# Analysis, Topology, Optimization, Machine Learning, and Computational Analysis

Daniel Drake

July 1, 2020

# 1 Notation, Set Theory, and Logic

#### Definition 1.1. Sets, Set Builder Notation and Hats

A set is a collection of objects.

Example: A = The set of all hats.

We call the objects in the set elements.

Example: A Cowboy hat is a type of hat and thus belongs in the set of all hats: A.

Set builder notation is a way of describing a set using mathematical, logical symbols, or words. Look at the following example:

$$E = \{ x \in \mathbb{N} : x = 2n \text{ where } n \in \mathbb{N} \}$$

This reads: E is the set of all x in  $\mathbb{N}$  such that x = 2n where n is in  $\mathbb{N}$ 

This set is also known as the even numbers.

When talking about functions, another common way of describing a set is:

$$C_X^Y = \{f : X \to Y | f \text{ is continuous}\}$$

This reads:  $C_X^Y$  is the set of all functions f mapping from X to Y such that f is continuous.

#### Definition 1.2. Common Sets of Numbers

$$\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$$

$$\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$$

$$\mathbb{N}_m = \{1, 2, \dots, m\} \text{ where } m \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$\mathbb{R} \text{ is the set of Real Numbers}$$

$$\mathbb{R}^+ = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x > 0\}$$

$$\mathbb{R}^+_0 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x \geq 0\}$$

$$\mathbb{R}^- = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x \leq 0\}$$

$$\mathbb{R}^-_0 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x \leq 0\}$$

#### Definition 1.3. Primitives, Symbols, and Formulas

The logical or and not are both primitives and are written:

logical or: ∨

and

 $not: \neg$ 

Respectively.

The symbol for statements are written: L, M, N, O, P, Q, ... etc

A well formed formula is a sequence of symbols which is accepted by the formal grammar.

So then  $L \vee M$  is a new formula composed of  $L, \vee$ , and M.

$$N := L \vee M$$

Which is read: N is defined as L or M. So N is true if:

- L is true.
- M is true.
- L and M are both true.

Further, N is false if: L and M are both false.

Similarly, we can define a new statement:  $N := \neg M$ 

In this case, if M is true then N is false.

In the same vain, if M is false then N is true.

#### Definition 1.4. <u>And</u>

Let A, B be statements.

$$A \wedge B := \neg((\neg A) \vee (\neg B))$$

## Definition 1.5. <u>Intersection and Union</u>

Let A, B be sets.

$$A\cap B:=\{x:x\in A\wedge x\in B\}$$

$$A \cup B := \{x : x \in A \lor x \in B\}$$

## Definition 1.6. Compliment

Let A be a set.

Then:  $x \notin A := \neg(x \in A)$  and  $A^c := \{x : x \notin A\}$ 

#### Definition 1.7. Logical Implication and Equivalence

Let A, B be statements:

$$A \Rightarrow B := (\neg A) \lor B$$

Further:

$$A \Leftrightarrow B := (A \Rightarrow B) \land (B \Rightarrow A)$$

#### Definition 1.8. Theory Crafting

A  $mathematica \overline{l\ theory}$  is a collection of well formed statements.

We write  $\vdash P$  if and only if a statement P is said to be a part of our theory M

#### Axiom 1.1. Propositional Logic

Let A, B and  $\overline{C}$  be a statements.

Then we have the following axioms:

- $\bullet \vdash A \lor A \Rightarrow A$
- $\bullet \vdash A \Rightarrow A \lor B$
- $\bullet \vdash A \lor B \Rightarrow B \lor A$
- $\bullet \ \vdash (A \Rightarrow C) \Rightarrow (A \lor B \Rightarrow B \lor C)$

These statements I am taking as the initial part of our theory M.

# Rule Of Inference 1.1. $Principle \ of \ Substitution$

If we have a formula P which depends on the statement Q, then we may replace instances of Q with a formula R as long as Q is not dependent on some assumption earlier in the proof.

# Rule Of Inference 1.2. Logical Deduction

Let P and Q be statements.

First, we suppose that  $\vdash P$ .

If we can then show that  $\vdash Q$ ,

then it is proven that  $\vdash P \Rightarrow Q$ 

Note, this does not mean that either  $\vdash P$  or  $\vdash Q$ 

To show this, instead of writing:  $\vdash$  we will write  $\vdash$  meaning that there is a dependence on an assumption.

#### Rule Of Inference 1.3. Modus Ponens

Let A, B be statements.

 $If \vdash A \Rightarrow B \ and \vdash A \ then \vdash B$ 

This can be written as a single theorem:

 $[(A \Rightarrow B) \land A] \Rightarrow B$ 

Note that you can't use the Principle of Substitution on A after you use Modus Ponens.

#### Theorem 1.1. First Theorem

Let A be a statement.

Then  $A \Rightarrow A \vee A$ 

*Proof:* \_\_\_\_\_

We know that:

 $\vdash A \Rightarrow A \lor B$ [Axiom 2]

By replacing B with A we then have:

 $\vdash A \Rightarrow A \lor A$ [Principle of Substitution.]

#### Theorem 1.2. Second Theorem

Let A be a statement.

Then  $A \Leftrightarrow A \vee A$ 

Proof: \_\_\_

 $Since \vdash A \Rightarrow A \lor A \ and \vdash A \lor A \Rightarrow A$ 

[Axiom 1 and the first theorem]

And so we know:

 $\vdash A \Leftrightarrow A \lor A$ [Definition of Equivalence]

## Theorem 1.3. The Commutative Property of OR

Let A, B be statements. Then:  $A \vee B \Leftrightarrow B \vee A$ 

*Proof:* \_\_\_\_\_

By Axiom 3 we know:

 $\vdash A \lor B \Rightarrow B \lor A$ [Axiom 3]

We can then Substitute B for C:

 $\vdash A \lor C \Rightarrow C \lor A$ [Principle of Substitution]

*Next we substitute* A *for* B:

 $\vdash B \lor C \Rightarrow C \lor B$ [Principle of Substitution]

Finally we substitute C for A:

 $\vdash B \lor A \Rightarrow A \lor B$ [Principle of Substitution]

And so by definition of equivalence we have that:

 $\vdash A \lor B \Leftrightarrow B \lor A$ [Definition of Equivalence]

#### Theorem 1.4. Shakespeare's theorem

Let B be a statement.

