

Thesis

Tim Lichtnau

May 2024

1 Saturated Topologies

Consider a topology \mathbb{T} finer than the Zariski topology.

Definition 1.1. A smooth atlas of X is some $\hat{X} \in \mathbb{T}, \hat{X} \rightarrow X$ \mathbb{T} -cover

Definition 1.2. \mathbb{T} is saturated if being in the topology descends along \mathbb{T} -covers between affines, i.e. every affine schemes that has a smooth atlas lies itself in \mathbb{T} .
The saturated closure of a topology \mathbb{T} is the topology \mathbb{T}' defined by (todo finite sums of?)

$$X \in \mathbb{T}' \text{ iff } X \text{ is affine} \wedge \exists \text{ smooth atlas of } X$$

Lemma 1.3. Using ZLC, this is the smallest saturated topology containing \mathbb{T} .

Proof. Obviously $1 \in \mathbb{T}'$. Types which have a smooth atlas are stable by dependent sums by the proof of ???. For the saturatedness consider some \mathbb{T}' -cover $\mathbb{T}' \ni X' \rightarrow X$. By replacing X' with some smooth atlas, we may assume that $X' \in \mathbb{T}$. As every fiber $X'_x \in \mathbb{T}'$, we merely find a smooth atlas $\tilde{X}'_x \rightarrow X'_x$. Then by Zariski local choice there exists a Zariski atlas $\hat{X} \rightarrow X$ and a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y \equiv \sum_{x:\hat{X}} \tilde{X}'_x & \longrightarrow & \sum_{x:X} X'_x = X' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \hat{X} & \xrightarrow{\text{Zar}} & X \end{array}$$

As $X' \in \mathbb{T}$ and $Y \rightarrow X'$ is fibered in \mathbb{T} (4.3) we have $Y \in \mathbb{T}$. But $Y \rightarrow \hat{X}$ is a \mathbb{T} -cover and $\hat{X} \rightarrow X$ is a \mathbb{T} -cover, $Y \rightarrow X$ is a \mathbb{T} -cover. Hence $X \in \mathbb{T}'$. \square

Lemma 1.4. A type T is a sheaf wrt to \mathbb{T}' iff it is a sheaf wrt to \mathbb{T}

Proof. As $\mathbb{T} \subset \mathbb{T}'$ the \rightarrow direction is clear. Now, let $X \in \mathbb{T}'$. We have to show that $T \rightarrow T^{\|X\|}$ is an equivalence. Choose $\mathbb{T} \ni Y \rightarrow X$. Then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T & \longrightarrow & T^{\|X\|} \\ & \searrow \simeq & \downarrow \\ & & T^{\|Y\|} \end{array}$$

So $T \rightarrow T^{\|X\|}$ has a left-inverse. Thus it suffices to show that any $f : T^{\|X\|}$ has a preimage. Choose $t : T$, s.th. cns_t^Y is the composite $\|Y\| \rightarrow \|X\| \xrightarrow{f} T$. We have $\|Y\| \rightarrow (\text{cns}_t^X = f)$. But as $Y \in \mathbb{T}$ and $\Delta_t = f$ is a sheaf (as an identitytype in the sheaf $T^{\|X\|}$) we are done. \square

Remark 1. We never used that we only talk about \mathbb{T} -covers.

Lemma 1.5. Every saturated affine (i.e. $\text{Spec } A \in \mathbb{T}'$) is \mathbb{T} -merely inhabited.

Proof. We have $\|X\| \rightarrow \|\text{Spec } A\|$ for some smooth atlas $\mathbb{T} \ni X \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$. \square

Question 1. Does the converse hold, i.e. is every \mathbb{T} -merely inhabited affine saturated?

2 Lex Modalities

Lemma 2.1 (Stability results). *Lex Modalities are stable under*

1. *Conjunction*
2. *Composition*

Lemma 2.2. *Let \circ be a lex-modality. Let X be \circ -modal and $B : X \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_\circ$ be a family of modal types. Then $\sum_{x:X} B_x$ is \circ -modal*

Lemma 2.3. *Let $B : \bullet X \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$. Then $\bullet(\sum_{x:X} B(\eta x)) = \sum_{x:\bullet X} \bullet Bx$*

Proof. Observe that

$$\sum_{x:X} B\eta x \rightarrow \sum_{x:\bullet X} Bx$$

is a \bullet -equivalence, because for all modal types T , the type $Bx \rightarrow T$ is modal for any $x : \bullet X$. Then it follows by [ref?]. \square

3 Atlas

Definition 3.1. A \mathbb{T} -atlas of X is a \mathbb{T} -cover $\text{Spec } A \rightarrow X$ out of an affine scheme.

Remark 2. Any good enough TODO scheme has a Zariski atlas. If \mathbb{T} is finer than the Zariski-topology then in the definition we may replace affine scheme by good enough scheme, if its just about the question whether a type admits an atlas.

Example 3.2. Let X be a (1-)type. X has a Zariski-atlas, iff there exists some $f : \text{Spec } A \rightarrow X$ fibered in types of the form $\text{Spec}(R_{f_1} \times \dots \times R_{f_n})$ for $(f_1, \dots, f_n) \in \text{Um}(R)$.

