 50:15 53:20 58:8,12,13 59:11 60:18 175:11 15:11 358 2:8 72:21 30 33:16,17 325 7:13 30 38:16,17 325 7:13 30 31 30 38:16,17 325 7:13 30 31 30 38:16,17 325 7:13 30 31 30 38:16,17 325 7:13 30 31 30 38:16,17 325 7:13 30 31 30 38:16,17 325 7:13 30 31 30 38:16,17 325 7:13 30 31 30 38:16,17 325 7:13 30 31 30 38:16,17 325 7:13 30 31 30 38:16,17 325 7:13 30 31 30 38:16,17 325 7:13 30 31 30 38:13 30 38:16,17 325 7:13 30 30 38:13 30 38:13 30 38:16,17 325 7		· ·			
witness 89:12 Witt 72:22 Wonderful 66:13 67:2 wondering 51:1 59:11 60:18 word 83:5 86:5 work 621,22 8:16,19 9:1 14:1,4,14 16:9 25:18 48:8,10 54:3 66:19 82:15 work 64:1:18 72:5 worked 41:18 72:5 worked 41:18 72:5 working 6:22 15:18 16:11,21 27:13 53:21 66:22 27:13 53:21 53:28 88:2 worth 17:11 word 13:10 17:21 18:4,15 66:22 worth 17:11 word 14:12 21:2,3 68:10 word 14:10,12 67:9 84:15 worth 17:11 wond 17:22:2,3 68:10 word 14:10,12 67:9 84:15 worth 17:11 wond 17:22:2,3 68:10 word 18:10,01 40:14 5:2			, ,	28 89:18	
Witt 72:22 wonderful 66:13 67:2 wondering 51:1 word 83:5 86:5 work 6:21,22 8:16,19 9:1 14:1,4,14 16:9 25:18 48:8,10 54:3 66:19 82:15 worked 41:18 72:5 working 6:22 15:18 16:11,21 27:13 53:21 66:22 2 15:18 16:11,21 27:13 53:21 66:22 15:18 16:11,21 27:13 53:21 66:22 15:18 16:11,21 27:13 53:21 66:22 15:18 16:11,21 27:13 53:21 66:22 2 15:18 16:11,21 27:13 53:21 66:22 2 15:18 16:11,21 27:13 53:21 66:22 2 15:18 16:11,21 27:13 53:21 66:22 2 15:18 16:11,21 27:13 53:21 66:22 2 15:18 16:11,21 27:13 53:21 66:22 2 15:18 16:11,21 27:13 53:21 66:22 2 15:18 16:11,21 27:13 53:21 66:22 2 15:18 16:11,21 27:13 53:21 66:22 2 15:18 16:11,21 27:13 53:21 66:22 2 15:18 16:11,21 27:13 53:21 66:22 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2			1	2	
wonderful 66:13 50:15 53:20 50:15 53:20 50:15 53:20 50:15 53:20 50:15 53:20 50:15 53:20 50:15 53:20 50:15 53:20 50:15 53:20 50:16 06:18 30 38:16,17 325 7:13 358 2:8 72:21 </td <td></td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		•			
67:2 wondering 51:1 word 83:5 86:5 64:9 65:3,4 work 6:21,22 83:17 84:5,9 86:9 25:18 48:8,10 54:3 66:19 82:15 worked 41:18 72:5 worked 41:18 72:5 working 6:22 15:18 16:11,21 27:13 53:21 66:22 works 6:9,13 21:2 26:13 53:18 58:2 works 6:9,13 21:2 26:13 53:18 58:2 work 6:21,23 66:10 word 14:10,12 67:9 84:15 53:18 58:2 worth 17:11 woldn't 22:2,3 68:10 wrap 45:9 worth 7:11 wordn't 20:4 written 32:15,21 33:3,5 45:3,3 X X X 2:1 Yeard 51:3 yeah 40:19 57:2 yeard 51:3 yeah 40:19 50:3 yeard 51:3 yeah 40:19 57:2		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
