DM-MMC, 2020

Alessandro Pacco

February 3, 2020

Contents

De la forme des arbres

Méthodes d'analyse complexe pour des problèmes d'élasticité bidimensionelle

1.a)

If f is a holomorphic function then it is C^{∞} on all of its domain. Then we have that

$$f'(z) = \lim_{|h| \to 0} \frac{f(z+h) - f(z)}{h} = \lim_{h_1 \to 0, h_2 \to 0} \frac{f_1(z_1 + h_1, z_2 + h_2) - f_1(z_1, z_2) + i[f_2(z_1 + h_1, z_2 + h_2) - f_2(z_1, z_2)]}{h_1 + ih_2}$$

now this limit must be valid both when $h_1 = 0$ and $h_2 \to 0$ and when $h_1 \to 0$ and $h_2 = 0$. From this it follows that

$$f'(z) = \frac{\partial f_1(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} + i \frac{\partial f_2(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1}$$
$$f'(z) = -i \frac{\partial f_1(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_2} + \frac{\partial f_2(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_2}$$

from which the Cauchy conditions follow, i.e.

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial z_1} &= \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial z_2} \\ \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial z_2} &= -\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial z_1} \end{split}$$

1.b)

Since f_1 and f_2 are C^2 , then we can exchange the order of derivation, thus getting

$$\Delta f_1 = \partial_{z_1}^2 f_1 + \partial_{z_2}^2 f_1 = \partial_{z_1 z_2}^2 f_2 + \partial_{z_2 z_1}^2 (-f_2) = 0$$

similarly for f_2 we get that

$$\Delta f_2 = \partial_{z_1}^2 f_2 + \partial^2 z_2 f_2 = \partial_{z_1 z_2}^2 (-f_1) + \partial_{z_2 z_1}^2 f_1 = 0$$

2)

At equilibrium we have that

$$\phi + \nabla \cdot (\sigma) = 0$$

where

$$\nabla \cdot (\sigma) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \sigma_{xx}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \sigma_{xy}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \sigma_{xz}}{\partial z} \\ \frac{\partial \sigma_{yx}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \sigma_{yy}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \sigma_{yz}}{\partial z} \\ \frac{\partial \sigma_{zx}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \sigma_{zy}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \sigma_{zz}}{\partial z} \end{pmatrix}$$

Componentwise and using Einstein's notation we can therefore write: $\phi_i + \partial_j(\sigma_{ij}) = 0$. Still with Einstein's notation we have that Hooke's law says that

$$\sigma_{ij} = 2\mu\epsilon_{ij} + \lambda\epsilon_{kk}\delta_{ij}$$

4 CONTENTS

Now it follows that

$$\partial_j \sigma_{ij} = 2\mu \partial_j \epsilon_{ij} + \lambda \partial_i \epsilon_{kk}$$

and using the fact that $\epsilon_{kk} = \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u})$ and $\partial_j \epsilon_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_j (\partial_j u_i + \partial_i u_j) = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{jj} u_i + \frac{1}{2} \partial_j \partial_i u_j = \frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 u_i + \frac{1}{2} \partial_j \partial_i u_j$ we get that $\partial_j \sigma_{ij} = 2\mu [\frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 u_i + \frac{1}{2} \partial_j \partial_i u_j] + \lambda \partial_i \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u})$. Finally it follows that

$$\phi_i + \mu \nabla^2 u_i + \mu \partial_j \partial_i u_j + \lambda \partial_i \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u}) = 0 \Rightarrow \phi + \mu \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} + (\mu + \lambda) \nabla (\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u})) = 0$$

3)

We have that $\mathbf{u} = (0, 0, \omega)$, from which, using the equation found previously, it follows that

$$\mu \nabla^2(\omega) = 0 \Rightarrow \partial_x^2 \omega + \partial_y^2 \omega = 0$$

where we used the fact that in this case $\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u}) = 0$. So ω is harmonic

4)

We have that

$$\epsilon = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial y} \\ \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial y} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and from $\sigma_{ij} = 2\mu\epsilon_{ij} + \lambda\epsilon_{kk}\delta_{ij}$ we get that

$$\sigma = 2\mu \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial y} \\ \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial y} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \frac{\partial \text{Im}\Omega}{\partial x} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{\partial \text{Im}\Omega}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial \text{Im}\Omega}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial \text{Im}\Omega}{\partial y} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Finally we have that $\sigma_{yz}+i\sigma_{xz}=\frac{\partial \mathrm{Im}\Omega}{\partial y}+i\frac{\partial \mathrm{Im}\Omega}{\partial x}=\frac{\partial \mathrm{Re}\Omega}{\partial x}+i\frac{\partial \mathrm{Im}\Omega}{\partial x}=\Omega$

5)

From $\log(z)=\ln(|z|)+i\arg(z)$ we get that $\Omega(z)=-iP\ln(|z|)/2\pi+\arg(z)/2\pi$, which implies that the displacement field has its z component ω given by $\mu\omega(x,y)=-P\ln\Big(\sqrt{x^2+y^2}\Big)/2\pi$. Then it follows that $\mu\partial_x\omega=-\frac{P}{2\pi}\frac{x}{x^2+y^2}$ and $\mu\partial_y\omega=-\frac{P}{2\pi}\frac{y}{x^2+y^2}$, and finally

$$\sigma = -\frac{P}{2\pi} \frac{1}{x^2 + y^2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 & y \\ x & y & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

This corresponds to a situation where

6.a)

With

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & S \\ 0 & S & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

6.b)

If we call z=x+iy, then we have that $\Omega=S(z-R^2/z)=S\left(x-\frac{R^2x}{x^2+y^2}+i\frac{x^2y+R^2y^2+y^3}{x^2+y^2}\right)$ from which it follows that $\omega(x,y)=\frac{S}{\mu}\frac{x^2y+R^2y^2+y^3}{x^2+y^2}$. The displacement field is given by $\mathbf{u}=(0,0,\omega)$. Finally we have that

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial \mathrm{Im}\Omega}{\partial x} &= -\frac{2R^2xy^2}{(x^2+y^2)^2} \\ \frac{\partial \mathrm{Im}\Omega}{\partial y} &= \frac{2x^2y(R^2+y)+x^4+y^4}{(x^2+y^2)^2} \end{split}$$

so that

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{(x^2 + y^2)^2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -2R^2xy^2 \\ 0 & 0 & 2x^2y(R^2 + y) + x^4 + y^4 \\ -2R^2xy^2 & 2x^2y(R^2 + y) + x^4 + y^4 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

We have the following behaviors:

CONTENTS 5

6.c)

BOOOOOOHHHHHHHH non ne sacciun cazzo

7.a)