

# Rademacher Complexities

Peng Lingwei

August 5, 2019

## Contents

<b>26 Rademacher Complexities</b>	<b>2</b>
26.1 THE RADEMACHER COMPLEXITY . . . . .	2
26.1.1 Rademacher Calculus . . . . .	4
26.2 RADEMACHER COMPLEXITY OF LINEAR CLASSES . . . .	6
26.3 GENERALIZATION BOUNDS FOR SVM . . . . .	7

## 26 Rademacher Complexities

1. **Uniform convergence** is a sufficient condition for learnability.
2. **Rademacher complexities** measures the rate of uniform convergence.

### 26.1 THE RADEMACHER COMPLEXITY

**Definition 1. ( $\epsilon$ -Representative Sample).** (w.r.t. domain  $Z = (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}) \sim \mathcal{D}$ , hypothesis class  $\mathcal{H}$ , loss function  $l$ ). A training set  $S$  is called  $\epsilon$ -representative if

$$\sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}} |L_{\mathcal{D}}(h) - L_S(h)| \leq \epsilon$$

We have  $m_{\mathcal{H}}(\epsilon, \delta) \leq m_{\mathcal{H}}^{UC}(\epsilon/2, \delta)$ .

**Definition 2. (The representativeness of  $S$  with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$ ).**

$$Rep_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{F}, S) := \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} (L_{\mathcal{D}}(f) - L_S(f)) \quad (1)$$

where,

$$\mathcal{F} := l \circ \mathcal{H} := \{z \mapsto l(h, z) : z \in Z, h \in \mathcal{H}\}$$

$$f \in \mathcal{F}, \quad L_{\mathcal{D}}(f) = \mathbb{E}_{z \sim \mathcal{D}}[f(z)], \quad L_S = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m f(z_i).$$

Analogizing the concept of validation set which used to estimate the representativeness of  $S$ , we define **Rademacher complexity**.

**Definition 3. (The rademacher complexity of  $\mathcal{F}$  w.r.t.  $S$ ).**

$$R(\mathcal{F} \circ S) := \frac{1}{m} \mathbb{E}_{\sigma \sim \{\pm 1\}^m} \left[ \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_i f(z_i) \right] \quad (2)$$

where,

$$\mathcal{F} \circ S = \{(f(z_1), \dots, f(z_m)) : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$$

$$\sigma = \{\sigma_i : \mathbb{P}[\sigma_i = 1] = \mathbb{P}[\sigma_i = -1] = 0.5\}$$

More generally, given a set of vectors,  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ , we define

$$R(A) := \frac{1}{m} \mathbb{E}_{\sigma} \left[ \sup_{\mathbf{a} \in A} \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_i \mathbf{a}_i \right]$$

**Lemma 1.**

$$\mathbb{E}_{S \sim \mathcal{D}^m} [Rep_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{F}, S)] \leq 2 \mathbb{E}_{S \sim \mathcal{D}^m} R(\mathcal{F} \circ S) \quad (3)$$

*Proof.* Let  $S' = \{z'_1, \dots, z'_m\}$  be another i.i.d. sample. Then,

$$L_{\mathcal{D}}(f) - L_S(f) = \mathbb{E}_{S'}[L_{S'}(f)] - L_S(f) = \mathbb{E}_{S'}[L_{S'}(f) - L_S(f)]$$

$$Rep_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{F}, S) = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} (L_{\mathcal{D}}(f) - L_S(f)) = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} (\mathbb{E}_{S'}[L_{S'}(f) - L_S(f)])$$

$$\leq \mathbb{E}_{S'} \left[ \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} (L_{S'}(f) - L_S(f)) \right]$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{S \sim \mathcal{D}^m} [\text{Rep}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{F}, S)] \leq \mathbb{E}_{S, S'} \left[ \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} (L_{S'}(f) - L_S(f)) \right] \leq \frac{1}{m} \mathbb{E}_{S, S'} \left[ \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{i=1}^m (f(z'_i) - f(z_i)) \right]$$

