Probability

Marco Biroli, Alessandro Pacco

September 7, 2020

Contents

1	1 Probabilistic model		
	1.1	Definitions	
	1.2	Probability	
	1.3	Finite Spaces	
	1.4	Countable Spaces	
	1.5	Continuous Spaces	
	1.6	Random Variables	
	1.7	The law of a random variable	
	1.8	Probability measures on \mathbb{R}	
	1.9	Expectation	
2	Coir	n tossing games	
	2.1	Model	
	2.2	Graphical representation	
	2.3	Interpretation of this model	
	2.4	Distribution or law of S_n	
	2.5	Equalization or return to 0	
	2.6	The lamplighter walk	
	2.7	The reflection principle	
	2.8	The ballot theorem	
	2.9	End of the computation	
		Fundamental lemma	
	_	Last tie	

4 CONTENTS

Chapter 1

Probabilistic model

1.1 Definitions

Definition 1.1.1 (Universe). We consider a random experiment, then the set of all possible outcomes of the experiment is denoted by Ω and is called the universe.

Definition 1.1.2 (Event). An event E associated to the experiment is a set of results for which we can compute the probability.

Definition 1.1.3 (Collection). The collection of all events is denoted by \mathcal{F} . Hence $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$, the collection of all subsets of Ω .

Definition 1.1.4 (Disjoint Events). Two events $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ are disjoint or incompatible if they cannot occur simultaneously. In other words if $A \cap B = \emptyset$ (the null or impossible event).

Remark. We require that the collection \mathcal{F} of the events is an algebra of sets.

Definition 1.1.5 (Algebra of Sets). The collection \mathcal{F} is called an algebra of sets if $\mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$ (i.e. it is a non-empty collection of sets) and:

- 1. $A \in \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow A^c \in \mathcal{F}$ (stability under complement)
- 2. $A, B \in \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow A \cup B \in \mathcal{F}$ (stability under union)

Remark. For the scope of this course we further require that \mathcal{F} is stable under countable unions. In other words the second condition (2) above is replaced by (2'):

$$(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\in\mathcal{F}^{\mathbb{N}}\Rightarrow\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}A_n\in\mathcal{F}$$

Definition 1.1.6 (σ -algebra). A σ -algebra is an algebra of sets where the second condition is replaced by the stronger condition requiring stability under countable union.

1.2 Probability

Let us consider an event $E \in \mathcal{F}$. The probability P(E) of E is the theoretical value for the proportion of experiments in which E occurs. Thus the probability is a function from \mathcal{F} to [0,1] such that

- 1. $P(\Omega) = 1$.
- 2. $A, B \in \mathcal{F}, A \cap B = \emptyset \Rightarrow P(A \cup B) = P(A) + P(B)$.

In other words, P is an additive set function from \mathcal{F} to [0,1].

Remark. This definition however is not very well suited to infinite event sets. Then modern probability theory adds a condition to the above.

Modern probability is built with the stronger condition (2') instead of (2):

$$(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\in\mathcal{F}^{\mathbb{N}}$$
 s.t. $(\forall n,m\in\mathbb{N},n\neq m\Rightarrow A_n\cap A_m=\emptyset)\Rightarrow P\left(\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}A_n\right)=\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}}P(A_n)$

A priori, (2') does not collide with intuition. Moreover, this condition allows to prove much more interesting limit theorems. Conclusion:

Definition 1.2.1 (Probability Space). A probability space is a triple (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) . Where:

- Ω is a set, the universe of all possible results
- \mathcal{F} is a σ -algebra on Ω
- P is a probability function $\mathcal{F} \to [0,1]$ satisfying (1) and (2')

Remark. The mathematical framework which defines probability theory actually comes from another mathematical framework called measure theory. This is why the elements of the σ -field are sometimes called the measurable sets and the probability function is sometimes called a probability measure.

1.3 Finite Spaces

We consider the case where Ω is a finite set, we write $\Omega = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. The natural σ -algebra on Ω is $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$. It is the only σ -algebra which contains the singletons. Then let P be a probability on Ω and let us set $\forall i \in [1, n], p_i = P(\{x_i\})$. Then the numbers p_i satisfy:

$$(\forall i \in [1, n], 0 \le p_i \le 1) \land \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i = 1$$
(1.1)

Then for any $A \subset \Omega$ we have by additivity that:

$$P(A) = \sum_{x \in A} P(\{x\}) = \sum_{i: x_i \in A} p_i$$
 (1.2)

Hence P is completely determined by the numbers p_i .