#### Then $B \vee \neg B$

#### *Proof:* \_\_\_\_

We have the Axiom:

 $\vdash A \Rightarrow A \lor B$ [Axiom 2]

We can then replace A with B and we have:

 $\vdash B \Rightarrow B \lor B$ 

 $\vdash \neg B \lor (B \lor B)$ 

 $\vdash \neg B \lor B$ 

By the commutative property of OR we can then have that:

 $\vdash B \lor \neg B$ 

[Principle of Substitution.] [Def. of Implication] [Second Theorem]

[Commutative Property of Or]

#### Theorem 1.5. The Tautology Theorem

Let A be a statement.

Then:  $A \Rightarrow A$  and further  $A \Leftrightarrow A$ 

*Proof:* \_\_\_\_\_

Let B be a statement.

From the proof Shakespeare's theorem we know that:

 $\vdash \neg B \lor B$ 

Replace B with A.

 $\vdash \neg A \lor A$ 

By the definition of Implication we have that:

 $\vdash A \Rightarrow A$ 

Finally by the definition of equivalence we have that:

 $\vdash A \Leftrightarrow A$ 

[Proof of Shakespeare's theorem.]

[Principle of Substitution.]

[Def. of Logical Implication]

[Def. of Equivalence]

# Theorem 1.6. The Double Negative Theorem

Let A be a statement.

Then  $\neg(\neg A) \Leftrightarrow A$ 

Proof:

From Shakespeare's theorem we have:

 $\vdash B \lor \neg B$ 

Replace B with  $\neg A$ 

 $\vdash \neg A \lor \neg (\neg A)$ 

Then by the definition of implication we have:

 $\vdash A \Rightarrow \neg(\neg A)$ 

For the next proof we will need the following, substitute A with  $\neg A$ 

 $\vdash \neg A \Rightarrow \neg(\neg(\neg A))$ 

[Shakespeare's Theorem]

[Principle of Substitution]

[Def. of Logical Implication]

[Principle of Substitution] \*1

Now for the other direction, start with axiom 4:

 $\vdash (A \Rightarrow C) \Rightarrow (A \lor B \Rightarrow B \lor C)$ 

[Axiom 4]

Substitute C with  $\neg(\neg A)$ 

 $\vdash (A \Rightarrow \neg(\neg A)) \Rightarrow (A \lor B \Rightarrow B \lor \neg(\neg A))$ 

[Principle of Substitution]

Substitute A with  $\neg A$ 

 $\vdash (\neg A \Rightarrow \neg(\neg(\neg A))) \Rightarrow (\neg A \lor B \Rightarrow B \lor \neg(\neg(\neg A)))$ 

[Principle of Substitution]

Substitute B with A

 $\vdash (\neg A \Rightarrow \neg(\neg(\neg A))) \Rightarrow (\neg A \lor A \Rightarrow A \lor \neg(\neg(\neg A)))$ [Principle of Substitution] We have that  $\vdash (\neg A \Rightarrow \neg(\neg(\neg A)))$  from the last part:  $\vdash \neg A \lor A \Rightarrow A \lor \neg (\neg (\neg A))$ [Modus Ponens knowing: \*1] We have Shakespeare's theorem and so:  $\vdash A \lor \neg(\neg(\neg A))$ [Modus Ponens knowing:  $\vdash \neg A \lor A$ ] We know that OR is commutative and so:  $\vdash \neg(\neg(\neg A)) \lor A$ [The Commutative Property of OR] By the definition of implication we know:  $\vdash \neg(\neg A) \Rightarrow A$ [Def. of Logical Implication.] And finally by the definition of equivalence we know:  $\vdash \neg(\neg A) \Leftrightarrow A$ [Def. of Logical Equivalence.]

#### Theorem 1.7. Contrapositive

Let A, B be statements.

 $(A \Rightarrow B) \Leftrightarrow (\neg B \Rightarrow \neg A) \ and \ (A \Leftrightarrow B) \Leftrightarrow (\neg A \Leftrightarrow \neg B)$ 

#### Theorem 1.8. Captain Morgan's Laws for Logic

Let A, B be statements.

Then:  $\neg (A \land B) \Leftrightarrow \neg A \lor \neg B$  and  $\neg (A \lor B) \Leftrightarrow \neg A \land \neg B$ 

**Proof:** 

Using the Deductive method we start with the assumption that:

Sing the Deductive method we start with the assumption that.  $\vdash \neg (A \land B)$ 

By the definition of and we know the following are equivalent.

 $\vdash \neg (\neg (\neg A \lor \neg B))$ 

By the previous theorem we know that:

By the previous theorem we know that:  $\neg A \lor \neg B$ 

Then the Deductive Method tells us that:

From the Deductive Method tetts us the  $\neg (A \land B) \Leftrightarrow \neg A \lor \neg B$ 

And so we are done with the first part.

Using the Deductive Method again, we start with the assumption that:

 $\vdash \neg A \land \neg B$ 

[Deductive Method]

[Deductive Method]

[Def. And]

By definition of and, we know that:

 $\vdash \neg (\neg (\neg A) \lor \neg (\neg B))$ 

[Def. of And]

 $\overline{\underline{By}}$  the double negative theorem we know that:

 $\vdash$   $\neg (A \lor B)$ 

[Double Negative Theorem]

[Double Negative Theorem]

[Deductive Method Conclusion]

And so by the Deductive Method we know:

 $\vdash \neg A \land \neg B \Leftrightarrow \neg (A \lor B)$ 

[Conclusion of the Deductive Method]

 $Which \ gives \ us \ both \ statements.$ 

# Theorem 1.9. $\underline{Shakespeare's\ Contradiction}$

Let B be a statement and define:  $\bot := B \land \neg B$ Then  $\neg \bot$  Start with Shakespeare's theorem.

$$\vdash B \lor \neg B$$

$$\vdash \neg \neg (B \lor \neg B)$$

$$\vdash \neg (\neg B \land \neg \neg B)$$

$$\vdash \neg (\neg B \land B)$$

$$\vdash \neg (B \land \neg B)$$

$$\vdash \neg \bot$$

And so it's not the case that contradictions are part of our theory.

