

definition

Thesis

Tim Lichtnau

May 2024

1 Does not fit yet

Algebraic space = Classical algebraic space. Let \mathbb{T} be a saturated topology. Let U be an affine in \mathbb{T} , $R : U^2 \rightarrow \text{Prop}$ be a covering equivalence relation, meaning that the fibers R_x are covering algebraic spaces for $x : U$. I have shown previously that the identity types then are algebraic spaces. Let U/R denote the sheafification of the set truncation of the homotopy quotient. We want to show, that As U is projective we can choose $\tilde{R} : U^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ such that $\|\tilde{R}xy\|_{\mathbb{T}} = Rxy$. Consider the sheafification of the homotopy quotient $U//\tilde{R}$, this will be a 1-stack whose identity types are in \mathbb{T} . Hence it suffices to show that the map $f : U//\tilde{R} \rightarrow U/R$ is fibered in covering 0-stacks. Consider a term in U/R . By descent we may assume its of the form $[x]$ for some $x : U$. I claim that the map

$$\tilde{R}_x \rightarrow \text{fib}_f[x] = \sum_{t:U//\tilde{R}} ft =_{U/R} [x]$$

is an equivalence, this is en

2 The inductive approach

3 Covering stacks

Fix \mathbb{T} a topology, which we call the covering-affines.

Definition 3.1. Let $\mathcal{V} \supset \mathbb{T}$ be a superclass stable under \sum . covering stacks are the smallest intermediate class $\mathbb{T} \subset \text{CS}_{\mathcal{V}} \subset \mathcal{V}$ such that: If $X : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ and $X \rightarrow Y$ is fibered in $\text{CS}_{\mathcal{V}}$, then $Y \in \text{CS}_{\mathcal{V}}$

We call such map $X \rightarrow Y$ whose fibers are covering \mathcal{V} -stacks a \mathcal{V} -cover. If X is affine we call it an \mathcal{V} -atlas. If X is in \mathbb{T} we call it a \mathcal{V} -catlas. In Case of $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{T}}$ the sheaves we call it a geometric cover / geometric atlas / geometric catlas.

Proposition 3.2 (Recursion principle). *Let $P : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \text{Prop}$ be a property of types in \mathcal{V} . Assume*

- *Every covering affine has P*
- *If $\mathbb{T} \ni S \rightarrow Y$ is fibered in P then Y has P*

Then every covering \mathcal{V} -stack has P .

Proof. Replace P by $P \wedge \text{— covering — stack}$. Then usual induction

□

Lemma 3.3. *This class is \sum -stable.*

Proof. Define the predicate PX as every family $B : X \rightarrow \mathbf{CS}_{\mathcal{V}}$ of covering \mathcal{V} -stacks indexed over X satisfies $\sum_{x:X} Bx \in \mathbf{CS}_{\mathcal{V}}$. If X is a covering affine, by choice of X we can choose \mathcal{V} -catlasses $S_x \rightarrow Bx$ for all $x : X$. Then $\sum_{x:X} S_x \rightarrow \sum_x Bx$ is a \mathcal{V} -catlas. If $f : S \rightarrow X$ is a map fibered in P with $S \in T$, then let $B : X \rightarrow \mathbf{CS}_{\mathcal{V}}$. By choice of S we can choose \mathcal{V} -catlasses $\tilde{B}s \rightarrow B(fs)$ for all $s : S$. Then consider $\sum_{s:S} \tilde{B}s \rightarrow \sum_{x:X} Bx$. Its domain is in \mathbb{T} . It remains to show, that the fiber over (x, t) is a covering stack. It is a dependent sum over $\text{fib}_f x$, which by induction satisfies P that lets us conclude by definition of P . \square

Lemma 3.4. *\mathcal{V} -covers are stable under composition.*

Proof. covering \mathcal{V} -stacks are stable under \sum . \square

Proposition 3.5. *Every covering \mathcal{V} -stack X merely admits a \mathcal{V} -catlas, i.e. a \mathcal{V} -cover $Y \rightarrow X$ with $Y \in \mathbb{T}$.*

Proof. We apply the recursion principle of covering stacks

- If X is covering affine, then $X \rightarrow X$ is a \mathcal{V} -catlas with covering domain.
- If X is obtained as a quotient then it already is equipped with a \mathcal{V} -atlas.

\square

Proposition 3.6. *The class of covering \mathcal{V} -stacks is stable under quotients: If $X \rightarrow Y$ is fibered in covering \mathcal{V} -stacks and X is a covering \mathcal{V} -stack and $Y \in \mathcal{V}$, then Y is a covering \mathcal{V} -stack.*

Proof. Choose an \mathcal{V} -catlas of X . Then the composition with the map $X \rightarrow Y$ is a \mathcal{V} -cover by 3.4. Surely its a \mathcal{V} -catlas. \square

Now we want to show that the clash of terminology regarding 'covering' is reasonable:

Proposition 3.7. *Let \mathbb{T} be saturated. A covering stack X is affine iff its a covering affine.*

Proof. The converse is clear. The direct direction follows by the recursion principle. choosing a \mathcal{V} -catlas $S \rightarrow X$. As both S and X are affine the fibers are affine. By induction the fibers are covering affines. By saturatedness of the topology X is covering affine. \square

Lemma 3.8. *Let X be a covering \mathcal{V} -stack. Let $f : \text{Spec } A \rightarrow X$ be a \mathcal{V} -atlas. Then $\text{Spec } A \in \mathbb{T}$*

Proof. As $\text{Spec } A \simeq \sum_{x:X} \text{fib}_f x$ is a dependent sum of covering \mathcal{V} -stacks, it is a covering \mathcal{V} -stack again. We conclude by 3.7. \square

3.1 Geometric stacks

Example 3.9. *covering Aff-stacks = saturation of \mathbb{T} . Indeed: By definition, the saturation of \mathbb{T} is obtained by quotients of \mathbb{T} by \mathbb{T} -covers. We have shown, that its closed under covers between affines.*

Definition 3.10. We call X a \mathcal{V}' -stack, iff there merely exists some affine $\text{Spec } A \rightarrow X$ fibered in covering \mathcal{V} -stacks.

We call X a geometric \mathcal{V} -stack, iff its a \mathcal{V}' -stack and $X \in \mathcal{V}$.

Lemma 3.11. *X is a n' stack iff its an $n + 1$ -stack*

Proof. If its \square

Lemma 3.12. *geometric \mathcal{V} -stacks are closed under id-types.*

Proof. This is similar to 15.2. □

Warning. The previous lemma does not hold for covering stacks: Identity types of things in \mathbb{T} could be empty.

3.2 Truncatedness

In this subsection we want to prove

Theorem 3.13. *Every covering geometric stack is n -truncated for some $n : \mathbb{N}$.*

TODO geometric stack.

Lemma 3.14. *Every covering \mathcal{V} -stack X is \mathbb{T} -merely inhabited.*

Proof. • If X is in \mathbb{T} then its clear.

