

Nearest Neighbor

Peng Lingwei

August 16, 2019

Contents

19 Nearest Neighbor	2
19.1 NEAREST NEIGHBOR	2
19.2 ANALYSIS 1-NN	2
19.3 Chernoff Bound	3
19.4 Analysis k-NN	4

19 Nearest Neighbor

19.1 NEAREST NEIGHBOR

1. Instance domain $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}) \sim \mathcal{D}$;
2. Metric function $\rho : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$;
3. Training examples $S = ((\vec{x}_1, y_1), \dots, (\vec{x}_m, y_m))$;
4. For each $\vec{x} \in \mathcal{X}$, let $(\pi_1(\vec{x}), \dots, \pi_m(\vec{x})) = \pi(\rho(\vec{x}, \vec{x}_1), \dots, \rho(\vec{x}, \vec{x}_m))$
5. Rules of k-NN in classification: return the majority label among $\{y_i : \pi_i(\vec{x}) \leq k\}$
6. Rules of k-NN in regression: return $h_S(\vec{x}) = \frac{\sum_{\pi_i \leq k} \rho(\vec{x}, \vec{x}_i) y_i}{\sum_{\pi_j \leq k} \rho(\vec{x}, \vec{x}_j)}$

19.2 ANALYSIS 1-NN

1. $\mathcal{X} = [0, 1]^d$, $\mathcal{Y} = \{0, 1\}$, $l(h, (\vec{x}, y)) = 1_{[h(\vec{x}) \neq y]}$, ρ is the Euclidean distance;
2. Define conditional probability: $\eta(\vec{x}) = \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{D}}[y = 1 | \vec{x}]$;
3. Bayes optimal rule: $h^*(\vec{x}) = 1_{[\eta(\vec{x}) > 1/2]}$;
4. Assume that η is c-Lipschitz: $\forall \vec{x}, \vec{x}' \in \mathcal{X}, |\eta(\vec{x}) - \eta(\vec{x}')| \leq c \|\vec{x} - \vec{x}'\|$

Lemma 1. *In 1-NN:*

$$\mathbb{E}_{S \sim \mathcal{D}^m} [L_{\mathcal{D}}(h_S)] \leq 2L_{\mathcal{D}}(h^*) + c \mathbb{E}_{S \sim \mathcal{D}^m, \vec{x} \sim \mathcal{D}} [\|\vec{x} - \vec{x}_{i:\pi_i(\vec{x})=1}\|]$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{S \sim \mathcal{D}^m} \{L_{\mathcal{D}}(h_S)\} &= \mathbb{E}_{S \sim \mathcal{D}^m} \{\mathbb{E}_{(\vec{x}, y) \sim \mathcal{D}} [1_{[h_S(\vec{x}) \neq y]]}\} \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{S_x \sim \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}^m, \vec{x} \sim \mathcal{D}, y \sim \eta(\vec{x}), y' \sim \eta(x_{i:\pi_i(\vec{x})=1})} [1_{[y \neq y']}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{S_x \sim \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}^m, \vec{x} \sim \mathcal{D}} \left[\mathbb{P}_{y \sim \eta(\vec{x}), y' \sim \eta(x_{i:\pi_i(\vec{x})=1})} [y \neq y'] \right] \end{aligned}$$

For any two domain points \vec{x}, \vec{x}' :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_{y \sim \eta(\vec{x}), y' \sim \eta(\vec{x}')} &= \eta(\vec{x}')(1 - \eta(\vec{x})) + (1 - \eta(\vec{x}'))\eta(\vec{x}) \\ &= 2\eta(\vec{x})(1 - \eta(\vec{x})) + (\eta(\vec{x}) - \eta(\vec{x}'))(2\eta(\vec{x}) - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Using $|2\eta(\vec{x}) - 1| \leq 1$ and the assumption that η is c -Lipschitz, then

$$\mathbb{P}_{y \sim \eta(\vec{x}), y' \sim \eta(\vec{x}')} = 2\eta(\vec{x})(1 - \eta(\vec{x})) + c\|\vec{x} - \vec{x}'\|.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{S \sim \mathcal{D}} [L_{\mathcal{D}}(h_S)] &\leq \mathbb{E}_{\vec{x} \sim \mathcal{D}} [2\eta(\vec{x})(1 - \eta(\vec{x}))] + c \mathbb{E}_{S_x \sim \mathcal{D}, \vec{x} \sim \mathcal{D}} [\|\vec{x} - \vec{x}_{i:\pi_i(\vec{x})=1}\|] \\ L_{\mathcal{D}}(h^*) &= \mathbb{E}_{\vec{x} \sim \mathcal{D}} [\min \{\eta(\vec{x}), 1 - \eta(\vec{x})\}] \geq \mathbb{E}_{\vec{x}} [\eta(\vec{x})(1 - \eta(\vec{x}))]. \end{aligned}$$

□

Then we bound the second part of preceeding inequation's right side.