Remark 3. If one applies ZLC to an affine scheme $\text{Spec } A$ the resulting principal open cover $D(f_i), f_i \in A$ will induce indeed a zariski atlas $\bigsqcup D(f_i) \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$, because the fiber over $x : \text{Spec } A$ is $\bigsqcup D(f_i(x))$.

Question: Does every zariski atlas of $\text{Spec } A$ have this form? [Weird Zariski Atlases](#)

Example 3.3. \mathbb{P}^n has a zariski atlas given by the standart homogeneous principal opens $\sum_{i=0}^n D_+(x_i)$. The fiber over a point $[y_0 : \dots : y_n]$ is $D(y_0) + \dots + D(y_n)$ where $(y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \text{Um}(R)$.

4 Local Choice

In this section let \mathbb{T} denote a topology finer than the zariski topology.

Definition 4.1. Let Cov be a class of morphisms (which we think of n -atlases of some n), containing \mathbb{T} -atlas, (stable under pullback NECESSARY TODO?) A type S has *local choice* wrt Cov if for any \mathbb{T} -surjective map $X \rightarrow Y$ and any map $f : S \rightarrow Y$ there exists a map $p' : S' \rightarrow S$ in Cov and a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S' & \dashrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ S & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

Proposition 4.2. Assume that Cov is stable under composition.

- If $\hat{S} \rightarrow S$ is a Cover and \hat{S} has \mathbb{T} -local choice, then S has \mathbb{T} -local choice.
- Affine schemes have \mathbb{T} -local choice.
- Any type admitting a Cov - Atlas $\text{Spec } A \rightarrow S$ has \mathbb{T} -local choice.

Proof. The first point follows from stability under composition of Cov . the third point follows from the second. By the first point, we may assume that S is affine. As p is \mathbb{T} -surjective, for any $x : S$ there merely is a $\text{Spec } B_x \in T$ and a map $\text{Spec } B_x \rightarrow \|\text{fib}_p(x)\|$. As S is projective, we have a term in

$$\prod_{x:S} \sum_{\text{Spec } B_x \in T} \text{Spec } B_x \rightarrow \|\text{fib}_p(fx)\|$$

By setting

$$(S' := \sum_{x:S} \text{Spec } B_x) \xrightarrow{\pi} S$$

the projection, we are now in the situation that for any $t : S'$ we merely have a point in $\text{fib}_p((p'(t)))$ and $S' \rightarrow S$ is a \mathbb{T} -cover, thus it is in Cov . Moreover, S' is affine, as it is a dependent sum of affines. Hence again we now can find a lift $S' \rightarrow X$ making

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S' & \longrightarrow & Y \\ p' \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ S & \xrightarrow{f} & X \end{array}$$

commute. □

The next lemma shows, that the class of types equipped with a \mathbb{T} -atlas is stable under dependent sums.

Lemma 4.3. Let $\mathcal{U}' \subset \mathcal{U}$ be stable under dependent sums (e.g. \mathbb{T} -inhabited types) Let X be a type with a map $p : U \rightarrow X$ fibered in \mathcal{U}' . For any $x : X$, let Y_x be a type and moreover for any $u : U$, we are given a map $q_u : V_u \rightarrow Y_{p(u)}$ fibered in \mathcal{U}' . Then the induced map

$$p : \sum_{u:U} V_u \rightarrow \sum_{x:X} Y_x$$

is fibered in \mathcal{U}'

Proof. The fiber of p over some $(x, y) \in \sum_{x:X} Y_x$ is given by

$$\sum_{u:\text{fib}_p x} \text{fib}_{q_u}(y')$$

where $y' : Y_{p(u)}$ (depending on u) is the transport of $y : Y_x$ along $x = p(u)$. As \mathcal{U}' is stable under dependent sum those fibers are again in \mathcal{U}' . This shows the result. \square

Theorem 4.4. *Let \mathcal{U}' be a class stable under dependent sums. The class of types admitting a \mathcal{U}' -atlas is closed under dependent sums. If \mathbb{T} is a topology, the same holds for smooth \mathcal{U}' -atlases (i.e. with domain in \mathbb{T}).*

Proof. Let us construct some atlas $\text{Spec } A \rightarrow \sum_{x:X} B_x$. For any $x : X$ we merely have an atlas $V_x \rightarrow B_x$, i.e. with V_x affine. X has local choice wrt atlases by (4.2) using \mathcal{U}' is \sum -stable (we use the trivial topology).

If additionally, all the B_x and X are smooth n -stacks, just observe that we can choose the affine V_{p_u} to lie in \mathbb{T} , Accordingly $\sum_{u:U} V_{p_u} \in T$ as \mathbb{T} is stable under Σ .

By Local choice for X , we merely find U affine, an atlas $p : U \rightarrow X$ with

$$\prod_{u:U} \sum_{V_{p(u)} \in T} (q : V_{p(u)} \rightarrow B_{p(u)}) \times (q \text{ fibered in smooth } n \text{ stacks})$$

Now the desired map is $\sum_{u:U} V_{p_u} \rightarrow \sum_{x:X} B_x$, because it is an atlas by 4.3

\square

5 Algebraic Space

We first need to define a notion of algebraic space and smooth algebraic space, which should be the smallest class of types that satisfies the following:

- Stability under finite limits 7.1
- has Descent
- (nice) Schemes are contained in it
- affines in \mathbb{T} are smooth algebraic spaces. (there are probably more).
- stable under smooth quotients: If X is an algebraic space, Y modal 0-type and $X \rightarrow Y$ is \mathbb{T} -surjective and fibered in smooth algebraic spaces, then Y is an algebraic space. Additionally, if X is smooth, then Y is smooth.

