Regularization and Stability

Peng Lingwei

April 24, 2019

Contents

13	Reg	gularization and Stability	1
	13.1	REGULARIZED LOSS MINIMIZATION	1
		13.1.1 Ridge Regression	1
	13.2	STABLE RULES DO NOT OVERFIT	2
	13.3	TIKHONOV REGULARIZATION AS A STABILIZER	3
		13.3.1 Lipschitz Loss	3
		13.3.2 Smooth and Nonnegative Loss	4
	13.4	CONTROLLING THE FITTING-STABLITY TRADEOFF	5

13 Regularization and Stability

An algorithm is considered stable if a slight change of its input does not change its output much. It's closed to learnability.

13.1 REGULARIZED LOSS MINIMIZATION

Regularized Loss Minimization (RLM):

$$\arg\min_{\mathbf{w}} \left(L_S(\mathbf{w}) + R(\mathbf{w}) \right).$$

Tikhonov regularization: $\lambda \|\mathbf{w}\|^2$

A learning rule: $A(S) = \underset{\mathbf{w}}{\operatorname{arg min}} \left(L_S(\mathbf{w}) + \lambda ||\mathbf{w}||^2 \right)$ has two interpretation:

- Structural risk minimization. We define $\mathcal{H} = \cup \mathcal{H}_n$, which satisfies: $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset \mathcal{H}_2 \subset \mathcal{H}_3 \ldots$, where $\mathcal{H}_i = \{\mathbf{w} : ||\mathbf{w}|| \leq i\}$.
- Stabilizer.

13.1.1 Ridge Regression

Definition 13.1. (ridge regression). Performing linear regression using following equation:

$$\underset{\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^d}{\arg \min} \left(\lambda \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{2} (\langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}_i \rangle - y_i)^2 \right)$$
 (13.1)

The solution to ridge regression becomes:

$$\mathbf{w} = (2\lambda mI + A)^{-1}\mathbf{b} \tag{13.2}$$

in which, A is a positive semidefinite matrix.

Theorem 13.1. Let $\mathcal{X} \times [-1,1] \sim \mathcal{D}$, where $\mathcal{X} = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d : ||\mathbf{x}|| \leq 1\}$, and $\mathcal{H} = \{\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^d : ||\mathbf{w}|| \leq B\}$. $\forall \epsilon \in (0,1)$, let $m \geq 150B^2/\epsilon^2$. Then, applying the ridge regression algorithm with parameter $\lambda = \epsilon/(3B^2)$ satisfies

$$\underset{S \sim \mathcal{D}^m}{\mathbb{E}} [L_{\mathcal{D}}(A(S))] \leq \min_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{H}} L_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{w}) + \epsilon.$$

Proof. The proof is in the next section.

Exercise 13.1 tells us how an algorithm with a bounded expected risk can be used to construct an agnostic PAC learner.

Example 13.1. *empty*

13.2 STABLE RULES DO NOT OVERFIT

Symbols in following sections:

- Training set: $S = (z_1, \ldots, z_m)$.
- An additional example z'.
- Replacing training set: $S^{(i)} = (z_1, ..., z_{i-1}, z', z_{i+1}, ..., z_m)$.
- Uniform distribution over [m]: U(m).

Theorem 13.2.

$$\mathbb{E}_{S \sim \mathcal{D}^m}[L_{\mathcal{D}}(A(S)) - L_S(A(S))] = \mathbb{E}_{(S, z') \sim \mathcal{D}^{m+1}, i \sim U(m)}[l(A(S^{(i)}, z_i)) - l(A(S), z_i)]$$
(13.3)

Proof. The proof is trivial.

When the right-hand side of Equation 13.3 is small, we say that A is a stable algorithm. In light of Theorem 13.2, the algorithm should both fit the training set and at the same time be stable.

Definition 13.2. (On-Average-Replace-One-Stable). Let $\epsilon(m): \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a monotonically decreasing function. We say that a learning algorithm A is on-average-replace-one-stable with rate $\epsilon(m)$ if for every distribution \mathcal{D}

$$\mathbb{E}_{(S,z')\sim \mathcal{D}^{m+1}, i\sim U(m)}[l(A(S^{(i)}, z_i)) - l(A(S), z_i)] \le \epsilon(m)$$
 (13.4)

13.3 TIKHONOV REGULARIZATION AS A STABILIZER

Tikhonov regularization leads to a stable algorithm.

