# Calibration Numerical Methods

Cezar Santos

FGV/EPGE

## Acknowledgements

▶ This set of slides is heavily based on notes by Georg Dürnecker.

### Calibration

- ► What it is
- ▶ Why we do it
- ► Pitfalls
- ► Why theorists do not like it

#### Calibration: What is it and what is it needed for?

- ► Research in applied macro/micro typically proceeds in the following steps:
- Canova (2007):
  - 1. Choose an economic question to be addressed
  - 2. Select a model with some relevance to the question
  - 3. Choose functional forms for the primitives of the model
  - 4. Find a solution for the endogenous variables in terms of the exogenous ones and the parameters
  - 5. Select parameters and specifications for the exogenous processes and simulate paths for the endogenous variables
  - 6. Evaluate the quality of the model by comparing its outcomes with a set of "facts" of the actual data
  - Propose an answer to the question, characterize the uncertainty surrounding the answer, and do policy analysis if required

# Examples for Generic Research Questions

Questions usually display four types (Canova 2007):

- 1. How much of fact X can be explained with impulses of type Y? [Kydland and Prescott (1982), How much of the variation in output can be accounted for by technology shocks?]
- 2. Is it possible to generate features F by using theory T? [Shimer (2005): Is the DMP model able to generate the observed volatility in labor market outcomes?]
- 3. Can we reduce the discrepancy D of the theory from the data by using feature F? [Abel (1990): Can preferences augmented with habit formation resolve the equity premium puzzle?]
- 4. How much do endogenous variables change if the process for the exogenous variables is altered? [Ljungqvist and Sargent (1998): How much does unemployment react to the "observed" rise in economic turbulence?]

- ▶ What role does calibration play in this process?
- ▶ In order to make economic models "computable" and in order to compare their predictions to actual data, they need to be calibrated:
  - Impose functional forms on preferences, technology and other relevant functions. Sometimes it's sufficient to calibrate relevant aspects of these functions rather than the functions itself.
  - 2. Select the parameters of preferences, technology etc.
- How does one do this?

- ► There is disagreement in the profession (Surprise!) about what the term "Calibration" is actually referring to
- ▶ Most widespread view: Calibration is the process by which researchers choose the parameters (and functional forms) of their economic models from various sources. Most commonly, this is done by
  - the use of time series averages of the levels or ratios of economic variables
  - the estimation of single equations
  - reference to econometric studies based on either macro- or micro-data
  - setting the parameters so that the model replicates certain empirical facts such as conditional or unconditional moments of the data

# Using time series averages

Examples:

In partial eq., the interest rate r as an average over time:

$$\hat{r} = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{T} r_t}{T}$$

# Using time series averages

Examples:

In partial eq., the interest rate r as an average over time:

$$\hat{r} = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{T} r_t}{T}$$

With Cobb-Douglas,  $\alpha$  is labor share over time:

$$\hat{\alpha} = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{T} (w_t L_t / Y_t)}{T}$$

# Estimating single equations

Example:

TFP as AR(1) in data:

$$z_t = \rho z_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$$

# Estimating single equations

Example:

TFP as AR(1) in data:

$$z_t = \rho z_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$$

Individual's wage as AR(1) in data:

$$w_t = \rho w_{t-1} + v_t$$

Of course, more sophisticated specifications are possible (Guvenen and co-authors)  $\,$ 

#### Reference to other studies

- ▶ CRRA  $\sigma$  has been estimated before;  $\sigma \in [1,3]$  aprox.
- $\triangleright$  Discount factor  $\beta$  used in several different papers; around 0.96
- etc, etc
- "I have never seen a β in the street..."

# Model replicates empirical moments

#### Model matching:

▶ Run the model to replicate data targets

## Model replicates empirical moments

#### Model matching:

Run the model to replicate data targets

Let  $\Theta$  be the parameters to be calibrated and M the moments. Minimize the distance:

$$\hat{\Theta} = \arg\min_{\Theta} [M_m(\Theta) - M_d]' [M_m(\Theta) - M_d]$$

# Model replicates empirical moments

#### Model matching:

Run the model to replicate data targets

Let  $\Theta$  be the parameters to be calibrated and M the moments. Minimize the distance:

$$\hat{\Theta} = \arg\min_{\Theta} [M_m(\Theta) - M_d]' [M_m(\Theta) - M_d]$$

Remember the role of distributions in computing the moments (conditional and unconditional)

#### Some issues

- Targets need to be sufficiently responsive to changes in the parameters
- Issue of "identification" / Observational equivalence
- Do sensitivity checks to assess the uncertainty around the calibrated parameters