Nanoparticles size estimation throught Mie resonances

Neven Gentil

April 2022

1 Introduction

Hello LaTeX World!

Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there.Hello LaTeX World! Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there. Hello LaTeX




Figure 1: a nice plot

World! Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there.Hello LaTeX World! Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there.

$\mathbf{2}$ EXP

$$E = m * 2 + 4 * x - 4/5000.0 + 4000000$$

$$-7777777 - 5 + 7777 + 4000000 = 80a$$
(1)

Hello LaTeX World! Eq 1 is... Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there. Hello LaTeX World! Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there. Hello LaTeX World! Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there. Hello LaTeX World! Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there. Hello LaTeX World! Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there. Hello LaTeX World! Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there. Hello LaTeX World! Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there. Hello LaTeX World! Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there.

3 Theory

First of all, let's describe the general composition of our problem: given an arbitrary particle droped in a certain medium, we hit it with a monochromatic light. With a fixed size and other specifics parameters for electromagnetic materials like the permeability μ or the complex refractive index N, our objective is to, theoretically, compute the electromagnitic field for the medium surrounding the particle and inside this particle itself, in order to find the expression of the scattered light.

Let's start with an arbitrary electromagnic field represented by the couple of monochromatic vector field (\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{H}) which satisfies the Maxwell equations:

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = 0 \tag{2}$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{H} = 0 \tag{3}$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = i\omega \mu \mathbf{H} \tag{4}$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = -i\omega \epsilon \mathbf{E} \tag{5}$$

Given the relation $k^2 = \omega^2 \epsilon \mu$ and the following formula:

$$\nabla \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) = \nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}) - \nabla \cdot (\nabla \mathbf{A}) \tag{6}$$

we obtain a couple of vector wave equation:

$$\nabla^2 \mathbf{E} + k^2 \mathbf{E} = 0 \tag{7}$$

$$\nabla^2 \mathbf{H} + k^2 \mathbf{H} = 0 \tag{8}$$

where ∇^2 is the vector Laplace operator, that is to say, we explicitly have $\Delta \mathbf{A} = \nabla^2 \mathbf{A} = (\nabla \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{A}$.

An important thing to notice is that any vector field with zero divergence and satisfying the vector wave equation is a valid electric/magnetic field where we can obtain the corresponding magnetic/electric field by the curl (4)/(5).

In this way, it is possible to create a vector function \mathbf{M} depending on a scalar function ψ and an arbitrary constant vector \mathbf{c} :

$$\mathbf{M} = \nabla \times (\mathbf{c}\psi) \tag{9}$$

where \mathbf{M} directly satisfies the condition $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{M} = 0$. If we replace the expression of \mathbf{M} in the vector wave equation, and thanks to (6), we easily obtain:

$$\nabla^2 \mathbf{M} + k^2 \mathbf{M} = \nabla \times [\mathbf{c}(\nabla^2 \psi + k^2 \psi)] \tag{10}$$

To satisfy the vector wave equation, ψ should also satisfy the equivalent scalar wave equation:

$$\nabla^2 \psi + k^2 \psi = 0 \tag{11}$$

where, this time, ∇^2 is the scalar Laplace operator. By the way, as described above, if we denote $\nabla \times \mathbf{N} = k\mathbf{M}$, we have the perpendicular vector field of the associated artificial one, also satisfying the vector wave equation. Finally, we created the vector harmonics \mathbf{M} and \mathbf{N} with the scalar generating function ψ associated to our initial electromagnitic field.

