

Thesis

Tim Lichtnau

May 2024

1 Preparation

Lemma 1.1. *Let C be a class of types stable under \sum . The class HasAtlas_C of types Y which admit a map $\text{Spec } A \rightarrow Y$ fibered in C is stable under identity types.*

Proof. By assumption we can choose a map $p : V \rightarrow Y$ out of an affine fibered in C . Let $y, y' : Y$. Then we have the map

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{fib}_p y) \times_V (\text{fib}_p y') \rightarrow y = y' \\ & (v, q : y = pv), (v', q' : y' = pv'), (h : v = v') \mapsto q \cdot h \cdot q'^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

The fiber over $j : y = y'$ looks like

$$\sum_v \underbrace{\left(\sum_{v'} (h : v = v') \right)}_{\text{isContr}} \times (q : y = pv) \times (q' : y' = pv') \times (q \cdot h \cdot q'^{-1} = j) \simeq \sum_v (v = py) \simeq \text{fib}_p y$$

Hence the map is fibered in C . It suffices to show, that $(\text{fib}_p y) \times_V (\text{fib}_p y')$ has an atlas, because then we can compose such an atlas with the above map to obtain an atlas of $y = y'$. By assumption the fibers of p have an atlas, so we can choose $q : W \rightarrow \text{fib}_p y, q' : W' \rightarrow \text{fib}_p y'$ atlases. Then $W \times_V W' \rightarrow (\text{fib}_p y) \times_V (\text{fib}_p y')$ is an atlas: The domain is a fiber product of affines, hence affine. The fiber over (x, x') is equivalent to the product of fibers $(\text{fib}_q x) \times (\text{fib}_{q'} x')$ which is in C by stability under dependent sums (so in particular under finite products). □

Lemma 1.2. *Let $\mathcal{U}' \subset \mathcal{U}$ be stable under dependent sums (e.g. \mathbb{T} -inhabited types) Let X be a type with a map $p : U \rightarrow X$ fibered in \mathcal{U}' . For any $x : X$, let Y_x be a type and moreover for any $u : U$, we are given a map $q_u : V_u \rightarrow Y_{p(u)}$ fibered in \mathcal{U}' . Then the induced map*

$$p : \sum_{u:U} V_u \rightarrow \sum_{x:X} Y_x$$

is fibered in \mathcal{U}'

Proof. The fiber of p over some $(x, y) \in \sum_{x:X} Y_x$ is given by

$$\sum_{u:\text{fib}_p x} \text{fib}_{q_u}(y')$$

where $y' : Y_{p(u)}$ (depending on u) is the transport of $y : Y_x$ along $x = p(u)$. As \mathcal{U}' is stable under dependent sum those fibers are again in \mathcal{U}' . This shows the result. □

2 Lex Modalities

Lemma 2.1 (Stability results). *Lex Modalities are stable under*

1. *Conjunction*
2. *Composition*

Lemma 2.2. *Let \circ be a lex-modality. Let X be \circ -modal and $B : X \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_\circ$ be a family of modal types. Then $\sum_{x:X} B_x$ is \circ -modal*

Lemma 2.3. *Let $B : \bullet X \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$. Then $\bullet(\sum_{x:X} B(\eta x)) = \sum_{x:\bullet X} \bullet Bx$*

Proof. Observe that

$$\sum_{x:X} B\eta x \rightarrow \sum_{x:\bullet X} Bx$$

is a \bullet -equivalence, because for all modal types T , the type $Bx \rightarrow T$ is modal for any $x : \bullet X$. Then it follows by [ref?]. \square

Lemma 2.4. *Let \bullet be a lex modality. Let $x, y : X$. The map*

$$\bullet(x = y) \rightarrow \eta x =_{\bullet X} \eta y$$

induced by $ap_\eta : x = y \rightarrow \eta x =_{\bullet X} \eta y$ is an equivalence

Proof. By Modalities Theorem 3.1 [ix]. \square

Definition 2.5. Let \bullet be a lex modality. we call a type X \bullet -seperated if one of the following equivalent conditions hold

- the identity types of X are modal
- the unit $X \rightarrow \bullet X$ is an embedding

In this case

Proof. by 2.4 the vertical map in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} x =_X y & \xrightarrow{\eta_{x=y}} & L(x = y) \\ & \searrow ap_{\eta_X} & \downarrow \simeq \\ & & \eta x =_{LX} \eta y \end{array}$$

is an equivalence. So $x = y$ is a sheaf if $\eta_{x=y}$ is an equivalence iff η_X is an embedding. \square

3 Covering stacks

Fix \mathbb{T} a topology, which we call the covering-affines.

Definition 3.1. Covering geometric stacks are the smallest class containing \mathbb{T} such that: If Y is a sheaf and $\mathbb{T} \ni S \rightarrow Y$ is fibered in covering geometric stacks, then Y is a covering geometric stack.

We call such map $X \rightarrow Y$ whose fibers are covering stacks a geometric cover. If X is affine we call it a geometric atlas. If X is in \mathbb{T} we call it a geometric catlas.