- If X is obtained by a quotient, we have a map $\text{Spec } A \rightarrow X$ with domain in \mathbb{T} . Now use that we get a map on \mathbb{T} -propositional-truncations and that $\text{Spec } A$ is \mathbb{T} -merely inhabited. □

Lemma 3.15. *Let X be an $n+1$ -type and Y a sheaf. If $X \rightarrow Y$ is a n -truncated \mathbb{T} -surjective map, then Y is an $n+1$ -type.*

Proof. Use that $\text{is-}n\text{-truncated}(y = y')$ is a sheaf for $y, y' : Y$. □

Proof. of the theorem. We apply the recursion principle from above

- If Y is in the topology its clear with $n = 0$.
- Assume Y is equipped with a \mathcal{V} -atlas $f : S \rightarrow Y$, such that every fiber in n -truncated for some n . f is \mathbb{T} -surjective by 3.14. We apply 3.15. So it remains to find an n such that all fibers are n -truncated. For any $x : S$, By induction $\text{fib}_f(fx)$ is n -truncated for some n . By projectivity of S , we find some n such that $\text{fib}_f(fx)$ is n -truncated for all $x : S$. For general $y : Y$, using that $\text{is-}n\text{-truncated } \text{fib}_f y$ is a sheaf, we can conclude by \mathbb{T} -surjectivity of f . □

3.3 Descent

For this subsection lets assume St a class of sheaves, such that \mathbb{T} is contained in it and for any map $X \rightarrow Y$ fibered in \mathbb{T} , $X \in \text{St}$ iff $Y \in \text{St}$. We call types in this class stacky.

Lemma 3.16. *Let \mathbb{T} satisfy descent, i.e. being affine in the topology has descent. If Y admits a \mathbb{T} -cover $f : X \rightarrow Y$ where Y is seperated, then there is a \mathbb{T} -cover $X \rightarrow \bullet Y$.*

Proof. Consider $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{\eta} \bullet Y$. As being affine in \mathbb{T} is a sheaf, we may just show that for all $y : Y$, the fibers over $\eta y : \bullet Y$ are in \mathbb{T} . As η is a monomorphism by 5.4, η restricts to an equivalence

$$\text{fib}_f y \rightarrow \text{fib}_{\eta f}(\eta y)$$

But the left hand side is in \mathbb{T} by assumption. □

Lemma 3.17. *Assume \mathbb{T} have descent. Let $X \in \text{St}$ and Y a type. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be fibered in \mathbb{T} and surjective. Then $\bullet Y$ is stacky.*

Proof. Claim: Y is separated. Proof: By surjectivity of f we may only show that for any $x : X, y : Y$, the type $fx =_Y y$ is a sheaf. If we define U to be the fiber over y , it is in \mathbb{T} by assumption. But then $fx =_Y y$ is the outer pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} fx = y & \longrightarrow & U \in \mathbb{T} & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow y \\ 1 & \xrightarrow{x} & X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

of stacky types, in particular sheaves. \square (Claim)

As X is stacky, it suffices to show, that $\bullet Y$ admits a \mathbb{T} -cover. Conclude by 3.16. \square

Theorem 3.18. *Assume \mathbb{T} have descent. Then \mathbf{St} is a sheaf.*

Proof. \mathbf{St} is separated: This follows from the embedding \mathbf{St} into the separated (TODO) type of sheaves.

Let $U \in \mathbb{T}$ and $P : \|U\| \rightarrow \mathbf{St}$. We want to construct a filler

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \|U\| & \xrightarrow{P} & \mathbf{St} \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \text{dashed} & \\ 1 & & \end{array}$$

Claim: $\bullet(\sum_{x:\|U\|} Px)$ is stacky.

Proof. of the claim. We want to apply the previous lemma to the map

$$\sum_{x:U} P|x| \rightarrow \sum_{x:\|U\|} Px$$

The domain is in \mathbf{St} by stability under \sum . The fibers are equivalent to $U \in \mathbb{T} \subset \mathbf{St}$. \square

The claim provides the map $1 \rightarrow \mathbf{St}$. The diagram commutes: Assuming $x : \|\mathrm{Spec} A\|$ we wish to show $Px = \sum_{x:\|U\|} Px$. Using univalence, we may show that the maps

$$Px \rightarrow \sum_{x:\|U\|} Px \xrightarrow{\eta} \bullet \sum_{x:\|U\|} Px$$

are both equivalences. The first one is an equivalence as $\|U\|$ is contractible. Hence the middle term is a sheaf, thus the unit map is an equivalence as well. \square

Corollary. *For all $n : \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, the class of (covering) (n) -stacks satisfy descent.*

4 Saturated Topologies

Consider a topology \mathbb{T} finer than the Zariski topology.

Definition 4.1. A covering atlas of X is some $\hat{X} \in \mathbb{T}, \hat{X} \rightarrow X$ \mathbb{T} -cover

Definition 4.2. \mathbb{T} is saturated if being in the topology descends along \mathbb{T} -covers between affines, i.e. every affine schemes that has a covering atlas lies itself in \mathbb{T} .
The saturated closure of a topology \mathbb{T} is the topology \mathbb{T}' defined by (todo finite sums of?)

$$X \in \mathbb{T}' \text{ iff } X \text{ is affine} \wedge \exists \text{ covering atlas of } X$$

Lemma 4.3. Using ZLC, this is the smallest saturated topology containing \mathbb{T} .

Proof. Obviously $1 \in \mathbb{T}'$. Types which have a covering atlas are stable by dependent sums by the proof of ???. For the saturatedness consider some \mathbb{T}' -cover $\mathbb{T}' \ni X' \rightarrow X$. By replacing X' with some covering atlas, we may assume that $X' \in \mathbb{T}$. As every fiber $X'_x \in \mathbb{T}'$, we merely find a covering atlas $\tilde{X}'_x \rightarrow X'_x$. Then by Zariski local choice there exists a Zariski atlas $\hat{X} \rightarrow X$ and a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y \equiv \sum_{x:\hat{X}} \tilde{X}'_x & \longrightarrow & \sum_{x:X} X'_x = X' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \hat{X} & \xrightarrow{\text{Zar}} & X \end{array}$$

As $X' \in \mathbb{T}$ and $Y \rightarrow X'$ is fibered in \mathbb{T} (7.3) we have $Y \in \mathbb{T}$. But $Y \rightarrow \hat{X}$ is a \mathbb{T} -cover and $\hat{X} \rightarrow X$ is a \mathbb{T} -cover, $Y \rightarrow X$ is a \mathbb{T} -cover. Hence $X \in \mathbb{T}'$. \square

Lemma 4.4. A type T is a sheaf wrt to \mathbb{T}' iff it is a sheaf wrt to \mathbb{T}

Proof. As $\mathbb{T} \subset \mathbb{T}'$ the \rightarrow direction is clear. Now, let $X \in \mathbb{T}'$. We have to show that $T \rightarrow T^{\|X\|}$ is an equivalence. Choose $\mathbb{T} \ni Y \rightarrow X$. Then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T & \longrightarrow & T^{\|X\|} \\ & \searrow \simeq & \downarrow \\ & & T^{\|Y\|} \end{array}$$

So $T \rightarrow T^{\|X\|}$ has a left-inverse. Thus it suffices to show that any $f : T^{\|X\|}$ has a preimage. Choose $t : T$, s.th. cst_t^Y is the composite $\|Y\| \rightarrow \|X\| \xrightarrow{f} T$. We have $\|Y\| \rightarrow (\text{cst}_t^X = f)$. But as $Y \in \mathbb{T}$ and $\Delta_t = f$ is a sheaf (as an identitytype in the sheaf $T^{\|X\|}$) we are done. \square

Remark 1. We never used that we only talk about \mathbb{T} -covers.

