

# HW2 - Probability

Marco Biroli

September 28, 2020

## 1 Change of variables

1. From the change of variable theorem we know that:

$$f_{U,V}(u, v) = f_{X,Y}(uv, v(1-u))|J|^{-1}$$

Where:

$$J = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{y}{(x+y)^2} & -\frac{x}{(x+y)^2} \\ 1 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = \left| \frac{1}{x+y} \right| = |v^{-1}|$$

Then replacing in the definition and using the fact that  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent and hence we can split the joint law we get that:

$$\begin{aligned} f_{U,V}(u, v) &= \frac{uv^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} e^{-uv} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}^+}(uv) \frac{v^{k-1}(1-u)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} e^{-v(1-u)} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}^+}(v(1-u)) |v| \\ &= \left( \frac{u^{k-1}(1-u)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \right) \left( \frac{v^{2k-2}|v|e^{-v}}{(k-1)!} \right) \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}^+}(uv) \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}^+}(v(1-u)) \end{aligned}$$

Now notice that:

$$\begin{cases} uv \geq 0 \\ v(1-u) \geq 0 \end{cases} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} u, v \geq 0 \vee u, v \leq 0 \\ v, (1-u) \geq 0 \vee v, (1-u) \leq 0 \end{cases} \Leftrightarrow u, v \geq 0$$

Hence we can rewrite the above as:

$$f_{U,V}(u, v) = \left( \frac{u^{k-1}(1-u)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}^+}(u) \right) \left( \frac{v^{2k-1}e^{-v}}{(k-1)!} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}^+}(v) \right)$$

We can already see that  $U, V$  are independent. The only thing left to compute is the normalization coefficient of at least one of the two laws. The law of  $V$  normalizes obviously to  $\Gamma(2k)$  from the definition of the  $\Gamma$  function. Hence we can rewrite the above as:

$$f_{U,V}(u, v) = \left( \frac{u^{k-1}(1-u)^{k-1}\Gamma(2k)}{(k-1)!^2} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}^+}(u) \right) \left( \frac{v^{2k-1}e^{-v}}{\Gamma(2k)} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}^+}(v) \right) = f_U(u)f_V(v)$$

2. An immediate computation gives:

$$E[X] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} tf(t)dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \frac{t^k}{(k-1)!} e^{-t} dt = \frac{\Gamma(k+1)}{\Gamma(k)} = k$$

Then  $X, Y$  are identically distributed hence  $E[Y] = E[X]$  and therefore:

$$E[V] = E[X + Y] = E[X] + E[Y] = 2k$$

Then we have that  $X = UV$  and using the fact that  $U, V$  are independent we get:

$$E[X] = E[U]E[V] \Rightarrow E[U] = \frac{1}{2}$$

## 2 Order statistics

1. Let  $(\Omega_i, \mathcal{F}_i, P_i)$  be the probability space of  $X_i$  then define the product probability space as  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  and  $X$  as  $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ . Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  also be the probability space of  $T$ . Then we define:

$$\begin{aligned} X_T : \Omega &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ \mathbf{x} &\longmapsto X(\mathbf{x})_{T(\mathbf{x})} \end{aligned}$$

Then let  $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  then we have that:

$$\{\mathbf{x} \in \Omega : X_T(\mathbf{x}) \in B\} \subset \bigotimes_{i \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket} \{x_i \in \Omega_i : X_i(x_i) \in B\} \in \mathcal{F}$$

Where the belonging to  $\mathcal{F}$  follows from the definition of the product  $\sigma$ -algebra.