Proposition 3.2 (Recursion principle for covering stacks). *Let $P : \mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow \text{Prop}$ be a property of sheaves. Assume*

- *Every covering affine has P*
- *If $\mathbb{T} \ni S \rightarrow Y$ is fibered in P then Y has P*

Then every covering geometric stack has P .

Proof. Replace P by $P \wedge \text{is -- covering -- stack}$. Then usual induction □

Definition 3.3. We call X a geometric stack if it merely has a geometric atlas, i.e some $\text{Spec } A \rightarrow X$ fibered in covering geometric stacks.

Lemma 3.4. *The class of (covering) geometric stacks is \sum -stable.*

Proof. Define the predicate PX as 'the sum of every family B of (covering) geometric stacks is a (covering) geometric stack'. If X is a (covering) affine, by choice of X we can choose geometric (c)atlases $S_x \rightarrow Bx$ for all $x : X$. Then $\sum_{x:X} S_x \rightarrow \sum_x Bx$ is a geometric catlas. If $f : S \rightarrow X$ is a map fibered in P with $S \in \mathbb{T}$, then let $B : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}S_{\mathbb{Y}}$. By choice of S we can choose geometric catlasses $\tilde{B}s \rightarrow B(fs)$ for all $s : S$. Then consider $\sum_{s:S} \tilde{B}s \rightarrow \sum_{x:X} Bx$. Its domain is in \mathbb{T} . It remains to show, that the fiber over (x, t) is a covering stack. It is a dependent sum over $\text{fib}_f x$, which by induction satisfies P that lets us conclude by definition of P . □

Lemma 3.5. *covers are stable under composition.*

Proof. covering stacks are stable under \sum . □

Proposition 3.6. *Every covering geometric stack X merely admits a geometric catlas.*

Proof. • If X is covering affine, then $X \rightarrow X$ is a geometric catlas.

- If X is obtained as a quotient then it already is equipped with a catlas.

□

Proposition 3.7. *The class of (covering) geometric stacks is stable under quotients: If $X \rightarrow Y$ is fibered in covering stacks and X is a (covering) stack and Y is a sheaf then Y is a (covering) stack.*

Proof. Choose a geometric (c)atlas of X . Then the composition with the map $X \rightarrow Y$ is a cover by 3.5. As the domain is (covering) affine, its a geometric (c)atlas. □

Now we want to show that the clash of terminology regarding 'covering' is reasonable:

Proposition 3.8. *Let \mathbb{T} be saturated. A covering stack X is affine iff its a covering affine.*

Proof. The converse is clear. The direct direction follows by the recursion principle. choosing a geometric catlas $S \rightarrow X$. As both S and X are affine the fibers are affine. By induction the fibers are covering affines. By saturatedness of the topology X is covering affine. □

Lemma 3.9. *Let \mathbb{T} be saturated. Let X be a covering stack. Let $f : \text{Spec } A \rightarrow X$ be a geometric atlas. Then $\text{Spec } A \in \mathbb{T}$*

Proof. As $\text{Spec } A \simeq \sum_{x:X} \text{fib}_f x$ is a dependent sum of covering stacks, it is a covering stack again. We conclude by 3.8. \square

3.1 Geometric stacks

Lemma 3.10. *geometric stacks are closed under id-types.*

Proof. This is 1.1, using that covering stacks are closed under \sum . \square

warning. The previous lemma does not hold for covering stacks: Identity types of things in \mathbb{T} could be empty.

Proposition 3.11 (Recursion principle for geometric stacks). *Let $P : \text{GS} \rightarrow \text{Prop}$ be a property of geometric stacks. Assume*

- *Every affine has P*
- *If $S \rightarrow Y$ is fibered in covering stacks that have P then Y has P*

Then every geometric stack has P .

Proof. One could explain geometric stacks as the smallest class containing all affines and if $\text{Spec } A \rightarrow X$ is fibered in geometric stacks that happens to be covering, then X is a geometric stack. \square

3.2 About the smallest class in a subuniverse

Definition 3.12. Let $\mathcal{V} \supset \text{Aff}$ be a superclass stable under \sum . covering geometric \mathcal{V} stacks are the smallest intermediate class $\mathbb{T} \subset \text{CS}_{\mathcal{V}} \subset \mathcal{V}$ such that: If $X : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ and $X \rightarrow Y$ is fibered in $\text{CS}_{\mathcal{V}}$, then $Y \in \text{CS}_{\mathcal{V}}$

Definition 3.13. We define the saturation of \mathbb{T} as the class of covering Aff-stacks. We call a topology \mathbb{T} saturated if it coincides with its saturation, or more concretely: Every affine schemes that has a atlas lies itself in \mathbb{T} .

In a further chapter we will develop this theory further.

