TD-Probability

Marco Biroli

September 28, 2020

# TD 1

## 1.1 A strategic choice.

Let  $X \in \{0,1\}^3$  (resp. Y) be the random variable corresponding to the results of the matches using the first strategy (resp. the second strategy). Then we have that (let  $D = \{(1,1,1), (1,1,0), (0,1,1)\}$ ):

$$P(X \in D) = a^{2}b + ab(1-a) + (1-a)ba = ab(2-a)$$

Similarly:

$$P(Y \in D) = b^{2}a + ba(1-b) + (1-b)ab = ba(2-b)$$

Then since a > b we have that  $P(X \in D) < P(Y \in D)$ , hence the winning strategy is BAB.

## 1.2 Derangements

#### 1.2.1

Let E be a finite set and  $A, B \subseteq E$ . We denote by  $1_A$  the indicator function of A and  $\bar{A}$  the complement of A. Then we have that:

$$1_{\bar{A}} = 1 - 1_A$$
 and  $1_{A \cap B} = 1_A \cdot 1_B$  and  $1_{A \cup B} = 1_A + 1_B - 1_{A \cap B}$ 

#### 1.2.2

We will prove this by induction on n. The base case n = 1 as well as n = 2 are trivially satisfied. Now assume that this is satisfied for n then we have that (using the induction hypothesis for n = 2):

$$\operatorname{card}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_{i} \bigcup A_{n+1}\right) = \operatorname{card}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}\right) + \operatorname{card}(A_{n+1}) - \operatorname{card}\left(\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}\right) \bigcap A_{n+1}\right)$$

Now we develop the last term into:

$$\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}\right) \bigcap A_{n+1} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \left(A_{i} \bigcap A_{n+1}\right)$$

Now applying the induction hypothesis gives the desired result.

#### 1.2.3

Let  $A_i$  be the set of permutations that fixes point i. Then from the inclusion-exclusion principle we have:

$$D_n = n! - \operatorname{card}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) = n! - \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \binom{n}{k} (n-k)! = n! \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{(-1)^k}{k!}$$

#### 1.2.4

The probability that no one gets their jacket corresponds to the probability of having a derangement in other words:

$$p_n = \frac{D_n}{n!} = \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \stackrel{n \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} \frac{1}{e}$$

4 CHAPTER 1. TD 1

#### 1.2.5

We have that:

$$D_{n,l} = \binom{n}{l} D_{n-l} = \binom{n}{l} (n-l)! \sum_{k=2}^{n-l} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} = \frac{n!}{l!} \sum_{k=2}^{n-l} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!}$$

Hence the probability that eaxctly l people leave with their jackets is:

$$p_l = \frac{D_{n,l}}{n!} = \frac{1}{l!} \sum_{k=2}^{n-l} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!}$$

#### 1.2.6

The probability that a given person gets back their jacket is  $p_s = \frac{1}{n}$ . The probability that at least one person gets back their jacket is:

$$p_a = 1 - p_n = 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!}$$

Notice that  $p_s < p_a$ .

#### 1.3 Balls in bins

#### 1.3.1

(a) If all the balls are distinguishable then we have  $\Omega = [\![1,n]\!]^r$  is the set of tuples where each element corresponds to where the *i*-th ball has been sent to. Then  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$  and since each event is sampled uniformly at random we have that:

$$\forall \omega \in \Omega, P(\omega) = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} = \frac{1}{n^r}$$

Then the probability of  $(r_1, \dots, r_n)$  is given by:

$$P[(r_1, \cdots, r_n)] = P[\{\omega \in \Omega : \forall i \in [1, n] \# \{b \in \Omega : b = i\} = r_i\}] = \frac{1}{n^r} \binom{r}{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n}$$

(b) Now we have that  $\Omega = \{(r_i \in \mathbb{N} : i \in [1, n]) : \sum_{i=1}^n r_i = r\}$ . Again we have that  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ . Then we have that:

$$P[(r_1, \dots, r_n)] = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} = \frac{1}{\binom{r+n-1}{n-1}}$$

(c) Now we have that  $\Omega = \{s \in \{0,1\}^n : \sum_{i=1}^n s_i = r\}$  corresponding to the tuple indicating if each state is occupied or not. Once again  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ . Now the probability is given by:

$$P[(r_1,\ldots,r_n)] = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{r}}$$

#### 1.3.2

The probability that at least two have the same birthday is the 1 minus the probability that none of them share a birthday. The probability that none of them share a birthday is given by  $\frac{r!}{n^r} \binom{n}{r}$ . Hence the probability that at least two people share a birthday is given by:  $1 - \frac{r!}{n^r} \binom{n}{r}$ .

