PLANNING BOARD

FOR THE

CITY OF CAMBRIDGE

GENERAL HEARING
TUESDAY, AUGUST 29, 2023
6:30 p.m.
Remote Meeting
Cambridge, Massachusetts

Mary T. Flynn, Chair
Catherine Preston Connolly, Vice Chair
Louis J. Bacci, Jr.
Tom Sieniewicz
Diego Macias
Adam Buchanan Westbrook

Community Development Staff
Iram Farooq, Assistant City Manager
Daniel Messplay
Swaathi Joseph
Adithi Moogoor
Erik Thorkildsen
Evan Spetrini



Precision, Speed, Reliability 617.547.5690 transcripts@ctran.com

I N D E X CASE PAGE General Business Update from the Community Development Department 5 Adoption of Planning Board meeting transcripts (6/13/2023, 6/20/2023, 6/27/2023, 7/18/2023) Public Hearings PB-118 195 Binney Street PB-189 303 Third Street - Amendment 9 PB-301 249 Third Street Affordable Housing Overlay Zoning Petition 79 (Continued from 8/8/2023)

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	* * * *
3	(6:30 p.m.)
4	Sitting Members: Mary T. Flynn, Catherine Preston Connolly,
5	Louis J. Bacci, Jr., Tom Sieniewicz, Diego
6	Macias, and Adam Buchanan Westbrook
7	MARY FLYNN: Good evening, everyone. Welcome to
8	the August 29, 2023 meeting of the Cambridge Planning Board.
9	My name is Mary Flynn, and I am the Chair.
10	Pursuant to Chapter 2 of the Acts of 2023 adopted
11	by the Massachusetts General Court and approved by the
L2	Governor, the City is authorized to use remote participation
L3	at meetings of the Cambridge Planning Board.
L 4	All Board members, applicants, and members of the
15	public will state their name before speaking. All votes
L 6	will be taken by roll call.
L7	Members of the public will be kept on mute until
18	it is time for public comment. I will give instructions for
L 9	public comment at that time, and you can also find
20	instructions on the City's webpage for remote Planning Board
21	meetings.
22	This meeting is being video and audio recorded and

```
is being streamed live on the City of Cambridge online
1
 2
    meeting portal and on cable television Channel 22, within
 3
     Cambridge. There will also be a transcript of the
 4
    proceedings.
5
                I'll start by asking Staff to take Board member
 6
     attendance and verify that all members are audible.
7
               Daniel?
 8
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you, Chair Flynn.
                                                          Lou
9
    Bacci, are you present, and is the meeting visible and
10
     audible to you?
11
               LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Present, visible, and
12
    audible.
13
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: H Theodore Cohen, are you
14
    present, and is the meeting visible and audible to you?
15
               [Pause]
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Absent. Tom Sieniewicz, are you
16
17
    present, and is the meeting visible and audible to you?
18
               TOM SIENIEWICZ: Present, visible, and audible.
19
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Ashley Tan, are you present, and
20
     is the meeting visible and audible to you?
21
               [Pause]
22
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Absent. Diego Macias, are you
```

```
present, and is the meeting visible and audible to you?
1
 2
               DIEGO MACIAS: Present, visible, and audible.
 3
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Adam Westbrook, are you present,
 4
    and is the meeting visible and audible to you?
5
               ADAM BUCHANAN WESTBROOK: Present, visible, and
     audible.
 6
7
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Catherine Preston Connolly, are
8
    you present, and is the meeting visible and audible to you?
9
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Present, visible, and
    audible.
10
11
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: And Mary Flynn, are you present,
12
    and is the meeting visible and audible to you?
13
               MARY FLYNN: I am present, and the meeting is
14
    visible, and audible.
15
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you. That is six members
16
    present and two members absent, which constitutes a quorum.
17
              MARY FLYNN: Thanks, Daniel.
18
19
20
     (6:32 p.m.)
21
     Sitting Members: Mary T. Flynn, Catherine Preston Connolly,
22
                       Louis J. Bacci, Jr., Tom Sieniewicz, Diego
```

Macias, and Adam Buchanan Westbrook

MARY FLYNN: The first item tonight is an Update from the Community Development Department. I believe Daniel is giving that, and if you'd also introduce Staff present at the meeting. I appreciate it. Thank you, Daniel.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you, Chair Flynn. Good evening, everyone. Daniel Messplay, Acting Director of the Zoning and Development Division here at the Community Development Department at the City of Cambridge.

With me here tonight from the Zoning and

Development team we have Swaathi Joseph and Evan Spetrini

and Adithi Moogoor. We're also joined by Iram Farooq, our

Assistant City Manager for Community Development, and Adam

Shulman from the Traffic, Parking and Transportation

Department.

And last but not least Brian Gregory from our Community Planning Division is also present this evening.

Just a couple of quick updates. So tonight, we have a continued public hearing on the Affordable Housing Overlay Amendment Zoning Petition and a public hearing on 303 Third Street, 195 Binney Street, and 249 Third Street, to discuss utilization of existing parking spaces across

those three projects. 1 2 That takes us right into Labor Day, so we're not 3 planning to have a Planning Board meeting next week. So we will pick it back up on September 12. At that meeting, 4 5 we'll be discussing an amendment request related to the Volpe special permit. 6 7 And then we are not planning to have a meeting on 8 September 19, but we will resume again on September 26, at which point we will have a Design Review for the next 9 10 building and open space sites at the Volpe Redevelopment. 11 So that's Parcel C-1 and Third Street Park. 12 That is the end of my updates, Chair Flynn, so I will turn it back to you. 13 14 MARY FLYNN: Thank you. Do Board members have any 15 questions on the Update? No. I don't see any hands. Okay. Then we'll move on. 16 17 18 (6:34 p.m.)Sitting Members: Mary T. Flynn, Catherine Preston Connolly, 19 20 Louis J. Bacci, Jr., Tom Sieniewicz, Diego Macias, and Adam Buchanan Westbrook 21 22 MARY FLYNN: The next item is approval of meeting

```
1
    minutes. The Board has received certified transcripts for
2
     the meetings held on June 13, June 20, June 27, and July 18,
 3
     2023. If there are any questions from the Board members,
 4
    please raise your hand.
5
               Okay. Seeing none, is there a motion to accept
 6
    the transcripts as the meeting minutes?
7
               TOM SIENIEWICZ: Madam Chair, this is Tom.
8
    moved.
9
              MARY FLYNN: Thank you, Tom.
10
               LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Louis second.
11
              MARY FLYNN: Thank you, Lou. Roll call vote,
12
    please, Daniel.
13
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Roll call on that motion: Lou
14
    Bacci?
15
               LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Yes.
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Tom Sieniewicz?
16
17
               TOM SIENIEWICZ: Yes.
18
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Diego Macias?
               DIEGO MACIAS: Yes.
19
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Adam Westbrook?
20
              ADAM BUCHANAN WESTBROOK: Yes.
21
22
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Catherine Preston Connolly?
```

```
1
               MARY FLYNN: Yes.
 2
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: And Mary Flynn?
 3
               MARY FLYNN: Yes.
 4
               [All vote YES]
5
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: That's all members voting in
 6
     favor.
7
       MARY FLYNN: Okay. Thank you very much. All right. So
8
       we're going to move on to the first public hearing, then.
9
10
     (6:35 p.m.)
11
     Sitting Members: Mary T. Flynn, Catherine Preston Connolly,
12
                       Louis J. Bacci, Jr., Diego Macias, and
13
                       Adam Buchanan Westbrook
14
               MARY FLYNN: The next item, then, is a public
15
    hearing on cases PB-118, PB-189, and PB-301, special permit
    Amendment Request by Equity Residential, related to off-
16
17
     street parking requirements for 195 Binney Street, 303 Third
18
     Street and 249 Third Street, respectively.
               And I understand that one of our Planning Board
19
20
    members, Tom Sieniewicz, is recusing himself from this.
21
               Tom, did you want to say anything?
22
               TOM SIENIEWICZ: No, just I apologize. On closer
```

examination of the materials, although I have no relationship to Equity Partners, the applicant, I did understand there is potential relationships to people I have association with. So I felt at the last minute here I needed to withdraw for this matter.

Thank you.

MARY FLYNN: Okay. Thank you very much. Then to the -- I guess -- well, hang on a minute, I'm getting ahead of myself here in the script -- so then we are going to begin tonight with an update from the CDD Staff. We'll then hear an updated -- an update from the applicant, followed by public comment. And then the Board will discuss the application.

Our action tonight is to grant or deny the requested special permit, or we could ask for additional information and continue the hearing to a future date.

Daniel is going to summarize why this is before us.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you, Chair Flynn. This is going to be a little bit of a longer windup than what we normally do on other special permit requests, because procedurally it's a bit messy, but substantively I think it's pretty straightforward once you distill it down to the

1 | core request.

So this request involves amending three different special permits, one of which is a PUD special permit to facilitate a request that at its essence -- the excess offstreet parking spaces at the underground garage at 303 Third Street, which also provides accessory parking for residents of 303 Third Street and overflow parking for residents of 195 Binney Street and 249 Third Street.

The proposed amendment would allow Boston

Properties to lease up to 250 spaces in that garage for users of the Kendall Square Blue Garage, which is being demolished and redeveloped as part of the MXD Redevelopment Plan. It would allow those users to use the excess spaces at 303 Third Street until 2026. After that time, MIT would lease the same 250 spaces for users of the Volpe Redevelopment Site in perpetuity.

And in order to effectuate this change, the required off-street parking ratios in the special permits would need to be reduced for 195 Binney Street and 249 Third Street, and the 303 Third Street special permit would need to be amended to allow for this interim, and then subsequently permanent parking arrangement to occur.

We are.

And the Board's action is to grant or deny the requested amendments for 195 Binney and 249 Third, and then to make a determination as to whether the proposed 303 Third Street amendment is a Major Amendment or a Minor Amendment under the City's PUD Standards.

We've provided a memo with some background to hopefully make that a bit more digestible for this Board to understand. There's also a memorandum from our Traffic, Parking and Transportation Staff to the Board on this.

As I mentioned in the introduction, we are joined by Adam Shulman from Traffic and Parking, who can speak to that. And Staff is present to answer any questions the Board may have.

MARY FLYNN: Okay. Thank you, Daniel. Johanna
Schneider is representing the applicant this evening. And
Ms. Schneider, before we proceed, you need to be aware there
are only five Planning Board members present. Is the
applicant willing to proceed with just the five that are
necessary for the vote?

MARY FLYNN: Thank you very much. All right. You will have up to 30 minutes to present, but please be as

JOHANNA SCHNEIDER: Yes, Madam Chair.

concise as possible. We can allow additional time at the Board's discretion. So if you would begin by introducing yourself and members of your team, the floor is yours.

JOHANNA SCHNEIDER: Sure. Great. Thank you,

Madam Chair and members of the Board. My name is Johanna

Schneider. I'm an attorney at Hemenway & Barnes in Boston.

I'm here on behalf of Equity Residential. And with me is

Dan Egan from Equity.

As Daniel previewed, we are here tonight seeking amendments to three permits for EQR's buildings in Kendall Square to allow for a shared parking arrangement between EQR and Boston Properties in the short-term, and with MIT in the long-term, that will allow 250 empty parking spaces to be put to productive use while awaiting the construction of new parking spaces for the projects, which MIT is the Executor of the proponents.

We're really excited to be presenting this proposal, as it's not only beneficial to the parties, but it also furthers the City's Planning goals around parking and is consistent with the City's recent zoning change to eliminate off-street parking requirements.

Before we get started, I do want to extend our

thanks to CDD Staff and a particular thank you to Adam

Shulman at TP&T we've worked really closely with on this for many, many years.

I thought I would start with just a little bit of background and history. As I noted, this proposal involves three properties owned by Equity in Kendall Square versus 195 Binney Street. This property received a special permit in 1996 for the redevelopment of an existing industrial building into 200 residential units with 194 parking spaces.

The second property is 303 Third Street. It received a permit in 2004 for the construction of 482 residential units and 527 parking spaces, and 249 Third Street, which is an 85-unit building with no on-site parking approved in 2015.

From the very beginning, these buildings have had way more accessory parking than there was parking demand from their residents.

As a result, over the years, this Board has granted modifications to the permits for these projects, including reductions in the required parking ratios to allow shared parking among the three properties, 259 Third Residence Park and 195 Binney, and to the extent that there

is access demand there, which I don't think they've ever had, those parkers can then park at 303 Third.

The Board also authorized modification to the PUD permit for 303 Third in 2014. That allowed Alexandria to use 200 empty spaces in the 303 Third Garage for a three-year period during construction of their Temple Square project.

So that brings us to today. And Swaathi, I don't know if it's you or Daniel who's working the slides, but if you can move up just a couple of slides, that would be great.

Let's see if we can get to the next one. Great.

So today, even with the reduced parking ratios and the shared parking authorized by this Board in 2015, there are still way more parking spaces in the 303 Third Garage than there are residents who want to park there.

There are currently at least 275 vacant spaces each day in this garage. Within the 195 Binney Garage, which houses vehicles of residents of 195 Binney and as I said also 249 Third, there are at least 20 vacant parking spaces each day.

These garages really were built at a different

time, when the City had high minimum parking ratios for new residential development projects. And I think since then, the City obviously has changed its zoning, and I think that the way people live and work has progressed, so that folks are just not bringing cars to these apartment buildings.

Over the last several years, we have tried really hard to find a productive use for these 250 plus vacant spaces, and in the last year or so, it's finally come together.

Next slide, please?

We've entered into agreements with each of Boston Properties and MIT for the use of 250 parking spaces within the 303 Third Garage. The Boston Properties arrangement would be relatively short-term, assuming that the Board grants the requested relief. It will begin this fall and run for a period of two to three years; essentially the duration of the construction of Boston Properties' project at 135 Broadway.

These will be vehicles that currently park in the garage, which is being demolished as part of the approved MXD project. This is not really dissimilar from the arrangement with ARA that the Board approved in 2014.

On a more permanent basis commencing sometime between 2026 and 2033, depending on the progress of the Volpe project, MIT would then lease 250 spaces for a minimum of 60 years with extension options for another 39 years. This arrangement was actually already contemplated in the Volpe Special permit as a way of reducing the amount of parking that was constructed as part of that project.

I think it's important to note for the Board that there will be no public or commercial parking in these spaces. They will be occupied solely by monthly parkers affiliated with Boston Properties and then with the Volpe project.

All parkers will receive a fob and a parking sticker and will be required to provide to our parking management basic identification information; their name, plate number, et cetera, so they can be tracked and we can make sure that it is only approved users who are parking in these parking spaces.

We do have an approved PDTM plan that will govern the use of these spaces as well.

Next slide, please?

In order to make this shared parking arrangement

work, we are seeking to modify the required parking ratios for the three EQR projects. We're looking to reduce the ratio at 195 Binney from 0.7 to 0.4; at 249 Third, 1.7 to 0.35, and at 303 Third from 0.7 to 0.4.

As Daniel said, the PUD permit for 303 Third also needs to be modified, as it does not expressly contemplate parking in this garage, other than as accessory to residential use.

These ratios that we are requesting are reflective of the actual resident utilization of the spaces within these garages. And the data that we have been collecting and analyzing for years now shows that we can continue to meet the parking demand for our three residential buildings while also sharing the 250 spaces with Boston Properties and MIT.

Even with the proposed changes, there will still be 84 access parking spaces at the 303 Third Garage. And those spaces could be used by residents of any of the three Equity buildings here.

Our application papers set forth are in compliance with the criteria for issuance of a special permit or amendment to those special permits, as well as criteria for

1 modification of the previously granted PUD development plan 2 for the 303 Third property.

I know this is a little bit like drinking from a fire hose, so I will stop, and I will ask if the Board has any questions for us. We're happy to answer them.

MARY FLYNN: Okay. Thank you very much. We're going to hold on the Board questions at the moment and move first to public comment. This is a public hearing, as was previously noted. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the button that says, "Raise hand." If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9.

As of 5:00 p.m. yesterday, the Board had received written communications on this case from Fred Condus, or Conduce -- apologize for the mispronunciation, if I didn't get it right either way; Cheryl Smith; Michael Schwab; Lily Rottenin (phonetic); Amir Fathi; Naomi Leeds, and Kamenau Moltizeri (phonetic).

I'm now -- let's see what we have -- here we go.

Okay. We do have some people who are interested in testifying for this evening.

So I'm going to ask Staff to unmute the speakers

```
one at a time. Please begin by stating your name and
1
 2
     address, and we'll confirm that we can hear you. After
 3
     that, you'll have three minutes to speak before I ask you to
 4
     wrap up.
 5
               Daniel, I'll turn it over to you.
 6
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you, Chair Flynn.
7
     first speaker is Regis DeSilva, followed by Naomi Leeds.
8
    Regis, please begin by unmuting yourself and giving your
9
     name and address.
10
               REGIS DESILVA: Sorry. That was an error; you can
11
     delete my name from the list.
12
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Okay. Thank you, Regis.
     next speaker is Naomi Leeds, followed by Heather Hoffman.
13
14
    Naomi, please begin by giving your name and address.
15
               NAOMI LEEDS: Hello. Thank you. Can you hear me?
16
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Yes. Please go ahead.
17
               NAOMI LEEDS: Wonderful. Thank you, members of
18
     the Board and Johanna Schneider. I'm in strong opposition
19
     to the proposed shared parking agreement using the 303 Third
20
    private residential garage.
               I'm a longtime -- 13 years so far -- resident on
21
22
     the property, and I believe that this proposal will have a
```

number of negative consequences for our community. I'm concerned that this agreement would lead to inadequate access to parking for current residents.

The garage is currently used by residents of the building as well as others, so Zipcar, other equity buildings, electric car spots, et cetera. And the proposed agreement would allow it to be used by other nonresidents as well. This would mean that there will be more people competing for parking spaces, which would make it more difficult for residents to find a spot.

I find it hard enough to find a parking spot in the garage prior to this proposed change. I think the perceived vacancy of parking spots is grossly overestimated by those proposing this new agreement.

I'm also concerned about the safety risks associated with increased access to the parking garage. The garage has already been the site of multiple prior thefts, and I was a victim of one of those thefts. And I'm worried that opening it up to nonresidents would make it a more attractive target for criminals.

I worry not only for my property, my car, or my bicycle, but also for my own personal safety while accessing

the garage.

Additionally, the precedent set by this agreement could open up private garages across the city for general use, which would further increase the safety of residents — the risks for residents and businesses.

Also, if we allow private parking garages to be used for nonresident parking, it would only encourage more people to drive, which would worsen our traffic congestion and air pollution problems.

For all of these reasons, I urge you to reject the proposed shared parking agreement. I believe that it is in the best interest of our community to protect our existing parking for residents, and to avoid setting a dangerous precedent.

At the very least, if this proposal moves forward despite my objection, I would urge that the Board insist that Equity designate specific resident parking spots for residents only, including handicapped access close to the elevators, and put the proposed public spots in the lower level of the garage parking.

I thank you all for your time and consideration.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you. The next speaker is

Heather Hoffman, followed by Dawn Pinchasik. Heather, please begin by unmuting yourself and giving your name and address.

HEATHER HOFFMAN: Hello. Heather Hoffman, 213

Hurley Street. I wish that the Chair had told us at least something about what all of those communications contain, since unlike the old days when we were actually meeting as human beings in the same place, we really don't get a chance to see it, and that tends to inform a person who's attending the meeting what other people think. I at least find it very educational to hear what other people have to say.

I recognize that the first phase of this will presumably not be bringing extra traffic to the area because it's just replacing parking spaces that are already being used for the time being.

But I would say that Third Street is becoming impassable much of the day. And it is I believe at least partly because the City refuses to do anything about the double parking, the -- you know, just people just stopping willy-nilly who do whatever. And the road is simply not wide enough for people to get around.

I'm sorry to hear about thefts and other things at

303 Third Street and I will leave that to the residents.

But in conjunction with the whole massive amount of construction that's coming, I am concerned that it's hard enough to get through on foot, which is the way I mostly use this part of Third Street, but I was -- I recently came across a fascinating piece written for a Federal Highway Administration publication by a traffic engineer for the City of Cambridge.

And one of the things that made me laugh out loud when I read it was the claim that for construction, the City of Cambridge has an absolute policy of requiring provision of a temporary sidewalk on the same side of the street. And I would say that if that's true, I invite the people enforcing that down to my part of the neighborhood.

But that is something that is going to be an issue with all of the construction that's coming in how people get to and from these garages.

Thank you.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you. The next speaker is Dawn Pinchasik followed by Jeff Lowenberg. Dawn, please begin by giving your name and address.

DAWN PINCHASIK: Hi. My name is Dawn Pinchasik,

and I am a resident at 303 Third Street. I have lived here for six years now. I'm also opposed to the use of our garage, for a few different reasons, some of which have been touched upon.

First and foremost is security. I would like to point out that unlike some other buildings that private residents that also have publicly-available spaces, this building is not currently designed to allow for people using our garage to have access to the garage only.

Once they're granted a fob, they have access of the in fact -- unless they choose to walk out the garage door -- they have to come, you know, through our building.

So they have access to our elevators, to all resident floors, to -- you know, private areas such as our socialize room, our gym, just it would be very difficult to keep track.

It is not as if these people wouldn't have access to the entire property, you know. And I choose to live in a building that is supposed to be secure with concierge and limited access for a reason.

I feel this this essentially makes a dramatic cut in the value of having a controlled access building. I'm

also the parent of a young child and so the security for that reason is very concerning to me.

I'd like to echo Naomi's comments about the parking that's available perhaps being overestimated, and just qualify that by saying that part of this is because there's often unused parking on P2 -- you know, the second level of parking. But please note that that is not accessible to 303 Third Street. The garage to that parking area only goes to the 285 building that's part of this community.

So if, you know, other people were using the first floor, that would leave those of us who actually live here parking in an area where we don't have elevator access to our homes.

My final concern is a very significant one. There have been comments about traffic. I'm sure everyone on this call is aware that Third Street has had a massive amount of construction with the Volpe project, with the 585 project that's directly across the street from us. We have been through multiple closures where Third Street has been, you know, just one way. We're constantly dealing with jackhammers.

And we have a unique traffic flow situation here in this community, because there is a fairly long stretch of Third Street where there is no ability to turn off onto a major road. You essentially go from Broadway all the way through to Binney, with the exception of Monroe Street, Fifth Street, Potter Street, that encircle our community.

That's how people would have to across our garage. And as somebody, again, with a young child who I have to get onto a school bus at the hours that people would be showing up for work in the mornings, I have to tell you it is already completely terrifying. There are times we can't even hear ourselves, let alone communicate with our kids and the bus driver or the bus assistant.

It's treacherous at best. And to add up to 250 people trying to circle this block to get in and out of our garage makes me feel not only that there would be, you know, significant traffic that's just a nuisance, but a danger to those of us who, you know, have young children that we're trying to get to and from school at approximately the same hours.

I do sympathize with the need for parking in the area as a longtime resident. I know how difficult it is to

find spots in the area. It's hard to have visitors. I don't think the solution should be reducing the security in my own home or making me feel unsafe getting my child to school.

Thank you.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you. The next speaker is Jeff Lowenberg. Jeff, please begin by unmuting yourself and giving your name and address.

JEFF LOWENBERG: Thank you, members of the Board.

Appreciate the time tonight. My name is Jeff Lowenberg.

I'm a Senior Vice-President at Boston Properties.

Just want to speak in favor of this. I think as you all know, we have been working with a number of the neighbors to satisfy temporary parking requirements for the Blue Garage, which has been demolished as part of the MXD project, which includes the relocation of the Eversource substation from the residential neighborhood on Fulkerson Street into the MXD District.

And we just appreciate both Equity Residential and members of the CDD and Staff who have helped worked with the solution to make that relocation of substation and other parts of the MXD possible. And, you know, appreciate the

work that we've been doing and speaking in favor of it. 1 2 Thank you. 3 DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you. Madam Chair, that concludes the speakers on the list. So I will turn it back 4 to you. 5 MARY FLYNN: All right. Thank you very much. 6 7 we're now going to move to Board member questions and then discussion. So let's start first with any questions that 9 folks might have. 10 Diego? 11 DIEGO MACIAS: Yes. Hi. So I think my questions 12 are mostly coming from the public comments that I heard, and that I did not see in the documents that were released. 13 But I'll just start by asking about the concern 14 15 for security, and if there have been any studies for sort of like card access to the residential area on 303 Third 16 17 Street, and sort of how the parking spots would be allotted 18 for the BXP spots that are being leased? 19 And I guess that would be my first -- like, my 20 question for now. Okay. Johanna, would you prefer to 21 MARY FLYNN: 22 answer them one at a time? Or Lou has his hand up, so there

will be more. 1 2 JOHANNA SCHNEIDER: Why don't we take them one at 3 a time? MARY FLYNN: Okay. 5 JOHANNA SCHNEIDER: And I'm going to ask Dan Egan from Equity to talk the plans for, you know, making sure 6 7 that the highest level of security is maintained when this 8 parking arrangement goes into place. 9 DAN EGAN: Hey, thank you, Johanna. Good evening, everyone. So I can provide some color on the buildings, how 10 11 they operate, and how we manage security at the buildings. 12 And Diego, if you have any follow-up questions, please chime 13 in. 14 So these two buildings, there's two residential -two primary residential entries. They're both staffed with 15 concierge. One is there 24/7, 365 days a year. The other 16 17 works two shifts. So they're not there overnight. 18 Throughout the community, we also have -- hold on, let me grab the number -- we have 36 security cameras, 19

As Johanna mentioned, we will receive information

who's coming and going to the buildings.

including cameras in the garage that kind of help us monitor

20

21

22

from each of the parkers that will be in the garage. So a license plate in Boston Properties' case, you know, we will give those parkers fobs, they will sign up with us, that will help us track who's going in and out of the garage on a daily basis and should hopefully help us monitor and manage any issues that come up.

When we had a similar deal with Alexandria, we didn't really have a ton of issues, given the nature of the parkers in the garage. But we're of course sensitive and really focused on maintaining security throughout the buildings.

On where those parkers will be located within the garage, all the parkers for both MIT and Boston Properties will be on the P2 level of the garage. Today, that is where the majority of the open spaces are. As you heard from one of the commentaries, the elevators on the 303 side only go to P1, which is the primary reason we will locate those spaces on P2.

What else? What else, Johanna, did I forget?

JOHANNA SCHNEIDER: I think that was -- I think
that was essentially responsive to Diego's question. Diego,
did we get everything that you were asking?

MARY FLYNN: I just wanted to clarify so that -the P1 level is where the residents will be parking, and
they have direct access into the building. The P2 folks
will not have access to the building, is that correct?

DAN EGAN: Yeah. So the residents will be able to park on P1 or P2.

MARY FLYNN: Okay.

DAN EGAN: In MIT's case, they will have separately designated spaces on the P2 level that will be only for MIT parkers. So we will have -- you know, effectively, the way it will break out is we will have our parkers on the 285 side closest to the elevators so they can get to and from their cars as quickly as possible, and MIT will be on the other side of the garage.

MARY FLYNN: Okay. And -- but are the MIT parkers, is there any way that they can get into the residential building?

DAN EGAN: So the fobs that we will give them do enter the vestibules for the elevators. And they can't go up into the building. There's a couple things we'll be doing over time. With the MIT deal that will be a little bit different than Boston Properties.

Today, you know, the way we plan to work with Boston Properties when we discuss the deal is, you know, folks will generally be routed to the staircases to exit directly to the street, but they will have access to those elevators with the way the building is set up today.

MARY FLYNN: Thank you for clarifying that. Okay, Lou? What is your question or questions?

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: It seems like assigning spots for the residents or for the residential occupants would be the way to go. Also, the access to these garages through garage doors with no gates, it's just a card reader and a door that rolls up and down, I believe I'm correct in that.

An additional 250 uses a day would seem to be a very slow process waiting for the door to go up and down. If not waiting for the door to go up and down, then no security. So that's another concern.

And is this supposed to help alleviate the Blue Garage loss of parking?

JOHANNA SCHNEIDER: I'm going to have Dan talk a little bit more in response to your question about entry and access. But yes, in the short term, the BXP parkers who are

displaced from the Blue Garage will be parking here. Longer term, those spaces will be used by folks affiliated with the Volpe project, and that was expressly contemplated in the approval that this Board granted for the Volpe project a couple of years ago.

DAN ANDERSON: Yeah. So to your two points, the first one being kind of assigning spots, with Boston Properties, the use is in theory complimentary, right? You have office parkers coming in during the day and residential parkers going out and then vice versa.

So there's some synergy there I think to make more parking spaces available to everyone in a more convenient manner, which is why we didn't assign space at Alexandria.

It worked pretty well, and we think the same model for Boston Properties would make sense.

In MIT's case, they will be -- you know, segregated, separated and assigned to MIT. So that's how the garage will function long-term.

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: So just let me interject.

So you're willing to assign spots for MIT but not for your residences, your residential people? I don't understand the rationale.

```
I'm not quite following.
1
               DAN EGAN:
 2
               LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: So you're willing to assign
 3
     spots for MIT, but not the people who live in your
     residences?
 4
 5
                          They're -- when I say, "assigned," they
               DAN EGAN:
 6
    will have 250 parking spaces within the garage designated
7
     for their use. Whether they want to assign those spaces to
    particular folks who are living or working in their
 9
    buildings -- that will be their case -- we found it's more
10
     efficient to not assign specific spaces to specific
11
     residents. It tends to make the garage operate more
12
     efficiently.
               LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Would the residents -- would
13
14
     the residential occupants be allowed to park in MIT spaces?
15
               DAN EGAN: They would not.
               LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: This is the problem I have.
16
17
     See? You're willing to identify a spot for MIT's people,
18
    but not for your own residents. I don't understand.
               DAN EGAN: I -- honestly if that was a good major
19
20
     concern of the Board, I'd be happy to look into it. And I'm
    pretty confident I could say we could do that. The idea is
21
22
     simply, you know, from our experience operating garages not
```

```
only in Boston but around the country, more efficient to
1
 2
    have unassigned spaces. It just creates more availability
 3
     for more people.
               LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: But that's not what you're
 4
     creating. You're assigning spaces to MIT.
5
               DAN EGAN: Yes. In the long-term, that's the
 6
7
    plan.
8
               MARY FLYNN: Yeah. I think there would be some
9
    ways around it. I mean they're not assigning one-on-one to
10
    MIT; they're giving them an area. So a similar situation
11
    might be able to work for the residents where, you know, as
12
     you said, they have access to P1.
13
               But I think it does deserve further exploration.
14
               LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: How -- I'll just ask the
15
     question, how would you like to come downstairs to get your
16
     car, and you messed up and parked in an MIT space, and it's
17
     gone?
18
               JOHANNA SCHNEIDER: Well, I think that's the point
19
    of the segregation of the --
20
               LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: This is one of the problems.
21
               JOHANNA SCHNEIDER: -- of the MIT space, so that
22
    those spaces will be in one portion of the garage and
```

Page 37

```
useable only by MIT, and clearly marked as such. The MIT
1
 2
     folks who come in and park in those spaces will not be
 3
    permitted to park in any other spaces, other than those
 4
    designated -- that designated area of spaces.
5
               And that means that the rest of the garage is open
     and available for residents to park, you know, wherever and
 6
7
    whenever they would like.
 8
               LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: So what kind of percentage
9
    of the capacity on the P1 is there for your residents?
10
               JOHANNA SCHNEIDER: So all of the MIT spaces are
11
    going to be on P2. So P1 --
12
               LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Yeah.
13
               JOHANNA SCHNEIDER: -- will be exclusively
14
     available for residents of this building.
15
               LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: And what's the -- and how
16
    many spots are on that floor?
17
               JOHANNA SCHNEIDER: Dan, do you have this number
18
    breakdown by floor?
19
               DAN EGAN: Give me two seconds, I can grab it.
20
               JOHANNA SCHNEIDER:
                                   Okay.
              MARY FLYNN: While Dan's looking for that,
21
22
     Johanna, I assume, obviously, you've been working with the
```

Transportation Department on the TPDM.

Have there been discussions with them about sort of the assignment of spaces, how the floors will work, and the safety issues that are coming up tonight?

JOHANNA SCHNEIDER: We have absolutely had discussions about how the spaces are going to be segregated. Part of what we discussed with them was -- you know, that the 250 spaces, you know, the long-term spaces that will be, you know, leased by MIT for, you know, close to 100 years are going to be in their own segregated section of the garage and again, kept apart from the resident spaces.

We will be doing things like using striping and signage and color-coding to, you know, clearly designate those spaces so that nobody coming in as an MIT worker will be parking in a resident space and vice versa.

MARY FLYNN: Okay. Thank you. The reason I'm asking, also, is that Adam is here this evening from TP&T. And so, Lou, I think, you know, before we conclude we'll obviously get input from Adam.

So Dan, what was the --

DAN EGAN: Hold on. Yeah, Lou I have that number for you. It's on the P2 level, there are 287 spaces. So

```
1
     there's -- is that 37 additional spaces on the P2 level that
 2
     would be, you know, used by residents. The remainder are on
 3
    P1.
               LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: So how many are on P1?
 5
               DAN EGAN: That leaves us 2- -- roughly 240.
 6
               LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: And currently you don't have
7
     that? How many vehicles do you have that use --
               DAN EGAN: How many resident parkers do you have?
 9
               LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Approximately. Yeah, how
    many resident parkers do you have?
10
11
               DAN EGAN: I think we've averaged over the past
12
     few years between 218 and 250. I think the count today is
13
    around 225.
14
               LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: So with some changes in your
15
     demographics or so forth over the years, over the next
     couple years, that could change? You could use up all these
16
17
     spots? I know you probably don't think you will.
               I'm concerned that the residents don't have side
18
    parking, and this was originally accessory parking for this
19
20
    residential building and now it's going a little further.
     Just -- I have some concerns.
21
22
               And here's the other -- here's my last question.
```

```
So the Blue Garage has been gone for probably pretty close
1
2
     to a year now. Where are all these people parking now?
 3
               MARY FLYNN: Well, that we can ask of Adam. I
    don't know that Dan would have the answer to that.
 4
5
               LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Well, the Blue Garage is a
 6
    Boston Properties site.
7
               MARY FLYNN: Well, Dan, is that something you can
8
    tackle, or --
9
               DAN EGAN: Unfortunately, (indiscernible).
10
               JOHANNA SCHNEIDER: I don't think we have access
11
     to that information.
12
              MARY FLYNN: Yeah.
13
               JOHANNA SCHNEIDER: I'm not sure --
14
               MARY FLYNN:
                            Okay.
15
               JOHANNA SCHNEIDER: -- Jeff of Boston Properties
     is still on the line and available to answer that, but --
16
17
               MARY FLYNN: Okay. All right. So hang on with
18
     that thought, Lou. Adam has his hand up as well, so let's
    go to your questions, Adam, and then if there are no further
19
20
     questions, we'll get into discussion and we'll bring Adam
21
     on.
22
               [Pause]
```

1 You're muted, Adam.

ADAM BUCHANAN WESTBROOK: Thanks, Madam Chair.

Just a couple clarifying questions. The first one is I'm curious where -- how the number 250 was drawn up.

And I'm thinking about Lou's point a minute ago about how, you know, he's concerned about residential spaces, and would there be a possibility to drop that number from 250 to 200 to give the residents a little bit more of a buffer? That's my first question.

The second question is Dan, you've been talking about kind of the MIT spaces being confined to that P2 level. But in my notes, it says that MIT will have access starting in 2026. So I'm curious over the next several yeas until 2026, how does the breakdown of spaces look for the construction folks who are coming in as well?

Thanks.

JOHANNA SCHNEIDER: So Adam, let me just start by answering your question: where did we get 250 from? You know, we have been tracking this utilization data for many, many years, really going back to 2015.

And what we found is that at least since 2018 is that we're looking at around 275 excess spaces per day in

this garage.

And given the demographics, given, you know, what we understand the data to be about our residents' utilization and frankly, you know, projecting out some of the demographic changes over time -- you know, again it's reflected in what the City of Cambridge has recently done by eliminating minimum parking requirements across the City -- we think that the changes are only going to result in a greater number of empty parking spaces.

But we've got 275 spaces, you know, that are generally sitting there vacant. We arrived at 250 because there is still -- you know, it still provides some buffer, and -- you know, again, the idea was to assume the City's policy is to work out a deal with MIT so that they did not need to build more parking in the Kendall Square area, but rather make use of these spaces that are literally sitting empty day after day, year after year.

And Dan, do you want to talk a little bit about sort of why we are handling the -- and Adam, I think this is your question, why we're handling the short-term Boston Properties spaces a little bit differently than we're handling the MIT spaces? That was the question, right?

DAN EGAN: Yes. I think the general thought was at the Alexandria deal functioned really well for the Garage as a short-term parking deal with shared parking throughout the Garage. And I think that's -- you know, the basic reason as to why we structured it that way.

MIT had specific requests relating to separating spaces and how they felt that would function with their buildings, which is why we went that way with MIT. And given the long-term nature of the deal, we of course want that to work -- you know, well for them and well for us.

And, you know, looking at the utilization of the Garage, we're confident that, you know, both structures will.

The last thing we want is to not have parking spaces for our residents, right? That's not going to benefit us, and it will in fact make our building less competitive. So, you know, we're really focused on making sure parking spaces are available and easy to find for everyone who lives in our building.

MARY FLYNN: Thank you. Lou, did you have another question?

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Yeah. One quick one. So

```
the 250 or so spaces that will be taken up in the next three
1
 2
     years, after that switches over to MIT; where do they park?
 3
               DAN EGAN: So the Blue Garage, once Boston
 4
     Properties gets the Certificate of Occupancy for their to-be
5
     constructed spaces that will be on the Blue Garage site,
     their cars would move back, and they would terminate their
 6
7
     agreement with us.
               LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: You're talking about a
    decade from now.
9
10
               DAN EGAN: I can't tell you exactly when they're
11
    going to finish those spaces.
12
               LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Five to 10 anyway.
13
               DAN EGAN: But the -- I'm not sure that's
14
     accurate. We should confirm with Boston Properties.
15
     they're saying, you know, roughly two years, which is how we
     structured the term of this agreement with Boston
16
17
    Properties.
18
               LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: And there will be parking on
19
     the Blue Garage site again?
20
               DAN EGAN: Yes.
               LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Yeah, I kind of doubt that,
21
22
    to tell you the truth. But you can go a little further and
```

those buildings are in the process of being built. And
there will be considerably long need times to built them.
They need very deep foundations.

So I -- what you're trying to do I don't disagree with. How you're going about it I have a couple of problems with that I don't know how well it works.

MARY FLYNN: Okay. At this point, let me bring in Adam. Adam, if you could talk about the PTDM measures that you have going, anything that you could help clarify in terms of how the decisions were made and why they were made on the interim parking, the MIT parking.

And then also the concerns that were raised by the residents about the general traffic and safety issues, outside the building in particular.

ADAM SHULMAN: Thank you, Mary. My name is Adam Shulman, Transportation Planner with the Parking and Transportation Department. Like Johanna said, I've been working with her and Dan and MIT for many, many, many years.

Looking at this parking arrangement, as Dan had also mentioned, a good test of this was many years ago when Alexandria was parking employees in their garage for a couple -- because this Garage was way overbuilt and has had

hundreds of empty parking spaces for many, many, many, many, many, many, many years. And it's expected to continue to be that case, given the demands from the residents.

We -- the City has always subscribed to the notion of use existing parking spaces in an area that's unused before building new parking spaces. Now, there's a bunch of reasons for that in terms of, you know, things like housing and open space and little things that make sense to use existing parking before spending money to build -- a lot of money to build more parking, which then -- you know, continues to just be -- have empty spaces.

So it's not the most efficient thing to do in terms of overall efficiencies for an area and for the purpose of an area.

So in this case, either 250 folks from -- well this temporary arrangement with Boston Properties, but then 250 people from that Volpe project are parking there at the 303 Third Street garage, or MIT will build another 250 spaces on their site, and those same parkers will cause the same amount of traffic or trips as -- and no different whether they park at this garage or another garage literally right next to this project is going to be built at MIT as

part of the Volpe project.

Equity working out a deal to use some of these unused parking spaces, and MIT felt that was desirable as well, and like I said, also, from the City's perspective, it makes a lot of sense to have — to use 250 unused parking spaces rather than build another brand-new 250 spaces and end up with 500 spaces in total from an overall traffic reduction and sustainability goals of the City.

In terms of traffic issues, I should remind people that the -- that traffic was -- all the traffic that would be generated by the Volpe project, including the people who are going to park in the -- whichever garage; this garage we think is the better one. 303 Third, or if it's in a garage that Volpe built, all that traffic analysis was part of the traffic studies done for the Volpe project.

So that was reviewed, and there was a significant amount of mitigation that they're focused on too. For instance, there will be a new traffic signal at Potter Street and Third Street put in. That will have to be done before MIT's new garage is built.

And that will also help address some of the

concerns about safety and conflicts at the Third and Potter Street intersection.