Proposition 3.14. *Let \mathcal{V} be stable under finite limits and containing (covering) affines. X is a (covering) \mathcal{V} -stack iff it is in \mathcal{V} and a (covering) geometric stack.*

Proof. The direct direction is clear. For the converse we apply the recursion principle to the property ' $X \in \mathcal{V}$ implies X is a (covering) \mathcal{V} -stack'. If $X \in \mathbb{T}$, its clear. Otherwise its equipped with a geometric (c)atlas. The fibers are in \mathcal{V} , as they can be written as a fiberproduct of $S, X, 1 \in \mathcal{V}$. By induction all fibers are covering \mathcal{V} -stacks. \square

Proposition 3.15. *covering \mathcal{V} -stacks are stable under dependent sums. In particular the saturation of a topology defines a topology.*

Proof. Both the classes \mathcal{V} and covering stacks are stable under dependent sums. Hence the intersection of them is \sum -stable as well.

The saturation is a class of affines, that in particular contains $1 \in \mathbb{T}$. We have argued its stable under \sum . \square

Proposition 3.16. *A sheaf X merely admits some affine $\text{Spec } A \rightarrow X$ fibered in covering \mathcal{V} -stacks, iff its a geometric stack whose identity types are geometric \mathcal{V} -stacks.*

Proof. The direct direction: By 1.1 the identity types are \mathcal{V} -stacks.

The converse direction: Choose a geometric atlas $f : S \rightarrow X$. As each fiber $\sum_{s:S} fs =_X x$ is in V by \sum -stability of \mathcal{V} and is a covering stack, its a covering \mathcal{V} -stack by 3.14. \square

Definition 3.17. Let $n \geq -2$. A (covering) geometric n -stack is a (covering) geometric stack that is an n -type.

Proposition 3.18. Let X be a sheaf. For all $n \geq 0$, the following are equivalent:

1. X is a (covering) geometric $n + 1$ -stack
2. X merely admits some map $S \rightarrow X$ out of a (covering) affine fibered in covering n -stacks
3. X merely admits some (covering) geometric n -stack $Y \rightarrow X$ fibered in covering n -stacks.

Proof.

1. \Leftrightarrow 2. X is a (covering) geometric $n + 1$ stack iff (3.10) its a (covering) geometric stack whose identity types are geometric n -stack iff (3.16) 2.
2. \Rightarrow 3. S is a (covering) geometric n -stack
3. \Rightarrow 2 Y admits a map $S \rightarrow Y$ fibered in covering n -stacks with S (covering) affine, so the composition $S \rightarrow X$ will have the same property by 3.5.

\square

3.3 Truncatedness

In this subsection we want to prove that every geometric stack is a geometric n -stack for some n .

Lemma 3.19. Every covering \mathcal{V} -stack X is \mathbb{T} -merely inhabited.

Proof. • If X is in \mathbb{T} then its clear.

- If X is obtained by a quotient, we have a map $\text{Spec } A \rightarrow X$ with domain in \mathbb{T} . Now use that we get a map on \mathbb{T} -propositional-truncations and that $\text{Spec } A$ is \mathbb{T} -merely inhabited.

\square

Lemma 3.20. Let X be an $n + 1$ -type and Y a sheaf. If $X \rightarrow Y$ is a n -truncated \mathbb{T} -surjective map, then Y is an $n + 1$ -type.

Proof. Use that is $-n - \text{truncated}(y = y')$ is a sheaf for $y, y' : Y$. \square

Theorem 3.21. Every geometric stack is n -truncated for some $n : \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. We apply the recursion principle for geometric stacks.

- If Y is affine its clear with $n = 0$.
- Assume Y is equipped with a \mathcal{V} -atlas $f : S \rightarrow Y$, such that every fiber in n -truncated for some n . f is \mathbb{T} -surjective by 3.19. We apply 3.20. So it remains to find an n such that all fibers are n -truncated. For any $x : S$, By induction $\text{fib}_f(fx)$ is n -truncated for some n . By projectivity of S , we find some n such that $\text{fib}_f(fx)$ is n -truncated for all $x : S$. For general $y : Y$, using that is- n -truncated $\text{fib}_f y$ is a sheaf, we can conclude by \mathbb{T} -surjectivity of f .

\square

3.4 Descent

For this subsection let's assume \mathcal{V} a subuniverse (stable under \sum), that satisfies:

If $Y \in \mathcal{V}$ is separated, then $L_{\mathbb{T}}Y \in \mathcal{V}$. (*)

\mathbf{St} a class of sheaves in \mathcal{V} , such that \mathbb{T} is contained in it and for any \mathbb{T} -cover $X \rightarrow Y$ of sheaves in \mathcal{V} , $X \in \mathbf{St}$ iff $Y \in \mathbf{St}$. We call types in this class stacky.

Lemma 3.22. *Let \mathbb{T} satisfy descent, i.e. being affine in the topology is a sheaf. If Y admits a \mathbb{T} -cover $f : X \rightarrow Y$ where $Y \in \mathcal{V}$ is separated, then there is a \mathbb{T} -cover $X \rightarrow L_{\mathbb{T}}Y$.*

Proof. Consider $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{\eta} L_{\mathbb{T}}Y$. As being affine in \mathbb{T} is a sheaf, we may just show that for all $y : Y$, the fibers over $\eta y : L_{\mathbb{T}}Y$ are in \mathbb{T} . As η is a monomorphism by 2.5, η restricts to an equivalence

$$\mathrm{fib}_f y \rightarrow \mathrm{fib}_{\eta f}(\eta y)$$

But the left hand side is in \mathbb{T} by assumption. \square

Lemma 3.23. *Assume \mathbb{T} have descent. Let $X \in \mathbf{St}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{V}$. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be fibered in \mathbb{T} and surjective. Then $L_{\mathbb{T}}Y$ is stacky.*