In some techniques, we can get:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{S, S'} \left[ \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{i=1}^m (f(z'_i) - f(z_i)) \right] &= \mathbb{E}_{S, S', \sigma} \left[ \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_i (f(z'_i) - f(z_i)) \right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}_{S, S', \sigma} \left[ \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_i (f(z'_i)) + \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{i=1}^m (-\sigma_i) f(z_i) \right] \\ &= m \mathbb{E}_{S'} [R(\mathcal{F} \circ S')] + m \mathbb{E}_S [R(\mathcal{F} \circ S)] = 2m \mathbb{E}_S [R(\mathcal{F} \circ S)]. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 1.**

$$\mathbb{E}_{S \sim \mathcal{D}^m} [L_{\mathcal{D}}(\text{ERM}_{\mathcal{H}}(S)) - L_S(\text{ERM}_{\mathcal{H}}(S))] \leq 2 \mathbb{E}_{S \sim \mathcal{D}^m} R(l \circ \mathcal{H} \circ S)$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{S \sim \mathcal{D}^m} [L_{\mathcal{D}}(\text{ERM}_{\mathcal{H}}(S)) - L_S(h^*)] \leq 2 \mathbb{E}_{S \sim \mathcal{D}^m} R(l \circ \mathcal{H} \circ S), \text{ where } h^* = \arg \min_h L_{\mathcal{D}}(h)$$

Because  $L_{\mathcal{D}}(\text{ERM}_{\mathcal{H}}(S)) - L_{\mathcal{D}}(h^*) \geq 0$ , then

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ L_{\mathcal{D}}(\text{ERM}_{\mathcal{H}}(S)) - L_{\mathcal{D}}(h^*) \geq \frac{2 \mathbb{E}_{S' \sim \mathcal{D}^m} R(l \circ \mathcal{H} \circ S')}{\delta} \right\} \leq \delta$$

**Lemma 2. (McDiarmid's Inequality).**

If

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_m) - f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x'_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_m) \in [a_i, b_i].$$

then,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P} \{ f - \mathbb{E}f \geq \epsilon \} &\leq \exp \left( \frac{-2\epsilon^2}{\sum_{i=1}^m (a_i - b_i)^2} \right) \\ \mathbb{P} \{ f - \mathbb{E}f \leq -\epsilon \} &\leq \exp \left( \frac{-2\epsilon^2}{\sum_{i=1}^m (a_i - b_i)^2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

which also means

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P} \left\{ |f - \mathbb{E}f| \geq \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^m (a_i - b_i)^2}{2} \log(2/\delta)} \right\} &\leq \delta \\ \mathbb{P} \left\{ |f - \mathbb{E}f| \geq (b - a) \sqrt{\frac{m \log(2/\delta)}{2}} \right\} &\leq \delta \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 2. (Data-dependent bound).** Assume that for all  $z$  and  $h \in \mathcal{H}$ , we have that  $l(h, z) \in [a, b]$ . Then,

1.

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ \forall h \in \mathcal{H}, L_{\mathcal{D}}(h) - L_S(h) \leq 2 \mathbb{E}_{S' \sim \mathcal{D}^m} R(l \circ \mathcal{H} \circ S') + (b - a) \sqrt{2 \ln(1/\delta)/m} \right\} \geq 1 - \delta$$

*Proof.*  $\text{Rep}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{F}, S)$  satisfies the preceeding condition with a constant  $[(a - b)/m, (b - a)/m]$ ,  $\square$

2.