#### 1.3.3

Days are bins, accidents are distinguishable balls hence the probability is given by:

$$\frac{\binom{r}{n}n^{r-n}}{n^r} = n^{-n}\binom{r}{n}$$

# TD2

## 2.1 Symmetric Random Walk

Consider a balanced coin drawn n times. Denote  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  the results and  $S_k$  the partial sums.

#### 2.1.1

The law of  $S_k$  is given by:

$$p_{n,r} = P(S_n = r) = \frac{1}{2^n} \binom{n}{\frac{n+r}{2}}$$

#### 2.1.2

The number of paths from (0,0) to (2n+2,0) never zero are equal to the number of paths from (1,1) to (2n+1,1) which always stay above or equal to the line y=1. Hence rescaling the y-axis by a factor 1 we get a bijection in between the strictly positive walks from (0,0) to (2n+2,0) with the positive or zero walks from (0,0) to (2n,0). Hence for symmetric random walks the number of random walks going from (0,0) to (2n+2,0) never touching the axis is twice as much as the number of walks from (0,0) to (2n,0) being always positive or 0. Furthermore there are 4 times more walks going from 0 to 2n+2 which therefore gives the desired result.

#### 2.1.3

We now that the end of the random walk is going to be given by a-b. Now the number of possible only positive walks is given by the number of walks from (1,1) to (a+b,a-b) minus the number of walks from (1,-1) to (a+b,a-b) by the reflexion principle. Hence we get that:

$$p = p_{a+b-1,a-b-1} - p_{a+b-1,a-b+1} = \frac{1}{2^n} \frac{a-b}{a+b} \binom{a+b}{a}$$

#### 2.1.4

**a**)

Up to a re-scaling of the y-axis we have the equivalent problem of computing the number of paths that go from (0, -r) to (n, k - r) and which touch the x-axis at least once. Now notice that from the reflexion principle this is equal to the number of paths from (0, r) to (n, k - r) and up to a second shifting this is equal to the number of paths from (0, 0) to (n, k - 2r). Hence the desired probability is given by  $p_{n,k-2r} = p_{n,2r-k}$ .

CHAPTER 2. TD2

b)

Then for any r we have that:

$$P(\max\{S_1, \dots, S_n\} = r) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} P(S_n = k, \max\{S_1, \dots, S_n\} = r)$$

$$= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (P(S_n = k, \max\{S_1, \dots, S_n\} \ge r) - P(S_n = k, \max\{S_1, \dots, S_n\} > r))$$

$$= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (P(S_n = k, \max\{S_1, \dots, S_n\} \ge r) - P(S_n = k, \max\{S_1, \dots, S_n\} \ge r + 1))$$

$$= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (p_{n,k-2r} - p_{n,k-2r-2}) = p_{n,r} + p_{n,r+1}$$

**c**)

This can be re-written as:

$$P(S_n = 0, S_1 < 0, \dots, S_{n-1} < 0, S_0 = -r) = P(S_n = -r, S_1 < 0, \dots, S_{n-1} < 0, S_0 = 0)$$

Now again notice that this corresponds to a symmetric re-writing of the problem 3. Hence we get immediately that:

$$\hat{p}_{n,r} = P(S_n = r, S_1 < r, \dots, S_{n-1} < r) = \frac{1}{2^n} \frac{r}{n} \binom{n}{\frac{n+r}{2}} = \frac{r}{n} p_{n,r}$$

d)

## 2.2 Geometric and negative-binomial laws.

#### 2.2.1

We want to compute:

$$P(T_1 = t + 1)$$

For such a thing to be the case we need to have thrown tails successively t times and heads the last time. Hence the probability is given by:

$$P(T_1 - 1 = t) = P(T_1 = t + 1) = (1 - p)^t p = \mathcal{G}(p)$$

The expectancy of  $\mathcal{G}(p)$  is given by:

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{G}(p)] = \sum_{t=0}^{+\infty} (1-p)^t pt = p \cdot \frac{1-p}{p^2} = \frac{1-p}{p}$$

The variance of  $\mathcal{G}(p)$  is given by:

$$Var[\mathcal{G}(p)]^2 = \frac{p^2 - 3p + 2}{p^2} - \frac{1 - 2p + p^2}{p^2} = \frac{1 - p}{p^2}$$

#### 2.2.2

A geometric law corresponds to something not happening t times and then happening at the t+1 time. Then the infimum of two geometric laws corresponds to two things not happening t times and one of them happening at the t+1 time. The probability of which is given as follows:

$$P[(\inf(S_1, S_2) = s] = (1 - p)^{2(s-1)}(p^2 + 2p(1-p)) = (1 - p)^{2(s-1)}(2p - p^2) = (1 - p)^{2(s-1)}(1 - (1 - p)^2)$$

Hence the infimum is a geometric variable with law  $\mathcal{G}(1-(1-p)^2)$ 

#### 2.2.3

We want to compute  $P(T_m - m = k)$ . The number of possible outcomes for which  $T_m - m = k$  is given by  $\binom{k+m-1}{m-1}p^m(1-p)^k = Neg(m,p)$ . Now using the formula we get that:

$$\sum_{k>0} Neg(m,p)[k] = p^m (1 - (1-p))^{-m} = 1$$

#### 2.2.4

Notice that we can re-write  $T_m - m$  as the number of steps before the first H plus the number of steps between the first and second head etc. now the number of steps in between two succesive heads is given by  $\mathcal{G}(p)$  then the result follows.

#### 2.2.5

Then we have that:

$$\mathbb{E}[T_m - m] = m\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{G}(p)] = m\frac{1 - p}{p}$$

Hence:

$$\mathbb{E}[T_m] = \frac{m}{n}$$

Similarly we get:

$$Var(T_m) = m \frac{1 - p}{p^2}$$

## 2.3 Thinning and Poisson random variables

We have that:

$$P[Y=k|X=n] = \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k}$$

Then from the law of total probability we have that:

$$P[Y = k] = \sum_{n=k}^{+\infty} \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k} \frac{\lambda^n e^{-\lambda}}{n!} = \frac{p^k e^{-\lambda} \lambda^k}{k!} \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} (1-p)^n \frac{\lambda^n}{(n)!} = \frac{(\lambda p)^k e^{-\lambda p}}{k!}$$

### 2.4 Conditional Probabilities

- 1. (a)  $P(B|A) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)} = \frac{P(A)}{P(B)} \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(A)} = \frac{P(A)}{P(B)} P(A|B)$ .
  - (b) From the previous question we have:

$$P(B|A) = \frac{P(A)}{P(B)}P(A|B) = \frac{P(A|B)}{P(B)} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} P(A|C_i)P(C_i)$$

(c) Let X be the r.v. corresponding to the number of children of law  $p_i$  and A the event "has no daughter". Then:

$$P(X=1|A) = \frac{P(A|X=1)}{P(X=1)} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} P(A|X=i) P(X=i) = \frac{1}{2p_1} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{p_i}{2^i}$$

2. The law of (X,Y) is given by:

$$P((X,Y) = (x,y)) = \frac{1}{6} \cdot \frac{\delta_{y \le x}}{x} \text{ for } (x,y) \in [1,6]^2$$

Then:

$$P(X = x) = \sum_{y=1}^{6} P((X, Y) = (x, y)) = \sum_{y=1}^{x} \frac{1}{6x} = \frac{1}{6}$$

Similarly:

$$P(Y = y) = \sum_{x=1}^{6} P((X, Y) = (x, y)) = \frac{1}{6} \sum_{x=y}^{6} \frac{1}{x}$$

8 CHAPTER 2. TD2

## 2.5 Change of variables.

1. Let *U* be a r.v. with uniform law over  $\left[-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right]$ . Then:

$$P(\tan(U) \le x) = P(U \le \tan^{-1}(x)) = \frac{\arctan(x) - \frac{\pi}{2}}{\pi}$$

Then the pdf of  $\tan U$  is given by:

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\pi(1+x^2)}$$

Then the value of  $\mathbb{E}[|\tan U|]$  is given by:

$$\mathbb{E}[|\tan U|] = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{|x|}{\pi(1+x^2)} dx$$

Which diverges.