Proof. As X is stacky, it suffices to show, that $L_{\mathbb{T}}Y$ admits a \mathbb{T} -cover. We want to apply 3.22. So it remains to show, that Y is separated, because then we also know $L_{\mathbb{T}}Y \in \mathcal{V}$ by (*). By surjectivity of f we may only show that for any $x : X, y : Y$, the type $fx =_Y y$ is a sheaf. If we define U to be the fiber over y , it is in \mathbb{T} by assumption. But then $fx =_Y y$ is the outer pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} fx = y & \longrightarrow & U \in \mathbb{T} & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow y \\ 1 & \xrightarrow{x} & X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

of stacky types, in particular sheaves. \square (Claim)

\square

Theorem 3.24. *Assume \mathbb{T} have descent. Then \mathbf{St} is a sheaf.*

Proof. \mathbf{St} is separated: This follows from the embedding \mathbf{St} into the separated (TODO) type of sheaves.

Let $U \in \mathbb{T}$ and $P : \|U\| \rightarrow \mathbf{St}$. We want to construct a filler

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \|U\| & \xrightarrow{P} & \mathbf{St} \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ 1 & & \end{array}$$

Claim: $L_{\mathbb{T}}(\sum_{x:\|U\|} Px)$ is stacky.

Proof. of the claim. We want to apply the previous lemma to the map

$$\sum_{x:U} P|x| \rightarrow \sum_{x:\|U\|} Px$$

The domain is in \mathbf{St} by stability under \sum . The fibers are equivalent to $U \in \mathbb{T} \subset \mathbf{St}$. \square

The claim provides the map $1 \rightarrow \mathbf{St}$. The diagram commutes: Assuming $x : \|\mathrm{Spec} A\|$ we wish to show $Px = \sum_{x:\|U\|} Px$. Using univalence, we may show that the maps

$$Px \rightarrow \sum_{x:\|U\|} Px \xrightarrow{\eta} L_{\mathbb{T}} \sum_{x:\|U\|} Px$$

are both equivalences. The first one is an equivalence as $\|U\|$ is contractible. Hence the middle term is a sheaf, thus the unit map is an equivalence as well.

□

Corollary. *If \mathbb{T} has descent, (covering) geometric stacks satisfy descent.*

Corollary. *If \mathbb{T} has descent. For all $n : \mathbb{N}$, the class of (covering) (n -)stacks has descent.*

Proof. We set \mathcal{V} as the n -truncated-type. We have to check the condition (*): If Y is a separated n type, then $L_{\mathbb{T}}Y$ is an n -type. As a sheaf being n -truncated is a sheaf, we may just show that $\eta x = \eta y$ is $n - 1$ -truncated for all $x, y : Y$. hence, Apply 2.5 to the separated Y , we know $\eta x =_{LX} \eta y \simeq (x = y)$ being an $n - 1$ -type.

□

4 Saturated Topologies

Definition 4.1. A atlas of X is some $\hat{X} \in \mathbb{T}, \hat{X} \rightarrow X$ \mathbb{T} -cover

Proposition 4.2. The saturation of a topology \mathbb{T} is the class \mathbb{T}' defined by

$$X \in \mathbb{T}' \text{ iff } X \text{ is affine} \wedge \exists \text{ atlas of } X$$

Proof. As \mathbb{T}' is definitely contained in the saturation, it suffices to show, that the class \mathbb{T}' defined above is saturated. \mathbb{T}' is \sum -stable by 6.3.

Consider some \mathbb{T}' -cover $\mathbb{T}' \ni X' \rightarrow X$. By replacing X' with some atlas (allowed as \mathbb{T}' -covers compose), we may assume that $X' \in \mathbb{T}$. As every fiber $X'_x \in \mathbb{T}'$ and X has choice, we can choose for all $x : X$ a atlas $\tilde{X}'_x \rightarrow X'_x$. We obtain commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X} \equiv \sum_{x:X} \tilde{X}'_x & \longrightarrow & \sum_{x:X} X'_x \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & X \end{array}$$

As $X' \in \mathbb{T}$ and $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X'$ is fibered in \mathbb{T} (1.2) we have $\tilde{X} \in \mathbb{T}$. And $X' \rightarrow X$ is a \mathbb{T} -cover hence $Y \rightarrow X$ is a \mathbb{T} -cover. Hence $X \in \mathbb{T}'$. □

Lemma 4.3. A type T is a sheaf wrt to \mathbb{T}' iff it is a sheaf wrt to \mathbb{T}

Proof. As $\mathbb{T} \subset \mathbb{T}'$ the \rightarrow direction is clear. Now, let $X \in \mathbb{T}'$. We have to show that $T \rightarrow T^{\|X\|}$ is an equivalence. Choose $\mathbb{T} \ni Y \rightarrow X$. Then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T & \longrightarrow & T^{\|X\|} \\ & \searrow \simeq & \downarrow \\ & & T^{\|Y\|} \end{array}$$

So $T \rightarrow T^{\|X\|}$ has a left-inverse. Thus it suffices to show that any $f : T^{\|X\|}$ has a preimage. Choose $t : T$, s.th. cns_t^Y is the composite $\|Y\| \rightarrow \|X\| \xrightarrow{f} T$. We have $\|Y\| \rightarrow (\text{cns}_t^X = f)$. But as $Y \in \mathbb{T}$ and $\Delta_t = f$ is a sheaf (as an identitytype in the sheaf $T^{\|X\|}$) we are done. □

Remark 1. We never used that we only talk about \mathbb{T} -covers.

