### Selected Topics in Mathematics of Learning

### **High-Dimensional Statistics**

Lecturer: Marius Yamakou

Winter Semester 2024/25 Department of Data Science, FAU

December 17, 2024

Part V: Large Inverse Covariance Matrices continued ...

#### First Natural Thought:

- Use the sample covariance matrix and compute its inverse.
- Recall the sample covariance matrix:

$$\widehat{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} (X_k - \bar{X})(X_k - \bar{X})^{\top} =: (\widehat{\sigma}_{ij})_{i,j=1,\dots,p}.$$

#### First Natural Thought:

- Use the sample covariance matrix and compute its inverse.
- Recall the sample covariance matrix:

$$\widehat{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} (X_k - \bar{X})(X_k - \bar{X})^{\top} =: (\widehat{\sigma}_{ij})_{i,j=1,\dots,p}.$$

■ The inverse of the sample covariance matrix provides an estimator for  $\Theta$ :

$$\widehat{\Theta} = \widehat{\Sigma}^{-1}.$$

#### First Natural Thought:

- Use the sample covariance matrix and compute its inverse.
- Recall the sample covariance matrix:

$$\widehat{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} (X_k - \bar{X})(X_k - \bar{X})^{\top} =: (\widehat{\sigma}_{ij})_{i,j=1,\dots,p}.$$

■ The inverse of the sample covariance matrix provides an estimator for  $\Theta$ :

$$\widehat{\Theta} = \widehat{\Sigma}^{-1}.$$

#### Challenges with This Approach:

■ Non-Invertibility:  $\widehat{\Sigma}$  is not invertible when  $n \ll p$ .

#### First Natural Thought:

- Use the sample covariance matrix and compute its inverse.
- Recall the sample covariance matrix:

$$\widehat{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} (X_k - \bar{X})(X_k - \bar{X})^{\top} =: (\widehat{\sigma}_{ij})_{i,j=1,...,p}.$$

 $\blacksquare$  The inverse of the sample covariance matrix provides an estimator for  $\Theta$ :

$$\widehat{\Theta} = \widehat{\Sigma}^{-1}$$
.

#### Challenges with This Approach:

- Non-Invertibility:  $\widehat{\Sigma}$  is not invertible when  $n \ll p$ .
- Non-Sparsity: Even if invertible,  $\widehat{\Sigma}$  typically lacks exact zeros.

#### First Natural Thought:

- Use the sample covariance matrix and compute its inverse.
- Recall the sample covariance matrix:

$$\widehat{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} (X_k - \bar{X})(X_k - \bar{X})^{\top} =: (\widehat{\sigma}_{ij})_{i,j=1,\dots,p}.$$

■ The inverse of the sample covariance matrix provides an estimator for  $\Theta$ :

$$\widehat{\Theta} = \widehat{\Sigma}^{-1}$$
.

#### Challenges with This Approach:

- Non-Invertibility:  $\widehat{\Sigma}$  is not invertible when  $n \ll p$ .
- Non-Sparsity: Even if invertible,  $\widehat{\Sigma}$  typically lacks exact zeros.
- Numerical Instability: Inversion can lead to large estimation errors, especially in high dimensions.

#### First Natural Thought:

- Use the sample covariance matrix and compute its inverse.
- Recall the sample covariance matrix:

$$\widehat{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} (X_k - \bar{X})(X_k - \bar{X})^{\top} =: (\widehat{\sigma}_{ij})_{i,j=1,\dots,p}.$$

■ The inverse of the sample covariance matrix provides an estimator for  $\Theta$ :

$$\widehat{\Theta} = \widehat{\Sigma}^{-1}$$
.

#### Challenges with This Approach:

- Non-Invertibility:  $\widehat{\Sigma}$  is not invertible when  $n \ll p$ .
- Non-Sparsity: Even if invertible,  $\widehat{\Sigma}$  typically lacks exact zeros.
- Numerical Instability: Inversion can lead to large estimation errors, especially in high dimensions.

#### **Proposed Solution:**

Re-parameterize the maximum likelihood estimation (MLE) in terms of the precision matrix ⊖.