Lemma 2. Let C_1, \dots, C_r be a collection of subsets of some domain set \mathcal{X} . Then,

$$\mathbb{E}_{S \sim \mathcal{D}^m} \left[\sum_{i: C_i \cap S = \emptyset} \mathbb{P}[C_i] \right] \leq \frac{r}{me}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_{S \sim \mathcal{D}^m} \left[\sum_{i: C_i \cap S = \emptyset} \mathbb{P}[C_i] \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r \mathbb{P}[C_i] \mathbb{E}_{S \sim \mathcal{D}^m} [1_{C_i \cap S = \emptyset}] = \sum_{i=1}^r \mathbb{P}[C_i] \mathbb{P}_{S \sim \mathcal{D}} [C_i \cap S = \emptyset] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r \mathbb{P}[C_i] (1 - \mathbb{P}[C_i])^m \leq \sum_{i=1}^r \mathbb{P}[C_i] e^{-\mathbb{P}[C_i]m} \\ &\leq r \max_i \mathbb{P}[C_i] e^{-\mathbb{P}[C_i]m} \leq \frac{r}{me} \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 1. $\mathbb{E}_{S \sim \mathcal{D}^m} [L_{\mathcal{D}}(h_S)] \leq 2L_{\mathcal{D}}(h^*) + 2c\sqrt{d}m^{-\frac{1}{d+1}}$

Proof. We cut $\mathcal{X} = [0, 1]^d$ into $N \times \dots \times N$ hypertable, which divide sample space into $r = N^d$ pieces, C_1, \dots, C_r .

$\forall \vec{x}, \vec{x}'$, if they are in the same box, we have $\|\vec{x} - \vec{x}'\| \leq \frac{\sqrt{d}}{T}$. Otherwise, $\|\vec{x} - \vec{x}'\| \leq \sqrt{d}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{\vec{x}, S} [\|\vec{x} - \vec{x}_{i: \pi_i(\vec{x})=1}\|] &\leq \mathbb{E}_S \left[\mathbb{P}[\cup_{i: C_i \cap S = \emptyset} C_i] \sqrt{d} + \mathbb{P}[\cup_{i: C_i \cap S \neq \emptyset} C_i] \sqrt{d}/T \right] \\ &\leq \sqrt{d} \left(\frac{T^d}{me} + \frac{1}{T} \right) \leq \sqrt{d} \left(\frac{me}{d} \right)^{-\frac{1}{d+1}} \left\{ \frac{1}{d} + 1 \right\} \\ &\leq 2\sqrt{d}m^{-1/(d+1)} \end{aligned}$$

□

The theorem shows that if we want the error gap is smaller than ϵ , the sample size $m \geq (2c\sqrt{d}/\epsilon)^{d+1}$, we call it the “curse of dimensionality”.

$\forall c > 1$, guarantees $\eta(\vec{x})$ is c -Lipschitz. If $m \leq (c+1)^d/2$, the true error of the rule L is greater than $1/8$ with probability greater than $1/7$. (The proof is in the book.)

19.3 Chernoff Bound

Chebyshev’s Inequality only requires the pairwise independence of the variables $\{X_i\}$. Donote $Z = \sum X_i$, so the bound

$$\forall a > 0, \mathbb{P}[\|Z - \mathbb{E}[Z]\| \geq a] = \mathbb{P}[(Z - \mathbb{E}[Z])^2 \geq a^2] \leq \frac{\text{Var}[Z]}{a^2}$$

is not satisfying for i.i.d. variables X_i .

Theorem 2. Let X_1, \dots, X_m be independent Bernoulli variables where for every i , $\mathbb{P}[X_i = 1] = p_i$ and $\mathbb{P}[X_i = 0] = 1 - p_i$. Let $Z = \sum_{i=1}^m X_i$ and $p = \mathbb{E}[Z] = \sum_{i=1}^m p_i$.