Definition 5.1. An affine Scheme U is called flat, if

$$\|U\|_{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow (U \in \mathbb{T})$$

Lemma 5.2. *The converse holds always*

Proof. because things in \mathbb{T} are automatically \mathbb{T} -merely inhabited □

Recall the definition of \mathbb{T} -atlas 3.1

Definition 5.3. We call a modal proposition algebraic, if one of the equivalent conditions is satisfied:

1. its merely of the form $\|U\|_{\mathbb{T}}$ for some flat affine U .
2. There is a \mathbb{T} -surjective map out of a flat affine U .
3. It has a \mathbb{T} -atlas.

Proof.

1 \Leftrightarrow 2 Clear.

1 \Rightarrow 3 we show that $U \rightarrow \|U\|_{\mathbb{T}}$ is a \mathbb{T} -atlas. Every fiber is in \mathbb{T} , because U is flat.

3 \Rightarrow 1 Let $V \rightarrow P$ be a \mathbb{T} -atlas. have to show TFAE $\|V\|_{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow P \rightarrow (V \in \mathbb{T}) \xrightarrow{5.2} \|V\|_{\mathbb{T}}$. Proof: $\|V\|_{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow P$ as P is modal prop. Secondly, because $V \rightarrow P$ is a \mathbb{T} -cover. Hence P is an algebraic proposition. □

Lemma 5.4. *Algebraic propositions are algebraic spaces.*

Proof. We have $U \rightarrow \|U\|_{\mathbb{T}}$ where U is affine, hence an algebraic space and the fibers are in \mathbb{T} by flatness of U , hence they are smooth algebraic spaces. By stability under quotients, our algebraic proposition is an algebraic space. □

Definition 5.5. An smooth equivalence relation on a set U is some equivalence relation $R : U^2 \rightarrow \text{Prop}$, whose fibers are in \mathbb{T}

Lemma 5.6. *let U be an algebraic space (e.g. affine scheme) and $R : U^2 \rightarrow \text{Prop}$ be a smooth equivalence relation Then U/R is an algebraic space*

Proof. The map $U \rightarrow U/R$ is fibered in \mathbb{T} , in particular fibered in smooth algebraic spaces. By stability under smooth quotients, U/R is an algebraic space. □

Corollary. *Let U be affine and R a smooth equivalence relation. The identity types of U/R , i.e. the propositions $R(x, y)$, are algebraic propositions.*

Proof. By 12.2, the class of types admitting a \mathbb{T} -atlas is closed under taking identity types. U/R is a type admitting a \mathbb{T} -atlas, hence its identity types admit them as well. \square

Definition 5.7. A modal set X is a classical algebraic space iff it is merely of the form U/R for some affine U and $R : U^2 \rightarrow \text{Prop}$ a smooth equivalence relation. Equivalently there exists some \mathbb{T} -atlas $U \rightarrow X$ (i.e. out of an affine). We call X smooth if U can be chosen to be in \mathbb{T} .

Corollary (of 5.3). *Classical Algebraic spaces that are propositions are algebraic propositions.*

Remark 4. Assume Saturatedness of the topology. smooth classical Algebraic spaces which are affine are in \mathbb{T} .

Question 2. Is the class of classical algebraic spaces stable under smooth quotients? If its not, how should we enlarge it?

Try: Assume R is fibered in smooth algebraic spaces. Choose $U \rightarrow T$ a \mathbb{T} -atlas. For any $x : U$ the fiber R_x merely has an atlas $\tilde{R}_x \rightarrow R_x$. As U has choice (its affine), we find some \mathbb{T} -cover $\tilde{U} = \sum_x \tilde{R}_x \rightarrow \sum_x R_x$. Goal: Find for all $t : U/R$ a \mathbb{T} -atlas $V_t \rightarrow \text{fib}_{\square}(t)$. Then $\sum_t V_t$ will be affine, because its the total space of a \mathbb{T} -cover of an affine. Moreover, $\sum_t V_t \rightarrow \sum_t \text{fib}_{\square}(t) \rightarrow U/R$ will be a \mathbb{T} -cover, as $V_t \in \mathbb{T}$. This is what we wanted to show.

6 n -stacks

Definition 6.1. Let \mathbb{T} be a subcanonical topology finer than the Zariski topology. Let $n \geq -2$. A type X

- is a (smooth) -2 -stack if it is contractible
- is a $(n+1)$ -stack, if
 - X is a \mathbb{T} -sheaf
 - For any $x, y : X$ $x =_X y$ is a n -stack
 - There exists an n -atlas, i.e. a \mathbb{T} -surjective map $\text{Spec } A \rightarrow X$ fibered in
 - * \mathbb{T} , if $n \leq 0$
 - * smooth n -stacks, if $n > 0$.
- X is a smooth $n+1$ -stack if
 - X is a $(n+1)$ -stack
 - There exists a n -atlas $\text{Spec } A \rightarrow X$ with $\text{Spec } A \in \mathbb{T}$

Lemma 6.2. *One could only alternatively talk about (smooth) n -stacks for $n \geq 1$, define them by induction as above. Then later define:*

- A (smooth) -1 -stack is a (smooth) 1 -stack is a proposition.
- A (smooth) 0 -stack is a (smooth) 1 -stack that is a 0 -type.