wondering 51:1 59:11 60:18 59:11 60:18 15:1:1 358 2:8 72:21 work 6:21,22 83:17 84:5.9 83:17 84:5.9 358 2:8 72:21 work 6:21,22 86:9 58:14 11/22/2022 2:5 358 2:8 72:21 Work 6:21,22 2 Zero 8:13 2cone 46:2 51:21 2cone 36:2 51:21 500 45:2,4 5014:95 82:8 512:15 30:11 55:215:30:11 5014:95 82:8 64:20 58:12 150-60:18 163:12 5014:95 82:8 5014:95 82:8 5014:95 82:8 5014:95 82:8 5014:95 82:8 5014:95 82:8 5014:95 82:8 5014:95 82:8 5014:95 82:8 5014:95 82:8 5014:95 82:8 5014:95 82:8 5014:95 82:8 5014:95 82:8 5014:95 82:8 5015:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 1				-	
word 83:5 86:5 64:9 65:3,4 11/22/2022 2:5 3D 47:20 80rd 83:5 86:5 83:17 84:5,9 11/22/2022 2:5 3D 47:20 81:6,19 9:1 14:1,4,14 16:9 Yep 78:9 11/22/2022 2:5 110-year-old 54:3 66:19 25:18 48:8,10 yesterday 45:2,4 44 44:15:17 54:3 66:19 2 72:5 73:17 72:15 5 5:12:15 30:11 50:46:20 55:12:15 30:11 50:04:5:2,4 50:14:9 58:8 64:9 55:12:15 30:11 50:04:5:2,4 50:14:9 58:8 64:9 50:14:9 58:8 64:9 50:14:9 58:8 64:9 50:04:5:2,4 50:14:9 58:8 64:9 50:04:5:2,4 50:14:9 58:8 64:9 50:04:5:2,4 50:14:9 58:8 64:9 50:04:5:2,4 50:14:9 58:8 64:9 50:04:5:2,4			107 3:12		
work 6:21,22 83:17 84:5,9 816,19 9:1 14:1,4,14 16:9 28:16,19 9:1 14:1,4,14 16:9 25:18 48:8,10 44 44 45:17 54:3 66:19 82:15 Z 25:18 48:8,10 yesterday 45:2,4 114 51:11 12:7:36:7 5 5 12:15 5 12/13/2022 2:5 5 12:15 5 12:15 5 12:15 2 12:15 2 12:15 12:16/2022 2:5 12:16/202 2:5 12:16/202 2:5 12:16/202 2:5 12:16/202 2:5			11 51:11		
8:16,19 9:1 14:1,4,14 16:9 25:18 48:8,10 54:3 66:19 82:15 worked 41:18 72:5 working 6:22 15:18 16:11,21 27:13 53:21 66:22 15:18 48:15 83:18 58:2 works 6:9,13 21:2 26:21 21:14,16 13:5 22:16 30:14 22:15 63:5 1930 56:11 21:2 15 63:41 21:2 15 63:5 63:5 63:5 63:5 63:5 63:5 63:5 63:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	11/22/2022 2:5	3D 47:20	
8:16,19 9:1 14:14,4 16:9 25:18 48:8,10 54:3 66:19 82:15 worked 41:18 72:5 working 6:22 15:18 16:11,21 27:13 53:21 66:22 15:18 66:22 15:18 16:12,21 21:2 26:13 53:18 58:2 world 14:10,12 67:9 84:15 world 14:10,12 67:9 84:15 world 14:10,12 67:9 84:15 world 14:10,12 67:9 84:15 world 14:10,12 67:9 84:15 xouldn't 22:2,3 68:10 xouldn't 22:2,3 89:13 xouldn't 22:2,3 89:18 xouldn't 22:2,3 80:17:21 20:17 xouldn't 22:2,3 80:17:21 20:17 xouldn't 22:2,3 80:18:20 xouldn't 23:20 xouldn't 23:20 xouldn't 23:20 xouldn't 24:20 xouldn't 24:20 xouldn't 24:20 xo	*		110-year-old	<u></u>	
