TDs - QFT

Marco Biroli

October 7, 2020

## TD1

### 1.1 Matrix Groups

### 1.2 The relationship between SO(3) and SU(2).

### 1.3 Representations of SU(2).

- 1. An immediate computation yields the desired result
- 2. Let  $|a\rangle$  an eigenvector of  $\hat{\mathbf{J}}^2$  then:

$$\hat{\mathbf{J}}^{2} |a\rangle = a |a\rangle \Rightarrow \langle a| \,\hat{\mathbf{J}}^{2} |a\rangle = a \,\langle a|a\rangle \Rightarrow ||\hat{\mathbf{J}} |a\rangle \,||^{2} = a||\,|a\rangle \,|| \Rightarrow a > 0$$

We propose as a writing for them j(j + 1) notice that:

$$j(j+1) = x \Leftrightarrow j^2 + j - x = 0 \Rightarrow j = \frac{-j + \sqrt{j^2 + 4x}}{2}$$

Hence the writing as j(j+1) is not restrictive and covers all of  $\mathbb{R}^+$ .

3. Let  $|v\rangle$  an eigenvector of  $\hat{\mathbf{J}}^2$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{J}}_3$  with eigenvalues j(j+1) and m. Then:

$$\hat{\mathbf{J}}^2\hat{\mathbf{J}_+}|v\rangle = \hat{\mathbf{J}_+}\hat{\mathbf{J}}^2|v\rangle = j(j+1)\hat{\mathbf{J}_+}|v\rangle$$

Since the operator  $\hat{\mathbf{J}}^2$  commutes with the  $\hat{\mathbf{J}}_i$ . Then:

$$\hat{\mathbf{J}_{3}}\hat{\mathbf{J}_{+}}|v\rangle = (\hat{\mathbf{J}_{+}}\hat{\mathbf{J}_{3}} + [\hat{\mathbf{J}_{3}}, \hat{\mathbf{J}_{+}}])|v\rangle = (m\hat{\mathbf{J}_{+}} + i\hat{\mathbf{J}_{2}} + 1\hat{\mathbf{J}_{1}})|v\rangle = (m+1)\hat{\mathbf{J}_{+}}|v\rangle$$

Identically for  $\hat{\mathbf{J}}_{-}$  we obtain the same thing but with m-1 as the eigenvalue for  $\hat{\mathbf{J}}_{3}$ .

- 4. Assume that there is no such vector than the ladder operator would span an infinite family of eigenvectors of  $\hat{\mathbf{J_3}}$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{J_+}}$  and hence V would be infinite dimensional.
- 5. We have that:

$$\hat{\mathbf{J}}_{-}\hat{\mathbf{J}}_{+}^{2} = \hat{\mathbf{J}}_{1}^{2} - i[\hat{\mathbf{J}}_{1}, \hat{\mathbf{J}}_{2}] + \hat{\mathbf{J}}_{2}^{2} = \hat{\mathbf{J}}^{2} - \hat{\mathbf{J}}_{3}^{2} + \hat{\mathbf{J}}_{3}$$

Then applying this for  $|v_0\rangle$  we get:

$$\hat{\mathbf{J}}_{-}\hat{\mathbf{J}}_{+}|v_{0}\rangle = 0 = (j(j+1) - m_{0}^{2} + m_{0})|v_{0}\rangle \Rightarrow j(j+1) = m_{0}(m_{0} + 1)$$

6. An identical argument tells us that successive application of the lowering ladder operator must lead to a vanishing state. Then from definition we have that:

$$|w_0\rangle = (\hat{\mathbf{J}}_-)^k |v_0\rangle \Rightarrow m_0' = m_0 - k$$

- 7. Similarly as before we get the exact same result but with a minus sign.
- 8. We then have the system:

$$\begin{cases} j(j+1) = m_0(m_0+1) \\ j(j+1) = (m_0-k)(m_0-k-1) \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} j(j+1) = m_0(m_0+1) \\ k^2 + k = 2m_0(1+k) \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} j = \frac{k}{2} \\ \frac{k}{2} = m_0 \end{cases}$$

