## CSCI 176 (Parallel Processing) Spring 2017 Prog. Assignment 4 (25 pts) Due: April 18 (T)

Parallel merge sort with OpenMP.

Consider the merge sort algorithm that you studied in the data structures/algorithm course(s). For a given problem size n, each thread is assigned a local list of n/p elements. In this version of parallel merge sort, each thread performs 'qsort' (GNU library quicksort) to sort the local list instead of implementing the recursion based method. Merging step is a tree reduction, e.g., thread\_0 merges locally sorted lists of thread\_0 and thread\_1, thread\_2 merges locally sorted lists of thread\_2 and thread\_3, and so on. Eventually, thread\_0 builds the final globally sorted list. A more detailed guide is shown below.

# Programming guide ------

- 1. Access command line arguments for n (total number of elements in the list) and p (total number of threads); create a list (dynamic array) of n integers; initialize the list with random numbers each random number should be less than or equal to n, and it is suggested to use 'parallel for' loop.
- 2. Time checking starts at this point; please use 'omp\_get\_wtime()' that OpenMP supports.
- 3. Launch multiple threads; in each thread, create a local list of size n/p, copy list[my\_start ~ my\_end] to the local list, and perform qsort (GNU library quicksort); each thread is responsible for updating the global list with sorted local list. It is suggested to use 'parallel for' loop for local list copy steps.
- 4. Merging steps need a while loop, which you practiced in HW1; pseudo-code is shown below:

```
divisor = 2; core-difference = 1;
while (divisor <= thread_count)
{ //use barrier synchronization here;
    //determine whether this thread is sender or receiver;
    //if receiver, perform merging operation by calling merge(..) function;
    //there is nothing to do for a sender;
    divisor *= 2; core_difference *= 2;
}</pre>
```

Merge(..) function should be defined separately; one suggested idea is that the function updates the global list with the merging result.

- 5. Time checking ends at this point; please use 'omp get wtime()' that OpenMP supports.
- 6. Finally, please make a function to check whether the final list is completely sorted or not.

  This function is easy to define, i.e., using a for loop(0 ~ n-1), check whether (list[i] > list[i+1]).

#### **Submission:**

Include good documentation (global doc, each func head doc, etc.) in the source code and submit a typescript file showing the source code (by using 'cat'), compilation, and run time session with output.

For the output, 1. Show the trace result with time for n=100 and p=4;

2. Without trace, show the time and correctness for n=100000000, p=1,2,4,8. Please see the sample outputs shown in the next page.

### Sample output with trace (n=40, p=4 case):

```
Original list:
24 7 36 34 16 27 13 10 28 15 24 7 18 34 16 12 21 15 11 33 14 33 27 28
28 17 36 3 3 26 40 10 16 39 17 30 31 26 15 22
Thread 2, local list: 14 33 27 28 28 17 36 3 3 26
Thread_0, local_list: 24 7 36 34 16 27 13 10 28 15
Thread 3, local list: 40 10 16 39 17 30 31 26 15 22
Thread_1, local_list: 24 7 18 34 16 12 21 15 11 33
Thread_2, sorted local_list: 3 3 14 17 26 27 28 28 33 36
Thread_3, sorted local_list: 10 15 16 17 22 26 30 31 39 40
Thread_0, sorted local_list: 7 10 13 15 16 24 27 28 34 36
Thread_1, sorted local_list: 7 11 12 15 16 18 21 24 33 34
sorted list:
3 3 7 7 10 10 11 12 13 14 15 15 15 16 16 16 17 17 18 21 22 24 24 26 26
27 27 28 28 28 30 31 33 33 34 34 36 36 39 40
**verified that list1 is sorted.
Using P=4, n=40, Time taken: 0.000296116 sec
```

## Sample output without trace (n=1000000000, p=1,2,4,8):

```
**verified that list is sorted.
Using P=1, n=1000000000, Time taken: 407.193 sec

**verified that list is sorted.
Using P=2, n=1000000000, Time taken: 262.296 sec

**verified that list is sorted.
Using P=4, n=1000000000, Time taken: 199.321 sec

**verified that list is sorted.
Using P=8, n=10000000000, Time taken: 197.879 sec
```