Lemma 4.4. Every saturated affine (i.e. $\text{Spec } A \in \mathbb{T}'$) is \mathbb{T} -merely inhabited.

Proof. We have $\|X\| \rightarrow \|\text{Spec } A\|$ for some atlas $\mathbb{T} \ni X \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$. □

Question 1. Does the converse hold, i.e. is every \mathbb{T} -merely inhabited affine saturated?

5 Atlas

Definition 5.1. Given $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{U}$ a subclass stable under \sum , a \mathcal{V} -cover is a map fibered in \mathcal{V} . A \mathcal{V} -atlas of X is a \mathbb{T} -cover $\text{Spec } A \rightarrow X$ out of an affine scheme.

In the context of a topology \mathbb{T} , We call a \mathcal{V} -atlas $\text{Spec } A \rightarrow X$ a \mathcal{V} -catlas, if the domain $\text{Spec } A$ belongs to \mathbb{T} .

Example 5.2. Let X be a (1-)type. X has a Zar-atlas, iff there exists some $f : \text{Spec } A \rightarrow X$ fibered in types of the form $\text{Spec}(R_{f_1} \times \dots \times R_{f_n})$ for $(f_1, \dots, f_n) \in \text{Um}(R)$.

Remark 2. If one applies ZLC to an affine scheme $\text{Spec } A$ the resulting principal open cover $D(f_i), f_i \in A$ will induce indeed a zariski atlas $\bigsqcup D(f_i) \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$, because the fiber over $x : \text{Spec } A$ is $\bigsqcup D(f_i(x))$.

Question: Does every zariski atlas of $\text{Spec } A$ have this form? ??

Example 5.3. \mathbb{P}^n has a zariski atlas given by the standart homogeneous principal opens $\sum_{i=0}^n D_+(x_i)$. The fiber over a point $[y_0 : \dots : y_n]$ is $D(y_0) + \dots + D(y_n)$ where $(y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \text{Um}(R)$.

6 Local Choice

One of the goals of this chapter is to show descent for types admitting a \mathbb{T} -(c)atlas. In this section let \mathbb{T} denote a topology finer than the zariski topology.

Definition 6.1. Let Cov be a class of morphisms (which we think of n -atlases of some n), containing \mathbb{T} -atlas, (stable under pullback NECESSARY TODO?) A type S has *local choice* wrt Cov if for any \mathbb{T} -surjective map $X \rightarrow Y$ and any map $f : S \rightarrow Y$ there exists a map $p' : S' \rightarrow S$ in Cov and a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S' & \dashrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ S & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

Proposition 6.2. Assume that Cov is stable under composition.

- If $\hat{S} \rightarrow S$ is a Cover and \hat{S} has \mathbb{T} -local choice, then S has \mathbb{T} -local choice.
- Affine schemes have \mathbb{T} -local choice.
- Any type admitting a Cov - Atlas $\text{Spec } A \rightarrow S$ has \mathbb{T} -local choice.

Proof. The first point follows from stability under composition of Cov . the third point follows from the second. By the first point, we may assume that S is affine. As p is \mathbb{T} -surjective, for any $x : S$ there merely is a $\text{Spec } B_x \in T$ and a map $\text{Spec } B_x \rightarrow \|\text{fib}_p(x)\|$. As S is projective, we have a term in

$$\prod_{x:S} \sum_{\text{Spec } B_x \in T} \text{Spec } B_x \rightarrow \|\text{fib}_p(fx)\|$$

By setting

$$(S' := \sum_{x:S} \text{Spec } B_x) \xrightarrow{\pi} S$$

the projection, we are now in the situation that for any $t : S'$ we merely have a point in $\text{fib}_p((p'(t)))$ and $S' \rightarrow S$ is a \mathbb{T} -cover, thus it is in Cov . Moreover, S' is affine, as it is a dependent sum of affines. Hence again we now can find a lift $S' \rightarrow X$ making

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S' & \longrightarrow & Y \\ p' \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ S & \xrightarrow{f} & X \end{array}$$

commute. □

The next lemma shows, that the class of types equipped with a \mathbb{T} -atlas is stable under dependent sums.

Theorem 6.3. Let \mathcal{U}' be a class stable under dependent sums. The class of types admitting a \mathcal{U}' -atlas is closed under dependent sums. If \mathbb{T} is a topology, the same holds for \mathcal{U}' -atlases.

