

Convex Learning Problems

Peng Lingwei

July 19, 2019

Contents

12 Convex Learning Problem	1
12.1 CONVEXITY,LIPSCHITZNESS,AND SMOOTHNESS	1
12.1.1 Convexity	1
12.1.2 Lipschitzness	2
12.1.3 Smoothness	2
12.2 CONVEX LEARNING PROBLEMS	2
12.2.1 Learnability of Convex Learning Problems	3
12.2.2 Convex-Lipschitz/Smooth-Bounded Learning Problems	3
12.3 SURROGATE LOSS FUNCTIONS	4

12 Convex Learning Problem

Convex learning problems can be learn efficiently. 0–1 loss function is nonconvex function, and is computationally hard to learn in the unrealizable case.

12.1 CONVEXITY,LIPSCHITZNESS,AND SMOOTHNESS

12.1.1 Convexity

Definition 12.1. (*Convex Set*). $\forall \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}$, then $\forall \alpha \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$\alpha \mathbf{u} + (1 - \alpha) \mathbf{v} \in C.$$

Definition 12.2. (*Convex Function*). Let C be a convex set. A function $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex if $\forall \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in C$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$,

$$f(\alpha \mathbf{u} + (1 - \alpha) \mathbf{v}) \leq \alpha f(\mathbf{u}) + (1 - \alpha) f(\mathbf{v}).$$

For convex differentiable functions,

$$\forall f(\mathbf{u}) \geq f(\mathbf{w}) + \langle \nabla f(\mathbf{w}), \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{w} \rangle.$$

Keep Convexity:

- $g(x) = \max_{i \in [r]} f_i(x)$
- $g(x) = \sum_{i=1}^r w_i f_i(x)$, where for all $i, w_i \geq 0$

12.1.2 Lipschitzness

Definition 12.3. (*Lipschitzness*). Let $C \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. A function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ is ρ -Lipschitz over C if $\forall \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \in C$, we have

$$\|f(\mathbf{w}_1) - f(\mathbf{w}_2)\| \leq \rho \|\mathbf{w}_1 - \mathbf{w}_2\|.$$

Intuitively, Lipschitzness constrains $f'(u)$.

Let $f(\mathbf{x}) = g_1(g_2(\mathbf{x}))$, where g_1 is ρ_1 -Lipschitz and g_2 is ρ_2 -Lipschitz. Then, f is $(\rho_1\rho_2)$ -Lipschitz.

12.1.3 Smoothness

Definition 12.4. (*Smoothness*). A differentiable function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ at \mathbf{w} is β -smooth if its gradient is β -Lipschitz; namely, $\forall \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}$ we have

$$\|\nabla f(\mathbf{v}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{w})\| \leq \beta \|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}\|.$$

β -Smoothness implies that

$$f(\mathbf{v}) \leq f(\mathbf{w}) + \langle \nabla f(\mathbf{w}), \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w} \rangle + \frac{\beta}{2} \|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}\|^2.$$

Setting $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{w} - \frac{1}{\beta} \nabla f(\mathbf{w})$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2\beta} \|\nabla f(\mathbf{w})\|^2 \leq f(\mathbf{w}) - f(\mathbf{v}).$$

If we assume that $\forall \mathbf{v}, f(\mathbf{v}) \geq 0$, we conclude that smoothness implies *self-bounded*:

$$\|\nabla f(\mathbf{w})\|^2 \leq 2\beta f(\mathbf{w}).$$

Let $f(\mathbf{w}) = g(\langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x} \rangle + b)$, where $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a β -smooth function, then f is $(\beta\|\mathbf{x}\|^2)$ -smooth.

12.2 CONVEX LEARNING PROBLEMS

Symbols: a hypothesis classes set \mathcal{H} , a set of examples Z , and a loss function $l : \mathcal{H} \times Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$

\mathcal{H} can be an arbitrary set. In this chapter, we consider hypothesis classes set $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{R}^d$.

Definition 12.5. (*Convex Learning Problem*). A learning problem, (\mathcal{H}, Z, l) , is called *convex* if the hypothesis class \mathcal{H} is convex set and $\forall z \in Z$, the loss function, $l(\cdot, z)$, is a convex function (which means $f : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f(\mathbf{w}) = l(\mathbf{w}, z)$).

Lemma 12.1. If l is a convex loss function and the class \mathcal{H} is convex, then the $ERM_{\mathcal{H}}$ problem, of minimizing the empirical loss over \mathcal{H} , is a convex optimization problem.

12.2.1 Learnability of Convex Learning Problems

Is convexity a sufficient condition for the learnability of a problem? The answer is **NO**.

Example 12.1. (Nonlearnability of Linear Regression Even If $d = 1$). Let $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{R}$, and the loss be the squared loss: $l(w, (x, y)) = (wx - y)^2$. We assume A is a successful PAC learner for this problem.