Lemma 4.5. Every saturated affine (i.e. $\text{Spec } A \in \mathbb{T}'$) is \mathbb{T} -merely inhabited.

Proof. We have $\|X\| \rightarrow \|\text{Spec } A\|$ for some covering atlas $\mathbb{T} \ni X \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$. \square

Question 1. Does the converse hold, i.e. is every \mathbb{T} -merely inhabited affine saturated?

5 Lex Modalities

Lemma 5.1 (Stability results). *Lex Modalities are stable under*

1. *Conjunction*
2. *Composition*

Lemma 5.2. *Let \circ be a lex-modality. Let X be \circ -modal and $B : X \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_\circ$ be a family of modal types. Then $\sum_{x:X} B_x$ is \circ -modal*

Lemma 5.3. *Let $B : \bullet X \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$. Then $\bullet(\sum_{x:X} B(\eta x)) = \sum_{x:\bullet X} \bullet Bx$*

Proof. Observe that

$$\sum_{x:X} B\eta x \rightarrow \sum_{x:\bullet X} Bx$$

is a \bullet -equivalence, because for all modal types T , the type $Bx \rightarrow T$ is modal for any $x : \bullet X$. Then it follows by [ref?]. \square

Lemma 5.4. *For a type X the following are equivalent:*

- *the identity types of X are sheaves*
- *the unit $X \rightarrow \bullet X$ is a monomorphism*

In this case we call X seperated

6 Atlas

Definition 6.1. A \mathbb{T} -atlas of X is a \mathbb{T} -cover $\text{Spec } A \rightarrow X$ out of an affine scheme.

Remark 2. Any good enough TODO scheme has a Zariski atlas. If \mathbb{T} is finer than the Zariski-topology then in the definition we may replace affine scheme by good enough scheme, if its just about the question whether a type admits an atlas.

Example 6.2. Let X be a (1-)type. X has a Zariski-atlas, iff there exists some $f : \text{Spec } A \rightarrow X$ fibered in types of the form $\text{Spec}(R_{f_1} \times \dots \times R_{f_n})$ for $(f_1, \dots, f_n) \in \text{Um}(R)$.

Remark 3. If one applies ZLC to an affine scheme $\text{Spec } A$ the resulting principal open cover $D(f_i), f_i \in A$ will induce indeed a zariski atlas $\bigsqcup D(f_i) \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$, because the fiber over $x : \text{Spec } A$ is $\bigsqcup D(f_i(x))$.

Question: Does every zariski atlas of $\text{Spec } A$ have this form? [Weird Zariski Atlases](#)

Example 6.3. \mathbb{P}^n has a zariski atlas given by the standart homogeneous principal opens $\sum_{i=0}^n D_+(x_i)$. The fiber over a point $[y_0 : \dots : y_n]$ is $D(y_0) + \dots + D(y_n)$ where $(y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \text{Um}(R)$.

7 Local Choice

In this section let \mathbb{T} denote a topology finer than the zariski topology.

Definition 7.1. Let Cov be a class of morphisms (which we think of n -atlases of some n), containing \mathbb{T} -atlas, (stable under pullback NECESSARY TODO?) A type S has *local choice* wrt Cov if for any \mathbb{T} -surjective map $X \rightarrow Y$ and any map $f : S \rightarrow Y$ there exists a map $p' : S' \rightarrow S$ in Cov and a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S' & \dashrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ S & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

Proposition 7.2. Assume that Cov is stable under composition.

- If $\hat{S} \rightarrow S$ is a Cover and \hat{S} has \mathbb{T} -local choice, then S has \mathbb{T} -local choice.
- Affine schemes have \mathbb{T} -local choice.
- Any type admitting a Cov - Atlas $\text{Spec } A \rightarrow S$ has \mathbb{T} -local choice.

Proof. The first point follows from stability under composition of Cov . the third point follows from the second. By the first point, we may assume that S is affine. As p is \mathbb{T} -surjective, for any $x : S$ there merely is a $\text{Spec } B_x \in T$ and a map $\text{Spec } B_x \rightarrow \|\text{fib}_p(x)\|$. As S is projective, we have a term in

$$\prod_{x:S} \sum_{\text{Spec } B_x \in T} \text{Spec } B_x \rightarrow \|\text{fib}_p(fx)\|$$

By setting

$$(S' := \sum_{x:S} \text{Spec } B_x) \xrightarrow{\pi} S$$

the projection, we are now in the situation that for any $t : S'$ we merely have a point in $\text{fib}_p((p'(t)))$ and $S' \rightarrow S$ is a \mathbb{T} -cover, thus it is in Cov . Moreover, S' is affine, as it is a dependent sum of affines. Hence again we now can find a lift $S' \rightarrow X$ making

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S' & \longrightarrow & Y \\ p' \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ S & \xrightarrow{f} & X \end{array}$$

commute. □

The next lemma shows, that the class of types equipped with a \mathbb{T} -atlas is stable under dependent sums.

Lemma 7.3. Let $\mathcal{U}' \subset \mathcal{U}$ be stable under dependent sums (e.g. \mathbb{T} -inhabited types) Let X be a type with a map $p : U \rightarrow X$ fibered in \mathcal{U}' . For any $x : X$, let Y_x be a type and moreover for any $u : U$, we are given a map $q_u : V_u \rightarrow Y_{p(u)}$ fibered in \mathcal{U}' . Then the induced map

$$p : \sum_{u:U} V_u \rightarrow \sum_{x:X} Y_x$$

is fibered in \mathcal{U}'

Proof. The fiber of p over some $(x, y) \in \sum_{x:X} Y_x$ is given by

$$\sum_{u:\text{fib}_p x} \text{fib}_{q_u}(y')$$

where $y' : Y_{p(u)}$ (depending on u) is the transport of $y : Y_x$ along $x = p(u)$. As \mathcal{U}' is stable under dependent sum those fibers are again in \mathcal{U}' . This shows the result. \square

Theorem 7.4. *Let \mathcal{U}' be a class stable under dependent sums. The class of types admitting a \mathcal{U}' -atlas is closed under dependent sums. If \mathbb{T} is a topology, the same holds for \mathcal{U}' -atlases with domain in \mathbb{T} .*

Proof. Let us construct some atlas $\text{Spec } A \rightarrow \sum_{x:X} B_x$. For any $x : X$ we merely have an atlas $V_x \rightarrow B_x$, i.e. with V_x affine. X has local choice wrt atlases by (7.2) using \mathcal{U}' is Σ -stable (we use the trivial topology).