#### First Natural Thought:

- Use the sample covariance matrix and compute its inverse.
- Recall the sample covariance matrix:

$$\widehat{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} (X_k - \bar{X})(X_k - \bar{X})^{\top} =: (\widehat{\sigma}_{ij})_{i,j=1,\dots,p}.$$

■ The inverse of the sample covariance matrix provides an estimator for  $\Theta$ :

$$\widehat{\Theta} = \widehat{\Sigma}^{-1}$$
.

#### Challenges with This Approach:

- Non-Invertibility:  $\widehat{\Sigma}$  is not invertible when  $n \ll p$ .
- **Non-Sparsity:** Even if invertible,  $\widehat{\Sigma}$  typically lacks exact zeros.
- Numerical Instability: Inversion can lead to large estimation errors, especially in high dimensions.

#### **Proposed Solution:**

- Re-parameterize the maximum likelihood estimation (MLE) in terms of the precision matrix Θ.
- Introduce a **penalty term** to enforce sparsity or improve stability.

#### What is Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE)?

 A method to estimate the parameters of a probability distribution by maximizing the likelihood function.

#### What is Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE)?

- A method to estimate the parameters of a probability distribution by maximizing the likelihood function.
- Ensures that, under the assumed model, the observed data is most probable.

#### What is Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE)?

- A method to estimate the parameters of a probability distribution by maximizing the likelihood function.
- Ensures that, under the assumed model, the observed data is most probable.

#### Steps in Maximum Likelihood Estimation:

 Assume a random sample from an unknown joint probability distribution, parameterized by a set of parameters.

#### What is Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE)?

- A method to estimate the parameters of a probability distribution by maximizing the likelihood function.
- Ensures that, under the assumed model, the observed data is most probable.

#### Steps in Maximum Likelihood Estimation:

- Assume a random sample from an unknown joint probability distribution, parameterized by a set of parameters.
- Define the joint density function for the observed data:
  - If random vectors are independent, the joint density equals the product of their individual densities.

#### What is Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE)?

- A method to estimate the parameters of a probability distribution by maximizing the likelihood function.
- Ensures that, under the assumed model, the observed data is most probable.

#### Steps in Maximum Likelihood Estimation:

- Assume a random sample from an unknown joint probability distribution, parameterized by a set of parameters.
- Define the joint density function for the observed data:
  - If random vectors are independent, the joint density equals the product of their individual densities.
- Evaluate the likelihood function:  $L(\theta) = \prod_{k=1}^n f(X_k; \theta)$ , where  $f(X_k; \theta)$  is the density function.

#### What is Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE)?

- A method to estimate the parameters of a probability distribution by maximizing the likelihood function.
- Ensures that, under the assumed model, the observed data is most probable.

#### Steps in Maximum Likelihood Estimation:

- Assume a random sample from an unknown joint probability distribution, parameterized by a set of parameters.
- Define the joint density function for the observed data:
  - If random vectors are independent, the joint density equals the product of their individual densities.
- Evaluate the likelihood function:  $L(\theta) = \prod_{k=1}^n f(X_k; \theta)$ , where  $f(X_k; \theta)$  is the density function.
- Maximize the likelihood function to find parameter values that best explain the observed data.

#### What is Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE)?

- A method to estimate the parameters of a probability distribution by maximizing the likelihood function.
- Ensures that, under the assumed model, the observed data is most probable.

#### Steps in Maximum Likelihood Estimation:

- Assume a random sample from an unknown joint probability distribution, parameterized by a set of parameters.
- Define the joint density function for the observed data:
  - If random vectors are independent, the joint density equals the product of their individual densities.
- Evaluate the likelihood function:  $L(\theta) = \prod_{k=1}^n f(X_k; \theta)$ , where  $f(X_k; \theta)$  is the density function.
- Maximize the likelihood function to find parameter values that best explain the observed data.

#### Intuition:

 MLE identifies the parameter values that make the observed data most probable under the given statistical model.