Choose $\epsilon = 1/100$, $\delta = 1/2$, let $m \geq m(\epsilon, \delta)$ and set $\mu = \frac{\ln(100/99)}{2m}$. We get two points $z_1 = (1, 0)$ and $z_2 = (\mu, -1)$, then we construct two distributions: $\mathcal{D}_1 = \{(z_1, \mu), (z_2, 1 - \mu)\}$, and $\mathcal{D}_2 = \{(z_2, 1)\}$

The probability that all examples of the training set will be z_2 is at least 99%.

$$(1 - \mu)^m \geq e^{-2\mu m} = 0.99.$$

If $\hat{w} < -1/(2\mu)$, then $L_{\mathcal{D}_1}(\hat{w}) = \mu(\hat{w})^2 + (1 - \mu)(\hat{w}\mu + 1)^2 \geq \mu(\hat{w})^2 \geq 1/(4\mu)$. However, $\min_w L_{\mathcal{D}_1}(w) \leq L_{\mathcal{D}_\infty(0)} = (1 - \mu)$, it follows that, $L_{\mathcal{D}_\infty}(\hat{w}) - \min_w L_{\mathcal{D}_1}(w) \geq \frac{1}{4\mu} - (1 - \mu) > \epsilon$. ($\mu < 0.0051$, which means $1/(4\mu) - (1 - \mu) > 48 \gg \epsilon$).

If $\hat{w} \geq -1/(2\mu)$, then $L_{\mathcal{D}_2}(\hat{w}) = (\hat{w}\mu + 1)^2 \geq 1/4 > \epsilon$.

All in all, the problem is not PAC learnable.

In addition to the convexity requirement, we also need \mathcal{H} will be bounded. But the above example is still not PAC learnable. This motivate a definition of two families of learning problems, convex-Lipschitz-bounded and convex-smooth-bounded.

12.2.2 Convex-Lipschitz/Smooth-Bounded Learning Problems

Definition 12.6. (Convex-Lipschitz-Bounded Learning Problem). A learning problem, (\mathcal{H}, Z, l) , is called Convex-Lipschitz-Bounded, with parameters ρ, B if:

- The hypothesis class \mathcal{H} is a convex set and bounded (parameter is B).
- For all $z \in Z$, the loss function, $l(\cdot, z)$, is a convex and ρ -Lipschitz function.

Example 12.2. Let $\mathcal{X} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|x\| \leq \rho\}$ and $\mathcal{Y} = \mathbb{R}$. Let $\mathcal{H} = \{w \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|w\| \leq B\}$ and let the loss function be $l(w, (x, y)) = |\langle w, x \rangle - y|$.

Proof. $|l(w_1, (x, y)) - l(w_2, (x, y))| \leq |\langle w_1 - w_2, x \rangle| \leq \|x\| \cdot \|w_1 - w_2\|$ \square

Definition 12.7. (Convex-Smooth-Bounded Learning Problem). A learning problem, (\mathcal{H}, Z, l) , is called Convex-Smooth-Bounded, with parameters β, B if:

- The hypothesis class \mathcal{H} is a convex set and bounded (parameter is B).
- For all $z \in Z$, the loss function, $l(\cdot, z)$, is a convex, nonnegative and β -Smooth function.

Example 12.3. Let $\mathcal{X} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|x\|^2 \leq \beta/2\}$ and $\mathcal{Y} = \mathbb{R}$. Let $\mathcal{H} = \{w \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|w\| \leq B\}$ and let the loss function be $l(w, (x, y)) = (\langle w, x \rangle - y)^2$.

Proof. $\|\nabla l(w_1, (x, y)) - \nabla l(w_2, (x, y))\| = 2\|x\langle w_1 - w_2, x \rangle\| = 2\|x\|^2\|w_1 - w_2\|$ \square

12.3 SURROGATE LOSS FUNCTIONS

The 0 – 1 loss function is not convex.

$$l^{0-1}(\mathbf{w}, (\mathbf{x}, y)) = \mathbf{1}\{y\langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x} \rangle \leq 0\}.$$

Proof. Let \mathcal{H} be the class of homogeneous halfspaces in \mathbb{R}^d . Let $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{e}_1, y = 1$, and consider the sample $S = \{\mathbf{x}, y\}$. Let $\mathbf{w} = -\mathbf{e}_1$. Then, $\langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x} \rangle = -1$ and $L_S(h_{\mathbf{w}}) = 1$. Let $\mathbf{w}', s.t. \epsilon \in (0, 1) \text{ and } \|\mathbf{w}' - \mathbf{w}\| \leq \epsilon$. Then, $\langle \mathbf{w}', \mathbf{x} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{w}' - \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x} \rangle = -1 - \langle \mathbf{w}' - \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x} \rangle \leq -1 + \epsilon \|\mathbf{x}\| \leq -1 + \epsilon < 0$, which means $L_S(\mathbf{w}') = 1$. \square

The requirements from a convex surrogate loss are as follows:

- It should be convex.
- It should be upper bound th original loss.

Definition 12.8. (*hinge loss*).

$$l^{hinge}(\mathbf{w}, (\mathbf{x}, y)) = \max\{0, 1 - y\langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x} \rangle\}.$$

Then, we have:

$$L_{\mathcal{D}}^{hinge}(A(S)) \leq \min_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{H}} L_{\mathcal{D}}^{hinge}(\mathbf{w}) + \epsilon.$$

$$L_{\mathcal{D}}^{0-1}(A(S)) \leq \min_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{H}} L_{\mathcal{D}}^{hinge}(\mathbf{w}) + \epsilon.$$

We can further rewrite the upper bound as follows:

$$L_{\mathcal{D}}^{0-1} \leq \min_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{H}} L_{\mathcal{D}}^{0-1}(\mathbf{w}) + \left(\min_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{H}} L_{\mathcal{D}}^{hinge}(\mathbf{w}) - \min_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{H}} L_{\mathcal{D}}^{0-1}(\mathbf{w}) \right) + \epsilon.$$

The 0 – 1 error of the learned predictor is upper bounded by three terms:

- Approximation error: the first term.
- Optimization error: the second term.
- Estimation error: the third term.