Self-Evaluation Report for Programming Assignments Section I: Basic Information

1. Programming assignment #	4B		
2. Name of the author:	_Mark Nguyen		
3. Name of the peer reviewer if a	nny:		
4. Due date of the assignment:		5. Date when	the assignment was
6. Number of hours spent in pro	gramming:	9	
Section II: Integrity Review			
Integrity rules for regular progr	amming assignm	ents	
 Peer discussion: Peer discussion o ideas and for debugging purpose. Such the class, but you should carefully re step over the guidelines explicitly des 	ch discussion may had the guidelines b	elp to cultivate an open le elow to avoid any dishon	earning environment in
 Never use any code (i.e. C++ state others (except for examples in our people's programs or from websi assignment. 	textbooks or read	ing): Any copy-and-pas	te of code from other
 Never circulate your code to othe paper) to others except for the TA and and the provider will receive 0 points 	d the instructor. Vic	lating this rule is viewed	· · ·
 Never provide false or exaggerated self-evaluation report together with exaggerated results of test cases in th assignment. 	all your source cod	le files for each assignm	ent. Providing false or
• Demonstrate the credibility of your work for points, you should make sur from scratch without any outside help requested, you will get 0 points for the	re that you are able to when requested. It	o explain your code and you are not able to do th	reconstruct your code at on your own when
• Consequence of cheating in the class discipline actions described in the stu	•	0 points for the assignm	ents followed by
1. Have you ever received any co ever passed any code you wrote by others?no	to others?no_		

Section III: Test cases and peer review

Note: To get all the points, you should have a peer reviewer watch the behavior of your program before you submit the work. You should prepare your own test cases and have your reviewer see the results when you run your program over the test cases. Optionally, you may also have the reviewer run your program through the reviewer's own test cases to see whether vour program works correctly

your program works correctly.
1. Compile and run your code using Visual C++ 2013 as the testing environment and describe the test cases used and the results you and the reviewer have observed:
There are test cases I implemented
test1.txt This is the example code provided by Lin
2. Description of bugs or other problems discovered by you or the peer reviewer if any:
3. Have you implemented everything required by the programming assignment? If not,
describe what are missing.

Section IV Self-evaluation: Points you think you deserve _____10_____

- Deduct one point if you submit the work after the due date but before it's closed.
- Grading scale:
- 0. Nothing done or missing the self-evaluation report or missing the integrity review in the report
- 1. Source code is completed but the code fails to compile successfully
- 2. Source code can compile and do something required, but has serious bugs or miss a couple of key features.
- 3. Source code can compile and do most of the features required, but has many minor bugs or miss a key required feature.
- 4. Source code can compile and do all the features required, nearly fully functional, only a couple of minor bugs.
- 5. Source code can compile and do all the features required, fully functional, no bugs.
- 6. In addition to the points received according to the rubrics above, get one more point if
- a. the self-evaluation report contains sufficient descriptions of test cases used (0.25 point), and
- b. the self-evaluation report indicates the results of the test cases were verified by a peer reviewer (0.25 point), and
- c. the source code is well indented and commented to make it visually very readable (0.5 point).