If additionally, all the B_x and X are smooth n -stacks, just observe that we can choose the affine V_{pu} to lie in \mathbb{T} , Accordingly $\sum_{u:U} V_{pu} \in T$ as \mathbb{T} is stable under Σ .

By Local choice for X , we merely find U affine, an atlas $p : U \rightarrow X$ with

$$\prod_{u:U} \sum_{V_{p(u)} \in T} (q : V_{p(u)} \rightarrow B_{p(u)}) \times (q \text{ fibered in smooth } n \text{ stacks})$$

Now the desired map is $\sum_{u:U} V_{pu} \rightarrow \sum_{x:X} B_x$, because it is an atlas by 7.3

\square

8 Fundamental Theorem of algebraic spaces

8.1 For groupoids

Lemma 8.1. *If $R \rightrightarrows X \rightarrow X$ is a \mathbb{T} -htpy-coequalizer diagram of two \mathbb{T} -covers between affines, then X is a 1-stack.*

8.2 For sets

Lemma 8.2. *Denote $\mathbb{T}\text{Set}$ for the sets that are \mathbb{T} -sheaves. Assume given a \mathbb{T} set X then the following maps are mutually inverse*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{R: X \rightarrow X \rightarrow \mathbb{T}\text{Prop}} R \text{ equivalence relation} &\simeq \sum_{Y: \mathbb{T}\text{Set}} \sum_{p: X \rightarrow Y} p \text{ } \mathbb{T}\text{surjective} \\ R &\mapsto (X/R, [-]) \\ \lambda x, y. (p(x) = p(y)) &\leftarrow (Y, p) \end{aligned}$$

where X/R is defined by applying $L_T\|_{\cdot}\|_0$ at the higher inductive type $X//R$.

Proof. • Well-definedness: The map $[-] : X \rightarrow \|X//R\|_0 \rightarrow L_T\|X//R\|_0$ is the composition of a surjective with a \mathbb{T} -surjective map [ref?], hence its \mathbb{T} -surjective. Conversely given (Y, p) as Y is a sheaf, we have for all $x, y : X$ that $p(x) =_Y p(y)$ is a sheaf.

- If $x, y : X$ then we have a chain of equivalences

$$R(x, y) \simeq (\bar{x} =_{\|X//R\|_0} \bar{y}) \rightarrow ([x] =_{L_T\|X//R\|_0} [y])$$

where the first map is plain HoTT and the second map is **ap**, i.e. the unit of the modality [ref?], but as the $\bar{x} =_{\|X//R\|_0} \bar{y}$ is already a sheaf, it is an isomorphism as well.

- Let (Y, p) be in the RHS. Let $R(x, y) = (p(x) = p(y)) : \mathbb{T}\text{Prop}$. By plain HoTT, There is a map $\eta : X//R \rightarrow Y$ (defined by the universal property of the set truncation and by induction on the higher inductive type $X//R$ on canonical terms through the map $p : X \rightarrow Y$). I claim η exhibits Y as the localization for $\mathbb{T}\text{Set}$ -modality of $X//R$. Let T be another $\mathbb{T}\text{Set}$ equipped with a map $X//R \rightarrow T$. By precomposition we obtain a map $X \rightarrow T$. Claim: it factors uniquely through $p : X \rightarrow Y$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \longrightarrow & X//R & \longrightarrow & T \\ & \searrow & & \nearrow \exists! & \\ & & Y & & \end{array}$$

Proof:

Existence: We want to define a map $Y \rightarrow T$. Let $y : Y$. As p is \mathbb{T} -surjective and T is a sheaf, we may assume we merely have some element in the fiber of p over y . Now push this element through

$$\| \text{fib}_p y \| \rightarrow \|X//R\|_0 \rightarrow T$$

where the first map is by Plain HoTT and the second one is induced from $X//R \rightarrow T$ by assumption and the fact that T is a set.. One can easily check this makes the diagram commute. Uniqueness follows from $X \rightarrow Y$ being \mathbb{T} -surjective and the following Fact: Two parallel maps $Y \rightrightarrows T$ into a $\mathbb{T}\text{Set}$ T are already equal if they become equal after

precomposition with a \mathbb{T} -surjection $X \rightarrow Y$.

Proof of the fact : Let $y : Y$. The goal is an identity type of a $\mathbb{T}\text{Set}$, hence a $\mathbb{T}\text{Prop}$. Hence As the fiber over y in X is \mathbb{T} -merely inhabited, we may assume an actual term in the fiber. As $X \rightarrow Y$ equalizes the arrows, this term allows us to conclude. $\square(\text{fact})$ $\square(\text{Claim})$

We apply the fact to the (\mathbb{T}) -surjectivity of $X \rightarrow X//R$ to get a unique factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \twoheadrightarrow & X//R & \longrightarrow & T \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & \nearrow \exists! & \\ & & Y & & \end{array}$$

making the right triangle commute. This is what we wanted to show. \square

Definition 8.3. An equivalence relation R on a type X is called:

- redundant if for all $x, y : X$ the proposition $R(x, y)$ is a -1 -stack.
- covering if its and for any $y : X$ its fibers:

$$R_y := \sum_{x:X} R(x, y)$$

are affine in \mathbb{T} .

Lemma 8.4. Assume that \mathbb{T} satisfies descent for propositions and for sets $??$, i.e. that a modal proposition being a (-1) -stack is a sheaf. Assume that a modal set being affine in \mathbb{T} is a sheaf. Assume given a $\mathbb{T}\text{set}$ X , then the following types are equivalent:

- The type of redundant covering equivalence relations over X .
- The type of $\mathbb{T}\text{sets}$ Y with identity types being stacks and an -1 -atlas X to Y (in $V2$ a \mathbb{T} -cover).

Proof. By the equivalence in 8.2, it is enough to check that:

- The identity types in X/R are (-1) -stacks if and only if the relation R is redundant . For any $x, y : X$ we know that:

$$R(x, y) \simeq [x] =_{X/R} [y]$$

so the direct direction is immediate. For the converse we use the assumption that a modal proposition being a (-1) -stack is a sheaf and that the map $[-] : X \rightarrow X/R$ is \mathbb{T} -surjective.

- The fibers of:

$$[-] : X \rightarrow X/R$$

are affine in \mathbb{T} if and only if the relation R is covering. For any $y : X$ we have that:

$$\sum_{x:X} R(x, y) \simeq \text{fib}_{[-]}([y])$$

so the direct direction is immediate. Here as well the converse follows from \mathbb{T} -surjectivity of $[-]$ and that the topology has descent. \square

Corollary. Assume \mathbb{T} satisfies descent for propositions and for sets. A type is a 0 -stack iff its merely the \mathbb{T} -quotient of an affine scheme by a covering equivalence relation.

Theorem 8.5. *Assume \mathbb{T} satisfies descent for propositions. The quotient of a 0-stack $X \in \mathbb{T}\text{Set}$ by an 0-covering equivalence relation R is a 0-stack. TODO*

Proof. The identity types in X/R are propositional 0-stacks, hence (-1) -Truncations of -1-stacks by 14.2 as desired.