#### Theorem 1.10. Logical Selection

Let A, B be statements.

$$\vdash A \Rightarrow A \lor B$$

$$\vdash \neg A \Rightarrow \neg A \lor B$$

$$\vdash \neg A \Rightarrow \neg A \vee \neg B$$

$$\vdash \neg A \Rightarrow \neg (A \land B)$$

$$\vdash \neg \neg A \lor \neg (A \land B)$$

$$\vdash A \lor \neg (A \land B)$$

$$\vdash \neg (A \land B) \lor A$$

$$\vdash (A \land B) \Rightarrow A$$

#### Theorem 1.11. Modus Ponens

Let A, B be statements.

Then: 
$$[(A \Rightarrow B) \land A] \Rightarrow B$$

$$\vdash (A \Rightarrow B) \land A$$

$$\overline{\vdash}(\neg A \lor B) \land A$$

$$\neg (\neg (\neg A \lor B) \lor \neg A)$$

# Theorem 1.12. Logical Reduction

Let A, B be statements.

$$[(A \vee B) \wedge \neg B] \Rightarrow A$$

From Modus Ponens we have:

$$\vdash [(A \Rightarrow B) \land A] \Rightarrow B$$

$$\vdash [(\neg A \lor B) \land A] \Rightarrow B$$

$$\vdash [(\neg C \lor B) \land C] \Rightarrow B$$

$$\vdash [(\neg C \lor A) \land C] \Rightarrow A$$

$$\vdash [(\neg B \lor A) \land B] \Rightarrow A$$

$$\vdash [(A \lor \neg B) \land B] \Rightarrow A$$

$$\vdash [(A \lor \neg \neg B) \land \neg B] \Rightarrow A$$

$$\vdash [(A \lor B) \land \neg B] \Rightarrow A$$

#### Rule Of Inference 1.4. Contradiction

Let P be a statement.

If  $P \Rightarrow \bot then \neg P$ 

Proof:

 $Suppose \vdash P \Rightarrow \bot$ 

[Deductive Method]

 $\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \vdash & \neg P \lor \bot \\ \hline \vdash & \neg P \end{array}$ 

[Def. Implication]  $[We \ know \vdash \neg \bot]$ 

 $\therefore \vdash [(P \Rightarrow \bot) \Rightarrow (\neg P)]$ 

Ĺ

By this theorem we have an extension of the deductive method and our new rule of Inference:

#### Method of Contradiction:

If we assume P

And then we can deduce  $\perp$ 

Then we know  $\neg P$ 

#### Theorem 1.13. Principle of Explosion

Let A be a statement.

#### Definition 1.9. Subsets and Set Equality

Let A, B be sets.

 $(A \subset B) := (x \in A \Rightarrow x \in B)$ 

 $(A = B) := (A \subset B) \land (B \subset A)$ 

# Theorem 1.14. De Morgan's laws

Let A, B be sets.

Then:  $(A \cap B)^c = A^c \cup B^c$  and  $(A \cup B)^c = A^c \cap B^c$ 

Proof: \_

Let  $x \in (A \cap B)^c$ 

 $\Leftrightarrow x \not\in A \cap B$ 

 $\Leftrightarrow \neg (x \in A \cap B)$ 

 $\Leftrightarrow \neg(x \in A \land x \in B)$ 

 $\Leftrightarrow \neg(x \in A) \vee \neg(x \in B)$ 

 $\Leftrightarrow x \not\in A \vee x \not\in B$ 

 $\Leftrightarrow x \in A^c \vee x \in B^c$ 

 $\Leftrightarrow x \in A^c \cup B^c$ 

 $\therefore (A \cap B)^c = A^c \cup B^c$ 

[Def. Compliment]

[Def.  $\not\in$ ]

[Def. Intersection]

 $[Captain\ Morgan's\ laws\ for\ logic]$ 

[Def.  $\not\in$ ]

[Def. Compliment]

[Def. Union]

[Def. Subset and Set Equality]

Let  $x \in (A \cup B)^c$ 

 $\Leftrightarrow x \not\in A \cup B$ 

 $\Leftrightarrow \neg(x \in A \cup B)$ 

 $\Leftrightarrow \neg (x \in A \land x \in B)$ 

 $\Leftrightarrow \neg(x \in A) \lor \neg(x \in B)$ 

 $\Leftrightarrow x \not\in A \lor x \not\in B$ 

$$\Leftrightarrow x \in A^c \lor x \in B^c$$
  
$$\Leftrightarrow x \in A^c \cup B^c$$
  
$$\therefore (A \cup B)^c = A^c \cap B^c$$

#### Theorem 1.15. The union only makes things larger

Let A, B be sets. Then:  $A \subset A \cup B$ 

*Proof:* \_\_\_\_\_

Let  $x \in A$  and  $x \in B$  be statements.

Then by Axiom 2 we know:

 $x \in A \Rightarrow x \in A \lor x \in B$ 

[Axiom 2 where the statements are  $x \in A$  and  $x \in B$ ]

Then by definition of union we know:

 $x \in A \Rightarrow x \in A \cup B$ 

[Def. Union]

And so by definition of subsets we know:

 $A \subset A \cup B$ 

[Def. of Subsets]

#### Theorem 1.16. Union and Intersection Distributive Properties

$$A\cap (B\cup C)=(A\cap B)\cup (A\cap C)$$

$$A \cup (B \cap C) = (A \cup B) \cap (A \cup C)$$

Proof:

Let  $x \in A \cap (B \cup C) \Rightarrow x \in A \land x \in B \cup C$ 

Suppose that  $x \notin C$ 

 $\Rightarrow x \in B$ 

 $\Rightarrow x \in A \land x \in B$ 

 $\Rightarrow x \in A \cap B$ 

 $\Rightarrow x \in (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$ 

 $[(A \cap B) \subset (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)]$ 

 $Suppose\ that\ x\not\in B$ 

 $\Rightarrow x \in C$ 

 $\Rightarrow x \in A \ and \ x \in C$ 

 $\Rightarrow x \in A \cap C$ 

 $\Rightarrow x \in (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$ 

Therefore:

 $[(A \cap C) \subset (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)]$ 

 $A \cap (B \cup C) \subset (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$ 

## Definition 1.10. <u>Power Set</u>

Let  $X \neq \phi$ 

$$2^X:=\{V:V\subseteq X\}$$

#### Definition 1.11. Injection, Surjection, and Bijection

Let A, B be sets and function  $f: A \to B$ . f is said to be an Injection if:

$$(\forall x, y \in A)(f(x) = f(y) \Rightarrow x = y)$$

f is said to be a Surjection if:

$$(\forall y \in B)(\exists x \in A)(f(x) = y)$$

f is said to be a Bijection if it is both an Injection and a Surjection.