So that -- and the Volpe project of course is creating a whole new host of connections and roadways that don't exist today that will exist in the future, such as the Fifth Street Connector all the way through the Volpe site between Binney Street to Broadway, which will also open up a lot of additional ways for people to exit in and out of all of these garages than there is today.

So people will not have to just go out or in to the 303 Third Street garage from Third Street; they can also come in and out from Broadway and they can also come in through Binney Street.

So it spreads out a lot of traffic. It's creating a whole new sort of grid network of roadways inside the Volpe Center and connected -- and this could -- and the 303 Third Street project becomes part of that network.

So the -- so there is, you know, was a lot of thought about the traffic issues and pedestrians and so on and so forth as part of the Volpe project. And as was said, the use of this garage was also considered for the reasons I talked about and was approved as part of the Volpe project.

Therefore, they don't need any permits as of now.

Let's see. So I think, in terms of the management of the garage, generally the traffic -- the City's Traffic Department chose not to micromanage the way people manage their own private parking garages.

We do generally recommend that parking spaces not be assigned on a one-by-one basis to any individuals.

That's the least efficient way to manage parking garages.

And especially given the goals that the City has, and people want, which is shared parking, which is meaning -- you know, it's inefficient to have parking spaces that are used only, like, during the nighttime, but during the day, it's empty, because it's a dedicated parking spaces, and that person's off at work, so versus shared parking when one parking space can be used for multiple purposes.

It could be used during the day by people who were working, and need a parking space. It could be used at night for the people who during the day left and took their car and left and went and parked somewhere else, and then came home at night and needed a place to park in.

So the shared parking -- so this shared parking component is something we generally recommend, but we don't

necessarily require, because we try not to get into the nitty-gritty details; how individual property owners want to manage their own parking, ultimately, we think is up to them.

In this case, to do a bit of a hybrid of a shared parking and a -- of the shared parking management and a parking assignment management where they're saying, "Okay, this field of parking on P2 will be used for these folks, and this field of parking on P1 will be used for these folks, but not necessarily assigning Space 1 is to you, Space 2 is to you, that kind of thing.

That could always change. Again, it's also going to depend on what the MIT and the Boston Properties decides to do with their, "field of parking." And I think flexibility is a good thing for property owners to have because -- again -- they're going to be the ones who need to best manage their apartment facility so that they can get the maximum use of it and make sure that it is safe as possible. So if they think something is unsafe, and they need to make changes, they should do that.

I have complete confidence that Equity is concerned about the safety of their residents as a primary

thing and will do everything and anything they can to make sure that there's no problems. Again, the fact that Alexandria had been parking in there years ago and there wasn't any problems, I've heard, is a positive sign.

And, you know, I think there's -- they have multiple options and things. They can do a test if necessary.

I think there was an interesting comment about precedent setting. This is — this is not parking that's open to the public for a fee. So we're not creating a commercial parking facility here. This is going to be only by specific designated people, whether residents of the three residential buildings that Equity owns, or temporary relief for the BXP, and then for the MIT.

So those are not just anyone from the public can come and park in this garage. That's what's happening here. So it actually is something that is of interest in terms of how it's going to work.

Because it is something that we need to think about going forward with not trying to build any more parking spaces or overbuilding parking spaces, but managing and utilizing what we already have that's not getting used.

On the other hand, we also need to make sure that we're not letting -- we're not using spaces that are now going to have people who wouldn't otherwise drive start to drive. And we don't think that's the case here.

Because in this case, if Volpe doesn't use these 250 spaces, they're going to build their own parking on their side. So we're not -- we're not really -- you know, affecting currently more people to drive.

And same thing with the temporary use for that MXD Blue Garage. These are people who are already working and will probably move here and that will end up moving back into the parking garages -- two interconnected parking garages that Boston Properties is building off of Binney Street.

So that's how that's -- we don't -- I don't necessarily see this as -- again, we're not adding new parking that will -- we think will entice more drivers, but it is an important point that was made, because it is something that we would be sensitive about in terms of, like, other buildings that have unused parking spaces that want to sort of start using them and making them available to the public for something.

That's something we would be very conscious of and concerned about, so making sure that that's not -- it's not conflicting with the overall goals of trying to encourage people overall not to drive.

So I'll stop there and see if I missed any additional questions I can try to answer. But overall, like I said, we're -- the Traffic Department's been working for years on this. We think it's a smart, thoughtful Planning move. Goes along with much in best practices and the parking generally and we're certainly supportive of this going forward.

MARY FLYNN: So Adam, one question I have is, in terms of going forward, what sort of data collection will continue in terms of utilization, and I assume that information would be reported to Traffic and Parking?

And if it shows that the numbers are changing and that it's putting residents at a disadvantage -- well, this part of the question might not be for you, it might be more for Johanna, but at that point, say there is a conflict, what sort of flexibility are you going to have to be able to change up the ratio, if at all possible?

ADAM SHULMAN: Yeah, so good question. So the

data collection -- so you did mention the 303 Third Street

ought to obtain a Parking and Transportation Demand

Management Plan from the City's DM Officer. That's -- they

did that.

- That will -- that's Stephanie Groll, who works out of the Community Development Department. She looked at data and worked a little with them on that. It included -- and it asked a lot of the similar questions. I looked at it, because it had a lot of the same questions, I think, we're hearing people wisely bring up tonight.
- That plan is -- it is -- has conditions that

 Equity -- it's an actual -- the use of the parking spaces

 will be reported to the City. It's taught -- it really -
 in the long-term, the parking spaces that MIT Volpe will

 have here will be part of their total field of parking that

 they have both on the Volpe site plus these spaces. They

 will need to report all that information to the City as part

 of their PTM reports.
- So if we don't all know, the City will know, and just our communications with Equity and with MIT.
- Nonetheless, we'll know if there are -- you know, all the parking spaces are being used or not used, and if there's

issues, and things of that nature. So it'll be carefully monitored, no doubt.

The residents, we're pretty confident that the residents at the residential buildings of 303 Third Street, 209 Third, 195 Binney, 249 Third -- that all the residents in Equity's residential buildings will be able to park in, you know, as needed if they need that.

As Johanna said, they've been monitoring their parking demands for many, many, many, many years, andhere's just been I think a good 375 spaces unused. And I think what they're doing for, or 270 so far, so that the 250 spaces I think is -- leaves a little buffer for that.

But I would say also this is -- you know, this is a long-term commitment. It's a short-term thing for -- with Boston Properties. It's a long-term commitment with MIT.

MIT is going to be building another 800 plus spaces on their site as well, and these spaces will be part of that whole field of spaces. And there will be a good relationship between the MIT Volpe site and this site.

So they'll be able to work together, I have no doubt. So that if in a worst-worst case scenario, if something has to be done and they had to shift people from

one location to another, especially if residents have to park here or there, there's now going to be multiple large parking facilities that'll be managed, you know, by Equity and then in coordination with MIT. I'm pretty confident there will be enough parking spaces to meet the demands.

We've been finding, obviously, that a lot of places have overbuilt parking like this 303 Third Street, and so, I'm pretty sure that even though we tried to keep the net new number of parking spaces for the Volpe project is as low as we could, the way things are trending, it is a likely chance that they were being a little bit conservative also, and that their garages might not ever be 100 percent full.

MARY FLYNN: Mm-hm.

ADAM SHULMAN: So we feel good about this overall parking management plan, and at least -- and we think it always works best with the shared parking (indiscernible) when parking spaces could be used by the people who need it at certain times. We think that always helps, and --

MARY FLYNN: Yes.

ADAM SHULMAN: -- there's good, good management with the site, and -- you know, I think we don't -- we don't

1 | see any major concerns.

MARY FLYNN: Okay. Thank you. That was very helpful, by the way. Lou, you have a follow-up?

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Yeah. First, will MIT be participating in the shared parking agreement?

ADAM SHULMAN: So MIT -- so it's my understanding, and Dan (indiscernible) already, MIT can use 250 spaces at 303 Third for any of their users at the Volpe site, so it could be residents who park there or could be employees from the Volpe site that park there. And they have those spaces so they can theoretically, if need be, you know, do some sharing for it amongst themselves.

In other words, if they want to use some spaces for some other employees during the during the day, and at night and do that kind of thing, I think there would be ability for them to do something like that. That'll be up to how they want to manage it.

And again, any sharing amongst -- any potential future sharing or back and forth amongst MIT's users and Equity users can always proceed agreed upon between those two entities, and something they can work out.

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: One of the things that that

```
concerns me is how many fobs do you give out for the MIT
1
 2
     people? Is it 500, or is it 600? You know what I mean?
 3
     This gets a little more complicated than it appears.
               DAN EGAN: Lou, I can hit that one. So there is
 4
     gate technology that monitors, right, how many users are in
5
     the garage at any one particular time. So MIT will have 250
 6
7
     fobs to give to the garage. There will never be than 250
8
     cars in the garage, and we'll be able to control that.
 9
               LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Well, how would you identify
    who it was?
10
11
               DAN EGAN: So today --
12
               LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: So, so you're assigning
    parking to the MIT fobs, basically, whereas --
13
14
               DAN EGAN: We're assigning 250 --
15
               LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: -- because if -- but I
16
    understand that, but so you have 250 spots, and we just
17
     talked about sharing this parking. How would someone else
18
    get a fob to get there?
               MARY FLYNN: I'm not so sure that the MIT -- and I
19
     could be wrong, but it seems as though the MIT spaces are
20
     just designated for MIT use, and more than likely MIT would
21
22
    have an understanding as to who does have fobs.
```

```
So for the most part, I would think -- you know, I
1
 2
    mean, some of their jobs are not 9:00 to 5:00, clearly. You
 3
     know, there are going to be lab jobs, they're going to come
     in at different times of day or night.
 4
 5
               So I'm not sure that they're even going to be
 6
     consistent enough that they're going to be able to say, "You
7
     can share this," because the person might come and go.
8
               So I don't think it's going to be like you're
9
     going to have one user during the day, and then somebody
     else at night there at MIT.
10
11
               But it seems as though -- from what I understand,
12
     you guys are just -- you being the applicant here -- are
     just setting aside the 250 for MIT, and then they're going
13
14
     to figure out the specifics on how they manage it.
15
               JOHANNA SCHNEIDER:
                                   That's correct.
16
               MARY FLYNN: Yeah.
17
               LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: That's -- that's --
18
               MARY FLYNN: You don't plan on giving them more
19
     than 250 fobs, correct.
20
               LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Well, how would they share
21
    the spaces?
22
               MARY FLYNN: Well, then, they're not going to if
```

they only have 250 fobs.

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Well, part of their zoning amendment is shared parking.

MARY FLYNN: Yeah, but I think that applies to -to, you know, the residents -- well, the residents can't use
that parking, that's true.

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Well, here's the other part also. I just -- who is going to be using the shared parking in the residential area? So if the resident -- if the resident goes out during the day, who's going to be using that parking spot?

ADAM SHULMAN: So Louis, I don't -- to my -- so we're not -- the shared parking and so forth is -- is -- there's different ways to think of it in terms of individual spaces and then areas of parking.

So in other words, so just going back to your question about Volpe, the Volpe project that got approved, they did calculate shared parking -- I assume shared parking as part of their parking analysis, which means instead of them building, you know, 2000 spaces because they need 1000 for residents and 1000 for employees -- 1000 at night for residents and 1000 for employees during the day -- instead

of them building 2000 parking spaces, they assume that there will be some overlap, and so, that -- and we know from studies and so forth that so instead of building 2000 spaces, they build 1800 spaces, because they know there will be about 200 spaces or give or take out of the total parking that could be used for dual purposes.

Obviously, sometimes those spaces could be used during the day by an employee, sometimes the space could be used at night by --

talking around in a circle. This is not about how many parking spots MIT has. I mean there's a small percentage of it in there, because MIT could say they will build their own parking and that would be the end of it. But how do we control -- how do -- what are the controls to make sure that this works smoothly?

Because it seems like it's got a bunch of bumps in it right now, especially with the access to the building.

It's going to be very slow with rollup doors. There are no gates. I -- I work in this neighborhood. I'm there every day.

So the only way to make a U-turn is to go down to

Monroe to Fifth to Potter. So if that has cars backed up from the parking, what is that going to do? These are concerns for the people in the building and the neighborhood.

And I'm also concerned that -- on the security side from the people that live in the building with access to the building directly from the garage. There should be some way to mitigate this -- the owner put in some kind of a different card reader or access control, or something. But I haven't heard any of it.

DAN EGAN: Yeah, I think Lou I can talk about the -- the garage doors themselves. Like, these are what I'll call commercial-grade garage doors. They're fast open and close, right? They're designed to not only get -- you know, cars in and out of the garage, but also not to let people tailgate, right? Into the garage and have unauthorized users.

So --

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: I'm there every -- so I understand completely what you're saying. But they're going to have to go up and down each individual trip, unless something is changed.

```
1
               ADAM SHULMAN: But this garage was built to
2
     accommodate the 521 parking spaces --
 3
               LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: I got you. I understand.
               ADAM SHULMAN: -- the number of spaces in the
 4
5
     garage is not changing.
               LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Correct.
 6
 7
               ADAM SHULMAN: So it's -- you know, it's --
 8
               LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: But the use of the garage is
9
     changing.
10
               ADAM SHULMAN: It's actually -- if it's used by,
11
     you know, residents at night and employees -- some employees
12
     a day, that actually kind of counterbalances each other so
13
     that, you know, they're not -- not every single person is
14
     leaving the garage at the exact same time every night or
15
     coming in in the morning at the same time.
               LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: I agree, but there is
16
17
     certainly --
18
               ADAM SHULMAN: So that helps out a little bit.
    Although MIT could use -- could decide to use their 250
19
20
     spaces for residents. Because they're also building
    residential housing. So it's possible that only people who
21
22
    are residents of either Equity's building or MIT's building
```

could be parking in this garage too.

You know, in terms of safety, I'd go back to Dan about his experiences with Alexandria when -- you know, the parking in the building, I think technology can be used to also ensure that only people -- you know, people who (indiscernible) and the controls of who need to get onto it, and what floors and things of that nature.

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: And I'm sure there are answers to all these questions. But I haven't heard any -- that's the problem.

MARY FLYNN: Okay. So let's just -- we understand the concerns I think other Board members probably share; the concerns for safety, et cetera. But I'm not sure that we're going to get the absolute solutions tonight. I mean, some of that would require additional work for sure before we get there.

Board members. I'd like to hear what other folks are thinking in terms of the permits. The -- you know, the -- we have to make some findings on the permits, and, you know, that relates to traffic and patterns of access and egress.

So, you know, certainly concerns about those things have

1 been raised.

So how are people -- where are you coming down on this this evening, for other members of the Board? Would someone like to volunteer to start? We know where -- okay, Diego?

DIEGO MACIAS: I'd like to sort of agree with a lot of loose ends that I don't feel like we've seen enough to answer some of these concerns that we have. I mean, personally I would love to see sort of plans showing the space allotment to residents and to BXP or MIT, and sort of how the technology will make the residents feel safer.

I think we've heard some good stuff. I just feel like switching a garage that's primarily for residential to a different sort of use would require more care with that.

And then with regards to traffic, I think I'm not familiar with that area in terms of personally living around there, but I heard a comment about the school buses, and I would say that living on the other side of Cambridge, that sometimes the buses would get delayed, and I would wonder why.

And I'm wondering if this would be an example of why they're getting delayed, because sort of a traffic

pattern was not foreseen, and these buses are just getting delayed and, you know, it's probably giving itself to all the other residents and parents and families that are trying to get a school bus, trying to get to work.

So I would just sort of say that with Traffic and the walking patterns, I think that was a public comment that also -- I don't know if this is too much of a concern as the first two items I brought up, which are the traffic or the school buses and the security of the residents.

But it would be just nice to see some sort of study on that, of how that walking pattern around that site is going to be with the new garages. And I know that's probably future, but I think we're sort of promising that these spaces are going to be used, and there's going to be an influx of new traffic.

And I think it's also that if these spaces are not being used, that they're going to be used by other people or other cars. Just it should be done with more care. Thats what I'm saying.

MARY FLYNN: Okay, thank you. Catherine?

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: So I think from my
part I think the shared parking use here is completely

consistent with City policy, and in general, I tend to leave questions of how the management works out to the parking garage operators, who not only are more expert than am I in what works in a particular location or locations, but also, I want to afford the flexibility frankly to change over time.

Particularly in the case of MIT here, where we're talking about a very long-term parking agreement, I would hate for any approval that we do give to be so specific that every time that technology changes, they have to come back and ask permission to do so.

So I can be supportive of getting some additional examples of how an initial rollout, particularly in the case of the temporary parkers to the Blue Garage are going to work, but I would personally really want to steer clear of codifying any management type arrangements in the special permit that are longer term in nature.

Because we have seen -- you know, right now they're talking about a fob situation where, you know, there's x number of spots for x number of spaces, and that's what controls. You know, two years, 10 years, you know, whatever, it could be way more specific and timed, and

somebody's fob only works to get them in at a certain time and -- you know, keeps track of and notifies them when they need to be out and who knows what else technology will do?

I don't want to say that they have to use the technology from today in 30 years. So I think it's appropriate to ask for those operational details that would be -- that they anticipate putting in place to make this work currently, but I wouldn't want those to be conditions of the approval going forward, because I do want the operators and managers to basically illustrate to us that they think these through, that they are going to work, but that as the situation evolves, they will evolve with it.

And I think what my colleagues are asking for is just that demonstration that day one it's going to work, and that we can trust them to continue to operate it in a way that it will work going forward.

MARY FLYNN: Excellent. Okay. Adam, did you have anything you wanted to add?

ADAM BUCHANAN WESTBROOK: Not much to add, just I just want to reinforce I think some of the concerns that have come up. I think for me the top concern right now is just the safety of the residents and it seems like kind of a

small, probably a relatively small thing to do to secure up the building so that those folks who are part of MIT or part of the Boston Properties company during the temporary parking won't have access in there.

So I would love to see ideas or prospects for that.

I do share Lou's concern. I would love to see a little bit more about the traffic patterns and how that's going to be impacted with this, but very open to that being the case.

I'm more convinced at the end of this conversation that the number of spots probably will work out okay, and hopefully with technology changes, Catherine, in 30 years we won't need very many parking spots at all. We can just hope for that.

So yeah, those are my concerns at this point. Yeah.

MARY FLYNN: Okay. Thank you. All right. So I think in terms of my own opinion, I think the requested permits are certainly consistent City policy in terms of consolidating spaces, building fewer spaces, and managing parking as effectively as possible.

But I do completely understand the need to -- on the part of the Board to know a little bit, to understand better how initially this is going to work, and what -- you know, the traffic, you know, how in and out of the building is -- I mean in and out of the parking area, as well as access to the building.

And to whatever extent you can provide further clarification on those things, I think it would be very helpful.

Daniel, is there anything -- if we -- I think obviously we're going to continue this, but is there any other information that you think we should be asking for at this particular that we haven't already articulated?

DANIEL MESSPLAY: I don't think so, Chair Flynn.

I've been taking diligent notes, I'm sure other Staff have
as well. It sounds like we've got a punch list of items
that we can coordinate with the applicant on for some
follow-ups before we come back to this Board.

MARY FLYNN: Okay. All right. So then is there any other information that Board members want to see? Just giving you one last shot before we move forward on the motion. Okay.

So --1 2 DIEGO MACIAS: Mary, sorry. I would just --3 MARY FLYNN: Oh, I'm sorry, say Diego. 4 DIEGO MACIAS: I raised my hand a little late 5 there. Sorry. MARY FLYNN: Yeah, I just wasn't looking up. 6 7 go right ahead. DIEGO MACIAS: I know I just mentioned that I'd 9 love to see floor plans with sort of the space allotments, 10 but I would sort of -- sort of on top of that, sort of just 11 like a flow diagram of how residents would access the third 12 residential building. 13 MARY FLYNN: Okay. Yeah. I think that would be 14 very helpful. Yeah. Perfect. Okay. So we have an 15 alternative, and Swaathi, I'm going to you here in terms of guiding us. We could -- well, I guess one alternative is to 16 17 continue it to a certain date, but we are asking for additional information. 18 So generally I guess we don't do a certain date 19 20 when we're -- so we're actually just doing a motion to continue with the date to be determined when the information 21 22 is provided by the applicant.

SWAATHI JOSEPH: Yes, Mary. That is definitely —
that can definitely be done by the Board. The only reason

I'm raised my hand is it would be helpful if the Board can

make a determination regarding the PUD special permit,

whether the proposal in concept aligns more with the Major

Amendment or a Minor Amendment. Because that will help the

Staff and the applicant work towards, you know, the

procedural matters of the continued hearing.

MARY FLYNN: Okay. Okay.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: And just -- maybe just to add onto that so that it's clear what options the Board would have to take, so if the Board decided that the PUD amendment was a Minor Amendment, but they still wanted some additional information from the applicant, then that determination could be made, but the hearing could be continued along with the other two special permits until the future dates.

Alternatively, if the Board felt that the amendment was a Major Amendment, then the Board could take action to approve the development proposal as a preliminary determination with those same requests for information so that the applicant would come back for that second hearing under the Major Amendment with their Final Development Plan.

And that way at least we can continue working with the applicant proactively. They're going to have to come back anyway. But if it's allowed a Major Amendment, the Board could give that preliminary determination so that they wouldn't have to come back a third time if the Board -
MARY FLYNN: Okay.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: -- elected not to take that

MARY FLYNN: Okay. Okay. Got it. All right. So for the -- the -- to remind existing Board members and probably the new members haven't even focused on this sort of thing yet, the different -- I'm going to read the definition of a Minor and Major and we'll go from there.

So a Minor Amendment -- and this would be in the PUD/PD 189, a Minor Amendment makes changes which do not alter the concept of the PUD in terms of density, floor area ratio, land usage, height, provision of open space with a physical relationship of elements of the building.

Minor Amendments shall include but not be limited to small changes in the location of buildings, open space for parking, or realignment to the minor streets.

Major Amendments represent substantial deviations

action.

```
from the PUD concept approved by the Planning Board.
1
 2
     amendments shall include but not be limited to large changes
 3
     in the floor space, mix of uses, density, lot coverage,
 4
    height, setbacks, lot sizes, open space, changes in the
 5
     location of the buildings, open space or parking, or changes
     in the circulation system.
 6
 7
               So I will -- in my opinion, it feels like a Minor
8
    Amendment to me -- something that's been discussed
    previously in other zoning forums, and we have -- you know,
 9
10
     data on usage and things like that. It's no new building,
11
     the building is not expanding, it's not contracting.
12
    are no significant architectural changes. I don't believe
     there's any deviation from the PUD.
13
14
               So I would say it's a Minor Amendment, but that is
15
    my opinion. I would like to hear from other Board members
     if you disagree.
16
17
               Catherine?
18
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Well, I raised my
    hand before you said, "If you disagree." I am in full
19
20
     agreement that it is --
21
               MARY FLYNN: Oh, okay.
22
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: -- it seems to be a
```

```
Minor Amendment.
1
 2
               MARY FLYNN: Okay, great. All right. I don't see
 3
     any other hands going up. All right. So then, let me ask
     if there is a motion to grant a requested -- this requested
 4
5
    Minor Amendment for a PUD PB-189.
 6
               Daniel?
7
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Mary, yeah, I think
8
    what we're moving here is not -- we're not granting at this
9
     time, right? We're making a finding.
10
              MARY FLYNN: Oh, sorry.
              CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: And this is a Minor
11
12
    Amendment?
13
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Yes. So we'll entertain a
14
    motion to --
15
              MARY FLYNN: Got it.
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: -- determine that it's a Minor
16
17
    Amendment and we'll do a roll call on that first, and then
18
    we'll do a roll call on continuing the hearings to a future
19
    date.
20
              MARY FLYNN: Okay. So we'll --
              CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: All right.
21
22
              MARY FLYNN: So we're making a determination to
```

```
1
    approve --
2.
              CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Nope.
              MARY FLYNN: No.
 3
 4
              CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: We're making a
5
    determination that it is a Minor Amendment.
6
              MARY FLYNN: Okay. Okay. Very good. Nothing
7
    else. All right. That's the motion. Catherine has just
8
    made it. Could I have a second?
9
              LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Yeah, so moved.
10
              MARY FLYNN: Thank you, Lou. Second. Okay. Can
11
    we have a roll call, please?
12
              DANIEL MESSPLAY: Okay. Roll call on determining
13
    that this is a Minor Amendment for 303 Third Street.
14
    that's Lou Bacci?
15
              LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Yes.
16
              DANIEL MESSPLAY: Diego Macias.
17
              DIEGO MACIAS: Yes.
18
              DANIEL MESSPLAY: Adam Westbrook?
19
              ADAM BUCHANAN WESTBROOK: Yes.
20
              DANIEL MESSPLAY: Catherine Preston Connolly?
              CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Yes.
21
22
              DANIEL MESSPLAY: And Mary Flynn?
```

```
1
               MARY FLYNN: Yes.
 2
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: That's all members voting in
     favor.
 3
 4
               [All vote YES]
5
               MARY FLYNN: Okay. See, sometimes it doesn't
    matter how long you've been on the Planning Board, you still
 6
7
    get confused.
8
               Okay. So now we said it's a Minor Amendment. Now
9
    do we have to grant it?
10
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: No.
11
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Now we continue.
12
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Yeah. We'll continue all three
13
    hearings to a --
14
              MARY FLYNN: Right.
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: -- future date.
15
              MARY FLYNN: Okay. All right. Is there a motion,
16
17
    then, to continue all three hearings to a future date, to be
18
    determined once the requested additional information has
    been submitted?
19
20
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: This is Catherine. So
21
    moved.
22
               LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Louis second.
```

```
MARY FLYNN: Okay. So I've got Catherine --
1
2
              LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Louis second.
 3
              MARY FLYNN: -- and Louis. Thank you. Roll call
4
    vote?
5
              DANIEL MESSPLAY: Roll call on that motion: Lou
6
    Bacci?
7
              LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Yes.
8
              DANIEL MESSPLAY: Diego Macias?
9
              DIEGO MACIAS: Yes.
10
              DANIEL MESSPLAY: Adam Westbrook?
11
              ADAM BUCHANAN WESTBROOK: Yes.
12
              DANIEL MESSPLAY: Catherine Preston Connolly?
13
              CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Yes.
14
              DANIEL MESSPLAY: And Mary Flynn?
15
              MARY FLYNN: Yes.
              [All vote YES]
16
17
              DANIEL MESSPLAY: That's all members voting in
18
    favor.
19
              MARY FLYNN: Okay. All right. So that's all I
20
    need to do tonight with this, correct? Beautiful.
21
              DANIEL MESSPLAY: Yes.
22
              MARY FLYNN: Okay. Thank you. Procedurally it
```

```
was a little bit complicated. If I had a legal background,
1
 2
     I would have been much better at it, I'm sure. Thank you to
 3
     the proponent. Thank you to the Board members for all of
 4
     your comments and Adam for your assistance in getting us
     through this. And looking forward to seeing you at the next
 5
 6
     go around.
 7
                                   Thank you very much.
               JOHANNA SCHNEIDER:
8
    got our homework to do, and we look forward to coming back.
 9
               MARY FLYNN: Great. Thank you so much. Okay.
    now we're going to move on.
10
11
     (8:01 p.m.)
12
     Sitting Members: Mary T. Flynn, Catherine Preston Connolly,
13
14
                       Louis J. Bacci, Jr., Tom Sieniewicz, Diego
15
                       Macias, and Adam Buchanan Westbrook
16
               MARY FLYNN: The next item on our agenda is a
17
     continued Public Hearing on a zoning petition by the
18
     Cambridge City Council to amend Article 2 and Article 11 of
     the Zoning Ordinance in order to amend the allowable maximum
19
20
    building heights and minimum yard setbacks, among other
     changes, for the Affordable Housing Overlay developments.
21
22
               Daniel is going to provide an update on this.
```

```
Thank you, Chair Flynn.
1
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Yes.
 2
     So the Board discussed this petition at its August 8
 3
    hearing, and ultimately voted to continue the hearing.
 4
     reminder, this is a Zoning Petition by City Council.
    modifies the Dimensional Requirements pertaining to
 5
 6
     development under the Affordable Housing Overlay.
 7
               Staff since the last hearing have provided a
8
    written summary of the discussion from the August 8 hearing,
 9
     and the Board's action, just as a reminder, is to make a
     recommendation to the City Council on the petition.
10
11
               MARY FLYNN: Okay. Thank you. The petition is
12
     represented by Councillor Azeem, Councillor McGovern and
13
    Councillor Zondervan. We heard a presentation from the
14
    petitioners at the August 8 hearing. Is there any
15
     additional presentation or comments from the petitioners
    before we move to public comment?
16
17
               Councillor --
18
               COUNCILLOR MCGOVERN: Madam Chair, I'm going to --
19
               MARY FLYNN:
                            Yep.
20
               COUNCILLOR MCGOVERN: -- kick it to Councillor
21
    Azeem, thank you.
22
               MARY FLYNN: Yep. Thank you. Councillor Azeem?
```

COUNCILLOR AZEEM: Thank you, Madam Chair. Thank you all for having us here again tonight. Just for any people who I've not met, although I feel like I've met all of you at this point, City Councillor Burhan Azeem here to talk about the Affordable Housing Overlay Amendment.

You know, just to take a step back, although I'm sure all of you guys remember this from our last presentation as well, just -- you know, we're -- talking about Affordable Housing in the city, so this amendment will be for 100 percent Affordable Housing projects.

Affordable Housing in every survey across the city has shown as, like, the most number one issue that most people face. A lot of people move out of our city because they cannot afford to live here. And these amendments would allow more buildings that are built that are 100 percent affordable, so every single unit in these buildings is affordable.

Every single project that we've seen to date under the Affordable Housing Overlay has been supported by the City, and that also gives us the ability to not support projects that we think are not good.

We've seen projects that -- you know, in Walden

Square in particular where the initial version was not supported by the members of the community, and we decided to not move forward with those projects.

And so, although these amendments are by right, you know, they still require City support. And so, there's been a history of projects not making it through.

On the other hand, we've seen projects that have had lots of community support, but not be able to make it, because the amendments originally were too strict, particularly 2072 Mass Ave, where the majority of City Council and other people supporting the amendment -- supported that particular project, but the amendments at that time were -- or the Affordable Housing Overlay at that time was too limited to be able to support that project.

And so, we've lost good projects. And I don't think there's a fear of bad projects going through, because they still need to go through a City process, including -- you know, trips to you guys to the Planning Board before they get, you know, all the City funding and all the approvals they need to actually happen.

The amendments before you are really focused on squares and corridors. It was a lot of what we heard this

term is that, you know, we wanted to focus on Squares and Corridors for our major development and to do transitoriented development.

These amendments have gone through more process than any other piece of legislation this term. There's been 10 separate City Council meetings or hearings ones. 11, actually, if you include one where something was charter righted. And so, it's been through a very, very long process.

These were first introduced on November 21, 2022.

I feel like, you know, this was also able to top issue in the last two election cycles. This has been one of the most thoroughly, like, you know, hot topics in the City, something that's been discussed by many City Councillors over a very long period of time.

You know, where folks in the Squares and Corridors, and while that is -- you know, I think a substantial change. And, you know, I would also like to say that it's not the majority of the city in terms of surface area or anything like that.

Yes. And I think at this point I think we're all familiar where mainly we're talking about 12 stories on the

1 Corridors, up to 15 stories on the Squares, as well as some 2 Dimensional Relief.

And that's I think all I have. Happy to take any questions and to be here with all of you guys tonight. I just wanted to say that -- to keep in mind that, you know, this is -- you know, I think one of the most important beautiful things we do as a city is to be able to provide homes for people who otherwise wouldn't be able to afford to live here or to benefit from all of our great schools -- you know, our great, you know, restaurants, and all the other opportunities that Cambridge has to offer.

We know that the number 1 determinant of your quality-of-life is the zip code you live in and all the opportunities that gives you access to. And so, I frankly am very excited about giving more people access to -- you know, all the wonderful things Cambridge has to offer.

Thank you.

MARY FLYNN: Thank you. Councillor McGovern?

COUNCILLOR MCGOVERN: Thank you, Madam Chair.

I'll just -- real quick, I'll just -- Councillor Zondervan sends his regrets. He's out of state visiting his newly born grandchild. So I think that's a --

1 MARY FLYNN: Oh, wow.

 $\label{eq:councillor} \mbox{COUNCILLOR MCGOVERN: } -- \mbox{ good reason to not be} \\ \mbox{here tonight.}$

MARY FLYNN: Pass on our congratulations to him.

Just a couple quick things to add, and then we can turn it over -- because I know we, you know, we went through this last time. Just so that we're clear about what -- what we're talking about here and what amendments are in front of us, we've received a lot of e-mails, as I'm sure you have.

And one of the concerns that gets brought up is that these amendments will take away the Planning Board's approval. I just want to note that these amendments are silent on that. That was something that was debated three years ago in the original Overlay. That is not something that is in front of us.

These amendments don't change that at all. These amendments are really about additional height and density along the main Corridors, which as Councillor Azeem said, a lot of folks who were opposed to the original Overlay said, "Let's concentrate height and density along the Corridors." That's what these amendments do.

I want to point out also that in talking with our Affordable Housing partners, this is just going to be one tool to put in our toolbox of many. There is no silver bullet answer to dealing with this crisis.

The Affordable Housing -- our Affordable Housing partner said that we could probably -- given funding and their capacity and land that becomes available and all the various factors that go into actually constructing

Affordable housing, that we can expect three to five of these buildings spread out across the City over the course of the next 10 to 15 years.

So you could look at that and say, "Well, then why do it?" Right? It's not going to solve the thousands of people that are on the waiting list. Or you could look at it and say, "This also isn't going to mean that Cambridge is going turn into Manhattan, right?" We're talking three to five of these buildings spread out across the city up to 12 stories, not even necessarily 12 stories.

But what the Affordable Housing partner said was that this will give them greater opportunity and greater flexibility to build more units and get more funding. So this is another tool in that toolbox.

We need to buy -- the City needs to buy more land.

We just approved two purchases of land in the last six

weeks. Hopefully, one of those at least will go to housing.

I don't think they both will.

The City needs to build on land it already owns -- absolutely. You know, there's lots of other things that we need to do -- more money for the Affordable Housing Trust so that they can -- you know, fund projects more flexibly. All these kinds of things are all good things. And adjusting the Overlay so that we can build more units.

You know, I want to remind everyone that these units are mixed-income in reality. You can qualify for these units if you have a housing voucher and very little income. You can qualify for these units if you're a single person that earns up to \$90,000 a year.

I personally know four DPW workers who I grew up with who had to leave Cambridge because of high costs, who would like to come back who are on the Inclusionary waiting list who would qualify. And, you know, these are folks who pick up our trash, but can't live here. Or teachers.

And, you know, a Councillor once said that Zoning is about the future. And I agree with that completely. And

our future is one of more -- higher and higher rents. We're second to Manhattan. If you want to talk about us being like Manhattan, we're more like Manhattan in our rent than we are in our appearance.

And so, I just hope as we deliberate on this, it's going to -- I know we get really caught up in the details -- you know, what's the height, what's the density, what's the setback? All the numbers and all the data and all that stuff, which is all important.

For me personally, I don't think those things are as important as who gets to live in our city and who doesn't, and the diversity that we're losing.

So I hope that in all of this we continue to center the people who need this housing. Because they matter. So I will yield. I'm happy to answer any questions. And again, I thank you all very much for the opportunity.

MARY FLYNN: Thank you. I appreciate your comments. Okay. As mentioned, this is a continued public hearing. We kindly ask that any members of the public who have provided comments on this matter already refrain from making the same comments this evening, as there are no

changes to the petition from what was under review on August 8.

With that said, any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the button that says, "Raise hand." If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9.

And as a reminder, the Board had received over 60 written communications on this petition. Written communications received after 5:00 p.m. yesterday will be entered into the record.

So let's see. Oops. Just want to check and see how many hands we have up. All right. There are a significant number of hands -- a really significant number of hands.

So I -- I'm going to ask just in -- for the interest of process and so that the Board has ample time to discuss, and particularly since we have so many written comments and have already heard testimony. I understand that would be -- limit the comments tonight to one and a half minutes. You can get through this fairly quickly.

So with that, Daniel if you like unmute speakers one at a time, speakers should begin by saying their name

and address and confirm that we can hear you. 1 2 After that, you'll have a minute and a half to 3 speak until I ask you to wrap up. So Daniel? DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you, Chair Flynn. just going to give a blanket apology if I mispronounce 5 anybody's name as we go through this. But it looks like our 6 7 first speaker is Joshua Redstone, followed by Young Kim. Joshua, please begin by giving your name and address. 9 JOSH REDSTONE: Hi. My name is Josh Redstone. live at One Percy Place. Can you hear me? 10 11 DANIEL MESSPLAY: Yes. Please go ahead. 12 JOSH REDSTONE: Okay. I think -- so my comment on the AHO -- I think I made a comment on a previous one that 13 14 was expressing a concern about side setback requirements. 15 I think I since learned that the side set -- okay, the context was that although the Overlay mostly addresses 16 17 Squares and Corridors, it also includes some language that 18 affects side setbacks on all properties in Cambridge that might at any point in the future ever become an AHO on the 19 20 property. And I think since the last time I commented, I 21

learned that it was a -- it was -- the amendment was changed

22

to say that it's not all side setbacks. It was -- might have been just side setbacks on any property over or three stories and over, I think. I may be mistaken about that.

My concern was just that that may still include -that may still remove the side setbacks for more -- a
broader swath of properties in Cambridge that we want. And
my concern back then that still applies to this change was
that given the large number of properties that are
nonconforming, that that might cause unintended consequences
and unpleasant surprises.

And that's it. Thank you.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you. The next speaker is Young Kim, followed by Suzanne Blier. Young Kim, please begin by giving your name and address.

young KIM: (Indiscernible) somebody noticed me. I just cannot understand why (indiscernible) to continue (indiscernible) 2072 Mass Avenue (indiscernible) amendment. (Indiscernible) recognize the need for Affordable Housing, and passed Chapter 40D, but they don't also recognize the importance of community involvement and protection against non-public agency developers from making (indiscernible) terms for building and operating Chapter 40B and affordable

projects in the state by establishing Guidelines and Chapter 1 2 40B handbook. 3 In spite of these layers of protection, the 4 developers for the Chapter 40B 2072 Mass Avenue 5 (indiscernible) wish to circumvent them which 6 (indiscernible) really lack of active participation by 7 Cambridge Staff through their two previous Affordable Housing development projects. 9 And given the chance, I would be more than happy to present to you evidence on my (indiscernible). 10 11 If the -- if we lived in the ideal world, if 12 everybody abide by Zoning Amendment, then of course only non-profit agencies would use the AHO Amendment to build 13 14 Affordable Housing. But we are not living in an ideal 15 world. People like developer for 2072 Mass Avenue will 16 17 find a way to -- since there is no protection against it, 18 will go through all the steps, and then they say the community input and Planning Board recommendation are 19

21 MARY FLYNN: Speaker, could you -22 YOUNG KIM: -- Affordable Housing. S

overridden by critical need for --

20

MARY FLYNN: -- your time has expired. Could you 1 2 please wrap up? 3 YOUNG KIM: Yes. You will see not just a few of 4 the things that Councillor mentioned, but we are going to 5 have a trouble like Manhattan. 6 Thank you. 7 MARY FLYNN: We need to move on. Thank you. 8 DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you. The next speaker is 9 Suzanne Blier, followed by Federico Muchnik. Suzanne, please begin by giving your name and address. 10 11 SUZANNE BLIER: Thank you. Suzanne Blier, 5 12 Fuller Place. And basically, I would urge the City to use 40B as the State had intended it to use. I would love some 13 14 clarification from the Planning Board about whether this can 15 create separate Squares. I'm hearing that it can. And would add that this is a huge increase in size 16 17 and scale, and still the Planning Board has no oversight, 18 which I think is really important. No renderings, no 19 models. 20 As to it being piece of a toolbox, what is to stop 21 them from coming back every two years at election time to 22 urge yet another? The key issue on the dearth of housing is

high interest rates, building construction costs, how hard
it is to get parts.

20 percent of our renters are MIT and Harvard students. These need to be addressed. It's too tall. No setbacks give heat island impacts. And I would urge that this is sent back to committee and asking the Solicitor to look more closely at the reconciliation with 11.207.7.6 on Environmental Design Standards. Because there seems to be some irresolvable differences between the two. Thank you for doing this.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you. The next speaker is Federico Muchnik followed by Esther Hanig. Federico, please begin by giving your name and address.

FEDERICO MUCHNIK: Federico Muchnik, 82 Richdale Ave, Cambridge, running for City Council; federicoforcouncil.net.

Just in response to some of the things I've heard,

Councillor Azeem talking about a beautiful piece of

legislation -- buildings that might go up could resemble

some of those pencil buildings that we see in Manhattan -
12 stories sticking up in the middle of nowhere, number one.