Proof.

□

Lemma 6.3. *A (smooth) n -stack is a (smooth) $n+1$ -stack.*

Proof. Induction. Be aware of the induction start, where maybe no atlas is assumed! We need, that \mathbb{T} is subcanonical to conclude that affines are \mathbb{T} -sheaves. □

Remark 5. If one changes the definition of atlas to be a map out of a scheme, then smooth -1 atlas will be scheme in \mathbb{T} . Otherwise propositional -1 -stack are not 0 -stacks.

7 Stability results

Theorem 7.1. *Let $n \geq -2$. Smooth / n -stacks are stable by dependent sums.*

Proof. Induction. For $n = -2$ its okay. Let $B : X \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ be a family of $n+1$ -stacks indexed over a $n+1$ -stack X , then surely the total space $\sum_{x:X} Bx$ is a \mathbb{T} -sheaf as \mathbb{T} -sheaves are stable under dependent sum. The identity types in a \sum type are \sum of identity types. Admitting an n -atlas is stable under dependent sum: We apply 4.4 to the class of (smooth) n -atlases, which is stable under dependent sum by induction.

□

Corollary. *n -atlases are stable under composition.*

Lemma 7.2. *$n+1$ -stacks are closed under taking closed (open) subtypes.*

Proof. First we show: if X has an n -atlas and Y is a closed (open) subtype of X , then Y has an n -atlas. Choose an n -atlas $\text{Spec } A \rightarrow X$. The pullback to Y has the same fibers. If Y is closed, and the total space is a closed subtype of $\text{Spec } A$, hence it will be affine. If Y is an open subtype of X , then the pullback is an open subtype of $\text{Spec } A$, hence by zariski local choice merely of the form $\bigcup_{i=1}^n D(a_i) \subset A$. As n -atlases are stable under composition 7, it suffices to show, that the map $f : \bigsqcup_i D(a_i) \rightarrow \bigcup_{i=1}^n D(a_i)$ is a Zariski-atlas, because then it will be an n -atlas as well. Let $x : \bigcup_{i=1}^n D(a_i)$, i.e. there merely exists an i , such that $a_i(x)$ is invertible. The fiber is exactly $D(a_1(x)) + \dots + D(a_n(x))$. thus we are done. (MAYBE OUTSOURCE THIS and say open subschemes of affines have zariski atlas) \square

Corollary. *Let X be a quasi-projective scheme that is a sheaf. Then X is a 0-stack.*

Proof. It suffices to see that X has a zariski atlas. Use . \square

Definition 7.3. A property of morphisms between n -stacks is local, if it is satisfied by identities, stable under composition and basechange/descent along Cov -maps, precomposition/right cancellability with Cov -maps.

Lemma 7.4. *Given a local property of types P . Then being fibered in P is a local property of morphisms.*

Lemma 7.5. *Given a local property P of morphisms of modal n -types, a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ has P if there exists an n -atlas of f having P .*

The previous lemma tells us that we have the correct notion of smooth morphisms between n -stacks for $n = 0, 1$.

8 Descent

Theorem 8.1. *Let T be a modal n -type. The Proposition, that P is a (smooth) n -stack, is modal.*

9 Fundamental Theorem of algebraic spaces

9.1 For groupoids

Lemma 9.1. *If $R \rightrightarrows X \rightarrow X$ is a \mathbb{T} -htpy-coequalizer diagram of two \mathbb{T} -covers between affines, then X is a 1-stack.*

9.2 For sets

Lemma 9.2. *Denote $\mathbb{T}\text{Set}$ for the sets that are \mathbb{T} -sheaves. Assume given a \mathbb{T} set X then the following maps are mutually inverse*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{R: X \rightarrow X \rightarrow \mathbb{T}\text{Prop}} R \text{ equivalence relation} &\simeq \sum_{Y: \mathbb{T}\text{Set}} \sum_{p: X \rightarrow Y} p \text{ } \mathbb{T}\text{surjective} \\ R &\mapsto (X/R, [-]) \\ \lambda x, y. (p(x) = p(y)) &\leftarrow (Y, p) \end{aligned}$$

where X/R is defined by applying $L_T\|_{-}\|_0$ at the higher inductive type $X//R$.

Proof. • Well-definedness: The map $[-] : X \rightarrow \|X//R\|_0 \rightarrow L_T\|X//R\|_0$ is the composition of a surjective with a \mathbb{T} -surjective map [ref?], hence its \mathbb{T} -surjective. Conversely given (Y, p) as Y is a sheaf, we have for all $x, y : X$ that $p(x) =_Y p(y)$ is a sheaf.

- If $x, y : X$ then we have a chain of equivalences

$$R(x, y) \simeq (\bar{x} =_{\|X//R\|_0} \bar{y}) \rightarrow ([x] =_{L_T\|X//R\|_0} [y])$$

where the first map is plain HoTT and the second map is **ap**, i.e. the unit of the modality [ref?], but as the $\bar{x} =_{\|X//R\|_0} \bar{y}$ is already a sheaf, it is an isomorphism as well.

