

DM-MMC, 2020

Alessandro Pacco

February 1, 2020



# Contents

## De la forme des arbres

## Méthodes d'analyse complexe pour des problèmes d'élasticité bidimensionnelle

### 1.a)

If  $f$  is a holomorphic function then it is  $C^\infty$  on all of its domain. Then we have that

$$f'(z) = \lim_{|h| \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z+h) - f(z)}{h} = \lim_{h_1 \rightarrow 0, h_2 \rightarrow 0} \frac{f_1(z_1 + h_1, z_2 + h_2) - f_1(z_1, z_2) + i[f_2(z_1 + h_1, z_2 + h_2) - f_2(z_1, z_2)]}{h_1 + ih_2}$$

now this limit must be valid both when  $h_1 = 0$  and  $h_2 \rightarrow 0$  and when  $h_1 \rightarrow 0$  and  $h_2 = 0$ . From this it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} f'(z) &= \frac{\partial f_1(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} + i \frac{\partial f_2(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} \\ f'(z) &= -i \frac{\partial f_1(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_2} + \frac{\partial f_2(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_2} \end{aligned}$$

from which the Cauchy conditions follow, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial z_1} &= \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial z_2} \\ \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial z_2} &= -\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial z_1} \end{aligned}$$

### 1.b)

Since  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are  $C^2$ , then we can exchange the order of derivation, thus getting

$$\Delta f_1 = \partial_{z_1}^2 f_1 + \partial_{z_2}^2 f_1 = \partial_{z_1 z_2}^2 f_2 + \partial_{z_2 z_1}^2 (-f_2) = 0$$

similarly for  $f_2$  we get that

$$\Delta f_2 = \partial_{z_1}^2 f_2 + \partial_{z_2}^2 f_2 = \partial_{z_1 z_2}^2 (-f_1) + \partial_{z_2 z_1}^2 f_1 = 0$$

### 2)

At equilibrium we have that

$$\phi + \nabla \cdot (\sigma) = 0$$

where

$$\nabla \cdot (\sigma) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \sigma_{xx}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \sigma_{xy}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \sigma_{xz}}{\partial z} \\ \frac{\partial \sigma_{yx}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \sigma_{yy}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \sigma_{yz}}{\partial z} \\ \frac{\partial \sigma_{zx}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \sigma_{zy}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \sigma_{zz}}{\partial z} \end{pmatrix}$$

Componentwise and using Einstein's notation we can therefore write:  $\phi_i + \partial_j(\sigma_{ij}) = 0$ . Still with Einstein's notation we have that Hooke's law says that

$$\sigma_{ij} = 2\mu\epsilon_{ij} + \lambda\epsilon_{kk}\delta_{ij}$$

Now it follows that

$$\partial_j \sigma_{ij} = 2\mu \partial_j \epsilon_{ij} + \lambda \partial_i \epsilon_{kk}$$

and using the fact that  $\epsilon_{kk} = \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u})$  and  $\partial_j \epsilon_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_j (\partial_j u_i + \partial_i u_j) = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{jj} u_i + \frac{1}{2} \partial_j \partial_i u_j = \frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 u_i + \frac{1}{2} \partial_j \partial_i u_j$  we get that  $\partial_j \sigma_{ij} = 2\mu [\frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 u_i + \frac{1}{2} \partial_j \partial_i u_j] + \lambda \partial_i \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u})$ . Finally it follows that

$$\phi_i + \mu \nabla^2 u_i + \mu \partial_j \partial_i u_j + \lambda \partial_i \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u}) = 0 \Rightarrow \phi + \mu \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} + (\mu + \lambda) \nabla (\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u})) = 0$$

**3)**

We have that  $\mathbf{u} = (0, 0, \omega)$ , from which, using the equation found previously, it follows that

$$\mu \nabla^2 (\omega) = 0 \Rightarrow \partial_x^2 \omega + \partial_y^2 \omega = 0$$

where we used the fact that in this case  $\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u}) = 0$ . So  $\omega$  is harmonic

**4)**

We have that

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial y} \\ \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial y} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$