Number two, with all due respect, Councillor

McGovern's statement about this is not a silver bullet, well
it is a silver bullet. It's an attempt to put the
construction world in Cambridge on steroids. And to inject
this massively asymmetrical, nonsensical kind of scope and
perspective and size on the construction of buildings that
are not really in line or in harmony with the surrounding
neighborhood.

This is one of the most divisive pieces of legislation I think I've ever seen. And I hear a lot of dissonance among the voters. It has really polarized people, and I think it's just not ready for primetime, as I said during the last meeting. I think it needs to go back to the City Council to being reviewed.

It needs to be moderated, and it can lead to a situation where there is plenty of affordable housing, as much as the City can reasonably afford to do without 15 stories and 12 stories on the Corridors.

So I urge this to be returned to the Council for further deliberation. Thank you.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you. Next speaker is Esther Hanig followed by William McAvinney. Esther, please begin by giving your name and address.

ESTHER HANIG: My name is Esther Hanig, and I live at 136 Pine Street, Apartment 2. I'm here today to reaffirm my very strong support for the AO (sic) amendments.

I wanted to address an issue raised often at the last meeting, which is the importance of protecting the character of neighbors and meeting neighbor concerns. While I feel that all voices should be heard and respected, I feel there are two other issues that must be considered.

The first is that sometimes neighborhoods need to be changed, and not always in the way that seems comfortable for all residents. For example, in West Cambridge, the residents are notably older, with over 50 percent older than 45, while in my neighborhood, The Port, only 21 percent are older 45.

But even more relevant, the percentage of Black residents in West Cambridge is 2.7 percent, but 28.6 percent in The Port. The poverty rate for all families in West Cambridge is 0.8 percent, and in The Port the rate is 17.8 percent.

According to the latest figures, in the Port, the percentage of Affordable Housing is 34.5 percent, which doesn't even include the 62 units being built on Norfolk

Street, while in West Cambridge it is 1.3 percent.

For a city that purports to value diversity
highly, it is clear that there is a need for increasing that
diversity in some neighborhoods, and the AHO amendments
could help to address that issue. I think it would greatly
enhance our city if more neighborhoods were diverse and
vibrant, with lots of kids and families like my own.

Thank you.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you. The next speaker is William McAvinney, followed by Lee Farris. William, please begin by giving your name and address.

WILLIAM MCAVINNEY: William McAvinney, 12 Douglas Street in The Port. I'm a proud resident here for over 50 years. And I'm very concerned about the preservation of my community.

Our -- my community has been disappearing. When I moved here, this was a very racially integrated and mixed neighborhood, as well as a neighborhood with a fair amount of economic diversity. And the city as a whole had quite a bit of economic diversity. That is disappearing.

I would like us to be able to build things like LBJ and Manning that we were able to build 50 years ago, and

even if we -- even if this proposal passes, we still won't
be able to be building the site back.

I understand that for some people preservation means the preservation of the building, but for me preservation means the demographics of our community. And I think this is a very good compromise between those two things. It's been restricted to the Squares, places like I live, and the Corridors. And the heights are not what I would want. And the heights are not what people who want to preserve the building heights, rather than who lives in the community.

Thank you.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you. The next speaker is Lee Farris followed by David Sullivan. Lee, please begin by giving your name and address.

LEE FARRIS: Hi. Lee Farris, 269 Norfolk Street.

Can you hear me okay?

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Yes. Please go ahead.

LEE FARRIS: Thanks. I was not able to attend the previous Planning Board meeting on this topic, but did send in some written comments, which I want to share a summary of, and also respond to some other points.

I'm speaking tonight for the Cambridge Residence
Alliance. And we recognize the urgent need for Affordable
Housing, and we strongly support increasing the amount of
Affordable Housing. In general, we're pleased with the AHO
proposal, and feel that it will be a step toward a more
diverse and vibrant community.

Like several of the previous speakers, I live in The Port, which is one of the more diverse areas of the city. We believe that greater heights will enable Affordable Housing to be more competitive economically and therefore result in more sites. And we like that it increases flexibility for Affordable Housing projects while continuing to require 30 percent open space.

We would, however, like to highlight a few areas where we think it could be improved. We'd like any increase in the Affordable Housing Overlay to focus on creating family housing, and housing that's affordable to lower-income people.

And we do have concerns about the setback situation that others have mentioned and think there should be some modest minimum requirement for side and rear setbacks, such as at least seven feet from the lot line.

I have a few other points that I hope you will consider. Thanks.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you. The next speaker is David Sullivan, followed by Susan Schlesinger. David, please begin by giving your name and address.

DAVID SULLIVAN: Thank you, members of the Board.

Let me start by my name is David Sullivan. I live at 16

Notre Dame Avenue in North Cambridge. I am a former member of the City Council, five turns. I want to begin by thanking all of you for volunteering your time. I don't know how you do this every week, or almost every week. It is impressive, and I thank you for it.

I strongly support the proposed amendments to the Affordable Housing Overlay. They are important for the future of our city. It is important to recognize that diversity in our city requires density. Without density and without some additional height, we can't preserve the diversity of our city.

I've been following the housing crisis in our city for 50 years, since before I was on the Council. Chair Flynn can attest, as I think she was the Deputy Director of Community Development while I was on the City Council, and I

thank her for her service. 1 2 This is as serious a housing crisis as we have 3 ever had in the 50 years that I've been watching it. need to do something, and we need to do something soon. 4 I'll point to 2072 Mass Ave, which has been mentioned. 5 point was made then this is unfair to an unelected Board to 6 7 make them to make this decision, it should be made democratically; well, this is the response. It's a 9 democratic response by the elected members of the City 10 Council. It's the right response. 11 I urge you to report on this favorably and ask 12 that it move along to its next steps to the City Council. 13 Thank you very much. 14 DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you. The next speaker is 15 Susan Schlesinger, followed by Sara Barcan. Susan, please begin by unmuting yourself and giving your name and address. 16 17 Blocked room 18 Susan Schlesinger, it looks like you are still muted. You have the floor. 19 20 SUSAN SCHLESINGER: Hello? 21 DANIEL MESSPLAY: Yes. We can hear you. 22 SUSAN SCHLESINGER: Okay. My name is Susan

Schlesinger. I live at 34 Glenwood Ave in Cambridge. I'm a former Assistant City Manager for Community Development and also a member of the Affordable Housing Trust Fund Board.

And I'm here to support the amendments to the AHO.

And I want to talk about not so much the critical need, which I think has been addressed and will be addressed, and I think the Board understands that well, but I want to talk about some policy changes from the original AHO ordinance to this point that I think really explains some of the need for these amendments.

The first is when we went around and looked at the AHO in the beginning, the point was to try to make 100 percent Affordable Housing development as-of-right, with a strong review from the Planning Board around design issues and very extensive Design Guidelines that were presented.

Some of the advantages that Affordable Housing developers got besides height, dimensional changes and the as-of-right aspect was a real advantage in competing in the private market, which was that it allowed -- the original ordinance allowed there to be no parking minimums.

So a developer, a non-profit housing developer could go and try to purchase a site in the market knowing

1 that they would not have to provide parking on that site.

Because of policy changes now, there is no minimum parking requirements throughout the city, which I fully support. But I think people have to recognize that that is a real change in whatever advantages that the original AHO had for non-profit housing developers.

I want to say, also, the loss of 2072 threw, I think, a really mangled view of what 40B is and a contention that the AHO heights were maximums, not --

MARY FLYNN: Ms. Schlesinger, you're going past your time, please.

SUSAN SCHLESINGER: Okay.

MARY FLYNN: Could you wrap up?

SUSAN SCHLESINGER: Yeah. I'll wrap up. I just think that there are significant policy changes that make these amendments necessary. And I want to thank the Board for its support of 2072 in the past and other Affordable Housing efforts. And I really hope that you approve this and send it forward for Council admission. Thank you.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you. The next speaker is Sara Barcan, followed by Helen Walker. Sara, please begin by unmuting yourself and giving your name and address.

SARA BARCAN: Good evening. I'm Sara Barcan. I'm the Executive Director of HRI, Homeowner's Rehab, Inc.

We're located at 280 Franklin Street. As you know, we own about 1500 units of Affordable Housing rental housing in Cambridge, with more under development. And we strongly support these amendments to the AHO.

As all of you know, the need for Affordable
Housing in Cambridge remains desperate. Our newest
development, Finch, continues to have a waitlist of 1800
families.

And I want to emphasize families, which is our main priority as an Affordable Housing developer and the City's as well. They tend not to benefit as much from the Inclusionary Units, because those are smaller.

And I just want to note that a particular project that we're looking at now, a piece of land that we're hoping we'll be able to develop under the AHO with these amendments we can build 30 percent more units for families. That would be a dozen families who would benefit from those units.

So the impact, I think, is very real.

I also want to note, as others have said, the AHO is very compatible with good design. We're in the middle of

```
permitting 1627 Mass Ave under the AHO right now and have
1
 2
    had a great process of taking input from the Planning Board,
 3
     from community members, from City Staff and others, and
    we'll be coming back with what we hope will be a much more
 4
     favorable and improved design.
5
               So I think the process is working really well.
 6
7
     That will remain with these amendments. But we'll be able
8
     to compete for some sites that are now at risk of being lost
9
     to the private market.
10
               I thank you for your time and the opportunity to
11
     testify, and I hope you'll support these amendments.
12
     you.
13
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you.
14
               The next speaker is Helen Walker, followed by
15
     Carolyn Fuller. Helen, please begin by giving your name and
     address.
16
17
               HELEN WALKER: Can you hear me?
18
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Yes. Please go ahead.
               HELEN WALKER: I'm Helen Walker of 43 Linnaean
19
20
     Street. I'm an architect. I oppose the amendments in their
    current form, because it conflicts with other City
21
22
    priorities.
```

Consider conflicts such as Section 2290 Green

Factor Standard or the Climate Resilient Zoning Ordinance.

According to the Task Force Final Report, Development

Standards must mitigate urban heat island effect to protect

residents from major increases in summer temperatures. Heat

mitigation strategies should benefit the entire city.

For example, there's a preference for trees and vegetation planted within 20' of a public way, awarding twice the credits for plantings in these locations, because they're considered a public benefit.

The past Task Force specifically encourages post continuous tree canopy coverage, especially for public corridors, and areas of BIPOC use. But the amendments offer no front or side yard setbacks, so there might be no trees or vegetation within 20' of a public way, and no continuous tree canopy coverage along public corridors, which is where we're incentivizing these projects.

Why should residents of AHO projects step out their front doors into the 110-degree heat index predicted for 2070 without the same climate protections the City wants for everyone? In the future, these will be matters of public health.

1 Please consider whether we should require 2 Affordable Housing projects to have enough open space at 3 grade for plantings to meet the Green Factor Standards. Remember that a Green roof at 170 feet above grade is doing 4 very little to enhance the microclimate at the street. 5 6 Thank you. 7 DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you. The next speaker is 8 Carolyn Fuller, followed by Elaine DeRosa. Carolyn, please 9 begin by giving your name and address. 10 CAROLYN FULLER: Carolyn Fuller, 12 Douglass 11 Street. I'm here tonight in support of the AHO Amendment. 12 I have lived in my current home for over 40 years and have watched in dismay as more and more of my neighbors have been 13 14 forced out of Cambridge because they can no longer afford to 15 live here. 16 This amendment is a step in the right direction. 17 Please support it. 18 Thank you. 19 DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you. The next speaker is 20 Elaine DeRosa, followed by Teresa Cardosi. Elaine, please begin by unmuting yourself and giving your name and address. 21 22 ELAINE DEROSA: Good evening. My name is Elaine

DeRosa, and I live at 4 Pleasant Place.

I'm asking that you vote favorably for the proposed amendments to the Affordable Housing Overlay. We need these amendments to approve the AHO to respond to what we've heard repeatedly from non-profit developers, that they still face barriers in their ability to build Affordable Housing to meet the Affordable Housing crisis in Cambridge.

They have also reported lost opportunities to developing Affordable Housing because of the current barriers that the AHO establish and that these amendments correct.

Cambridge has an Affordable Housing crisis, and everybody know is. The City Council voted it a number 1 priority to address, tenants experience it every month when they have to make choices between food, rent, or medications, and the State National Housing Research Proofs documents its status.

The Metropolitan Area Planning Council reported that Metro Boston, which includes Cambridge, is the sixth most expensive metropolitan area in the United States, trailing only five cities in California.

The national low-income housing coalition Out of

Reach Report states that in order for a person in Cambridge to pay only 30 percent of their income for rent, they would need to make in \$50.87 an hour.

Finally, the Cambridge Housing Authority has known for many years that their list of thousands of people waiting for Affordable Housing -- approving these amendments is urgent.

We can no longer wait to put in place policies that support the development of this desperately-needed Affordable Housing for all those who are waiting.

Thank you. I urge you to support the amendments.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you. The next speaker is Teresa Cardosi, followed by Kathleen Higgins.

Teresa, you have the floor. Please begin by giving your name and address.

TERESA CARDOSI: Hi. My name is Teresa Cardosi, and I live at 7 Woodrow Wilson Court. And as Councillor Azeem says, the Affordable Housing Overlay is not for all developers. It's just for the non-profit. It's to compete with the profit-making developers. There would be no market rents allowed ever permanently, because the units would be deemed restricted.

The amendments of the AHO are not one-size-fits-all. The Corridors and the main Squares would allow up to 12 full-story height. But other Cambridge -- other areas of Cambridge would allow height proportionate to the area.

And the CHO -- the AHO's 2023 Annual Review to the City manager from the Cambridge Development Department shows that six AHO projects are in development. Over 400 units of those will be two-, three- and four-bedrooms for families. So the AHO is working.

But the current restrictions have prevented additional units from being built. One example is 116

Norfolk Street, which has supported housing for chronically homeless individuals -- some who have mental illness.

Supported housing means that services are attached to the housing so that constant care can be provided.

One extra story, which would be five stories instead of four, would have meant about 15 more people would have had a home and a plan chance to focus on living, instead of just surviving. That specific population of chronically homeless people it's especially tough to house. They need the stabilization of housing to be able to progress.

So I ask that you see Affordable Housing as people and not buildings. Housing is a necessity. It's not a privilege. Whether renter or homeowner, we all deserve secure housing. So please support the AHO Amendments.

Thanks for your time.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you. The next speaker is Cathleen Higgins, followed by Marilee Meyer. Cathleen, please begin by giving your name and address.

CATHLEEN HIGGINS: Hi. My name is Cathy Higgins. I live at 345 Norfolk Street. Just a quick comment in strong support of the AHO amendments. Why? Just since the last meeting of the Planning Board on this topic, a couple people I've spoken to: the single woman -- mother of three -- who -- including an 18-year-old, who works in Cambridge as a day care teacher at very low pay, evicted from her home in Everett living in a one-bedroom of her mother's house, and then sometimes in her car.

So then also for the -- I support them for the man who told me of his \$400 rent increase. And he was desperately looking for a new home and visibly anxious about it. And he was contemplating leaving the area.

So I support these amendments for these two people

1 and for the thousands on the waiting list.

Thank you.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you. The next speaker is Marilee Meyer, followed by Tina Alu. Marilee, please begin by giving your name and address.

MARILEE MEYER: Hi. I'm Marilee Meyer, 10 Dana Street. And I'd like to thank the Board for realizing that the AHO needed a continuance. It doesn't matter how many meetings there were, considering it was sprung on the public after losing the 2072 in retaliation.

Housing should be identified for those unserved and in the unsafe situations, and not necessarily for anybody who wants to live in Cambridge.

And \$90,000 is now low-income. These are not amendments, but arbitrary heights, including, quote, "unlimited" in places that will work. There are some sections that contradict points, including environmental justice and setbacks. If 12 to 15 stories is too high for certain places, some height, fine. The five-year review would have given us more analysis.

Our -- all our roads are not the same. Our primary corridors now include secondary corridors. Harvard

```
1 | Square is a finite contained triangle, not a pass-through.
```

- 2 This eliminates guiderails desperately needed for oversight.
- 3 And now the AHO is being used as a metric, by which other
- 4 | zoning amendments will be judged.

This agenda is not equitable, nor will it yield what you expect without data. Please wait until after the election for a real analysis and not a motion.

Thank you.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you. The next speaker is Tina Alu, followed by Mitchell Goldstein. Tina, please begin by giving your name and address.

TINA ALU: My name is Tina Alu. I live at 113.5

Pleasant Street. And I'm the Director of CEOC. I'm speaking on behalf of CEOC's many low-income participants in support of the proposed amendments to the AHO.

Since the passage of the original AHO, we have heard repeated testimony from non-profit developers that they still face barriers to their ability to build Affordable Housing to meet the Affordable Housing crisis in Cambridge.

They have reported lost opportunities to develop

Affordable Housing because of the current barriers that the

original AHO established, and that these amendments correct.

The amendments present reasonable approaches to allow for the development of additional Affordable Housing to address our Affordable Housing crisis.

At CEOC, we hear from our participants time and time again the urgency and needing stable, affordable housing for their family. The debate against the passage of the AHO raised many erroneous claims of its negative potential impacts, such as the threat of density and height.

But the most insensitive statements were raised by some who questioned the ability of tenants in multiunit buildings to have any sense of community.

Nothing could be further from the truth, and the Fresh Pond Apartments are an example of the fallacy of this notion. The AHO amendments would not create another Fresh Pond development, but proposed reasonable increase of heights and density should not be used as a reason to not approve these amendments. Tenants are desperate to choose financially stable housing for their families at any housing — at any height and density.

Thank you.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you. The next speaker is

1 Mitchell Goldstein, followed by Amy Waltz. Mitchell, please 2 begin by giving your name and address.

MITCHELL GOLDSTEIN: Good evening. My name is
Mitchell Goldstein. I live at 17 Normandy Terrace, where
I've lived for the last 40 years. And I've lived in
Cambridge for 43 years, so I guess I'm a newcomer to
Cambridge.

I'm a retired attorney, and I currently work at CEOC helping folks do taxes, and also with housing matters. I just wanted to briefly give voice to the voiceless. Only a couple of speakers have done this with specificity, such as when Marc McGovern talked about the sanitation worker friends he has.

I had a client come in last week who lives in market-rate housing on Cardinal Medeiros Way. She makes \$55,000 a year, which is not really low-income. She's a single parent of four, ranging in age from six months to 19 years of age. Her kids have gone through the Cambridge Public Schools system, they want to live in Cambridge. She lives in a one-bedroom apartment, and she pays \$2100 a month.

She needs a bigger place. She can't afford a

bigger place. So she is going to be forced out of Cambridge unless she can get affordable housing or Section 8 or something like that.

And it was really depressing to refer her to apply for Cambridge Housing or Section 8because there's about a five- or 10-year wait, at least more than five-year wait for that.

And Affordable Housing is just -- even though we have a lot of it, there's not nearly enough for the people who need it. This woman's going to be forced out of Cambridge. She has just as much right to stay here as anybody else, and she's not a freeloader. She's working hard to make ends meet.

The final point -- and I have seen a lot of clients like, this -- the final point I want to make is that in the future with all the climate change we're seeing and all the disasters we're seeing, there's no question in my mind that we need more density in order to preserve open space, in order to preserve us to protect us from climate change. We have to build up and not out.

And the only way forward in Cambridge to have these people is to have -- to have these people be able to

stay is to build more Affordable Housing.

So I strongly support the Affordable Housing Overlay Amendments. Thank you.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you. The next speaker is Amy Waltz, followed by Heather Hoffman. Amy, please begin by unmuting yourself and giving your name and address.

[Noise/feedback]

Amy, I apologize I had to mute you. It seems that there's a lot of feedback coming from your microphone. I'm just going to give a quick count to five, and then we're going to try to unmute you again and see if the issue's been resolved.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Okay, Amy, I have asked you to unmute if you could maybe try again?

AMY WALTZ: Okay. Can you hear okay now?

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Much better. Thank you.

AMY WALTZ: Okay. Thanks. We all want affordable housing. There are actually a host of ways to address this, as was stated. Much of the need is financial, rather than apartments that require construction. There are many approaches, which are important. Of course, there will be some construction needed as well.

While tall buildings may be appropriate in some locations, they are not appropriate in all locations in which they would be allowed by right by this amendment. My main concerns are there are no regulations about appropriate and inappropriate locations within the designated squares and main streets. Only a small number of properties would actually be appropriate for demolitions and construct of such large buildings.

There are no stated limits on how many of these huge buildings will be permitted either, or how many properties will be upzoned with outsized buildings for their neighbors and eliminated setback requirements. There seem to be no constraints on this, other than the will of the construction and people that are investing.

The number of buildings is primary critical, due to environmental impacts of construct. Encouraging construction without regulating embodied carbon is actually a huge and growing environmental concern, along with the other concerns that people have already mentioned. It goes against all of our city goals on reducing emissions. We can't afford to be increasing our admissions at this critical time, especially with other cities looking to us

for an example. 1 2 Additional -- so we all want affordable housing, 3 but upzoning major streets and Squares is far too blunt of a tool, which is likely to do irreparable harm. I think this 4 5 needs to go back for --6 MARY FLYNN: Please wrap up. 7 AMY WALTZ: -- revision. 8 MARY FLYNN: Thank you. 9 DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you. The next speaker is Heather Hoffman, followed by Justin Saif. Heather, please 10 11 begin by giving your name and address. 12 HEATHER HOFFMAN: Hello. Heather Hoffman, 213 Hurley Street. Want to start out by thanking Susan 13 14 Schlesinger for revealing for all to see the bait and switch 15 of the first AHO. "We'll give you -- you don't have to have any parking until you get a leg up." 16 17 Now what's going to happen with the huge increases 18 that this is going to provide, I think this is just another stalking horse and bait and switch. 19 20 I would also commend to you Susan Connolly's letter that laid out from someone who's been in this 21

industry for decades why this is a bad idea.

22

And for the people who claim that this is only for non-profits, no it isn't. If you look around, who owns significant amounts of public housing in this city? For-profit entities, including two of the three Rindge Towers; not the only one.

And finally, good design. CHAPA, which I think we would all agree is heavily in favor of affordable housing, says good design is critical. You want properties to blend in. And why are prices going up in Cambridge?

Because of City policy that prizes building lots of commercial development -- high-end commercial development which increases prices for all of us.

Thanks.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you. The next speaker is Justin Saif, followed by Joan Pickett. Justin, please begin by unmuting yourself and giving your name and address.

JUSTIN SAIF: Hi. Justin Saif, 259 Hurley. Thank you all for participating and serving on the Planning Board. It's such an important role.

I hope that you've all had a chance to familiarize yourself with some of the publications that our Cambridge
Housing Authority has put out on the scope of the crisis we

have in Affordable Housing in Cambridge with, you know, over 22,000 people on the affordable housing waitlist, 6,500 people with a local preference.

And it's a truly dire situation. We badly need more affordable housing.

We know that children will be displaced from our schools and families from our city if we fail to act. We're not even reaching our Envision goals with the amount of affordable housing we're supposed to be creating by 2030.

I live in East Cambridge near several taller CHA buildings, including the Truman Apartments. They're not on a corridor or a square. And they're not a problem; they fit into the neighborhood.

The Cambridge Housing Authority's publications included a profile of a single mother, Daisy Asuna (phonetic), originally from the Dominican Republic, who waited nine years for an affordable home in Cambridge. She described it as life-changing.

She said, "My self-esteem has gone through the roof, because I have a home of my home now in such an amazing city. Ask my friends; they all see me as a ball of light now, no more darkness. Cambridge is a healthy

environment. It is safe, beautiful, accessible, and has great transportation options. These are things everyone should be able to enjoy. I love it here. I am at peace. I have a home."

Please think of families like hers when you make your decision this evening.

Thank you.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you. The next speaker is Joan Pickett, followed by Ruth Ryals. Joan, please begin by giving your name and address.

JOAN PICKETT: Hello. My name is Joan Pickett, and I live at 59 Ellery Street, and I am a candidate for City Council.

And I'm very disappointed that we're having this conversation in this forum. It is a very important citywide conversation. And the way that it has taken place so far has really divided the city, as opposed to bringing us together.

That said, I would like to say that the proposed amendments removed some very important guardrails, such as eliminating front and side yard setbacks. They reduced the rear setbacks, and there is no maximum FAR. And of course

everyone has already mentioned the height, which is increasing from a maximum height of seven stories to 12 to 15 stories.

And the existing Ordinance did provide some protection to neighborhoods; some comfort that the design would not significantly be out of context with neighboring structures. The projects that are going to be built under these amendments are not going to fit into the squares or in the AHO corridors.

What might work in Central Square is unlikely to work in Harvard Square. The streets in the AHO corridors are significantly different. Sydney Street is very different from Broadway. Albany Street is very different from Concord Ave. And these differences should be acknowledged and recognized with Zoning. And that takes the neighborhood context into consideration.

Further, if these are approved, I'm concerned that they will provide a precedent for other zoning petitions.

The conclusion: Please do not provide a favorable recommendation to a sweeping, citywide rezoning petition as presented.

Thank you very much.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you. The next speaker is Ruth Riles, followed by Margaret Moran. Ruth, please begin by unmuting yourself and giving your name and address.

RUTH RYALS: This is Ruth Ryals, and I live at 15
Upland Road in the Porter Square area. I am commenting in
opposition to the amendment. I think the buildings that are
called for and allowed are too tall for our area, in the
Porter Square area.

Certainly, we can have taller buildings than are there now, but 15 floors high is just too tall. And 12 on our Mass Avenue is too tall. It does not fit with the rest of what's around. We can stretch, we can go up. And -- but more important than anything else is the lack of setback, the requirement for open space.

I'm very disturbed that we are expecting with these buildings or any affordable housing we build does not have to comply with some of the basic requirements that we've all determined Cambridge needs: Green and open space.

We have to talk about some kind of parking requirements. I know you don't want to have any parking requirements in any buildings, but I think you need to remember that in the Porter Square area, there are no public

```
parking garages. There's nowhere else for these cars to go,
1
 2
     including all the ones that are disappearing from Mass Ave.
 3
               So please, I would ask you, I much prefer that we
 4
    build it in our neighborhoods -- a little denser, a little
     shorter, and that it fit within our neighborhoods.
 5
 6
    you very much.
 7
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you. The next speaker is
8
    Margaret Moran, followed by Marie Elena Saccoccio.
 9
    Margaret, please begin by giving your name and address.
10
               MARGARET MORAN: I'm Margaret Moran, Deputy
11
    Executive Director of the Cambridge Housing Authority, 362
12
    Green Street. Ready to go?
13
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Yes. Please go ahead.
                                                         Thank
14
    you.
15
               MARGARET MORAN: Sure.
                                       The Cambridge Housing
    Authority is in full support of the proposed amendments to
16
17
     the Affordable Housing Overlay. The amendments will expand
18
     the number of affordable homes that can be built on the
19
    Overlay.
20
               The public process of the Overlay, as shown over
21
     the past three years, has a proven track record mandating
22
    and maintaining a robust and meaningful public process,
```

responsible to neighbors' and the Planning Board input -the process of choice that builders and developers of the
AHO despite their size are healthy and comfortable places to
live and are responsive to the neighborhood context.

The CHA and the other Affordable Housing developers have been developing, operating and maintaining Affordable Housing in Cambridge for decades, and we're not developers who are looking to score a quick buck with shoddy design and weak construction.

The proposed amendments allow for an increase of density and flexibility that would open up more opportunities to compete for parcels with market-rate developments.

The proposed height increase in the corridors and squares would create more opportunities for us to compete, especially considering the changes in construction technology that will make high-rise construction more economical.

For areas outside of the corridors and squares, the CHA is supportive of the proposed changes to allow additional heights on parcel where the proposed development provides much open space, much required under the AHO, while

the CHA's Jefferson Park and 116 Norfolk Street projects would have benefitted from that flexibility.

You heard the numbers of the waiting list. You have 22,500 unique households on the waiting list, 6,500 having local preference. CHA is only able to house 300 people, or 300 applicants a year. So it would take 20 years to house everyone with a local preference, and that's not counting for the rest of the folks on the waiting list.

The Overlay will give us some tools to try to make a dent in that number and allow for us to provide more housing to meet the need. We urge you to move the amendments forward favorably.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you. The next speaker is Marie Elena Saccoccio, followed by James Williamson. Marie, please begin by unmuting yourself and giving your name and address.

MARIE ELENA SACCOCCIO: Marie Elena Saccoccio, 55
Otis Street in Cambridge. I submitted a written commentary.
And I'll just do a quick synopsis. I think people should recall that Zoning was really born in response to slums.
When the free market and there were no regulations at all on building -- I mean, the upshot was slums.

essential that you consider the real basis for Zoning and what it was created to protect against. The plan in front of you essentially exempts AHO from the protections and restrictions of Zoning and Historic Commission oversight that other residents enjoy.

Somehow, this class is not worthy of setbacks or height or FAR restrictions or architectural preservation or even parking. And the projects anticipated will mean that others in the neighborhood don't really matter either.

Again and again, I hear mentioned places like

Millers River and Truman Apartments -- those are, that's

senior housing. Totally different scenario. AHO 2 is all

about warehousing people as if they're widgets devoid of

appreciation of privacy, space, history or architecture. We

all realize that housing is necessary, and no one is opposed

to it.

What we support is quality affordable housing, and restrictions that other people enjoy within our city.

Thank you.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you. The next speaker is James Williamson, followed by Sage Carbone. James, please

begin by giving your name and address.

JAMES WILLIAMSON: Can you hear me?

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Yes. Please go forward.

JAMES WILLIAMSON: Okay. I'm going to give my home address, unlike some of the key proponents of this, who hide behind their office address. I think it's shocking that you don't insist that everyone who speak give their home address. My home address is 30 Churchill Ave, and I actually live in Cambridge.

The key proponents -- some of the key proponents of this, in fact all the heads of all the key so-called partners, none of them live in Cambridge, and they all -- it's not that hard to figure it out and find out -- they all live in single-family homes, in places with restrictive, one-acre zoning out in the suburbs.

So why is it that they aren't advocating for the kind of dramatic changes in their neighbors that would be necessary to get even close to what the City of Cambridge is doing in the so-called affordable housing realm?

If everybody wants to talk about how much they care about tenants, but then somehow the tenants in this housing don't seem to -- you know, it doesn't matter what

the public transportation system that everybody likes to lie 1 2 about is falling apart, we're consigned to take it. 3 The people who are the proponents of this drive in in their Priuses and have dedicated free parking spaces. 4 5 We -- the building that I live in has had one elevator -- has one elevator for six floors, it's broken 6 7 down twice in the last week and a half. That's nothing compared to 2070 Mass Ave, which would be a nine-story 9 building with 48 units, just three parking spaces and one 10 elevator. 11 So we don't need parking, we don't need decent 12 buildings with decent height, we don't need anything, we're 13 just supposed to be grateful for whatever you guys make 14 millions from providing. 15 Thank you. 16 DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you. The next speaker is 17 Sage Carbone. Sage, please begin by unmuting yourself and 18 giving your name and address. 19 SAGE CARBONE: Hi. My name is Sage Carbone. 20 live at 270 Third Street. Are you able to hear me? 21 DANIEL MESSPLAY: Yes. Please go ahead. 22 SAGE CARBONE: Great. Thank you so much.

wanted to voice my support for the Affordable Housing

Overlay Amendments. I live in Inclusionary Housing in East

Cambridge, and I can tell you that can't afford the \$400 a

month to pay for the parking spot offered, but I do have -
and as well as my husband has -- a very short commute in

Cambridge, where we have enjoyed a space for nearly a

decade.

We live on a higher floor. We're surrounded by life-sciences buildings that are much taller than our apartment; however, that it remains empty for quite some time.

So I would love to see us able to build community through additional Inclusionary Housing buildings here being — in my current building, there are some units that are occupied by multiple — by renters that are having multiple units, and there are also people that work in the lifesciences buildings here just for a short time.

So I would love to live and be supportive of the 100 percent Affordable Housing option. Thank you.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you. Madam Chair, that concludes the speakers on the list so I will turn it back to you.

1 [Pause] 2 Madam Chair, you're muted. 3 [Pause] SWAATHI JOSEPH: Mary, your microphone is still 4 5 muted. MARY FLYNN: Okay. All right. How's that? Can 6 7 you hear me now? Good? Okay. Thank you. Alright. So my thanks to everyone who has testified this evening. Your comments are much appreciated. We're now going to move from 9 10 public comment to Board discussion. Additional written 11 comments may be submitted for the record. 12 So let's start off with questions from the Board. Do any of you have questions for either Community 13 14 Development Staff or the proponent? 15 [Pause] Okay. Lou? 16 17 LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Yeah, I have one. I'd like 18 someone from Community Development to go over the height. I know there's an FAR cap somehow. The 1,000-foot public open 19 20 space, I don't think there's a place in the city that you 21 can do that on ours. 22 So I'd like somebody from Community Development or

1 | someone to go over that aspect of this.

MARY FLYNN: Okay. Daniel, can you do that?

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Sure. Thank you, Chair Flynn.

The intent of this poor vision -- and I don't want to speak for the Councillors -- but I believe was to allow for some height flexibility on larger sites, where otherwise the appearance of the development would be sort of all cut off at the same height.

And I think one of the examples that a lot of people point to is the JP Federal site, for instance, where there was a low height cap that sort of applied across the entire development parcel, even though it's a large parcel of land.

What this provision does -- well, you nailed it on the head. So it doesn't establish an overall height cap in absolutely numbers in terms of stories or feet, but there is a natural limitation on the amount of floor area that could be built.

And the way you sort of back into that floor area number is by taking the lot area and then subtracting the required open space, and then applying the height restriction that would be applicable to that parcel, and

that gets you the overall density cap. 1 2 LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Daniel, I just -- what is 3 the required open space? DANIEL MESSPLAY: So the AHO does have required 4 open space that applies generally. It's a 30 percent 5 requirement that can be reduced to the district Dimensional 6 7 Standard that's applicable. LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: So it's going to be in the 9 rear of the building, I would guess, because of the side and 10 front setback elimination, right? 11 DANIEL MESSPLAY: I'm not exactly sure. But I 12 mean I'm not exactly sure how it would play out on a particular parcel. We haven't done any modeling to see how 13 14 it might actually result in a different development scenario 15 on different parcels with different requirements. But --LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Would that be on a single 16 17 lot, or if someone had acquired multiple lots? 18 DANIEL MESSPLAY: Good question. So the way our zoning works now is that if you have adjacent lots under 19 20 common ownership, you can treat that as if it were one developer of a lot. So it could theoretically include 21 22 assemblages of lots.

```
1
               LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: In my opinion, this is
2
     absolutely targeted for East Cambridge. Everything on
 3
     Cambridge Street is within 1,000 feet of some kind of public
     open space, and there's a lot of small buildings that might
 4
    be able to be gobbled up. It's just -- I've said it before.
5
 6
               MARY FLYNN: Right now we're just doing questions,
7
    please.
               LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Yep.
 9
               MARY FLYNN: Yes.
10
               LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Yeah, no, I'm just --
11
               MARY FLYNN: Yeah. Okay. Diego?
12
               DIEGO MACIAS: Yeah. So I think I sort of hear
     the answer in a few different places. But I would love to
13
14
     just hear sort of a quick summary of maybe from the
15
    proponents or the Staff on sort of this idea that there's
     not going to be any oversight for any development.
16
17
               Let's say that there's concern with no setbacks or
18
     the lack of open space; how would that development get
     commented on by the public, by the Planning Board?
19
20
     someone could just elaborate on that?
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Chair Flynn, I can address that
21
22
    briefly, if the Councillors want to add anything additional.
```

1 MARY FLYNN: Okay.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: I think Councillor McGovern addressed this in some of his opening remarks. But the petition doesn't make any changes to the Advisory Review procedure that currently exists under the AHO.

So the process that AHO developments go through now, which is at a minimum two Advisory Design Review consultations with the Planning Board, as well as required preapplication community engagement meetings, those would still continue.

The petition makes no changes to the actual procedures that exist for a development under the AHO going through the process.

MARY FLYNN: Okay. Any other questions from the Board? Adam?

ADAM BUCHANAN WESTBROOK: Yeah, just a quick question. A couple of folks have mentioned that in AHO 1 the parking minimum requirement was kind of mixed for affordable housing, and it sounds like some people are afraid of a similar thing happening with the height restrictions being mixed.

So can somebody share a little bit of history

```
along the lines of how that happened after AHO 1 and kind of
1
2
    what the possibility -- I mean, if you can -- I don't know
3
     if you can answer what the probability of this happening for
     the for-profit builders as well, but how easy was that?
4
    maybe it's a better question.
5
               MARY FLYNN: How easy was it to change the parking
 6
7
     regulations, is that what you're asking?
              ADAM BUCHANAN WESTBROOK: Yeah. When it was --
9
              MARY FLYNN: Yes.
10
              ADAM BUCHANAN WESTBROOK: Yes, exactly.
11
              MARY FLYNN: Okay. I think I'll turn that back to
    the Councillors. Councillor Azeem, your hand is up, would
12
    you like to take that on?
13
14
               COUNCILLOR AZEEM: Thank you. It's very difficult
15
    question to predict the future of the City over decades.
    But I'll take a crack at it from what I can speak on, on
16
17
    personal pieces.
18
               I think that removing parking minimums has been a
     longtime conversation in the city. Boston removed it for
19
20
    buildings that were 60 percent affordable or more, and quite
     frankly over the last year most large cities in the U.S.
21
22
    have either done it or made progress.
```

California removed it statewide. Other states have removed it statewide. Other states that are typically much more car favored don't even have public transit, such as Nashville have approved them citywide.

So it's been something that I think has generally been acknowledged as something that, like, complies with modern design principles and thinking about how we want to design cities.

You know, people can still build the exact same amount of parking that they want to, and in fact, that's what we've seen in most developments since is that people have still built, you know, as much parking as they might have beforehand. But it gives them flexibility to not do so.

I think it was a great idea that was also kind of like put into the AHO as one of, like, the priorities. And I think that it got support from various camps. Some people sought it exclusively as a benefit to AHO. Otherwise, other people wanted to remove parking minimums and wanted to get support that idea in the AHO, and it would bring along their support.

I will say just from personal consensus, I'm not

-- you know, planning on revisiting the AHO again, and I think that's very important for it to remove -- to maintain density and beneficial height for market-rate.

And, you know, if we copy and pasted that it's from market-rate development it would remove that height, and that's not my goals. I can't speak for every other future Councillor, but, you know, it's not my intention at least.

MARY FLYNN: Councillor McGovern, would you like to add anything?

COUNCILLOR MCGOVERN: Thank you, Madam Chair.

Just through you, I think also what was being brought up is that in the original AHO we removed parking minimums, and since then we have now done it for everybody. And so, the concern was that that advantage that AHO projects used to have, they don't have that advantage anymore, because now it's removed for everybody.

And, you know, and to the point of will we ever revisit this again, I don't know. I mean, zoning changes, right? I mean, Porter Square used to be zoned for slaughterhouses, and isn't zoned that way anymore.

So it's -- to say we'll never revisit anything

```
again, I don't -- I can't say that. We have a crisis. We
1
 2
    have a need. We're trying to figure out ways to address
 3
     that need.
 4
              And, you know, zoning on my street has changed
     since my family moved here in 1918. You know? So yeah,
5
     cities grow. They evolve. That's what happens.
 6
 7
               MARY FLYNN: Thank you. Lou, what are your
8
    questions?
 9
               LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Has anybody thought about
    updating the Design Guidelines from these much larger
10
11
    building? Where are we on that?
12
              Also, one of my major problems is putting these
    very tall buildings on the corridors or the squares, and we
13
14
     kind of forget about the people who live on the north side
15
    of these buildings being shaded by these very tall
    buildings. I don't know how we're set to deal with this,
16
17
    but it is definitely a problem.
18
               MARY FLYNN: Okay. Daniel, would you like to
    address that?
19
20
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Sure. Just on the Design
    Guidelines piece, because this I think did pop up briefly at
21
```

the August 8 hearing, it probably wasn't captured fully in

22

```
the Meeting Summary.
 1
 2
               So Lou, that very question came up. I believe,
 3
     you know, we spoke with some of our Urban Designers in the
     Community Planning Division.
 4
 5
               And the short answer is should this move forward,
 6
    we absolutely would need to update the Design Guidelines to
 7
    be able to address that -- the taller range of heights that
    would be permitted under this.
               The current AHO Design Guidelines deal with AHO
 9
    projects that sort of cap out at 80'. So if we're going to
10
11
    be going way higher, we need to think about how to shape
12
     those buildings, wind impacts, shadow impacts, as you
13
    addressed.
14
               MARY FLYNN: Great. Thank you, Daniel.
15
    Councillor McGovern?
16
               LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: So you --
17
               MARY FLYNN: Hang on, Lou. Councillor McGovern?
               COUNCILLOR MCGOVERN: I don't know if Mr. Bacci
18
     has a follow-up to that. I was going to comment on the
19
20
     shadow piece. I don't know, do you want me to do that --
21
               MARY FLYNN: Yeah, you can --
22
               COUNCILLOR MCGOVERN: -- now, or --
```

1 MARY FLYNN: -- sure. Please.

COUNCILLOR MCGOVERN: So yeah. I mean, you know, a lot of these projects require studies around shadows. But again, you know, if I -- I understand that this is no fun for anybody, right? This is very -- people feel very strongly on either side of this.