2. In order to define  $(X_{(1)}, \dots, X_{(n)})$  properly we consider it as an r.v. on the space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  defined as:

$$\begin{aligned} (X_{(1)}, \dots, X_{(n)}) : \Omega &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \\ \mathbf{x} &\longmapsto \sigma_{\mathbf{x}}(X(\mathbf{x})) \end{aligned}$$

Where  $\sigma_{\mathbf{x}}$  is the permutation that put  $X(\mathbf{x})$  in increasing order. Since we have a finite list of real numbers we know from the constructions of the real numbers that such a  $\sigma_{\mathbf{x}}$ . Furthermore adding as a constraint that in case of parity the smaller index goes first then  $\sigma_{\mathbf{x}}$  is also unique for every  $\mathbf{x}$ . Then we have that  $\sigma$  is a random variable defined as:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma : \Omega &\longrightarrow \mathfrak{S}_n \\ \mathbf{x} &\longmapsto \sigma_{\mathbf{x}} \end{aligned}$$

We furthermore have that  $\sigma$  is injective and therefore measurable. Hence  $\sigma$  is a well-defined random variable.

3. From the previous question for shorthand we write  $(X_{(1)}, \dots, X_{(n)}) = \sigma(X)$  as an abuse of notation for:

$$(X_{(1)}, \dots, X_{(n)})(\mathbf{x}) = \sigma_{\mathbf{x}}(X(\mathbf{x}))$$

Then notice that:

$$f_{\sigma(X)}(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x} = \sum_{\mu \in \mathfrak{S}_n} f_X(\mu^{-1}(\mathbf{x}))d\mathbf{x} = \sum_{\mu \in \mathfrak{S}_n} f_X(\mu(\mathbf{x}))d\mathbf{x} = \sum_{\mu \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \prod_{i=1}^n f_{X_i}(\mu(\mathbf{x})_i)d\mathbf{x}$$

Where on the last equality we used that the  $X_i$  are independent. Then since the  $X_i$  are identically distributed we have that  $\forall i, f_{X_i} = f_{X_1}$ . Now since the product commutes we have that the terms inside the sum are all equal up to a permutation of the terms, hence:

$$\sum_{\mu \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \prod_{i=1}^n f_{X_i}(\mu(\mathbf{x})_i)d\mathbf{x} = \sum_{\mu \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \left( \prod_{i=1}^n f_{X_1}(x_i)dx_i \right) = n! \left( \prod_{i=1}^n f_{X_1}(x_i)dx_i \right) = n! f_X(\mathbf{x}') 1_{\mathbf{x}' = \mu(\mathbf{x})} d\mathbf{x}'$$

Where we are free to chose any  $\mu \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  since the terms in the product commute. If we fix ourselves with the choice  $\mu = \sigma$  we get:

$$\sum_{\mu \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \prod_{i=1}^n f_{X_i}(\mu(\mathbf{x})_i)d\mathbf{x} = n! f_X(\sigma(\mathbf{x}))d\mathbf{x} = n! f_X(\mathbf{x}') 1_{\mathbf{x}' = \sigma(\mathbf{x})} d\mathbf{x}'$$

Call  $\mu$  the function that maps  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  to  $X_{(1)}, \dots, X_{(n)}$ . Then plugging this in the definition of the expectancy we get:

$$\begin{aligned} E[\varphi(\mu(\sigma(X)))] &= \int_{\mathbf{x} \in \Omega} \varphi(\mu(\sigma(X(\mathbf{x})))) f_{\mu(\sigma(X))}(\mu(\mathbf{x}))d\mathbf{x} = n! \int_{\mathbf{x} \in \Omega} \varphi(\mu(\sigma(X(\mathbf{x})))) f_{\mu(X)}(\mu(\mathbf{x}')) 1_{\mu(\mathbf{x}') = \sigma(\mu(\mathbf{x}'))} d\mathbf{x} \\ &= n! \int_{\mathbf{x} \in \Omega} \varphi(\mu(X(\mathbf{x}')) f_{\mu(X)}(\mu(\mathbf{x}')) 1_{\mu(\mathbf{x}') = \sigma(\mu(\mathbf{x}'))} d\mathbf{x}' = n! \mathbb{E}[\varphi(\mu(X)) 1_{\sigma}] \text{ where } 1_{\sigma}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mathbf{x} = \sigma(\mathbf{x}) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

4. From the previous exercise and the fact that the  $X_i - X_{i-1}$  are independent we immediately get that the  $X_{(i)} - X_{(i-1)}$  are independent. Then we have that  $X_{(1)} = \min_i X_i$  hence:

$$F_{X_{(1)}}(x) = 1 - \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i > x) = 1 - \prod_{i=1}^n e^{-\alpha x} = 1 - e^{-\alpha n x}$$