14.1,1,14 16.3 25:18 48:8,10 25:18 16:11,21 20:13 53:21 27:13 53:21 27:13 53:21 27:2 66:22 27:13 53:21 27:2 66:22 27:2 15:18 16:11,21 27:2 18:4,15 27:2 66:18 27:2 66:22 27:2 15:18 16:11,21 27:2 18:4,15 27:3 18:4,15 27:3 18:58:2 27:2 18:4,15 27:3 18:4,15	8:16,19 9:1	86:9	58:14		
54:3 66:19 82:15 Z 72:15 512:15 30:11 5 working 6:22 15:18 16:11,21 27:13 53:21 66:22 20 8:27 12:14,16 13:5 13:8,14 15:16 66:22 15:20 17:1,21 12:14,16 13:5 13:8,14 15:16 15:20 58:12 15:20 17:1,21 17:21 18:4,15 19:1,2,7,16,18 29:2,16 30:8 31:19 32:2,17 15:15 16:15 20:15 16:15 50/50 39:6 50-year 20:7 50/50 39:6 66:11 60:12 67:9 84:15 worth 17:11 wouldn't 22:2,3 68:10 wrap 45:9 write 20:4 write 20:4 write 20:4 write 20:4 write 20:4 write 20:4 write 32:15,21 33:3,5 45:3,3 X X Yard 51:3 yeah 40:19 57:2 72:15 12/13/2022 2:5 13:11:2 15:20 58:12 15:20 88:13 177:10 18 8:9 19 12:15 16:15 23:16 30:14 23:16 30:14 23:16 30:14 22 21:15 20 26:21,22,22 20,30 59:11 20 26:21,22,22 20,30 59:11 20 27:15 15:14,20:6 25:8 2018 14:15 2022 3:12,13 11:2 2023 1:4 3:8 2022 3:12,13 2028 89:18 203056 72:20 72:15 512/13/2022 2:5 513:11:2 15:00 45:2,4 50 14:9 58:8 64:9 50-year 20:7 50/50 39:6 62:15 66:11:2 63:61:2 63:62:1 51:6,20 52:1 53:1,14 54:2 55:12,20 62:6 62:13,17 63:6 62:13,17 63:6 63:6,10 64:12 66:14,22 67:14 73:12,13 82:15 82:22 20om 57:20 85:3 72:15 12/13/2022 2:5 13:11:2 15:00 45:2,4 50 14:9 58:8 64:9 50-year 20:7 50/50 39:6 63:35:16 66:12 66:14:2 66:42 10:17 6:44 12:9 51:10 3:14 6:44 12:9 51:10 3:14 6:44 12:9 51:11 5:00 15 60:18 16:33:3 17:10 18:8:9 19:12:15 16:15 22:15 1930 56:11 77 72 2:8 80:11 72:15 50:14:9 50:23:15 <td>14:1,4,14 16:9</td> <td>Yep 78:9</td> <td>114 51:11</td> <td>41 45:17</td> <td></td>	14:1,4,14 16:9	Yep 78:9	114 51:11	41 45:17	
Z Zero 8:13 Zones 46:2 51:21 Zoning 2:7,8 4:8 6:5,20 8:2,7 12:14,16 13:5 13:18; 14:15:16 6:22 15:20 17:1,21 15:20 17:1,21 15:21 18:4,15 15:21 18:4,15 15:21 18:4,15 15:21 18:4,15 15:21 18:4,15 15:21 18:4,15 16:313 17:21 18:4,15 17:10 18 8:9 19 12:15 16:15 23:16 30:14 63:5 63:5 16:35 5:16 6:42 10:17 6:44 12:9 20:4 written 32:15,21 33:3,5 45:3,3 X X 2:1 X 2:20 com 57:20 85:3 2008 56:72:20 X 2:20 X	25:18 48:8,10	yesterday 45:2,4	12 2:7 36:7	5	
Series Zero 8:13 12/13/2022 2:5 5:00 45:2,4 50 14:9 58:8 64:9 50 -year 20:7 50/50 39:6 52 242:15 50/50 39:6 52 242:15 60 11:2 60 11:2 60 11:2 60 11:2 60 11:2 60:35 6	54:3 66:19		72:15		
72:5 72:5 72:6	82:15		12/13/2022 2:5		