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ \forall h \in \mathcal{H}, L_{\mathcal{D}}(h) - L_S(h) \leq 2R(l \circ \mathcal{H} \circ S) + 3(b - a)\sqrt{2 \ln(2/\delta)/m} \right\} \geq 1 - \delta$$

*Proof.*

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ \text{Rep}_{\mathcal{D}}(F, S) \leq \mathbb{E}_{S'} \text{Rep}_{\mathcal{D}}(l \circ \mathcal{H} \circ S') + (b - a)\sqrt{2 \ln(2/\delta)/m} \right\} \geq 1 - \delta/2$$

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ \mathbb{E} \text{Rep}_{\mathcal{D}}(F, S) \leq 2\mathbb{E} R(l \circ \mathcal{H} \circ S') \right\} = 1$$

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ \mathbb{E}_{S'} R(l \circ \mathcal{H} \circ S') \leq R(l \circ \mathcal{H} \circ S) + (b - a)\sqrt{2 \ln(2/\delta)/m} \right\} \geq 1 - \delta/2$$

$\square$

3.

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ L_{\mathcal{D}}(\text{ERM}_{\mathcal{H}}(S)) - L_S(h^*) \leq 2R(l \circ \mathcal{H} \circ S) + 4(b - a)\sqrt{2 \ln(3/\delta)/m} \right\} \geq 1 - \delta$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\mathcal{D}}(h_S) - L_{\mathcal{D}}(h^*) &= L_{\mathcal{D}}(h_S) - L_S(h_S) + L_S(h_S) - L_S(h^*) + L_S(h^*) - L_{\mathcal{D}}(h^*) \\ &\leq (L_{\mathcal{D}}(h_S) - L_S(h_S)) + (L_S(h^*) - L_{\mathcal{D}}(h^*)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ L_{\mathcal{D}}(h_S) - L_S(h_S) \leq 2R(l \circ \mathcal{H} \circ S) + 3(b - a)\sqrt{2 \ln(3/\delta)/m} \right\} \geq 1 - 2\delta/3$$

Because  $L_{\mathcal{D}}(h^*)$  does not depend on  $S$ , so we can use hoeffding's inequality to get

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ L_S(h^*) - L_{\mathcal{D}}(h^*) \leq (b - a)\sqrt{\ln(3/\delta)/(2m)} \right\} \geq 1 - \delta/3$$

$\square$

### 26.1.1 Rademacher Calculus

**Lemma 3.**  $\forall A \subset \mathbb{R}^m, c \in \mathbb{R}, \mathbf{a}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , we have

$$R(\{c\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{a}_0 : \mathbf{a} \in A\}) = |c|R(A) \quad (4)$$

**Lemma 4.**  $\forall A \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ , if  $A' = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_j \mathbf{a}^{(j)} : N \in \mathbb{N}, \forall j, \mathbf{a}^{(j)} \in A, \alpha_j \geq 0, \|\vec{\alpha}\|_1 = 1 \right\}$ , then  $R(A') = R(A)$ .

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} mR(A') &= \mathbb{E}_{\sigma} \sup_{\vec{\alpha} \succeq \vec{0}: \|\vec{\alpha}\|_1 = 1} \sup_{\mathbf{a}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{a}^{(N)}} \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_i \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_j a_i^{(j)} \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\sigma} \sup_{\vec{\alpha} \succeq \vec{0}: \|\vec{\alpha}\|_1 = 1} \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_j \sup_{\mathbf{a}^{(j)}} \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_i a_i^{(j)} \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\sigma} \sup_{\mathbf{a} \in A} \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_i a_i \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

**Lemma 5. (Massart Lemma).** Let  $A = \{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_N\}$  be a finite set of vectors in  $\mathbf{R}^m$ . Define  $\bar{\mathbf{a}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{a}_i$ . Then,