- Let (Y, p) be in the RHS. Let $R(x, y) = (p(x) = p(y)) : \mathbb{T}\text{Prop}$. By plain HoTT, There is a map $\eta : X//R \rightarrow Y$ (defined by the universal property of the set truncation and by induction on the higher inductive type $X//R$ on canonical terms through the map $p : X \rightarrow Y$). I claim η exhibits Y as the localization for $\mathbb{T}\text{Set}$ -modality of $X//R$. Let T be another $\mathbb{T}\text{Set}$ equipped with a map $X//R \rightarrow T$. By precomposition we obtain a map $X \rightarrow T$. Claim: it factors uniquely through $p : X \rightarrow Y$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \longrightarrow & X//R & \longrightarrow & T \\ & \searrow & & \nearrow \exists! & \\ & & Y & & \end{array}$$

Proof:

Existence: We want to define a map $Y \rightarrow T$. Let $y : Y$. As p is \mathbb{T} -surjective and T is a sheaf, we may assume we merely have some element in the fiber of p over y . Now push this element through

$$\| \text{fib}_p y \| \rightarrow \|X//R\|_0 \rightarrow T$$

where the first map is by Plain HoTT and the second one is induced from $X//R \rightarrow T$ by assumption and the fact that T is a set.. One can easily check this makes the diagram commute. Uniqueness follows from $X \rightarrow Y$ being \mathbb{T} -surjective and the following Fact: Two parallel maps $Y \rightrightarrows T$ into a $\mathbb{T}\text{Set}$ T are already equal if they become equal after

precomposition with a \mathbb{T} -surjection $X \rightarrow Y$.

Proof of the fact : Let $y : Y$. The goal is an identity type of a $\mathbb{T}\text{Set}$, hence a $\mathbb{T}\text{Prop}$. Hence As the fiber over y in X is \mathbb{T} -merely inhabited, we may assume an actual term in the fiber. As $X \rightarrow Y$ equalizes the arrows, this term allows us to conclude. $\square(\text{fact})$ $\square(\text{Claim})$

We apply the fact to the (\mathbb{T}) -surjectivity of $X \rightarrow X//R$ to get a unique factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \twoheadrightarrow & X//R & \longrightarrow & T \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & \nearrow \exists! & \\ & & Y & & \end{array}$$

making the right triangle commute. This is what we wanted to show. \square

Definition 9.3. An equivalence relation R on a type X is called:

- redundant if for all $x, y : X$ the proposition $R(x, y)$ is a -1 -stack.
- smooth if its and for any $y : X$ its fibers:

$$R_y := \sum_{x:X} R(x, y)$$

are affine in \mathbb{T} .

Lemma 9.4. Assume that \mathbb{T} satisfies descent for propositions and for sets 8.1, i.e. that a modal proposition being a (-1) -stack is a sheaf. Assume that a modal set being affine in \mathbb{T} is a sheaf. Assume given a $\mathbb{T}\text{set}$ X , then the following types are equivalent:

- The type of redundant smooth equivalence relations over X .
- The type of $\mathbb{T}\text{sets}$ Y with identity types being stacks and an -1 -atlas X to Y (in $V2$ a \mathbb{T} -cover).

Proof. By the equivalence in 9.2, it is enough to check that:

- The identity types in X/R are (-1) -stacks if and only if the relation R is redundant . For any $x, y : X$ we know that:

$$R(x, y) \simeq [x] =_{X/R} [y]$$

so the direct direction is immediate. For the converse we use the assumption that a modal proposition being a (-1) -stack is a sheaf and that the map $[-] : X \rightarrow X/R$ is \mathbb{T} -surjective.

- The fibers of:

$$[-] : X \rightarrow X/R$$

are affine in \mathbb{T} if and only if the relation R is smooth. For any $y : X$ we have that:

$$\sum_{x:X} R(x, y) \simeq \text{fib}_{[-]}([y])$$

so the direct direction is immediate. Here as well the converse follows from \mathbb{T} -surjectivity of $[-]$ and that the topology has descent. \square

Corollary. Assume \mathbb{T} satisfies descent for propositions and for sets. A type is a 0 -stack iff its merely the \mathbb{T} -quotient of an affine scheme by a smooth equivalence relation.

Theorem 9.5. *Assume \mathbb{T} satisfies descent for propositions. The quotient of a 0-stack $X \in \mathbb{T}\text{Set}$ by an 0-smooth equivalence relation R is a 0-stack. TODO*

Proof. The identity types in X/R are propositional 0-stacks, hence (-1) -Truncations of -1-stacks by 11.2 as desired.

How to find an atlas: todo. How to proceed, if we could choose all atlases we want at the same time?

□

Remark 6. This is equivalent to saying that 1-stacks that are 0-types are geometric 0-stacks: One direction we prove later. If R is a 0-smooth equivalence relation on a 0-stack X , then X/R is a 1-stack by observing that any -1-atlas $X' \rightarrow X$ gives a 0-atlas $X' \rightarrow X \rightarrow X/R$. Moreover, X/R is a 0-type, hence by assumption a 0-stack.

Example 9.6. *There are open affine subschemes U of affine schemes $\text{Spec } A$, which are not (disjoint unions of) principal open*

Proof. Consider $A = R[x, y, u, v]/(xy + ux^2 + vy^2)$, $X = \text{Spec } A$ and consider the open $U = D(x, y)$.