#### Theorem 1.17. It's pretty big.

Let  $X \neq \phi$  and M := card(X) < card(N)

Then:  $card(2^X) = 2^M$ 

#### Definition 1.12. K - Combinations

Let S be a non empty finite set where n = card(S)

A K-combination of S is a subset:  $K \subset S$  where card(K) = k

We then have the collection:  $C(S,k) = \{K \subset S : card(K) = k\}$ 

The number of K-combinations =  $card(C(S,k)) = \binom{n}{k}$ 

# Definition 1.13. $\underline{\textit{Equivalence Relations}}$

Let  $S \neq \phi$ 

Then  $\cong$  is called an Equivalence Relation if:

•  $(\forall a \in S)(a \cong a)$ 

[Reflexive]

•  $(\forall a, b \in S)(a \cong b \Leftrightarrow b \cong a)$ 

[Symmetric]

•  $(\forall a, b, c \in S)(a \cong b \land b \cong c \Rightarrow a \cong c)$ 

[Transitive]

Reference

# Definition 1.14. Equivalence Class

Let  $S \neq \phi$  and  $a \in \overline{S}$  and  $\cong be$  an equivalence relation on S.

Then the equivalence class [a] is defined as follows:

$$[a] := \{ x \in S : x \cong a \}$$

# 2 Topology

#### Definition 2.1. Topology

Let  $X \neq \phi$ 

Further let  $\tau \subseteq 2^X$  such that:

$$\phi, X \in \tau$$

$$(\forall A \neq \phi) \left( \{ U_{\alpha} \}_{\alpha \in A} \subseteq \tau \Rightarrow \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} U_{\alpha} \in \tau \right)$$

$$(\forall m \in \mathbb{N}) \left( \{ U_{j} \}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_{m}} \Rightarrow \bigcap_{j=1^{m}} U_{j} \in \tau \right)$$

#### Definition 2.2. Relative Topology

Let  $X \neq \phi$  and  $Z \subset X$ 

Then the relative topology on Z is written as follows:

$$\tau_Z = \{ Z \cap U : U \in \tau_X \}$$

## Theorem 2.1. The Relative Topology is a Topology on Z

Let  $E \in \tau_Z$ 

$$\Rightarrow E = Z \cap U \subset Z$$
$$\Rightarrow \tau_Z \subset 2^Z$$

And so we have met the first criteria.

*Next:* 

$$\phi \in \tau \Rightarrow Z \cap \phi \in \tau_Z \Rightarrow Z \cap \phi = \phi \in \tau_Z$$

Next:

$$X \in \tau \Rightarrow Z \cap X \in \tau_Z \Rightarrow Z \cap X = Z \in \tau_Z$$

Next: Let  $A \neq \phi$  and  $\{U_{\alpha}\}_{{\alpha} \in A} \in \tau_Z$ 

$$\Rightarrow \exists \{V_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in A} \subset \tau \text{ such that: } U_{\alpha} = Z \cap V_{\alpha}$$
$$\Rightarrow \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} U_{\alpha} = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} Z \cap V_{\alpha}$$

# 3 Change

#### Definition 3.1. <u>Metric</u>

Let X be a non-empty set..

Let  $d: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}_0^+$  such that:

- $(\forall x, y \in X)d(x, y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = y$
- $(\forall x, y \in X)d(x, y) = d(y, x)$
- $(\forall x, y, z \in X)d(x, z) \le d(x, y) + d(y, z)$

Then d is called a metric and (X, d) is called a metric space. Reference

#### Definition 3.2. Limit of a function

Let  $T: X \to Y$  where  $(X, d_X)$  and  $(Y, d_Y)$  are metric spaces.

Then fix  $x_0 \in X$ .

*If:* 

$$(\exists L \in Y)(\forall \epsilon > 0)(\exists \delta > 0)(\forall x \in X)(d(x, x_0) < \delta \Rightarrow d(f(x), L) < \epsilon)$$

Then:

$$\lim_{x \to x_0} f(x) = L$$

Reference

#### Definition 3.3. <u>Derivative</u>

Let  $\hat{f}: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ 

Further let  $f = \hat{f}|_U$  where  $U \in \tau_{\mathbb{R}}$ 

Then f is said to be differentiable at  $x \in U$  if there exists an  $L_x$  such that:

$$L_x = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h}$$

If  $L_x$  exists for all  $x \in U$  then we write:

$$\frac{d}{dx}f(x) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h}$$

Reference

#### Theorem 3.1. Fundamental increment lemma

Let f be described as above and be differentiable at x. Then there exists a function  $\phi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  such that:

$$f(x+h) = f(x) + \frac{d}{dx}f(x)h + \phi(x)h$$

and

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \phi(h) = 0$$

Proof: \_

Define:  $\phi(h) = \frac{f(x+h)-f(x)}{h} - \frac{d}{dx}f(x)$ Then:  $\phi(h)h = f(x+h) - f(x) - \frac{d}{dx}f(x)h$ 

Then:  $\phi(h)h + f(x) - \frac{d}{dx}f(x)h = \widetilde{f(x+h)}$ 

And so we have property 1.