**Multivariate normal:** A real random vector  $X=(X_1,\ldots,X_p)^T$  is called a normal random vector if there exists a random p-vector Z, which is a standard normal random vector, a p-vector  $\mu$ , and a matrix A, such that  $X=A^TZ+\mu$ .

**Multivariate normal:** A real random vector  $X=(X_1,\ldots,X_p)^T$  is called a normal random vector if there exists a random p-vector Z, which is a standard normal random vector, a p-vector  $\mu$ , and a matrix A, such that  $X=A^TZ+\mu$ .

Suppose  $X \sim \mathcal{N}_p(\mu, \Sigma)$  and that  $\Sigma$  is full rank; then X has a density:

$$f_{\mathbf{X}}(x_1,\ldots,x_p) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^p|\Sigma|}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \Sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})\right)$$

where  $|\Sigma|$  denotes the determinant of  $\Sigma$ .

**Multivariate normal:** A real random vector  $X=(X_1,\ldots,X_p)^T$  is called a normal random vector if there exists a random p-vector Z, which is a standard normal random vector, a p-vector  $\mu$ , and a matrix A, such that  $X=A^TZ+\mu$ .

Suppose  $X \sim \mathcal{N}_p(\mu, \Sigma)$  and that  $\Sigma$  is full rank; then X has a density:

$$f_{\mathbf{X}}(x_1,\ldots,x_p) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^p |\Sigma|}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^{\top} \Sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})\right)$$

where  $|\Sigma|$  denotes the determinant of  $\Sigma$ .

### **Key Properties:**

■ The density is symmetric and bell-shaped, extending into *p*-dimensional space.

**Multivariate normal:** A real random vector  $X=(X_1,\ldots,X_p)^T$  is called a normal random vector if there exists a random p-vector Z, which is a standard normal random vector, a p-vector  $\mu$ , and a matrix A, such that  $X=A^TZ+\mu$ .

Suppose  $X \sim \mathcal{N}_p(\mu, \Sigma)$  and that  $\Sigma$  is full rank; then X has a density:

$$f_{\mathbf{X}}(x_1,\ldots,x_p) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^p|\Sigma|}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \Sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})\right)$$

where  $|\Sigma|$  denotes the determinant of  $\Sigma$ .

### **Key Properties:**

- The density is symmetric and bell-shaped, extending into p-dimensional space.
- $\blacksquare$  The covariance matrix  $\Sigma$  controls the shape and orientation of the distribution

#### Setup:

- We have random vectors  $X_n = (X_{n,1}, \dots, X_{n,p})^{\top}$ , for  $n = 1, \dots, N$ , where  $X_n \sim \mathcal{N}_n(\mu, \Sigma)$ .
- The mean vector  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^p$  and covariance matrix  $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$  are unknown.

#### Setup:

- We have random vectors  $X_n = (X_{n,1}, \dots, X_{n,p})^{\top}$ , for  $n = 1, \dots, N$ , where  $X_n \sim \mathcal{N}_p(\mu, \Sigma)$ .
- The mean vector  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^p$  and covariance matrix  $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$  are unknown.

**Joint Density:** The joint density of the observations  $X_1, \ldots, X_N$  is:

$$\prod_{n=1}^{N} f_{\mathbf{X}_n}(x_1, \dots, x_p) = \prod_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^p |\Sigma|}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\top} \Sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu})\right).$$

Negative Log-Likelihood: Taking the negative log of the joint density:

Negative Log-Likelihood: Taking the negative log of the joint density:

$$-\log \prod_{n=1}^{N} f_{\mathbf{X}_n}(x_1, \dots, x_p) = -\log \prod_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^p |\Sigma|}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}} \Sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu})\right)$$

$$= -\log \left(\frac{1}{((2\pi)^p |\Sigma|)^{N/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}} \Sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu})\right)\right)$$

$$= \frac{N}{2} \log\left((2\pi)^P |\Sigma|\right) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}} \Sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu})$$

$$= \frac{pN}{2} \log(2\pi) + \frac{N}{2} \log|\Sigma| + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}} \Sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu})$$