4 CHAPTER 1. TD1

9. We have that  $\hat{\mathbf{J}}_+$  sends  $|j,m\rangle$  to  $|j,m+1\rangle$  and similarly  $\hat{\mathbf{J}}_-$  sends  $|j,m\rangle$  to  $|j,m-1\rangle$ . Then we get that:

$$\hat{\mathbf{J}}_{+}|j,m\rangle = x|j,m+1\rangle \Rightarrow \langle j,m|\hat{\mathbf{J}}_{-}\hat{\mathbf{J}}_{+}|j,m\rangle = |x|^2 = j(j+1) - m(m+1)$$

Hence we obtain:

$$x = \sqrt{j(j+1) - m(m+1)}$$

Then we have that:

$$\hat{\mathbf{J_1}}\left|j,m\right> = \frac{\hat{\mathbf{J_+}} + \hat{\mathbf{J_-}}}{2}\left|j,m\right> = \frac{x}{2}\left(\left|j,m+1\right> + \left|j,m-1\right>\right)$$

Similarly:

$$\hat{\mathbf{J_2}}|j,m\rangle = \frac{\hat{\mathbf{J_+}} - \hat{\mathbf{J_-}}}{2i}|j,m\rangle = \frac{x}{2i}(|j,m+1\rangle - |j,m-1\rangle)$$

10. Since  $\hat{\mathbf{J}}^2$  commutes with the  $\hat{\mathbf{J}}_i$  we know that the eigenspaces of  $\hat{\mathbf{J}}^2$  are sub-representations of SU(2). We now restrict ourselves to one eigenspace, call it  $\tilde{V}_j$  corresponding to the eigenvalue j(j+1). As said previously there must be at least one eigenvector of  $\hat{\mathbf{J}}^2$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{J}}_3$  which is killed by  $\hat{\mathbf{J}}_+$  call it  $|j,j,1\rangle$ . Then from this eigenvector we can build  $|j,m,1\rangle = \hat{\mathbf{J}}_-^{j-m}|j,j,1\rangle$ . Which is an irreducible subspace of  $\tilde{V}_j$ . Then we can write  $\tilde{V}_j = V_j^1 \oplus \tilde{V}_j'$ . We can then repeat the process on  $\tilde{V}_j'$  until we spanned the whole space. Then we have:

$$V = V_0^1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_0^{n_0} \oplus V_{1/2}^1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{1/2}^{n_{1/2}} \oplus \cdots$$

11. We have that  $\vec{L} = \vec{R} \wedge \vec{P}$  where  $\vec{R}$  and  $\vec{P}$  are operators on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$  where  $[R_j, P_k] = i\delta_{jk}$ . Then we have that  $[L_a, L_b] = i\varepsilon_{abc}L_c$ . Then the space we describe is  $V: \{\psi: S^2 \to \mathbb{C}\}$  and the spherical harmonic decomposition tells us that:

$$\psi(\theta,\varphi) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{+\infty} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} a_{\ell,m} Y_{\ell}^{m}(\theta,\varphi)$$

Furthermore we have that:

$$\vec{L}^2 = Y_{\ell}^m = \ell(\ell+1)Y_{\ell}^m \text{ and } L_3Y_{\ell}^m = mY_{\ell}^m$$

Hence the subspace  $V_{\ell} = \operatorname{Span}(Y_{\ell}^{-\ell}, \cdots, Y_{\ell}^{\ell})$  is stable under rotation and  $V = V_0 \oplus V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus \cdots$ 

12. We have:

$$e^{2i\pi\hat{\mathbf{J_3}}}|j,m\rangle = e^{2i\pi m}|j,m\rangle$$

Now if j is an integer we have that  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  and hence  $e^{2i\pi \hat{\mathbf{J}_3}} = \mathrm{Id}$ . However if j is a half integer then m is also a half integer and hence  $e^{2i\pi \hat{\mathbf{J}_3}} = -\mathrm{Id}$ .