And if I could come up with something that would make everybody happy, it would deal with shadows and trees and setbacks and provide enough housing and not cause more traffic, you know, of course we would want to do that.

That's not the reality of the situation we live in. This isn't a video game where you get to design a city from scratch. We're dealing with the cards we're dealt.

And so, yes, maybe in some locations, if you put a 12-story building on a corridor, it might cause some shadows on somebody's property. If we can't sacrifice that for affordable housing, then let's just stop saying it's our number one vote. So yes, it may cause a problem. But you know what, that's the sacrifice some of us have to make to help other people.

Thank you.

MARY FLYNN: Thank you.

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Can I just respond to that a little bit?

MARY FLYNN: You know, I'd prefer not to get into a back and forth, Lou, at this point. And if you have a comment, let's just keep it for the comment section of the Board, okay? Thanks.

All right. So seeing no other questions, let us move to discussion. And who would like to start us off?

Tom? Love to hear from you.

TOM SIENIEWICZ: Thank you, Madam Chair. Yes.

It's an emotional issue. Look, Envision, our communitysourced and comprehensive Master Plan document, stated that
we agreed on as a community, you know, stated that we needed
to produce close to 3,200 Affordable Units by 2030, which
sounded like a long time ago. It's only seven years away.

And in one year, this AHO in its outset has had the ability to at least plan and get some units under construction on the order of 616 units, about 20 percent of where we need to do. So it looks like if we're committed to Envision, it looks like this tool really is effective.

Inclusionary Housing, which I love and I'm very proud of, and we should be proud of as a city -- in 27 years

has only produced 1,500 units or about 55 units a year -that's not going to get us to our agreed-upon goals.

So it's very clear that we need the AHO, and it's clear to meet our community goals that in a changing regulatory and real estate environment and market environment, that some of the advantages of the original AHO .01 or .1 have disappeared, have eroded, and we need an update. I get it.

And I think most of our fellow citizens get it.

And I think the Planning Board by and large understands this too.

So secondly, there's a lot of emotion around this issue, as has been referenced. I've read all the letters, and I was not here on the eighth, I was traveling. But I did spend the time to watch the entire video start to finish, including all the public comment from August 8.

Many of the letters that I read referenced developers profiting from the AHO. The developers here in Cambridge which are some of the most seasoned affordable housing -- experienced affordable housing developers in the country -- are not-for-profits.

So I would also say I was quite moved by Ted's

comment, and it's worth underlining, in the last hearing, that I've never known there was comments about the Planning Board shying away from this issue. I've never know that to be the case. And it is vacation season, and I think that that was what CDD was -- and the community was victims of.

So, but we're the Planning Board. And our recommendations here should be about zoning and planning.

And the CDD raised an important issue, which is that there's ongoing planning in many of the corridors.

And if we wait for all of that to happen, and all that -- all of those conclusions to happen, that's further delaying our ability to solve this critical issue.

So it's kind of a chicken and an egg question, and I think that the Planning can catch up with the Affordable Housing. It's a dynamic situation in Planning and politics, as has been pointed out.

So action is eloquence, and I think we need to proceed. So I'm going to suggest with a few modifications coming up now that we recommend this strongly to the City Council.

To zoning heights, setback, densities and open space that are referenced in the proposal height, I have no

personal issue with the proposed heights in the proposal, inspired by Mr. Camacho' passionate testimony last time, I would recommend that we add some hard measures to these buildings, recommending as has been said, that the Design Standards would be updated to ask for wind studies and pedestrian studies, perhaps solar glare and reflections.

But I would also add potentially in the squares, where we're developing in the squares, these are areas of special planning concern that maybe we add a third community meeting, which might focus on including for instance the institutional abutters in Porter Square; Lesley University or Harvard University or MIT at Kendall Square. Might also include, importantly, business concerns and the business community -- not only the institutional community, and of course the community at large attend that.

But I think we owe that to our squares.

Look, proposed building code changes that are before me -- before that stated, and I studied that because I'm an architect -- excitingly are suggesting, if they pass and are adopted, that mass timber could be used for these taller buildings up to 18 stories. The Europeans are well beyond us with that, building skyscrapers.

And I think there's an opportunity here to take advantage of emerging technologies. That's referenced in the memos that we've seen. But that's very real.

Setbacks. The proposal to use front yard setbacks that perhaps would match street wall I think is a very good idea. I'm not afraid of zero setback in a city like ours on the front. I think we should match the urban street wall.

Look, the side yard and rear yard setbacks which seem to be loose, in a density like ours, state building codes matter — mandate far separations between buildings.

Many of those required separations exceed existing zoning setbacks in the lots that we have here in Cambridge. So there will be setbacks if you want to put windows in buildings.

Density. I agreed with James Zall on that last time that were built out in Cambridge. And in order to solve this problem of affordable housing, we either have to sprawl, which we're not going to do, like Los Angeles -- we can't, nor is it sustainable, we have to increase density, and in a lot of places, not just in a few places -- in industrial zones, or places where people don't live, largely. It's got to be in a lot of places, and hence the

emotion around here.

The open space recognition that adjacent cities' parks will satisfy Open Space Requirements I think is rationale and is common sense. And the definitions are clear, except the CDD's plea here to rework some of that language around open space where potentially a lot has any more than two zones, I would recommend we look at that.

Traffic and Parking, Mr. DeSilva last night -last time raised concerns about congestion and traffic about
when we build these buildings. And the Council's eliminated
parking requirements.

There's no question the MBTA's been struggling. I personally ride the T and have for the last 20 years, the Red Line. It's slower these days, but it served me well all the way through the pandemic until recently. Only on that day when the train arrived on fire did I have an issue with the Red Line in Central Square.

So we will -- so I'm relying on my Council to use their political power to get us the public transit that we need here. Cars are not the future of our city.

So in conclusion, I would say I know many of you tonight may go -- have remaining concerns or go away

unhappy, and I understand that.

But Cathleen Higgins last time put the balance starkly, and I paraphrase, "Displacement of our neighbors and friends is a bigger threat than a change to our skyline." And that's a good point of view, I think. No one likes change, especially when it's near your home, you know, or in your community.

And we're empathetic community. We surely understand that being displaced is also a big change. And that's what we're trying to adjust our zoning to address in part.

So I'm proud of the way that we've led with
Inclusionary Zoning 27 years ago, and now it seems that some
cities around the world, as I understand it from Mr.
McGovern, are asking us about our success with the
Affordable Housing Overlay.

So I'm proud of this innovation, and proud of the bravery, frankly, of the fellow citizens who accept these kinds of proposals and test them out in our city.

So I'll close with these thoughts, and maybe one of them is flip. The great beauty of Inclusionary Zoning is that it's inclusive -- that there's no separate door if you

live in an Affordable Housing -- a subsidized unit, or as compared to a market-rate unit. So that condominium that is owned by the Sultan of Brunei could potentially have a firefighter, or somebody who works at 1369 living right next door to him, and I think that that's fantastic, and it represents the real power and the strength of this community.

The AHO is talking about 100 percent affordable units, and here's where I get flip. I know, I often think -- you know, maybe those affordable housing developers should reserve some of their units for unaffordable units for market-rate units, so our diversity is also combined with proximity, which I think is very, very important. It's not possible, probably, but I think it's a philosophical goal.

Lastly, I ask myself how much Affordable Housing is enough Affordable Housing? How many units do we need in the city of Cambridge? It's not for the Planning Board to say. But -- and Envision tried.

But I would ask the City Council to address -- try to address that issue. Many of the letters suggested 10 percent, which is the threshold that is 40B and the

```
Commonwealth says for communities with the city -- proudly
1
 2
     long ago said that was not nearly enough to satisfy our
 3
     obligations to our fellow citizens, or the pressure --
    particular pressure that we find ourselves in. We're about
 4
     17 percent, which is not the highest percentage or any
 5
 6
     community in the Commonwealth.
 7
              But let's set it at some point. Is it 25 percent?
8
     That's what Inclusionary Zoning is. Let's just get a
 9
     number, and let's work like hell to try to get there.
10
               Because affordable housing -- we hear that over
11
     and over and over again in this city -- is
12
     critical. It's human and it's moral to strive for but it's
13
    not a vision, and it's not a plan for a city, and I want to
14
     solve this problem and get onto working on even more
15
     fantastic things to make this place better. So that's where
     I feel right now, I would recommend this strongly to the
16
17
    City Council.
18
                           Thank you, Tom. Appreciate your very
              MARY FLYNN:
19
     thoughtful comments.
20
              Catherine.
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY:
21
                                            Thank you, Mary.
22
    kind of want to take a different approach to my comments
```

than Tom did, mostly because my concerns about this are -- have to do with process.

I -- and for those who may not remember, when we first passed the AHO three years ago, in the midst of the pandemic, there was a lot of the same emotion around that.

And one of the things we did at that time was recommend -- and the Council adopted -- a five-year review period; that after five years, we would look at whether the AHO had been effective in increasing the number of units, if it had been effective in distributing Affordable Housing units across the city neighborhoods, and whether it was serving the housing needs of residents.

We chose five years because it was as fast as people thought that we could reasonably get an idea of what was working. You know, as much as it feels like this has to be done immediately, and I get that, that I don't deny the crisis situation that we have -- we find ourselves in -- I also don't think we have enough data.

We are in, you know, another kind of unprecedented era where everybody is going through massive change, which is great and can be exciting. But, you know, it's all the more reason why I want to see what's going on, what impact

changing interest rates have on everything that's going in our city.

Zoning has a lot of purposes. One of them is to provide some level of predictability to people who invest in property, whether it's their biggest investment of their life being their home, or it's a business opportunity, as small as an additional rental unit on their property, or as big as, you know, one of our major lab developers.

Zoning absolutely has a role in providing predictability, while the political process absolutely similarly has a role in changing things. Things do change over time, and that's why the original Affordable Housing Overlay anticipated that a review would be appropriate; that adjustments would be likely, but they gave enough time for there to be, you know, a substantial number of projects that go through the process, where we could really see is this getting units into every neighborhood in the city? What's the effect? And what do we want to tweak?

Tom's point, there is additional planning going on throughout the city, especially in the corridors.

While I don't think we should wait for every

Planning Study every to be done, and why we should probably

start that Review Study that will -- not in two years, now so that's it's ready for action in two years -- I would have a difficult time recommending adoption of changes to the Affordable Housing Overlay at this time because we have not yet gotten to five years.

And I don't have any problem forwarding thoughts and concerns and comments to the Council for their consideration as they decide what to do with this petition.

I wouldn't suggest that we forward a negative recommendation, because I don't have enough information in the form of that five-year study to say whether or not what's on the proposed -- what's in the petition is negative or positive.

But I don't -- I'm not prepared to say yes, we have enough information to go ahead ahead of the five-year review period that was envisioned.

I think there are a lot of great points made by, you know, members of this Board in the previous hearing, by members of the public tonight on both sides, by the Councillors, but we planned for this. You know? If nothing else, we built in the point at which we were to review this, and it's -- and this is too early.

And so, I would not support a positive or negative recommendation this evening; I would forward comments to the Council based on concerns and ideas and suggestions, and things we support from this Board.

Thank you.

MARY FLYNN: Thank you, Catherine. Again, very thoughtful comments. Diego, you're next.

DIEGO MACIAS: Yeah. So I don't necessarily have that same history of AHO five-year sort of plan but I do -- and plans with the thought about process, and -- you know, this is my first meeting was the first AHO 2 meeting, and I think I came into the meeting with a lot of sort of fear with sort of how design for a really tall building would affect sort of the community and the city.

But I think from -- I guess from my perspective, I think a lot about the process of design, and I do believe that with the process of Design Review, that a lot of these concerns with open space and height and setbacks can -- they can be met, and they can be sort of talked through and worked through.

And I also believe analysis and data; I do that with my job all the time and I love it.

So I really believe that we can analyze a building, use its open space, its impact on shading, and all that. But I think at the end of the day I'm more afraid of the housing crisis than I am of sort of not pushing this through. That's probably my perspective.

Thank you.

MARY FLYNN: All right. Thank you. Adam?

ADAM BUCHANAN WESTBROOK: Yeah. I'll just echo some of that I think Diego said and that Catherine said. I'm normally very much a process person and I really appreciate that when the AHO was set up, that there was a five-year kind of case, you know, to analyze data to see what was happening. And I agree with that to a large extent.

However, I think when it was set up, I was -- you know, what's really important to me is that the Affordable Housing community has some sort of competitive edge.

And I think once the competitive edge was lost with AHO 1, I think it does kind of force our hand a little bit to then readjust, even if it's before that five-year mark.

And I -- what it sounds like this AHO 2.0 does is

really provide another competitive edge against the forprofit builders at this point. And so I find that very
compelling. I think, as Cahterine said, we are in a time of
immense change -- immense technological change, and
Cambridge is a place that houses a lot of technology
companies.

And I think it's going to become a more -- even more desirable place, and potentially even quicker over the coming years.

And I think it's important that we do act and act soon to ensure that the Affordable Housing building community has some competitive edge in the near future, so that we're able to achieve the goals, as Tom so eloquently said, and more that the City has.

MARY FLYNN: Thank you. Okay. Lou, did you want to weigh in?

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: I can just do it -- I'll just run down the list quick. Too big, too dense. You know, people don't -- a lot of people don't realize these 150' buildings are actually 180' buildings. The 12-story buildings are 150' buildings. So there's a lot to think about here.

I know we have this housing emergency, I know it's been -- hasn't been just a couple of weeks, I have eight other siblings who were not able to live in Cambridge, so I feel this, believe me.

Sometimes I think we rush into these things, and this is why we're back here instead of five years; we're at three. I'd like to see some more work on this before I could give it a positive recommendation. I think we're a little bit too far afield.

MARY FLYNN: Okay. Thank you. Iram? I see your hand up. what would you like to add?

IRAM FAROOQ: Thank you Chair. I just wanted to make a note, because the notion of the five-year review has been central to the discussion -- to some of the comments that just preceded.

So just two points that I wanted to make. One is that yes, we had set up a five-year review threshold, but there was also Annual Reports, which we have been doing and have been sharing with Council.

So those are public, and they're demonstrating what is happening -- you know, both the successes and the failures are really being transparently shared.

And I think the one other thing to keep in mind that has been mentioned before in terms of the review timing is that when -- even though there had been a lot of discussion about parking policy in the city, I would say that from south side we had not anticipated such rapid change on some of those policies that changed the context.

So I just wanted to put those two framing points out with regard to the concerns around the timeframe of the review and timeframe of the changes.

MARY FLYNN: Thank you. All right. So I've thought a lot about this, as we all have. It -- you know, as Councillor McGovern said, it's not easy for any of us. And we all take it very seriously, and I think in general we all support affordable housing. We want the city to remain diverse, and we care about our neighbors.

But I also do believe in process, and I believe in study and analysis -- not necessarily a great delay; I don't think we have to wait for every last thing, but I do feel in this particular case that this petition would benefit by some additional study to really understand what the impact are on squares and corridors.

As was mentioned during public comment, the

corridors are not all the same. So, you know, they need to be tweaked and adjusted. I don't have a problem with excess height, but I don't know that I want to see these really tall buildings in the square -- maybe in any of the squares. Maybe in some, but I think some modeling and things like that have to be done.

And on the setbacks, design setbacks are a very great concern to me. I definitely do not agree with eliminating those.

I think people have to remember that the AHO 1 was -- not only was it emotional and whatever, but it was a very big decision for the Planning Board to be able to support that knowing that we were giving up the ability to have an absolute say in projects and what would work and what wouldn't, right? So we agreed to the review process, which so far has worked.

But in doing that, we felt it was really important to put some bounds on what could happen, so that there was a reasonable expectation on the part of the citizenry as to what could be built where and what it meant for them in terms of the heights and the setbacks.

I remember height being a very big issue at the

time, because there was a question of do you do unlimited FAR, or do you modify the heights? And the decision was that people really could relate more to height. It had more impact on them and the quality of their lives, than necessarily the understanding of the FAR part.

Height was variously set. Now, I realize some of the circumstances have changed over time, and I'm not saying that we shouldn't do anything. But I really believe that this petition has not been study carefully. It goes too far too fast, and I think it's a mistake at this time.

Again, I'm not suggesting that we delay even another two years. Maybe it's just a few more months of study, I don't know.

But I would have -- I won't say I would have a really hard time; I know I cannot support a positive recommendation on this. I don't want to necessarily make a negative recommendation either, because I do support affordable housing.

I'm certainly in agreement with Catherine. I think her comments are appropriate. I know last time I said that I really didn't want to do that.

And I think where I'm coming down on it is, you

know, either the -- if there's a majority of the Planning
Board that wants to give this a positive recommendation, I
will just vote no. And otherwise, then I would recommend
the comments. That's kind of where I stand.

So now, to try to assess where we all are, let's see. So I think we have Tom, Diego and Adam, who are in favor of moving this forward with a positive recommendation. And I think we have Lou and Catherine and me who don't want to give it a negative, do not want to advance it with a positive at this point. Just do comments.

So we're kind of 50/50. Yes, Tom, do you have the magic solution?

TOM SIENIEWICZ: Well, I don't know if I have a magic solution. I want to figure out how the Planning Board can be most helpful to the Council, right? And, you know, a neutral or a split decision -- whatever you want to call it.

But the volume of thought, comment, suggestions, that have come out in both hearings -- on the eight and today on the twenty-ninth -- from the Planning Board and the public, I know Staff takes incredibly careful notes.

I for one don't want to stand on formality here and insist that we send a positive recommendation; everybody

knows where I feel and stand on this, but that's unimportant. What's important is to help the City and the Council think this through.

So I would be in favor of sending recommendations and analysis consistent with the thoughtful discourse that's happened over now six hours of hearings at the Planning Board. So I'm not going to stand in your way, Madam Chair.

MARY FLYNN: No, I wouldn't see it as standing in my way. Everybody's entitled to vote the way they want to.

There's nothing personal about it. But thank you for your concern.

I do want to note just for the record, since Ted and Ashley are not here, that Ted also felt very strongly that it should move to the City Council with a positive recommendation, where Ashley was more concerned.

I don't think she wanted a negative recommendation either, but I think she had concerns about the petition in its current format and wanted to see further study.

So I guess, then, it seems as though -- unless anybody feels very strongly the other way or wants to propose an alternative -- that we will not make a recommendation either in favor or opposed, but yet summarize

```
all of our concerns, both substantive in terms of the
1
 2
     details of -- that have been raised in the Community
 3
     Development Department memo, concerns that we have about
 4
     setbacks and heights in certain areas, the benefits that we
     do see in the petition areas, the idea of just trying to do
 5
     -- to build more Affordable housing.
 6
 7
               Again, height maybe gives some more, but maybe not
8
     as much as is proposed. And as Tom says, there's just --
 9
     there's a lot of very good material that we've received over
     the last two hearings to advise the Council.
10
11
               So I would suggest that that will be summarized
12
     and sent as the report from the Planning Board.
               Does that make sense to people, or would somebody
13
     like to propose an alternative?
14
15
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Mary, if you would
     entertain a motion to that effect at this time, I would move
16
17
     that we forward a summary of the comments from this -- from
18
     these hearings to the Council without a recommendation for
19
     either negative or positive on the petition.
20
               MARY FLYNN: All right. Thank you for that
21
    motion, Catherine. Is there a second?
```

TOM SIENIEWICZ: This is Tom. I'll second that

22

```
motion.
 1
 2
               MARY FLYNN: Thank you very much, Tom. Daniel, a
     roll call, please?
 3
 4
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Roll call on that motion: Lou
 5
    Bacci?
 6
               LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Yes.
 7
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Tom Sieniewicz?
 8
               ASHLEY TAN: Yes.
 9
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Diego Macias?
10
               DIEGO MACIAS: Yes.
11
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Adam Westbrook?
               ADAM BUCHANAN WESTBROOK: Yes.
12
13
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Catherine Preston Connolly?
14
               CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Yes.
15
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: And Mary Flynn?
16
               MARY FLYNN: Yes.
17
               [All vote YES]
18
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: That is all members voting in
19
     favor.
20
              MARY FLYNN: All right. Thank you.
21
               Councillor McGovern and Councillor Azeem, thank
22
    you so much for attending again and being available with
```

```
both your comments and willingness to answer questions.
1
 2
    very much appreciate your time.
 3
               COUNCILLOR MCGOVERN: Thank you for your comments
 4
    as well.
 5
               MARY FLYNN: Thank you. And again, to the members
     of the public who participated, we appreciate it very much.
 6
7
    And to my fellow colleagues, I appreciate your patience and
    willingness to talk this through.
               So that concludes the business on our agenda. Do
9
10
    Board members have any other issues or questions? CDD Staff
11
    have anything they need to add?
12
               DANIEL MESSPLAY: Just another reminder that we
13
    will not have a meeting next week, so hope everybody has a
14
    great Labor Day weekend and holiday, and we will see you on
15
    the twelfth.
               MARY FLYNN: Okay. Very good. Thank you,
16
17
     everyone. The meeting is adjourned. Goodnight.
18
               TOM SIENIEWICZ: Goodnight, everybody.
               COLLECTIVE: Goodnight.
19
20
     [09:48 p.m. End of proceedings.]
21
22
```

1	ERRATA SHEET
2	Page Line 'Change From' 'Change To' Reason for change
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	I have read the foregoing transcript of the Planning
16	Board meeting, and except for any corrections or changes
17	noted above, I hereby subscribe to the transcript as an
18	accurate record of the proceedings.
19	
20	
21	Name Date
22	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	Commonwealth of Massachusetts
3	Middlesex, ss.
4	I, Michele Dent, Notary Public in and for the
5	Commonwealth of Massachusetts, do hereby certify that the
6	above transcript is a true record, to the best of my
7	ability, of the proceedings.
8	I further certify that I am neither related to nor
9	employed by any of the parties in or counsel to this action,
10	nor am I financially interested in the outcome of this
11	action.
12	In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand this
13	17th day of October, 2023.
14	
15	MolulOlux
16	Notary Public
17	My commission expires:
18	June 12, 2026
19	
20	Michele Y. Dent Notary Public COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
21	My Commission Expires June 12, 2026

22

A	accommodate	71:18 72:13	103:19	124:16 125:17
abide 92:12	63:2	77:18 80:15	admissions	125:18 126:5,7
ability 27:3	accurate 44:14	85:18 100:17	118:21	128:18 129:19
57:16 81:20	167:18	110:11 114:3	adopted 3:10	131:1,19
108:6 113:18	achieve 157:13	119:2 126:21	146:20 152:7	136:19 137:20
114:11 143:17	acknowledged	131:13 132:10	adoption 2:4	142:17 143:14
145:12 160:13	123:15 138:6	135:22 153:7	154:3	144:19,20
168:7	acquired 134:17	153:19 159:20	advance 162:9	145:14 147:17
able 32:5 36:11	act 121:7 157:10	Additionally	advantage	149:16 150:1,8
53:20 55:6,20	157:10	22:2	102:18 139:15	150:10,16,17
58:8 59:6 82:8	Acting 6:7	address 20:2,9	139:16 147:2	151:10 152:10
82:14 83:11	action 10:14	20:14 23:3	advantages	153:12 154:4
84:7,8 97:21	12:1 72:18	24:21 28:8	102:16 103:5	156:16 157:11
97:22 98:2,19	73:8 80:9	47:22 90:1,8	144:6	159:14 161:18
104:17 105:7	145:17 154:2	91:14 93:10	advise 164:10	164:6
110:21 116:22	168:9,11	94:13 95:22	Advisory 136:4	afield 158:9
122:3 127:5	active 92:6	96:4 97:5,11	136:7	afraid 136:20
130:20 131:12	Acts 3:10	98:15 100:5	advocating	147:6 156:3
135:5 141:7	actual 18:10	101:16 103:22	129:16	age 115:17,18
157:13 158:3	54:12 136:11	105:16 107:9	affect 155:14	agencies 92:13
160:12	Adam 1:10 3:6	107:21 108:14	affiliated 17:11	agency 91:21
absent 4:16,22	5:3,5 6:1,13	109:15 111:8	34:2	agenda 79:16
5:16	7:21 8:20,21	112:5 113:11	afford 67:5	113:5 166:9
absolute 24:11	9:13 12:11	114:4 115:2	81:14 84:8	ago 34:5 41:5
64:14 160:14	14:1 38:17,19	117:6,18	95:16 107:14	45:20 51:3
absolutely 38:5	40:3,18,19,20	119:11 120:16	115:22 118:21	85:15 97:22
87:6 133:16	41:1,2,17	122:10 124:3	131:3	143:15 149:13
135:2 141:6	42:19 45:8,8	125:9 127:16	affordable 2:11	151:2 152:4
153:9,10	45:15,15 53:12	129:1,5,6,8,8	6:19 79:21	agree 63:16 65:6
abutters 146:11	53:22 56:15,21	130:18 135:21	80:6 81:5,9,10	87:22 120:7
accept 8:5	57:6 60:12	140:2,19 141:7	81:11,16,17,19	156:13 160:8
149:18	63:1,4,7,10,18	149:10 150:20	82:13 86:2,5,5	agreed 57:20
access 15:1	68:17,19 76:18	150:21	86:9,19 87:7	143:13 147:15
18:17 21:3,16	76:19 78:10,11	addressed 94:4	91:18,22 92:7	160:15
22:18 25:9,10	79:4,15 136:15	102:6,7 136:3	92:14,22 95:15	agreed-upon
25:13,17,20,22	136:16 137:8	141:13	96:21 99:2,4	144:2
26:13 29:16	137:10 156:7,8	addresses 90:16	99:10,12,16,17	agreement
32:3,4 33:4,10	162:6 165:11	Adithi 1:13 6:12	100:14 102:3	20:19 21:2,7
33:22 36:12	165:12	adjacent 134:19	102:13,16	21:14 22:2,11
40:10 41:12	add 27:14 68:18	148:2	103:17 104:4,7	44:7,16 57:5
61:18 62:6,9	68:19 72:10	adjourned	104:12 107:2	67:8 74:20
64:21 69:4	85:6 93:16	166:17	108:3,6,7,9,12	161:19
70:6 71:11	135:22 139:10	adjust 149:10	109:6,10,18	agreements
84:14,15	146:3,7,9	adjusted 160:2	111:1 113:19	16:11
accessible 26:8	158:11 166:11	adjusting 87:9	113:19,22	ahead 10:8
122:1	adding 52:16	adjustments	114:3,4,6	20:16 71:7
accessing 21:22	additional 10:15	153:14	116:2,8 117:1	90:11 98:18
accessory 11:6	13:1 33:14	Administration	117:2,17 119:2	105:18 125:13
14:16 18:7	39:1 48:8 53:6	24:7	120:7 121:1,2	130:21 154:15
39:19	64:15 67:12	admission	121:5,9,17	154:15
	ı	<u> </u>	ı	ı

				rage 170
AHO 90:13,19	109:21 118:3	123:8 125:16	73:3	34:4 67:9 68:9
92:13 97:4	124:7	125:17 126:10	AO 96:3	85:13
99:4 102:4,9	Alright 132:7	127:12 131:2	apart 38:11	approvals 82:20
102:12 103:5,9	alter 73:16	Amir 19:17	130:2	approvals 82.20 approve 72:19
102:12 103:3,9	alternative	amount 17:6	apartment 16:5	76:1 103:18
105:1 106:18	71:15,16	24:2 26:17	50:17 96:2	108:4 114:18
107:11 108:4	163:21 164:14	46:20 47:18	115:20 131:10	
108:10 110:1,7		97:18 99:3		approved 3:11
	Alternatively 72:17	121:8 133:17	apartments	14:14 16:20,22
110:9 111:4,11			114:14 117:20	17:17,19 48:22
112:8 113:3,15	Alu 112:4	138:10	121:11 128:12	60:17 74:1
113:16 114:1,8	113:10,12,12	amounts 120:3	apologize 9:22	87:2 123:17
114:15 119:15	amazing 121:21	ample 89:16	19:15 117:8	138:4
123:9,11 126:3	amend 79:18,19	Amy 115:1	apology 90:5	approving 109:6
126:22 128:4	amended 11:21	117:5,5,8,13	appearance	approximately
128:13 134:4	amending 11:2	117:15,17	88:4 133:7	27:19 39:9
136:5,6,12,17	amendment 2:8	119:7	appears 58:3	ARA 16:22
137:1 138:16	6:20 7:5 9:16	analysis 47:15	applicable	arbitrary
138:18,20	11:9 12:4,4,4	60:19 112:20	133:22 134:7	112:15
139:1,13,15	18:22 60:3	113:7 155:21	applicant 10:2	architect 105:20
141:9,9 143:16	72:6,6,12,13	159:17 163:5	10:11 12:15,18	146:19
144:3,6,18	72:17,18,22	analyze 156:1	59:12 70:17	architectural
150:8 152:4,9	73:3,14,15	156:12	71:22 72:7,14	74:12 128:8
155:9,11	74:8,14 75:1,5	analyzing 18:12	72:21 73:2	architecture
156:11,19,22	75:12,17 76:5	ANDERSON	applicants 3:14	128:15
160:10	76:13 77:8	34:6	127:6	area 23:13 26:9
AHO's 110:5	81:5,9 82:11	andhere's 55:9	application	26:13 27:22
air 22:9	90:22 91:17	Angeles 147:18	10:13 18:20	28:1 29:16
Albany 123:13	92:12,13	Annual 110:5	applied 133:11	36:10 37:4
Alexandria 15:4	107:11,16	158:18	applies 60:4	42:15 46:5,13
31:7 34:13	118:3 124:6	answer 12:12	91:7 134:5	46:14 60:9
43:2 45:21	amendments	19:5 29:22	apply 116:4	65:16 70:5
51:3 64:3	12:2 13:10	40:4,16 53:6	applying 133:21	73:16 83:20
aligns 72:5	73:19,22 74:2	65:8 86:4	appreciate 6:5	108:18,20
alleviate 33:18	81:14 82:4,9	88:15 135:13	28:10,19,22	110:4 111:21
Alliance 99:2	82:12,21 83:4	137:3 141:5	88:18 151:18	124:5,7,8,22
allotment 65:10	85:9,12,13,17	166:1	156:11 166:2,6	133:17,19,20
allotments 71:9	85:18,22 96:3	answering 41:18	166:7	areas 25:14
allotted 29:17	97:4 100:13	answers 64:9	appreciated	60:15 99:8,14
allow 11:9,13,21	102:4,10	anticipate 68:7	132:9	106:13 110:3
13:1,11,13	103:16 104:6	anticipated	appreciation	126:19 146:8
14:20 21:7	104:17 105:7	128:9 153:13	128:15	164:4,5
22:6 25:8	105:11,20	159:5	approach	arrangement
81:15 110:2,4	106:13 108:3,4	anxious 111:20	151:22	11:22 13:11
114:3 126:10	108:10 109:6	anybody 112:13	approaches	16:13,22 17:5
126:20 127:10	109:11 110:1	116:12 140:9	114:2 117:21	17:22 30:8
133:5	111:4,11,22	142:5 163:20	appropriate	45:19 46:16
allowable 79:19	112:15 113:4	anybody's 90:6	68:6 118:1,2,4	arrangements
allowed 15:4	113:15 114:1,2	anymore 139:16	118:7 153:13	67:16
35:14 73:3	114:15,18	139:21	161:20	arrived 42:11
102:19,20	117:3 122:20	anyway 44:12	approval 7:22	148:16
		JJ	F F	
L				

				Page 1/1
Article 79:18,18	attending 23:9	8:10,14,15	113:22	Binney 2:7 6:21
articulated	165:22	9:12 33:8	based 155:3	9:17 11:8,19
70:13	attest 100:21	34:19 35:2,13	basic 17:15 43:4	12:2 14:7,22
as-of-right	attorney 13:6	35:16 36:4,14	124:17	15:18,19 18:3
102:13,18	115:8	36:20 37:8,12	basically 58:13	27:5 48:7,13
Ashley 1:9 4:19	attractive 21:20	37:15 39:4,6,9	68:10 93:12	52:13 55:5
163:13,15	audible 4:6,10	39:14 40:5	basis 17:1 31:5	BIPOC 106:13
165:8	4:12,14,17,18	43:22 44:8,12	49:7 128:2	bit 10:19,21
aside 59:13	4:20 5:1,2,4,6	44:18,21 57:4	beautiful 78:20	12:7 14:4 19:3
asked 54:8	5:8,10,12,14	57:22 58:9,12	84:7 94:18	32:22 33:21
117:13	audio 3:22	58:15 59:17,20	122:1	41:8 42:18,21
asking 4:5 29:14	August 1:4 3:8	60:2,7 61:10	beauty 149:21	50:5 56:11
31:22 38:17	80:2,8,14 89:1	62:19 63:3,6,8	becoming 23:16	63:18 69:8
68:13 70:12	140:22 144:16	63:16 64:8	beginning 14:15	70:2 79:1
71:17 94:6	Authority 109:4	76:9,14,15	102:12	97:20 136:22
108:2 137:7	120:22 125:11	77:22 78:2,6,7	behalf 13:7	143:2 156:20
149:15	125:16	79:14 132:17	113:14	158:9
aspect 102:18	Authority's	134:2,8,16	beings 23:8	Black 96:15
133:1	121:14	135:1,8,10	believe 6:3	blanket 90:5
assemblages	authorized 3:12	140:9 141:16	20:22 22:11	blend 120:8
134:22	15:3,14	141:18 143:1	23:17 33:12	Blier 91:13 93:9
assess 128:1	availability 36:2	157:17 165:5,6	74:12 99:9	93:11,11
162:5	available 26:4	back 7:4,13 29:4	133:5 141:2	block 27:15
assign 34:13,20	34:12 37:6,14	41:20 44:6	155:16,21	Blocked 101:17
35:2,7,10	40:16 43:18	52:11 57:19	156:1 158:4	Blue 11:11
assigned 34:17	52:21 86:7	60:16 64:2	159:16,16	28:15 33:18
35:5 49:7	165:22	67:10 70:18	161:8	34:1 40:1,5
assigning 33:8	Ave 82:10 94:15	72:21 73:3,5	beneficial 13:18	44:3,5,19
34:7 36:5,9	101:5 102:1	79:8 81:6	139:3	52:10 67:14
50:10 58:12,14	105:1 123:14	87:18 91:7	benefit 43:16	blunt 119:3
assignment 38:3	125:2 129:8	93:21 94:6	84:9 104:13,19	Board 1:1 2:4
50:7	130:8	95:12 98:2	106:6,10	3:8,13,14,20
assistance 79:4	Avenue 91:17	105:4 119:5	138:18 159:19	4:5 7:3,14 8:1
assistant 1:12	92:4,16 100:8	131:21 133:19	benefits 164:4	8:3 9:19 10:12
6:13 27:13	124:11	137:11 143:4	benefitted 127:2	12:7,9,13,17
102:2	averaged 39:11	158:6	best 22:12 27:14	13:5 14:18
associated 21:16	avoid 22:13	backed 62:1	50:17 53:9	15:3,14 16:14
association 10:4	awaiting 13:14	background	56:17 168:6	16:22 17:8
assume 37:22	awarding 106:8	12:6 14:5 79:1	better 47:14	19:4,7,13
42:13 53:14	aware 12:16	bad 82:16	70:3 79:2	20:18 22:16
60:18 61:1	26:17	119:22	117:16 137:5	28:9 29:7 34:4
assuming 16:14	Azeem 80:12,21	badly 121:4	151:15	35:20 64:12,18
Asuna 121:15	80:22 81:1,4	bait 119:14,19	beyond 146:22	65:3 70:2,18
asymmetrical	85:19 94:18	balance 149:2	bicycle 21:22	70:20 72:2,3
95:4	109:18 137:12	ball 121:21	big 149:9 153:8	72:11,12,17,18
attached 110:14	137:14 165:21	Barcan 101:15	157:18 160:12	73:4,5,10 74:1
attempt 95:2		103:21 104:1,1	160:22	74:15 77:6
attend 98:19	B	Barnes 13:6	bigger 115:22	79:3 80:2
146:15	Bacci 1:8 3:5 4:9	barriers 108:6	116:1 149:4	82:18 89:7,16
attendance 4:6	4:11 5:22 7:20	108:10 113:18	biggest 153:5	92:19 93:14,17
				l

98:20 100:6	brought 66:8	155:13 156:2	buy 87:1,1	150:18 157:5
101:6 102:3,7	85:11 139:12	157:11	BXP 29:18	158:3
102:14 103:16	Brunei 150:3	buildings 13:10	33:22 51:14	cameras 30:19
105:2 111:12	Buchanan 1:10	14:15 16:5	65:10	30:20
112:7 120:18	3:6 5:5 6:1	18:13,19 21:6		camps 138:17
126:1 132:10	7:21 8:21 9:13	25:6 30:10,11	C	candidate
132:12 135:19	41:2 68:19	30:14,21 31:11	C 3:1	122:12
136:8,15 143:6	76:19 78:11	35:9 43:8 45:1	C-1 7:11	canopy 106:12
144:10 145:3,6	79:15 136:16	51:13 52:20	cable 4:2	106:16
150:18 154:18	137:8,10 156:8	55:4,6 73:20	Cahterine 157:3	cap 132:19
155:4 160:12	165:12	74:5 81:15,16	calculate 60:18	133:11,15
162:2,14,19	buck 126:8	86:10,17 94:19	California	134:1 141:10
163:7 164:12	buffer 41:9	94:20 95:5	108:21 138:1	capacity 37:9
166:10 167:16	42:12 55:12	111:2 114:12	call 3:16 8:11,13	86:7
Board's 12:1	build 42:15 46:9	118:1,8,10,11	26:17 62:13	captured 140:22
13:2 80:9	46:10,18 47:7	118:15 121:11	75:17,18 76:11	car 21:6,21
85:12	51:20 52:6	124:6,9,16,21	76:12 78:3,5	36:16 49:19
born 84:22	61:4,13 86:21	130:12 131:9	162:16 165:3,4	111:17 138:3
127:20	87:5,10 92:13	131:13,17	called 124:7	carbon 118:17
Boston 11:9	97:21,22	135:4 137:20	calling 19:11	Carbone 128:22
13:6,12 16:11	104:18 108:6	140:13,15,16	89:5	130:17,19,19
16:13,17 17:11	113:18 116:20	141:12 146:4	Camacho 146:2	130:22
18:14 28:11	117:1 124:16	146:21 147:10	Cambridge 1:2	card 29:16
31:2,13 32:22	125:4 131:12	147:14 148:10	1:6 3:8,13 4:1	33:11 62:9
33:2 34:7,15	138:9 148:10	157:20,20,21	4:3 6:9 24:8,11	Cardinal 115:15
36:1 40:6,15	164:6	157:21 160:4	42:6 65:18	Cardosi 107:20
42:20 44:3,14	builders 126:2	built 15:22 45:1	79:18 84:11,16	109:13,16,16
44:16 46:16	137:4 157:2	45:2 46:22	86:15 87:17	cards 142:13
50:13 52:13	building 7:10	47:15,21 63:1	90:18 91:6	care 65:14 66:18
55:15 69:3	14:9,13 21:5	81:15 96:22	92:7 94:15	110:15 111:15
108:19 137:19	25:8,12,19,22	110:11 123:7	95:3 96:11,16	129:21 159:15
bounds 160:18	26:9 32:3,4,17	125:18 133:18	96:18 97:1	careful 162:20
brand-new 47:7	32:20 33:5	138:12 147:16	99:1 100:8	carefully 55:1
bravery 149:18	37:14 39:20	154:21 160:20	102:1 104:5,8	161:9
break 32:11	43:16,19 45:14	bullet 86:4 95:1	107:14 108:7	Carolyn 105:15
breakdown	46:6 52:13	95:2	108:12,19	107:8,8,10,10
37:18 41:14	55:16 60:20	bumps 61:17	109:1,4 110:3	cars 16:5 32:13
Brian 1:14 6:16	61:1,3,18 62:3	bunch 46:6	110:4,6 111:14	44:6 58:8 62:1
briefly 115:10	62:6,7 63:20	61:17	112:13 113:20	62:15 66:18
135:22 140:21	63:22,22 64:4	Burhan 81:4	115:6,7,18,19	125:1 148:20
bring 40:20 45:7	69:2,21 70:4,6	bus 27:9,13,13	116:1,5,11,21	case 2:2 19:14
54:10 138:20	71:12 73:18	66:4	120:9,21 121:1	31:2 32:8
bringing 16:5	74:10,11 79:20	buses 65:17,19	121:10,14,17	34:16 35:9
23:13 122:17	91:22 94:1	66:1,9	121:22 124:18	46:3,15 50:5
brings 15:8	98:2,4,10	business 2:3	125:11,15	52:4,5 55:21
broader 91:6	120:10 127:22	146:13,13	126:7 127:18	67:7,13 69:10
Broadway 16:18	130:5,9 131:14	153:6 166:9	129:9,12,18	145:4 156:12
27:4 48:7,12	134:9 140:11	businesses 22:5	131:3,6 135:2	159:19
123:13	142:15 146:17	button 19:10	135:3 144:19	cases 9:15
broken 130:6	146:22 147:9	89:4	147:12,16	catch 145:14

