

PasswordStore Protocol Audit Report

Version 1.0

Cyfrin.io

PasswordStore Protocol Audit Report

0xJustUzair

December 24, 2023

Prepared by: 0xJustUzair Lead Security Researcher:

0xJustUzair

Table of Contents

- Table of Contents
- Protocol Summary
- Disclaimer
- Risk Classification
- Audit Details
 - Scope
 - Roles
- Executive Summary
 - Issues found
- Findings
 - High
 - * [H-1] Password stored on-chain makes it visible to anyone, and no longer private
 - * [H-2] PasswordStore::setPassword() has no access controls, meaning a nonowner could change the password
 - Informational
 - * [I-1] PasswordStore::getPassword() natspec indicates a parameter that doesn't exist, causing natspec to be incorrect

Protocol Summary

The PasswordStore contract assumes that only the owner can set the password. The setPassword() function modifies the s_password storage variable, where the password is set, but doesn't include access control meaning that anyone, including a malicious actor, can reset the owner's password.

Disclaimer

The <code>0xJustUzair</code> team makes all effort to find as many vulnerabilities in the code in the given time period, but holds no responsibilities for the findings provided in this document. A security audit by the team is not an endorsement of the underlying business or product. The audit was time-boxed and the review of the code was solely on the security aspects of the Solidity implementation of the contracts.

Risk Classification

		Impact		
		High	Medium	Low
Likelihood	High	Н	H/M	М
	Medium	H/M	М	M/L
	Low	М	M/L	L

We use the CodeHawks severity matrix to determine severity. See the documentation for more details.

Audit Details

Commit Hash: 7d55682

Scope

Commit Hash: 2e8f81e263b3a9d18fab4fb5c46805ffc10a9990

• In Scope:

```
1 ./src/
2 #-- PasswordStore.sol
```

• Solc Version: 0.8.18

• Chain(s) to deploy contract to: Ethereum

Roles

- Owner: The user who can set the password and read the password.
- Outsides: No one else should be able to set or read the password.

Executive Summary

Spent 30 mins auditing the protocol solo, with foundry and other built-in tools

Issues found

Severity	Number of issues Found	
High	2	
Medium	0	
Low	0	
Info	1	
Total	3	

Findings

High

[H-1] Password stored on-chain makes it visible to anyone, and no longer private

Description: All data stored on-chain is visible to anyone, and can be read directly from the blockchain. The PasswordStore::s_password variable is intended to be a private variable and only accessed

through the PasswordStore::getPassword() function, which is intended to be only called by the owner of the contract.

We show one such method of reading any data off chain below.

Impact: Anyone can read the password, severly breaking the functionality of the protocol

Proof of Concept: (Proof of Code) The below test case shows how anyone can read the password directly from the blockchain

1. Create a locally running chain

```
1 make anvil
```

2. Deploy contract on chain

```
1 make deploy
```

3. Run the storage tool

```
1 cast storage <DEPLOYED_CONTRACT_ADDRESS> 1 --rpc-url http://localhost
:8545
```

4. Convert the output to a readable string

You get output as such: myPassword

Recommended Mitigation:

- 1. encrypt the password off chain and then store encrypted password on chain
- 2. User would reqiure to remmeber another password for decrpytion of encrypted password
- 3. Remove view function, as you wouldn't want the user to accidentally send a transaction with the password that decrypts your password

[H-2] PasswordStore::setPassword() has no access controls, meaning a non-owner could change the password

Description: PasswordStore::setPassword() function is set to be an external function, the natspec of the function and overall purpose of the smart contract is that The function allows only owner to set a **new** password

```
function setPassword(string memory newPassword) external {
    @> // @audit - missing access control
        s_password = newPassword;
    emit SetNetPassword();
}
```

Impact: Anyone can set the password of the contract breaking the functionality of the contract.

Proof of Concept: Add the following to PasswordStore.t.sol test file:

Code

```
function test_anyone_can_set_password(address randomAddress) public {
    vm.assume(randomAddress != owner);
    vm.prank(randomAddress);
    string memory expectedPassword = "myNewPassword";
    passwordStore.setPassword(expectedPassword);
    vm.prank(owner);
    string memory actualPassword = passwordStore.getPassword();
    assertEq(actualPassword, expectedPassword);
}
```

Recommended Mitigation: Add an access control conditional to setPassword() function.

```
1 if(msg.sender != owner) {
2    revert PasswordStore__NotOwner();
3 }
```

Informational

[I-1] PasswordStore::getPassword() natspec indicates a parameter that doesn't exist, causing natspec to be incorrect

Description: PasswordStore::getPassword() natspec indicates signature PasswordStore ::getPassword(string) while actual code indicates PasswordStore::getPassword()

```
1 @param newPassword The new password to set.
```

Impact: The natspec is incorrect

Recommended Mitigation: Remove incorrect natspec

```
1 - * @param newPassword The new password to set.
```