13. In QM for example we usually consider the wavefunctions of one particle with no spin we will use the space  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C})$  however now if we introduce spin we will consider  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$  or similarly if we consider two particles we need to consider  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C})$ . Then we know also that:

$$V_{j_1} \otimes V_{j_2} = V_{|j_1 - j_2|} \oplus V_{|j_1 - j_2| + 1} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{j_1 + j_2}$$

### TD2

#### 2.1 Properties of time-like vectors.

- 1. Let **A** and **B** in  $\mathcal{C}_+$ . Then  $a^0 > ||\vec{a}||$  and similarly for **B**. Hence  $\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b} \leq ||\vec{a}|| \cdot ||\vec{b}|| \leq a^0 b^0$ . Then  $\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} < 0$ .
- 2. Let  $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{C}_+$  and  $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{R}^+$  then  $(\mu \mathbf{A} + \nu \mathbf{B})^2 = \mu^2 \mathbf{A}^2 + 2\mu\nu \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} + \nu^2 \mathbf{B}^2 < 0$ . Hence  $(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}) \in \mathcal{C}_+$ .
- 3. A special Lorentz transformation is an isometry of the Minkowski space hence  $\mathcal{C}_+$  is stable under it.
- 4. We have that:

$$a^i - \beta^i a^0 = 0 \Rightarrow \beta^i = \frac{a^i}{a^0}$$

5. Suppose by induction that this is true for n the base cases being trivial. Then for n+1 note that  $\mathcal{C}_+$  is stable under addition so any case can be reduced to the base case n=2. We prove this case here:

$$\sqrt{-(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B})^2} = \sqrt{-(\mathbf{A}' + \mathbf{B}')^2} = \sqrt{d^{02}} = d^0$$

Then  $\mathbf{A_i}^2 = \vec{a_i}^2 - (a_i^0)^2$  and hence  $a_i^0 = \sqrt{-\mathbf{A_i}^2 + \vec{a_i}^2} \ge \sqrt{-\mathbf{A_i}^2}$  hence:

$$\sqrt{-(\mathbf{A}+\mathbf{B})^2} \geq \sqrt{-\mathbf{A}^2} + \sqrt{-\mathbf{B}^2}$$

### 2.2 Applications to 4-momenta

1.  $\mathbf{P} = m \frac{\mathrm{d} \mathbf{X}}{\mathrm{d} \tau} = (E, m \vec{U})$  and:

$$\mathbf{P}^2 = -E^2 + m^2 \vec{U}^2 = -m^2$$

- 2. We directly have that  $P^0 = E > 0$  and  $\mathbf{P}^2 = -m^2 < 0$ . Hence  $\mathbf{P} \in \mathcal{C}_+$ .
- 3. From question 2 of Exercise 1 we know that since  $\mathbf{P}_i$  are in  $\mathcal{C}_+$  then so is  $\mathbf{P}$ . Then from question 4 of Exercise 1 we know that there exists a boost transformation such that  $\mathbf{P} = (E^*, \vec{0})$ . Then using question 5 of Exercise 1 we also know that:

$$E^* \ge \sum_{i=1}^n m_i$$

### 2.3 Decays of particles

- 1. We must have that  $M \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i$ .
- 2. (a) The number of unknowns are 8 since they are all the components of the two momenta  $\mathbf{P}_1$  and  $\mathbf{P}_2$ . We also have the four equations given by:  $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}_1 + \mathbf{P}_2$ . Finally we have two more equations  $\mathbf{P}_1^2 = -m_1^2$  and  $\mathbf{P}_2^2 = -m_2^2$ .
  - (b) We have that:

$$\mathbf{P_1}^2 = \mathbf{P}^2 + \mathbf{P_2}^2 - 2\mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{P_2} \Leftrightarrow -m_1^2 = -M^2 - m_2^2 - 2\left(-ME_2\right) \Leftrightarrow 2ME_2 = M^2 + m_2^2 - m_1^2 = -M^2 - m_2^2 + m_$$

Then symmetry gives the desired opposite result.