How to find an atlas: todo. How to proceed, if we could choose all atlases we want at the same time?

□

Remark 4. This is equivalent to saying that 1-stacks that are 0-types are geometric 0-stacks: One direction we prove later. If R is a 0-covering equivalence relation on a 0-stack X , then X/R is a 1-stack by observing that any -1-atlas $X' \rightarrow X$ gives a 0-atlas $X' \rightarrow X \rightarrow X/R$. Moreover, X/R is a 0-type, hence by assumption a 0-stack.

Example 8.6. *There are open affine subschemes U of affine schemes $\text{Spec } A$, which are not (disjoint unions of) principal open*

Proof. Consider $A = R[x, y, u, v]/(xy + ux^2 + vy^2)$, $X = \text{Spec } A$ and consider the open $U = D(x, y)$.

We can't expect U to be a disjoint union of principal opens (todo). However, $D(x, y)$ is affine: We have maps $U \rightarrow R$ given by $f = -v/x = (y + ux)/y^2$, $g = -u/y = (x + vy)/x^2$. Then $D(f) \cup D(g) = \text{Spec } R^X$, as $yf + xg = 1$ in R^U . Taking preimages under the affinization map, $U_f \cup U_g = X$ and one checks this defines an open affine cover (for example: $U_f \simeq \text{Spec } R[x, u, f^{\pm 1}, g]/(xy + ux^2 + uy^2)$ with $y := (1 - gx)/f$.) But on both of these open subsets the affinization map is an isomorphism hence the affinization of X is an isomorphism. compare (Hartshorne II.2.17) □

Lemma 8.7. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be surjective. There exists a Zariski Cover $X' \rightarrow X$ such that $X' \rightarrow Y$ is a Zariski cover iff there exists a Zariski Cover $X' \rightarrow X$, some $n : \mathbb{N}$ and an open affine embedding $X' \hookrightarrow Y^n$ over Y .*

9 Algebraic Space

Recall the notion of (covering) 0-stacks. it is the smallest pair of classes that satisfies the following

- Stability under \sum 12.1
- (covering) affines are (covering) algebraic spaces.
- stable under covering quotients: If X is an algebraic space, Y modal 0-type and $X \rightarrow Y$ is fibered in covering algebraic spaces, then Y is an algebraic space. Additionally, if X is covering, then Y is covering.

9.1 Geometric propositions

Definition 9.1. An affine Scheme U is called geometric, if

$$\|U\|_{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow (U \in \mathbb{T})$$

Lemma 9.2. *The converse holds always*

Proof. because things in \mathbb{T} are automatically \mathbb{T} -merely inhabited □

Recall the definition of \mathbb{T} -atlas 6.1

Definition 9.3. We call a modal proposition geometric, if one of the equivalent conditions is satisfied:

1. its merely of the form $\|U\|_{\mathbb{T}}$ for some geometric affine U .
2. There is a \mathbb{T} -surjective map out of a geometric affine U .
3. It has a \mathbb{T} -atlas.

Proof.

1 \Leftrightarrow 2 Clear.

1 \Rightarrow 3 we show that $U \rightarrow \|U\|_{\mathbb{T}}$ is a \mathbb{T} -atlas. Every fiber is in \mathbb{T} , because U is geometric.

3 \Rightarrow 1 Let $V \rightarrow P$ be a \mathbb{T} -atlas. have to show TFAE $\|V\|_{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow P \rightarrow (V \in \mathbb{T}) \xrightarrow{9.2} \|V\|_{\mathbb{T}}$. Proof:
 $\|V\|_{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow P$ as P is modal prop. Secondly, because $V \rightarrow P$ is a \mathbb{T} -cover.
Hence P is a geometric proposition. □

Lemma 9.4. *geometric propositions are algebraic spaces.*

Proof. We have $U \rightarrow \|U\|_{\mathbb{T}}$ where U is affine, hence an algebraic space and the fibers are in \mathbb{T} by geometricness of U , hence they are covering algebraic spaces. By stability under quotients, our geometric proposition is an algebraic space. □

9.2 Algebraic spaces

Definition 9.5. Consider a modal equivalence relation $R : U^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{GeomProp}$ on an affine U . We call it covering if one of the following equivalent conditions

- every fiber $R_s \equiv \sum_{t:S} Rst$ admits a \mathbb{T} -catlas.
- every fiber $R_s \equiv \sum_{t:S} Rst$ is a covering 0-stack.

Proof. Every type admitting a \mathbb{T} -catlas is a covering 0-stack. Conversely: if the fibers are covering 0-stacks. For all $t : S$ we can choose a geometric atlas $\text{Spec } A_t \rightarrow Rst$ by 9.3. Then

$$\sum_{t:S} \text{Spec } A_t \rightarrow \sum_{t:S} Rst$$

is a \mathbb{T} -atlas. As $\sum_{t:S} Rst$ is a covering 0-stack by assumption, the map has to be a \mathbb{T} -catlas by 3.8. \square

Definition 9.6. A modal set X is an algebraic space iff it is merely of the form $L_{\mathbb{T}}(U/R)$ for some affine U and $R : U^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{Prop}$ a covering equivalence relation. Equivalently there exists some map $U \rightarrow X$ whose fibers merely have \mathbb{T} -catlasses. We call X covering if U can be chosen to be in \mathbb{T} .

Lemma 9.7. *Every (covering) algebraic space is a (covering) geometric 0-stack.*

Proof. Choose a presentation $R : U^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{Prop}$. It suffices to show, that the map $f : U \rightarrow L_{\mathbb{T}}(U/R)$ is a geometric (c)atlas. The map f is \mathbb{T} -surjective by the well-definedness of the bijection 8.2. By descent we may just show, that the fibers $\text{fib}_f(f(s))$ for $s : U$ are covering 0-stacks. But by the bijection in 8.2 those are equivalent to the fibers R_s , which are covering 0-stacks as the equivalence relation is covering. \square

Corollary. *The identity types of algebraic spaces are geometric propositions.*

Proof. By the previous lemma and 3.12 \square

Lemma 9.8. *Let P be a sheaf and a proposition that admits a map $\text{Spec } A \rightarrow P$ fibered in covering algebraic spaces. Then P is a geometric proposition.*

Proof. The fibers are covering algebraic spaces and affine, hence covering affine. By 9.3 we conclude. \square

Theorem 9.9. *Let X be a sheaf of sets. Let S be (covering-) affine and $f : S \rightarrow X$ be fibered in covering algebraic spaces. Then X is a (covering) algebraic space.*

Proof. The identity types of X admit a map fibered in covering algebraic spaces (todo check stability under \sum) out of an affine by 15.2. by 9.8 they are geometric propositions. The equivalence relation determined by f is covering 9.5, because the fibers of f are covering 0-stacks. \square

10 Schemes are algebraic Spaces for the Zariski Topology

Definition 10.1. A proposition U is open iff its merely of the form $f_1 \text{ inv} \vee \dots \vee f_n \text{ inv}$ for some $f_i : R$.