Next:

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \phi(h) = \lim_{h \to 0} \left[ \frac{f(x+h) - f(x) - \frac{d}{dx}f(x)h}{h} \right] = \lim_{h \to 0} \left[ \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} - \frac{d}{dx}f(x) \right]$$
$$= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} - \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{d}{dx}f(x) = \frac{d}{dx}f(x) - \frac{d}{dx}f(x) = 0$$

#### Definition 3.4. Partial Derivative

Let  $\hat{f}: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ 

Further let  $f = f|_U$  where  $U \in \tau_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ 

Then f is said to be differentiable at  $x \in U$  with respect to the i'th component of x if there exists an  $L_{x_i}$ such that:

$$L_{x_i} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x_1, ..., x_i + h, ..., x_n) - f(x_1, ..., x_i, ..., x_n)}{h}$$

If  $L_{x_i}$  exists for all  $x \in U$  then we write:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f(x) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x_1, ..., x_i + h, ..., x_n) - f(x_1, ..., x_i, ..., x_n)}{h}$$

Reference

#### Theorem 3.2. Equivalent characterization

Let  $\hat{f}: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ 

Further let  $f = \hat{f}|_{U}$  where  $U \in \tau_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ 

And let f be differentiable at  $x \in U$  with respect to the i'th component of x, then:

$$L_{x_{i}} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x_{1}, ..., x_{i} + h, ..., x_{n}) - f(x_{1}, ..., x_{i}, ..., x_{n})}{h}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow 0 = \lim_{h \to 0} \left[ \frac{f(x_{1}, ..., x_{i} + h, ..., x_{n}) - f(x_{1}, ..., x_{i}, ..., x_{n})}{h} - L_{x_{i}} \right]$$

$$\Leftrightarrow 0 = \lim_{h \to 0} \left[ \frac{f(x_{1}, ..., x_{i} + h, ..., x_{n}) - f(x_{1}, ..., x_{i}, ..., x_{n})}{h} - \frac{L_{x_{i}} \cdot h}{h} \right]$$

$$\Leftrightarrow 0 = \lim_{h \to 0} \left[ \frac{f(x_{1}, ..., x_{i} + h, ..., x_{n}) - f(x_{1}, ..., x_{i}, ..., x_{n}) - \langle L_{x_{i}}, h \rangle}{h} \right]$$

#### Definition 3.5. Gradient

Let  $\hat{f}: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  and let  $f: U \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f = \hat{f}|_U$  where  $U \in \tau_{\mathbb{R}^n}$  f is said to be differentiable at  $x \in U$  if  $\exists \nabla f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  such that:

$$\lim_{h\to 0}\frac{|f(x+h)-f(x)-\langle\nabla f(x),h\rangle|}{||h||}=0$$

#### Theorem 3.3. Form of the Gradient

Let f be defined as above.

Then  $\nabla f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  where:

$$\nabla f(x) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} f(x) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} f(x) \end{bmatrix} \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

is the form of  $\nabla f$  which satisfies the above statement if f is differentiable. Reference

#### Proof:

Suppose  $\nabla f$  is defined as above and all the partial derivatives exist. Then:

$$\frac{1}{||h||}|f(x+h) - f(x) - \langle \nabla f(x), h \rangle| = \frac{1}{||h||} \left| f(x+h) - f(x) - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} f(x) \cdot h_j \right|$$

## Definition 3.6. Matrix Functional Differentiability

Let  $\hat{T}: \mathbb{R}^{n \times m} \to \mathbb{R}$  and let  $T: U \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $T = \hat{T}|_U$  where  $U \in \tau_{\mathbb{R}^{n \times m}}$ T is said to be differentiable at  $x \in U$  if  $\exists D: \mathbb{R}^{n \times m} \to \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$  such that:

$$\lim_{h\to 0}\frac{|T(x+h)-T(x)-\langle DT(x),h\rangle|}{||h||}=0$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is an inner product defined on  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ 

#### Definition 3.7. Frobenius inner product

The Frobenius inner product is defined as:

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{FB} : \mathbb{R}^{n \times m} \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times m} \to \mathbb{R} \text{ such that: } \langle A, B \rangle_{FB} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{i,j} b_{i,j} \text{ for all } A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$$

#### Theorem 3.4. Form of Matrix Functional Derivative

$$DT(x) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1,1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1,m}} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n,1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n,m}} \end{bmatrix}$$

#### Definition 3.8. Differentiability of a multi-variable function.

Let  $\hat{f}: \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$  such that:

$$\hat{f}(x) = \begin{bmatrix} f_1(x) \\ \vdots \\ f_n(x) \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } (\forall j \in \mathbb{N}_n) (f_j : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R})$$

Further let  $f = \hat{f}|_U$  where  $U \in \tau_{\mathbb{R}^m}$ 

Then f is said to be differentiable at  $x \in U$  if there exists a linear operator  $J_{f(x)}: \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$  such that:

$$\lim_{h \to \vec{0}} \frac{||f(x+h) - f(x) + J_{f(x)}(h)||_{\mathbb{R}^m}}{||h||_{\mathbb{R}^n}} = 0$$

Reference

#### Theorem 3.5. The Jacobian matrix linear operator for the above system.

So our guess is that:

$$J_{f(x)} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} f_1(x) & \cdots & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} f_1(x) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} f_m(x) & \cdots & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} f_m(x) \end{bmatrix}$$

since this form is a linear operator mapping from the appropriate space to the appropriate space. It should be noted that the transpose of this matrix can not satisfy the definition of differentiability of a multi-variable function and so it is not the correct linear operator.