6 CHAPTER 2. TD2

(c) We have:

$$E_{kin,1} = E_1 - m_1$$

Which immediately gives the desired result after factorization and identically for  $E_{kin,2}$ . Then:

$$E_{kin,1} + E_{kin,2} = \Delta M$$

In other words all excess mass is converted to kinetic energy.

3. For each new particle we get 4 more unknowns and one more equation so 3 more indeterminates. Now following the hint we write:

$$\mathbf{P} = \sum_i \mathbf{P_j} = \mathbf{P_i} + \mathbf{Q}$$

Then:

$$\mathbf{P_i}^2 = \mathbf{P}^2 + \mathbf{Q}^2 - 2\mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{Q} \Leftrightarrow -m_i^2 = -M^2 - 2ME' + \mathbf{Q}^2$$

Then we have:

$$E_i = \frac{M^2 + m_i^2 + \mathbf{Q}^2}{2m} \text{ and } E_{kin,i} = \frac{M^2 + m_i^2 - 2Mm_i + \mathbf{Q}^2}{2m}$$

Now using question 5 of Exercise 1 we can bound  $\mathbf{Q}^2$  as follows:

$$\sqrt{-\mathbf{Q}^2} \ge \sum_{j \ne i} m_j \Rightarrow \mathbf{Q}^2 \le -(M - \Delta M - m_i)^2$$

Then re-injecting this above we get the desired inequalities.

#### 2.4 Creations of particles

1.

## TD3

#### 3.1 The Laplace Equation

- 1. The solution is given by  $\frac{q\mathbf{r}}{4\pi}$ .
- 2. Rotationally invariant harmonic functions are given by:

$$\nabla^2 u = 0 \Leftrightarrow \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} \left( r^{n-1} u'(r) \right) = 0 \Leftrightarrow r^{n-1} u'(r) = c \Leftrightarrow u'(r) = c r^{1-n} \Leftrightarrow u(r) = \frac{c}{r^{n-2} (n-2)} + c'(r) = 0$$

When  $n \neq 2$  in the case where n = 2 then we get:

$$u(r) = c \ln r + c'$$

3. We have that:

$$\int_{\Omega} d\mathbf{x} [u\nabla^2 v - v\nabla^2 u] = \int_{\Omega} d\mathbf{x} \nabla \cdot [u\nabla v - v\nabla u] = \int_{\partial\Omega} d\mathbf{x} \, \mathbf{n} \cdot [u\nabla v - v\nabla u] = \int_{\partial\Omega} d\mathbf{x} \left[ u\frac{\partial v}{\partial n} - v\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \right]$$

4. We have that:

$$\begin{split} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon}}} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \, G(\mathbf{x}) \nabla^{2} \varphi(\mathbf{x}) &= \int_{\overline{\mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon}}} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \left[ G(\mathbf{x}) \nabla^{2} \varphi(\mathbf{x}) - \varphi(x) \nabla^{2} G(x) \right] \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \, (G(\mathbf{x})(-\mathbf{r}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \varphi(\mathbf{x}) - \varphi(\mathbf{x})(-\mathbf{r}) \boldsymbol{\nabla} G(\mathbf{x})) \\ &= \int_{\partial \Omega} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} - \varphi(\mathbf{x}) \frac{\partial G}{\partial r} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \to 0} \varphi(\mathbf{0}) \omega_{n} \varepsilon^{n-1} \frac{\partial G}{\partial r} \Big|_{r=\varepsilon} = \varphi(\mathbf{0}) \end{split}$$

5. We have:

$$\left\langle G \middle| \nabla^2 \varphi \right\rangle = \left\langle \delta \middle| \varphi \right\rangle = (-1)^2 \left\langle \nabla^2 G \middle| \varphi \right\rangle = \left\langle \delta \middle| \varphi \right\rangle$$

#### 3.2 The Helmholtz Equation.

1. We have that:

$$(\nabla^2 + k^2)G_{\pm}(\mathbf{x}) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \left(\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right) + k^2\right) \frac{e^{\pm ikr}}{r} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left(\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial i e^{ikr} (i + kr)}{\partial r} + k^2 \frac{e^{ikr}}{r}\right)$$
$$= -\frac{1}{4\pi} \left(-\frac{e^{ikr} k^2}{r} + k^2 \frac{e^{ikr}}{r}\right)$$

Hence for all  $r \neq 0$  where the differential is easily well defined it cancels.