Remark. Notice that conversely if we are given the numbers p_i satisfying equation (1.1) we can define a probability P on Ω by stating $P(\{x_i\}) = p_i$ and using equation (1.2). P will indeed be a probability measure.

1.4 Countable Spaces

We suppose that Ω is countable and we set $\Omega := \{x_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. The natural σ -field on Ω is again the power set of Ω , i.e. $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$. Let P be a probability on Ω and let us set:

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, p_n = P(\{x_n\}).$$

The sequence (p_n) satisfies:

$$\forall n, \ 0 \le p_n \le 1 \land \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} p_n = 1$$

If $A \in \Omega$, we have again:

$$P(A) = \sum_{x \in A} P(\{x\}) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}, x_n \in A} p_n$$

the solution is similar to the finite case.

1.5 Continuous Spaces

We consider here the more complicated situation where Ω is continuous. If we take the simplest example of $\Omega = \mathbb{R}$ then the intuitive σ -field being the power set turns out to be too complicated to be useful. We will instead consider a simpler σ -field on \mathbb{R} . We will start with closed intervals [a,b], $a < b \in \mathbb{R}$. We consider the smallest σ -field on \mathbb{R} which contains these intervals. It can be proved that it exists and is well-defined. This σ -field is called the Borel field and denoted by $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$. What does it contain: closed intervals, open intervals, "semi-open" intervals, all possible countable unions of closed intervals ecc.. It can be proved that $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}) \neq \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$.

1.6 Random Variables

A random variable is known only after the realization of the experiment, hence it is random. So a random variable X is a map from Ω to \mathbb{R} . We want to compute the probability that X belongs to some interval of \mathbb{R} , that is why we ask it to be "measurable" (to be defined below).

Definition 1.6.1 (Random Variable). Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space. A random variable X on (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) is map from Ω to \mathcal{F} which is measurable:

$$\forall B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}), X^{-1}(B) = \{\omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) \in B\} \in \mathcal{F}$$

Remark. This definition is equivalent to: for all interval I of \mathbb{R} we have that $X^{-1}(I) \in \mathcal{F}$. The point is that, for any interval, $X^{-1}(I)$ is an event, and its probability is well defined.

Notation. The event $X^{-1}(I)$ is denoted by $\{X \in I\}$ or even simply $X \in I$. Thus $P(X^{-1}(I)) = P(X \in I)$. Secondly, random variables are denoted by capital letters typically X, Y, U, V and their possible values are denoted by the corresponding lowercase letters x, y, u, v.

1.7 The law of a random variable

Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space and let X be a random variable defined on Ω .

Definition 1.7.1 (Law of a random variable). The law of X is the probability measure P_X on \mathbb{R} defined by:

$$\forall B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}), P_X(B) = P(X \in B) = P(X^{-1}(B))$$

Proof. Let us check that P_X is indeed a probability measure. We have that:

$$P_X(\mathbb{R}) = P(X \in \mathbb{R}) = 1.$$

Furthermore let $(B_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\in\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})^{\mathbb{N}}$ be a disjoint sequence of Borel sets. Then:

$$P_X\left(\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}B_n\right) = P\left(X\in\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}B_n\right) = P\left(\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\{X\in B_n\}\right) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}}P(X\in B_n) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}}P_X(B_n)$$

Let us insist on the fact that the law P_X of X is a probability measure on \mathbb{R} , and this whatever the set Ω is.

Notation. The law P_X of X is sometimes called the distribution of X. We furthermore say that two variables X, Y have the same law if $P_X = P_Y$. The object of primary interest for a random variable is its law. Indeed, we want to compute the probabilities of events associated to X, and this is done with the help of its law.

"The law is fundamental"

1.8 Probability measures on \mathbb{R}

We start with \mathbb{R} and the Borel σ -field $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$. Let f be a non-negative function $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, which is integrable and such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)dx = 1$. We define next $\forall A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$,

$$P(A) = \int_{A} f(x)dx.$$

This formula defines a probability measure on \mathbb{R} , called the probability measure with density function f. The good definition of integral is the Lebesgue integral, which we will use all along the course.