Working 6:22 Zoning 2:7,8 4:8 6:5,20 8:2,7 15:18 16:11,21 27:13 53:21 66:22 15:20 17:1,21 15:20 17:1,21 15:20 17:1,01 18 8:9 19 12:15 16:15 50/50 39:6 52 42:15 works 6:9,13 17:21 18:4,15 17:21 18:4,15 18 8:9 6 11:2 sorld 14:10,12 67:9 84:15 26:21 28:21,22 29:2,16 30:8 63:5 63:5 63:5 11 68:10 40:16 41:2 29:2,16 30:8 20:26:21,22,22 20:30 59:11 7 worth 47:11 40:16 41:2 20:26:21,22,22 20:30 59:11 7 wrap 45:9 48:13 49:20 51:6,20 52:1 25:8 7 written 32:15,21 33:3,5 45:3,3 53:1,14 54:2 25:8 8:01 72:9 75:13 33:3,5 45:3,3 53:1,14 54:2 25:8 8:01 72:9 75:13 X 53:1,14 54:2 20:23 1:4,13 9 55:12,20 62:6 62:13,17 63:6 63:6,10 64:12 88:15 87:3 40:16 41:2 20:23 1:4 3:8 89:13 8:15 87:3 9 9 99 67:21	worked 41:18		12/6/2022 2:5	,	
15:18 16:11,21	72:5		13 11:2		
15:18 16:11,21 27:13 53:21 12:14,16 13:5 13:8,14 15:16 15:20 17:1,21 15:20 17:1,21 15:20 17:1,21 17:21 18:4,15 15:20 17:1,21 17:21 18:4,15 15:14 25:8 26:21 28:21,22 29:2,16 30:8 29:2,16 30:8 31:19 32:2,17 20:16 8:10 40:16 41:2 40:16 41:2 40:16 41:2 40:16 41:2 48:13 49:20 write 20:4 48:13 49:20 write 20:4 48:13 49:20 33:3,5 45:3,3 33:3,5 45:3,3 55:12,20 62:6 62:13,17 63:6 62:13,17 63:6 63:6,10 64:12 73:12,13 82:15 82:22 200m 57:20 85:3 2028 89:18 203056 72:20 50:050 39:6 52 42:15 66:11 66:11:2 66:14,22 67:14 73:12,13 82:15 89:13 2028 89:18 203056 72:20 50:050 39:6 52 42:15 66:11:2 66:14,22 67:14 77:10 18 8:9 19 12:15 16:15 23:16 30:14 63:5 1930 56:11 20:30 56:11 20	working 6:22	0 '	15,20 58:12		
12:14,1613.53 13:8,14 15:16 15:20 17:1,21 17:21 18:4,15 15:20 17:1,21 17:21 18:4,15 19:1,2,7,16,18 21:4 25:8 26:21 28:21,22 29:2,16 30:8 31:19 32:2,17 20:26:21,22,22 40:16 41:2 40:16 41:2 40:16 41:2 40:16 41:2 48:13 49:20 51:6,20 52:1 33:3,5 45:3,3 X	15:18 16:11,21	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	150- 60:18	•	
works 6:9,13 13:3,14 13:10 15:20 17:1,21 17:10 18 8:9 6 11:2 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 11:2 6 6 6 6 6 11:2 6 6 6 11:2 6 6 6 11:2 6 6 6 11:2 6 6 11:2 6 6 11:2 6 6 11:2 6 6 11:2 6 6 11:2 6 6:42 10:17 6 6:44 12:9 7 <th< td=""><td></td><td>·</td><td>16 3:13</td><td></td><td></td></th<>		·	16 3:13		
17:21 18:4,15	66:22	· ·	17 7:10	32 42.13	
17:21 18:4,15	works 6:9,13		18 8:9	6	
53:18 58:2 19:1,2,7,16,18 23:16 30:14 63:5 67:9 84:15 26:21 28:21,22 29:2,16 30:8 63:5 63:5 5:16 worry 68:22 31:19 32:2,17 2 21:15 2 wouldn't 22:2,3 40:16 41:2 20:26:21,22,22 20:30 59:11 6:44 12:9 wrap 45:9 45:20 47:20,22 20;30 59:11 7 72 2:8 write 20:4 48:13 49:20 20;7 14:16 15:14 20:6 8 write 32:15,21 53:1,14 54:2 25:8 8 8:01 72:9 75:13 33:3,5 45:3,3 55:12,20 62:6 20:23 3:12,13 8:15 87:3 X 63:6,10 64:12 20:23 1:4 3:8 99 yard 51:3 99 45:1 yeah 40:19 57:2 200m 57:20 85:3 2028 89:18 203056 72:20	21:2 26:13		19 12:15 16:15		