$$R(A) \leq \max_{\mathbf{a} \in A} \|\mathbf{a} - \bar{\mathbf{a}}\|_2 \frac{\sqrt{2 \log(N)}}{m} \quad (5)$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} \forall A, \quad mR(A) &= \mathbb{E}_{\vec{\sigma}} \left[ \max_{\mathbf{a} \in A} \langle \vec{\sigma}, \mathbf{a} \rangle \right] = \mathbb{E}_{\vec{\sigma}} \left[ \log \left( \max_{\mathbf{a} \in A} e^{\langle \vec{\sigma}, \mathbf{a} \rangle} \right) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\vec{\sigma}} \left[ \log \left( \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in A} e^{\langle \vec{\sigma}, \mathbf{a} \rangle} \right) \right] \leq \log \left[ \mathbb{E}_{\vec{\sigma}} \left( \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in A} e^{\langle \vec{\sigma}, \mathbf{a} \rangle} \right) \right] \\ &\leq \log \left( \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in A} \prod_{i=1}^m \mathbb{E}_{\sigma_i} [e^{\sigma_i a_i}] \right) \leq \log \left( \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in A} \prod_{i=1}^m [e^{a_i} + e^{-a_i}] / 2 \right) \\ &\leq \log \left( \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in A} \prod_{i=1}^m e^{a_i^2 / 2} \right) = \log \left( \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in A} \exp(\|\mathbf{a}\|_2^2 / 2) \right) \\ &\leq \log \left( |A| \max_{\mathbf{a} \in A} \exp(\|\mathbf{a}\|_2^2 / 2) \right) = \log(|A|) + \max_{\mathbf{a} \in A} (\|\mathbf{a}\|_2^2 / 2) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $R(A) = R(A')/\lambda$  we obtain that

$$R(A) \leq \frac{\log(|A|) + \lambda^2 \max_{\mathbf{a} \in A} (\|\mathbf{a}\|_2^2 / 2)}{\lambda m}$$

□

**Lemma 6. (Contraction Lemma).**  $\forall i \in [m]$ , let  $\phi_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a  $\rho$ -Lipschitz function. For  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^m$  let  $\phi(\mathbf{a}) = (\phi_1(a_1), \dots, \phi_m(a_m))$ . Let  $\phi \circ A = \{\phi(\vec{a}) : \mathbf{a} \in A\}$ . Then,

$$R(\phi \circ A) \leq \rho R(A).$$

*Proof.* First,  $\rho = 1$ . Let  $A_i = \{(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, \phi_i(a_i), a_{i+1}, \dots, a_m) : \mathbf{a} \in A\}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} mR(A_1) &= \mathbb{E}_{\sigma} \left[ \sup_{\mathbf{a} \in A_1} \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_i a_i \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\sigma} \left[ \sup_{\mathbf{a} \in A} \sigma_1 \phi(a_1) + \sum_{i=2}^m \sigma_i a_i \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{\sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_m} \left[ \sup_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}' \in A} \left( \phi(a_1) - \phi(a'_1) + \sum_{i=2}^m \sigma_i a_i + \sum_{i=2}^m \sigma_i a'_i \right) \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{\sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_m} \left[ \sup_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}' \in A} \left( |a_1 - a'_1| + \sum_{i=2}^m \sigma_i a_i + \sum_{i=2}^m \sigma_i a'_i \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{\sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_m} \left[ \sup_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}' \in A} \left( a_1 - a'_1 + \sum_{i=2}^m \sigma_i a_i + \sum_{i=2}^m \sigma_i a'_i \right) \right] \\ mR(A_1) &\leq mR(A) \end{aligned}$$

□

## 26.2 RADEMACHER COMPLEXITY OF LINEAR CLASSES

1.  $\mathcal{H}_1 = \{\mathbf{x} \mapsto \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x} \rangle : \|\mathbf{w}\|_1 \leq 1\}$
2.  $\mathcal{H}_2 = \{\mathbf{x} \mapsto \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x} \rangle : \|\mathbf{w}\|_2 \leq 1\}$