### 2. Estimation: Maximum Likelihood Estimators

#### Negative Log-Likelihood:

$$L(\mu, \Sigma) = \frac{pN}{2} \log(2\pi) + \frac{N}{2} \log|\Sigma| + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (X_n - \mu)^{\top} \Sigma^{-1} (X_n - \mu).$$

### 2. Estimation: Maximum Likelihood Estimators

Negative Log-Likelihood:

$$L(\mu, \Sigma) = \frac{pN}{2} \log(2\pi) + \frac{N}{2} \log|\Sigma| + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (X_n - \mu)^{\top} \Sigma^{-1} (X_n - \mu).$$

Estimating  $\mu$  and  $\Sigma$ : To minimize  $L(\mu, \Sigma)$ , the Maximum Likelihood Estimators (MLEs) are:

$$\begin{split} & \underset{\boldsymbol{\mu}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \ L(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} X_n, \\ & \underset{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \ L(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (X_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}) (X_n - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top. \end{split}$$

### 2. Estimation: Maximum Likelihood Estimators

Negative Log-Likelihood:

$$L(\mu, \Sigma) = \frac{pN}{2} \log(2\pi) + \frac{N}{2} \log|\Sigma| + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (X_n - \mu)^{\top} \Sigma^{-1} (X_n - \mu).$$

**Estimating**  $\mu$  and  $\Sigma$ : To minimize  $L(\mu, \Sigma)$ , the Maximum Likelihood Estimators (MLEs) are:

$$\begin{aligned} & \underset{\boldsymbol{\mu}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \ L(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} X_n, \\ & \underset{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \ L(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (X_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}) (X_n - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top. \end{aligned}$$

**Key Idea:** - The sample mean  $\hat{\mu}$  and sample covariance matrix  $\hat{\Sigma}$  are natural estimators under the MLE framework.

Re-Parameterization: To get MLE for  $\Theta$  we can re-parameterize in terms of precision matrix  $\Theta=\Sigma^{-1}.$ 

Re-Parameterization: To get MLE for  $\Theta$  we can re-parameterize in terms of precision matrix  $\Theta=\Sigma^{-1}.$ 

Rewriting the negative log-likelihood:

**Re-Parameterization:** To get MLE for  $\Theta$  we can re-parameterize in terms of precision matrix  $\Theta = \Sigma^{-1}$ .

Rewriting the negative log-likelihood:

$$L(\mu, \Sigma) = \frac{pN}{2} \log(2\pi) + \frac{N}{2} \log|\Sigma| + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (X_n - \mu)^{\top} \Sigma^{-1} (X_n - \mu)$$

$$= \frac{pN}{2} \log(2\pi) + \frac{N}{2} \underbrace{\log|\Sigma|}_{-\log|\Sigma^{-1}|} + \frac{1}{2} \underbrace{\sum_{n=1}^{N} (X_n - \mu)^{\top} \underbrace{\Sigma^{-1}}_{\Theta} (X_n - \mu)}_{\operatorname{tr} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} X_n - \mu\right)^{\top} \Theta(X_n - \mu)}$$

$$\stackrel{(*)}{=} \operatorname{tr} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} (X_n - \mu)(X_n - \mu)^{\top} \Theta \right)$$

$$= \operatorname{tr} \left(N \hat{\Sigma} \Theta\right)$$

$$= N \operatorname{tr} \left(\hat{\Sigma} \Theta\right)$$

**Re-Parameterization:** To get MLE for  $\Theta$  we can re-parameterize in terms of precision matrix  $\Theta = \Sigma^{-1}$ .

