

Data Exploration

During the data exploration I came across the following issues:

1. Missing Values

Below are some of them:

Table	Column	# Missing Values	% Missing values	Comments
PRODUCTS_TAKEHOME	CATEGORY_1	111	0.013%	Category_1 is the top level of the hierarchy, and should probably have a value, since each product could be classified as belonging to some category.
PRODUCTS_TAKEHOME	CATEGORY_4	778,093	92%	Very high number, suggesting it may not be essential or poorly maintained
PRODUCTS_TAKEHOME	MANUFACTURER	226,474	26%	26% have missing MANUFACTURER and BRAND
PRODUCTS_TAKEHOME	BRAND	226,472	26%	
PRODUCTS_TAKEHOME	BARCODE	4,025	0.48%	
TRANSACTION_TAKEHOME	BARCODE	5,762	11.52%	
TRANSACTION_TAKEHOME	FINAL_SALE	12,500	25%	
USERS_TAKEHOME	GENDER	5,892	5%	
USERS_TAKEHOME	STATE	4,812	4%	The missing percentage is not high, however state can be important upon

				transaction for tax calculations
USERS_TAKE HOME	BIRTH_DATE	3,675	10%	Date of birth could be important to determine if user is not a minor and eligible for purchasing products

- Additional columns contain missing values, but that might be valid. For instance:
CATEGORY_2 & CATEGORY_3 have missing values as well, some products might not have all hierarchy levels populated.
Language has about 30% missing values, the high volume of missing values indicates that perhaps this was a non mandatory field when users signed up

2. Duplications

- PRODUCTS_TAKEHOME** - 215 duplicated rows
- TRANSACTION_TAKEHOME** - 171 duplicated rows

3. Data Join Issues: Missing Matches

- When joining **PRODUCTS_TAKEHOME** with **TRANSACTION_TAKEHOME** 50% of the transactions can't be matched with a product
- When joining **TRANSACTION_TAKEHOME** with **USERS_TAKEHOME** 99% of the transactions can't be matched with a user

4. Temporary Data Values: Potential Considerations

These values may not be an issue as long as they are accounted for in analysis and BI processes, and relevant stakeholders are aware.

- PRODUCTS_TAKEHOME** Table: 86,902 rows have "PLACEHOLDER MANUFACTURER" in the MANUFACTURER field.
- PRODUCTS_TAKEHOME** Table: 547 rows have "Needs Review" in the CATEGORY_1 field.
- PRODUCTS_TAKEHOME** Table: 17,025 rows (2%) have "BRAND NOT KNOWN" or "BRAND NEEDS REVIEW" in the BRAND field.

5. Barcode Values

- PRODUCTS_TAKEHOME & TRANSACTION_TAKEHOME** - Barcodes are typically 12 or 13 digits, with some exceptions for specific products. The data set contains different lengths, this could indicate corrupted data. Here is the barcode count per length from

PRODUCTS_TAKEHOME

barcode_lenght	barcode_cnt
14	44
13	30144
12	502091
11	296376
10	7591
9	1762
8	1907
7	950
6	570
5	83
4	8
3	1

- **PRODUCTS_TAKEHOME** - 54 barcodes are not unique, but the products are not duplicated. Meaning, rows with the same barcode having differences in other columns (e.g. different brand).
- **TRANSACTION_TAKEHOME** - a single row contains 'BARCODE' as a value, 8 rows contain '-1' as the barcode value

6. FINAL_SALE Values

TRANSACTION_TAKEHOME - some FINAL_SALE values are null, even when FINAL_QUANTITY is > 0 or when the same receipt has another record with non null FINAL_SALE value

7. FINAL_SALE Values

TRANSACTION_TAKEHOME - FINAL_QUANTITY contains 'zero' a non numeric value. This would be problematic when conducting a numeric analysis

8. Big variety of categorical values

This could make analysis and grouping difficult:

- **USER_TAKEHOME** - **Gender** - has 11 unique values. The values aren't standardized - some have the same meaning with different spelling- non_binary & Non-Binary, prefer_not_to_say & Prefer not to say, not_listed & My gender isn't listed.
- **PRODUCTS_TAKEHOME** - **MANUFACTURER** and **BRAND** have a high count of distinct values (4,354 and 8,122 distinct values)

9. Poor Naming

PRODUCTS_TAKEHOME - CATEGORY_1, CATEGORY_2, CATEGORY_3, CATEGORY_4 are not descriptive

- **TRANSACTION TAKEHOME** - FINAL_SALE field is not a self explanatory name, if it's intended to represent paid amount it should explicitly say this.

10. Brand Names

PRODUCTS TAKEHOME - Some brands have short names. Some are valid, such as LG, but when googling I couldn't find others



short_brand_names
3M
LU
BC
L
FX
TY
V8
BD
A+
LG

11. Data Type

- **USER TAKEHOME** - BIRTH_DATE and CREATED_DATE should be of daytime type instead of textual
- **TRANSACTION TAKEHOME** - PURCHASE_DATE and SCAN_DATE are both in text format, and each of them follows different conventions (date only vs. date + time). It's bad practice to use text format for datetime fields, and both fields should follow the same convention.

12. Other Outliers

USER TAKEHOME - User with the ID 5f31fc048fa1e914d38d6952 has create_date > birth_date