We cant expect U to be a disjoint union of principal opens (todo). However, $D(x, y)$ is affine: We have maps $U \rightarrow R$ given by $f = -v/x = (y + ux)/y^2$, $g = -u/y = (x + vy)/x^2$. Then $D(f) \cup D(g) = \text{Spec } R^X$, as $yf + xg = 1$ in R^U . Taking preimages under the affinization map, $U_f \cup U_g = X$ and one checks this defines an open affine cover (for example : $U_f \simeq \text{Spec } R[x, u, f^{\pm 1}, g]/(xy + ux^2 + uy^2)$ with $y := (1 - gx)/f$.) But on both of this open subsets the affinization map is an isomorphism hence the affinization of X is an isomorphism. compare (Hartshorne II.2.17) □

Lemma 9.7. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be surjective. There exists a Zariski Cover $X' \rightarrow X$ such that $X' \rightarrow Y$ is a Zariski cover iff there exists a Zariski Cover $X' \rightarrow X$, some $n : \mathbb{N}$ and an open affine embedding $X' \hookrightarrow Y^n$ over Y .*

10 Saturated Topologies revisited

Lemma 10.1 (1.1). *We want that every $n - 1$ -atlas of a smooth n -atlas has the additional requirement in the definition of smooth n -atlas. It turns out, that for this topology needs to be saturated: The following are equivalent*

1. *Being in the topology descends along \mathbb{T} -covers between affines, i.e. \mathbb{T} is saturated.*
2. *A smooth n -stack X that is an affine scheme lies in the Topology \mathbb{T} .*
3. *Let $n \geq 0$. If T is a smooth n -stack, then any $n - 1$ -atlas $U \rightarrow T$ satisfies $U \in \mathbb{T}$.*
4. *If $U \xrightarrow{f} V \xrightarrow{g} W$ are maps between affines and f and gf are \mathbb{T} covers, then g is a \mathbb{T} Cover*

Proof. $1 \Rightarrow 2$

Induction. This holds for $n = -1$. Assume it holds for $n - 1$. Choose a $n - 1$ -atlas with T source, i.e. $T \ni \text{Spec } A \rightarrow X$ fibered in smooth $n - 1$ -stacks. As it is affine, all the fibers of the atlas are affine smooth $n - 1$ -stacks, hence by induction they lie in \mathbb{T} , thus the atlas is a \mathbb{T} -cover between affines, hence $X \in \mathbb{T}$.

$2 \Rightarrow 3$

As $U \rightarrow T$ is fibered in smooth $n - 1$ stacks, all the fibers are in particular smooth n -stacks by 6.3. By stability under dependent sum $U = \sum_{t:T} U_t$ is a smooth n -stack that is affine, hence by assumption (2) it lies in the topology.

$3 \Rightarrow 1$

Let $X \rightarrow Y$ be a \mathbb{T} -cover with X affine in \mathbb{T} and Y affine. Then Y is a smooth 0-stack, But $Y \rightarrow Y$ is a -1 -atlas, hence by assumption $Y \in \mathbb{T}$.

$4 \Rightarrow 1$

Obvious

$1 \Rightarrow 4$

Check fiberwise □

If $n \geq$, replacing \mathbb{T} by its saturation \mathbb{T}' does change the notion of (smooth) n -stack, but we have the following statement, that tells us, that if we start with 0- \mathbb{T} -stacks then the notion of smoothness does not see the difference between \mathbb{T} and its saturation.

Proposition 10.2. *Let X be a 0-stack that is a weak smooth 0-stack, i.e. there exists a \mathbb{T}' -atlas $\mathbb{T}' \ni X' \rightarrow X$ (i.e. fibered in \mathbb{T}'). Then X is a smooth 0-stack.*

Proof. Wlog $X' \in \mathbb{T}$. Choose a -1 -atlas $\text{Spec } A \rightarrow X$ (i.e. fibered in \mathbb{T}). As the fibers of $X' \rightarrow X$ merely have smooth atlases $\tilde{X}'_x \rightarrow X'_x$, we can use Local choice to obtain a commutative diagram $Y = \sum_{x':X'} \tilde{X}'_x$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{T}} & \text{Spec } A \\ \mathbb{T} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X' & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{T}'} & X \end{array}$$

As $Y \rightarrow X'$ is a \mathbb{T} -cover and $X' \in \mathbb{T}$ we conclude $Y \in \mathbb{T}$. Hence we found a smooth \mathbb{T} -atlas of X . □

10.1 Zariski Topology is not saturated

Example 10.3 (Weird Zariski Atlases). *Assume those equivalent conditions on the Zariski topology. There exist Zariski atlases of affines $\text{Spec } A = X$ which are not of the form $D(a_1) + \dots + D(a_n) \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$ for $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \text{Um}(A)$*

Proof. Indeed, using the first example, choose $U \subset \text{Spec } A$ affine not principal open, then choosing a Zariski atlas $V \rightarrow U$ gives $V + X \rightarrow U + X \rightarrow X$ where $V + X \rightarrow X$ is a Zariski cover and $V + X \rightarrow U + X$ is a Zariski cover. From (4), we deduce that $U + X \rightarrow X$ is a Zariski cover, but U is not a disjoint union of principal opens in $\text{Spec } A$. \square

Example 10.4. Assume those equivalent conditions on the Zariski topology. Every affine open proposition U is principal open !