				Tage 175
Catherine 1:7	8:7 10:18	92:4	93:12 94:15	116:16,19
	12:20 13:5	92:4 character 96:6	95:13,16 97:2	close 22:18 38:9
3:4 5:7,9,21 7:19 8:22 9:11	20:6 23:5 29:3	charter 83:7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			97:6,19 99:9	40:1 62:14
66:20,21 69:13	41:2 70:14	check 89:11	100:9,15,16,18	129:18 143:14
74:17,18,22	80:1,18 81:1	Cheryl 19:16	100:19,22	149:20
75:7,11,21	84:19 90:4	chicken 145:13	101:9,12 102:2	closely 14:2 94:7
76:2,4,7,20,21	100:20 131:20	child 26:1 27:8	103:3 105:3,21	closer 9:22
77:11,20,20	132:2 133:3	28:3	106:6,20	closest 32:12
78:1,12,13	135:21 139:11	children 27:18	108:13 110:6	closures 26:20
79:13 151:20	143:10 158:12	121:6	118:20 120:3	coalition 108:22
151:21 155:6	163:7	chime 30:12	120:10 121:7	code 84:13
156:9 161:19	chance 23:8	CHO 110:5	121:21 122:13	146:17
162:8 164:15	56:11 92:9	choice 126:2	122:17 128:19	codes 147:9
164:21 165:13	110:18 120:20	choices 108:15	129:18 132:20	codifying 67:16
165:14	change 11:17	choose 25:11,18	137:15,19	Cohen 1:8 4:13
Cathleen 111:7	13:20 21:12	114:18	142:12 143:22	colleagues 68:13
111:7,9 149:2	39:16 50:12	chose 49:4	145:19 147:6	166:7
Cathy 111:9	53:21 67:5	152:13	148:20 149:19	collecting 18:11
caught 88:6	83:18 85:17	chronically	150:18,20	collection 53:13
cause 46:19 91:9	91:7 103:5	110:12,20	151:1,11,13,17	54:1
142:9,15,18	116:16,20	Churchill 129:8	152:11 153:2	COLLECTIVE
CDD 10:10 14:1	137:6 149:4,6	circle 27:15	153:17,20	166:19
28:20 145:5,8	149:9 152:20	61:11	155:14 157:14	color 30:10
166:10	153:11 157:4,4	circulation 74:6	159:4,14 163:2	color-coding
CDD's 148:5	159:6 167:2,2	circumstances	163:14	38:13
center 48:16	167:2	161:7	City's 3:20 12:5	combined
88:14	changed 16:3	circumvent 92:5	13:19,20 42:13	150:12
central 123:10	62:22 90:22	cities 108:21	47:5 49:3 54:3	come 16:8 25:12
148:17 158:14	96:10 140:4	118:22 137:21	104:13	31:6 36:15
CEOC 113:13	159:6 161:7	138:8 140:6	citywide 122:15	37:2 48:12,12
114:5 115:9	changes 18:16	149:14	123:20 138:4	51:16 59:3,7
CEOC's 113:14	39:14 42:5,8	cities' 148:2	claim 24:10	67:10 68:21
certain 56:19	50:20 67:10	citizenry 160:19	120:1	70:18 72:21
68:1 71:17,19	69:13 73:15,20	citizens 144:9	claims 114:8	73:2,5 87:18
112:19 164:4	74:2,4,5,12	149:18 151:3	clarification	115:14 142:7
certainly 53:10	79:21 89:1	city 1:2,12 3:12	70:8 93:14	162:18
63:17 64:22	102:8,17 103:2	4:1 6:9,13 16:1	clarify 32:1 45:9	comfort 123:5
69:20 124:9	103:15 126:16	16:3 22:3	clarifying 33:6	comfortable
161:19	126:20 129:17	23:18 24:8,10	41:3	96:10 126:3
Certificate 44:4	136:4,11	42:6,7 46:4	class 128:7	coming 24:3,16
168:1	139:19 146:17	47:9 49:9	clear 67:15	29:12 30:21
certified 8:1	154:3 159:9	54:13,17,19	72:11 85:8	34:9 38:4,14
certify 168:5,8	167:16	67:1 69:20	97:3 144:3,4	41:15 63:15
cetera 17:16	changing 53:16	79:18 80:4,10	148:5	65:2 79:8
21:6 64:13	63:5,9 144:4	81:4,9,11,13	clearly 37:1	93:21 105:4
CHA 121:10	153:1,11	81:20 82:5,10	38:13 59:2	117:9 145:19
126:5,20 127:5	Channel 4:2	82:17,19 83:6	click 19:10 89:4	157:9 161:22
CHA's 127:1	CHAPA 120:6	83:13,14,19	client 115:14	commencing
Chair 1:7,7 3:9	Chapter 3:10	84:7 86:10,17	clients 116:15	17:1
4:8 6:6 7:12	91:19,22 92:1	87:1,5 88:11	climate 106:2,20	commend
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

119:20	54:20 89:8,9	18:20	131:21 166:9	consequences
comment 3:18	communities	complicated	conclusion	21:1 91:9
3:19 10:12	151:1	58:3 79:1	123:19 148:21	conservative
19:8 51:8	community 1:11	complies 138:6	conclusions	56:11
65:17 66:6	2:3 6:3,8,13,17	complimentary	145:11	consider 100:2
80:16 90:12,13	21:1 22:12	34:8	Concord 123:14	106:1 107:1
111:10 132:10	26:10 27:2,6	comply 124:17	conditions 54:11	128:2
141:19 143:5,5	30:18 54:6	component	68:8	considerably
144:16 145:1	82:2,8 91:20	49:22	condominium	45:2
159:22 162:17	92:19 97:15,16	comprehensive	150:2	consideration
commentaries	98:5,11 99:6	143:12	Conduce 19:15	22:21 123:16
31:16	100:22 102:2	compromise	Conduct 19:15	154:8
commentary	105:3 114:12	98:6	confidence	considered
127:18	131:12 132:13	concentrate	50:21	48:21 96:8
commented	131.12 132.13	85:21	confident 35:21	106:10
90:21 135:19	136:9 141:4	concept 72:5	43:12 55:3	
		73:16 74:1	56:4	considering
commenting 124:5	143:13 144:4 145:5 146:9,14	concern 26:15	confined 41:11	112:9 126:16
	-			consigned 130:2
comments 26:3	146:14,15	29:14 33:17	confirm 20:2	consistent 13:20
26:16 29:12	149:7,8 150:7	35:20 66:7	44:14 90:1	59:6 67:1
79:4 80:15	151:6 155:14	68:21 69:7	conflict 53:19	69:20 163:5
88:19,21,22	156:17 157:12	90:14 91:4,7	conflicting 53:3	consolidating
89:18,19 98:21	164:2	118:18 135:17	conflicts 48:1	69:21
132:9,11 145:2	community-	139:15 146:9	105:21 106:1	constant 110:15
151:19,22	143:11	160:8 163:11	confused 77:7	constantly 26:21
154:7 155:2,7	commute 131:5	concerned 21:2	congestion 22:8	constitutes 5:16
158:14 161:20	companies	21:15 24:3	148:9	constraints
162:4,10	157:6	39:18 41:6	congratulations	118:13
164:17 166:1,3	company 69:3	50:22 53:2	85:4	construct 118:7
commercial	compared 130:8	62:5 97:14	conjunction	118:16
17:9 51:11	150:2	123:17 163:15	24:2	constructed
120:11,11	compatible	concerning 26:2	connected 48:16	17:7 44:5
commercial-g	104:22	concerns 39:21	connections	constructing
62:13	compelling	45:12 48:1	48:4	86:8
commission	157:3	57:1 58:1 62:3	Connector 48:6	construction
128:5 168:17	compete 105:8	64:12,13,22	Connolly 1:7 3:4	13:14 14:11
commitment	109:19 126:12	65:8 68:20	5:7,9,21 7:19	15:6 16:17
55:14,15	126:15	69:16 85:11	8:22 9:11	24:3,10,16
committed	competing 21:9	96:6 99:19	66:21 74:18,22	26:18 41:15
143:19	102:18	118:4,19	75:7,11,21	94:1 95:3,5
committee 94:6	competitive	146:13 148:9	76:2,4,20,21	117:20,22
common 134:20	43:17 99:10	148:22 152:1	77:11,20 78:12	118:14,17
148:4	156:17,18	154:7 155:3,18	78:13 79:13	126:9,16,17
Commonwealth	157:1,12	159:8 163:17	151:21 164:15	143:18
151:1,6 168:2	complete 50:21	164:1,3	165:13,14	consultations
168:5	completely	concierge 25:19	Connolly's	136:8
communicate	27:11 62:20	30:16	119:20	contain 23:6
27:12	66:22 70:1	concise 13:1	conscious 53:1	contained 113:1
communicatio	87:22	conclude 38:18	consensus	contemplate
19:14 23:6	compliance	concludes 29:4	138:22	18:6
15.11.25.0			100.22	

				Page 1/5
17:5 34:3	63:6 78:20	83:14 133:5	105:21 107:12	76:18,20,22
	108:11 114:1	135:22 137:12	103:21 107:12	77:2,10,12,15
contemplating 111:21		153:22 137:12		
contention	corrections		113:22 131:14	78:5,8,10,12
	167:16	counsel 168:9	141:9 163:18	78:14,17,21
103:8	corridor 121:12	count 39:12	currently 15:17	79:22 80:1
context 90:16	142:15	117:10	16:19 21:4	89:21 90:3,4 90:11 91:12
123:6,16 126:4 159:6	corridors 82:22	counterbalanc 63:12	25:8 39:6 52:8	
continuance	83:2,17 84:1		68:8 115:8 136:5	93:8 94:11 95:20 97:9
112:8	85:19,21 90:17 95:17 98:8	counting 127:8	cut 25:21 133:7	
continue 10:16	106:13,16	country 36:1 144:21		98:13,18 100:3 101:14,21
18:12 46:2	110:2 112:22		cycles 83:12	101:14,21
		couple 6:18	D	
53:14 68:15	112:22 123:9	15:10 32:20	$\mathbf{D} 2:1 \ 3:1$	105:18 107:7
70:11 71:17,21	123:11 126:14	34:5 39:16	daily 31:5	107:19 109:12
73:1 77:11,12 77:17 80:3	126:19 140:13	41:3 45:5,22	Daisy 121:15	111:6 112:3
	145:9 153:20	85:6 111:12	Dame 100:8	113:9 114:22
88:13 91:16 136:10	159:21 160:1	115:11 136:17 158:2	Dan 13:8 30:5,9	117:4,13,16 119:9 120:14
continued 2:11	costs 87:17 94:1		32:5,8,18	
	Council 79:18	course 31:9 43:9	33:20 34:6	122:8 124:1
6:19 72:8,15	80:4,10 82:11	48:3 86:10	35:1,5,15,19	125:7,13
79:17 88:19	83:6 94:15	92:12 117:21	36:6 37:17,19	127:13 128:21
continues 46:11 104:9	95:13,18 100:9	122:22 142:10	38:20,21 39:5	129:3 130:16
	100:20,22	146:15	39:8,11 40:4,7	130:21 131:20
continuing 75:18 99:13	101:10,12 103:19 108:13	Court 3:11 109:17	40:9 41:10	133:2,3 134:2
	108:18 122:13		42:18 43:1	134:4,11,18 135:21 136:2
continuous	145:20 148:18	coverage 74:3 106:12,16	44:3,10,13,20	140:18,20
106:12,15	150:20 151:17	crack 137:16	45:18,19 57:7	*
contracting 74:11	150:20 151:17	crack 137:16 create 93:15	58:4,11,14	141:14 165:2,4
	155:3 158:19	114:15 126:15	62:11 64:2	165:7,9,11,13 165:15,18
contradict	162:15 163:3	created 128:3	Dan's 37:21	166:12
control 58:8	163:14 164:10	created 128.5	Dana 112:6	darkness 121:22
61:15 62:9	164:18	creating 36:5	danger 27:17	data 18:11 41:19
controlled 25:22	Council's	48:4,14 51:10	dangerous	42:3 53:13
controls 61:15	148:10	99:16 121:9	22:13	54:1,6 74:10
64:6 67:21	Councillor	credits 106:9	Daniel 1:12 4:7	88:8 113:6
convenient	80:12,12,13,17	criminals 21:20	4:8,13,16,19	152:18 155:21
34:12	80:18,20,20,22	crisis 86:4	4:22 5:3,7,11	156:12
conversation	81:1,4 84:18	100:19 101:2	5:15,17 6:3,5,6	date 10:16 71:17
69:11 122:15	84:19,20 85:2	100.19 101.2	6:7 8:12,13,16	71:19,21 75:19
122:16 137:19	85:5,19 87:21	113:19 114:4	8:18,20,22 9:2	77:15,17 81:18
convinced 69:11	93:4 94:18,22	120:22 140:1	9:5 10:17,18	167:21
coordinate	109:17 136:2	152:17 156:4	12:14 13:9	dates 72:16
70:17	137:12,14	criteria 18:21,22	15:9 18:5 20:5	David 98:14
coordination	139:7,9,11	critical 92:20	20:6,12,16	100:4,4,6,7
56:4	141:15,17,18	102:5 118:15	22:22 24:19	Dawn 23:1
copy 139:4	141:22 142:2	118:22 120:8	28:6 29:3	24:20,20,22,22
core 11:1	159:12 165:21	145:12 151:12	70:10,14 72:10	day 7:2 15:18,21
correct 32:4	165:21 166:3	curious 41:4,13	73:7 75:6,13	23:17 33:14
33:12 59:15,19	Councillors	current 21:3	75:16 76:12,16	34:9 41:22
33.12 37.13,19	Councillors	current 21.3	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	JT./ T 1.44
	•	•	•	•

49:16,18 57:14 59:4,9 60:10 60:22 61:8,21 60:61:26 61:14 61:11:15 148:16 15:1 18:13 10:20,22 10:8:1 10:11:15 148:16 15:1 18:13 10:20,22 10:8:1 10:20,22	_				1490 170
Sey-4,9 60:10	10.16.19.57.14	daliharata 88.5	116.4	datarminad	65.5 6 71.2 2 4
63:12 68:14 15:1 18:13 107:20,22 108:1 135:11,12 16:6:13 64:14 168:13 64:14 168:13 64:14 168:13 64:14 64:13 73:221 33:2 42:14 64:16 14:9 14:23 140:16 141:9 14:25 64:25 64:25 64:25 64:25 64:25 64:25 64:25 64:26 64:142:13	•				
63:12 68:14	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
111:15 148:16 15:1 18:13 107:20,22 76:12 develop 104:17 162:6 165:9,10 162:13 deay 23:7 30:16 148:14 deay 32:7 30:16 148:14 deay 46:31 101:9 democratically also and a design 7:9 94:8 101:13 101:13 101:14 101:13 102:12,12 101:14 101	-				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
156:3 166:14 168:13 168:14 168:13 168:14 168:13 168:14 168:16 168:14 168:16 168:14 168:16 168:14 168:16 168:14 168:16 168:					
			,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
days 23:7 30:16 148:14 101:9 democratic ally aliasized as 32:24:14 43:23,3 9 47:3 101:8 demographic aliasized as 42:5 demographic aleas 142:13 dealt 142:14 decade 48:14 decade 48:14 decade 48:14 decade 48:14 decade 48:14 decade 48:14 decided 72:12 decide 63:19 130:12 decide 63:19 154:8 denser 125:4 densities 145:21 decides 50:13 decision 101:7 decided 50:13 decision 49:13 130:4 demed 109:22 deep 45:3 definition 73:13 definition 73:13					
148:14 deal 31:7 32:21 democratically 33:2 42:14 43:2,3,9 47:3 101:8 democratically 43:2,3,9 47:3 101:8 demographic 104:22 105:5 102:17 103:6 59:4 60:14 62:9 65:14 62:9 6					
deal 31:7 32:21 33:2 42:14 democratically 43:2,3,9 47:3 101:8 102:14,15 102:17 103:6 159:2 26:20 42:5 102:16,15 108:5 109:19 62:9 65:14 62:6 1442:13 62 4 142:				_	
33:2 42:14				/	
43:2,3,9,47:3 101:8 demographic 104:22 105:5 102:17 103:6 59:4 66:14 42:8 demographic 120:6,8 123:5 108:5 109:19 62:9 65:14 62:9 66:2 depend 50:13 62:9 66:2				-	
140:16 141:9 42:5 demographic dealing 26:21 86:4 142:13 dealt 142:13 98:5 140:20 141:6.9 144:18,18,20 128:13 134:14 11:12 16:20 155:13,16,17 debated 85:14 decade 44:9 118:7 demonstrating decades 119:22 126:7 137:15 decont 130:11 demonstration decided 63:19 130:12 decided 63:19 dense 157:18 decided 63:19 designated 125:4 densities 145:21 densities 145:21 densities 145:21 densities 145:21 densities 145:21 decided 49:13 147:9,17,20 decided 49:13 147:9,15,19 definitely 72:1,2 definition 73:13			_	_	
142:8 dealing 26:21 demographics 39:15 42:2 138:7,8 140:10 126:2,6,8 128:13 134:14 132:13,13 dearth 93:22 demolished 142:12 146:4 152:146:4 155:13,16,17 debated 85:14 decade 44:9 131:7 demonstrating 126:7 137:15 158:20 35:6 37:4,4 69:91,13 16:2 demolitors 130:12 demolitors 151:2 decide 63:19 demolitors 154:8 designated 32:9 demolitors 151:2 decide 63:19 demolitors 154:8 demoser 125:4 decided 72:12 decides 50:13 decided 49:13 130:4 demolitiors 130:12 decides 49:13 decided 49:13 130:4 demolitiors 130:12 decided 49:13 definition 45:17 49:4 demol 127:10 delayed 65:19 delaying 145:12 decourse 163:5					
dealing 26:21 86:4 142:13 demographics 39:15 42:2 126:9 136:7 138:7,8 140:10 109:20 113:17 126:2,6,8 73:12 123:12 dearth 93:22 debate 114:7 debated 85:14 decade 44:9 demolished 11:12 16:20 140:20 141:6,9 142:12 146:4 144:18,18,20 155:13,16,17 developing 108:9 126:6 123:13,13 debated 85:14 decade 44:9 demolisions 131:7 demonstrating 130:12 decide 63:19 158:20 demonstration 130:12 demonstration 130:12 decide 63:19 158:20 demonstration demostration 130:12 demose 157:18 decided 72:12 designed 25:8 38:13 designated 32:9 35:6 37:4,4 51:12 58:21 118:5 111:2 36:3,8 development designated 32:9 35:6 37:4,4 51:12 58:21 118:5 134:15,15 developing 108:9 126:6 difficult 21:10 difficult 21:10 82:2 decide 63:19 decides 50:13 decision 101:7 122:6 160:12 161:2 162:16 decisions 45:10 decideated 49:13 130:4 44:18,18,20 demonstration designated 32:9 35:6 37:4,4 51:12 58:21 118:5 134:15,15 designated 32:9 35:6 37:4,4 51:12 58:21 118:5 111:2 3:6:3,8 62:14 Designers 141:3 Designers 141:3 Designers 141:3 Designers 141:3 Designers 141:3 Designers 141:3 100:21 106:3 109:9 dent 127:10 100:21 102:2 106:3 109:9 dent 127:10 38:13 designated 32:9 118:5 100:21 104:5,9 100:3 100:3 104:5,9 100:3 109:9 114:18 100:21 102:1 102:11 126:21 133:14 135:16 direction 107:16 direction 107:16 direction 70:16 100:21 104:5,9 102:17 134:6 direction 107:16 100:21 104:2 133:1,125:11 133:1,125:11 133:1,125:11 133:1,125:11 133:1,125:11 133:1,125:11 <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>					
Re:4 142:13 dealt 142:13 dearth 93:25 demolished 140:20 141:6,9 144:18,18,20 dearth 93:22 demolished 11:12 16:20 155:13,16,17 debated 85:14 decade 44:9 demolitions 131:7 demonstrating designated 32:9 126:7 137:15 decode 130:11 demonstration 130:12 demostration 130:12 demostration 130:12 demostration 130:12 demostration 130:12 demostration 130:12 demostration 148:8 denser 125:4 densities 145:21 densities 145:21 densities 145:21 densities 145:21 densities 145:21 densities 145:21 decided 50:13 decision 01:7 decided 50:13 decided 49:13 decided 49:13 130:4 demostration 130:12 definitely 72:1,2 demostration 130:4 demostration 130:4 demostration 130:4 demostration 45:17 49:4 demostration 45:17 49:4 despirate 2:16 despirate 2:16 despirate 2:16 despirate 2:16 despirate 0:13 details 50:2 6:2 deviation 73:13 definitions 45:17 49:4 despirate 2:16 despirate 2:16 despirate 2:16 despirate 2:16 despirate 2:16 despirate 13:14:13 details 50:2 6:2 deviation 73:2 desperately despirate 2:16 despirate			· ·		
dealt 142:13 dearth 93:22 debate 114:7 detait 49:12 debate 44:9 decade 44:9 11:12 16:20 designate 22:17 developing 108:9 126:6 developing 131:7 demonstrating 131:7 demonstration 130:11 demonstration 130:12 decide 63:19 designate 43:9 decide 63:19 designate 43:9 decide 63:19 designate 43:2 designate 43:2 decide 63:19 designate 43:2 designate 43:3 designate 43:2 designate 43:3 designate 43:2 designate 43:4 designat	U				
dearth 93:22 debate 114:7 debated 85:14 decade 44:9 131:7 decades 119:22 126:7 137:15 decent 130:11 30:12 decide 63:19 133:12 decide 63:19 154:8 decide 72:12 desides 50:13 decides 50:13 decides 50:13 decides 64:10 122:6 160:12 161:2 61:10 122:6 160:12 161:2 61:10 123:13 13:13 13:13 13:13 13:13:13 13:13:13 13:13:13 13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:1					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
debate 114:7 debated 85:14 decade 44:9 debated 85:14 decade 44:9 decade 44:9 demonstrating 131:7 decades 119:22 126:7 137:15 decade 130:11 demonstration 130:12 decide 63:19 dense 157:18 denser 125:4 denser 125:4 decided 72:12 82:2 densitics 145:21 decides 50:13 decides 50:13 decision 101:7 122:6 160:12 114:9,17,20 161:2 162:16 decide 49:13 130:4 demonstration 130:4 demonstration decide depend 109:22 depend 5:3 definitions 130:4 denser 127:10 decide decided 49:13 definitions 130:4 definitions 148:4 definitions 148:4 delay 159:17 161:11 delayed 65:19 depend 50:13 delaying 145:12 depending 17:2 designate 22:17 160:7 146:8 designate 22:17 18:8:13 126:6 146:8 16:11 18:5 designate 22:17 18:8:13 120:12 decelopment 1:11 2:3 6:3,8 6:9,11,13 16:2 designate 23:9 1:11 12:3 6:3,8 6:9,11,13 16:2 19:15 4:6 designate 25:8 19:15 4:6 designate 2:17 18:25 4:2 19:15 4:6 designate 25:8 19:15 4:6 designate 25:8 19:15 4:6 designate 25:18 10:2 19:15 4:6 designate 25:8 10:2 19:1			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
debated 85:14 decade 44:9 28:15 demolitions 160:7 designate 22:17 108:9 126:6 differently 42:21 difficult 21:10 decades 119:22 decades 119:22 decent 130:11 158:20 demonstrating designated 32:9 designated 32:9 1:11 2:3 6:3,8 desifficult 21:10 decord 130:11 decide 63:19 decide 63:19 decide 63:19 decided 72:12 densites 145:21 designet 20:7,10 Designers 141:3 desirable 47:4 line 120:11 line 67: 114:3 direction 101:7 line 121:4 designated 32:9 designate 32:9 line 32:10 designate 32:9 designate 32:9 designate 32:9 designate 32:9 line 32:10 line 32	dearth 93:22	demolished	142:12 146:4	150:10 153:8	134:15,15
decade 44:9 131:7 demolitions 118:7 designate 22:17 decades 119:22 126:7 137:15 decoment 130:11 demonstrating designated 32:9 35:6 37:4,4 6:9,11,13 16:2 137:14 154:3 decode 63:19 154:8 denser 125:4 densities 145:21 decide 472:12 densities 145:21 decide 50:13 decide 66:12 14:9,17,20 161:2 162:16 decisions 45:10 dedicated 49:13 130:4 dent 127:10 definition 73:13 definition 73:13 definitions 45:17 49:4 deny 10:14 12:1 161:11 delayed 65:19 66:22 66:2 delaying 145:12 depend ing 17:2 delaying 145:12 depend ing 17:2 designate 22:17 146:8 development difficult 21:10 designated 32:9 35:6 37:4,4 6esjnated 32:9 6:9,11,13 16:2 137:14 154:3 digestible 12:7 designated 25:8 designated 25:8 designated 25:8 designated 25:8 designated 32:9 35:6 37:4,4 6esjnated 32:9 designated 32:9 des	debate 114:7	11:12 16:20	155:13,16,17	developing	135:13 151:22
131:7 decades 119:22 158:20 38:13 designated 32:9 158:20 35:6 37:4,4 6:9,11,13 16:2 137:14 154:3 demonstration 130:12 denostration 68:14 dense 157:18 designed 25:8 dispersional designated 32:9 18:5 designed 25:8 dispersional designated 32:9 dispersional designated 32:9 35:6 37:4,4 6:9,11,13 16:2 137:14 154:3 dispersional designed 25:8 dispersional de	debated 85:14	28:15	160:7	108:9 126:6	differently
decades 119:22 demonstrating 126:7 137:15 designated 32:9 35:6 37:4,4 59:11,13 16:2 137:14 154:3 1:11 2:3 6:3,8 69,11,13 16:2 137:14 154:3 25:15 27:22 137:14 154:3 decent 130:11 130:12 6ecide 63:19 decide 63:19 154:8 decided 72:12 82:2 decided 72:12 82:2 12:2 density 73:16 decides 50:13 decide 63:19 16:10 10:17 122:6 160:12 13:13 12:6 116:18 126:11 139:3 14:18 130:4 dent 127:10 13:13 12:11 12:0 113:2 13:13 12:11 13:13 12:11 desperately 72:1,2 152:16 desperately 70:1,2 12:14 12:1 13:13 12:11 13:13 12:11 desperatent 2:3 12:13 definition 73:13 definitions 148:4 54:6 110:6 Department 2:3 12:3 decimbard 148:4 54:6 110:6 111 determinant delayed 65:19 65:22 66:2 depend 50:13 depending 17:2 determination 12:3 72:4,14 72:20 73:4 4:22 5:2,22 depend 50:13 depending 17:2 determination 12:3 72:4,114 disacters 116:17 disacters 116:17 disacters 16:17 disacters 16:15	decade 44:9	demolitions	designate 22:17	146:8	42:21
126:7 137:15 decent 130:11 demonstration 68:14 118:5 72:19,22 80:6 digestible 12:7 decide 63:19 154:8 dense 157:18 designed 25:8 62:14 100:22 102:2 80:5 84:2 desided 72:12 densitis 145:21 density 73:16 desides 50:13 decided 50:13 decision 101:7 122:6 160:12 114:9,17,20 161:2 162:16 decided 49:13 130:4 dense 127:10 decided 49:13 130:4 demed 109:22 deep 45:3 definitions 148:4 delay 159:17 161:11 delay 65:19 65:22 66:2 delaying 145:12 delaying 145:12 delaying 145:12 delaying 145:12 delaying 145:12 demonstration 53:6 37:4,4 51:12 58:21 118:5 51:12 58:21 118:5 45:6 17:8 designed 25:8 83:2,3 92:8 diligent 70:15 dili	131:7	118:7	38:13	development	difficult 21:10
decent 130:11 demonstration 51:12 58:21 19:1 54:6 digestible 12:7 decide 63:19 dense 157:18 designed 25:8 designed 25:8 83:2,3 92:8 diligent 70:15 decide 72:12 densities 145:21 densites 145:21 designers 141:3 100:22 102:2 dimensional 82:2 density 73:16 Desilva 20:7,10 106:3 109:9 direct 32:3 decides 50:13 decision 101:7 88:7 100:16,16 148:8 110:6,7 114:3 direct 32:3 decision 101:7 88:7 100:16,16 157:8 desirable 47:4 120:11 126:21 directly 26:19 130:4 decisions 45:10 144:19,17,20 157:8 desperate 104:8 132:14,18,22 33:4 62:7 deemed 109:22 dent 127:10 111:20 113:2 134:14 135:16 134:14 135:16 135:18 136:12 133:13 125:11 definition 73:13 definition 73:13 definitions 45:17 49:4 45:17 49:4 88:6 164:2 deviations 73:22 deviations 73:22 deviations 73:22 deviations 73:22 deviations 73:22 disappeared 144:7 delayed 65:19	decades 119:22	demonstrating	designated 32:9	1:11 2:3 6:3,8	25:15 27:22
130:12 decide 63:19 154:8 dense 157:18 designed 25:8 designed 25:10 designed 25:10 designed 25:11 designed 25:12 designed 25:12 designed 25:12 designed 25:12 designed 25:12 designed 25:12 designed 25:13 designed 25:14 disappeared 25:14 designed 25:15 disappearing 25:16 designed 25:16 designed 25:16 designed 25:16 designed 25:16 designed 25:17 designed 25:18 designed 25:18 designed 25:19 designed 25:14 designed 25:14 disappearing 25:11 designed 25:14 designed 25:15 designed 25:14 designed 25:15 designed 25:14 designed 25:14 disappearing 25:14 designed 25:15 designed 25:14 designed 25:15 designed 25:14 designed 25:14 disappearing 25:14 designed 25:	126:7 137:15	158:20	35:6 37:4,4	6:9,11,13 16:2	137:14 154:3
decide 63:19 dense 157:18 designed 25:8 83:2,3 92:8 dimensional decided 72:12 densities 145:21 densities 145:21 Designers 141:3 100:22 102:2 80:5 84:2 decides 50:13 decides 50:13 decides 50:13 desides 20:7,10 106:3 109:9 direct 32:3 decision 101:7 88:7 100:16,16 148:8 110:6,7 114:3 direct 32:3 decisions 45:10 114:9,17,20 157:8 120:11 126:21 direction 107:16 decisions 45:10 134:1 139:3 144:18 132:14,18,22 33:4 62:7 dedicated 49:13 147:9,15,19 desperately 133:7,12 Director 6:7 deemed 109:22 deen 127:10 11:20 113:2 desperately-n 139:5 164:3 developments definitely 72:1,2 152:16 Department 2:3 details 50:2 68:6 decials 50:2 68:6 deviations 73:22 deviations 73:22 148:4 54:6 110:6 45:17 49:4 84:12 deviations 73:22 deviations 73:22 161:11 Department's 53:7 determinant deviations 73:22 disa	decent 130:11	demonstration	51:12 58:21	19:1 54:6	digestible 12:7
154:8 decided 72:12 densities 145:21 densities 145:21 decides 50:13 decides 50:13 decision 101:7 88:7 100:16,16 122:6 160:12 114:9,17,20 161:2 162:16 decisions 45:10 dedicated 49:13 130:4 dent 127:10 definitely 72:1,2 deny 10:14 12:1 definitions 140:17 160:8 definitions 148:4 deny 10:14 12:1 definitions 148:4 deny 10:16 delayed 65:19 65:22 66:2 delaying 145:12 depending 17:2 decides 50:13 decided 49:13 depending 17:2 decided 60:12 desperation 10:20:11 decided 60:12 desperately 111:20 113:2 decided 60:12 desperately 130:4 decided 60:14 decided 60:14	130:12	68:14	118:5	72:19,22 80:6	diligent 70:15
decided 72:12 densities 145:21 Designers 141:3 102:13 104:5,9 102:17 134:6 decides 50:13 desity 73:16 DeSilva 20:7,10 106:3 109:9 dire 121:4 decides 50:13 74:3 85:18,21 148:8 110:6,7 114:3 direct 32:3 decision 101:7 88:7 100:16,16 desirable 47:4 114:16 120:11 direction 107:16 122:6 160:12 114:9,17,20 157:8 120:11 126:21 directly 26:19 161:2 162:16 116:18 126:11 desperate 104:8 132:14,18,22 33:4 62:7 decisions 45:10 134:1 139:3 114:18 133:7,12 Director 6:7 dedicated 49:13 147:9,15,19 desperately 134:14 135:16 100:21 104:2 130:4 dent 127:10 111:20 113:2 135:18 136:12 133:13 125:11 disadvantage definitely 72:1,2 152:16 Department 2:3 desperately-n 79:21 126:13 disagree 45:4 148:4 45:17 49:4 88:6 164:2 deviation 74:13 deviation 74:13 deviations 73:22 disappeared 161:11 Department's <th>decide 63:19</th> <th>dense 157:18</th> <th>designed 25:8</th> <th>83:2,3 92:8</th> <th>dimensional</th>	decide 63:19	dense 157:18	designed 25:8	83:2,3 92:8	dimensional
82:2 decides 50:13 decision 101:7 density 73:16 74:3 85:18,21 148:8 Desilva 20:7,10 148:8 110:6,7 114:3 direct 32:3 direct 32:3 direction 107:16 122:6 160:12 114:9,17,20 157:8 120:11 126:21 directly 26:19 161:2 162:16 134:1 139:3 147:9,15,19 dedicated 49:13 130:4 demed 109:22 deep 45:3 definitely 72:1,2 140:17 160:8 definitions definitions 148:4 delay 159:17 161:11 delay depend 50:13 delaying 145:12 depending 17:2 Desilva 20:7,10 148:8 110:6,7 114:3 direct 32:3 directly 23:3 directly 110:6,7 114:3 direction 107:16 directly 22:11 120:11 126:21 directly 26:19 132:14,18,22 33:4 62:7 directly 26:19 132:14,18,22 33:4 62:7 directly 26:19 132:14,18,22 33:4 62:7 directly 26:19 130:4 11:20 113:2 133:7,12 desperately 134:14 135:16 100:21 104:2 135:18 136:12 113:13 125:11 desperately-n disadvantage developments 79:21 126:13 disadvantage 53:17 disagree 45:4 126:3 details 50:2 68:6 deviation 74:13 deviations 73:22 determinant delayed 65:19 65:22 66:2 depend 50:13 depending 17:2 75:22 76:5 9:12 29:10,11 discourse 163:5 82:2 decide deside 49:13 130:4 decide 49:13 130:4 decide 49:13 130:4 deside 49:13 130:4 deside 49:13 130:1 13:13 125:11 13:13 13:13 125:11 13:13 125:11 13:13 13:13 125:11 13	154:8	denser 125:4	62:14	100:22 102:2	80:5 84:2
decides 50:13 74:3 85:18,21 148:8 110:6,7 114:3 direct 32:3 decision 101:7 88:7 100:16,16 desirable 47:4 114:16 120:11 direction 107:16 122:6 160:12 114:9,17,20 157:8 120:11 126:21 directly 26:19 161:2 162:16 116:18 126:11 desperate 104:8 132:14,18,22 33:4 62:7 decisions 45:10 134:1 139:3 114:18 133:7,12 Director 6:7 dedicated 49:13 147:9,15,19 desperately 134:14 135:16 100:21 104:2 130:4 dent 127:10 111:20 113:2 139:5 164:3 developments definitely 72:1,2 152:16 Department 2:3 despite 22:16 79:21 126:13 disagree 45:4 140:17 160:8 Department 2:3 details 50:2 68:6 deviation 74:13 disappeared definitions 45:17 49:4 88:6 164:2 devoid 128:14 disappearing 148:4 54:6 110:6 84:12 derminant disappearing 97:16,20 125:2 delayed 65:19 53:7 depend 50:13 72:20 73:4 7:20 8:18,19 <th>decided 72:12</th> <th>densities 145:21</th> <th>Designers 141:3</th> <th>102:13 104:5,9</th> <th>102:17 134:6</th>	decided 72:12	densities 145:21	Designers 141:3	102:13 104:5,9	102:17 134:6
decision 101:7 88:7 100:16,16 desirable 47:4 114:16 120:11 direction 107:16 122:6 160:12 114:9,17,20 157:8 120:11 126:21 directly 26:19 161:2 162:16 116:18 126:11 desperate 104:8 132:14,18,22 33:4 62:7 decisions 45:10 134:1 139:3 114:18 133:7,12 Director 6:7 dedicated 49:13 147:9,15,19 desperately 134:14 135:16 100:21 104:2 deemed 109:22 desperately-n 139:5 164:3 developments disadvantage definitely 72:1,2 152:16 Department 12:3 136:6 138:11 74:16,19 definition 45:17 49:4 88:6 164:2 deviation 73:22 148:4 54:6 110:6 88:6 164:2 devoid 128:14 delay 159:17 164:3 84:12 diagram 71:11 97:16,20 125:2 delayed 53:7 12:3 72:4,14 7:20 8:18,19 9:12 29:10,11 disappointed	82:2	density 73:16	DeSilva 20:7,10	106:3 109:9	dire 121:4
122:6 160:12 114:9,17,20 157:8 120:11 126:21 directly 26:19 161:2 162:16 116:18 126:11 134:1 139:3 134:1 139:3 132:14,18,22 33:4 62:7 dedicated 49:13 147:9,15,19 desperately 134:14 135:16 100:21 104:2 130:4 dent 127:10 168:4 desperately-n 139:5 164:3 developments deep 45:3 deny 10:14 12:1 152:16 despite 22:16 139:5 164:3 disadvantage definition 73:13 6:3,9,15 38:1 details 50:2 68:6 deviation 74:13 deviation 74:13 disappeared 148:4 54:6 110:6 88:6 164:2 devoid 128:14 disappearing delay 159:17 164:3 84:12 determinant devoid 128:14 disappearing delayed 65:19 53:7 depend 50:13 72:20 73:4 7:20 8:18,19 97:16,20 125:2 delaying 145:12 depending 17:2 75:22 76:5 9:12 29:10,11 disacters 116:17	decides 50:13	74:3 85:18,21	148:8	110:6,7 114:3	direct 32:3
161:2 162:16 116:18 126:11 desperate 104:8 132:14,18,22 33:4 62:7 decisions 45:10 134:1 139:3 147:9,15,19 desperately 134:14 135:16 100:21 104:2 130:4 dent 127:10 111:20 113:2 135:18 136:12 113:13 125:11 deemed 109:22 deny 10:14 12:1 desperately-n 139:5 164:3 disadvantage definitely 72:1,2 152:16 Department 2:3 126:3 136:6 138:11 disagree 45:4 148:4 45:17 49:4 88:6 164:2 deviations 73:22 deviations 73:22 delay 159:17 164:3 84:12 determinant diagram 71:11 disappearing delayed 65:19 53:7 12:3 72:4,14 4:22 5:2,22 disappointed delaying 145:12 depend 50:13 72:20 73:4 7:20 8:18,19 disacters 116:17 delaying 145:12 depending 17:2 75:22 76:5 9:12 29:10,11 discourse 163:5	decision 101:7	88:7 100:16,16	desirable 47:4	114:16 120:11	direction 107:16
decisions 45:10 134:1 139:3 114:18 133:7,12 Director 6:7 dedicated 49:13 147:9,15,19 desperately 134:14 135:16 100:21 104:2 130:4 dent 127:10 111:20 113:2 135:18 136:12 113:13 125:11 deemed 109:22 deny 10:14 12:1 desperately-n 139:5 164:3 developments definitely 72:1,2 152:16 Department 2:3 despite 22:16 79:21 126:13 disagree 45:4 140:17 160:8 Department 2:3 details 50:2 68:6 deviation 74:13 disappeared definitions 45:17 49:4 88:6 164:2 devoid 128:14 disappearing 148:4 54:6 110:6 84:12 determinant disappearing delay 159:17 164:3 84:12 Diego 1:10 3:5 disappointed 12:3 72:4,14 4:22 5:2,22 122:14 delayed 65:19 53:7 72:20 73:4 7:20 8:18,19 disappointed 65:22 66:2 depend 50:13 75:22 76:5 9:12 29:10,11 discourse 163:5	122:6 160:12	114:9,17,20	157:8	120:11 126:21	directly 26:19
dedicated 49:13 147:9,15,19 dent 127:10 desperately 111:20 113:2 desperately 125:11 134:14 135:16 135:18 136:12 135:18 136:12 135:18 136:12 139:5 164:3 desperately 139:5 164:3 developments 139:5 164:3 desperately 139:5 164:3 developments 139:5 164:3 desperately 139:5 164:3 developments 136:6 138:11 disagree 45:4 74:16,19 disagree 45:4 74:16,19 desperately 139:5 164:3 developments 146:10 developments 146:11 disagree 45:4 74:16,19 disagree 45:4	161:2 162:16	116:18 126:11	desperate 104:8	132:14,18,22	33:4 62:7
130:4 dent 127:10 111:20 113:2 135:18 136:12 113:13 125:11 deep 45:3 deny 10:14 12:1 109:9 developments 53:17 definitely 72:1,2 140:17 160:8 Department 2:3 despite 22:16 139:5 164:3 disadvantage definition 73:13 6:3,9,15 38:1 details 50:2 68:6 deviation 74:13 disappeared definitions 45:17 49:4 88:6 164:2 deviations 73:22 disappeared 148:4 54:6 110:6 determinant diagram 71:11 disappearing delay 159:17 164:3 84:12 determination Diego 1:10 3:5 disappointed delayed 65:19 53:7 4:22 5:2,22 disappointed 65:22 66:2 depend 50:13 75:22 76:5 9:12 29:10,11 discourse 163:5	decisions 45:10	134:1 139:3	114:18	133:7,12	Director 6:7
deemed 109:22 deep 45:3 definitely 72:1,2 140:17 160:8 definitions 148:4 delay 159:17 161:11168:4 deny 10:14 12:1 152:16desperately-n 109:9 despite 22:16 126:3 details 50:2 68:6 determinant 48:42 delayed 65:19 65:22 66:2 delaying 145:12139:5 164:3 developments 126:13 developments 136:6 138:11 deviation 74:13 deviation 74:13 deviation 74:13 devoid 128:14 disappearing determinant 12:3 72:4,14 72:20 73:4disadvantage 53:17 developments deviation 74:13 devoid 128:14 disappearing 4:22 5:2,22 7:20 8:18,19 9:12 29:10,11despite 22:16 126:3<	dedicated 49:13	147:9,15,19	desperately	134:14 135:16	100:21 104:2
deep 45:3 deny 10:14 12:1 109:9 developments 53:17 definitely 72:1,2 152:16 Department 2:3 126:3 136:6 138:11 74:16,19 definition 73:13 definitions 45:17 49:4 88:6 164:2 deviation 74:13 disappeared 148:4 54:6 110:6 determinant diagram 71:11 disappearing delay 159:17 161:11 Department's determination Diego 1:10 3:5 disappointed delayed 65:19 53:7 12:3 72:4,14 4:22 5:2,22 122:14 delaying 145:12 depend 50:13 75:22 76:5 9:12 29:10,11 discourse 163:5	130:4	dent 127:10	111:20 113:2	135:18 136:12	113:13 125:11
definitely 72:1,2 152:16 despite 22:16 79:21 126:13 disagree 45:4 140:17 160:8 Department 2:3 126:3 136:6 138:11 74:16,19 definition 73:13 6:3,9,15 38:1 details 50:2 68:6 deviation 74:13 disappeared 148:4 54:6 110:6 determinant devoid 128:14 disappearing delay 159:17 164:3 84:12 diagram 71:11 97:16,20 125:2 161:11 Department's determination 12:3 72:4,14 4:22 5:2,22 disappointed delayed 65:19 65:22 66:2 depend 50:13 72:20 73:4 7:20 8:18,19 disacters 116:17 delaying 145:12 depending 17:2 75:22 76:5 9:12 29:10,11 discourse 163:5	deemed 109:22	168:4	desperately-n	139:5 164:3	disadvantage
140:17 160:8 Department 2:3 126:3 136:6 138:11 74:16,19 definition 73:13 6:3,9,15 38:1 details 50:2 68:6 deviation 74:13 disappeared 148:4 54:6 110:6 determinant devoid 128:14 disappearing delay 159:17 161:11 Department's determination Diego 1:10 3:5 disappointed delayed 65:19 53:7 12:3 72:4,14 4:22 5:2,22 disasters 116:17 delaying 145:12 depend 50:13 75:22 76:5 9:12 29:10,11 discourse 163:5	deep 45:3	deny 10:14 12:1	109:9	developments	53:17
140:17 160:8 Department 2:3 126:3 136:6 138:11 74:16,19 definition 73:13 6:3,9,15 38:1 details 50:2 68:6 deviation 74:13 disappeared 148:4 54:6 110:6 determinant devoid 128:14 disappearing delay 159:17 161:11 Department's determination Diego 1:10 3:5 disappointed delayed 65:19 53:7 12:3 72:4,14 4:22 5:2,22 disasters 116:17 delaying 145:12 depend 50:13 75:22 76:5 9:12 29:10,11 discourse 163:5	definitely 72:1,2	152:16	despite 22:16	79:21 126:13	disagree 45:4
definitions 45:17 49:4 88:6 164:2 deviations 73:22 144:7 148:4 54:6 110:6 determinant devoid 128:14 disappearing delay 159:17 164:3 84:12 diagram 71:11 97:16,20 125:2 delayed 65:19 53:7 depend 50:13 4:22 5:2,22 disappointed 65:22 66:2 depend 50:13 72:20 73:4 7:20 8:18,19 disappointed delaying 145:12 depending 17:2 75:22 76:5 9:12 29:10,11 discourse 163:5	140:17 160:8	Department 2:3	126:3	136:6 138:11	
148:4 54:6 110:6 determinant devoid 128:14 disappearing delay 159:17 164:3 84:12 diagram 71:11 Department's determination Diego 1:10 3:5 disappointed delayed 65:19 53:7 12:3 72:4,14 4:22 5:2,22 122:14 depend 50:13 72:20 73:4 7:20 8:18,19 disasters 116:17 delaying 145:12 depending 17:2 75:22 76:5 9:12 29:10,11 discourse 163:5	definition 73:13	_	details 50:2 68:6	deviation 74:13	disappeared
delay 159:17 164:3 84:12 diagram 71:11 97:16,20 125:2 161:11 Department's delayed 65:19 53:7 12:3 72:4,14 4:22 5:2,22 disappointed 12:14 65:22 66:2 delaying 145:12 depend 50:13 depending 17:2 75:22 76:5 9:12 29:10,11 disasters 116:17 discourse 163:5	definitions	45:17 49:4	88:6 164:2	deviations 73:22	144:7
delay 159:17 164:3 84:12 diagram 71:11 97:16,20 125:2 161:11 Department's delayed 65:19 53:7 12:3 72:4,14 4:22 5:2,22 disappointed 12:14 65:22 66:2 delaying 145:12 depend 50:13 depending 17:2 75:22 76:5 9:12 29:10,11 disasters 116:17 discourse 163:5	148:4	54:6 110:6	determinant	devoid 128:14	disappearing
161:11 Department's delayed 65:19 Department's 53:7 determination 12:3 72:4,14 Diego 1:10 3:5 disappointed 12:14 65:22 66:2 delaying 145:12 depend 50:13 depending 17:2 72:20 73:4 7:20 8:18,19 disasters 116:17 9:12 29:10,11 discourse 163:5	delay 159:17	164:3	84:12	diagram 71:11	
delayed 65:19 53:7 12:3 72:4,14 4:22 5:2,22 122:14 65:22 66:2 depend 50:13 72:20 73:4 7:20 8:18,19 disasters 116:17 delaying 145:12 depending 17:2 75:22 76:5 9:12 29:10,11 discourse 163:5	· ·	Department's	determination	<u> </u>	•
65:22 66:2 depend 50:13 72:20 73:4 7:20 8:18,19 disasters 116:17 delaying 145:12 depending 17:2 75:22 76:5 9:12 29:10,11 discourse 163:5	delayed 65:19	-	12:3 72:4,14		
delaying 145:12 depending 17:2 75:22 76:5 9:12 29:10,11 discourse 163:5	· ·	depend 50:13	-	-	disasters 116:17
		_		· ·	
				· ·	
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				1 age 177
discuss 6:22	Dominican	edge 156:17,18	Elena 125:8	Encouraging
10:12 33:2	121:16	157:1,12	127:14,17,17	118:16
89:17	door 25:12	educational	elevator 26:13	ends 65:7
discussed 38:7	33:12,15,16	23:11	130:6,6,10	116:13
74:8 80:2	149:22 150:5	effect 106:4	elevators 22:19	enforcing 24:14
83:14	doors 33:11	153:18 164:16	25:13 31:16	engagement
discussing 7:5	61:19 62:12,13	effective 143:20	32:12,19 33:5	136:9
discussion 29:8	106:19	152:9,10	eliminate 13:21	engineer 24:7
40:20 64:17	double 23:19	effectively 32:11	eliminated 15.21	enhance 97:6
80:8 132:10	doubt 44:21	69:22	118:12 148:10	107:5
143:8 158:14		effectuate 11:17	eliminates 113:2	
	55:2,21			enjoy 122:3
159:4	Douglas 97:12	efficiencies	eliminating 42:7	128:6,19
discussions 38:2	Douglass 107:10	46:13	122:21 160:9	enjoyed 131:6
38:6	downstairs	efficient 35:10	elimination	ensure 64:5
dismay 107:13	36:15	36:1 46:12	134:10	157:11
displaced 34:1	dozen 104:19	49:8	Ellery 122:12	enter 32:19
121:6 149:9	DPW 87:16	efficiently 35:12	eloquence	entered 16:11
Displacement	dramatic 25:21	efforts 103:18	145:17	89:10
149:3	129:17	Egan 13:8 30:5	eloquently	entertain 75:13
dissimilar 16:21	drawn 41:4	30:9 32:5,8,18	157:13	164:16
dissonance	drinking 19:3	35:1,5,15,19	embodied	entice 52:17
95:10	drive 22:8 52:3	36:6 37:19	118:17	entire 25:18
distill 10:22	52:4,8 53:4	38:21 39:5,8	emergency	106:6 133:12
distributing	130:3	39:11 40:9	158:1	144:15
152:10	driver 27:13	43:1 44:3,10	emerging 147:2	entities 57:21
district 28:18	drivers 52:17	44:13,20 58:4	emissions	120:4
134:6	drop 41:7	58:11,14 62:11	118:20	entitled 163:9
disturbed	dual 61:6	egg 145:13	emotion 144:12	entries 30:15
124:15	due 94:22	egress 64:21	148:1 152:5	entry 33:21
diverse 97:6	118:15	eight 158:2	emotional	environment
99:6,8 159:15	duration 16:17	162:18	143:11 160:11	122:1 144:5,6
diversity 88:12	dynamic 145:15	eighth 144:14	empathetic	environmental
97:2,4,19,20		either 19:16	149:8	94:8 112:17
100:16,18		46:15 63:22	emphasize	118:16,18
150:12	E 2:1 3:1,1	118:10 128:10	104:11	Envision 121:8
divided 122:17	167:1,1,1	132:13 137:22	employed 168:9	143:11,20
Division 6:8,17	e-mails 85:10	142:6 147:17	employee 61:8	150:19
141:4	early 154:22	161:17 162:1	employees 45:21	envisioned
divisive 95:8	earns 87:15	163:17,22	57:9,14 60:21	154:16
DM 54:3	East 121:10	164:19	60:22 63:11,11	EQR 13:11 18:2
document	131:2 135:2	elaborate	empty 13:13	EQR's 13:10
143:12	easy 43:18 137:4	135:20	15:5 42:9,17	equitable 113:5
documents	137:6 159:12	Elaine 107:8,20	46:1,11 49:12	equity 9:16 10:2
29:13 108:17	echo 26:3 156:8	107:20,22,22	131:10	13:7,8 14:6
doing 29:1 32:21	economic 97:19	elected 73:7	enable 99:9	18:19 21:5
38:12 55:11	97:20	101:9	encircle 27:6	22:17 28:19
71:20 94:10	economical	election 83:12	encourage 22:7	30:6 47:3
107:4 129:19	126:18	93:21 113:7	53:3	50:21 51:13
135:6 158:18	economically	electric 21:6	encourages	54:12,20 56:3
160:17	99:10	elements 73:18	106:11	57:20
	ı	<u> </u>	l 	<u> </u>