Rewriting the negative log-likelihood:

$$L(\mu, \Sigma) = \frac{pN}{2} \log(2\pi) + \frac{N}{2} \log|\Sigma| + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (X_n - \mu)^{\top} \Sigma^{-1} (X_n - \mu)$$

$$= \frac{pN}{2} \log(2\pi) + \frac{N}{2} \underbrace{\log|\Sigma|}_{-\log|\Sigma^{-1}|} + \frac{1}{2} \underbrace{\sum_{n=1}^{N} (X_n - \mu)^{\top} \underbrace{\Sigma^{-1}}_{\Theta} (X_n - \mu)}_{\text{tr} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} X_n - \mu\right)^{\top} \Theta(X_n - \mu)}$$

$$\stackrel{(*)}{=} \text{tr} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} (X_n - \mu)(X_n - \mu)^{\top} \Theta \right)$$

$$= \text{tr} \left(N \hat{\Sigma} \Theta\right)$$

$$= N \text{tr} \left(\hat{\Sigma} \Theta\right)$$

$$\implies L(\mu, \Sigma) = \frac{pN}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{N}{2} \log |\Theta| + \frac{1}{2} N \operatorname{tr}(\hat{\Sigma}\Theta)$$

#### where:

 $\hat{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (X_n - \mu)(X_n - \mu)^{\top} \text{ is the sample covariance matrix.}$ 

#### where:

- $\hat{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (X_n \mu) (X_n \mu)^{\top}$  is the sample covariance matrix.
- (\*) exploit the cyclic property of the trace operator  $\operatorname{tr}(\cdot)$  given by:

$$tr(ABC) = tr(CAB).$$

#### where:

- $\hat{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (X_n \mu) (X_n \mu)^{\top}$  is the sample covariance matrix.
- (\*) exploit the cyclic property of the trace operator  $\operatorname{tr}(\cdot)$  given by:

$$tr(ABC) = tr(CAB).$$

**Summary:** The MLE for  $\Theta$  minimizes:

$$L(\mu, \Sigma) = \frac{pN}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{N}{2} \log|\Theta| + \frac{N}{2} \mathrm{tr}(\hat{\Sigma}\Theta).$$

## 2.2 Graphical LASSO

**Motivation:** The graphical LASSO introduces sparsity into the estimation of the precision matrix  $\Theta=\Sigma^{-1}$ , leading to interpretable graphical models by penalizing small off-diagonal entries.

## 2.2 Graphical LASSO

**Motivation:** The graphical LASSO introduces sparsity into the estimation of the precision matrix  $\Theta = \Sigma^{-1}$ , leading to interpretable graphical models by penalizing small off-diagonal entries.

**Maximum Likelihood Estimator (MLE):** Based on the sample covariance matrix  $\widehat{\Sigma}$ , the MLE of  $\Theta$  is:

$$\widehat{\Theta} = \underset{\Theta \succ 0, \Theta^\top = \Theta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left( \operatorname{tr}(\Theta \widehat{\Sigma}) - \log |\Theta| \right),$$

subject to two constraints:

**Motivation:** The graphical LASSO introduces sparsity into the estimation of the precision matrix  $\Theta=\Sigma^{-1}$ , leading to interpretable graphical models by penalizing small off-diagonal entries.

**Maximum Likelihood Estimator (MLE):** Based on the sample covariance matrix  $\widehat{\Sigma}$ , the MLE of  $\Theta$  is:

$$\widehat{\Theta} = \underset{\Theta \succ 0, \Theta^\top = \Theta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left( \operatorname{tr}(\Theta \widehat{\Sigma}) - \log |\Theta| \right),$$

subject to two constraints:

- $\Theta \succ 0$ : Positive definiteness of the precision matrix.
- $lackbox{f \Theta}^{ op}=\Theta$ : Symmetry of the precision matrix.

Why the Objective: 
$$\widehat{\Theta} = \underset{\Theta \succ 0, \Theta^\top = \Theta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left( \operatorname{tr}(\Theta \widehat{\Sigma}) - \log |\Theta| \right) \ ?$$

Why the Objective: 
$$\widehat{\Theta} = \underset{\Theta \succ 0, \Theta^{\top} = \Theta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left( \operatorname{tr}(\Theta \widehat{\Sigma}) - \log |\Theta| \right)$$
 ?

- $\operatorname{tr}(\Theta \widehat{\Sigma})$  ensures that the precision matrix  $\Theta$  aligns with the empirical covariance matrix  $\widehat{\Sigma}$ .
  - It penalizes deviations of  $\Theta$  from matching  $\widehat{\Sigma}$ .