## Definition 3.9. Matrix operator differentiability

Let  $T: \mathbb{R}^{n \times m} \to \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$  such that:

$$T(A) = \begin{bmatrix} T_1(A) \\ \vdots \\ T_n(A) \end{bmatrix} \forall A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m} \ and \ (\forall j \in \mathbb{N}_n)(T_j : \mathbb{R}^{n \times m} \to \mathbb{R})$$

Then T is said to be differentiable at  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$  if there exists a linear operator  $D : \mathbb{R}^{n \times m} \to \mathbb{R}^n$  where:

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{||T(A+h) - T(A) + D(h)||_{\mathbb{R}^n}}{||h||_{\mathbb{R}^{n \times m}}} = 0$$

If D exists then it is called the Matrix operator derivative and is written:  $D_{\mathbb{R}^{n\times m}}T(A)$ 

#### Theorem 3.6. The form of the Matrix operator derivative.

Let T be described as above and differentiable at  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ 

$$\frac{T(A+h) - T(A)}{||h||} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{T_1(A+h) - T_1(A)}{||h||} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{T_n(A+h) - T_n(A)}{||h||} \end{bmatrix}$$

and so:

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{T(A+h) - T(A)}{||h||} = \begin{bmatrix} \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{T_1(A+h) - T_1(A)}{||h||} \\ \vdots \\ \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{T_n(A+h) - T_n(A)}{||h||} \end{bmatrix}$$

#### Definition 3.10. Subspace Differentiability

Let  $X = \{X_j\}_{j=1}^n$  be a sequence of finite dimensional vector spaces where  $\dim(X_j) = k_j = m_j \times n_j$ Let  $T : \prod_{j=1}^n X_j \to Y$  where Y is a finite dimensional vector space with  $\dim(Y) = k_y$ Let  $x_j \in X_j$  for some  $j \in \mathbb{N}_n$ Where

$$x_j = \begin{bmatrix} x_{1,1} & \cdots & x_{1,n_j} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{m_j,1} & \cdots & x_{m_j,n_j} \end{bmatrix}$$

T is said to be differentiable at  $x \in X$  where  $x = (x_0, ..., x_j, ..., x_{n-1})$  with respect to  $X_j$  if there exists a linear operator  $D: X_j \to Y$ :

Given  $h \in X_j \setminus \{\vec{0}\}$  define  $\hat{h} = (0, ..., h, ..., 0) \in X$  where h is in the j'th place of  $\hat{h}$ :

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{||T(x+\hat{h}) - T(x) + D(h)||_X}{||h||_{X_i}} = 0$$

Then D is called the subspace derivative of T at x with respect to  $X_i$  and is written:  $D_{x_i}T(x)$ 

#### Definition 3.11. Product space Derivative

Let  $X = \{X_j\}_{j=0}^{n-1}$  be a sequence of finite dimensional vector spaces where  $\dim(X_j) = k_j$ Let  $T : \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} X_j \to Y$  where Y is a finite dimensional vector space with  $\dim(Y) = k_y$ Let  $\{x_j\}_{j=0}^{n-1}$  be a sequence of vectors such that:  $(\forall j \in \{0, ..., n-1\})(x_j \in X_j)$ The product space derivative at the point  $z \in X$  is:

$$D_X T(z) = \begin{bmatrix} D_{x_0} T(z) \\ \vdots \\ D_{x_{n-1}} T(z) \end{bmatrix}$$

#### Definition 3.12. Fréchet derivative

Let V, W be normed vector spaces and  $U \subset V$  be an open set.

An operator  $f: U \to W$  is said to be Fréchet differentiable if there exists a bounded linear operator  $A: V \to W$  such that:

$$\lim_{||h|| \to 0} \frac{||f(x+h) - f(x) + Ah||_W}{||h||_V} = 0$$

Reference

#### Theorem 3.7. Fréchet derivative of a bounded linear operator

Let V, W be normed vector spaces and  $U \subset V$  be an open set.

Let  $\hat{f}: V \to W$  be a bounded linear operator.

Then lets look at  $f = f|_U$ 

My guess is that  $A = \hat{f}$ 

Let  $x \in U$  and  $h \in U \pitchfork ||h|| \neq 0$  and  $x + h \in U$ , Then:

$$\frac{||f(x+h) - f(x) + Ah||_W}{||h||_V} = \frac{||f(x) + f(h) - f(x) + \hat{f}(h)||_W}{||h||_V} = \frac{||f(x) + f(h) - f(x) + f(h)||_W}{||h||_V} = 0$$

Thus let  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\delta > 0$ 

Then if  $0 < ||h|| < \delta$  we know that  $\frac{||f(x+h)-f(x)+Ah||_W}{||h||_V} = 0 < \epsilon$ 

Therefore:

$$\lim_{||h|| \to 0} \frac{||f(x+h) - f(x) + Ah||_W}{||h||_V} = 0$$

Thus  $A = \hat{f}$  is the Fréchet derivative of f.

## 3.1 Finite Composition Operator

## Definition 3.13. Finite Composition Operator

Let the collection  $\overline{X} = \{X_j\}_{j=0}^n$  be a finite sequence of sets.

Further let  $\{T_j\}_{j=0}^{n-1}$  be a finite sequence of operators such that  $(\forall j \in \mathbb{N}_{n-1})(T_j : X_j \to X_{j+1})$ 

Then  $T^n: X_0 \to X_n$  defined by:

$$T^n := \bigcirc_{j=0}^{n-1} T_j$$

is called the Finite Composition Operator defined on X.

## Definition 3.14. <u>Multi-variable Finite Composition Iteration</u>

Let the collection  $X = \{X_j\}_{j=0}^n$  and  $Y = \{Y_j\}_{j=0}^{n-1}$  be finite sequences of sets.

Further let  $\{T_j\}_{j=0}^{n-1}$  be a finite sequence of operators such that:  $(\forall j \in \mathbb{N}_{n-1})(T_j: X_j \times Y_j \to X_{j+1})$ 

Let  $T^n: X_0 \times \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} Y_j \to X_n$  where:

$$T^{n}(x,y) = z_{n}$$
 where  $z_{j+1} = T_{j}(z_{j}, \pi_{j}(y))$  or  $z_{j+1} = T_{j}(z_{j})$  and  $z_{0} = x \in X_{0}$ 

#### Definition 3.15. Gradient Descent

Let  $E: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  be a differentiable operator.

The method of Gradient Descent says that a local minimum of E can be found using the following iteration:

$$a_{n+1} = a_n - \gamma \nabla E(a_n)$$

Where  $\gamma > 0$ 

## Example 3.1. Objective Operator for Data Set Defined Operator Approximation

Let  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  such that  $X \times Y$  defines an operator T.

$$E(a) = \sum_{x \in X} ||T(x) - T^{n}(x, a)||$$

#### Calculus 4

**Definition 4.1.** Let 
$$A \subset B$$
  
Then:  $X_A(x) := \begin{cases} 1 & x \in A \\ 0 & x \in A^c \end{cases}$ 

# Theorem 4.1. Topological Manipulation

 $f_a(x) := \frac{x-a}{x-a} \text{ where } \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{a\} \text{ and } a \in \mathbb{R}$ Then:  $f_a : \mathbb{R} \setminus \{a\} \to \mathbb{R}$ 

Question: Is  $f_a$  continuous?