				Page 1/8
Equity's 55:6	163:9	expected 46:2	83:22	65:7,11,12
63:22	evicted 111:15	expected 40.2	familiarize	81:3 83:11
era 152:20	evidence 92:10	124:15	120:20	96:7,7 99:5
era 132.20 eroded 144:7	evidence 92.10 evolve 68:12	expensive	families 66:3	142:5 151:16
erroneous 114:8	140:6	108:20	96:17 97:7	158:4 159:18
error 20:10	evolves 68:12			
		experience 35:22 108:14	104:10,11,18 104:19 110:8	163:1 feels 74:7 152:15
especially 49:9 56:1 61:18	exact 63:14 138:9		114:19 121:7	163:20
106:12 110:20	exactly 44:10	experienced 144:20	122:5	feet 99:22 107:4
	•		_	133:16 135:3
118:22 126:16 149:6 153:20	134:11,12 137:10	experiences 64:3	family 99:17 114:7 140:5	fellow 144:9
essence 11:4	examination 10:1	expert 67:3	fantastic 150:5	149:18 151:3
essential 128:2		expired 93:1	151:15	166:7
essentially 16:16	example 65:21	expires 168:17	far 20:21 55:11	felt 10:4 43:7
25:21 27:4	96:11 106:7	explains 102:9	119:3 122:16	47:4 72:17
31:21 128:4	110:11 114:14	exploration	122:22 128:8	160:17 163:13
establish 108:10	119:1	36:13	132:19 147:10	fewer 69:21
133:15	examples 67:13	expressing	158:9 160:16	field 50:8,9,14
established	133:9	90:14	161:2,5,9	54:15 55:18
114:1	exceed 147:11	expressly 18:6	Farooq 1:12	Fifth 27:6 48:6
establishing	Excellent 68:17	34:3	6:12 158:12	62:1
92:1	exception 27:5	extend 13:22	Farris 97:10	figure 59:14
estate 144:5	excess 11:4,13	extension 17:4	98:14,16,16,19	129:13 140:2
Esther 94:12	41:22 160:2	extensive 102:15	fascinating 24:6	162:14
95:21,21 96:1	excited 13:17	extent 14:22	fast 62:13	figures 96:20
96:1	84:15	70:7 156:14	152:13 161:10	final 26:15
et 17:16 21:6	exciting 152:21	extra 23:13	Fathi 19:17	72:22 106:3
_64:13	excitingly	110:16	favor 9:6 28:12	116:14,15
Europeans	146:19	F	29:1 77:3	finally 16:8
146:21	exclusively		78:18 120:7	109:4 120:6
Evan 1:14 6:11	37:13 138:18	face 81:13 108:6	162:7 163:4,22	financial 117:19
evening 3:7 6:7	Executive 104:2	113:18	165:19	financially
6:17 12:15	125:11	facilitate 11:4	favorable 105:5	114:19 168:10
19:21 30:9	Executor 13:15	facilities 56:3	123:19	Finch 104:9
38:17 65:3	exempts 128:4	facility 50:17	favorably	find 3:19 16:7
88:22 104:1	exist 48:5,5	51:11	101:11 108:2	21:10,11,11
107:22 115:3	136:12	fact 25:11 43:16	127:12	23:10 28:1
122:6 132:8	existing 6:22	51:2 129:11	favored 138:3	43:18 92:17
155:2	14:8 22:12	138:10	fear 82:16	129:13 151:4
Everett 111:16	46:5,9 73:10	Factor 106:2	155:12	152:17 157:2
Eversource	123:4 147:11	107:3	Federal 24:6	finding 56:6
28:16	exists 136:5	factors 86:8	133:10	75:9
everybody	exit 33:3 48:8	fail 121:7	Federico 93:9	findings 64:20
92:12 108:13	expand 125:17	failures 158:22	94:12,12,14,14	fine 112:19
129:20 130:1	expanding	fair 97:18	federicoforco	finish 44:11
139:14,17	74:11	fairly 27:2 89:20	94:16	144:16
142:8 152:20	expect 86:9	fall 16:15	fee 51:10	finite 113:1
162:22 166:13	113:6	fallacy 114:14	feedback 117:9	fire 19:4 148:16
166:18	expectation	falling 130:2	feel 25:21 27:16	firefighter 150:4
Everybody's	160:19	familiar 65:16	28:3 56:15	first 6:2 9:8 19:8
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

	I	I	I	I
20:7 23:12	29:21 30:4	73:11 82:21	format 163:18	Fuller 93:12
25:5 26:11	32:1,7,15 33:6	folks 16:4 29:9	former 100:8	105:15 107:8
29:8,19 34:7	36:8 37:21	32:3 33:3 34:2	102:2	107:10,10
41:3,9 57:4	38:16 40:3,7	35:8 37:2	forth 18:20	fully 103:3
66:8 75:17	40:12,14,17	41:15 46:15	39:15 48:20	140:22
83:10 90:7	43:20 45:7	50:8,10 64:18	57:19 60:13	fun 142:4
96:9 102:11	53:12 56:14,20	69:2 83:16	61:3 143:4	function 34:18
119:15 152:4	57:2 58:19	85:20 87:19	forum 122:15	43:7
155:11,11	59:16,18,22	115:9 127:8	forums 74:9	functioned 43:2
fit 121:12 123:8	60:4 64:11	136:17	forward 22:15	fund 87:8 102:3
124:11 125:5	66:20 68:17	follow-up 30:12	51:20 53:11,13	funding 82:19
five 12:17,18	69:18 70:14,19	57:3 141:19	68:9,16 70:21	86:6,21
44:12 86:9,17	71:3,6,13 72:9	follow-ups	79:5,8 82:3	further 22:4
100:9 108:21	73:6,9 74:21	70:18	103:19 116:21	36:13 39:20
110:16 117:10	75:2,10,15,20	followed 10:11	127:12 129:3	40:19 44:22
152:8,13 154:5	75:22 76:3,6	20:7,13 23:1	141:5 154:9	70:7 95:19
158:6	76:10,22 77:1	24:20 90:7	155:2 162:7	114:13 123:17
five- 116:6	77:5,14,16	91:13 93:9	164:17	145:11 163:18
five-year 112:19	78:1,3,14,15	94:12 95:21	forwarding	168:8
116:6 152:7	78:19,22 79:9	97:10 98:14	154:6	furthers 13:19
154:11,15	79:13,16 80:1	100:4 101:15	found 35:9	future 10:16
155:9 156:12	80:11,19,22	103:21 105:14	41:21	48:5 57:19
156:20 158:13	84:18 85:1,4	107:8,20	foundations	66:13 72:16
158:17	88:18 90:4	109:13 111:7	45:3	75:18 77:15,17
flexibility 50:15	92:21 93:1,7	112:4 113:10	four 87:16	87:22 88:1
53:20 67:5	100:21 103:10	115:1 117:5	110:17 115:17	90:19 100:15
86:21 99:12	103:13 119:6,8	119:10 120:15	four-bedrooms	106:21 116:16
126:11 127:2	132:6 133:2,3	122:9 124:2	110:8	137:15 139:7
133:6 138:13	135:6,9,11,21	125:8 127:14	framing 159:7	148:20 157:12
flexibly 87:8	136:1,14 137:6	128:22	Franklin 104:3	
flip 149:21	137:9,11 139:9	following 35:1	frankly 42:4	G
150:9	140:7,18	100:19	67:5 84:14	G 3:1
floor 13:3 26:12	141:14,17,21	food 108:15	137:21 149:18	game 142:12
37:16,18 71:9	142:1,22 143:3	foot 24:4	Fred 19:14	garage 11:5,10
73:16 74:3	151:18 155:6	for- 120:3 157:1	free 127:21	11:11 15:5,15
101:19 109:14	156:7 157:15	for-profit 137:4	130:4	15:18,18 16:13
131:8 133:17	158:10 159:10	force 106:3,11	freeloader	16:20 18:7,17
133:19	163:8 164:20	156:19	116:12	20:20 21:4,12
floors 25:14	165:2,15,16,20	forced 107:14	Fresh 114:14,15	21:16,17 22:1
38:3 64:7	166:5,16	116:1,10	friends 115:13	22:20 25:3,9,9
124:10 130:6	fob 17:13 25:10	foregoing	121:21 149:4	25:11 26:8
flow 27:1 71:11	58:18 67:19	167:15	From' 167:2	27:7,16 28:15
Flynn 1:7 3:4,7	68:1	foremost 25:5	front 85:9,16	30:20 31:1,4,9
3:9 4:8 5:11,13	fobs 31:3 32:18	foreseen 66:1	106:14,19	31:13,14 32:14
5:17,21 6:2,6	58:1,7,13,22	forget 31:19	122:21 128:1,3	33:11,19 34:1
7:12,14,19,22	59:19 60:1	140:14	134:10 147:4,7	34:18 35:6,11
8:9,11 9:1,2,3	focus 83:1 99:16	form 105:21	Fulkerson 28:17	36:22 37:5
9:7,11,14 10:7	110:18 146:10	154:11	full 56:13 74:19	38:11 40:1,5
10:18 12:14,21	focused 31:10	formality	125:16	42:1 43:2,4,12
19:6 20:6 29:6	43:17 47:18	162:21	full-story 110:3	44:3,5,19
19.0 20.0 29:0	43.1/4/.10	104.41	1411-Story 110.3	11.5,5,17
	•	•	•	•

	-	_	_	
45:21,22 46:18	164:7	gobbled 135:5	45:20 50:15	grossly 21:13
46:21,21 47:13	giving 6:4 20:8	goes 26:9 30:8	53:22 55:10,18	grow 140:6
47:13,14,21	20:14 23:2	53:9 60:10	56:15,21,21	growing 118:18
48:11,21 49:3	24:21 28:8	118:19 161:9	65:12 76:6	guardrails
51:16 52:10	36:10 59:18	going 9:8 10:9	81:21 82:15	122:20
58:6,7,8 62:7	66:2 70:21	10:17,19 19:7	85:2 87:9 98:6	guess 10:8 29:19
62:12,13,15,16	84:15 90:8	19:22 24:15	104:1,22	71:16,19 115:6
63:1,5,8,14	91:14 93:10	29:7 30:5,21	107:22 115:3	134:9 155:15
64:1 65:13	94:13 95:22	31:4 33:20	120:6,8 132:7	163:19
67:3,14	97:11 98:15	34:10 37:11	134:18 147:5	Guidelines 92:1
garages 15:22	100:5 101:16	38:6,10 39:20	149:5 164:9	102:15 140:10
18:11 22:3,6	103:22 105:15	41:20 42:8	166:16	140:21 141:6,9
24:17 33:10	107:9,21	43:15 44:11	Goodnight	guiderails 113:2
35:22 48:9	109:15 111:8	45:5,9 46:22	166:17,18,19	guiding 71:16
49:5,8 52:12	112:5 113:11	47:13 50:12,16	gotten 154:5	guys 59:12 81:7
52:13 56:12	115:2 117:6	51:11,18,20	govern 17:19	82:18 84:4
66:12 125:1	119:11 120:16	52:3,6 53:11	Governor 3:12	130:13
gate 58:5	122:10 124:3	53:13,20 55:16	grab 30:19	gym 25:15
gates 33:11	125:9 127:15	56:2 59:3,3,5,6	37:19	
61:20	129:1 130:18	59:8,9,13,22	grade 107:3,4	H
general 1:3 2:3	160:13	60:8,10,16	grandchild	H 1:8 4:13 167:1
3:11 22:3 43:1	glare 146:6	61:19 62:2,20	84:22	half 89:20 90:2
45:13 67:1	Glenwood 102:1	64:14 66:12,14	grant 10:14 12:1	130:7
99:4 159:13	go 19:19 20:16	66:14,17 67:14	75:4 77:9	hand 8:4 19:10
generally 33:3	27:4 31:16	68:9,11,14,16	granted 14:19	19:11 29:22
42:11 49:3,6	32:19 33:10,15	69:9 70:3,11	19:1 25:10	40:18 52:1
49:22 53:10	33:16 40:19	71:15 73:2,12	34:4	71:4 72:3
71:19 134:5	44:22 48:10	75:3 79:10,22	granting 75:8	74:19 82:7
138:5	59:7 61:22	80:18 82:16	grants 16:15	89:5,6 137:12
generated 47:12	62:21 64:2	86:2,13,15,16	grateful 130:13	156:19 158:11
getting 10:8	71:7 73:13	88:6 89:15	great 13:4 15:11	168:12
28:3 51:22	79:6 82:17	90:5 93:4	15:12 75:2	handbook 92:2
65:22 66:1	86:8 87:3 90:6	103:10 116:1	79:9 84:9,10	handicapped
67:12 79:4	90:11 92:18	116:10 117:10	105:2 122:2	22:18
153:17	94:19 95:12	117:11 119:17	130:22 138:15	handling 42:19
give 3:18 31:3	98:18 102:22	119:18 120:9	141:14 149:21	42:20,22
32:18 37:19	105:18 119:5	123:7,8 129:4	152:21 154:17	hands 7:15 75:3
41:8 58:1,7	124:12 125:1	132:9 134:8	159:17 160:8	89:12,13,14
61:5 67:9 73:4	125:12,13	135:16 136:12	166:14	hang 10:8 40:17
86:20 90:5	129:3 130:21	141:10,11,19	greater 42:9	141:17
94:5 115:10	132:18 133:1	144:2 145:18	86:20,20 99:9	Hanig 94:12
117:10 119:15	136:6 148:22	147:18 152:20	greatly 97:5	95:21 96:1,1
127:9 129:4,7	148:22 153:16	152:22 153:1	Green 106:1	happen 82:20
158:8 162:2,9	154:15	153:19 157:7	107:3,4 124:18	119:17 145:10 145:11 160:18
given 31:8 42:2	goal 150:15	163:7	125:12	
42:2 43:9 46:3	goals 13:19 47:9	Goldstein	Gregory 1:14	happened 137:1 163:6
49:9 86:6 91:8	49:9 53:3	113:10 115:1,3	6:16	
92:9 112:20	118:20 121:8	115:4	grew 87:16	happening 51:16 136:20
gives 81:20	139:6 144:2,4	good 3:7 6:6	grid 48:15	137:3 156:13
84:14 138:13	157:13	30:9 35:19	Groll 54:5	137.3 130.13
L	Į .	<u> </u>	I .	I .

Page 181

				Tage 101
158:21	75:18 77:13,17	helps 56:19	homes 26:14	111:4 112:11
happens 140:6	83:6 162:18	63:18	84:8 125:18	113:19,19,22
happens 140.0	163:6 164:10	Hemenway 13:6	129:14	114:3,4,7,19
35:20 84:3	164:18	hereunto 168:12	homework 79:8	114:19 115:9
88:15 92:9	heat 94:5 106:4	Hey 30:9	honestly 35:19	115:15 116:2,5
		•	-	
142:8	106:5,19	Hi 24:22 29:11 90:9 98:16	hope 69:14 88:5	116:8 117:1,2 117:18 119:2
hard 16:7 21:11	Heather 20:13		88:13 100:1	
24:3 28:1 94:1	23:1,1,4,4	109:16 111:9	103:18 105:4	120:3,7,22
116:13 129:13	117:5 119:10	112:6 120:17	105:11 120:20	121:1,2,5,9,14
146:3 161:15	119:10,12,12	130:19	166:13	124:16 125:11
harm 119:4	heavily 120:7	hide 129:6	hopefully 12:7	125:15,17
harmony 95:6	height 73:17	Higgins 109:13	31:5 69:13	126:5,7 127:11
Harvard 94:3	74:4 85:18,21	111:7,9,9	87:3	128:13,16,18
112:22 123:11	88:7 100:17	149:2	hoping 104:16	129:19,22
146:12	102:17 110:3,4	high 16:1 87:17	horse 119:19	131:1,2,13,19
hate 67:9	112:19 114:9	94:1 112:18	hose 19:4	136:19 142:9
head 133:15	114:20 123:1,2	124:10	host 48:4 117:18	142:17 143:21
heads 129:11	126:14 128:8	high-end 120:11	hot 83:13	144:20,20
health 106:22	130:12 132:18	high-rise 126:17	hour 109:3	145:15 147:17
healthy 121:22	133:6,8,11,15	higher 88:1,1	hours 27:9,20	149:16 150:1
126:3	133:21 136:20	131:8 141:11	163:6	150:10,16,17
hear 10:11 20:2	139:3,5 145:22	highest 30:7	house 110:20	151:10 152:10
20:15 23:11,22	155:18 160:3	151:5	111:16 127:5,7	152:12 153:12
27:12 64:18	160:22 161:3,6	highlight 99:14	households	154:4 156:4,17
74:15 90:1,10	164:7	highly 97:3	127:4	157:11 158:1
95:9 98:17	heights 79:20	Highway 24:6	houses 15:19	159:14 161:18
101:21 105:17	98:8,9,10 99:9	Historic 128:5	157:5	164:6
114:5 117:15	103:9 112:15	history 14:5	housing 2:11	How's 132:6
128:11 129:2	114:17 126:21	82:6 128:15	6:19 46:7	HRI 104:2
130:20 132:7	141:7 145:21	136:22 155:9	63:21 79:21	huge 93:16
135:12,14	146:1 160:21	hit 58:4	80:6 81:5,9,10	118:10,18
143:9 151:10	161:2 164:4	Hoffman 20:13	81:11,19 82:13	119:17
heard 29:12	held 8:2	23:1,4,4 117:5	86:2,5,5,9,19	human 23:8
31:15 51:4	Helen 103:21	119:10,12,12	87:3,7,13	151:12
62:10 64:9	105:14,15,17	hold 19:7 30:18	88:14 91:18	hundreds 46:1
65:12,17 80:13	105:19,19	38:21	92:8,14,22	Hurley 23:5
82:22 89:18	hell 151:9	holiday 166:14	93:22 95:15	119:13 120:17
94:17 96:7	Hello 20:15 23:4	home 28:3 49:20	96:21 99:3,4	husband 131:5
108:5 113:17	101:20 119:12	107:12 110:18	99:10,12,16,17	hybrid 50:5
127:3	122:11	111:15,20	99:17 100:14	I
hearing 1:3 6:19	help 30:20 31:4	121:17,20,20	100:19 101:2	
6:20 9:8,15	31:5 33:18	122:4 129:5,8	102:3,13,16,21	idea 35:21 42:13
10:16 19:8	45:9 47:22	129:8 149:6	103:6,18 104:4	119:22 135:15
54:10 72:8,15	72:6 97:5	153:6	104:4,8,12	138:15,20
72:21 79:17	142:20 163:2	homeless 110:13	107:2 108:3,7	147:6 152:14
80:3,3,7,8,14	helped 28:20	110:20	108:7,9,12,16	164:5
88:20 93:15	helpful 57:3	homeowner	108:22 109:4,6	ideal 92:11,14 ideas 69:5 155:3
140:22 145:1	70:9 71:14	111:3	109:10,18	ideas 69:5 155:3
154:18	72:3 162:15	Homeowner's	110:12,14,15	17:15
hearings 2:6	helping 115:9	104:2	110:21 111:1,2	17.13
	1 0		•	Į.

identified	including 14:20	70:12,20 71:18	investing 118:14	62:19 63:3,6,8
112:11	22:18 30:20	71:21 72:14,20	investment	63:16 64:8
identify 35:17	47:12 82:17	77:18 154:10	153:5	76:9,15 77:22
58:9	111:14 112:15	154:15	invite 24:13	78:2,7 79:14
illness 110:13	112:17 120:4	initial 67:13	involvement	132:17 134:2,8
illustrate 68:10	121:11 125:2	82:1	91:20	134:16 135:1,8
immediately	144:16 146:10	initially 70:3	involves 11:2	135:10 140:9
152:16	Inclusionary	inject 95:3	14:5	141:16 143:1
immense 157:4	87:18 104:14	innovation	Iram 1:12 6:12	157:17 165:6
157:4	131:2,13	149:17	158:10,12	jackhammers
impact 104:20	143:21 149:13	input 38:19	irreparable	26:22
152:22 156:2	149:21 151:8	92:19 105:2	119:4	James 127:14
159:20 161:4	inclusive 149:22	126:1	irresolvable	128:22,22
impacted 69:9	income 87:14	insensitive	94:9	129:2,4 147:15
impacted 09.9	99:18 109:2	114:10	island 94:5	Jeff 24:20 28:7,7
114:9 118:16	increase 22:4	inside 48:15	106:4	28:9,10 40:15
	93:16 99:15	insist 22:16	issuance 18:21	Jefferson 127:1
141:12,12	111:19 114:16		issuance 18:21 issue 24:15	
impassable 23:17		129:7 162:22		Joan 120:15
,	126:10,14	inspired 146:2	81:12 83:11	122:9,9,11,11
importance	147:19	instance 47:19	93:22 96:4	job 155:22
91:20 96:5	increased 21:16	133:10 146:10	97:5 143:11	jobs 59:2,3
important 17:8	increases 99:12	institutional	144:13 145:3,8	Johanna 12:14
52:18 84:6	106:5 119:17	146:11,14	145:12 146:1	12:20 13:4,5
88:9,11 93:18	120:12	instructions	148:16 150:21	20:18 29:21
100:14,15	increasing 97:3	3:18,20	160:22	30:2,5,9,22
117:21 120:19	99:3 118:21	integrated 97:17	issue's 117:11	31:19,20 33:20
122:15,20	123:2 152:9	intended 93:13	issues 31:6,8	36:18,21 37:10
124:13 139:2	incredibly	intent 133:4	38:4 45:13	37:13,17,20,22
145:8 150:13	162:20	intention 139:7	47:10 48:19	38:5 40:10,13
156:16 157:10	index 106:19	interconnected	55:1 96:8	40:15 41:17
160:17 163:2	indiscernible	52:12	102:14 166:10	45:17 53:19
importantly	40:9 56:17	interest 22:12	it'll 55:1	55:8 59:15
146:13	57:7 64:6	51:17 89:16	item 6:2 7:22	79:7
impressive	91:15,16,17,17	94:1 153:1	9:14 79:16	joined 6:12
100:12	91:18,21 92:5	interested 19:20	items 66:8 70:16	12:10
improved 99:15	92:6,10	168:10		Joseph 1:13
105:5	individual 50:2	interesting 51:8	J	6:11 72:1
inadequate 21:2	60:14 62:21	interim 11:21	J 1:8 3:5 4:11	132:4
inappropriate	individuals 49:7	45:11	5:22 7:20 8:10	Josh 90:9,9,12
118:5	110:13	interject 34:19	8:15 9:12 33:8	Joshua 90:7,8
incentivizing	industrial 14:8	intersection	34:19 35:2,13	JP 133:10
106:17	147:21	48:2	35:16 36:4,14	Jr 1:8 3:5 4:11
include 73:19	industry 119:22	introduce 6:4	36:20 37:8,12	5:22 7:20 8:10
74:2 83:7 91:4	inefficient 49:11	introduced	37:15 39:4,6,9	8:15 9:12 33:8
96:22 112:22	influx 66:15	83:10	39:14 40:5	34:19 35:2,13
134:21 146:13	inform 23:9	introducing	43:22 44:8,12	35:16 36:4,14
included 54:7	information	13:2	44:18,21 57:4	36:20 37:8,12
121:15	10:16 17:15	introduction	57:22 58:9,12	37:15 39:4,6,9
includes 28:16	30:22 40:11	12:10	58:15 59:17,20	39:14 40:5
90:17 108:19	53:15 54:17	invest 153:4	60:2,7 61:10	43:22 44:8,12
				·

44:18,21 57:4	145:13 151:22	139:1,4,7,18	lead 21:2 95:14	likes 130:1
57:22 58:9,12	152:19 156:12	139:19 140:4,5	learned 90:15	149:6
58:15 59:17,20	156:19 162:4	140:16 141:3	90:22	Lily 19:17
60:2,7 61:10	162:11	141:18,20	lease 11:10,15	limit 89:19
62:19 63:3,6,8	kindly 88:20	142:2,4,10,19	17:3	limitation
63:16 64:8	kinds 87:9	143:3,13 145:3	leased 29:18	133:17
76:9,15 77:22	149:19	148:21 149:6	38:9	limited 25:20
78:2,7 79:14	know 15:9 19:3	150:9,10	leave 24:1 26:12	73:19 74:2
132:17 134:2,8	23:19 25:12,14	150.9,10	67:1 87:17	82:14
132.17 134.2,8	25:18 26:6,11	152.15,19,21	leaves 39:5	limits 118:9
135:10 140:9	26:21 27:16,18	154:18,20	55:12	line 40:16 95:6
141:16 143:1	27:22 28:13,22	155:10 156:12	leaving 63:14	99:22 148:14
157:17 165:6	30:6 31:2	156:16 157:19	111:21	148:17 167:2
judged 113:4	32:10 33:1,2		led 149:12	lines 137:1
July 8:2	34:16 35:22	158:1,1,21 159:11 160:1,3	Lee 97:10 98:14	Linnaean
June 8:2,2,2	36:11 37:6	161:13,15,20	98:14,16,16,19	105:19
168:18		, ,		list 20:11 29:4
justice 112:18	38:7,8,9,9,13 38:18 39:2,17	162:1,13,15,20 knowing 102:22	Leeds 19:17 20:7,13,15,17	70:16 86:14
Justin 119:10	· ·	160:13		87:19 109:5
	40:4 41:6,19	known 109:4	left 49:18,19 leg 119:16	
120:15,15,17 120:17	42:2,4,5,10,12 42:13 43:4,10	145:2	leg 119:16 legal 79:1	112:1 127:3,4 127:8 131:21
120:17	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_	C	157:18
K	43:11,12,17 44:15 45:6	knows 68:3	legislation 83:5 94:19 95:9	
Kamenau 19:18		163:1		literally 42:16
Kathleen 109:13	46:7,10 48:18	L	Lesley 146:11	46:21
keep 25:16 56:8	49:10 51:5	lab 59:3 153:8	let's 15:12 19:19	little 10:19 14:4
84:5 143:5	52:7 54:19,19	Labor 7:2	29:8 40:18	19:3 32:21
159:1	54:21,21 55:7	166:14	49:2 64:11	33:21 39:20
keeps 68:2	55:13 56:3,22	lack 92:6 124:13	85:21 89:11	41:8 42:18,21
Kendall 11:11	57:11 58:2	135:18	132:12 135:17 142:17 143:5	44:22 46:8
13:10 14:6	59:1,3 60:5,20	laid 119:21		54:7 55:12
42:15 146:12	61:2,4 62:14	land 73:17 86:7	151:7,8,9	56:11 58:3
kept 3:17 38:11	63:7,11,13	87:1,2,5	162:5	63:18 69:8
key 93:22 129:5	64:2,3,5,19,20	104:16 133:13	letter 119:21	70:2 71:4 79:1
129:10,10,11	64:22 65:4	language 90:17	letters 144:13,17	87:13 107:5
kick 80:20	66:2,7,12	148:6	150:21	125:4,4 136:22
kids 27:12 97:7	67:18,19,21,21	large 56:2 74:2	letting 52:2	143:2 156:19
115:18	68:2 70:2,4,4	91:8 118:8	level 22:20 26:7	158:9
Kim 90:7 91:13	71:8 72:7 74:9	133:12 137:21	30:7 31:14	live 4:1 16:4
91:13,15 92:22	81:6,8,22 82:5	144:10 146:15	32:2,9 38:22	25:18 26:12
93:3	82:18,19 83:1	156:13	39:1 41:12	35:3 62:6
kind 30:20 34:7	83:11,13,16,17	largely 147:22	153:4	81:14 84:9,13
37:8 41:11	83:18 84:5,6	larger 133:6	license 31:2	87:20 88:11
44:21 50:11	84:10,10,12,16	140:10	lie 130:1	90:10 96:1
57:15 62:8	85:7,7 87:6,8	Lastly 150:16	life 153:6	98:8 99:7
63:12 68:22	87:11,16,19,21	late 71:4	life- 131:16	100:7 102:1
95:4 124:19	88:6,7 100:11	latest 96:20	life-changing	107:15 108:1
129:17 135:3	104:3,7 108:13		121:18	109:17 111:10
	121:2,6 124:20	laugh 24:9	life-sciences	112:13 113:12
136:18 137:1	129:22 132:19	layers 92:3 LBJ 97:22	131:9	115:4,19
138:15 140:14	137:2 138:9,12	LDJ 97:22	light 121:22	121:10 122:12
	l		I	l