Definition 10.2. A Zariski sheaf X is a scheme if there merely exists some affine S map $S \rightarrow X$ whose fibers are Zariski-merely inhabited finite sums of open propositions

Lemma 10.3. *Given $f_1, \dots, f_n : R$ such that $\|D(f_1) + \dots + D(f_n)\|_{Zar}$ then $\sum_{i=1}^n D(f_i) \in Zar$.*

Proof. We have to show that $(f_1, \dots, f_n) = 1$. Claim: $(f_1, \dots, f_n) = 1$ is a sheaf. Proof: Recall that the Zariski topology is subcanonical ([ref?]). Now the type is equivalent to the statement that the map between the two sheaves $\text{Spec } 0 \rightarrow \text{Spec } R/(f_1, \dots, f_n)$ is an equivalence. This is a sheaf [ref?]. \square

Proposition 10.4. *Every Zariski-merely-inhabited type that is merely of the form $U_1 + \dots + U_n$ for open propositions U_i admits a zariski-catlas.*

Proof. By definition of openness, We can choose a surjection $\coprod_{j=1}^{n_i} D(f_{ij}) \rightarrow U_i$ for any i . We want to show, that the map

$$\coprod_{i,j} D(f_{ij}) \twoheadrightarrow U_1 + \dots + U_n$$

is a Zariski-catlas.

- Let us first show that the fibers are in Zar . Assume U_i holds. So we find a term in $\coprod_j D(f_{ij})$. In particular we have $\|\coprod_j D(f_{ij})\|_{Zar}$. By the lemma we conclude, that the fiber $\sum_j D(f_{ij})$ belongs to Zar .
- The total space is in Zar : This follows as the surjection after \mathbb{T} -truncation becomes an equivalence. As we have $\|U_1 + \dots + U_n\|_{\mathbb{T}}$, we can conclude by the lemma.

\square

Warning. The converse does not hold! Apply 3.16 to the map

$$Zar \ni 1 + 1 \rightarrow \sum D(f)$$

$\sum D(f)$ is separated as $D(f)$ is a sheaf. All the fibers are equivalent to $1 + X$, hence they are in the Zariski topology. Use that being in the Zariski topology has Zariski-descent.

Corollary. *Every scheme is an algebraic space for the Zariski topology.*

Question 2. Is every algebraic space for the zariski topology a scheme?

11 n -stacks

Definition 11.1. Let \mathbb{T} be a subcanonical topology finer than the Zariski topology. Let $n \geq -2$. A type X

- is a (covering) -2 -stack if it is contractible
- is a $(n+1)$ -stack, if
 - X is a \mathbb{T} -sheaf
 - For any $x, y : X$ $x =_X y$ is a n -stack
 - There exists an n -atlas, i.e. a \mathbb{T} -surjective map $\text{Spec } A \rightarrow X$ fibered in
 - * \mathbb{T} , if $n \leq 0$
 - * covering n -stacks, if $n > 0$.
- X is a covering $n+1$ -stack if
 - X is a $(n+1)$ -stack
 - There exists a n -atlas $\text{Spec } A \rightarrow X$ with $\text{Spec } A \in \mathbb{T}$

Lemma 11.2. *One could only alternatively talk about (covering) n -stacks for $n \geq 1$, define them by induction as above. Then later define:*

- A (covering) -1 -stack is a (covering) 1 -stack is a proposition.
- A (covering) 0 -stack is a (covering) 1 -stack that is a 0 -type.

Proof.

□

Lemma 11.3. *A (covering) n -stack is a (covering) $n+1$ -stack.*

Proof. Induction. Be aware of the induction start, where maybe no atlas is assumed! We need, that \mathbb{T} is subcanonical to conclude that affines are \mathbb{T} -sheaves. □

Remark 5. If one changes the definition of atlas to be a map out of a scheme, then covering -1 atlas will be scheme in \mathbb{T} . Otherwise propositional -1 -stack are not 0 -stacks.

12 Stability results

Theorem 12.1. *Let $n \geq -2$. covering / n -stacks are stable by dependent sums.*

Proof. Induction. For $n = -2$ its okay. Let $B : X \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ be a family of $n+1$ -stacks indexed over a $n+1$ -stack X , then surely the total space $\sum_{x:X} Bx$ is a \mathbb{T} -sheaf as \mathbb{T} -sheaves are stable under dependent sum. The identity types in a \sum type are \sum of identity types. Admitting an n -atlas is stable under dependent sum: We apply 7.4 to the class of (covering) n -atlases, which is stable under dependent sum by induction.

□

Corollary. *n -atlases are stable under composition.*

Lemma 12.2. *$n+1$ -stacks are closed under taking closed (open) subtypes.*

Proof. First we show: if X has an n -atlas and Y is a closed (open) subtype of X , then Y has an n -atlas. Choose an n -atlas $\text{Spec } A \rightarrow X$. The pullback to Y has the same fibers. If Y is closed, and the total space is a closed subtype of $\text{Spec } A$, hence it will be affine. If Y is an open subtype of X , then the pullback is an open subtype of $\text{Spec } A$, hence by zariski local choice merely of the form $\bigcup_{i=1}^n D(a_i) \subset A$. As n -atlases are stable under composition 12, it suffices to show, that the map $f : \bigsqcup_i D(a_i) \rightarrow \bigcup_{i=1}^n D(a_i)$ is a Zariski-atlas, because then it will be an n -atlas as well. Let $x : \bigcup_{i=1}^n D(a_i)$, i.e. there merely exists an i , such that $a_i(x)$ is invertible. The fiber is exactly $D(a_1(x)) + \dots + D(a_n(x))$. thus we are done. (MAYBE OUTSOURCE THIS and say open subschemes of affines have zariski atlas) \square

Corollary. *Let X be a quasi-projective scheme that is a sheaf. Then X is a 0-stack.*

Proof. It suffices to see that X has a zariski atlas. Use . \square

Definition 12.3. A property of morphisms between n -stacks is local, if it is satisfied by identities, stable under composition and basechange/descent along Cov -maps, precomposition/right cancellability with Cov -maps.

Lemma 12.4. *Given a local property of types P . Then being fibered in P is a local property of morphisms.*

Lemma 12.5. *Given a local property P of morphisms of modal n -types, a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ has P if there exists an n -atlas of f having P .*

The previous lemma tells us that we have the correct notion of covering morphisms between n -stacks for $n = 0, 1$.

13 Saturated Topologies revisited

Lemma 13.1 (1.1). *We want that every $n - 1$ -atlas of a covering n -atlas has the additional requirement in the definition of covering n -atlas. It turns out, that for this topology needs to be saturated: The following are equivalent*

1. *Being in the topology descends along \mathbb{T} -covers between affines, i.e. \mathbb{T} is saturated.*
2. *A covering n -stack X that is an affine scheme lies in the Topology \mathbb{T} .*
3. *Let $n \geq 0$. If T is a covering n -stack, then any $n - 1$ -atlas $U \rightarrow T$ satisfies $U \in \mathbb{T}$.*
4. *If $U \xrightarrow{f} V \xrightarrow{g} W$ are maps between affines and f and gf are \mathbb{T} covers, then g is a \mathbb{T} Cover*

Proof. $1 \Rightarrow 2$

Induction. This holds for $n = -1$. Assume it holds for $n - 1$. Choose a $n - 1$ -atlas with T source, i.e. $T \ni \text{Spec } A \rightarrow X$ fibered in covering $n - 1$ -stacks. As it is affine, all the fibers of the atlas are affine covering $n - 1$ -stacks, hence by induction they lie in \mathbb{T} , thus the atlas is a \mathbb{T} -cover between affines, hence $X \in \mathbb{T}$.