Definition 1.8.1 (Law). Let f be a non-negative function $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ which is integrable and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) dx = 1$. We define next:

$$\forall A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \quad P(A) = \int_A f(x) dx$$

This formula defines a probability measure on \mathbb{R} , called the probability measure with density function f.

Other examples: the dirac mass. Any convex combination $(\frac{1}{2}(P_1 + P_2)(A) = \frac{1}{2}(P_1(A) + P_2(A)))$ is still a probability measure. There exist probability measure that do not belong to this catalog, but this is another story.

1.9 Expectation

We say that the random variable X has an expectation or that it is integrable if:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |x| \mathrm{d}P_X(x) < +\infty$$

Then the expectation is defined as:

$$E(X) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} x dP_X(x) = \int_{\Omega} X dP = \int_{\omega \in \Omega} X(\omega) dP(\omega) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} Id_{\mathbb{R}} dP_X$$

From this formula we see that the expectation is completely dependent on the law of the random variable. "Expectations depend on the laws".

Chapter 2

Coin tossing games

2.1 Model

We take a fair coin and we consider the experiment which consists in throwing n times the coin. If -1 denotes tail and +1 denotes head, then the result of the experiment is a sequence of length n of signs -1,+1, that is an element of

$$\Omega = \{-1, +1\}^n$$
.

The σ -algebra is already defined. By symmetry, all the sequences of signs have the same probability, thus we set:

$$\forall w = (w_1, \dots, w_n) \in \Omega, P(w) = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} = \frac{1}{2^n}.$$

Let X_k be the result of the k-th throw. Then X_k is a random variable, defined by:

$$X_k: w = (w_1, \dots, w_n) \in \Omega \to X_k(w) = w_k$$

2.2 Graphical representation

To the sequence X_1, \ldots, X_n , we associate the partial sums: $S_0 = 0, S_1 = X_1, \ldots, S_n = X_1 + \ldots X_n$. The sequence S_0, \ldots, S_n contains exactly the same information as the initial sequence X_1, \ldots, X_n . We can represent the result of the experiment by a poligonal line, the line which joins successively the points

$$(0,S_0),\ldots,(n,S_n)$$

disegno, with slope $=\pm 1$. Such as polygonal line, associated to a sequence of signs will be called a path.

2.3 Interpretation of this model

There are more interpretations:

- 1. Coin tossing game. P and V play the following game: P throws a coin and V tries to guess the result. If V guesses correctly, then P gives 1 euro to V, otherwise V gives 1 euro to P. Here S_n represents the algebraic gain of P after n turns.
- 2. Random walk. A drunkard performs a random walk on \mathbb{Z} with the following mechanism:
 - at time 0 he starts at 0
 - at time 1, he tosses a coin. If the result is heads he goes to the right, if it is tail, he goes to the left. Picture
 - he reiterates this procedure from this new position.

with this interpretation, S_n represents the position of the drunkard after n steps.

2.4 Distribution or law of S_n

Proposition 2.4.1. The law of S_n is the probability distribution on $\{-n,\ldots,n\}$ given by

$$\forall k \in \{-n, \dots, n\} \ P(S_n = k) = \frac{1}{2^n} C_n^{\frac{n+k}{2}}$$

Proof. By graphical representation,

$$P(S_n = k) = \frac{1}{2^n} \cdot |\{\text{Paths from } (0,0) \text{ to } (n,k)\}|$$

let us consider a path and let us denote by α the number of ascending steps (+1) in the path, and by β the number of descending steps. We must have

$$\begin{cases} \alpha + \beta = n \\ \alpha - \beta = k \end{cases}$$

then $\alpha = \frac{n+k}{2}$. To count the number of paths, I count the number of possible choices for the ascending steps. There are $C_n^{\alpha} = (n\alpha)$. Convention: $C_n^x = 0$ if $x \notin \mathbb{Z}$ x < 0, x > n.