The main idea to compute all solutions required is the following: given an incident electromagnitic field, we use

the boundary conditions on the surface of our particle to get all fields resulting from interaction. Thereby, it could be interesting to use the spherical polar coordinates during the calculation and particularly to express the boundary conditions. That is why we have constructed the vector harmonics above. Indeed, if we replace the constant vector \mathbf{c} by the radial coordinate \mathbf{r} , \mathbf{M} is always a solution of the vector wave equation but, this time, for the spherical polar coordinates linked to the particle. In this way, from (11), we obtain:

$$\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r^2 \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r}) + \frac{1}{r^2 sin(\theta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (sin(\theta) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta}) + \frac{1}{r^2 sin(\theta)} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial^2 \phi} + \frac{1}{r^2 sin(\theta)} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial r^2 \phi} + \frac{1}{r^2 sin(\theta)} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial r^2 \phi} = 0$$
(12)

Obviously, from the previous formula, we can separate each variable to create ψ :

$$\psi(r,\theta,\phi) = R(r)\Theta(\theta)\Phi(\phi) \tag{13}$$

Thereby, when substitued (13) into (12), we obtain three different equations, depending respectively on r, θ and ϕ which might be solved separately.

Finally, this kind of generating function ψ satisfying the scalar wave equation (11) is expressed in two *even* (ψ_e) and odd (ψ_o) functions as follow:

$$\psi_{emn} = \cos(m\phi) P_n^m(\cos\theta) z_n(kr) \tag{14}$$

$$\psi_{omn} = \sin(m\phi) P_n^m(\cos\theta) z_n(kr) \tag{15}$$

where the parity of our original function is led by the cosinus and sinus attached with the ϕ variable and the angle-dependant m variable.

The other angular part described by θ , as a Legendre's differential equation, is solved by the associated Legendre functions of the first kind $P_n^m(\cos\theta)$ orthogonally defined on the n variable.

Moreover, the radial part, described by r, might be reintroduced as follow:

$$\rho \frac{d}{d\rho} (\rho \frac{dZ}{d\rho}) + [\rho^2 - (n + \frac{1}{2})^2] Z = 0$$
 (16)

where we assume that $\rho = kr$ and $Z = R\sqrt{\rho}$. Thereby, to solve the previous equation, we can use any linear combination of the spherical Bessel functions j_n , y_n , $h_n^{(1)} = j_n + iy_n$ or $h_n^{(2)} = j_n - iy_n$: we named this kind of combination z_n .

Note that m and n are produced by subsidiary conditions when obtaining these three equations by rewriting (12) with separate variables: it is inherent to our physical assumptions.

In the end, we can retrieve our initial vector spherical

harmonics generated by ψ_{emn} or ψ_{omn} :

$$\mathbf{M}_{emn} = \nabla \times (\mathbf{r}\psi_{emn}) \tag{17}$$

$$\mathbf{M}_{omn} = \nabla \times (\mathbf{r}\psi_{omn}) \tag{18}$$

$$\mathbf{N}_{emn} = \frac{\nabla \times \mathbf{M}_{emn}}{k} \tag{19}$$

$$\mathbf{N}_{omn} = \frac{\nabla \times \mathbf{M}_{omn}}{k} \tag{20}$$

At this point of the theory, it is still possible to restrain our hypothesis and more precisely the shape of the incident light. Let's consider a planar incident electromagnetic wave E_i . Now, we can easily describe this wave in terms of vector spherical harmonics:

$$\mathbf{E}_{i} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} B_{emn} \mathbf{M}_{emn} + B_{omn} \mathbf{M}_{omn} + A_{emn} \mathbf{N}_{emn} + A_{omn} \mathbf{N}_{omn}$$
(21)

where B_X and A_X are arbitrary factors. Thanks to the orthogonality of all the vector spherical harmonics which could be demonstrated throught properties from $cos(m\phi)$, $sin(m\phi)$ and $P_n^m(cos\theta)$, we easily exctract each factor of this linear summation (21):

$$\mathbf{B}_{emn} = \frac{\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \mathbf{E}_i \cdot \mathbf{M}_{emn} sin\theta d\theta d\phi}{\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} |\mathbf{M}_{emn}|^2 sin\theta d\theta d\phi}$$
(22)

with here, for instance, the first coefficient. With the orthogonality of the sine and cosine and (22), we can also demonstrate that B_{emn} and A_{omn} vanishe for all m and n. Then, for the same reason, B_{omn} and A_{emn} are nonzero only for m = 1. Moreover, regarding their behavior and our physical assumptions, we can select the spherical Bessel function replacing z_n : we choose j_n for its finite values close to r = 0 and denote it as $^{(1)}$ for the associated vector spherical harmonics. Finally, we obtain:

$$\mathbf{E}_{i} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{o1n} \mathbf{M}_{o1n}^{(1)} + A_{e1n} \mathbf{N}_{e1n}^{(1)}$$
 (23)

