

# HW2 - Probability

Marco Biroli

September 27, 2020

## 1 Change of variables

1. From the change of variable theorem we know that:

$$f_{U,V}(u, v) = f_{X,Y}(uv, v(1-u))|J|^{-1}$$

Where:

$$J = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{y}{(x+y)^2} & -\frac{x}{(x+y)^2} \\ 1 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = \frac{1}{x+y} = v^{-1}$$

Then replacing in the definition and using the fact that  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent and hence we can split the joint law we get that:

$$\begin{aligned} f_{U,V}(u, v) &= \frac{uv^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} e^{-uv} 1_{\mathbb{R}^+}(uv) \frac{v^{k-1}(1-u)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} e^{-v(1-u)} 1_{\mathbb{R}^+}(v(1-u))v \\ &= \frac{e^{-v} \sqrt{v^2} ((1-u)uv^2)^{k-1}}{((k-1)!)^2} 1_{\mathbb{R}^+}(uv) 1_{\mathbb{R}^+}(v-uv) \end{aligned}$$

Then integrating for  $u$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  gives:

$$f(v) = \dots$$

2. ...

## 2 Order statistics

1. Let  $(\Omega_i, \mathcal{F}_i, P_i)$  be the probability space of  $X_i$  then define the product probability space as  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ . Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  also be the probability space of  $T$ . Then we define:

$$\begin{aligned} X_T : \Omega &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ \mathbf{x} &\longmapsto \mathbf{x}_T(\mathbf{x}) \end{aligned}$$

Then let  $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  then we have that:

$$\{\mathbf{x} \in \Omega : X_T(\mathbf{x}) \in B\} \subset \bigotimes_{i \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket} \{x_i \in \Omega_i : X_i(x_i) \in B\} \in \mathcal{F}$$

Where the belonging to  $\mathcal{F}$  follows from the definition of the product  $\sigma$ -algebra.

2. I think that  $(X_{(1)}, \dots, X_{(n)})$  is ill-defined since there exists no clear order relation on functions which might not even come from the same space. I assume that what was meant was that:

$$\forall \omega \in \Omega, \exists \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n, \sigma(X(\omega)) = \sigma((X_1(\omega_1), \dots, X_n(\omega_n))) = (X_{\sigma(1)}(\omega_{\sigma(1)}), \dots, X_{\sigma(n)}(\omega_{\sigma(n)})) \text{ is in increasing order.}$$

Since we have a finite list of real numbers we know from the constructions of the real numbers we can order it. Then we define the permutation  $\sigma_\omega$  as the one which sets them in the right order and in case of parity the smaller index goes first. Then we have that  $\sigma$  is a random variable defined as:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma : \Omega &\longrightarrow \mathfrak{S}_n \\ \omega &\longmapsto \sigma_\omega \end{aligned}$$

We furthermore have that  $\sigma$  is injective and therefore measurable. Hence  $\sigma$  is a well-defined random variable.

3. From the previous question we write  $(X_{(1)}, \dots, X_{(n)}) = \sigma(X)$ . Then notice that:

$$f_{\sigma(X)}(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x} = \sum_{\mu \in \mathfrak{S}_n} f_X(\mu^{-1}(\mathbf{x}))d\mathbf{x} = \sum_{\mu \in \mathfrak{S}_n} f_X(\mu(\mathbf{x}))d\mathbf{x} = \sum_{\mu \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \prod_{i=1}^n f_{X_i}(\mu(\mathbf{x})_i)d\mathbf{x}$$

Where on the last equality we used that the  $X_i$  are independent. Then since the  $X_i$  are identically distributed we have that  $\forall i, f_{X_i} = f_{X_1}$ . Now since the product commutes we have that the terms inside the sum are all equal up to a permutation of the terms, hence:

$$\sum_{\mu \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \prod_{i=1}^n f_{X_i}(\mu(\mathbf{x})_i)d\mathbf{x} = \sum_{\mu \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \left( \prod_{i=1}^n f_{X_1}(x_i)dx_i \right) = n! \left( \prod_{i=1}^n f_{X_1}(x_i)dx_i \right) = n! f_X(\mathbf{x}') 1_{\mathbf{x}' = \mu(\mathbf{x})} d\mathbf{x}'$$

Where we are free to chose any  $\mu \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  since the terms in the product commute. If we fix ourselves with the choice  $\mu = \sigma$  we get:

$$\sum_{\mu \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \prod_{i=1}^n f_{X_i}(\mu(\mathbf{x})_i)d\mathbf{x} = n! f_X(\sigma(\mathbf{x})) = n! f_X(\mathbf{x}') 1_{\mathbf{x}' = \sigma(\mathbf{x})} d\mathbf{x}$$

Call  $\mu$  the function that maps  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  to  $X_1, \dots, X_n - X_{n-1}$ . Then plugging this in the definition of the expectancy we get:

$$\begin{aligned} E[\varphi(\mu(\sigma(X)))] &= \int_{\mathbf{x} \in \Omega} \varphi(\mu(\sigma(X(\mathbf{x})))) f_{\mu(\sigma(X))}(\mu(\mathbf{x})) d\mathbf{x} = n! \int_{\mathbf{x} \in \Omega} \varphi(\mu(\sigma(X(\mathbf{x})))) f_X(\mathbf{x}') 1_{\mathbf{x}' = \sigma(\mathbf{x})} d\mathbf{x} \\ &= n! \int_{\mathbf{x} \in \sigma(\Omega)} \varphi(\mu(X(\mathbf{x}))) f_X(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = n! \mathbb{E}[\mu(\varphi(X)) 1_\sigma] \quad \text{where } 1_\sigma(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mathbf{x} = \sigma(\mathbf{x}) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

4. From the Block grouping theorem we know that if  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are independent then  $X_1, X_2 - X_1, \dots, X_n - X_{n-1}$  are independent. Hence taking  $\varphi(\mu(\mathbf{x})) = \prod_{i=1}^n g_i(\mu(\mathbf{x})_i)$  where all the  $f_i$  are measurable we get that:

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^n g_i(\mu(\sigma(X))_i) \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[ n! 1_\sigma \prod_{i=1}^n g_i(\mu(X)_i) \right] = n! \prod_{i=1}^n \int_{\mathbf{x} \in \Omega} f_{X_1}(g_i(\mu(X(\mathbf{x}))_i)) P(\mathbf{x} = \sigma(\mathbf{x})) d\mathbf{x} = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[g_i(\mu(\sigma(X))_i)]$$

Hence the  $\mu(\sigma(X))$  are independent. Notice that in the first equality we used question 3, in the second equality we used the independence of  $\mu(X)$  and in the third we simply used that  $P(\mathbf{x} = \sigma(\mathbf{x})) = \frac{1}{n!}$  and then recontract the integral into an expectancy. Then we have that  $X_{(1)} = \min_i X_i$  hence:

$$F_{X_{(1)}}(x) = 1 - \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i > x) = 1 - \prod_{i=1}^n e^{-\alpha x} = 1 - e^{-\alpha n x}$$

So  $X_{(1)}$  follows an exponential law of parameter  $n\alpha$ .

5.