- 2. Following the same steps as in part 1 questions 3 and 4 we get that  $(\nabla^2 + k^2)G_{\pm}(\mathbf{x}) = \delta(\mathbf{x})$ .
- 3. We can easily deduce that the Green function of -D is given by  $-G_{\pm}$ . Then up to taking k=im we get the desired result.

8 CHAPTER 3. TD3

#### 3.3 Fourier transforms

1. We have that:

$$DG = \delta \Leftrightarrow (1 + a_i \nabla^{\mathbf{i}} + \dots + a_{i_1, \dots, i_p} \mathbf{grad}^{\mathbf{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{i_p}})G = \delta \Leftrightarrow (1 + a_j (ip_j) + \dots + a_{j_1, \dots, j_p} (ip_{j_1, \dots, j_p})\tilde{G} = 1$$

2. We have that:

$$\left\langle p(\operatorname{pv}\frac{1}{p} + \alpha\delta(p)) \middle| \varphi \right\rangle = \varphi + \alpha \cdot \mathbf{0} \cdot \varphi(\mathbf{0}) = \varphi = \langle 1 | \varphi \rangle$$

3. Let  $\tilde{G}$  be a solution of (9) then notice that:

$$\left\langle P(\mathbf{p})(\tilde{G}(\mathbf{p}) + \alpha\delta(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p_0})) \middle| \varphi \right\rangle = \langle 1 | \varphi \rangle + \alpha \langle P(\mathbf{p})\delta(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p_0}) | \varphi \rangle = \langle 1 | \varphi \rangle$$

In terms of  $G(\mathbf{x})$  it corresponds to adding a constant.

- 4. (a) From definition we have that  $C_0 = \langle \operatorname{pv} \frac{1}{z} | f \rangle$ .
  - (b) From the residue theorem we have that  $C_{\pm} = \langle \operatorname{pv} \frac{1}{z} \mp i\pi\delta | f \rangle$ .
- 5. True because modifying the integral in a set of measure 0 changes nothing to the value of the integral.

#### 3.4 The wave equation.

1. Let  $D = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2$  then:

$$\tilde{D} = -\omega^2 - \nabla^2 = -(\nabla^2 + \omega^2)$$

Then the equation becomes:

$$\tilde{D}\tilde{G}(\omega, \mathbf{x}) = 1 \cdot \delta(\mathbf{x})$$

Hence  $-\tilde{G}(\omega, \mathbf{x})$  is a Green function of the Helmoltz operator.

2. We now know that:

$$\tilde{G}(\omega, \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{e^{\pm i\omega|\mathbf{x}|}}{|\mathbf{x}|}$$

Then doing the inverse Fourier transform we obtain:

$$G(t,x) = \frac{\delta(|\mathbf{x}| \pm t)}{4\pi |\mathbf{x}|}$$

# Representations of the Lorentz group

1. We have that:

$$J_a = \mathcal{J}_a^L + \mathcal{J}_a^R$$
 and  $N_a = -i(\mathcal{J}_a^L - \mathcal{J}_a^R)$ 

2. We have:

$$[\mathcal{J}_a^L,\mathcal{J}_b^L] = i\varepsilon_{abc}\mathcal{J}_c^L \ \ \text{and} \ \ [\mathcal{J}_a^R,\mathcal{J}_b^R] = i\varepsilon_{abc}\mathcal{J}_c^R$$

Then we have that:

$$[\mathcal{J}_a^L, \mathcal{J}_b^R] = 0$$

Hence we have that  $L_{+}^{\uparrow}$  can be seen as the product of two independent SU(2) groups.