2.5 Equalization or return to 0

We say that there is an equalization or return to 0 at the time n if $S_n = 0$. Since n and S_n have the same parity, this occurs only at even times, and

$$P(S_{2n} = 0) = \frac{1}{2^{2n}} C_{2n}^n = \frac{1}{2^{2n}} \frac{(2n)!}{(n!)^2}.$$

We use Stirling formula:

$$n! = \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^n \sqrt{2\pi n} \left(1 + \frac{1}{12n} + \frac{1}{288n^2} + o(1/n^2)\right)$$

We get

$$P(S_{2n} = 0) \sim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi n}}$$

This gives an excellent approximation, even for small values of n. For example:

$$P(S_{10} = 0)$$
true: 0,2461, approx: 0,2523

2.6 The lamplighter walk

We consider an infinite street, equipped with laterns, one every meter, and a lamplighter, which lights the lanterns. He starts at 0, he lights the lantern, then he throws a coin to decide whether he goes left or right, and he goes on this way. The position at time n of the lamplighter is S_n . Picture. The process $(S_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is the symmetric random walk on \mathbb{Z} . What is the probability that the lamplighter comes back to the initial lantern? Notice that we prefer unions rather than existance symbols:

$$P(\exists n \in \mathbb{N}^* | S_n = 0) = P(\cup_{n > 1} \{ S_{2n} = 0 \})$$

here we are a bit stuck cause we cannot use the σ -additivity, since the events are not disjoint. Hence we "disjoint the union", in a standard procedure:

$$= P(\{S_2 = 0\} \cup (\{S_4 = 0\} \setminus \{S_2 = 0\}) \cup \ldots \cup (\{S_{2n} = 0\} \setminus (\{S_2 = 0\} \cup \ldots \cup \{S_{2n-2} = 0\})) \ldots)$$

$$= P(\bigcup_{n \ge 1} (\{S_{2n} = 0\} \setminus (\{S_2 = 0\} \cup \ldots \cup \{S_{2n-2} = 0\})))$$

$$= P(\bigcup_{n \ge 1} \{S_2 \ne 0, \ldots, S_{2n-2} \ne 0, S_{2n} = 0\})$$

These events are disjoint, so, by σ - additivity,

$$= \sum_{n>1} P(S_2 \neq 0, \dots S_{2n-2} \neq 0, S_{2n} = 0)$$

but

$$P(S_2 \neq 0, \dots, S_{2n-2} \neq 0, S_{2n} = 0) = P(S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{2n-1} > 0, S_{2n} = 0) + P(S_1 < 0, \dots, S_{2n-1} < 0, S_{2n} = 0) = 2P(S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{2n-1} < 0, S_{2n} = 0) = 2P(S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{2n-1} < 0, S_{2n} = 0) = 2P(S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{2n-1} < 0, S_{2n} = 0) = 2P(S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{2n-1} < 0, S_{2n} = 0) = 2P(S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{2n-1} < 0, S_{2n} = 0) = 2P(S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{2n-1} < 0, S_{2n} = 0) = 2P(S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{2n-1} < 0, S_{2n} = 0) = 2P(S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{2n-1} < 0, S_{2n} = 0) = 2P(S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{2n-1} < 0, S_{2n} = 0) = 2P(S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{2n-1} < 0, S_{2n} = 0) = 2P(S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{2n-1} < 0, S_{2n} = 0) = 2P(S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{2n-1} < 0, S_{2n} = 0) = 2P(S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{2n-1} < 0, S$$

Picture. We then compute

$$=\frac{2}{2^{2n}}(|\text{paths from }(1,1) \text{ to }(2n-1,1)|-|\text{paths from }(1,1) \text{ to }(2n-1,1) \text{ which touch the x-axis}|)$$

2.7 The reflection principle

(William Feller Intro to proba theory and applications) Let $A=(a,\alpha)$ and $B=(b,\beta)$ be two points with $0 < a < b, \alpha, \beta > 0$. Picture. To find the number of paths from A to B which touch the axis, we make a reflection of A with respect to the x-axis, call it $A'=(a,-\alpha)$. Hence the number of paths from A to B which touch the axis is equal to the paths from $A'=(a,-\alpha)$ to B (without constraint).

Proof. Let $s = (s_a = \alpha, s_{a+1}, \dots, s_b)$ be a path from A to B which touches the axis. Let t be the first time it touches,

$$t = \min\{i \ge a : s_i = 0\}$$

Let T = (t, 0). To the path s, we associate the path $\phi(s)$ obtained from s by taking the reflection of the position A with respect to the axis. I claim that ϕ is a one to one map from the set of paths from A to B which touch the axis onto the set of paths from A' to B. In fact, $\phi^2 = Id$, while we can infer by making again the symmetry.