Proof. The stability under quotients is easy: Let us construct some atlas $\text{Spec } A \rightarrow \sum_{x:X} B_x$. For any $x : X$ we merely have an atlas $V_x \rightarrow B_x$, i.e. with V_x affine. X has local choice wrt atlases by (6.2) using \mathcal{U}' is \sum -stable (we use the trivial topology).

If additionally, all the B_x and X are smooth n -stacks, just observe that we can choose the affine V_{p_u} to lie in \mathbb{T} , Accordingly $\sum_{u:U} V_{p_u} \in T$ as \mathbb{T} is stable under Σ .

By Local choice for X , we merely find U affine, an atlas $p : U \rightarrow X$ with

$$\prod_{u:U} \sum_{V_{p(u)} \in T} (q : V_{p(u)} \rightarrow B_{p(u)}) \times (q \text{ fibered in smooth } n \text{ stacks})$$

Now the desired map is $\sum_{u:U} V_{pu} \rightarrow \sum_{x:X} B_x$, because it is an atlas by 1.2

□

Proposition 6.4. *Let \mathcal{U}' be a class stable under dependent sums. The class of types admitting a \mathcal{U}' -(c)atlas is closed under \mathcal{U}' -covers: If $X \rightarrow Y$ is a \mathcal{U}' -cover, then X admits a \mathcal{U}' -(c)atlas iff Y admits a \mathcal{U}' -(c)atlas.*

Proof. One direction is the stability under dependent sums. For the other, if $S \rightarrow X$ is a \mathcal{U}' -atlas, then $S \rightarrow X \rightarrow Y$ is a \mathcal{U}' -atlas by \sum -stability of \mathcal{U}' . □

Corollary. *If \mathbb{T} has descent, The class of sheaves merely admitting a \mathbb{T} -catlas has descent.*

Proof. We can set $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{U}$, and we have to show, that if $X \rightarrow Y$ is a \mathbb{T} -cover than X admits a \mathbb{T} -catlas iff Y admits a \mathbb{T} -catlas. This follows from 6.4. □

7 Fundamental Theorem of algebraic spaces

Lemma 7.1. Denote $\mathbb{T}\text{Set}$ for the sets that are \mathbb{T} -sheaves. Assume given a $\mathbb{T}\text{set}$ X then the following maps are mutually inverse

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{R: X \rightarrow X \rightarrow \mathbb{T}\text{Prop}} R \text{ equivalence relation} &\simeq \sum_{Y: \mathbb{T}\text{Set}} \sum_{p: X \rightarrow Y} p \text{ } \mathbb{T}\text{surjective} \\ R &\mapsto (L_{\mathbb{T}}\|X//R\|_0, [-]) \\ \lambda x, y. (p(x) = p(y)) &\leftarrow (Y, p) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. • Well-definedness: The map $[-] : X \rightarrow \|X//R\|_0 \rightarrow L_{\mathbb{T}}\|X//R\|_0$ is the composition of a surjective with a \mathbb{T} -surjective map [ref?], hence its \mathbb{T} -surjective. Conversely given (Y, p) as Y is a sheaf, we have for all $x, y : X$ that $p(x) =_Y p(y)$ is a sheaf.

- If $x, y : X$ then we have a chain of equivalences

$$R(x, y) \simeq (\bar{x} =_{\|X//R\|_0} \bar{y}) \xrightarrow{\text{ap } \eta} ([x] =_{L_{\mathbb{T}}\|X//R\|_0} [y])$$

where the first map is plain HoTT, meaning that $\|X//R\|_0$ is separated. The second map is equivalence by 2.5.

- Let (Y, p) be in the RHS. Let $R(x, y) = (p(x) = p(y)) : \mathbb{T}\text{Prop}$. By plain HoTT, There is a map $\eta : X//R \rightarrow Y$ (defined by the universal property of the set truncation and by induction on the higher inductive type $X//R$ on canonical terms through the map $p : X \rightarrow Y$). I claim η exhibits Y as the localization for $\mathbb{T}\text{Set}$ -modality of $X//R$. Let T be another $\mathbb{T}\text{Set}$ equipped with a map $X//R \rightarrow T$. By precomposition we obtain a map $X \rightarrow T$. Claim: it factors uniquely through $p : X \rightarrow Y$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \longrightarrow & X//R & \longrightarrow & T \\ & \searrow & & \nearrow & \\ & & Y & & \end{array} \quad \exists!$$

Proof:

Existence: We want to define a map $Y \rightarrow T$. Let $y : Y$. As p is \mathbb{T} -surjective and T is a sheaf, we may assume we merely have some element in the fiber of p over y . Now push this element through

$$\| \text{fib}_p y \| \rightarrow \|X//R\|_0 \rightarrow T$$

where the first map is by Plain HoTT and the second one is induced from $X//R \rightarrow T$ by assumption and the fact that T is a set.. One can easily check this makes the diagram commute. Uniqueness follows from $X \rightarrow Y$ being \mathbb{T} -surjective and the following Fact: Two parallel maps $Y \rightrightarrows T$ into a $\mathbb{T}\text{Set}$ T are already equal if they become equal after precomposition with a \mathbb{T} -surjection $X \rightarrow Y$.