1. Upper Tail: $\forall \delta > 0, \mathbb{P}(Z \geq (1 + \delta)\mu) \leq e^{-\frac{\delta^2}{2+\delta}\mu}$;

2. Lower Tail: $\forall \delta \in (0, 1), \mathbb{P}(Z \leq (1 - \delta)\mu) \leq e^{-\frac{\delta^2}{2+\delta}\mu}$

Proof. Step1: $\delta > 0$:

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{tZ}] = \mathbb{E}[e^{t \sum_i X_i}] = \prod_i \mathbb{E}[e^{tX_i}] = \prod_i (p_i e^t + (1 - p_i)) \leq \prod_i e^{p_i(e^t - 1)} = e^{p(e^t - 1)}$$

$$\mathbb{P}[Z \geq (1 + \delta)p] \leq \min_{t>0} \frac{\mathbb{E}[e^{tZ}]}{e^{(1+\delta)tp}} \leq \min_{t>0} e^{p(e^t - 1) - (1+\delta)tp} = e^{-p[(1+\delta)\ln(1+\delta) - \delta]}$$

Let's take a break, and study the function $f(\delta) = \ln(1 + \delta) - \frac{\delta}{1+k\delta}$: $f'(\delta) = \frac{k^2\delta^2 + (2k-1)\delta}{(1+\delta)(1+k\delta)^2}$. If $k \geq \frac{1}{2}$, $\forall \delta > 0, f(\delta) \geq f(0) = 0 \Rightarrow \ln(1 + \delta) \geq \frac{\delta}{1+k\delta}$.

$$\mathbb{P}[Z \geq (1 + \delta)p] \leq e^{-p \cdot \frac{(1-k)\delta^2}{1+k\delta}} = e^{-p \frac{\delta^2}{2+\delta}}$$

Step2: $\delta \in (0, 1)$:

$$\mathbb{P}[Z \leq (1 - \delta)p] \leq \min_{t>0} \frac{\mathbb{E}[e^{-tZ}]}{e^{-tp(1-\delta)}} \leq \min_{t>0} e^{p(e^{-t} - 1) + tp(1-\delta)} \leq e^{-p((1-\delta)\ln(1-\delta) + \delta)}$$

$$(1 - \delta)\ln(1 - \delta) + \delta = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\delta^{i+1}}{i(i+1)} \geq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-\delta)^{i+1}}{i(i+1)} = ((1 + \delta)\ln(1 + \delta) - \delta)$$

Then, we can get the same bound:

$$\mathbb{P}[Z \leq (1 - \delta)p] \leq e^{-p \cdot \frac{(1-k)\delta^2}{1+k\delta}} = e^{-p \frac{\delta^2}{2+\delta}}$$

□

19.4 Analysis k-NN

Lemma 3. Let C_1, \dots, C_r be a collection of subsets of some domain set, \mathcal{X} . Then $\forall k \geq 2$,

$$\mathbb{E}_{S \sim \mathcal{D}^m} \left[\sum_{i: |C_i \cap S| < k} \mathbb{P}[C_i] \right] \leq \frac{2rk}{m}.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{S \sim \mathcal{D}^m} \left[\sum_{i: |C_i \cap S| < k} \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{D}}[C_i] \right] &= \mathbb{E}_{S \sim \mathcal{D}^m} \left[\sum_{i=1}^r \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{D}}[C_i] 1_{|C_i \cap S| < k} \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{D}}[C_i] \mathbb{P}_{S \sim \mathcal{D}}[|C_i \cap S| < k] \end{aligned}$$

If $k \geq \mathbb{P}[C_i] m/2$,

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{D}}[C_i] \mathbb{P}_{S \sim \mathcal{D}}[|C_i \cap S| < k] \leq \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{D}}[C_i] \leq \frac{2k}{m}$$

If $k < \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{D}}[C_i] m/2$, then

$$\mathbb{P}_{S \sim \mathcal{D}}[|C_i \cap S| < k] \leq \mathbb{P}_{S \sim \mathcal{D}}\left[|C_i \cap S| < \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right) \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{D}}[C_i] m\right] \leq e^{-\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{D}}[C_i] m/10}$$

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{D}}[C_i] \mathbb{P}_{S \sim \mathcal{D}}[|C_i \cap S| < k] \leq \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{D}}[C_i] e^{-\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{D}}[C_i] m/10} \leq \frac{10}{me} \leq \frac{4}{m} \leq \frac{2k}{m}$$

□

Lemma 4. Let $p = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k p_i$, and $p' = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k X_i$. Then

$$\mathbb{E}_{X_1, \dots, X_k} \mathbb{P}_{y \sim p}[y \neq 1_{[p' > 1/2]}] \leq \left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{8}{k}}\right) \mathbb{P}_{y \sim p}[y \neq 1_{[p > 1/2]}]$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{X_1, \dots, X_k} \mathbb{P}_{y \sim p}[y \neq 1_{[p' > 1/2]}] &= p(1 - \mathbb{P}_{X_1, \dots, X_k}[p' > 1/2]) + (1 - p)(\mathbb{P}_{X_1, \dots, X_k}[p' > 1/2]) \\ &= p + (1 - 2p)(\mathbb{P}_{X_1, \dots, X_k}[p' > 1/2]) \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbb{P}_{X_1, \dots, X_k}[p' > 1/2] = \mathbb{P}_{X_1, \dots, X_k}\left[\sum_{i=1}^k X_i \geq k/2\right] = \mathbb{P}_{X_1, \dots, X_k}\left[\sum_{i=1}^k X_i \geq (1 + \frac{1}{2p} - 1)kp\right]$$