Proof. Let $V \rightarrow U$ be a Zariski atlas. Then $V + 1 \rightarrow U + 1$ is a Zariski atlas with $V + 1 \in \mathbb{T}$ and $U + 1$ affine, hence by (1) $U + 1 \in \mathbb{T}$, hence U is a disjoint union of principal opens hence, as it is a proposition, its principal open. \square

11 being a stack is indepent of the truncation level

Lemma 11.1. Let $n \geq 0$. A n -stack is an modal n -type.

Proof. The n -Truncation is an n -type. Now conclude by induction. \square

We want to show that the notion of stack makes sense, i.e. being a stack should not depend on the truncation level.

Lemma 11.2. Assume \mathbb{T} is saturated and satisfies descent for propositions. Let P be a modal proposition. Then TFAE

1. For some $m \geq 0$, P is a m stack
2. There exists some fp algebra A such that $\text{Spec } A \rightarrow P$ and P is logically equivalent to $(\text{Spec } A \in \mathbb{T})$.
3. P is equivalent to $\|\text{Spec } A\|_{\mathbb{T}}$ for some fp A , i.e. P is a -1 -stack.

Proof.

1. \Rightarrow 2. Let $\text{Spec } A \rightarrow P$ be a $m - 1$ atlas. Assume $\text{Spec } A \in \mathbb{T}$. Then $\|\text{Spec } A\| \rightarrow P$ so as P is a sheaf, we have P . Conversely, if $x : P$, then the fiber over x is $\text{Spec } A$ and a smooth $m - 1$ stack, hence belongs to the topology by 10.1.
2. \Rightarrow 3. **We have to show: There exists some flat algebra such that P is logically equivalent to $\|\text{Spec } A\|_{\mathbb{T}}$.** By assumption we have $\text{Spec } A \rightarrow P \rightarrow (\text{Spec } A \in \mathbb{T})$, so we deduce $\|\text{Spec } A\|_{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow P \rightarrow (\text{Spec } A \in \mathbb{T})$, as P is a modal proposition. In particular A is flat. Conversely $P \rightarrow (\text{Spec } A \in \mathbb{T}) \rightarrow \|\text{Spec } A\|_{\mathbb{T}}$, where the first arrow is by assumption.
3. \Rightarrow 1. 6.3

\square

Lemma 11.3. A smooth -1 -stack P is contractible.

Proof. Choose a \mathbb{T} -cover $\mathbb{T} \ni \text{Spec } A \rightarrow P$. As P is a proposition we have $\|\text{Spec } A\| \rightarrow P$. As P is a sheaf we have P . \square

Example 11.4. A 0 -stack is a \mathbb{T} -sheaf whose identity types are **(-1) -Truncations of** ((affine ?)) schemes and there exists a \mathbb{T} -atlas $\text{Spec } A \rightarrow X$.

Why are schemes 0 -stacks? This holds in special case, for example if the scheme is quasi projective.

Theorem 11.5. Let \mathbb{T} be saturated. Assume the topology satisfies descent Let $m, n \geq -2$. Given an n -type T that is a (smooth) m -stack then T is a (smooth) n -stack.

Proof. By 6.3 we may assume $m \geq n \geq -2$.

If $m \leq 1$ this is clear. Now assume $m \geq 2$. Induction. Inductionstart $m = 2$. Let us prove the case of $m = 2, n = 1$, the cases $-2 \leq n < 1$ are immediate from this.

Choose a 1-atlas $X' \rightarrow T$, i.e. its fibered in smooth 1-stacks. As T is a groupoid and X' is a set, the fibers are actually sets, i.e. smooth 0-stacks.

Now consider $R := X' \times_T X'$. As X' is in particular a 0-stack and 0-stacks are stable under dependent sums, R will be a 0-stack. Choose a \mathbb{T} -cover $R' \rightarrow R$ with R' affine. Now $R' \rightarrow R \rightarrow X'$ is a map between affine schemes i.e it is fibered in smooth 0-stacks that are affine. As \mathbb{T} is saturated, the fibers of $R' \rightarrow X'$ are in \mathbb{T} . As $X'//R'$ is a 1-stack by ??, it suffices to show that $X'//R' \rightarrow X'//R$ is a \mathbb{T} -cover. Pick a term in $X'//R$. As the fiber being in \mathbb{T} is sheaf If additionally T is assumed to be a smooth 2-stack, then we can assume X' to be in the topology. This will force R to be a smooth 0-stack, so we may choose R' Assume $m > 2$ and the statement is proven for all $(n', m') < (n, m)$ in lexicographical ordering. As the identity types of T are $n - 1$ -types and $m - 1$ stacks by induction they are $n - 1$ stacks. Let $X \rightarrow T$ be an $m - 1$ -atlas, i.e. fibered in smooth $m - 1$ -stacks with X affine. The fibers are in particular $n - 1$ -types, so by induction they are smooth $n - 1$ -stacks. Hence $X \rightarrow T$ is an $n - 1$ -atlas. If, additionally T is assumed to be a smooth m -stack, we can choose $X \in \mathbb{T}$, hence $X \rightarrow T$ witnesses that T is a smooth n -stack. \square

12 Stability under Quotients

Definition 12.1. A morphism between n -stacks is smooth if it is fibered in

- \mathbb{T} if $n \leq 0$
- smooth n -stacks if $n > 0$.