Proof of the fact : Let $y : Y$. The goal is an identity type of a $\mathbb{T}\text{Set}$, hence a $\mathbb{T}\text{Prop}$. Hence As the fiber over y in X is \mathbb{T} -merely inhabited, we may assume an actual term in the fiber. As $X \rightarrow Y$ equalizes the arrows, this term allows us to conclude. $\square(\text{fact})$ $\square(\text{Claim})$

We apply the fact to the (\mathbb{T}) -surjectivity of $X \rightarrow X//R$ to get a unique factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \twoheadrightarrow & X//R & \longrightarrow & T \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ & & Y & & \end{array} \quad \exists!$$

making the right triangle commute. This is what we wanted to show. \square

Definition 7.2. A modal equivalence relation R on a type X is called covering, if for any $y : X$ the fibers

$$R_y := \sum_{x:X} R(x, y)$$

merely admits a \mathbb{T} -catlas.

Lemma 7.3. *Assume that the topology has descent. Given a \mathbb{T} set X , the following types are equivalent:*

- *The type of covering equivalence relations on X .*
- *The type of \mathbb{T} sets Y equipped with a map $X \rightarrow Y$ fibered in types admitting a \mathbb{T} -catlas.*

Proof. By the equivalence in 7.1 it is enough to check that The fibers of:

$$[-] : X \rightarrow L_{\mathbb{T}}\|X//R\|_0$$

merely admit a \mathbb{T} -catlas if and only if the relation R is covering. For any $y : X$ we have that:

$$\sum_{x:X} R(x, y) \simeq \text{fib}_{[-]}([y])$$

so the direct direction is immediate. The converse follows from \mathbb{T} -surjectivity of $[-]$ and from 6. \square

8 Algebraic Space

Recall the notion of (covering) 0-stacks. it is the smallest pair of classes that satisfies the following

- (covering) affines are (covering) algebraic spaces.
- stable under covering quotients: If X is an algebraic space, Y modal 0-type and $X \rightarrow Y$ is fibered in covering algebraic spaces, then Y is an algebraic space. Additionally, if X is covering, then Y is covering.

8.1 Geometric propositions

Definition 8.1. An affine Scheme U is called geometric, if

$$\|U\|_{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow (U \in \mathbb{T})$$

Lemma 8.2. *The converse holds always*

Proof. because things in \mathbb{T} are automatically \mathbb{T} -merely inhabited □

Recall the definition of \mathbb{T} -atlas [5.1](#)

Definition 8.3. We call a modal proposition geometric, if one of the equivalent conditions is satisfied:

1. its merely of the form $\|U\|_{\mathbb{T}}$ for some geometric affine U .
2. There is a \mathbb{T} -surjective map out of a geometric affine U .
3. It has a \mathbb{T} -atlas.

Proof.

1 \Leftrightarrow 2 Clear.

1 \Rightarrow 3 we show that $U \rightarrow \|U\|_{\mathbb{T}}$ is a \mathbb{T} -atlas. Every fiber is in \mathbb{T} , because U is geometric.

3 \Rightarrow 1 Let $V \rightarrow P$ be a \mathbb{T} -atlas. have to show TFAE $\|V\|_{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow P \rightarrow (V \in \mathbb{T}) \xrightarrow{8.2} \|V\|_{\mathbb{T}}$. Proof: $\|V\|_{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow P$ as P is modal prop. Secondly, because $V \rightarrow P$ is a \mathbb{T} -cover. Hence P is a geometric proposition. □

8.2 Algebraic spaces

Lemma 8.4. *Consider a modal equivalence relation $R : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}\text{Prop}$ on an affine S . TFAE*

- *R is covering, i.e. every fiber $R_s \equiv \sum_{t:S} Rst$ admits a \mathbb{T} -catlas.*
- *every fiber $R_s \equiv \sum_{t:S} Rst$ is a covering 0-stack.*

Proof. Every type admitting a \mathbb{T} -catlas is a covering 0-stack. Conversely: if the fibers are covering 0-stacks. Let us first observe, that for all $s, t : S$, Rst is a geometric proposition: Rst is the fiber of the projection $\sum_{t:S} Rst \rightarrow S$ between geometric stacks, which are stable under finite limits.

For all $t : S$ we can choose a geometric atlas $\text{Spec } A_t \rightarrow Rst$ by [8.3](#). Then

$$\sum_{t:S} \text{Spec } A_t \rightarrow \sum_{t:S} Rst$$

is a \mathbb{T} -atlas. As $\sum_{t:S} Rst$ is a covering 0-stack by assumption, the map has to be a \mathbb{T} -catlas by [3.9](#). □

Theorem 8.5. *Let X be a modal set. The following are equivalent:*

1. X is a (covering) geometric 0-stack
2. X is merely of the form $L_{\mathbb{T}}(U/R)$ for some (covering) affine U and $R : U^2 \rightarrow \text{Prop}$ a covering equivalence relation.
3. there exists some map $S \rightarrow X$ with S (covering) affine whose fibers merely have \mathbb{T} -catlasses.

We call this class (covering) algebraic spaces.