Afterward, thanks to a bunch of properties from the associated Legendre functions, we deduce explicitly these two remaining factors B_{o1n} and A_{e1n} from (22) or the equivalent expression:

$$\mathbf{E}_{i} = E_{0} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} i^{n} \frac{2n+1}{n(n+1)} (\mathbf{M}_{o1n}^{(1)} - i \mathbf{N}_{e1n}^{(1)})$$
 (24)

Obviously, it is possible to calculate the perpendicular magnetic field H_i with the curl (4).

At this point, we have enough equation relative to the incident plane wave to apply the following boundary conditions:

$$(\mathbf{E}_i + \mathbf{E}_s - \mathbf{E}_I) \times \overrightarrow{e_r} = 0 \tag{25}$$

$$(\mathbf{H}_i + \mathbf{H}_s - \mathbf{H}_I) \times \overrightarrow{e_r} = 0 \tag{26}$$

where $(\mathbf{E}_s, \mathbf{H}_s)$ and $(\mathbf{E}_I, \mathbf{H}_I)$ are respectively the scattering and internal electromagnetic field resulting from

the interaction between the incident field and our particle. Then, we assume that these last fields have the same mathematical shape, when developped in vector spherical harmonics, than $(\mathbf{E}_i, \mathbf{H}_i)$; that is to say, the scattering and internal field are linearly dependant from the incident field up to some factors taking into account the behavior of j_n at the boundary of our particle:

$$\mathbf{E}_{I} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{n} (c_{n} \mathbf{M}_{o1n}^{(1)} - i d_{n} \mathbf{N}_{e1n}^{(1)})$$
 (27)

$$\mathbf{H}_{I} = \frac{-k_{I}}{\omega \mu_{I}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{n} (d_{n} \mathbf{M}_{e1n}^{(1)} + i c_{n} \mathbf{N}_{o1n}^{(1)})$$
 (28)

$$\mathbf{E}_{s} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{n} (i a_{n} \mathbf{N}_{e1n}^{(3)} - b_{n} \mathbf{M}_{o1n}^{(3)})$$
 (29)

$$\mathbf{H}_{s} = \frac{k}{\omega \mu} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{n} (ib_{n} \mathbf{N}_{o1n}^{(3)} + a_{n} \mathbf{M}_{e1n}^{(3)})$$
(30)

Note that $^{(3)}$ means we use the spherical Bessel function of the third kind $h_n^{(1)}$ and \mathbf{H}_X has the same factors than \mathbf{E}_X due to the curl (4). Moreover, k_I and μ_I are respectively the wave vector and the permeability of the particle.

Now, we can rewrite the boundary conditions on the surface of our imaginary particle from (25) with each component separated, that is to say at r = a if we denote by a its radius:

$$E_{i\theta} + E_{s\theta} = E_{I\theta}$$

$$E_{i\phi} + E_{s\phi} = E_{I\phi}$$

$$H_{i\theta} + H_{s\theta} = H_{I\theta}$$

$$H_{i\phi} + H_{s\phi} = H_{I\phi}$$
(31)

where E_{iX} , for instance, denotes the X-component of \mathbf{E}_{i} . Then, we apply the explicit expressions for the incident, scattering and internal field on these previous boundary conditions (31) with, needless to say, the detailled expressions of the vector spherical harmonics \mathbf{M}_{X} and \mathbf{N}_{X} . In this way, we obtain four linear equations revealing the four coefficients arbitrary pushed into $(\mathbf{E}_{I}, \mathbf{H}_{I})$ and $(\mathbf{E}_{s}, \mathbf{H}_{s})$:

$$j_{n}(mx)c_{n} + h_{n}^{(1)}(x)b_{n} = j_{n}(x)$$

$$\mu[mxj_{n}(mx)]'c_{n} + \mu_{I}[xh_{n}(x)]'b_{n} = \mu_{I}[xj_{n}(x)]'$$

$$\mu mj_{n}(mx)d_{n} + \mu_{I}h_{n}^{(1)}(x)a_{n} = \mu_{I}j_{n}(x)$$

$$[mxj_{n}(mx)]'d_{n} + m[xh_{n}^{(1)}(x)]'a_{n} = m[xj_{n}(x)]'$$
(32)

where the prime sign means derivation along the same argument between parenthesis. Moreover, we have the following definitions:

$$x = ka m = \frac{N_I}{N} (33)$$

where N_I indicates the refractive index of the particle. In the same way, N is owned by the medium. Then, thanks to a basic Gaussian elimination, we can exctract each coefficient. Surprisingly, with some approximation on the spherical Bessel functions depending on the frequency, it is possible to admit the equality between a_n and d_n then b_n and c_n . Furthermore, these two factors could be simplified by introducing the *Riccati-Bessel* functions:

$$\psi_n(\rho) = \rho j_n(\rho) \qquad \xi_n(\rho) = \rho h_n^{(1)}(\rho) \tag{34}$$

and we finally obtain the following expressions for the two first coefficients:

$$a_{n} = \frac{m\psi_{n}(mx)\psi_{n}'(x) - \psi_{n}(x)\psi_{n}'(mx)}{m\psi_{n}(mx)\xi_{n}'(x) - \xi_{n}(x)\psi_{n}'(mx)}$$

$$b_{n} = \frac{\psi_{n}(mx)\psi_{n}'(x) - m\psi_{n}(x)\psi_{n}'(mx)}{\psi_{n}(mx)\xi_{n}'(x) - m\xi_{n}(x)\psi_{n}'(mx)}$$
(35)

From now on, we have enough theory to think about the experimental approach and fit all our requirements. That is to say, let's describe the coordinate system through an explicit diagram: "INCLUDE SCHEMA" As we can see, this reference frame is really common and our incomming plane wave is going throught our particle along the z-axis. That said, our actual way to mesure the particle's size is to, roughly, retrieve the power emitted by it thanks to an optical microscope. Thereby, our goal is currently is to calculate this associated power, that is to say the net rate at which electromagnetic field crosses the surface A of an arbitrary sphere, which might be expressed as follow:

$$W = \int_{A} \mathbf{S} \cdot \overrightarrow{e_r} dA \tag{36}$$

where S is the *Pointing vector* defined as:

$$\mathbf{S} = Re\left\{\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}\right\} \tag{37}$$

Note that an arbitrary sign can be added in fonction of our physical assumptions. In this way, we can now compute this power for the scattered electromagnetic field $(\mathbf{E}_s, \mathbf{B}_s)$ and we obtain:

$$W_{s} = \frac{1}{2} Re \left\{ \int_{\phi=0}^{2\pi} \int_{\theta=0}^{\pi} (E_{s\theta} H_{s\phi}^{*} - E_{s\phi} H_{s\theta}^{*}) r^{2} sin\theta d\theta d\phi \right\}$$
(38)

which might be easily calculated thanks to (35) included into the expression of the scattered field and, after a bit of mathematical manipulations, we have:

$$W_{s} = \frac{\pi |E_{0}|^{2}}{k\omega\mu} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2n+1)Re\{g_{n}\} (|a_{n}|^{2} + |b_{n}|^{2}) \quad (39)$$

where $g_n = (\chi_n^* \psi_n' - \psi_n^* \chi_n') - i(\chi_n^* \chi_n' + \psi_n^* \psi_n')$. Moreover this power could be *normalized*, that is to say, divided by the intensity from the incident light. We introduce the associated cross section:

$$C_{sca} = \frac{2\pi}{k^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2n+1)(|a_n|^2 + |b_n|^2)$$
 (40)

- 4 Simulations
- 5 Experiments
- 6 Conclusion