2.8 The ballot theorem

Let x, n > 0. The number of paths from (0,0) to (n,x), picture, which don't touch 0 after time 0 is equal to

$$\frac{x}{n}C_n^{\frac{n+x}{2}}$$

Dmoot

Proof.

 $|\text{paths from }(0,0) \text{ to }(n,x), \text{ no touch}| = |\text{paths from }(1,1) \text{ to }(n,x), \text{ no touch}| = |(1,1) \text{ to }(n,x)| - |(1,1) \text{ to }(n,x) \text{ touch}| = |\text{paths from }(1,1) \text{ to }(n,x), \text{ no touch}| = |\text{paths from }(1,1) \text{ to }(n,x), \text{ no touch}| = |\text{paths from }(1,1) \text{ to }(n,x), \text{ no touch}| = |\text{paths from }(1,1) \text{ to }(n,x), \text{ no touch}| = |\text{paths from }(1,1) \text{ to }(n,x), \text{ no touch}| = |\text{paths from }(1,1) \text{ to }(n,x), \text{ no touch}| = |\text{paths from }(1,1) \text{ to }(n,x), \text{ no touch}| = |\text{paths from }(1,1) \text{ to }(n,x), \text{ no touch}| = |\text{paths from }(1,1) \text{ to }(n,x), \text{ no touch}| = |\text{paths from }(1,1) \text{ to }(n,x), \text{ no touch}| = |\text{paths from }(1,1) \text{ to }(n,x), \text{ no touch}| = |\text{paths from }(1,1) \text{ to }(n,x), \text{ no touch}| = |\text{paths from }(1,1) \text{ to }(n,x), \text{ no touch}| = |\text{paths from }(1,1) \text{ to }(n,x), \text{ no touch}| = |\text{paths from }(1,1) \text{ to }(n,x), \text{ no touch}| = |\text{paths from }(1,1) \text{ to }(n,x), \text{ no touch}| = |\text{paths from }(1,1) \text{ to }(n,x), \text{ no touch}| = |\text{paths from }(1,1) \text{ to }(n,x), \text{ no touch}| = |\text{paths from }(1,1) \text{ to }(n,x), \text{ no touch}| = |\text{paths from }(1,1) \text{ to }(n,x), \text{ no touch}| = |\text{paths from }(1,1) \text{ to }(n,x), \text{ no touch}| = |\text{paths from }(1,1) \text{ to }(n,x), \text{ no touch}| = |\text{paths from }(1,1) \text{ to }(n,x), \text{ no touch}| = |\text{paths from }(1,1) \text{ to }(n,x), \text{ no touch}| = |\text{paths from }(1,1) \text{ to }(n,x), \text{ no touch}| = |\text{paths from }(1,1) \text{ to }(n,x), \text{ no touch}| = |\text{paths from }(1,1) \text{ to }(n,x), \text{ no touch}| = |\text{paths from }(1,1) \text{ to }(n,x), \text{ no touch}| = |\text{paths from }(1,1) \text{ to }(n,x), \text{ no touch}| = |\text{paths from }(1,1) \text{ to }(n,x), \text{ no touch}| = |\text{paths from }(1,1) \text{ to }(n,x), \text{ no touch}| = |\text{paths from }(1,1) \text{ to }(n,x), \text{ no touch}| = |\text{paths from }(1,1) \text{ to }(n,x), \text{ no touch}| = |\text{paths from }(1,1) \text{ to }(1,1) \text{ to }(1,1), \text{ no touch}| = |\text{paths from }(1,1) \text{ to }(1,1), \text{ no touch}| = |\text{paths from }(1,1) \text{ to }(1,1), \text{ no touch}| = |\text{paths from }(1,1) \text{ to }(1,1), \text{$

for the first term we have

$$\begin{cases} \alpha + \beta = n - 1 \\ \alpha - \beta = x - 1 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \alpha = \frac{n + x}{2} - 1$$

and for the second term we have

$$\begin{cases} \alpha - \beta = n - 1 \\ \alpha - \beta = x + 1 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \alpha = \frac{n + x}{2}$$