So  $X_{(1)}$  follows an exponential law of parameter  $n\alpha$ . Now consider  $X_{(i+1)} - X_{(i)}$ . This can be re-written as:

$$X_{(i+1)} - X_{(i)} = \min_{i \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket, X_i > X_{(i)}} X_i - X_{(i)}$$

However notice that:

$$P(X_i = x + y | X_i > x) = \frac{P(X_i = x + y \cap X_i > x)}{P(X_i > x)} = \frac{\alpha e^{-\alpha(x+y)}}{e^{-\alpha x}} = \alpha e^{-\alpha y} = P(X_i = y)$$

Hence we get that:

$$X_{(i+1)} - X_{(i)} = \min_{i \in \llbracket 1, n-i \rrbracket} X_i \sim \text{Exp}(\alpha(n-i))$$

5. It is well known that the expectancy of an exponential random variable of parameter  $\alpha$  is given by  $\frac{1}{\alpha}$ . Hence from the previous question we have that:

$$\mathbb{E}[X_{(i+1)} - X_{(i)}] = \frac{1}{\alpha(n-i)} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}[X_{(1)}] = \frac{1}{\alpha n}$$

Denote by  $u_i = \mathbb{E}[X_{(i)}]$  then we have that:

$$u_1 = \frac{1}{\alpha n} \quad \text{and} \quad u_{i+1} = u_i + \frac{1}{\alpha(n-i)} = \sum_{\ell=0}^i \frac{1}{\alpha(n-\ell)}$$

6. Notice that:

$$f_{X_{(k)}} = f_{X_{(1)} + (X_{(2)} - X_{(1)}) + \dots + (X_{(k)} - X_{(k-1)})} = f_{X_{(1)}} \star f_{X_{(2)} - X_{(1)}} \star \dots \star f_{X_{(k)} - X_{(k-1)}}$$

Or in other words if we denote by  $(Y_j)$  independent exponential random variables of parameter  $\alpha$  we have that:

$$X_{(k)} = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} X_{(i)} - X_{(i-1)} 1_{i>1} = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{Y_i}{n-i+1}$$

7. In general we have that:

$$F_{X_{(k)}}(x) = P\left(\max_{i \in \mathcal{I}} X_i < x \wedge \min_{i \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket \setminus \mathcal{I}} X_i > x \mid |\mathcal{I}| \geq k\right) = \sum_{i=k}^n \binom{n}{i} F_{X_1}(x)^i (1 - F_{X_1}(x))^{n-i}$$

Where this comes simply from choosing which  $i$  elements will be smaller than  $x$ , and the fact that we need at least  $k$  elements to be smaller than  $x$ . Now simply taking the derivative with respect to  $x$  of the previous result we get that:

$$f_{X_{(k)}}(x) = \frac{d}{dx} \sum_{i=k}^n \binom{n}{i} F_{X_1}(x)^i (1 - F_{X_1}(x))^{n-i}$$

Now we denote:

$$s_i = \frac{d}{dx} F_{X_1}(x)^i (1 - F_{X_1}(x))^{n-i} = f_{X_1}(x) i F_{X_1}(x)^{i-1} (1 - F_{X_1}(x))^{n-i} - f_{X_1}(x) (n-i) F_{X_1}(x)^i (1 - F_{X_1}(x))^{n-i-1} = \ell_i - r_i$$

And notice that  $\ell_{i+1} = r_i \frac{i+1}{n-i}$ . Hence we get:

$$f_{X_{(k)}}(x) - \binom{n}{k} k f_{X_1}(x) F_{X_1}(x)^{k-1} (1 - F_{X_1}(x))^{n-k} = \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \binom{n}{i+1} (i+1) \ell_{i+1} - \binom{n}{i} r_i = 0$$

We therefore get:

$$f_{X_{(k)}}(x) = \binom{n}{k} k f_{X_1}(x) F_{X_1}(x)^{k-1} (1 - F_{X_1}(x))^{n-k}$$