Let  $g(x) = \prod_{a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}} f_a(x)$ 

Is a continuous?

Is  $g: \mathbb{Q} \to \mathbb{R}$ ?

What is:  $\int_0^1 g(x) \cdot X_{[0,1]}(x) dx$  ?

#### Surjective Continuous Non-decreasing Bounded Functionals 5

Let  $B = \{f : \mathbb{R} \to [0,1] | f \text{ is surjective, continuous, and non-decreasing.} \}$ 

#### Theorem 5.1. B is convex.

Let  $f, g \in B$  and  $h(x) := \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)g(x)$  where  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ 

Then h is still continuous since the linear combination of continuous functions is continuous.

Proof:

Since both f and g are surjective and non-decreasing, then there exists  $x_0, y_0, x_1, y_1$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  such that:

$$f(x_0) = 0 = g(y_0)$$
 and  $f(x_1) = 1 = g(y_1)$ 

Suppose WLOG that  $x_0 \leq y_0$  and  $x_1 \leq y_1$ 

Then we know that:

$$h(x_0) = \lambda f(x_0) + (1 - \lambda)g(x_0) = \lambda 0 + (1 - \lambda)0 = 0$$

and

$$h(y_1) = \lambda f(y_1) + (1 - \lambda)g(y_1) = \lambda 1 + (1 - \lambda)1 = 1$$

Now if we pick  $\alpha \in [0,1]$  by the intermediate value theorem, we know that there exists an  $x_{\alpha} \in [x_0, y_1]$  such that:

$$h(x_{\alpha}) = \alpha$$

Since  $\alpha$  was arbitrary element, I have shown that h is surjective.

Finally, let  $x_0 < x_1$  be elements in  $\mathbb{R}$ 

Then we know that  $f(x_0) \leq f(x_1)$  and  $g(x_0) \leq g(x_1)$ 

$$\Rightarrow \lambda f(x_0) \leq \lambda f(x_1)$$
 and  $(1 - \lambda)g(x_0) \leq (1 - \lambda)g(x_1)$ 

$$\Rightarrow \lambda f(x_0) + (1 - \lambda)g(x_0) \le \lambda f(x_1) + (1 - \lambda)g(x_1)$$

$$\Rightarrow h(x_0) \le h(x_1)$$

Thus h is non-decreasing.

Since h is surjective, continuous, and non-decreasing, then  $h \in B$ 

Thus B is convex.

#### Theorem 5.2. B is translation invariant.

Let  $f \in B$  and g(x) := f(x+c) where  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ 

f is continuous and so is the addition operator so g is continuous.

#### Proof:

Let  $\alpha \in [0,1]$  since f is surjective then  $\exists x \in \mathbb{R} \cap f(x) = \alpha$ 

Then  $g(x-c) = f(x+c-c) = f(x) = \alpha$  and so g is surjective.

Let x < y be elements in  $\mathbb{R}$ 

Then  $f(x) \le f(y) \Rightarrow f(x+c) \le f(y+c)$ 

 $\Rightarrow g(x) \leq f(y)$  and so g is non-decreasing.

Thus  $g \in B$  and B is therefore translation invariant.

## Theorem 5.3. B is not complete.

## Theorem 5.4. Every element in B can be decomposed as a finite non-trivial convex combination

#### A topological description of finite metric spaces. 6

Finite spaces are of interest because they describe the world of computers. This being the case, we would still like to do analysis on these spaces and analysis starts with topological descriptions.

#### Theorem 6.1. The First Rule of Induced Topologies on Finite Metric Subspaces is:

Let  $V \subset X$  where  $0 < card(V) =: N < card(\mathbb{N})$  and X is a metric space.

Then the subspace topology on V is the discrete topology.

#### Proof: \_

The associated topological space on V is:

$$\tau_V = \{V \cap U : U \in \tau_X\}$$

Since V is of finite carnality, we can uniquely number each element.

Thus:

$$V = \bigcup_{i=1}^{N} \{v_i\}$$

Further:

$$V_{\min} := \min\{d(x, y) : x, y \in V\}$$

Let  $v \in V$  and  $\epsilon_V = \frac{V_{\min}}{2}$ 

Then  $B(v, \epsilon_V) \in \tau_X \Rightarrow V \cap B(v, \epsilon_V) \in \tau_V$ 

However  $V \cap B(v, \epsilon_V) = \{v\}$  and since v was arbitrary, we thus know that:  $(\forall v \in V)(\{v\} \in \tau_V)$ 

We can now prove that  $\tau_V = 2^V$ 

By definition we know that  $\tau_V \subset 2^V$ 

Let  $E \in 2^V$  then  $E = \bigcup_{j=1}^M \{v_j\}$  where  $M \leq N$ Since we know ever  $\{v_j\}$  is open we know that E is open and thus:  $E \in \tau_V$ 

Thus the induced topology on a finite subset of a Metric space is the discrete topology.

## Lemma 6.1. Everything is Continuous when your domain is finite.

Let  $V \subset X$  and  $Y \neq \phi$  where X, Y are metric spaces and  $0 < card(V) = N < card(\mathbb{N})$ 

Then ever  $f: V \to Y$  is continuous.

#### Proof: \_

Let  $U \in \tau_W$ 

Then:  $f^{-1}(U) \subset V$  where  $f: V \to Y$  is arbitrary.

Thus:  $f^{-1}(U) \in \tau_V$  by the previous theorem.

And so f is continuous.

And so every  $f: V \to Y$  is continuous.

## Theorem 6.2. Everything is Lipschitz continuous when your domain is finite.

So if we want to have some form of meaningful topological description of continuity for these spaces, we are going to need a "stronger" form of continuity.