Lemma 12.2. Let C be a class of types stable under finite limits, i.e. containing 1, stable under dependent sums and identity types. The class HasAtlas_C of types Y which admit a map $\text{Spec } A \rightarrow Y$ fibered in C is stable under finite limits

Proof. Obviously 1 has an atlas, and the class of types admitting an atlas is stable by \sum by 4.4. It remains to show, that identity types in Y have an atlas provided that Y has an atlas.

By assumption we can choose a map $p : V \rightarrow Y$ out of an affine fibered in C . Let $y, y' : Y$. Then we have the map

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{fib}_p y) \times_V (\text{fib}_p y') &\rightarrow y = y' \\ (v, q : y = pv), (v', q' : y' = pv'), (h : v = v') &\mapsto q \cdot h \cdot q'^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

The fiber over $j : y = y'$ looks like

$$\sum_v \underbrace{\left(\sum_{v'} (h : v = v') \right)}_{\text{isContr}} \times (q : y = pv) \times (q' : y' = pv') \times (q \cdot h \cdot q'^{-1} = j) \simeq \sum_v (v = py) \simeq \text{fib}_p y$$

Hence the map is fibered in C . It suffices to show, that $(\text{fib}_p y) \times_V (\text{fib}_p y')$ has an atlas, because then we can compose such an atlas with the above map to obtain an atlas of $y = y'$. By assumption the fibers of p have an atlas, so we can choose $q : W \rightarrow \text{fib}_p y, q' : W' \rightarrow \text{fib}_p y'$ atlases. Then $W \times_V W' \rightarrow (\text{fib}_p y) \times_V (\text{fib}_p y')$ is an atlas: The domain is a fiber product of affines, hence affine. The fiber over (x, x') is equivalent to the product of fibers $(\text{fib}_q x) \times (\text{fib}_{q'} x')$ which is in C by stability under dependent sums (so in particular under finite products). \square

Theorem 12.3. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a \mathbb{T} -surjective smooth morphism between modal n -types. If X is a (smooth) stack, then Y is a (smooth) stack.*

(*) This can only hold if we define -1 -stacks to be modal propositions with a -2 -atlas $\text{Spec } A \rightarrow P$, i.e. algebraic propositions 5.3

Proof. Induction. For $n = -2$ its clear. Let X be a n -stack. Lets first construct the $n - 1$ -atlas of Y . We merely find a $V \twoheadrightarrow X$ which is an $n - 1$ -atlas. Then $V \rightarrow X \rightarrow Y$ is an n -atlas because it is \mathbb{T} -surjective and is fibered in the correct \sum -stable class of types, i.e. \mathbb{T} if $n \leq 1$ and smooth $n - 1$ -stacks for $n > 1$. Hence Y is an $n + 1$ -stack. As Y is an n -type, Y is an n -stack 11.5.

If additionally X is assumed to be smooth, then V can be assumed to lie in \mathbb{T} which directly gives us that Y has a smooth atlas.

It remains to show that the identity types of Y are $n - 1$ -stacks. As Y has an $n - 1$ -atlas, by 12.2 we find some $n - 1$ -atlas $p : W \rightarrow y = y'$. The map is smooth. If $n = 0$, $y = y'$ is a -1 -stack by (*). If $n > 0$, W is an $n - 1$ -stack and p is smooth, so by induction $y = y'$ is an $n - 1$ -stack.

□

Remark 7 (Using descent but not induction). Hugo suggested an alternative argument proving that the identity types of Y are $n - 1$ -stacks, which presumable avoids 11.5 but uses descent for n -stacks: For $x : X, y : Y$ we have that

$$(f(x) = y) \simeq (1 \times_X \text{fib}_f y)$$

is an n -stack by stability under \sum . Because it is an $n - 1$ -type, it is a $n - 1$ -stack by 11.5. Now conclude that every identity type of Y is an $n - 1$ -stack by using descent for $n - 1$ -stacks and \mathbb{T} -surjectivity of f .

13 Local properties

Definition 13.1. Let Cov be the property of morphisms of n -stacks defined by asking that the morphism is \mathbb{T} -surjective and fibered in smooth n -stacks. Its stable under basechange. A property of n -stacks is local if $P(1)$ holds, P is stable by dependent sums and given a $Cover X \rightarrow Y$ we have PX iff PY .

Example 13.2. *being smooth n -stack is a local property of stacks.*

Proof. We have to show: If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a \mathbb{T} -surjective map fibered in smooth n -stacks between n -stacks, then X is a smooth n -stack iff Y is a smooth n -stack. The only if is clear by stability under dependent sums. The other direction is 12.3.

□

Definition 13.3. A property of morphisms between n -stacks is local, if it is satisfied by identities, stable under composition and basechange/descent along Cov -maps, precomposition/right cancellability with Cov -maps.

Lemma 13.4. *Given a local property of types P . Then being fibered in P is a local property of morphisms.*

Lemma 13.5 ([ref?]). *Given a local property P of morphisms of n -stacks, a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ has P if there exists an n -atlas of f having P .*

Example 13.6. *A morphism of n -stacks is smooth iff there exists an n -atlas of f*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Spec} A & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & \mathrm{Spec} B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

such that \tilde{f} is a \mathbb{T} -cover.

The previous lemma tells us that we have the correct notion of smooth morphisms between n -stacks for $n = 0, 1$.