Why the Objective: 
$$\widehat{\Theta} = \underset{\Theta \succ 0, \Theta^{\top} = \Theta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left( \operatorname{tr}(\Theta \widehat{\Sigma}) - \log |\Theta| \right)$$
 ?

- $\operatorname{tr}(\Theta\widehat{\Sigma})$  ensures that the precision matrix  $\Theta$  aligns with the empirical covariance matrix  $\widehat{\Sigma}$ 
  - It penalizes deviations of  $\Theta$  from matching  $\widehat{\Sigma}$ .
- $-\log |\Theta|$  represents the log-determinant of  $\Theta$ , which has two key roles:

Why the Objective: 
$$\widehat{\Theta} = \underset{\Theta \succ 0, \Theta^{\top} = \Theta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left( \operatorname{tr}(\Theta \widehat{\Sigma}) - \log |\Theta| \right)$$
 ?

- $\operatorname{tr}(\Theta\widehat{\Sigma})$  ensures that the precision matrix  $\Theta$  aligns with the empirical covariance matrix  $\widehat{\Sigma}$ 
  - It penalizes deviations of  $\Theta$  from matching  $\widehat{\Sigma}$ .
- $-\log |\Theta|$  represents the log-determinant of  $\Theta$ , which has two key roles:
  - **E**nsures the matrix  $\Theta$  is invertible.

Why the Objective: 
$$\widehat{\Theta} = \underset{\Theta \succ 0, \Theta^{\top} = \Theta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left( \operatorname{tr}(\Theta \widehat{\Sigma}) - \log |\Theta| \right)$$
 ?

- $\operatorname{tr}(\Theta\widehat{\Sigma})$  ensures that the precision matrix  $\Theta$  aligns with the empirical covariance matrix  $\widehat{\Sigma}$ 
  - It penalizes deviations of  $\Theta$  from matching  $\widehat{\Sigma}$ .
- $-\log |\Theta|$  represents the log-determinant of  $\Theta$ , which has two key roles:
  - **Ensures** the matrix  $\Theta$  is invertible.
  - Penalizes overly large or overly small eigenvalues, maintaining numerical stability.

Why the Objective: 
$$\widehat{\Theta} = \underset{\Theta \succ 0, \Theta^{\top} = \Theta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left( \operatorname{tr}(\Theta \widehat{\Sigma}) - \log |\Theta| \right)$$
 ?

#### Because:

- $\operatorname{tr}(\Theta \widehat{\Sigma})$  ensures that the precision matrix  $\Theta$  aligns with the empirical covariance matrix  $\widehat{\Sigma}$ 
  - It penalizes deviations of  $\Theta$  from matching  $\widehat{\Sigma}$ .
- $-\log |\Theta|$  represents the log-determinant of  $\Theta$ , which has two key roles:
  - **E**nsures the matrix  $\Theta$  is invertible.
  - Penalizes overly large or overly small eigenvalues, maintaining numerical stability.

Intuition: The objective function balances:

■ Fidelity: The trace term  $\operatorname{tr}(\Theta\widehat{\Sigma})$  ensures consistency with the observed data.

Why the Objective: 
$$\widehat{\Theta} = \underset{\Theta \succ 0, \Theta^{\top} = \Theta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left( \operatorname{tr}(\Theta \widehat{\Sigma}) - \log |\Theta| \right)$$
 ?

#### Because:

- $\operatorname{tr}(\Theta\widehat{\Sigma})$  ensures that the precision matrix  $\Theta$  aligns with the empirical covariance matrix  $\widehat{\Sigma}$ 
  - It penalizes deviations of  $\Theta$  from matching  $\widehat{\Sigma}$ .
- ullet  $-\log|\Theta|$  represents the log-determinant of  $\Theta$ , which has two key roles:
  - **E**nsures the matrix  $\Theta$  is invertible.
  - Penalizes overly large or overly small eigenvalues, maintaining numerical stability.

#### Intuition: The objective function balances:

- Fidelity: The trace term  $\operatorname{tr}(\Theta\widehat{\Sigma})$  ensures consistency with the observed data.
- **Regularization:** The log-determinant term  $-\log |\Theta|$  prevents degenerate solutions and stabilizes the optimization.