If $p \leq \frac{1}{2}$, $\mathbb{P}_{X_1, \dots, X_k}[p' > 1/2] \leq e^{-kph(\frac{1}{2p}-1)} = e^{-kp + \frac{k}{2}(\log(2p)+1)}$

(If $p > \frac{1}{2}$, we study the random variables $1 - X_1, \dots, 1 - X_k$, the error times keep unchanged.)

There is a inequation: $(1 - 2p)e^{-kp + \frac{k}{2}(\log(2p)-1)} \leq p\sqrt{\frac{8}{k}}$

$$\mathbb{E}_{X_1, \dots, X_k} \mathbb{P}_{y \sim p}[y \neq 1_{[p' > 1/2]}] \leq \left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{8}{k}}\right) p$$

□

Lemma 5. $\forall p, p' \in [0, 1], y' \in \{y, y'\}, \mathbb{P}_{y \sim p}[y \neq y'] - \mathbb{P}_{y \sim p'}[y \neq y'] \leq |p - p'|$.

Proof. If $y' = 0$, $\mathbb{P}_{y \sim p}[y \neq 0] - \mathbb{P}_{y \sim p'}[y \neq 0] \leq p - p$;

If $y' = 1$, $\mathbb{P}_{y \sim p}[y \neq 1] - \mathbb{P}_{y \sim p'}[y \neq 1] \leq (1 - p) - (1 - p') = p' - p$. □

Theorem 3. Let C_1, \dots, C_r be the cover of the set \mathcal{X} using boxes of length ϵ .

$$\mathbb{E}_S[L_{\mathcal{D}}(h_S)] \leq \left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{8}{k}}\right) L_{\mathcal{D}}(h^*) + (3c\sqrt{d} + 2k)m^{-1/(d+1)}.$$

Proof. First we get a loose bound:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_{S \sim \mathcal{D}} [L_{\mathcal{D}}(h_S)] &\leq \mathbb{E}_{S \sim \mathcal{D}} \left[\sum_{i: |C_i \cap S| < k} P_{\mathcal{D}} [C_i] \right] \\ &\quad + \max_i \mathbb{P}_{S, (\vec{x}, y)} \left[h_S(\vec{x}) \neq y \mid \forall j \in [k], \|\vec{x} - \vec{x}_{j: \pi_j(\vec{x})} \leq k\| \leq \epsilon \sqrt{d} \right]\end{aligned}$$

If a cell doesn't contain k instances from the training set and test point \vec{x} gets from this “bad cell”, we think it's a kind of mistake. Only if test point \vec{x} gets from a “good cell”, there is probability for correct prediction.

Let $p = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \eta(\vec{x}_i) < 1/2$.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_{y_1, \dots, y_m} \mathbb{P}_{y \sim \eta(\vec{x})} [h_S(\vec{x}) \neq y] &\leq \mathbb{E}_{y_1, \dots, y_m} \mathbb{E}_{y \sim p} [h_S(\vec{x}) \neq y] + |p - \eta(\vec{x})| \\ &\leq \left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{8}{k}}\right) \mathbb{P}_{y \sim p} [1_{[p > 1/2]} \neq y] + |p - \eta(\vec{x})| \\ &\leq \left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{8}{k}}\right) (\min\{\eta(\vec{x}), 1 - \eta(\vec{x})\} + |p - \eta(\vec{x})|) + |p - \eta(\vec{x})| \\ &\leq \left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{8}{k}}\right) L_{\mathcal{D}}(h^*) + \left(2 + \sqrt{\frac{8}{k}}\right) |p - \eta(\vec{x})| \\ &\leq \left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{8}{k}}\right) L_{\mathcal{D}}(h^*) + 3c\epsilon\sqrt{d}\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{S \sim \mathcal{D}} [L_{\mathcal{D}}(h_S)] \leq \left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{8}{k}}\right) L_{\mathcal{D}}(h^*) + 3c\epsilon\sqrt{d} + \frac{2k}{m\epsilon^d}$$

$$\text{If } \epsilon = m^{-1/(d+1)}, \mathbb{E}_{S \sim \mathcal{D}} [L_{\mathcal{D}}(h_S)] \leq \left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{8}{k}}\right) L_{\mathcal{D}}(h^*) + (3c\sqrt{d} + 2k)m^{-1/(d+1)}$$

□