2. We have that  $X = \cos \theta$  and  $Y = \sin \theta$  and  $\theta$  is a r.v. with uniform law on  $[0, 2\pi]$ . Then:

$$f_X(x) = f_{\cos \theta}(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \left| \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x} \arccos x \right| = \frac{1}{\pi \sqrt{1 - x^2}}$$

By symmetry it is immediate that P(X = x) = P(Y = x). Then  $z = X + Y = \cos \theta + \sin \theta = \sqrt{2}\cos(\theta - \frac{\pi}{4})$ . Then:

$$f_Z(z) = f_x \left(\frac{z}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2-z^2}}$$

## 2.6 Random Variables

1. Let  $X(\omega) = a1_A(\omega) + b1_B(\omega)$  and  $C \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ . Then:

$$\sigma(X) = \langle \emptyset, A, B, A \cup B, A \cap B \rangle \text{ and } P(X = x) = \begin{cases} P(A) & \text{if } x = a \\ P(B) & \text{if } x = b \\ P(A \cap B) & \text{if } x = a + b \end{cases}$$

2. Then:

$$P_X = \frac{1}{2}\delta_1 + \frac{1}{2}Unif([0,1])$$
 and  $\sigma(X) = \langle \emptyset, \mathcal{B}([0,1/2]), [1/2,1] \rangle$ 

3. Then:

$$P(X \le x) = \int_{-\sqrt{x}}^{\sqrt{x}} \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{2} = \sqrt{x} \text{ and } \sigma(X) = \{A \in \mathcal{B}([-1,1]) : A = -A\}$$

# TD3

## 3.1 Gamma Law

1. We have that:

$$f_X(x) = f_{Z/\lambda}(x) = h_{a,1}(\lambda x)\lambda = 1_{x \ge 0} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} 1^a (\lambda x)^{a-1} \exp(-\lambda x)\lambda = h_{a,\lambda}(x)$$

2. We have that:

$$E[Z] = \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{x^{a-1}e^{-x}}{\Gamma(a)} x dx = \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^{+\infty} x^{(a+1)-1} e^{-x} dx = \frac{\Gamma(a+1)}{\Gamma(a)} = a+1$$

Which also immediately gives:

$$E[X] = E[Z/\lambda] = \frac{a}{\lambda}$$

Then the variance is given by a similar integration which yields:

$$Var[Z] = \frac{\Gamma(a+2)}{\Gamma(a)} - \frac{\Gamma(a+1)}{\Gamma(a)} = a$$

Which also gives:

$$Var[X] = Var[Z/\lambda] = \frac{a}{\lambda^2}$$

3. Using question 1 it is sufficient to show the case where X, Y have laws  $\mathcal{G}(a, 1)$  and  $\mathcal{G}(b, 1)$ . Then:

$$f_Z(z) = f_X \star f_Y(z) = \int f_{X,Y}(x, z - x) dx = \int f_X(x) f_Y(z - x) dx$$

Now replacing with the laws we get:

$$f_Z(z) = \int_0^z \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} x^{a-1} (z-x)^{b-1} e^{-\lambda x - \lambda(z-x)} dx = \frac{z^{a-1+b-1+1} e^{-\lambda z}}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} \int_0^1 u^{a-1} (1-u)^{b-1} = h_{a+b,1}(z)$$

Where the last equality follows from normalization. For a proof check the next question.