Proof.

2 \leftrightarrow 3 [7.3](#)

2 \rightarrow 1 Choose a presentation $R : U^2 \rightarrow \text{Prop}$. It suffices to show, that the map $f : U \rightarrow L_{\mathbb{T}}(U/R)$ is a geometric (c)atlas. The map f is \mathbb{T} -surjective by the well-definedness of the bijection [7.1](#). By descent we may just show, that the fibers $\text{fib}_f(f(s))$ for $s : U$ are covering 0-stacks. But by the bijection in [7.1](#) those are equivalent to the fibers R_s , which are covering 0-stacks as the equivalence relation is covering.

1 \rightarrow 2 This can be reformulated in the following way, using the recursion principle for (covering) geometric 0-stacks: Let X be a sheaf of sets. Let S be (covering-) affine and $f : S \rightarrow X$ be fibered in covering algebraic spaces. Then X is a (covering) algebraic space. This follows from the observation, that the equivalence relation determined by f is covering [8.4](#), because the fibers of f are covering 0-stacks.

□

8.3 Schemes are algebraic Spaces for the Zariski Topology

Definition 8.6. A proposition U is open iff its merely of the form $f_1 \text{ inv} \vee \dots \vee f_n \text{ inv}$ for some $f_i : R$.

Definition 8.7. A Zariski sheaf X is a scheme if there merely exists some affine S map $S \rightarrow X$ whose fibers are Zariski-merely inhabited finite sums of open propositions

Lemma 8.8. *Given $f_1, \dots, f_n : R$ such that $\|D(f_1) + \dots + D(f_n)\|_{\text{Zar}}$ then $\sum_{i=1}^n D(f_i) \in \text{Zar}$.*

Proof. We have to show that $(f_1, \dots, f_n) = 1$. Claim: $(f_1, \dots, f_n) = 1$ is a sheaf. Proof: Recall that the Zariski topology is subcanonical ([ref?]). Now the type is equivalent to the statement that the map between the two sheaves $\text{Spec } 0 \rightarrow \text{Spec } R/(f_1, \dots, f_n)$ is an equivalence. This is a sheaf [ref?]. □

Proposition 8.9. *Every Zariski-merely-inhabited type that is merely of the form $U_1 + \dots + U_n$ for open propositions U_i admits a Zar-catlas.*

Proof. By definition of openness, We can choose a surjection $\coprod_{j=1}^{n_i} D(f_{ij}) \rightarrow U_i$ for any i . We want to show, that the map

$$\coprod_{i,j} D(f_{ij}) \rightarrow U_1 + \dots + U_n$$

is a Zar-catlas.

- Let us first show that the fibers are in Zar . Assume U_i holds. So we find a term in $\coprod_j D(f_{ij})$. In particular we have $\|\coprod_j D(f_{ij})\|_{\text{Zar}}$. By the lemma we conclude, that the fiber $\sum_j D(f_{ij})$ belongs to Zar .

- The total space is in Zar: This follows as the surjection after \mathbb{T} -truncation becomes an equivalence. As we have $\|U_1 + \dots + U_n\|_{\mathbb{T}}$, we can conclude by the lemma.

□

warning. The converse does not hold! Apply 3.22 to the map

$$\mathrm{Zar} \ni 1 + 1 \rightarrow \sum D(f)$$

$\sum D(f)$ is separated as $D(f)$ is a sheaf. All the fibers are equivalent to $1 + X$, hence they are in the Zariski topology. Use that being in the Zariski topology has Zariski-descent.

Corollary. *Every scheme is an algebraic space for the Zariski topology.*

Question 2. Is every algebraic space for the Zariski topology a scheme?

Lemma 8.10. *Every Zar-sheaf that admits a Zar-atlas is a scheme. Hence, every geometric proposition is a scheme*

Proof. Obvious.

□

9 Local properties

Lemma 9.1. *Given a commutative triangle*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ & \searrow h & \downarrow g \\ & & Z \end{array}$$

with $X \rightarrow Y$ a geometric cover. Then h is a geometric cover iff g is a geometric cover.

Proof. Reduce to the case of $Z = 1$. If $X \rightarrow Y$ is a geometric cover, then X is a covering stack iff Y is a covering stack by stability under quotients and under sums. If both are coverings stacks, then the fibers \square

Lemma 9.2. *A morphism between geometric stacks $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a geometric cover iff there exist atlases and a \mathbb{T} -cover on affines*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Spec} A & \overset{\hat{f}}{\dashrightarrow} & \mathrm{Spec} B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

Proof. The converse follows by the previous lemma. The direct direction follows by choosing a geometric atlas $\mathrm{Spec} B \rightarrow Y$ and taking the pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \times_Y \mathrm{Spec} A & \xrightarrow{f'} & \mathrm{Spec} A \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

f' has the same fibers as f , hence it will be geometric cover. Now $X \times_Y \mathrm{Spec} A$ is a geometric stack, hence we can choose a geometric atlas $\mathrm{Spec} B \rightarrow X \times_Y \mathrm{Spec} A$. The composition will be a geometric cover between affines, hence a \mathbb{T} -cover. \square