

## Chapter 3

### 3.1 Primes and Greatest Common Divisors

Definition: A prime is an integer greater than 1 that is divisible by no positive integers other than 1 and itself. An integer greater than 1 that is not a prime is called composite.

ex! 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31, 37, 41, ...

Lemma 3.1: Every integer greater than 1 has a prime divisor.

Proof: We use the method of contradiction.

Suppose there is an integer greater than 1 that has no prime divisor.

Let  $n$  be the smallest such integer.

Then, since  $n|n$  and  $n$  is not prime,  $n$  should be composite.

Then,  $n = ab$  for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  with  $1 < a, b < n$ .

Since, for example,  $a < n$ ,  $a$  should have a prime divisor ( $\because$   $n$  is the least  $n$  with no prime divisors).

Let  $k$  be a prime divisor of  $a$ .

Then,  $k \mid a$  and  $a \mid n$ , so we get  $k \mid n$  (Theorem 1.8).

This is a contradiction since we assumed that  $n$  has no prime divisors. Hence the result.

Theorem 3.1: There are infinitely many primes.

Proof: The proof is again by the method of contradiction.

Suppose there are finitely many primes.

Let them be  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n$ .

Let  $p = p_1 p_2 \dots p_n + 1$

By Lemma 3.1,  $p$  has a prime divisor, say  $p_j$ . (it should be one of  $p_i$ 's).

Then,  $p_j \mid p$  and  $p_j \mid p_1 p_2 \dots p_n$ .

$$\Rightarrow p_j \mid (p - p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n)$$

$\Rightarrow p_j \mid 1$ , a contradiction.

Hence, there are infinitely many primes.

\* Let  $p_i$  be the  $i$ th prime. Then  $p_1 = 2$ ,  
 $p_2 = 3$ ,  $p_3 = 5$ , ...

\*  $p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n + 1$  is not always a prime  
(Justify it!)

Theorem 3.2: If  $n$  is a composite integer  
then  $n$  has a prime factor  
less than or equal to  $\sqrt{n}$ .

Proof: Suppose  $n$  is composite.

Then,  $n = ab$  for some  $1 < a, b < n$ .

Without loss of generality, assume  $a \leq b$ .

Then,  $1 < a \leq b < n$ .

Note that  $a \leq \sqrt{n}$  because, otherwise,  
 $b \geq a > \sqrt{n}$  and hence  $ab > n$ , a  
contradiction.

By Lemma 3.1,  $a$  has a prime divisor  $d$  and then by Theorem 1.8,  $d$  is a prime divisor of  $n$ , which is clearly less than or equal to  $\sqrt{n}$ .

Definition:  $\pi(x)$  = number of primes less than or equal to  $x$ ,  
 $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$

$$\text{ex: } \pi(1)=0, \pi(2)=1, \pi(3)=2, \pi(3.2)=2 \\ \pi(5)=3, \pi(10)=4$$

Theorem 3.3 (Dirichlet's Theorem on Primes in Arithmetic Progressions)

Let  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$  where  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

Then, the arithmetic progression  $(an+b)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  has infinitely many primes.

Proof: No simple proof is known. Will look at a proof if time permits.

\* Some special cases of Theorem 3.3 can be proved fairly easily. For example, we can prove that there are infinitely many primes of the form  $4n+3$ .

