

**Exercise 1.**

- (a) The Hessian matrix of  $f_1(x, y, z)$  is given by

$$H_{f_1}(x, y, z) = \begin{pmatrix} 12x^2 + 4 & -2 & 0 \\ -2 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\Delta_1 = \det(12x^2 + 4) = 12x^2 + 4 > 0, \quad \text{as } x^2 \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$\Delta_2 = \det \begin{pmatrix} 12x^2 + 4 & -2 \\ -2 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = 24x^2 + 4 > 0, \quad \text{as } x^2 \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$\Delta_3 = \det \begin{pmatrix} 12x^2 + 4 & -2 & 0 \\ -2 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = 2\Delta_2 > 0, \quad \text{as } \Delta_2 > 0.$$

Hence, by Sylvester's criterion  $H_{f_1}(x, y, z)$  is definite positive for any  $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ . Therefore  $f_1(x, y, z)$  is strictly convex in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

- (b) The function  $x^2$  is strictly convex in  $\mathbb{R}$  as it is a monomial with an even power, so that the same holds for  $5x^2$  as the scalar  $5 > 0$ . Similarly,  $9y^4$  is strictly convex in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Furthermore, both  $e^x$  and  $e^{-z}$  are strictly convex in  $\mathbb{R}$  by definition of the exponential function.

Therefore,  $f_2(x, y, z)$  is the sum of functions that are strictly convex and is hence strictly convex in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

- (c) By arguments analogue to those in (b), the function  $x^2 + y^2$  is convex in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Thus  $f_3(x, y) = -(x^2 + y^2)$  is concave in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

- (d) The Hessian matrix of  $f_4(x, y)$  is given by

$$H_{f_4}(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

And we have  $\det(H_{f_4}(x, y)) = -4 < 0$ . Therefore by Sylvester's criterion,  $H_{f_4}(x, y)$  is indefinite and  $f_4(x, y)$  is neither convex nor concave in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

However, notice that when restricting its domain to  $\mathbb{R} \times \{0\}$ , the function  $f_4(x, y)$  is convex. While when restricting its domain to  $\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}$ , it is concave.

**Exercise 2.**  $f(x, y) = (x - y)^2 + (x + 2y + 1)^2 - 8xy = 2x^2 - 6xy + 5y^2 + 2x + 4y + 1$ .

- (a) Let  $\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$ . If we set  $Q = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ -3 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $b = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $c = 1$ , then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, y) &= (x - y)^2 + (x + 2y + 1)^2 - 8xy = 2x^2 - 6xy + 5y^2 + 2x + 4y + 1 \\ &= \mathbf{x}^T Q \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x}^T b + c. \end{aligned}$$

- (b) The Hessian matrix of  $f(x, y)$  is given by

$$H_f(x, y) = 2Q = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & -6 \\ -6 & 10 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Furthermore, we have  $\Delta_1 = 4 > 0$  and  $\Delta_2 = \det(2Q) = 4 > 0$ . So that by Sylvester's criterion,  $H_f(x, y)$  is a definite positive matrix for any  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . This implies that  $f(x, y)$  is strictly convex in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

- (c) The critical points of  $f(x, y)$  are determined by

$$\nabla f(x, y) = 2Q\mathbf{x} + b = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & -6 \\ -6 & 10 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 4x - 6y + 2 \\ 10y - 6x + 4 \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

The only solution is  $(x, y) = (-11, -7)$ . Since  $f(x, y)$  is strictly convex in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , which admits no boundary point,  $(-11, -7)$  is the global minimum of  $f(x, y)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

**Exercise 3.**

- (a) Let  $x, y \in C$  and  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ . First, because  $C$  is a convex set we have  $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in C$ . Furthermore, since both functions  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$  are convex in  $C$  we also have

$$f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y) \text{ and } g(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq \lambda g(x) + (1 - \lambda)g(y).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} h(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) &= \max(f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y), g(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y)) \\ &\leq \max(\lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y), \lambda g(x) + (1 - \lambda)g(y)) \\ &\leq \max(\lambda f(x), \lambda g(x)) + \max((1 - \lambda)f(y), (1 - \lambda)g(y)) \\ &= \lambda \max(f(x), g(x)) + (1 - \lambda) \max(f(y), g(y)) \\ &\leq \lambda h(x) + (1 - \lambda)h(y). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by definition,  $h(x)$  is also convex in  $C$ .

- (b) Let  $x' \in C$  be a global minimum of  $h(x)$  in  $C$ , i.e.  $h(x') \leq h(x)$  for all  $x \in C$ .

First, if  $g(x') = f(x')$  then we are done. So only the case  $g(x') \neq f(x')$  remains. We can then assume without loss of generality that  $g(x') < f(x') = h(x')$ . And we will show next that  $x'$  is also a global minimum of  $f(x)$  in  $C$ .

Assume to a contradiction that there exists some  $x'' \in C$  such that  $f(x'') < f(x')$ . Since  $h(x'') \geq h(x')$ , it follows that  $g(x'') = h(x'') \geq h(x') = f(x')$ . This means that there exists some  $0 < \lambda_0 < 1$  satisfying

$$\lambda_0 g(x') + (1 - \lambda_0)g(x'') = \lambda_0 f(x') + (1 - \lambda_0)f(x'').$$

Furthermore, by convexity of  $C$ , we have  $\lambda_0 x' + (1 - \lambda_0)x'' \in C$ . But, by convexity of  $f$  and  $g$  in  $C$ , we also have that both

$$f(\lambda_0 x' + (1 - \lambda_0)x'') \leq \lambda_0 f(x') + (1 - \lambda_0)f(x'') < f(x') = h(x'),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} g(\lambda_0 x' + (1 - \lambda_0)x'') &\leq \lambda_0 g(x') + (1 - \lambda_0)g(x'') = \lambda_0 f(x') + (1 - \lambda_0)f(x'') \\ &< f(x') = h(x'). \end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$h(\lambda_0 x' + (1 - \lambda_0)x'') < h(x').$$

This contradicts the assumption that  $x'$  is a global minimum of  $h(x)$  in  $C$ .

#### Exercise 4.

- (a) The gradient of  $g(x, y)$  is given by

$$\nabla g(x, y) = (4x^3 + 4x - y, 4y^3 + 2y - x).$$

While its Hessian matrix is

$$H_g(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} 12x^2 + 4 & -1 \\ -1 & 12y^2 + 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (b) The leading principal minors of  $H_g(x, y)$  are

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1 &= 12x^2 + 4 \geq 4 > 0, \quad \text{as } x^2 \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \Delta_2 &= (12x^2 + 4)(12y^2 + 2) - 1 \\ &= 144x^2y^2 + 24x^2 + 48y^2 + 7 \geq 7 > 0, \quad \text{as } x^2, y^2 \geq 0 \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by Sylvester's criterion  $H_g(x, y)$  is a definite positive matrix for any  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . This implies that  $g(x, y)$  is a strictly convex function in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . And because the exponential function is increasing over  $\mathbb{R}$ , this further means that  $f(x, y) = e^{g(x, y)}$  is also a strictly convex function in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

- (c) Another consequence of the fact that the exponential function is increasing over  $\mathbb{R}$  is that  $f(x, y)$  will reach its (global as  $f$  is convex) minimum when  $g(x, y)$  is minimum.

From (b), we know that  $g(x, y)$  is convex in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , thus its (unique) critical point will be a global minimum in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . The latter is determined by the equation  $\nabla g(x, y) = 0$ , whose unique solution in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is given by  $(x, y) = (0, 0)$ .

Thus the global minimum of  $f(x, y)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is  $(0, 0)$ .