Definition 13.3. (Strongly Convex Functions). For $\alpha \in (0,1)$

$$f(\alpha \mathbf{w} + (1 - \alpha)\mathbf{u}) \le \alpha f(\mathbf{w}) + (1 - \alpha)f(\mathbf{u}) - \frac{\lambda}{2}\alpha(1 - \alpha)\|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{u}\|^2$$
 (13.5)

We have

$$f(\mathbf{w}) - f(\mathbf{w}^*) \ge \frac{\lambda}{2} \|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}^*\|^2.$$

 $(\mathbf{w}^* \text{ is minimum point}).$

Let $A(S) = \underset{\mathbf{w}}{\operatorname{arg \, min}} \left(L_S(\mathbf{w}) + \lambda \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 \right)$, and $f_S(\mathbf{w}) = L_S(\mathbf{w}) + \lambda \|\mathbf{w}\|^2$. Then

$$f_S(\mathbf{v}) - f_S(A(S)) \ge \lambda \|\mathbf{v} - A(S)\|^2 \tag{13.6}$$

We also have:

$$f_{S}(\mathbf{v}) - f_{S}(\mathbf{u}) = L_{S}(\mathbf{v}) + \lambda \|\mathbf{v}\|^{2} - (L_{S}(\mathbf{u}) + \lambda \|\mathbf{u}\|^{2})$$

$$= L_{S(i)}(\mathbf{v}) + \lambda \|\mathbf{v}\|^{2} - (L_{S(i)}(\mathbf{u}) + \lambda \|\mathbf{u}\|^{2})$$

$$+ \frac{l(\mathbf{v}, z_{i}) - l(\mathbf{u}, z_{i})}{m} + \frac{l(\mathbf{u}, z') - l(\mathbf{v}, z')}{m}$$
(13.7)

which means:

$$f_S(A(S^{(i)})) - f_S(A(S)) \le \frac{l(A(S^{(i)}), z_i) - l(A(S), z_i)}{m} + \frac{l(A(S), z') - l(A(S^{(i)}), z')}{m}$$
(13.8)

Combining this with Equation 13.6, we obtain that:

$$\lambda \|A(S^{(i)}) - A(S)\|^2 \le \frac{l(A(S^{(i)}), z_i) - l(A(S), z_i)}{m} + \frac{l(A(S), z') - l(A(S^{(i)}), z')}{m}$$
(13.9)

13.3.1 Lipschitz Loss

Let loss function $l(\cdot, z_i)$ be $\rho - Lipschitz$, then:

$$\begin{aligned} &l(A(S^{(i)}), z_i) - l(A(S), z_i) \le \rho \|A(S^{(i)}) - A(S)\| \\ &l(A(S), z') - l(A(S^{(i)}), z') \le \rho \|A(S^{(i)}) - A(S)\| \\ &\lambda \|A(S^{(i)}) - A(S)\|^2 \le \frac{2\rho \|A(S^{(i)}) - A(S)\|}{m} \\ &l(A(S^{(i)}), z_i) - l(A(S), z_i) \le \frac{2\rho^2}{\lambda m} \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we get

$$\mathbb{E}_{S \sim \mathcal{D}^m}[L_{\mathcal{D}}(A(S)) - L_S(A(S))] \le \frac{2\rho^2}{\lambda m}$$
(13.10)

Theorem 13.3. Assume that the loss function is convex and ρ – Lipschitz. Then, the RLM rule with the regularizer $\lambda \|\mathbf{w}\|^2$ is on-average-replace-one-stable with rate $\frac{2\rho^2}{\lambda m}$.

13.3.2 Smooth and Nonnegative Loss

If the loss is β -smooth and nonnegative then it is also self-bounded: $\|\nabla f(\mathbf{w})\|^2 \le 2\beta f(\mathbf{w})$.

$$l(A(S^{(i)}), z_i) - l(A(S), z_i)$$

$$\leq \|\nabla l(A(S), z_i)\| \|A(S^{(i)}) - A(S)\| + \frac{\beta}{2} \|A(S^{(i)}) - A(S)\|^2$$

$$\leq \sqrt{2\beta l(A(S), z_i)} \|A(S^{(i)}) - A(S)\| + \frac{\beta}{2} \|A(S^{(i)}) - A(S)\|^2$$
(13.11)

We also have:

$$l(A(S), z') - l(A(S^{(i)}), z') \le \sqrt{2\beta l(A(S^{(i)}), z')} ||A(S^{(i)}) - A(S)|| + \frac{\beta}{2} ||A(S^{(i)}) - A(S)||$$
(13.12)

Put these two equation into Equation 13.11, we can get:

$$||A(S^{(i)}) - A(S)|| \le \frac{\sqrt{2\beta}}{\lambda m - \beta} \left(\sqrt{l(A(S), z_i)} + \sqrt{l(A(S^{(i)}), z')} \right)$$

We assume $\lambda \geq 2\beta/m$, we have

$$||A(S^{(i)}) - A(S)|| \le \frac{\sqrt{8\beta}}{\lambda m} \left(\sqrt{l(A(S), z_i)} + \sqrt{l(A(S^{(i)}), z')} \right)$$

Combining the preceding with Equation 13.11, we have

$$l(A(S^{(i)}), z_{i}) - l(A(S), z_{i}) \leq \sqrt{2\beta l(A(S), z_{i})} ||A(S^{(i)}) - A(S)|| + \frac{\beta}{2} ||A(S^{(i)}) - A(S)||^{2}$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{4\beta}{\lambda m} + \frac{4\beta^{2}}{(\lambda m)^{2}}\right) \left(\sqrt{l(A(S), z_{i})} + \sqrt{l(A(S^{(i)}), z')}\right)^{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{6\beta}{\lambda m} \left(\sqrt{l(A(S), z_{i})} + \sqrt{l(A(S^{(i)}), z')}\right)^{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{12\beta}{\lambda m} \left(l(A(S), z_{i}) + l(A(S^{(i)}), z')\right)$$
(13.13)

This proves the following theorem.