- 3. The dimension of a  $j_R$  representation of SU(2) is  $2j_r + 1$  hence the  $(j_R, j_L)$  representation of  $L_+^{\uparrow}$  has dimension  $(2j_r + 1)(2j_L + 1)$ .
- 4. We have that:

$$i\theta^{a}J_{a} + i\nu^{a}N_{a} = i\theta_{a}(J_{a}^{L} + J_{a}^{R}) + i\nu^{a}i(J_{a}^{R} - J_{a}^{L})$$

Hence we have that:

$$\rho(\Lambda) = e^{i(\theta_a + i\nu_a)\hat{J}_a^R + i(\theta_a - i\nu_a)\hat{J}_a^L} = e^{i(\theta_a + i\nu_a)\hat{J}_a^R} e^{i(\theta_a - i\nu_a)\hat{J}_a^L} \text{ since } [\hat{J}_a^R, \hat{J}_a^L] = 0$$

Hence we have that:

$$\rho(\lambda)\left|j_R,m_R\right>\otimes\left|j_L,m_L\right>=e^{i(\theta_a+i\nu_a)\hat{J}_a^R}\left|j_R,m_R\right>\otimes e^{i(\theta_a-i\nu_a)\hat{J}_a^L}\left|j_L,m_L\right>$$

5. Notice that:

$$\rho(e^{i\nu^a N_a})^{\star} = \left(e^{\nu^a(\hat{J}_a^L - \hat{J}_a^R)}\right)^{\star} = e^{\nu^a(\hat{J}_a^L - \hat{J}_a^R)} = \rho(e^{i\nu^a N_a}) \neq \rho(e^{i\nu^a N_a})^{-1}$$

The boost are characterized by a parameter  $\phi \in ]-\infty,\infty[$  and hence  $L_+^{\uparrow}$  is not compact or similarly  $\beta=\frac{v}{c}\in ]-1,1[$  is bounded but not closed and hence not compact.

6. The subgroup of  $L_+^{\uparrow}$  containing only the rotation is the one generated by  $J_1, J_2, J_3$ . Or equivalently it is generated by  $J_a^L + J_a^R$  and therefore is spanned by the  $(j_R, j_L)$  representation. We have:

$$\rho(e^{i\theta_a J_a}) = e^{i\theta_a(\hat{J}_a^R + \hat{J}_a^L)}$$

Hence now defining  $\hat{J}_a = \hat{J}_a^R + \hat{J}_a^L$  we have the sum of two angular momenta which we know decomposes  $V_{j_R} \otimes V_{j_L}$  to  $V_{|j_R-j_L|} \oplus V_{|j_R-j_L|+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{j_R+j_L}$ .

- 7. The dimension of the  $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$  representation is 4. From the rotation point of view this can be written as  $0 \oplus 1$ . This looks a lot like the  $A^{\mu}$  representation which is given by:  $\rho(\Lambda)A = \Lambda A$ .
- 8. We have that:

$$(V_{j_R^1} \otimes V_{j_L^1}) \otimes (V_{j_R^2} \otimes V_{j_L^2}) = \left(\bigoplus_{i=|j_R^1 - j_L^1|}^{j_R^1 + j_L^1} V_i\right) \otimes \left(\bigoplus_{i=|j_R^2 - j_L^2|}^{j_R^2 + j_L^2} V_i\right) = \bigoplus_{i,j=|j_R - j_L|}^{j_R + j_L} V_i \otimes V_j$$

In the special case of  $j_R=j_L=\frac{1}{2}$  we obtain:

$$\bigoplus_{i,j=0}^{1} V_i \otimes V_j = (0,0) \oplus (0,1) \oplus (1,0) \oplus (1,1)$$

9. We have that:

$$PJ^{\gamma\sigma}$$

# The Klein-Gordon equation

5.1 Basic properties.