#### 3.2 Beta law

1. We compute:

$$\int_0^1 x^{a-1} (1-x)^{b-1} \mathrm{d}x =$$

2. We introduce V = X and make the change of variable  $(X, Y) \to (Z, V)$ . Which explicitly gives (Z, V) = (XY, X) Then the Jacobian determinant is given by:

$$\begin{vmatrix} y & x \\ 1 & 0 \end{vmatrix} = -x = -v$$

10 CHAPTER 3. TD3

Then we have:

$$f_{Z,V}(z,v) = f_{x,y}(v,\frac{z}{v}) \frac{1}{|v|}$$

And integrating gives:

$$f_Z(z) = \int f_{X,Y}(x, \frac{z}{x}) \frac{1}{|x|} dx = \int f_X(x) f_Y(\frac{z}{x}) \frac{1}{|x|} dx$$

Then plugging in the laws gives the desired result.

## 3.3 Gaussian Law

1. We have:

$$E[X] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp\left[-\frac{x^2}{2}\right] x dx = 0$$

Since the integrand is odd. Then the variance is given by:

$$E[X^2] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp\left[-\frac{x^2}{2}\right] x^2 dx = 1$$

2. The law of  $Y = m + \sigma X$  is given by:

$$f_Y(y) = f_X(\frac{y-m}{\sigma})\frac{1}{\sigma} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left[-\frac{(\frac{y-m}{\sigma})^2}{2}\right] = h_{m,\sigma^2}(y)$$

Then we have that:

$$E[Y] = m$$
 and  $Var[Y] = \sigma^2$ 

3. Let Z = X/Y and V = Y then the Jacobian determinant is given by:

$$\begin{vmatrix} \frac{1}{Y} & -\frac{X}{Y^2} \\ 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = \frac{1}{Y}$$

Then we have that:

$$f_{Z,V}(z,v) = f_{X,Y}(zv,v)|y| \Rightarrow f_Z(z) = \int f_X(zv)f_Y(v)|v|dv$$

Which when replacing with the laws gives:

$$f_Z(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{1 + z^2}$$

From symmetry the law of 1/Z is identical.

# TD 4

## 4.1 Around the notion of independence.

- 1. A and B are independent, B and C also and A and C also. However A, B, C is not independent.
- 2.  $P(A) = P(A \cap A) = P(A)P(A) = P(A)^2$  then P(A) = 0 or P(A) = 1. Similarly  $P(X = a) = P(X = a|X = b) = \delta_{ab}$ . So X must be single valued and have that value with probability 1.
- 3. We have that E[X] = E[Y] = 0. Since X, Y are independent we also have that E[Z] = E[XY] = E[X]E[Y] = 0. Hence we have that:

$$Cov[X,Z] = E[(X-E[X])(Z-E[Z])] = E[XZ] = E[X^2Y] = E[X^2]E[Y] = E[X^2] \cdot 0 = 0$$

X and Z are not independent because:

$$P(Z = 0|X = 0) = 1 \neq P(Z = 0)$$

## 4.2 Convergence in probability of random variables.

1. We have that:

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \lim_{n \to +\infty} P(|X_n - X| > \varepsilon) = 0$$

Now notice that:

$$P(|YX_n - YX| > \varepsilon) = P(|Y||X_n - X| > \varepsilon)$$

Since this must be true for all  $\varepsilon$  it is equivalent to saying that:

$$P(|X_n - X| > \varepsilon \land |Y| > \varepsilon) \le P(|X_n - X|)$$

Which by hypothesis converges to 0.

2. Fix  $\varepsilon$  then:

## 4.3 Different modes of convergence of random variables.

1.  $(X_n)$  converges to  $\delta_0$  almost surely from construction. Then we have that:

$$E[|X_n - \delta_0|] = E[|X_n|] = 0 \cdot (1 - \frac{1}{n^2}) + n^2 \cdot \frac{1}{n^2} = 1$$

Hence it does not converge to  $\delta_0$  in  $L^1$ .

2. (a) We have that:

$$E[X_n] = 0(1 - \frac{1}{n}) + 1 \cdot \frac{1}{n} = \frac{1}{n} \xrightarrow{n \to +\infty} 0$$

Hence  $X_n$  goes to 0 in  $L^1$  and therefore also in probability.

(b) We have that:

$$P(\limsup A_n) = P(\bigcap_{m} \bigcup_{n \ge m} A_n) = P(\lim_{n \to +\infty} \exists m \ge n, X_m = 1)$$