world 14:10,12 21:4 25:8 63:5 63:4 72:2 72:2 72:2 72:2 72:2 72:2 72:2 72:2:8 75:2:10 7:19 75:2:10 7:19 75:2:10 7:19 75:2:10 7:19 75:13 8:15:14 20:6 8:01 72:9 75:13 8:15:87:3 8:15:87:3 8:15:87:3 8:15:87:3 99:45:1 99:45:1 99:45:1 99:45:1 99:67:21 99:67:21 99:67:21 99:67:21 99:67:21 99:67:21 99:67:21 99:67:21 99:67:21 99:67:21 99:67:21 99:67:21 99:67:21 99:67:21 99:67:21 99:67:21 99:67:21 </td <td>53:18 58:2</td> <td></td> <td>23:16 30:14</td> <td></td> <td></td>	53:18 58:2		23:16 30:14		
67:9 84:15 26:21 28:21,22 1930 56:11 6:42 10:17 worth 17:11 31:19 32:2,17 2 6:44 12:9 wouldn't 22:2,3 40:16 41:2 20 26:21,22,22 7 wrap 45:9 45:20 47:20,22 20,30 59:11 7 write 20:4 48:13 49:20 2017 14:16 15:14 20:6 writen 32:15,21 51:6,20 52:1 53:1,14 54:2 25:8 55:12,20 62:6 25:8 801 72:9 75:13 55:12,20 62:6 62:13,17 63:6 2022 3:12,13 63:6,10 64:12 11:2 9 yard 51:3 66:44 12:9 yeah 40:19 57:2 2003056 72:20	world 14:10,12		63:5		
worry 68:22 29:2,16 30:8 31:19 32:2,17 2 wouldn't 22:2,3 39:16 40:6,12 2 12:15 7 68:10 40:16 41:2 20 26:21,22,22 7 72 2:8 wrap 45:9 45:20 47:20,22 48:13 49:20 2017 14:16 75 2:10 7:19 written 32:15,21 51:6,20 52:1 55:12,20 62:6 25:8 8:01 72:9 75:13 X 55:12,20 62:6 25:8 2018 14:15 8:01 72:9 75:13 X 2:1 63:6,10 64:12 63:6,10 64:12 11:2 9 yard 51:3 40:16 41:2 2023 1:4 3:8 89:13 9 yeah 40:19 57:2 200m 57:20 85:3 2028 89:18 203056 72:20	67:9 84:15	· ·	1930 56:11		
worth 17:11 31:19 32:2,17 2 wouldn't 22:2,3 40:16 40:6,12 7 68:10 40:16 41:2 40:26:21,22,22 wrap 45:9 45:20 47:20,22 20,30 59:11 written 32:15,21 51:6,20 52:1 20:17 14:16 33:3,5 45:3,3 53:1,14 54:2 55:12,20 62:6 55:12,20 62:6 25:8 8:01 72:9 75:13 2022 3:12,13 8:15 87:3 32:15,21 66:14,22 67:14 7 72 2:8 7 72 2:8 7 8 8:01 72:9 75:13 8:15 87:3 9 9 9 45:1 9 9 45:1 99 67:21 99 67:21	worry 68:22	29:2,16 30:8			
68:10 wrap 45:9 write 20:4 written 32:15,21 33:3,5 45:3,3 X X 2:1 Y yard 51:3 yeah 40:19 57:2	worth 17:11	31:19 32:2,17	2	0.77 12.7	
68:10 40:16 41:2 20 26:21,22,22 72 2:8 wrap 45:9 45:20 47:20,22 20,30 59:11 75 2:10 7:19 write 20:4 51:6,20 52:1 15:14 20:6 8 33:3,5 45:3,3 53:1,14 54:2 25:8 8:01 72:9 75:13 X 55:12,20 62:6 62:13,17 63:6 2022 3:12,13 8:15 87:3 X 2:1 66:14,22 67:14 73:12,13 82:15 89:13 9 yard 51:3 99 67:21 yeah 40:19 57:2 zoom 57:20 85:3 2028 89:18 203056 72:20	wouldn't 22:2,3	· ·		7	