Finally we get

$$=C_{n-1}^{\frac{n+x}{2}-1}-C_{n-1}^{\frac{n+x}{2}}=C_{n-1}^{\frac{n+x}{2}-1}-C_{n-1}^{\frac{n-x}{2}-1}=\frac{n+x}{2n}C_{n}^{\frac{n+x}{2}}-\frac{n-x}{2n}C_{n}^{\frac{n+x}{2}}=\frac{x}{n}C_{n}^{\frac{n+x}{2}}$$

where we applied the two formulas $C_n^k = C_n^{n-k}$ and $\frac{k}{n}C_n^k = C_{n-1}^{k-1}$. Application: In an election, candidate P scores p votes, reps Q scores q votes, p > q. The probability that the winning candidate is always ahead during the reading of the votes is

$$\frac{p-q}{p+q}$$

2.9 End of the computation

THanks to the Ballot thereom we then get:

$$P(S_2 \neq 0, \dots, S_{2n-2} \neq 0, S_{2n} = 0) = \frac{2}{2^{2n}} |\text{paths from (0,0) to (2n-1,1) which stay positive}| = \frac{2}{2^{2n}} \frac{1}{2n-1} C_{2n-1}^n = \frac{2}{2^{2n}} C_{2n-1}^n = \frac{2}{2^{2n}} \frac{1}{2n-1} C_{2n-1}^n = \frac{2}{2^{2n}} C_{2n-1}^$$

. where at the end we applyed the two notorious formulae stated above. Thus

$$P(S_2 \neq 0, \dots, S_{2n-2} \neq 0, S_{2n} = 0) = \frac{1}{2n-1} P(S_{2n} = 0)$$

Hence

$$P(\text{lamplighter returns to } 0) = \sum_{n>1} \frac{1}{2n-1} P(S_{2n} = 0) = \sum_{n>1} \frac{1}{2n-1} \frac{1}{2^{2n}} \frac{(2n)!}{(n!)^2}$$

Retry:

$$\frac{1}{2n-1}P(S_{2n}=0) = \frac{1-2n+2n}{2n-1}P(S_{2n}=0) = \frac{2n}{2n-1}P(S_{2n}=0) - P(S_{2n}=0)$$

but

$$\frac{2n}{2n-1}P(S_{2n}=0) = \frac{2n}{2n-1}\frac{1}{2^{2n}}C_{2n}^n = \frac{2n}{2n-1}\dots = \frac{2n}{2n-1}\frac{1}{2^{2n}}2\frac{2n-1}{n}C_{2n-2}^{n-1} = P(S_{2n-2}=0) = \sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{1}{2n-1}P(S_{2n}=0) = \sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{1}{2n$$

2.10 Fundamental lemma

we have obtained

$$P(S_2 \neq 0, \dots S_{2n-2} \neq 0, S_{2n} = 0) = P(S_{2n-2} = 0) - P(S_{2n} = 0)$$

yet

$$P(S_2 \neq 0, \dots, S_{2n-2} \neq 0, S_{2n} = 0) = P(S_2 \neq 0, \dots, S_{2n-2} \neq 0) - P(S_2 \neq 0, \dots, S_{2n} \neq 0)$$

so for $n \ge 1$

$$P(S_2 \neq 0, \dots, S_{2n-2} \neq 0) - P(S_2 \neq 0, \dots, S_{2n} \neq 0) = P(S_{2n-2} = 0) - P(S_{2n} = 0)$$

moreover $P(S_2 \neq 0) = 1/2 = P(S_2 = 0)$ Fundamental Lemma:

$$P(S_2 \neq 0, \dots, S_{2n} \neq 0) = P(S_{2n} = 0)$$

2.11 Last tie

Consider a coin tossing game of length 2n and the time of the last tie before 2n.

$$T = \max\{k \le 2n : S_k = 0\}$$

Intuition tells us that the winning player should change frequently during the game. What is the distribution of T? So we image that T should be close to 2n. But this is completely false. In fact, the law of T is symmetric with respect to n:

$$P(T < n) = P(T > n)$$

hence,

$$P(T \le n) > \frac{1}{2}$$

Proposition 2.11.1. (Arcsinums law for T)

$$\forall k \in \{0, \dots, n\} : P(T = k) = P(S_{2k} = 0)P(S_{2n-2k} = 0)$$

Example: coin tossing 1 toss each second for 1 year day and night. Proba =1/10 T occurs in 2 first days. 1/20 2,25 day. 1/100 2h 15 min.