				1 agc 104
124:4 126:4	43:11 45:19	8:10,10,15	12:20 13:5	125:21
129:9,12,14	71:6 79:5	9:12 33:8	29:3 41:2	mangled 103:8
130:5,20 131:2	104:16 111:20	34:19 35:2,13	80:18 81:1	Manhattan
131:8,18	118:22 126:8	35:16 36:4,14	84:19 131:20	86:16 88:2,3,3
140:14 142:11	looks 90:6	· ·	132:2 139:11	93:5 94:20
		36:20 37:8,12		
147:21 150:1	101:18 143:19	37:15 39:4,6,9	143:10 163:7	manner 34:13
158:3	143:20	39:14 40:5	magic 162:12,14	Manning 97:22
lived 25:1 92:11	loose 65:7 147:9	43:22 44:8,12	main 85:19	Marc 115:12
107:12 115:5,5	Los 147:18	44:18,21 57:4	104:12 110:2	Margaret 124:2
lives 43:19 98:10	losing 88:12	57:22 58:9,12	118:4,6	125:8,9,10,10
115:14,20	112:10	58:15 59:17,20	maintain 139:2	125:15
161:4	loss 33:19 103:7	60:2,7,12	maintained 30:7	Marie 125:8
living 35:8 65:16	lost 82:15 105:8	61:10 62:19	maintaining	127:14,14,17
65:18 92:14	108:8 113:21	63:3,6,8,16	31:10 125:22	127:17
110:18 111:16	156:18	64:8 76:9,15	126:6	Marilee 111:7
150:4	lot 46:9 47:2,6	77:22,22 78:2	major 12:4 27:4	112:4,4,6,6
local 121:3	48:8,14,18	78:2,3,7 79:14	35:19 57:1	mark 156:21
127:5,7	54:8,9 56:6	132:17 134:2,8	72:5,18,22	marked 37:1
locate 31:17	65:7 74:3,4	134:16 135:1,8	73:3,13,22	market 102:19
located 31:12	81:13 82:22	135:10 140:9	74:1 83:2	102:22 105:9
104:3	85:10,20 95:9	141:16 143:1	106:5 119:3	109:20 127:21
location 56:1	99:22 116:9,14	157:17 165:6	140:12 153:8	144:5
67:4 73:20	117:9 133:9,20	love 65:9 69:5,7	majority 31:15	market-rate
74:5	134:17,21	71:9 93:13	82:10 83:19	115:15 126:12
locations 67:4	135:4 142:3	122:3 131:12	162:1	139:3,5 150:2
106:9 118:2,2	144:12 147:20	131:18 135:13	making 28:3	150:12
118:5 142:14	147:22 148:6	143:9,21	30:6 43:17	Mary 1:7 3:4,7,9
long 27:2 45:2	152:5 153:3	155:22	52:21 53:2	5:11,13,17,21
77:6 83:8,15	154:17 155:12	low 56:10	75:9,22 76:4	6:2 7:14,19,22
143:15 151:2	155:16,17	111:15 133:11	82:6 88:22	8:9,11 9:1,2,3
long-term 13:13	157:5,19,21	low-income	91:21	9:7,11,14 10:7
34:18 36:6	159:3,11 164:9	108:22 112:14	man 111:18	12:14,21 19:6
38:8 43:9	lots 82:8 87:6	113:14 115:16	manage 30:11	29:6,21 30:4
54:14 55:14,15	97:7 120:10	Lowenberg	31:5 49:4,8	32:1,7,15 33:6
67:8	134:17,19,22	24:20 28:7,9	50:3,17 57:17	36:8 37:21
longer 10:19	147:12	28:10	59:14	38:16 40:3,7
34:1 67:17	Lou 4:8 8:11,13	lower 22:19	managed 56:3	40:12,14,17
107:14 109:8	29:22 33:7	lower- 99:17	management	43:20 45:7,15
longtime 20:21	38:18,21 40:18		17:15 49:2	53:12 56:14,20
27:22 137:19	43:20 57:3	M	50:6,7 54:3	57:2 58:19
look 35:20 41:14	58:4 62:11	Macias 1:10 3:6	56:16,21 67:2	59:16,18,22
47:2 79:8	76:10,14 78:5	4:22 5:2 6:1	67:16	60:4 64:11
86:12,14 94:7	132:16 140:7	7:21 8:18,19	manager 1:12	66:20 68:17
120:2 143:11	141:2,17 143:4	9:12 29:11	6:13 102:2	69:18 70:19
146:17 147:8	157:15 162:8	65:6 71:2,4,8	110:6	71:2,3,6,13
148:7 152:8	165:4	76:16,17 78:8	managers 68:10	72:1,9 73:6,9
looked 54:6,8	Lou's 41:5 69:7	78:9 79:15	managing 51:21	74:21 75:2,7
102:11	loud 24:9	135:12 155:8	69:21	75:10,15,20,22
looking 18:2	Louis 1:8 3:5	165:9,10	mandate 147:10	76:3,6,10,22
37:21 41:22	4:11 5:22 7:20	Madam 8:7	mandating	77:1,5,14,16
				1

78:1,3,14,15	95:21 97:10,12	167:16	73:7 75:13,16	Millers 128:12
78:19,22 79:9	97:12	meetings 3:13	76:12,16,18,20	millions 130:14
79:13,16 80:11	McGovern	3:21 8:2 83:6	76:22 77:2,10	mind 84:5
80:19,22 84:18	80:12,18,20	112:9 136:9	77:12,15 78:5	116:18 159:1
85:1,4 88:18	84:18,19 85:2	member 4:5	78:8,10,12,14	minimum 16:1
92:21 93:1,7	85:5 115:12	29:7 100:8	78:17,21 80:1	17:3 42:7
103:10,13	136:2 139:9,11	102:3	90:4,11 91:12	79:20 99:21
119:6,8 132:4	141:15,17,18	members 3:4,14	93:8 94:11	103:2 136:7,18
132:6 133:2	141:22 142:2	3:14,17 4:6	95:20 97:9	minimums
135:6,9,11	149:15 159:12	5:15,16,21	98:13,18 100:3	102:20 137:18
136:1,14 137:6	165:21 166:3	7:14,19 8:3 9:5	101:14,21	138:19 139:13
137:9,11 139:9	McGovern's	9:11,20 12:17	103:20 105:13	minor 12:4 72:6
140:7,18	95:1	13:3,5 19:9	105:18 107:7	72:13 73:13,14
141:14,17,21	mean 21:8 36:9	20:17 28:9,20	107:19 109:12	73:15,19,21
142:1,22 143:3	58:2 59:2	64:12,18 65:3	111:6 112:3	74:7,14 75:1,5
151:18,21	61:12 64:14	70:20 73:10,11	113:9 114:22	75:11,16 76:5
155:6 156:7	65:8 70:5	74:15 77:2	117:4,13,16	76:13 77:8
157:15 158:10	86:15 127:22	78:17 79:3,13	119:9 120:14	minute 10:4,8
159:10 163:8	128:9 134:12	82:2 88:20	122:8 124:1	41:5 90:2
164:15,20	137:2 139:19	89:3 100:6	125:7,13	minutes 8:1,6
165:2,15,16,20	139:20 142:2	101:9 105:3	127:13 128:21	12:22 20:3
166:5,16	meaning 49:10	154:18,19	129:3 130:16	89:20
mass 82:10	meaningful	165:18 166:5	130:21 131:20	mispronounce
91:17 92:4,16	125:22	166:10	133:3 134:4,11	90:5
101:5 105:1	means 37:5	memo 12:6	134:18 135:21	mispronuncia
124:11 125:2	60:19 98:4,5	164:3	136:2 140:20	19:15
130:8 146:20	110:14	memorandum	165:4,7,9,11	missed 53:5
Massachusetts	meant 110:17	12:8	165:13,15,18	mistake 161:10
1:6 3:11 168:2	160:20	memos 147:3	166:12	mistaken 91:3
168:5	measures 45:8	mental 110:13	messy 10:21	MIT 11:14
massive 24:2	146:3	mention 54:1	met 81:3,3	13:12,15 16:12
26:17 152:20	Medeiros	mentioned	155:19	17:3 18:15
massively 95:4	115:15	12:10 30:22	metric 113:3	31:13 32:10,13
Master 143:12	medications	45:20 71:8	Metro 108:19	32:15,21 34:17
match 147:5,7	108:16	88:19 93:4	metropolitan	34:20 35:3,14
material 164:9	meet 18:13 56:5	99:20 101:5	108:18,20	36:5,10,16,21
materials 10:1	107:3 108:7	118:19 123:1	Meyer 111:7	37:1,1,10 38:9
matter 10:5 77:6	113:19 116:13	128:11 136:17	112:4,6,6	38:14 41:11,12
88:15,21 112:8	127:11 144:4	159:2,22	Michael 19:16	42:14,22 43:6
128:10 129:22	meeting 1:5 2:4	messed 36:16	Michele 168:4	43:8 44:2
147:9	3:8,22 4:2,9,14	Messplay 1:12	microclimate	45:11,18 46:18
matters 72:8	4:17,20 5:1,4,8	4:8,13,16,19	107:5	46:22 47:2,4
106:21 115:9	5:12,13 6:5 7:3	4:22 5:3,7,11	micromanage	50:13 51:14
maximum 50:18	7:4,7,22 8:6	5:15 6:6,7 8:13	49:4	54:14,20 55:15
79:19 122:22	23:7,10 95:12	8:16,18,20,22	microphone	55:16,19 56:4
123:2	96:5,6 98:20	9:2,5 10:18	117:9 132:4	57:4,6,7 58:1,6
maximums	111:12 141:1	20:6,12,16	middle 94:21	58:13,19,20,21
103:9	146:10 155:11	22:22 24:19	104:22	58:21 59:10,13
MBTA's 148:12	155:11,12	28:6 29:3	Middlesex 168:3	61:12,13 63:19
McAvinney	166:13,17	70:14 72:10	midst 152:4	65:10 67:7
	l	l	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				rage 100
69:2 94:3	Moogoor 1:13	N 2:1 3:1	12:16 27:21	neighborhoods
146:12	6:12	nailed 133:14	42:15 45:2,3	96:9 97:4,6
MIT's 32:8	moral 151:12	name 3:9,15	49:1,17 50:16	123:5 125:4,5
34:16 35:17	Moran 124:2	13:5 17:15	50:20 51:19	152:11
47:21 57:19	125:8,10,10,15	20:1,9,11,14	52:1 54:17	neighboring
63:22	morning 63:15	23:2 24:21,22	55:7 56:18	123:6
Mitchell 113:10	mornings 27:10	28:8,10 45:15	57:11 60:20	neighbors 28:14
115:1,1,3,4	mother 111:13	89:22 90:6,8,9	64:6 68:3	96:6 107:13
mitigate 62:8	121:15	91:14 93:10	69:14 70:1	118:12 129:17
106:4	mother's 111:16	94:13 95:22	78:20 82:17,20	149:3 159:15
mitigation 47:18	motion 8:5,13	96:1 97:11	87:1,7 88:14	neighbors'
106:6	70:22 71:20	98:15 100:5,7	91:18 92:20	126:1
mix 74:3	75:4,14 76:7	101:16,22	93:7 94:4 96:9	neither 168:8
mixed 97:17	77:16 78:5	103:22 105:15	97:3 99:2	net 56:9
136:18,21	113:7 164:16	107:9,21,22	101:4,4 102:6	network 48:15
mixed-income	164:21 165:1,4	107.5,21,22	102:10 104:7	48:17
87:12	move 7:16 9:8	111:8,9 112:5	108:4 109:3	neutral 162:16
Mm-hm 56:14	15:10 19:7	113:11,12	110:21 116:10	never 58:7
model 34:14	29:7 44:6	115:11,12	116:18 117:19	139:22 145:2,3
modeling	52:11 53:9	119:11 120:16	121:4 124:21	new 13:14 16:1
134:13 160:5	70:21 79:10	122:10,11	127:11 130:11	21:14 46:6
models 93:19	80:16 81:13	124:3 125:9	130:11,12	47:19,21 48:4
moderated	82:3 93:7	127:15 129:1	140:2,3 141:6	48:15 52:16
95:14	101:12 127:11	130:18,19	141:11 143:19	56:9 66:12,15
modern 138:7	132:9 141:5	167:21	144:3,7 145:17	73:11 74:10
modest 99:21	143:8 163:14	Naomi 19:17	148:20 150:17	111:20
modification	164:16		160:1 166:11	newcomer 115:6
15:3 19:1	moved 8:8 76:9	20:7,13,14,15 20:17	needed 10:5	newcomer 113.0
modifications	77:21 97:17	Naomi's 26:3	49:20 55:7	newly 84:21
14:19 145:18	140:5 144:22	Nashville 138:4	112:8 113:2	nice 66:10
modified 18:6	moves 22:15	national 108:16	117:22 143:13	nice 66:10 night 49:18,20
	moving 52:11	108:22		,
modifies 80:5	0		needing 114:6	57:15 59:4,10
modify 18:1	75:8 162:7	natural 133:17	needs 18:6 87:1	60:21 61:9
161:2 Moltizeri 19:18	Muchnik 93:9	nature 31:8 43:9	87:5 95:12,14 115:22 119:5	63:11,14 148:8
	94:12,14,14	55:1 64:7		nighttime 49:12 nine 121:17
moment 19:7	multiple 21:17	67:17 near 121:10	124:18 152:12	
money 46:9,10 87:7	26:20 49:15		negative 21:1	nine-story 130:8
	51:6 56:2	149:6 157:12	114:8 154:9,12	nitty-gritty 50:2
monitor 30:20	131:15,15	nearly 116:9	155:1 161:17	Noise/feedback
31:5	134:17	131:6 151:2	162:9 163:16	117:7
monitored 55:2	multiunit	necessarily 50:1	164:19	non-profit 92:13
monitoring 55:8	114:11	50:10 52:16	neighbor 96:6	102:21 103:6
monitors 58:5	mute 3:17 117:8	86:18 112:12	neighborhood	108:5 109:19
Monroe 27:5	muted 41:1	155:8 159:17	24:14 28:17	113:17
62:1	101:19 132:2,5	161:5,16	61:20 62:4	non-profits
month 108:14	MXD 11:12	necessary 12:19	95:7 96:13	120:2
115:21 131:4	16:21 28:15,18	51:7 103:16	97:18,18	non-public
monthly 17:10	28:22 52:9	128:16 129:18	121:13 123:16	91:21
months 115:17	N	necessity 111:2	126:4 128:10	nonconforming
161:12		need 11:19,20	153:17	91:9
L	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ı

				1490 107
nonresident	88:8 127:3	117:17 129:4	opinion 69:19	56:7
22:7	133:16	132:6,7,16	74:7,15 135:1	overestimated
nonresidents	133.10	133:2 135:11	opportunities	21:13 26:4
21:7,19	0	136:1,14	84:11,14 108:8	overflow 11:7
nonsensical 95:4	$\overline{\mathbf{O}}$ 3:1	137:11 140:18	113:21 126:12	overlap 61:2
Nope 76:2	objection 22:16	143:6 157:15	126:15	Overlay 2:11
Norfolk 96:22	obligations	158:10 166:16	opportunity	6:20 79:21
98:16 110:12	151:3	old 23:7	86:20 88:17	80:6 81:5,19
111:10 127:1	obtain 54:2	older 96:12,12	105:10 147:1	82:13 85:15,20
normally 10:20	obviously 16:3	96:14	153:6	87:10 90:16
156:10	37:22 38:19	on-site 14:13	oppose 105:20	99:16 100:14
Normandy	56:6 61:7	once 10:22	opposed 25:2	108:3 109:18
115:4	70:11	25:10 44:3	85:20 122:17	117:3 125:17
north 100:8	Occupancy 44:4	77:18 87:21	128:16 163:22	125:19,20
140:14	occupants 33:9	156:18	opposition	127:9 131:2
not-for-profits	35:14	one-acre 129:15	20:18 124:6	149:16 153:13
144:21	occupied 17:10	one-bedroom	option 131:19	154:4
notably 96:12	131:15	111:16 115:20	option 131.19	overnight 30:17
Notary 168:4,16	occur 11:22	one-by-one 49:7	51:6 72:11	overridden
note 17:8 26:7	off- 9:16 11:4	one-on-one 36:9	122:2	92:20
85:13 104:15	off-street 11:18	one-size-fits-	order 11:17	oversight 93:17
104:21 158:13	13:21	110:1	17:22 79:19	113:2 128:5
163:12	offer 84:11,16	ones 50:16 83:6	109:1 116:18	135:16
noted 14:5 19:9	106:13	125:2	116:19 143:18	owe 146:16
167:17	offered 131:4	ongoing 145:9	147:16	owned 14:6
notes 41:12	office 34:9 129:6	online 4:1	ordinance 79:19	150:3
70:15 162:20	Officer 54:3	Oops 89:11	102:9,20 106:2	owner 62:8
noticed 91:15	Oh 71:3 74:21	open 7:10 22:3	123:4	owners 50:2,15
notifies 68:2	75:10 85:1	31:15 37:5	oriented 83:3	ownership
notion 46:4	okay 7:15 8:5	46:8 48:7	original 85:15	134:20
114:15 158:13	9:7 10:7 12:14	51:10 62:13	85:20 102:8,19	owns 51:13 87:5
Notre 100:8	19:6,20 20:12	69:9 73:17,20	103:5 113:16	120:2
November	29:21 30:4	74:4,5 99:13	114:1 139:13	
83:10	32:7,15 33:6	107:2 116:18	144:6 153:12	P
nuisance 27:17	37:20 38:16	124:14,18	originally 39:19	P 3:1
number 17:16	40:14,17 45:7	126:11,22	82:9 121:16	p.m 1:4 3:3 5:20
21:1 28:13	50:7 57:2	132:19 133:21	Otis 127:18	7:18 9:10
30:19 37:17	64:11 65:4	134:3,5 135:4	ought 54:2	19:13 79:12
38:21 41:4,7	66:20 68:17	135:18 145:21	outcome 168:10	89:9 166:20
42:9 56:9 63:4	69:12,18 70:19	148:2,3,6	outset 143:16	P1 31:17 32:2,6
67:20,20 69:12	70:22 71:13,14	155:18 156:2	outside 45:14	36:12 37:9,11
81:12 84:12	72:9,9 73:6,9,9	opening 21:19	126:19	39:3,4 50:9
89:13,13 91:8	74:21 75:2,20	136:3	outsized 118:11	P2 26:6 31:14,18
94:21,22	76:6,6,10,12	operate 30:11	overall 46:13	32:3,6,9 37:11
108:13 118:6	77:5,8,16 78:1	35:11 68:15	47:8 53:3,4,6	38:22 39:1
118:15 125:18	78:19,22 79:9	operating 35:22	56:15 133:15	41:11 50:8
127:10 133:20	80:11 88:19	91:22 126:6	134:1	Page 2:2 167:2
142:18 151:9	90:12,15 98:17	operational 68:6	overbuilding	pandemic
152:9 153:15	101:22 103:12	operators 67:3	51:21	148:15 152:5
numbers 53:16	117:13,15,15	68:10	overbuilt 45:22	papers 18:20

				Tage 100
paraphrase	40:2 42:7,9,15	 participated	pedestrian	97:1 99:13
149:3	43:3,3,14,18	166:6	146:6	102:13 104:18
parcel 7:11	44:18 45:11,11	participating	pedestrians	109:2 131:19
126:21 133:12	45:16,19,21	57:5 120:18	48:19	134:5 137:20
133:12,22	46:1,5,6,9,10	participation	pencil 94:20	143:18 150:8
134:13	46:17 47:4,6	3:12 92:6	people 10:3 16:4	150:22 151:5,7
parcels 126:12	49:5,6,8,10,11	particular 14:1	19:20 21:8	percentage 37:8
134:15	49:13,14,14,17	35:8 45:14	22:8 23:10,11	61:12 96:15,21
parent 26:1	49:21,21 50:3	58:6 67:4	23:19,21 24:13	151:5
115:17	50:6,6,7,8,9,14	70:13 82:1,12	24:16 25:8,17	Percy 90:10
parents 66:3	51:3,9,11,21	104:15 134:13	26:11 27:7,9	Perfect 71:14
park 7:11 14:22	51:21 52:6,12	151:4 159:19	27:15 34:21	period 15:6
15:2,16 16:19	52:12,17,20	particularly	35:3,17 36:3	16:16 83:15
32:6 35:14	53:10,15 54:2	67:7,13 82:10	40:2 46:17	152:8 154:16
37:2,3,6 44:2	54:12,14,15,22	89:17	47:10,12 48:8	permanent
46:21 47:13	55:9 56:3,5,7,9	parties 13:18	48:10 49:4,9	11:22 17:1
49:20 51:16	56:16,17,18	168:9	49:16,18 51:12	permanently
55:6 56:2 57:9	57:5 58:13,17	partly 23:18	52:3,8,10 53:4	109:21
57:10 127:1	60:3,6,8,11,13	partner 86:6,19	54:10 55:22	permission
parked 36:16	60:15,18,18,19	partners 10:2	56:18 58:2	67:11
49:19	61:1,5,12,14	86:2 129:12	62:3,6,15	permit 7:6 9:15
parkers 15:2	62:2 63:2 64:1	parts 28:22 94:2	63:21 64:5,5	10:15,20 11:3
17:10,13 31:1	64:4 66:22	pass 85:4,5	65:2 66:17	11:20 14:7,11
31:3,9,12,13	67:2,8 69:4,14	146:19	81:3,13,13	15:4 17:6 18:5
32:10,12,16	69:22 70:5		82:11 84:8,15	18:21 67:17
33:22 34:9,10	73:21 74:5	pass-through 113:1	86:14 88:14	72:4
39:8,10 46:19	102:20 103:1,3	_	92:16 95:11	
67:14	119:16 124:19	passage 113:16 114:7	98:3,9 99:18	permits 11:3,18 13:10 14:19
parking 6:14,22	124:20 125:1	passed 91:19	103:4 109:5	18:22 49:1
9:17 11:5,6,7	128:9 130:4,9	152:4	110:17,20	64:19,20 69:20
11:18,22 12:9	130:11 131:4	passes 98:1	110.17,20	72:16
•		passionate	116:9,22,22	
12:11 13:11,13	136:18 137:6 137:18 138:10	146:2	118:14,19	permitted 37:3 118:10 141:8
13:15,19,21			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
14:9,12,13,16 14:16,20,21	138:12,19 139:13 148:8	pasted 139:4	120:1 121:2,3	permitting 105:1
	148:11 159:4	patience 166:7 pattern 66:1,11	127:6,19 128:14,19	
15:13,14,15,20 16:1,12 17:7,9	parks 148:3	pattern 66.1,11	130:3 131:16	perpetuity 11:16
/ /	_	1 ±		
17:13,14,17,18 17:22 18:1,7	part 11:12 16:20 17:7 24:5,14	66:6 69:8 Pause 4:15,21	133:10 136:19	person 23:9 59:7 63:13 87:15
/	26:5,9 28:15	· ·	138:9,11,17,19 140:14 142:5	109:1 156:10
18:13,17 20:19	38:7 47:1,15	40:22 132:1,3	140:14 142:3	
21:3,9,11,13	· /	132:15		person's 49:13
21:16 22:6,7	48:17,20,22 53:18 54:15,17	pay 109:2 111:15 131:4	152:14 153:4 157:19,19	personal 21:22 137:17 138:22
22:11,13,17,20	•		160:10 161:3	
23:14,19 26:4	55:17 59:1	pays 115:20		146:1 163:10
26:6,7,8,13	60:2,7,19	PB-118 2:6 9:15	164:13	personally 65:9
27:21 28:14	66:22 69:2,2	PB-189 2:8 9:15	perceived 21:13	65:16 67:15
29:17 30:8	70:2 149:11	75:5	percent 56:12	87:16 88:10
32:2 33:19	160:19 161:5	PB-301 2:9 9:15	81:10,15 94:3	148:13
34:1,12 35:6	participants	PDTM 17:19	96:12,13,16,16	perspective 47:5
38:15 39:19,19	113:14 114:5	peace 122:3	96:18,19,21	95:5 155:15
L	1	1	ı	1

156:5	110:18 128:1,3	112:4 113:6,10	96:20 97:13	prepared
pertaining 80:5	143:12,17	115:1 117:5	99:8	154:14
petition 2:11	151:13 155:9	119:6,10	portal 4:2	present 4:9,11
6:20 79:17	planned 154:20	120:15 122:5,9	Porter 124:5,8	4:14,17,18,19
80:2,4,10,11	Planner 45:16	123:19 124:2	124:22 139:20	5:1,2,3,5,8,9
89:1,8 123:20	planning 1:1 2:4	125:3,9,13	146:11	5:11,13,16 6:4
136:4,11 154:8	3:8,13,20 6:17	127:15 128:22	portion 36:22	6:17 12:12,17
154:12 159:19	7:3,3,7 9:19	129:3 130:17	positive 51:4	12:22 92:10
161:9 163:17	12:17 13:19	130:21 135:7	154:13 155:1	114:2
164:5,19	53:8 74:1 77:6	142:1 165:3	158:8 161:15	presentation
petitioners	82:18 85:12	pleased 99:4	162:2,7,10,22	80:13,15 81:8
80:14,15	92:19 93:14,17	plenty 95:15	163:14 164:19	presented
petitions 123:18	98:20 102:14	plus 16:7 54:16	possibility 41:7	102:15 123:21
phase 23:12	105:2 108:18	55:16	137:2	presenting
philosophical	111:12 120:18	point 7:9 25:6	possible 13:1	13:17
150:14	126:1 135:19	36:18 41:5	28:22 32:13	preservation
phone 19:11	136:8 139:1	45:7 52:18	50:19 53:21	97:14 98:3,4,5
89:5	141:4 144:10	53:19 69:16	63:21 69:22	128:8
phonetic 19:17	145:2,6,7,9,14	81:4 83:21	150:14	preserve 98:10
19:18 121:16	145:15 146:9	86:1 90:19	post 106:11	100:17 116:18
physical 73:18	150:18 153:19	101:5,6 102:9	potential 10:3	116:19
pick 7:4 87:20	153:22 160:12	102:12 116:14	57:18 114:9	pressing 19:12
Pickett 120:15	162:1,14,19	116:15 133:10	potentially	89:6
122:9,11,11	163:6 164:12	139:18 143:4	146:7 148:6	pressure 151:3,4
piece 24:6 83:5	167:15	149:5 151:7	150:3 157:8	Preston 1:7 3:4
93:20 94:18	plans 30:6 65:9	153:19 154:21	Potter 27:6	5:7,9,21 7:19
104:16 140:21	71:9 155:10	157:2 162:10	47:19 48:1	8:22 9:11
141:20	planted 106:8	pointed 145:16	62:1	66:21 74:18,22
pieces 95:8	plantings 106:9	points 34:6	poverty 96:17	75:7,11,21
137:17	107:3	98:22 100:1	power 148:19	76:2,4,20,21
Pinchasik 23:1	plate 17:16 31:2	112:17 154:17	150:6	77:11,20 78:12
24:20,22,22	play 134:12	158:16 159:7	practices 53:9	78:13 79:13
Pine 96:2	plea 148:5	polarized 95:10	preapplication	151:21 164:15
place 23:8 30:8	Pleasant 108:1	policies 109:8	136:9	165:13,14
49:20 68:7	113:13	159:6	preceded 158:15	presumably
90:10 93:12	please 8:4,12	policy 24:11	precedent 22:2	23:13
108:1 109:8	12:22 16:10	42:14 67:1	22:14 51:9	pretty 10:22
115:22 116:1	17:21 20:1,8	69:20 102:8	123:18	34:14 35:21
122:16 132:20	20:14,16 23:2	103:2,15	predict 137:15	40:1 55:3 56:4
151:15 157:5,8	24:20 26:7	120:10 159:4	predictability	56:8
places 56:7 98:7	28:7 30:12	political 148:19	153:4,10	prevented
112:16,19	76:11 90:8,11	153:10	predicted	110:10
126:3 128:11	91:13 93:2,10	politics 145:15	106:19	previewed 13:9
129:14 135:13	94:12 95:21	pollution 22:9	prefer 29:21	previous 90:13
147:20,20,21	97:10 98:14,18	Pond 114:14,16	125:3 143:3	92:7 98:20
147:22	100:5 101:15	poor 133:4	preference	99:7 154:18
plan 11:13 17:19	103:11,21	pop 140:21	106:7 121:3	previously 19:1
19:1 33:1 36:7	105:15,18	population	127:5,7	19:9 74:9
54:3,11 56:16	107:1,8,17,20	110:19	preliminary	prices 120:9,12
59:18 72:22	109:14 111:4,8	Port 96:13,17,18	72:19 73:4	primarily 65:13
			l	l

primary 30:15	45:1 82:17	13:12 14:6,21	prospects 69:5	135:19 138:3
31:17 50:22	83:4,9 89:16	16:12,13 17:11	prospects 03.3	144:16 148:19
112:22 118:15	105:2,6 125:20	18:14 28:11	106:4 116:19	154:19 158:20
primetime	125:22 126:2	31:13 32:22	128:3	159:22 162:20
95:11	136:6,13 152:2	33:2 34:8,15		
	· ·	,	protecting 96:5	166:6 168:4,16
principles 138:7	153:10,16	40:6,15 42:21	protection 91:20	publication 24:7
prior 21:12,17	155:10,16,17	44:4,14,17	92:3,17 123:5	publications
priorities	156:10 159:16	46:16 50:13	protections	120:21 121:14
105:22 138:16	160:15	52:13 55:15	106:20 128:4	publicly-avail
priority 104:12	produce 143:14	69:3 90:18	proud 97:13	25:7
108:14	produced 144:1	91:6,8 118:6	143:22,22	PUD 11:3 12:5
Priuses 130:4	productive	118:11 120:8	149:12,17,17	15:3 18:5 19:1
privacy 128:15	13:14 16:7	Properties'	proudly 151:1	72:4,12 73:16
private 20:20	profile 121:15	16:17 31:2	proven 125:21	74:1,13 75:5
22:3,6 25:6,14	profit 120:4	property 14:7	provide 17:14	PUD/PD 73:15
49:5 102:19	157:2	14:10 19:2	30:10 70:7	punch 70:16
105:9	profit-making	20:22 21:21	79:22 84:7	purchase 102:22
privilege 111:3	109:20	25:18 50:2,15	103:1 119:18	purchases 87:2
prizes 120:10	profiting 144:18	90:20 91:2	123:4,18,19	purports 97:2
proactively 73:2	progress 17:2	142:16 153:5,7	127:10 142:9	purpose 46:14
probability	110:22 137:22	proponent 79:3	153:4 157:1	purposes 49:15
137:3	progressed 16:4	132:14	provided 12:6	61:6 153:3
probably 39:17	project 15:7	proponents	71:22 80:7	Pursuant 3:10
40:1 52:11	16:17,21 17:3	13:16 129:5,10	88:21 110:15	pushing 156:4
64:12 66:2,13	17:7,12 26:18	129:10 130:3	provides 11:6	put 13:14 22:19
69:1,12 73:11	26:18 28:16	135:15	42:12 126:22	47:20 62:8
86:6 140:22	34:3,4 46:17	proportionate	providing	86:3 95:2
150:14 153:22	46:22 47:1,12	110:4	130:14 153:9	109:8 120:22
156:5	47:16 48:3,17	proposal 13:18	provision 24:11	138:16 142:14
problem 35:16	48:20,22 56:9	14:5 20:22	73:17 133:14	147:13 149:2
64:10 121:12	60:17 81:18	22:15 72:5,19	proximity	159:7 160:18
140:17 142:18	82:12,14	98:1 99:5	150:13	putting 53:17
147:17 151:14	104:15	145:22 146:1	PTDM 45:8	68:7 140:12
154:6 160:2	projecting 42:4	147:4	PTM 54:18	
problems 22:9	projects 7:1	proposals	public 2:6 3:15	Q
36:20 45:5	13:15 14:19	149:19	3:17,18,19	qualify 26:5
51:2,4 140:12	16:2 18:2	propose 163:21	6:19,20 9:8,14	87:12,14,19
procedural 72:8	81:10,21,22	164:14	10:12 17:9	quality 128:18
procedurally	82:3,6,7,15,16	proposed 11:9	19:8,8,9 22:19	161:4
10:21 78:22	87:8 92:1,8	12:3 18:16	29:12 51:10,15	quality-of-life
procedure 136:5	99:12 106:17	20:19 21:6,12	52:22 66:6	84:13
procedures	106:18 107:2	22:11,19	79:17 80:16	question 29:20
136:12	110:7 123:7	100:13 108:3	88:19,20 89:3	31:21 33:7,21
proceed 12:16	127:1 128:9	113:15 114:16	106:8,10,12,15	36:15 39:22
12:18 57:20	139:15 141:10	122:19 125:16	106:16,22	41:9,10,18
145:18	142:3 153:15	126:10,14,20	112:9 115:19	42:20,22 43:21
proceedings 4:4	160:14	126:21 146:1	120:3 124:22	53:12,18,22
166:20 167:18	promising 66:13	146:17 154:12	125:20,22	60:17 116:17
168:7	Proofs 108:16	164:8	130:1 132:10	134:18 136:17
process 33:15	properties 11:10	proposing 21:14	132:19 135:3	137:5,15 141:2
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

	Ī	İ	l	I
145:13 148:12	14:20 15:13	reason 25:20	168:6	relating 43:6
161:1	16:1 18:1,9	26:2 31:17	recorded 3:22	relationship
questioned	Reach 109:1	38:16 43:5	recusing 9:20	10:2 55:18
114:11	reaching 121:8	72:2 85:2	Red 148:14,17	73:18
questions 7:15	read 24:10	114:17 152:22	redeveloped	relationships
8:3 12:12 19:5	73:12 144:13	167:2	11:12	10:3
19:7 29:7,8,11	144:17 167:15	reasonable	redevelopment	relatively 16:14
30:12 33:7	reader 33:11	114:2,16	7:10 11:12,16	69:1
40:19,20 41:3	62:9	160:19	14:8	released 29:13
53:6 54:8,9	readjust 156:20	reasonably	Redstone 90:7,9	relevant 96:15
64:9 67:2 84:4	ready 95:11	95:16 152:14	90:9,12	relief 16:15
88:16 132:12	125:12 154:2	reasons 22:10	reduce 18:2	51:14 84:2
132:13 135:6	reaffirm 96:2	25:3 46:7	reduced 11:19	relocation 28:16
136:14 140:8	real 84:20	48:21	15:13 122:21	28:21
143:7 166:1,10	102:18 103:5	recall 127:20	134:6	relying 148:18
quick 6:18 43:22	104:20 113:7	receive 17:13	reducing 17:6	remain 105:7
84:20 85:6	128:2 144:5	30:22	28:2 118:20	159:14
111:10 117:10	147:3 150:6	received 8:1	reduction 47:8	remainder 39:2
126:8 127:19	realignment	14:7,11 19:14	reductions	remaining
135:14 136:16	73:21	85:10 89:7,9	14:20	148:22
157:18	reality 87:12	164:9	refer 116:4	remains 104:8
quicker 157:8	142:11	recognition	referenced	131:10
quickly 32:13	realize 128:16	148:2	144:13,17	remarks 136:3
89:20	157:19 161:6	recognize 23:12	145:22 147:2	remember 81:7
quite 35:1 97:19	realizing 112:7	91:18,19 99:2	reflected 42:6	107:4 124:22
131:10 137:20	really 13:17	100:15 103:4	reflections	152:3 160:10
144:22	14:2 15:22	recognized	146:6	160:22
quorum 5:16	16:6,21 23:8	123:15	reflective 18:9	remind 47:10
quote 112:15	31:8,10 41:20	recommend	refrain 88:21	73:10 87:11
	43:2,17 52:7	49:6,22 145:19	refuses 23:18	reminder 80:4,9
$\frac{R}{R}$	54:13 67:15	146:3 148:7	regard 159:8	89:7 166:12
R 3:1 167:1,1	82:21 85:18	151:16 152:7	regarding 72:4	remote 1:5 3:12
racially 97:17	88:6 89:13	162:3	regards 65:15	3:20
raise 8:4 19:10	92:6 93:18	recommendat	Regis 20:7,8,10	remove 91:5
19:11 89:4,5	95:6,10 102:9	80:10 92:19	20:12	138:19 139:2,5
raised 45:12	103:8,18 105:6	123:20 154:10	regrets 84:21	removed 122:20
65:1 71:4 72:3	115:16 116:4	155:2 158:8	regulating	137:19 138:1,2
74:18 96:4	122:17 127:20	161:16,17	118:17	139:13,17
114:8,10 145:8	128:1,10	162:2,7,22	regulations	removing
148:9 164:2	143:20 153:16	163:15,16,22	118:4 127:21	137:18
range 141:7	155:13 156:1	164:18	137:7	renderings
ranging 115:17	156:10,16	recommendat	regulatory	93:18
rapid 159:5	157:1 158:22	145:7 163:4	144:5	rent 88:3 108:15
rate 96:17,18	159:20 160:3	recommending	Rehab 104:2	109:2 111:19
rates 94:1 153:1	160:17 161:3,8	146:4 154:3	reinforce 68:20	rental 104:4
ratio 18:3 53:21	161:15,21	reconciliation	reject 22:10	153:7
73:17	realm 129:19	94:7	relate 161:3	renter 111:3
rationale 34:22	rear 99:21	record 89:10	related 7:5 9:16	renters 94:3
148:4	122:22 134:9	125:21 132:11	168:8	131:15
ratios 11:18	147:8	163:12 167:18	relates 64:21	rents 88:1
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l