**Graphical LASSO: Introducing Sparsity** The graphical LASSO estimator adds an  $\ell_1$ -penalty on the off-diagonal entries of  $\Theta$  to induce sparsity:

**Graphical LASSO: Introducing Sparsity** The graphical LASSO estimator adds an  $\ell_1$ -penalty on the off-diagonal entries of  $\Theta$  to induce sparsity:

$$\widehat{\Theta}_{\lambda} = \underset{\Theta \succ 0, \Theta^{\top} = \Theta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left( \operatorname{tr}(\Theta \widehat{\Sigma}) - \log |\Theta| + \lambda \|\Theta\|_{1, \operatorname{off}} \right),$$

where:

**Graphical LASSO: Introducing Sparsity** The graphical LASSO estimator adds an  $\ell_1$ -penalty on the off-diagonal entries of  $\Theta$  to induce sparsity:

$$\widehat{\Theta}_{\lambda} = \underset{\Theta \succ 0, \Theta^{\top} = \Theta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left( \operatorname{tr}(\Theta \widehat{\Sigma}) - \log |\Theta| + \lambda \|\Theta\|_{1, \operatorname{off}} \right),$$

where:

$$\|\Theta\|_{1,\text{off}} = \sum_{\substack{i,j=1\\i\neq j}}^{p} |\Theta_{ij}|.$$

**Graphical LASSO: Introducing Sparsity** The graphical LASSO estimator adds an  $\ell_1$ -penalty on the off-diagonal entries of  $\Theta$  to induce sparsity:

$$\widehat{\Theta}_{\lambda} = \underset{\Theta \succ 0, \Theta^{\top} = \Theta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left( \operatorname{tr}(\Theta \widehat{\Sigma}) - \log |\Theta| + \lambda \|\Theta\|_{1, \operatorname{off}} \right),$$

where:

$$\|\Theta\|_{1,\text{off}} = \sum_{\substack{i,j=1\\i\neq j}}^{p} |\Theta_{ij}|.$$

#### **Key Question:**

- Is the penalized likelihood a convex function in  $\Theta$ ?

**Graphical LASSO: Introducing Sparsity** The graphical LASSO estimator adds an  $\ell_1$ -penalty on the off-diagonal entries of  $\Theta$  to induce sparsity:

$$\widehat{\Theta}_{\lambda} = \underset{\Theta \succ 0.\Theta^{\top} = \Theta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left( \operatorname{tr}(\Theta \widehat{\Sigma}) - \log |\Theta| + \lambda \|\Theta\|_{1, \operatorname{off}} \right),$$

where:

$$\|\Theta\|_{1,\text{off}} = \sum_{\substack{i,j=1\\i\neq j}}^{p} |\Theta_{ij}|.$$

#### **Key Question:**

- Is the penalized likelihood a convex function in  $\Theta$ ?

### Key Insight:

- The graphical LASSO objective is a combination of a convex negative log-likelihood function and a convex  $\ell_1$ -norm penalty term.

**Graphical LASSO: Introducing Sparsity** The graphical LASSO estimator adds an  $\ell_1$ -penalty on the off-diagonal entries of  $\Theta$  to induce sparsity:

$$\widehat{\Theta}_{\lambda} = \underset{\Theta \succ 0.\Theta^{\top} = \Theta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left( \operatorname{tr}(\Theta \widehat{\Sigma}) - \log |\Theta| + \lambda \|\Theta\|_{1, \operatorname{off}} \right),$$

where:

$$\|\Theta\|_{1,\text{off}} = \sum_{\substack{i,j=1\\i\neq j}}^{p} |\Theta_{ij}|.$$

#### **Key Question:**

- Is the penalized likelihood a convex function in  $\Theta$ ?

### Key Insight:

- The graphical LASSO objective is a combination of a convex negative log-likelihood function and a convex  $\ell_1$ -norm penalty term.
- Therefore, the overall problem remains convex in  $\Theta$ . And the answer to the above question is Yes!