$2 \Rightarrow 3$

As $U \rightarrow T$ is fibered in covering $n - 1$ stacks, all the fibers are in particular covering n -stacks by 11.3. By stability under dependent sum $U = \sum_{t:T} U_t$ is a covering n -stack that is affine, hence by assumption (2) it lies in the topology.

$3 \Rightarrow 1$

Let $X \rightarrow Y$ be a \mathbb{T} -cover with X affine in \mathbb{T} and Y affine. Then Y is a covering 0-stack, But $Y \rightarrow Y$ is a -1 -atlas, hence by assumption $Y \in \mathbb{T}$.

$4 \Rightarrow 1$

Obvious

$1 \Rightarrow 4$

Check fiberwise \square

If $n \geq$, replacing \mathbb{T} by its saturation \mathbb{T}' does change the notion of (covering) n -stack, but we have the following statement, that tells us, that if we start with 0- \mathbb{T} -stacks then the notion of coveringness does not see the difference between \mathbb{T} and its saturation.

Proposition 13.2. *Let X be a 0-stack that is a weak covering 0-stack, i.e. there exists a \mathbb{T}' -atlas $\mathbb{T}' \ni X' \rightarrow X$ (i.e. fibered in \mathbb{T}'). Then X is a covering 0-stack.*

Proof. Wlog $X' \in \mathbb{T}$. Choose a -1 -atlas $\text{Spec } A \rightarrow X$ (i.e. fibered in \mathbb{T}). As the fibers of $X' \rightarrow X$ merely have covering atlases $\tilde{X}'_x \rightarrow X'_x$, we can use Local choice to obtain a commutative diagram $Y = \sum_{x':X'} \tilde{X}'_x$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{T}} & \text{Spec } A \\ \mathbb{T} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X' & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{T}'} & X \end{array}$$

As $Y \rightarrow X'$ is a \mathbb{T} -cover and $X' \in \mathbb{T}$ we conclude $Y \in \mathbb{T}$. Hence we found a covering \mathbb{T} -atlas of X . \square

13.1 Zariski Topology is not saturated

Example 13.3 (Weird Zariski Atlases). *Assume those equivalent conditions on the Zariski topology. There exist Zariski atlases of affines $\text{Spec } A = X$ which are not of the form $D(a_1) + \dots + D(a_n) \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$ for $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \text{Um}(A)$*

Proof. Indeed, using the first example, choose $U \subset \text{Spec } A$ affine not principal open, then choosing a Zariski atlas $V \rightarrow U$ gives $V + X \rightarrow U + X \rightarrow X$ where $V + X \rightarrow X$ is a Zariski cover and $V + X \rightarrow U + X$ is a Zariski cover. From (4), we deduce that $U + X \rightarrow X$ is a Zariski cover, but U is not a disjoint union of principal opens in $\text{Spec } A$. \square

Example 13.4. *Assume those equivalent conditions on the Zariski topology. Every affine open proposition U is principal open !*

Proof. Let $V \rightarrow U$ be a Zariski atlas. Then $V + 1 \rightarrow U + 1$ is a Zariski atlas with $V + 1 \in \mathbb{T}$ and $U + 1$ affine, hence by (1) $U + 1 \in \mathbb{T}$, hence U is a disjoint union of principal opens hence, as it is a proposition, its principal open. \square

14 being a stack is indepent of the truncation level

Lemma 14.1. *Let $n \geq 0$. A n -stack is an modal n -type.*

Proof. The n - \mathbb{T} -truncation is an n -type. Now conclude by induction. \square

We want to show that the notion of stack makes sense, i.e. being a stack should not depend on the truncation level.

Lemma 14.2. *Assume \mathbb{T} is saturated and satisfies descent for propositions. Let P be a modal proposition. Then TFAE*

1. *For some $m \geq 0$, P is a m stack*
2. *There exists some fp algebra A such that $\text{Spec } A \rightarrow P$ and P is logically equivalent to $(\text{Spec } A \in \mathbb{T})$.*
3. *P is equivalent to $\|\text{Spec } A\|_{\mathbb{T}}$ for some fp A , i.e. P is a -1 -stack.*

Proof.

1. \Rightarrow 2. Let $\text{Spec } A \rightarrow P$ be a $m - 1$ atlas. Assume $\text{Spec } A \in \mathbb{T}$. Then $\|\text{Spec } A\| \rightarrow P$ so as P is a sheaf, we have P . Conversely, if $x : P$, then the fiber over x is $\text{Spec } A$ and a covering $m - 1$ stack, hence belongs to the topology by 13.1.
2. \Rightarrow 3. **We have to show: There exists some flat algebra such that P is logically equivalent to $\|\text{Spec } A\|_{\mathbb{T}}$.** By assumption we have $\text{Spec } A \rightarrow P \rightarrow (\text{Spec } A \in \mathbb{T})$, so we deduce $\|\text{Spec } A\|_{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow P \rightarrow (\text{Spec } A \in \mathbb{T})$, as P is a modal proposition. In particular A is flat. Conversely $P \rightarrow (\text{Spec } A \in \mathbb{T}) \rightarrow \|\text{Spec } A\|_{\mathbb{T}}$, where the first arrow is by assumption.
3. \Rightarrow 1. 11.3

□

Lemma 14.3. *A covering -1 -stack P is contractible.*

Proof. Choose a \mathbb{T} -cover $\mathbb{T} \ni \text{Spec } A \rightarrow P$. As P is a proposition we have $\|\text{Spec } A\| \rightarrow P$. As P is a sheaf we have P . □

Example 14.4. *A 0 -stack is a \mathbb{T} -sheaf whose identity types are (-1) - \mathbb{T} -truncations of ((affine ?)) schemes and there exists a \mathbb{T} -atlas $\text{Spec } A \rightarrow X$.*

Why are schemes 0 -stacks? This holds in special case, for example if the scheme is quasi projective.

Theorem 14.5. *Let \mathbb{T} be saturated. Assume the topology satisfies descent Let $m, n \geq -2$. Given an n -type T that is a (covering) m -stack then T is a (covering) n -stack.*

Proof. By 11.3 we may assume $m \geq n \geq -2$.

If $m \leq 1$ this is clear. Now assume $m \geq 2$. Induction. Inductionstart $m = 2$. Let us prove the case of $m = 2, n = 1$, the cases $-2 \leq n < 1$ are immediate from this.