Let  $V \subset X$  and  $Y \neq \phi$  where X, Y are metric spaces and  $0 < card(V) = N < card(\mathbb{N})$ 

Further let  $f: V \to Y$ , then f is **Lipschitz continuous**.

Proof:

We can simply look at:

$$K := \max\left(\left\{\frac{d_Y(f(x), f(y))}{d_X(x, y)} : x, y \in V\right\}\right)$$

Then we know that:

$$(\forall x, y \in V) \left( \frac{d_Y(f(x), f(y))}{d_X(x, y)} \le K \right)$$

And therefore:

$$(\forall x, y \in V) (d_Y(f(x), f(y)) \le K d_X(x, y))$$

And thus f is Lipschitz continuous.

#### Definition 6.1. K - Families

Let  $V \subset X$  and  $Y \neq \phi$  where X, Y are Normed spaces and  $0 < card(V) = N < card(\mathbb{N})$ First we have the set of all operators.

$$\Lambda := \{ f | f : V \to Y \}$$

Next we have the K-Families

$$\Lambda(K) := \{ f \in \Lambda | K \text{ is the smallest Lipschitz constant for } f. \}$$

The set:  $\Lambda(K)$  is called a K - Family. Next we have the K-Nests

$$B(K) := \{ f : V \to Y | (\forall x, y \in V) (||f(x) - f(y)|| \le K ||x - y||) \}$$

#### Theorem $6.3.\ K$ - Properties

•  $B(K) = \bigcup_{L \in [0,K]} \Lambda(L)$ **Proof:** 

Let  $f \in B(K) \Rightarrow f$  is lipschitz.

Which means that there exists a unique smallest constant K' where:  $0 \le K' \le K$ 

Thus  $f \in \Lambda(K') \subset \bigcup_{L \in [0,K]} \Lambda(L)$ 

Therefore:  $B(K) \subseteq \bigcup_{L \in [0,K]}^{\square \subseteq [0,K]} \Lambda(L)$ 

Let 
$$f \in \bigcup_{L \in [0,K]} \Lambda(L) \Rightarrow \exists L \in [0,K] \pitchfork (\forall x,y \in V)(||f(x) - f(y)|| \le L||x - y|| \le K||x - y||)$$
  
  $\Rightarrow f \in B(K)$ 

 $\Rightarrow \bigcup_{L \in [0,K]} \Lambda(L) \subseteq B(K)$ 

$$\therefore B(K) = \bigcup_{L \in [0,K]} \Lambda(L)$$

•  $L \le K \Rightarrow B(L) \subseteq B(K)$ 

Proof:

Let 
$$f \in B(L)$$
 and  $x, y \in V$   

$$\Rightarrow ||f(x) - f(y)|| \le L||x - y|| \le K||x - y||)$$

$$\Rightarrow f \in B(K)$$

 $\bullet$  B(K) is convex.

*Proof:* \_\_\_\_\_

Let  $f, g \in B(K)$  and  $h_{\lambda}(x) = \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)g(x)$  for some  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ Further let  $x, y \in V$ :

$$\begin{aligned} ||h_{\lambda}(x) - h_{\lambda}(y)|| &= ||\lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)g(x) - (\lambda f(y) + (1 - \lambda)g(y))|| = ||\lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)g(x) - \lambda f(y) - (1 - \lambda)g(y)|| \\ &= ||\lambda (f(x) - f(y)) + (1 - \lambda)(g(x) - g(y))|| \le \lambda ||(f(x) - f(y))|| + (1 - \lambda)||(g(x) - g(y))|| \\ &\le \lambda K||x - y|| + (1 - \lambda)K||x - y|| = K||x - y|| \end{aligned}$$

 $\Rightarrow h_{\lambda} \in B(K)$ 

•  $\Lambda(K)$  is not convex.

Proof: \_

Let K > 0

If  $f \in \Lambda(K) \Rightarrow -f \in \Lambda(K)$ However  $\frac{f+-f}{2} = 0 \in \Lambda(0) \neq \Lambda(K)$ 

#### Lemma 6.2. Paths through Function spaces

Let  $f \in \Lambda(K)$  and  $g \in \Lambda(L)$  then  $h_{\lambda}(x) := \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)g(x)$  at most belongs to the family:  $\Lambda(\lambda K + (1 - \lambda)g(x))$  $\lambda(L)$  Further:  $H:[0,1]\to\Gamma$  where  $H(\lambda)=h_{\lambda}$  is a path through the function space:

$$\Gamma = \bigcup_{\nu \in [0,1]} \Lambda(\nu \cdot \max(L, K))$$

Proof:

$$\begin{split} ||h(x) - h(y)|| &= ||\lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)g(x) - (\lambda f(y) + (1 - \lambda)g(y))|| = ||\lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)g(x) - \lambda f(y) - (1 - \lambda)g(y)|| \\ &= ||\lambda (f(x) - f(y)) + (1 - \lambda)(g(x) - g(y))|| \le \lambda ||(f(x) - f(y))|| + (1 - \lambda)||(g(x) - g(y))|| \\ &\le \lambda K||x - y|| + (1 - \lambda)L||x - y|| = (\lambda K + (1 - \lambda)L)||x - y|| \end{split}$$

## Theorem 6.4. Ordering

For this theorem, we will need both the domain and co-domain to be finite.

First, Let  $V \subset X$  and  $W \subset Y$  where X, Y are normed spaces.

Next let  $\Lambda := \{f | f : V \to W\}$  where  $0 < card(V) =: N < card(\mathbb{N})$  and  $0 < card(W) =: M < card(\mathbb{N})$ And:  $\Lambda(K) := \{ f \in \Lambda | K \text{ is the Lipschitz constant for } f. \}$  as before.

Then:

$$K < L \Rightarrow card(\Lambda(K)) < card(\Lambda(L))$$

# 7 Convex Operators

# $\frac{\textbf{Theorem 7.1.}}{\textit{Reference}} \ \frac{\textbf{Invariance under Convex Monotonic Operator Composition}}{\textit{Note of the Monotonic Operator Composition}}$

#### Theorem 7.2. Invariance under Affine Composition

Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$  be a convex operator, then:

$$g(x) = f(Ax + b)$$

Is also a convex operator.