				1 agc 1 7 2
109:21	148:11	Resilient 106:2	revisiting 139:1	121:20
repeated 113:17	requires 100:16	resolved 117:12	rework 148:5	room 25:15
repeatedly	requiring 24:11	respect 94:22	rezoning 123:20	101:17
108:5	Research	respected 96:7	Richdale 94:14	Rottenin 19:17
replacing 23:14	108:16	respectively	ride 148:13	roughly 39:5
report 54:17	resemble 94:19	9:18	right 7:2 9:7	44:15
101:11 106:3	reserve 150:11	respond 98:22	12:21 19:16	routed 33:3
109:1 164:12	Residence 14:22	108:4 143:1	29:6 34:8	run 16:16
reported 53:15	99:1	response 33:21	40:17 42:22	157:18
54:13 108:8,18	residences 34:21	94:17 101:8,9	43:15 46:22	running 94:15
113:21	35:4	101:10 127:20	58:5 61:18	rush 158:5
reports 54:18	resident 18:10	responsible	62:14,16 67:18	Ruth 122:9
158:18	20:21 22:17	126:1	68:21 69:18	124:2,2,4,4
represent 73:22	25:1,14 27:22	responsive	70:19 71:7	Ryals 122:9
represent 73.22	38:11,15 39:8	31:21 126:4	73:9 75:2,3,9	124:4,4
80:12	39:10 60:9,10	rest 37:5 124:11	75:21 76:7	124.4,4
representing	97:13	127:8	77:14,16 78:19	<u> </u>
12:15	residential 9:16	restaurants	82:4 86:13,16	$\frac{\tilde{S}}{3:1} \frac{\tilde{5}}{167:1}$
_	13:7 14:9,12	84:10	89:12 101:10	Saccoccio 125:8
represents 150:6	16:2 18:8,13	restricted 98:7	105:1 107:16	127:14,17,17
Republic 121:16	20:20 28:17,19	109:22	116:11 118:3	sacrifice 142:16
_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			142:19
request 7:5 9:16	29:16 30:14,15	restriction	132:6 134:10	safe 50:18 122:1
11:1,2,4	32:17 33:9	133:22	135:6 139:20	safer 65:11
requested 10:15	34:9,21 35:14	restrictions	142:5 143:7	safety 21:15,22
12:2 16:15	39:20 41:6	110:10 128:5,8	150:4 151:16	22:4 38:4
69:19 75:4,4	51:13 55:4,6	128:19 136:21	156:7 159:10	45:13 48:1
77:18	60:9 63:21	restrictive	160:15 162:15	50:22 64:2,13
requesting 18:9	65:13 71:12	129:14	164:20 165:20	68:22
requests 10:20	residents 11:6,7	result 14:18	righted 83:8	Sage 128:22
43:6 72:20	14:17 15:16,19	42:8 99:11	Riles 124:2	130:17,17,19
require 50:1	18:18 21:3,4	134:14	Rindge 120:4	, , ,
64:15 65:14	21:10 22:4,5	resume 7:8	risk 105:8	130:19,22
82:5 99:13	22:13,18 24:1	retaliation	risks 21:15 22:5	Saif 119:10
107:1 117:20	25:7 32:2,5	112:10	River 128:12	120:15,17,17
142:3	33:9 35:11,13	retired 115:8	road 23:20 27:4	sanitation
required 11:18	35:18 36:11	returned 95:18	124:5	115:12
14:20 17:14	37:6,9,14 39:2	revealing	roads 112:21	Sara 101:15
18:1 126:22	39:18 41:8	119:14	roadways 48:4	103:21,21
133:21 134:3,4	43:15 45:13	review 7:9 89:1	48:15	104:1,1
136:8 147:11	46:3 50:22	102:14 110:5	robust 125:22	satisfy 28:14
requirement	51:12 53:17	112:19 136:4,7	role 120:19	148:3 151:2
99:21 124:14	55:3,4,5 56:1	152:7 153:13	153:9,11	saying 26:5
134:6 136:18	57:9 60:5,5,21	154:1,16,21	roll 3:16 8:11,13	44:15 50:7
requirements	60:22 63:11,20	155:17 158:13	75:17,18 76:11	62:20 66:19
9:17 13:21	63:22 65:10,11	158:17 159:2,9	76:12 78:3,5	89:22 142:17
28:14 42:7	66:3,9 68:22	160:15	165:3,4	161:7
80:5 90:14	71:11 96:11,12	reviewed 47:17	rollout 67:13	says 19:10 41:12
103:3 118:12	96:16 106:5,18	95:13	rolls 33:12	89:4 109:18
124:17,20,21	128:6 152:12	revision 119:7	rollup 61:19	120:8 151:1
134:15 148:3	residents' 42:3	revisit 139:19,22	roof 107:4	164:8
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				rage 133
scale 93:17	29:15 30:7,11	separated 34:17	shared 13:11	Sieniewicz 1:9
scenario 55:21	30:19 31:10	separately 32:9	14:21 15:14	3:5 4:16,18
128:13 134:14	33:17 62:5	separating 43:6	17:22 20:19	5:22 7:20 8:7
Schlesinger	66:9	separations separations	22:11 43:3	8:16,17 9:20
100:4 101:15	see 7:15 15:12	147:10,11	49:10,14,21,21	9:22 79:14
101:18,20,22	19:19 23:9	September 7:4,8	50:5,6 56:17	143:10 162:13
102:1 103:10	29:13 35:17	7:8	57:5 60:3,8,13	164:22 165:7
103:12,14	49:2 52:16	serious 101:2	60:18,18 66:22	166:18
119:14	53:5 57:1 65:9	serious 101.2 seriously 159:13	158:22	sign 31:3 51:4
Schneider 12:15	66:10 69:5,7	served 148:14	sharing 18:14	signage 38:13
	70:20 71:9	service 101:1		
12:16,20 13:4			57:12,18,19	signal 47:19
13:6 20:18	75:2 77:5	services 110:14	58:17 158:19	significant
30:2,5 31:20	89:11,11 93:3	serving 120:18	shift 55:22	26:15 27:17
33:20 36:18,21	94:20 111:1	152:12	shifts 30:17	47:17 74:12
37:10,13,17,20	117:11 119:14	set 18:20 22:2	shocking 129:6	89:13,13
38:5 40:10,13	121:21 131:12	33:5 90:15	shoddy 126:8	103:15 120:3
40:15 41:17	134:13 152:22	140:16 151:7	short 33:22	significantly
59:15 79:7	153:16 156:12	156:11,15	131:5,17 141:5	123:6,12
school 27:9,19	158:7,10 160:3	158:17 161:6	short-term	silent 85:14
28:4 65:17	162:6 163:8,18	168:12	13:12 16:14	silver 86:3 95:1
66:4,9	164:5 166:14	setback 88:8	42:20 43:3	95:2
schools 84:9	seeing 8:5 79:5	90:14 99:19	55:14	similar 31:7
115:19 121:7	116:16,17	118:12 124:13	shorter 125:5	36:10 54:8
Schwab 19:17	143:7	134:10 145:21	shot 70:21	136:20
sciences 131:17	seeking 13:9	147:6	showing 27:9	similarly 153:11
scope 95:4	18:1	setbacks 74:4	65:9	simply 23:20
120:22	seen 65:7 67:18	79:20 90:18	shown 81:12	35:22
score 126:8	81:18,22 82:7	91:1,2,5 94:5	125:20	single 63:13
scratch 142:13	95:9 116:14	99:22 106:14	shows 18:12	81:16,18 87:14
script 10:9	138:11 147:3	112:18 122:21	53:16 110:6	111:13 115:17
season 145:4	segregated	122:22 128:7	Shulman 6:14	121:15 134:16
seasoned 144:19	34:17 38:6,10	135:17 142:9	12:11 14:2	single-family
second 8:10	segregation	147:4,4,8,12	45:15,16 53:22	129:14
14:10 26:6	36:19	147:13 155:18	56:15,21 57:6	site 11:16 21:17
41:10 72:21	self-esteem	160:7,7,21	60:12 63:1,4,7	40:6 44:5,19
76:8,10 77:22	121:19	164:4	63:10,18	46:19 48:6
78:2 88:2	send 98:20	setting 22:13	shying 145:3	54:16 55:17,19
164:21,22	103:19 162:22	51:9 59:13	siblings 158:3	55:19 56:22
secondary	sending 163:4	seven 99:22	sic 96:3	57:8,10 66:11
112:22	sends 84:21	123:2 143:15	side 24:12 31:16	98:2 102:22
secondly 144:12	senior 28:11	shaded 140:15	32:12,14 39:18	103:1 133:10
seconds 37:19	128:13	shading 156:2	52:7 62:6	sites 7:10 99:11
section 38:10	sense 34:15 46:8	shadow 141:12	65:18 90:14,15	105:8 133:6
106:1 116:2,5	47:2,6 114:12	141:20	90:18 91:1,2,5	sitting 3:4 5:21
143:5	148:4 164:13	shadows 142:3,8	99:21 106:14	7:19 9:11
sections 112:17	sensitive 31:9	142:15	122:21 134:9	42:11,16 79:13
secure 25:19	52:19	shape 141:11	140:14 142:6	situation 27:1
69:1 111:4	sent 94:6 164:12	share 59:7,20	147:8 159:5	36:10 67:19
security 25:5	separate 83:6	64:12 69:7	sides 154:19	68:12 95:15
		U 1112 UJ11	SECRET TO THE	00.12 00.10
•	_	98:21 136:22	sidewalk 24·12	99:20 121:4
26:1 28:2	93:15 149:22	98:21 136:22	sidewalk 24:12	99:20 121:4

				1490 134
142:11 145:15	157:11	32:9 34:2,12	29:4 89:21,22	146:11,12
152:17	sorry 20:10	35:6,7,10,14	99:7 115:11	148:17 160:4
situations	23:22 71:2,3,5	36:2,5,22 37:2	131:21	squares 82:22
112:12	75:10	37:3,4,10 38:3	speaking 3:15	83:1,16 84:1
six 5:15 25:2	sort 29:15,17	38:6,8,8,11,14	29:1 99:1	90:17 93:15
87:2 110:7	38:2 42:19	38:22 39:1	113:13	98:7 110:2
115:17 130:6	48:15 52:21	41:7,11,14,22	special 7:6 9:15	118:5 119:3
163:6	53:13,20 65:6	42:9,10,16,21	10:15,20 11:3	123:8 126:15
sixth 108:19	65:9,10,14,22	42:22 43:7,15	11:3,18,20	126:19 140:13
size 93:16 95:5	66:5,10,13	43:18 44:1,5	14:7 17:6	146:7,8,16
126:3	71:9,10,10,10	44:11 46:1,5,6	18:21,22 67:16	159:21 160:4
sizes 74:4	73:11 133:7,11	46:11,19 47:4	72:4,16 146:9	ss 168:3
	133:19 135:12	47:6,7,8 49:6	specific 22:17	stabilization
skyline 149:5		′ ′		
skyscrapers 146:22	135:14,15	49:11,13 51:21	35:10,10 43:6	110:21
-	141:10 155:9	51:21 52:2,6	51:12 67:9,22	stable 114:6,19
slaughterhouses	155:12,13,14	52:20 54:12,14	110:19	Staff 1:11 4:5
139:21	155:19 156:4	54:16,22 55:10	specifically	6:4 10:10 12:9
slide 16:10	156:17	55:12,16,17,18	106:11	12:12 14:1
17:21	sought 138:18	56:5,9,18 57:7	specificity	19:22 28:20
slides 15:9,10	sounded 143:15	57:10,13 58:20	115:11	70:15 72:7
slow 33:15 61:19	sounds 70:16	59:21 60:15,20	specifics 59:14	80:7 92:7
slower 148:14	136:19 156:22	61:1,4,4,5,7	spend 144:15	105:3 132:14
slums 127:20,22	sourced 143:12	63:2,4,20	spending 46:9	135:15 162:20
small 61:12 69:1	south 159:5	66:14,16 67:20	Spetrini 1:14	166:10
69:1 73:20	space 7:10 34:13	69:21,21 130:4	6:11	staffed 30:15
118:6 135:4	36:16,21 38:15	130:9	spite 92:3	staircases 33:3
153:7	46:8 49:14,17	speak 12:11	split 162:16	stalking 119:19
smaller 104:14	50:10,11 61:8	19:10 20:3	spoke 141:3	stand 162:4,21
smart 53:8	65:10 71:9	28:12 89:4	spoken 111:13	163:1,7
Smith 19:16	73:17,20 74:3	90:3 129:7	spot 21:10,11	Standard 106:2
smoothly 61:16	74:4,5 99:13	133:4 137:16	35:17 60:11	134:7
so-called 129:11	107:2 116:19	139:6	131:4	Standards 12:5
129:19	124:14,18	speaker 20:7,13	spots 21:6,13	94:8 106:4
socialize 25:15	126:22 128:15	22:22 24:19	22:17,19 28:1	107:3 146:5
solar 146:6	131:6 132:20	28:6 90:7	29:17,18 33:9	standing 163:8
solely 17:10	133:21 134:3,5	91:12 92:21	34:7,20 35:3	starkly 149:3
Solicitor 94:6	135:4,18	93:8 94:11	37:16 39:17	start 4:5 14:4
solution 28:2,21	145:22 148:2,3	95:20 97:9	58:16 61:12	29:8,14 41:17
162:12,14	148:6 155:18	98:13 100:3	67:20 69:12,14	52:3,21 65:4
solutions 64:14	156:2	101:14 103:20	sprawl 147:18	100:7 119:13
solve 86:13	spaces 6:22 11:5	105:14 107:7	spread 86:10,17	132:12 143:8
145:12 147:17	11:10,13,15	107:19 109:12	spreads 48:14	144:15 154:1
151:14	13:13,15 14:9	111:6 112:3	sprung 112:9	started 13:22
somebody 27:8	14:12 15:5,15	113:9 114:22	square 11:11	starting 41:13
59:9 91:15	15:17,21 16:8	117:4 119:9	13:11 14:6	state 3:15 84:21
132:22 136:22	16:12 17:3,10	120:14 122:8	15:6 42:15	92:1 93:13
150:4 164:13	17:18,20 18:10	124:1 125:7	82:1 113:1	108:16 147:9
somebody's	18:14,17,18	127:13 128:21	121:12 123:10	stated 117:19
68:1 142:16	21:9 23:14	130:16	123:11 124:5,8	118:9 143:12
soon 101:4	25:7 31:15,18	speakers 19:22	124:22 139:20	143:13 146:18
	l	l		l

				rage 195
statement 95:1	48:13,17 52:14	subscribe	131:1 138:17	Swaathi 1:13
statement 93.1	54:1 55:4 56:7	167:17	138:20,21	6:11 15:8
114:10	76:13 96:2	subscribed 46:4	155:1,4 159:14	71:15 72:1
states 108:20	97:1,13 98:16	subscribed 40.4 subsequently	160:12 161:15	132:4
109:1 138:1,2	104:3 105:20	11:22	161:17	swath 91:6
statewide 138:1	107:5,11	subsidized	supported 81:19	sweeping 123:20
138:2	110:12 111:10	150:1	82:2,12 110:12	switch 64:17
stating 20:1	112:7 113:13	substantial	110:14	119:14,19
stating 20.1 status 108:17	119:13 122:12	73:22 83:18	supporting	switches 44:2
status 100.17	123:12,13	153:15	82:11	switching 65:13
117:1	125:12,13	substantive	supportive	Sydney 123:12
steer 67:15	127:18 130:20	164:1	53:10 67:12	sympathize
step 81:6 99:5	135:3 140:4	substantively	126:20 131:18	27:21
106:18 107:16	147:5,7	10:21	supposed 25:19	synergy 34:11
Stephanie 54:5	streets 73:21	substation 28:17	33:18 121:9	synopsis 127:19
steps 92:18	118:6 119:3	28:21	130:13	system 74:6
101:12	123:11	subtracting	sure 13:4 17:17	115:19 130:1
steroids 95:3	strength 150:6	133:20	26:16 30:6	
sticker 17:14	stretch 27:2	suburbs 129:15	40:13 43:18	T
sticking 94:21	124:12	success 149:15	44:13 50:18	T 1:7 3:4 5:21
stop 19:4 53:5	strict 82:9	successes 158:21	51:2 52:1 53:2	7:19 9:11
93:20 142:17	strict 62.5 striping 38:12	suggest 145:18	56:8 58:19	79:13 148:13
stopping 23:19	striping 36.12 strive 151:12	154:9 164:11	59:5 61:15	167:1,1
stories 83:22	strong 20:18	suggested	64:8,13,15	tackle 40:8
84:1 86:18,18	96:3 102:14	150:21	70:15 79:2	tailgate 62:16
91:3 94:21	111:11	suggesting	81:7 85:10	take 4:5 30:2
95:17,17	strongly 99:3	146:19 161:11	125:15 133:3	61:5 72:12,18
110:16 112:18	100:13 104:5	suggestions	134:11,12	73:7 81:6 84:3
123:2,3 133:16	117:2 142:6	155:3 162:17	140:20 142:1	85:12 127:6
146:21	145:19 151:16	Sullivan 98:14	surely 149:8	130:2 137:13
story 110:16	163:13,20	100:4,6,7	surface 83:19	137:16 147:1
straightforward	structured 43:5	Sultan 150:3	surprises 91:10	151:22 159:13
10:22	44:16	summarize	surrounded	taken 3:16 44:1
strategies 106:6	structures 43:12	10:17 163:22	131:8	122:16
streamed 4:1	123:7	summarized	surrounding	takes 7:2 123:15
street 2:7,8,10	struggling	164:11	95:6	162:20
6:21,21,21	148:12	summary 80:8	survey 81:11	talk 30:6 33:20
7:11 9:17,17	students 94:4	98:21 135:14	surviving	42:18 45:8
9:18,18 11:5,6	studied 146:18	141:1 164:17	110:19	62:11 81:5
11:7,8,8,14,19	studies 29:15	summer 106:5	Susan 100:4	88:2 102:5,8
11:20,20 12:4	47:16 61:3	support 81:20	101:15,15,18	124:19 129:20
14:7,10,13	142:3 146:5,6	82:5,8,14 96:3	101:20,22,22	166:8
23:5,16 24:1,5	study 66:11	99:3 100:13	103:12,14	talked 48:22
24:12 25:1	153:22 154:1	102:4 103:4,17	119:13,20	58:17 115:12
26:8,17,19,20	154:11 159:17	104:6 105:11	sustainability	155:19
27:3,5,6,6	159:20 161:9	107:11,17	47:9	talking 41:10
28:18 29:17	161:13 163:18	109:9,11 111:4	sustainable	44:8 61:11
33:4 46:18	stuff 65:12 88:9	111:11,18,22	147:19	67:8,19 81:8
47:20,20 48:2	submitted 77:19	113:14 117:2	Suzanne 91:13	83:22 85:9
48:6,7,11,11	127:18 132:11	125:16 128:18	93:9,9,11,11	86:1,16 94:18
	l	l		l

150:8 83:1,5 111:6 112:2,3 51:6 55:1 124:6,21 tall 94:4 118:1 terminate 44:6 112:7 113:8,9 56:10 57:22 127:19 129:6 124:7,10,11 terms 45:10 114:21,22 64:7,22 70:8 132:20 133:9 140:13,15 46:7,13 47:10 117:3,4,16 74:10 84:7,16 135:12 136:2 155:13 160:4 49:2 51:17 119:8,9 120:14 85:6 87:6,9,9 137:11,18 taller 121:10 52:19 53:13,14 120:17 122:7,8 88:10 93:4 138:5,15,17 124:9 131:9 60:14 64:2,19 123:22 124:1 94:17 97:21 139:2,12
tall 94:4 118:1 terminate 44:6 112:7 113:8,9 56:10 57:22 127:19 129:6 124:7,10,11 terms 45:10 114:21,22 64:7,22 70:8 132:20 133:9 140:13,15 46:7,13 47:10 117:3,4,16 74:10 84:7,16 135:12 136:2 155:13 160:4 49:2 51:17 119:8,9 120:14 85:6 87:6,9,9 137:11,18 taller 121:10 52:19 53:13,14 120:17 122:7,8 88:10 93:4 138:5,15,17 124:9 131:9 60:14 64:2,19 123:22 124:1 94:17 97:21 139:2,12
124:7,10,11 terms 45:10 114:21,22 64:7,22 70:8 132:20 133:9 140:13,15 46:7,13 47:10 117:3,4,16 74:10 84:7,16 135:12 136:2 155:13 160:4 49:2 51:17 119:8,9 120:14 85:6 87:6,9,9 137:11,18 taller 121:10 52:19 53:13,14 120:17 122:7,8 88:10 93:4 138:5,15,17 124:9 131:9 60:14 64:2,19 123:22 124:1 94:17 97:21 139:2,12
140:13,15 46:7,13 47:10 117:3,4,16 74:10 84:7,16 135:12 136:2 155:13 160:4 49:2 51:17 119:8,9 120:14 85:6 87:6,9,9 137:11,18 taller 121:10 52:19 53:13,14 120:17 122:7,8 88:10 93:4 138:5,15,17 124:9 131:9 60:14 64:2,19 123:22 124:1 94:17 97:21 139:2,12
155:13 160:4 49:2 51:17 119:8,9 120:14 85:6 87:6,9,9 137:11,18 taller 121:10 52:19 53:13,14 120:17 122:7,8 88:10 93:4 138:5,15,17 124:9 131:9 60:14 64:2,19 123:22 124:1 94:17 97:21 139:2,12
taller 121:10 52:19 53:13,14 120:17 122:7,8 88:10 93:4 138:5,15,17 124:9 131:9 60:14 64:2,19 123:22 124:1 94:17 97:21 139:2,12
124:9 131:9 60:14 64:2,19 123:22 124:1 94:17 97:21 139:2,12
141:7 146:21 65:16 69:19,20 125:5,7,13 98:7 122:2 140:21 141:1
Tan 1:9 4:19 71:15 73:16 127:13 128:20 151:15 152:6 144:9,10 145:14.17
165:8 83:19 91:22 128:21 130:15 153:11,11 145:14,17 123:16:15:20 123:16:15:20 126:16:16:20 155:415:25 146:16:147.1
target 21:20 133:16 159:2 130:16,22 155:4 158:5 146:16 147:1,
targeted 135:2 160:21 164:1 131:19,20 160:5 147:7 148:3
Task 106:3,11 Terrace 115:4 132:7 133:3 think 10:21 15:1 149:5 150:5,9
taught 54:13 terrifying 27:11 137:14 139:11 16:2,3 17:8 150:13,14
taxes 115:9 test 45:20 51:6 140:7 141:14 21:12 23:10 152:18 153:21
teacher 111:15 149:19 142:21,22 28:2,12 29:11 154:17 155:15
teachers 87:20 testified 132:8 143:10 151:18 31:20,20 34:11 155:15,16
team 6:11 13:3 testify 105:11 151:21 155:5,6 34:14 36:8,13 156:3,9,15,18
technological testifying 19:21 156:6,7 157:15 36:18 38:18 156:19 157:3,
157:4 testimony 89:18 158:10,12 39:11,12,17 157:10,21
technologies 113:17 146:2 159:10 163:10 40:10 42:8,19 158:5,8 159:1
147:2 thank 4:8 5:15 164:20 165:2 43:1,4 47:14 159:13,18
technology 58:5 6:5,6 7:14 8:9 165:20,21 49:2 50:3,14 160:5,10
64:4 65:11 8:11 9:7 10:6,7 166:3,5,16 50:19 51:5,8 161:10,20,22
67:10 68:3,5 10:18 12:14,21 thanking 100:10 51:19 52:4,17 162:6,8 163:3
69:13 126:17 13:4 14:1 19:6 119:13 53:8 54:9 163:16,17
157:5 20:6,12,15,17 thanks 5:17 14:1 55:10,10,12 thinking 41:5
Ted 163:12,13 22:21,22 24:18 41:2,16 98:19 56:16,19,22 64:19 138:7
Ted's 144:22 24:19 28:5,6,9 100:2 111:5 57:15 59:1,8 third 2:8,10
television 4:2 29:2,3,6 30:9 117:17 120:13 60:4,14 62:11 6:21,21 7:11
tell 27:10 44:10 33:6 38:16 132:8 143:6 64:4,12 65:12 9:17,18 11:5,7
44:22 131:3 43:20 45:15 that's 36:18 65:15 66:6,13 11:8,14,19,20
temperatures 57:2 66:20 Thats 66:18 66:16,21,22 12:2,3 14:10
106:5 69:18 76:10 thefts 21:17,18 68:5,11,13,20 14:12,21 15:2
Temple 15:6 78:3,22 79:2,3 23:22 68:21 69:19,19 15:4,5,15,20
temporary 79:7,9 80:1,11 Theodore 1:8 70:8,10,12,14 16:13 18:3,4,5
24:12 28:14 80:21,22 81:1 4:13 71:13 75:7 18:17 19:2
46:16 51:13 81:1 84:17,18 theoretically 81:21 82:16 20:19 23:16
52:9 67:14 84:19 88:16,18 57:11 134:21 83:17,21,21 24:1,5 25:1
69:3 90:4 91:11,12 theory 34:8 84:3,6,22 87:4 26:8,17,20
tenants 108:14 93:6,7,8,11 thing 43:14 88:10 90:12,13 27:3 29:16
114:11,18 94:9,11 95:19 46:12 50:11,15 90:15,21 91:3 46:18 47:14,2
129:21,21 95:20 97:8,9 51:1 52:9 93:18 95:9,11 48:1,11,11,17
tend 67:1 104:13 98:12,13 100:3 55:14 57:15 95:12 97:5 54:1 55:4,5,5
tends 23:9 35:11 100:6,12 101:1 69:1 73:12 98:6 99:15,20 56:7 57:8
Teresa 107:20 101:13,14 136:20 159:1 100:21 102:6,7 71:11 73:5
109:13,14,16
109:16
term 33:22 34:2 107:6,7,18,19 24:9 32:20 105:6 119:4,18 thoroughly
44:16 67:17 109:11,12 38:12 46:7,8 120:6 122:5 83:13

				-)
thought 14:4	152:6 153:12	top 68:21 71:10	27:14	67:21 72:16
40:18 43:1	153:14 154:3,4	83:11	treat 134:20	83:12 87:2
48:19 140:9	155:22 157:3	topic 98:20	tree 106:12,16	92:7 93:21
152:14 155:10	161:1,7,10,15	111:12	trees 106:7,14	94:9,22 96:8
159:11 162:17	161:20 164:16	topics 83:13	142:8	98:6 111:22
	166:2	total 47:8 54:15		120:4 136:7
thoughtful 53:8			trending 56:10	
151:19 155:7	timed 67:22	61:5	triangle 113:1 tried 16:6 56:8	148:7 154:1,2
163:5	timeframe	Totally 128:13		158:16 159:7
thoughts 149:20	159:8,9	touched 25:4	150:19	161:12 164:10
154:6	times 27:11 45:2	tough 110:20	trip 62:21	two-110:8
thousands 86:13	56:19 59:4	Towers 120:4	trips 46:20	type 67:16
109:5 112:1	timing 159:2	TP&T 14:2	82:18	typically 138:2
threat 114:9	Tina 112:4	38:17	trouble 93:5	U
149:4	113:10,10,12	TPDM 38:1	true 24:13 60:6	-
three 7:1 11:2	113:12	track 25:16 31:4	168:6	U-turn 61:22
13:10 14:6,21	To' 167:2	68:2 125:21	truly 121:4	U.S 137:21
16:16 18:2,13	to-be 44:4	tracked 17:16	Truman 121:11	ultimately 50:3
18:18 20:3	today 15:8,13	tracking 41:19	128:12	80:3
44:1 51:13	31:14 33:1,5	traffic 6:14 12:8	trust 68:15 87:7	unaffordable
77:12,17 85:14	39:12 48:5,9	12:11 22:8	102:3	150:11
86:9,16 91:2	58:11 68:5	23:13 24:7	truth 44:22	unassigned 36:2
111:13 120:4	96:2 162:19	26:16 27:1,17	114:13	unauthorized
125:21 130:9	told 23:5 111:19	45:13 46:20	try 50:1 53:6	62:16
152:4 158:7	Tom 1:9 3:5	47:8,10,11,11	102:12,22	underground
three- 15:5	4:16,18 5:22	47:15,16,19	117:11,14	11:5
110:8	7:20 8:7,7,9,16	48:14,19 49:3	127:9 150:20	underlining
threshold	8:17 9:20,21	49:3 53:7,15	151:9 162:5	145:1
150:22 158:17	9:22 79:14	64:21 65:15,22	trying 27:15,19	understand 9:19
threw 103:7	143:9,10	66:5,8,15 69:8	45:4 51:20	10:3 12:8
timber 146:20	151:18 152:1	70:4 142:10	53:3 66:3,4	34:21 35:18
time 3:18,19	157:13 162:6	148:8,9	140:2 149:10	42:3 58:16
11:14 13:1	162:11,13	trailing 108:21	164:5	59:11 61:10
16:1 20:1	164:8,22,22	train 148:16	TUESDAY 1:4	62:20 63:3
22:21 23:15	165:2,7 166:18	transcript 4:3	turn 7:13 20:5	64:11 70:1,2
28:10 29:22	Tom's 153:19	167:15,17	27:3 29:4 85:6	89:18 91:16
30:3 32:21	ton 31:8	168:6	86:16 131:21	98:3 142:4
42:5 58:6	tonight 6:2,10	transcripts 2:4	137:11	149:1,9,14
63:14,15 67:6	6:18 10:10,14	8:1,6	turns 100:9	159:20
67:10 68:1	13:9 28:10	transit 138:3	tweak 153:18	understanding
73:5 75:9	38:4 54:10	148:19	tweaked 160:2	57:6 58:22
82:13,14 83:15	64:14 78:20	transit- 83:2	twelfth 166:15	161:5
85:8 89:16,22	81:2 84:4 85:3	transparently	twenty-ninth	understands
90:21 93:1,21	89:19 99:1	158:22	162:19	102:7 144:10
100:10 103:11	107:11 148:22	transportation	twice 106:9	unelected 101:6
105:10 111:5	154:19	6:14 12:9 38:1	130:7	unfair 101:6
114:5,6 118:22	tool 86:3,22	45:16,17 54:2	two 5:16 16:16	Unfortunately
131:11,17	119:4 143:20	122:2 130:1	30:14,14,15,17	40:9
143:15 144:15	toolbox 86:3,22	trash 87:20	34:6 37:19	unhappy 149:1
146:2 147:16	93:20	traveling 144:14	44:15 52:12	unimportant
148:9 149:2	tools 127:9	treacherous	57:21 66:8	163:2
		l	l	l

	I	I		I
unintended 91:9	Upland 124:5	various 86:8	volunteer 65:4	103:7,16
unique 27:1	upshot 127:22	138:17	volunteering	104:11,15,21
127:4	upzoned 118:11	variously 161:6	100:10	115:19 116:15
unit 81:16 150:1	upzoning 119:3	vegetation 106:8	vote 8:11 9:4	117:17 119:2
150:2 153:7	urban 106:4	106:15	12:19 77:4	119:13 120:8
United 108:20	141:3 147:7	vehicles 15:19	78:4,16 108:2	124:20 133:4
units 14:9,12	urge 22:10,16	16:19 39:7	142:18 162:3	135:22 138:7
86:21 87:10,12	93:12,22 94:5	verify 4:6	163:9 165:17	138:10 141:20
87:13,14 96:22	95:18 101:11	versa 34:10	voted 80:3	142:10 147:13
104:4,14,18,19	109:11 127:11	38:15	108:13	151:13,22
109:21 110:7	urgency 114:6	version 82:1	voters 95:10	152:22 153:18
110:11 130:9	urgent 99:2	versus 14:6	votes 3:15	157:15 159:14
131:14,16	109:7	49:14	voting 9:5 77:2	160:3 161:16
143:14,17,18	usage 73:17	vestibules 32:19	78:17 165:18	161:21 162:8,9
144:1,1 150:9	74:10	vibrant 97:7	voucher 87:13	162:14,16,21
150:11,11,12	use 3:12 11:13	99:6		163:9,12
150:17 152:9	13:14 15:5	vice 1:7 34:10	W	wanted 32:1
152:11 153:17	16:7,12 17:20	38:15	wait 109:8 113:6	68:18 72:13
University	18:8 22:4 24:4	Vice-President	116:6,6 145:10	83:1 84:5 96:4
146:11,12	25:2 34:8 35:7	28:11	153:21 159:18	115:10 131:1
unlimited	39:7,16 42:16	victim 21:18	waited 121:17	138:19,19
112:16 161:1	46:5,8 47:3,6	victims 145:5	waiting 33:15,16	158:12,16
unmute 19:22	48:21 50:18	video 3:22	86:14 87:18	159:7 163:16
89:21 117:11	52:5,9 54:12	142:12 144:15	109:6,10 112:1	163:18
117:14	57:7,13 58:21	view 103:8	127:3,4,8	wants 106:20
unmuting 20:8	60:5 63:8,19	149:5	waitlist 104:9	112:13 129:20
23:2 28:7	63:19 65:14	visible 4:9,11,14	121:2	162:2 163:20
101:16 103:22	66:22 68:4	4:17,18,20 5:1	Walden 81:22	warehousing
107:21 117:6	92:13 93:12,13	5:2,4,5,8,9,12	walk 25:11	128:14
120:16 124:3	106:13 147:4	5:14	Walker 103:21	wasn't 51:4 71:6
127:15 130:17	148:18 156:2	visibly 111:20	105:14,17,19	140:22
unpleasant	useable 37:1	vision 133:4	105:19	watch 144:15
91:10	user 59:9	151:13	walking 66:6,11	watched 107:13
unprecedented	users 11:11,13	visiting 84:21	wall 147:5,7	watching 101:3
152:19	11:15 17:17	visitors 28:1	Waltz 115:1	way 14:16 15:15
unsafe 28:3	57:8,19,20	voice 115:10	117:5,15,17	16:4 17:6
50:19 112:12	58:5 62:17	131:1	119:7	19:16 24:4
unserved 112:11	uses 33:14 74:3	voiceless 115:10	want 9:21 13:22	26:21 27:4
unused 26:6	utilization 6:22	voices 96:7	15:16 28:12	32:11,16 33:1
46:5 47:3,6	18:10 41:19	Volpe 7:6,10	35:7 42:18	33:5,10 43:5,8
52:20 55:10	42:4 43:11	11:15 17:3,6	43:9,14 49:10	45:22 48:6
update 2:3 6:2	53:14	17:11 26:18	50:2 52:21	49:4,8 56:10
7:15 10:10,11	utilizing 51:22	34:3,4 46:17	57:13,17 67:5	57:3 61:22
79:22 141:6	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	47:1,12,15,16	67:15 68:4,8,9	62:8 67:22
144:8	·	48:3,6,16,20	68:20 70:20	68:15 73:1
updated 10:11	vacancy 21:13	48:22 52:5	85:13 86:1	92:17 96:10
146:5	vacant 15:17,20	54:14,16 55:19	87:11 88:2	106:8,15
updates 6:18	16:7 42:11	56:9 57:8,10	89:11 91:6	115:15 116:21
7:12	vacation 145:4	60:17,17	98:9,9,21	122:16 133:19
updating 140:10	value 25:22 97:2	volume 162:17	100:9 102:5,8	134:18 139:21
	l	l		l

141:11 148:15	39:11 42:10	wisely 54:10	111:14 134:19	42:17,17 87:15
149:12 163:7,9	56:6 65:7,12	wish 19:9 23:5	150:4	115:16 127:6
163:9,20	70:16 79:7	89:3 92:5	world 92:11,15	137:21 143:16
ways 36:9 48:8	81:18,22 82:7	withdraw 10:5	95:3 149:14	144:1
60:14 117:18	82:15 85:10	witness 168:12	worried 21:18	years 14:3,18
140:2	108:5 124:18	woman 111:13	worry 21:21	16:6,16 17:4,4
we'll 7:5,16	138:11 147:3	woman's 116:10	worsen 22:8	18:12 20:21
10:10 20:2	149:12 164:9	wonder 65:19	worst-worst	25:2 34:5 38:9
32:20 38:18	weak 126:9		55:21	
		wonderful 20:17	worth 145:1	39:12,15,16
40:20,20 54:21	webpage 3:20	84:16		41:20 44:2,15
58:8 73:13	week 7:3 100:11	wondering	worthy 128:7	45:18,20 46:2
75:13,17,18,20	100:11 115:14	65:21	wouldn't 25:17	51:3 53:8 55:9
77:12 104:17	130:7 166:13	Woodrow	52:3 68:8 73:5	67:21,21 68:5
105:4,7 119:15	weekend 166:14	109:17	84:8 154:9	69:13 85:15
139:22	weeks 87:3	words 57:13	160:15 163:8	86:11 93:21
we're 6:12 7:2	158:2	60:16	wow 85:1	97:14,22
9:8 13:17 18:2	weigh 157:16	work 16:4 18:1	wrap 20:4 90:3	100:20 101:3
19:5,6 26:21	Welcome 3:7	27:10 29:1	93:2 103:13,14	107:12 109:5
27:18 29:7	went 43:8 49:19	33:1 36:11	119:6	115:5,6,18
31:9 41:22	85:7 102:11	38:3 42:14	written 19:14	121:17 125:21
42:20,21 43:12	West 96:11,16	43:10 49:14	24:6 80:8 89:8	127:6 143:15
43:17 51:10	96:17 97:1	51:18 55:20	89:8,17 98:21	143:22 148:13
52:2,2,7,7,16	Westbrook 1:10	57:21 61:20	127:18 132:10	149:13 152:4,8
53:7,10 54:9	3:6 5:3,5 6:1	64:15 66:4	wrong 58:20	152:13 154:1,2
55:3 58:14	7:21 8:20,21	67:15 68:8,11		154:5 157:9
60:13 61:10	9:13 41:2	68:14,16 69:12	<u>X</u>	158:6 161:12
64:13 66:13	68:19 76:18,19	70:3 72:7	x 2:1 67:20,20	yeas 41:13
67:7 70:11	78:10,11 79:15	112:16 115:8	Y	Yep 80:19,22
71:20,20 75:8	136:16 137:8	123:10,11	-	135:8
75:8,9,22 76:4	137:10 156:8	131:16 151:9	yard 79:20	yesterday 19:13
79:10 81:8	165:11,12	158:7 160:14	106:14 122:21	89:9
83:21,22 85:8	whereof 168:12	worked 14:2	147:4,8,8	yield 88:15
85:9 86:16	whichever 47:13	28:20 34:14	yeah 32:5 34:6	113:5
88:1,3,12 99:4	wide 23:21	54:7 155:20	36:8 37:12	young 26:1 27:8
104:3,16,16,22	widgets 128:14	160:16	38:21 39:9	27:18 90:7
106:17 116:16	William 95:21	worker 38:14	40:12 43:22	91:13,13,15
116:17 117:10	97:10,10,12,12	115:12	44:21 53:22	92:22 93:3
121:7,9 122:14	Williamson	workers 87:16	57:4 59:16	
126:7 130:2,12	127:14 128:22	working 15:9	60:4 62:11	Z
131:8 132:9	129:2,4	28:13 35:8	69:16,17 71:6	Zall 147:15
135:6 140:2,16	willing 12:18	37:22 45:18	71:13,14 75:7	zero 147:6
141:10 142:13	34:20 35:2,17	47:3 49:17	76:9 77:12	zip 84:13
142:13 143:19	willingness	52:10 53:7	103:14 132:17	Zipcar 21:5
145:6 146:8	166:1,8	73:1 105:6	135:10,11,12	Zondervan
147:18 149:8	willy-nilly 23:20	110:9 116:12	136:16 137:8	80:13 84:20
149:10 151:4	Wilson 109:17	151:14 152:15	140:5 141:21	zoned 139:20,21
157:13 158:6,6	wind 141:12	works 30:17	142:2 155:8	zones 147:21
158:8 162:11	146:5	45:6 54:5	156:8	148:7
we've 12:6 14:2	windows 147:13	56:17 61:16	year 15:6 16:8	zoning 2:11 6:8
16:11 29:1	windup 10:19	67:2,4 68:1	30:16 40:2	6:10,20 13:20
	·			

	I	I	I	1
16:3 60:2 74:9	86:17,18 94:21	41:8 61:5	46:18 47:6,7	4
79:17,19 80:4	95:17 97:12	2000 60:20 61:1	52:6 55:11	4 108:1
87:21 92:12	107:10 110:3	61:3	57:7 58:6,7,14	40 107:12 115:5
106:2 113:4	112:18 123:2	2004 14:11	58:16 59:13,19	400 110:7
123:15,18	124:10 168:18	2014 15:4 16:22	60:1 63:19	111:19 131:3
127:20 128:2,5	12-story 142:15	2015 14:14	259 14:21	40B 91:22 92:2
129:15 134:19	157:20	15:14 41:20	120:17	92:4 93:13
139:19 140:4	13 8:2 20:21	2018 41:21	26 7:8	103:8 150:22
145:7,21	135 16:18	2022 83:10	269 98:16	40D 91:19
147:12 149:10	136 96:2	2023 1:4 3:8,10	27 8:2 143:22	43 105:19 115:6
149:13,21	1369 150:4	8:3 110:5	149:13	45 96:13,14
151:8 153:3,9	15 84:1 86:11	168:13	270 55:11	48 130:9
	95:16 110:17	2026 11:14 17:2	130:20	482 14:11
0	112:18 123:3	41:13,14	275 15:17 41:22	
0.35 18:4	124:4,10	168:18	42:10	5
0.4 18:3,4	150' 157:20,21	2030 121:9	28.6 96:16	5 2:3 93:11
0.7 18:3,4	1500 104:4	143:14	280 104:3	5:00 19:13 59:2
0.8 96:18	16 100:7	2033 17:2	285 26:9 32:12	89:9
01 144:7	1627 105:1	2070 106:20	287 38:22	50 96:12 97:13
09:48 166:20	17 115:4 151:5	130:8	29 1:4 3:8	97:22 100:20
1	17.8 96:18	2072 82:10		101:3
	170 107:4	91:17 92:4,16	3	50.87 109:3
150:10 84:12	18 8:2 146:21	101:5 103:7,17	3,200 143:14	50/50 162:11
108:13 136:17	18-year-old	112:10	30 12:22 68:5	500 47:8 58:2
137:1 144:7	111:14	209 55:5	69:13 99:13	521 63:2
156:19 160:10	180' 157:20	21 83:10 96:13	104:18 109:2	527 14:12
1,000 135:3	1800 61:4 104:9	2100 115:20	129:8 134:5	55 127:17 144:1
1,000-foot 132:19	189 73:15	213 23:4 119:12	300 127:5,6	55,000 115:16
1,500 144:1	19 7:8 115:17	218 39:12	303 2:8 6:21	585 26:18
1.3 97:1	1918 140:5	22 4:2	9:17 11:5,7,14	59 122:12
1.7 18:3	194 14:9	22,000 121:2	11:20 12:3	
10 44:12 67:21	195 2:7 6:21	22,500 127:4	14:10 15:2,4,5	6
83:6 86:11	9:17 11:8,19	225 39:13	15:15 16:13	6,500 121:3
112:6 150:21	12:2 14:7,22	2290 106:1	18:4,5,17 19:2	127:4
10-year 116:6	15:18,19 18:3	24/7 30:16	20:19 24:1	6/13/2023 2:5
10-year 110.0 100 38:9 56:12	55:5	240 39:5	25:1 26:8	6/20/2023 2:5
81:10,15	1996 14:8	249 2:10 6:21	29:16 31:16	6/27/2023 2:5
102:12 131:19	2	9:18 11:8,19	46:18 47:14	6:30 1:4 3:3
150:8		12:2 14:12	48:11,16 54:1	6:32 5:20
1000 60:20,21	2 3:10 50:11	15:20 18:3	55:4 56:7 57:8	6:34 7:18
60:21,22	79:18 96:2	55:5	76:13	6:35 9:10
11 79:18 83:6	128:13 155:11	25 151:7	34 102:1	60 17:4 89:7
11.207.7.6 94:7	2- 39:5	250 11:10,15	34.5 96:21	137:20
11.207.7.0 94.7 110-degree	2.0 156:22	13:13 16:7,12	345 111:10	600 58:2
106:19	2.7 96:16	17:3 18:14	36 30:19	616 143:18
113.5 113:12	20 8:2 15:20	27:14 33:14	362 125:11	62 96:22
116 110:11	94:3 127:6	35:6 38:8	365 30:16	7
127:1	143:18 148:13	39:12 41:4,8	37 39:1	
12 7:4 83:22	20' 106:8,15	41:18 42:11	375 55:10	72:4 109:17
14 /.4 03.22	200 14:9 15:5	44:1 46:15,17	39 17:4	7/18/2023 2:5
	l	l	l	l

		Page	201
79 2:11			
8			
8 80:2,8,14 89:2			
116:2 140:22 144:16			
8/8/2023 2:11			
8:01 79:12			
80' 141:10 800 55:16			
82 94:14			
84 18:17			
85-unit 14:13 8because 116:5			
9 9 2:8 19:12 89:6			
9:00 59:2			
90,000 87:15 112:14			
112.14			
	l		