Choose a 1 -atlas $X' \rightarrow T$, i.e. its fibered in covering 1 -stacks. As T is a groupoid and X' is a set, the fibers are actually sets, i.e. covering 0 -stacks.

Now consider $R := X' \times_T X'$. As X' is in particular a 0 -stack and 0 -stacks are stable under dependent sums, R will be a 0 -stack. Choose a \mathbb{T} -cover $R' \rightarrow R$ with R' affine. Now $R' \rightarrow R \rightarrow X'$ is a map between affine schemes i.e it is fibered in covering 0 -stacks that are affine. As \mathbb{T} is saturated, the fibers of $R' \rightarrow X'$ are in \mathbb{T} . As $X'//R'$ is a 1 -stack by ??, it suffices to show that $X'//R' \rightarrow X'//R$ is a \mathbb{T} -cover. Pick a term in $X'//R$. As the fiber being in \mathbb{T} is sheaf If additionally T is assumed to be a covering 2 -stack, then we can assume X' to be in the topology. This will force R to be a covering 0 -stack, so we may choose R' Assume $m > 2$ and the statement is proven for all $(n', m') < (n, m)$ in lexicographical ordering. As the identity types of T are $n - 1$ -types and $m - 1$ stacks by induction they are $n - 1$ stacks. Let $X \rightarrow T$ be an $m - 1$ -atlas, i.e. fibered in covering $m - 1$ -stacks with X affine. The fibers are in particular $n - 1$ -types, so by induction they are covering $n - 1$ -stacks. Hence $X \rightarrow T$ is an $n - 1$ -atlas. If, additionally T is assumed to be a covering m -stack, we can choose $X \in \mathbb{T}$, hence $X \rightarrow T$ witnesses that T is a covering n -stack.

□

15 Stability under Quotients

Definition 15.1. A morphism between n -stacks is covering if it is fibered in

- \mathbb{T} if $n \leq 0$
- covering n -stacks if $n > 0$.

Lemma 15.2. *Let C be a class of types stable under \sum . The class HasAtlas_C of types Y which admit a map $\text{Spec } A \rightarrow Y$ fibered in C is stable under finite limits, i*

Proof. Obviously 1 has an atlas, and the class of types admitting an atlas is stable by \sum by 7.4. It remains to show, that identity types in Y have an atlas provided that Y has an atlas.

By assumption we can choose a map $p : V \rightarrow Y$ out of an affine fibered in C . Let $y, y' : Y$. Then we have the map

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{fib}_p y) \times_V (\text{fib}_p y') \rightarrow y = y' \\ & (v, q : y = pv), (v', q' : y' = pv'), (h : v = v') \mapsto q \cdot h \cdot q'^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

The fiber over $j : y = y'$ looks like

$$\sum_v \left(\underbrace{\sum_{v'} (h : v = v')}_{\text{isContr}} \right) \times (q : y = pv) \times (q' : y' = pv') \times (q \cdot h \cdot q'^{-1} = j) \simeq \sum_v (v = py) \simeq \text{fib}_p y$$

Hence the map is fibered in C . It suffices to show, that $(\text{fib}_p y) \times_V (\text{fib}_p y')$ has an atlas, because then we can compose such an atlas with the above map to obtain an atlas of $y = y'$. By assumption the fibers of p have an atlas, so we can choose $q : W \rightarrow \text{fib}_p y, q' : W' \rightarrow \text{fib}_p y'$ atlases. Then $W \times_V W' \rightarrow (\text{fib}_p y) \times_V (\text{fib}_p y')$ is an atlas: The domain is a fiber product of affines, hence affine. The fiber over (x, x') is equivalent to the product of fibers $(\text{fib}_q x) \times (\text{fib}_{q'} x')$ which is in C by stability under dependent sums (so in particular under finite products).

□

Theorem 15.3. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a \mathbb{T} -surjective covering morphism between modal n -types. If X is a (covering) stack, then Y is a (covering) stack.*

(*) This can only hold if we define -1 -stacks to be modal propositions with a -2 -atlas $\text{Spec } A \rightarrow P$, i.e. algebraic propositions 9.3

Proof. Induction. For $n = -2$ its clear. Let X be a n -stack. Lets first construct the $n - 1$ -atlas of Y . We merely find a $V \twoheadrightarrow X$ which is an $n - 1$ -atlas. Then $V \rightarrow X \rightarrow Y$ is an n -atlas because it is \mathbb{T} -surjective and is fibered in the correct \sum -stable class of types, i.e. \mathbb{T} if $n \leq 1$ and covering $n - 1$ -stacks for $n > 1$. Hence Y is an $n + 1$ -stack. As Y is an n -type, Y is an n -stack 14.5.

If additionally X is assumed to be covering, then V can be assumed to lie in \mathbb{T} which directly gives us that Y has a covering atlas.

It remains to show that the identity types of Y are $n - 1$ -stacks. As Y has an $n - 1$ -atlas, by 15.2 we find some $n - 1$ -atlas $p : W \rightarrow y = y'$. The map is covering. If $n = 0$, $y = y'$ is a -1 -stack by (*). If $n > 0$, W is an $n - 1$ -stack and p is covering, so by induction $y = y'$ is an $n - 1$ -stack.

□

Remark 6 (Using descent but not induction). Hugo suggested an alternative argument proving that the identity types of Y are $n - 1$ -stacks, which presumable avoids 14.5 but uses descent for n -stacks: For $x : X, y : Y$ we have that

$$(f(x) = y) \simeq (1 \times_X \text{fib}_f y)$$

is an n -stack by stability under \sum . Because it is an $n - 1$ -type, it is a $n - 1$ -stack by 14.5. Now conclude that every identity type of Y is an $n - 1$ -stack by using descent for $n - 1$ -stacks and \mathbb{T} -surjectivity of f .

16 Local properties

Definition 16.1. Let Cov be the property of morphisms of n -stacks defined by asking that the morphism is \mathbb{T} -surjective and fibered in covering n -stacks. Its stable under basechange. A property of n -stacks is local if $P(1)$ holds, P is stable by dependent sums and given a $Cover X \rightarrow Y$ we have PX iff PY .

Example 16.2. *being covering n -stack is a local property of stacks.*

Proof. We have to show: If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a \mathbb{T} -surjective map fibered in covering n -stacks between n -stacks, then X is a covering n -stack iff Y is a covering n -stack. The only if is clear by stability under dependent sums. The other direction is [15.3](#). □

Definition 16.3. A property of morphisms between n -stacks is local, if it is satisfied by identities, stable under composition and basechange/descent along Cov -maps, precomposition/right cancellability with Cov -maps.

Lemma 16.4. *Given a local property of types P . Then being fibered in P is a local property of morphisms.*

Lemma 16.5 ([ref?]). *Given a local property P of morphisms of n -stacks, a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ has P if there exists an n -atlas of f having P .*

Example 16.6. *A morphism of n -stacks is covering iff there exists an n -atlas of f*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Spec} A & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & \mathrm{Spec} B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

such that \tilde{f} is a \mathbb{T} -cover.

The previous lemma tells us that we have the correct notion of covering morphisms between n -stacks for $n = 0, 1$.