wrap 45:9 45:20 47:20,22 20,30 59:11 75 2:10 7:19 write 20:4 48:13 49:20 51:6,20 52:1 51:6,20 52:1 51:14 20:6 33:3,5 45:3,3 53:1,14 54:2 25:8 8:01 72:9 75:13 X 55:12,20 62:6 2018 14:15 8:15 87:3 X 2:1 63:6,10 64:12 11:2 9 Y yard 51:3 63:12,13 82:15 89:13 945:1 yeah 40:19 57:2 2028 89:18 2028 89:18 203056 72:20			20 26:21,22,22	72 2:8	
write 20:4 written 32:15,21 48:13 49:20 51:6,20 52:1 51:6,20 52:1 51:14 20:6 8 33:3,5 45:3,3 53:1,14 54:2 25:8 8:01 72:9 75:13 X 55:12,20 62:6 2018 14:15 8:15 87:3 X 2:1 63:6,10 64:12 11:2 9 Y yard 51:3 66:14,22 67:14 73:12,13 82:15 89:13 945:1 yeah 40:19 57:2 82:22 2028 89:18 99 67:21 2028 89:18 203056 72:20	wrap 45:9	,	20,30 59:11		
33:3,5 45:3,3 X X 2:1 Y yard 51:3 yeah 40:19 57:2 yeah 40:19 57:2 33:3,5 45:3,3 53:1,14 54:2 55:12,20 62:6 62:13,17 63:6 62:13,17 63:6 63:6,10 64:12 66:14,22 67:14 73:12,13 82:15 82:22 zoom 57:20 85:3 25:8 2018 14:15 2022 3:12,13 11:2 9 945:1 99 67:21	-		2017 14:16		
33:3,5 45:3,3 X X 2:1 Y yard 51:3 yeah 40:19 57:2 yeah 40:19 57:2 33:3,5 45:3,3 53:1,14 54:2 55:12,20 62:6 62:13,17 63:6 63:6,10 64:12 66:14,22 67:14 73:12,13 82:15 82:22 zoom 57:20 85:3 25:8 2018 14:15 2022 3:12,13 11:2 2023 1:4 3:8 89:13 2028 89:18 203056 72:20 8:01 72:9 75:13 8:15 87:3 9 945:1 99 67:21	written 32:15,21	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	15:14 20:6	8	
X S5:12,20 62:6 2018 14:15 8:15 87:3 X 2:1 62:13,17 63:6 2022 3:12,13 9 Y yard 51:3 66:14,22 67:14 2023 1:4 3:8 9 yeah 40:19 57:2 82:22 2028 89:18 yeah 40:19 57:2 203056 72:20	33:3,5 45:3,3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	25:8	8:01 72:9 75:13	
X 2:1 63:6,10 64:12 11:2 Y 66:14,22 67:14 2023 1:4 3:8 9 yard 51:3 82:22 89:13 yeah 40:19 57:2 2028 89:18 zoom 57:20 85:3 203056 72:20		· ·	2018 14:15	8:15 87:3	
Y 66:14,22 67:14 2023 1:4 3:8 9 45:1 yard 51:3 82:22 89:13 99 67:21 yeah 40:19 57:2 2028 89:18 203056 72:20		· ·	2022 3:12,13		
Y 73:12,13 82:15 89:13 yard 51:3 82:22 2028 89:18 yeah 40:19 57:2 zoom 57:20 85:3 203056 72:20	X 2:1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
yard 51:3 yeah 40:19 57:2 yeah 40:19 57:2 zoom 57:20 85:3 2028 89:18 203056 72:20	T 7	·	2023 1:4 3:8		
yeah 40:19 57:2 zoom 57:20 85:3 203056 72:20		· ·		99 67:21	
50.17.60.16					
39:17 60:16 zoomed 57:14 2070 15:1 20:8					
	59:17 60:16	zoomed 57:14	2070 15:1 20:8		
		<u> </u>			