**Lemma 7.**

$$R(\mathcal{H}_2 \circ S) \leq \frac{\max_i \|\mathbf{x}_i\|_2}{\sqrt{m}} \quad (6)$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} mR(\mathcal{H}_2 \circ S) &= \mathbb{E}_\sigma \left[ \sup_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{H}_2 \circ S} \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_i a_i \right] = \mathbb{E}_\sigma \left[ \sup_{\mathbf{w}: \|\mathbf{w}\|_2 \leq 1} \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_i \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}_i \rangle \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_\sigma \left[ \sup_{\mathbf{w}: \|\mathbf{w}\|_2 \leq 1} \langle \mathbf{w}, \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_i \mathbf{x}_i \rangle \right] \leq \mathbb{E}_\sigma \left[ \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_i \mathbf{x}_i \right\|_2 \right] \\ &\leq \left( \mathbb{E}_\sigma \left[ \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_i \mathbf{x}_i \right\|_2^2 \right] \right)^{1/2} \\ \mathbb{E}_\sigma \left[ \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_i \mathbf{x}_i \right\|_2^2 \right] &= \sum_{i \neq j} \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j \rangle \mathbb{E}_\sigma [\sigma_i \sigma_j] + \sum_{i=1}^m \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_i \rangle \mathbb{E}_\sigma [\sigma_i^2] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \|\mathbf{x}_i\|_2^2 \leq m \max_i \|\mathbf{x}_i\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 8.** Let  $S = (\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_m)$  be the vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then,

$$R(\mathcal{H}_1 \circ S) \leq \max_i \|\mathbf{x}_i\|_\infty \sqrt{\frac{2 \log(2n)}{m}} \quad (7)$$

*Proof.* Using Holder's inequality, we have  $\langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v} \rangle \leq \|\mathbf{w}\|_1 \|\mathbf{v}\|_\infty$ . Therefore,

$$mR(\mathcal{H}_1 \circ S) = \mathbb{E}_\sigma \left[ \sup_{\mathbf{w}: \|\mathbf{w}\|_1 \leq 1} \langle \mathbf{w}, \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_i \mathbf{x}_i \rangle \right] \leq \mathbb{E}_\sigma \left[ \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_i \mathbf{x}_i \right\|_\infty \right].$$

Let  $j \in [n]$  and  $\mathbf{v}_j = (x_{1,j}, \dots, x_{m,j}) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , and  $V = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n, -\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, -\mathbf{v}_n\}$ . Note that  $\|\mathbf{v}_j\|_2 \leq \sqrt{m} \max_i \|\mathbf{x}_i\|_\infty$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_\sigma \left[ \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_i \mathbf{x}_i \right\|_\infty \right] &= \mathbb{E}_\sigma \left[ \max_j |\langle \mathbf{v}_j, \sigma \rangle| \right] = mR(V) \\ &\leq \max_j \|\mathbf{v}_j\|_2 \frac{\sqrt{2 \log(2n)}}{m} \\ &\leq \max_i \|\mathbf{x}_i\|_\infty \sqrt{2 \log(2n)/m} \end{aligned}$$

□

In chapter 6, we defined “Restriction of  $\mathcal{H}$  to  $S$ ”:

$$\mathcal{H}_S = \{(h(s_1), h(s_2), \dots, h(s_m)) : h \in \mathcal{H}, s_i \in S\},$$

Here is the growth function:

$$\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, \Pi_{\mathcal{H}}(m) = \max_{S \sim \mathcal{D}^m} |\mathcal{H}_S|$$

Then, the VC-dimension is:

$$VCdim(\mathcal{H}) = \max \{m : \Pi_{\mathcal{H}}(m) = 2^m\}$$

Using Massart Lemma:

$$R_m(\mathcal{H} \circ S) = \mathbb{E}_S \left[ \mathbb{E}_{\vec{\sigma}} \left[ \sup_{h_S \in \mathcal{H}_S} \frac{1}{m} \langle \vec{\sigma}, h_S \rangle \right] \right] \leq \mathbb{E}_S \left[ \frac{\sqrt{m} \sqrt{2 \log(\Pi_{\mathcal{H}})}}{m} \right]$$

### 26.3 GENERALIZATION BOUNDS FOR SVM