Theorem 13.4.

$$\mathbb{E}[l(A(S^{(i)}), z_i) - l(A(S), z_i)] \le \frac{24\beta}{\lambda m} \mathbb{E}[L_S(A(S))]$$
 (13.14)

If $\forall z, l(\mathbf{0}, z) \leq C$, then we have $L_S(A(S)) \leq L_S(\mathbf{0}) \leq C$, which means

$$\mathbb{E}[l(A(S^{(i)}), z_i) - l(A(S), z_i)] \le \frac{24\beta C}{\lambda m}$$

13.4 CONTROLLING THE FITTING-STABLITY TRADE-OFF

$$\mathbb{E}_S[L_{\mathcal{D}}(A(S))] = \mathbb{E}_S[L_S(A(S))] + \mathbb{E}_S[L_{\mathcal{D}}(A(S)) - L_S(A(S))]$$
(13.15)

- The first term is empirical risks of A(S).
- The second term is the stability of A(S).
- There is trade-off between these two terms.

Then we derive bounds on the empirical risk term for the RLM rule.

$$L_S(A(S)) \le L_S(A(S)) + \lambda ||A(S)||^2 \le L_S(\mathbf{w}^*) + \lambda ||\mathbf{w}^*||^2$$

Taking expectation of both sides w.r.t. S, we obtain that

$$\mathbb{E}_{S}[L_{S}(A(S))] < L_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{w}^{*}) + \lambda \|\mathbf{w}^{*}\|^{2}$$

Theorem 13.5.

$$\forall \mathbf{w}, \mathbb{E}_S[L_{\mathcal{D}}(A(S))] \leq L_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{w}) + \lambda \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + \frac{2\rho^2}{\lambda m}$$

In practice, we usually do not know the norm of \mathbf{w}^* , we usually tune λ on the basis of a validation set, as described in Chapter 11.

If $\forall \mathbf{w}, \|\mathbf{w}\| \leq B$, we have

$$\forall \mathbf{w}, \mathbb{E}_{S}[L_{\mathcal{D}}(A(S))] \leq \min_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{H}} L_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{w}) + \rho B \sqrt{\frac{8}{m}} \quad \left(\lambda = \sqrt{\frac{2\rho^2}{B^2 m}}\right)$$

Now we consider the loss function is smooth and nonnegative, then we get

$$\forall \mathbf{w}, \mathbb{E}_{S}[L_{\mathcal{D}}(A(S))] \leq \left(1 + \frac{24\beta}{\lambda m}\right) \mathbb{E}_{S}[L_{S}(A(S))] \leq \left(1 + \frac{24\beta}{\lambda m}\right) (L_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{w}) + \lambda \|\mathbf{w}\|^{2})$$

Let us play with this equation:

$$\mathbb{E}_{S}[L_{\mathcal{D}}(A(S))] \leq \left(1 + \frac{24\beta}{\lambda m}\right) \left(L_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{w}) + \lambda \|\mathbf{w}\|^{2}\right)$$

$$= L_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{w}) + \frac{24\beta L_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{w})}{\lambda m} + \lambda \|\mathbf{w}\|^{2} + \frac{24\beta \|\mathbf{w}\|^{2}}{m}$$

$$\leq L_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{w}) + \frac{24\beta L_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{w})}{\lambda m} + \lambda B^{2} + \frac{24\beta B^{2}}{m}$$

$$\leq L_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{w}) + \frac{24\beta C}{\lambda m} + \lambda B^{2} + \frac{24\beta B^{2}}{m} \quad (L_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{w}) \leq C)$$

$$\leq L_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{w}) + \frac{24\beta CB^{2}}{\alpha \epsilon m} + \alpha \epsilon + \frac{24\beta B^{2}}{m} \quad \left(\lambda = \frac{\alpha \epsilon}{B^{2}}, \alpha \in (0, 1)\right)$$

If we want to get $\mathbb{E}_S[L_{\mathcal{D}}(A(S))] \leq \min_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{H}} L_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{w}) + \epsilon$, we need

$$m \ge \frac{C + \alpha \epsilon}{(1 - \alpha)\alpha \epsilon^2} \cdot 24\beta B^2$$
 or $m \ge \frac{2C + \epsilon}{\epsilon^2} \cdot 48\beta B^2$ $(\alpha = 1/2)$