Document Number: D1632R0
Date: 2019-04-24
Reply to: Dan Sunderland

Sandia National Laboratories

dsunder@sandia.gov

Authors: Dan Sunderland dsunder@sandia.gov

Billy O'Neal bion@microsoft.com
Michał Dominiak griwes@griwes.info
Nevin Liber nliber@anl.gov

Improving atomic_ref for Non Lock-free Types

The latex for this proposal is available at:

git clone https://github.com/ORNL/cpp-proposals-pub.git
cd cpp-proposals-pub
git checkout alt-atomic-ref
cd P1632

Sandia National Laboratories is a multimission laboratory managed and operated by National Technology & Engineering Solutions of Sandia, LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of Honeywell International Inc., for the U.S. Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration under contract DE-NA0003525.

Motivation

Many implementations do not support the current specification of atomic_ref for non lock-free types. Also, using an atomic_ref of an insufficiently aligned object of a lock-free type can fail silently, leading to subtle and difficult to debug errors.

There are proposals [citation needed] to remove non lock-free atomic_ref from freestanding. However, since implementations are not required to support lock-free atomic operations, these proposals remove the ability of using atomic_ref in portable code.

The following proposal extends the atomic_ref specification to allow more implementations to fully support atomic_ref on objects which are not lock-free. This proposal preserves the existing behavior of atomic_ref in implementations which can support the current specification while enabling additional implementations.

Proposed Wording

[Editor's note: Make the following changes in [atomics.ref.generic].]

```
namespace std {
  struct atomic_ref_assume_lock_free_t {
    explicit constexpr atomic_ref_assume_lock_free_t() noexcept = default;
 };
  inline constexpr atomic_ref_assume_lock_free_t atomic_ref_assume_lock_free{};
  struct atomic_ref_prefer_user_lock_t {
    explicit constexpr atomic_ref_prefer_user_lock_t() noexcept = default;
  };
  inline constexpr atomic_ref_prefer_user_lock_t atomic_ref_prefer_user_lock{};
namespace std {
  template<class T, class LockT=unspecified> struct atomic_ref {
    T* ptr; // exposition only
    LockT* ulock; // exposition only
  public:
    using lock_type = LockT;
    using value_type = T;
    static constexpr bool is_always_lock_free = implementation-defined;
    static constexpr size_t required_lock_free_alignment = implementation-defined;
    static constexpr bool can_be_lock_free = required_lock_free_alignment > Ou;
    static constexpr bool never_requires_user_lock = implementation-defined;
    static bool is_lock_free(const T&) noexcept;
    static bool requires_user_lock(const T&) noexcept;
    atomic_ref& operator=(const atomic_ref&) = delete;
    explicit atomic_ref(T&) noexcept;
    atomic_ref(T&, atomic_ref_assume_lock_free_t) noexcept;
    atomic_ref(T&, lock_type&) noexcept;
    atomic_ref(T&, lock_type&, atomic_ref_prefer_user_lock_t) noexcept;
    atomic_ref(const atomic_ref&) noexcept;
    T operator=(T) const noexcept;
    operator T() const noexcept;
    bool is_lock_free() const noexcept;
    void store(T, memory_order = memory_order_seq_cst) const noexcept;
    T load(memory_order = memory_order_seq_cst) const noexcept;
    T exchange(T, memory_order = memory_order_seq_cst) const noexcept;
```

```
bool compare_exchange_weak(T&, T,
                               memory_order, memory_order) const noexcept;
    bool compare_exchange_strong(T&, T,
                                 memory_order, memory_order) const noexcept;
    bool compare_exchange_weak(T&, T,
                               memory_order = memory_order_seq_cst) const noexcept;
    bool compare_exchange_strong(T&, T,
                                 memory_order = memory_order_seq_cst) const noexcept;
 };
  template<class T>
  atomic_ref(T&) -> atomic_ref<T>;
  template<class T>
  atomic_ref(T&, atomic_ref_assume_lock_free_t) ->
    atomic_ref<T, atomic_ref_assume_lock_free_t>;
  template < class T, class Lock>
  atomic_ref(T&, Lock&) -> atomic_ref<T, Lock>;
  template<class T, class Lock>
  atomic_ref(T&, Lock&, atomic_ref_prefer_user_lock_t) ->
    atomic_ref<T, Lock>;
}
```

[Editor's note: Make the following changes in [atomics.ref.operations].]

- ¹ The type lock type can either:
- (1.1) be equivalent to atomic_ref_assume_lock_free_t, or
- (1.2) the type lock type meets the *Cpp17Lockable* requirements.

Dianostics required if lock_type is equivalent to atomic_ref_assume_lock_free_t and the implementation does not provide lock-free atomic operations for objects of type T aligned to required_lock_free_alignment.

- ² atomic_ref instances referencing the same value of ptr and ulock are called *equivalent*. Concurrent access to the same value through equivalent atomic_ref instances does not create a data race (??). [Note: Concurrent access to the value directly, or through a non-equivalent atomic_ref instance, can introduce a data race. end note]
- For all atomic_ref member functions excluding static methods, constructors, the destructor, and is_lock_free() the following conditional is true:
- (3.1) If ulock points to a valid lock_type object which meets the *Cpp17Lockable* requirements then the implementation will use ulock to atomically perform these methods.
- (3.2) If ulock is equivalent to nullptr and requires_user_lock(obj) is false then the implementation ensures that these methods happen atomically.
- (3.3) Otherwise, the use of any of these methods can introduce a data race.

```
static constexpr bool is_always_lock_free;
```

The static data member is_always_lock_free is true if the atomic_ref type's operations are always lock-free, and false otherwise.

```
static constexpr size_t required_lock_free_alignment;
```

- The alignment required for an object to be referenced <u>lock-free</u> by an atomic reference, which is at least alignof(T). If the implementation does not support lock-free operations on objects of type T then required_lock_free_alignment is 0.
- [Note: Hardware could require an object referenced by an atomic_ref to have stricter alignment (??) than other objects of type T. Furthermore, whether operations on an atomic_ref are lock-

free could depend on the alignment of the referenced object. For example, lock-free operations on std::complex<double> could be supported only if aligned to 2*alignof(double). — end note

```
static constexpr bool never_requires_user_lock;
7
         Is true if an implementation never requires the user to provide a lock for objects of type T and false
         otherwise.
   static is_lock_free(T& obj) noexcept;
         Returns: Returns true if atomic operations on the object referenced by obj can be lock-free or if the
         lock type type is equivalent to atomic ref assume lock free t.
   static requires_user_lock(T& obj) noexcept;
         Returns: Returns false if lock_type is equivalent to atomic_ref_assume_lock_free_t or does
         not require the user to provide a valid reference to a lock_type object. Otherwise, returns true if
         atomic_ref requires the user to provide a valid reference to a lock_type object when constructing an
         atomic_ref from obj.
   explicit atomic_ref(T& obj) noexcept;
8
         Requires: The referenced object shall be aligned to required lock free alignment.
9
         Effects: Constructs an atomic reference that references the object.
         If requires_user_lock(obj) is true calls std::terminate(). Otherwise, equivalent to:
             ptr = std::addressof(obj);
             ulock = nullptr;
10
         Throws: Nothing.
   atomic_ref(T& obj, atomic_ref_assume_lock_free_t);
11
         Expects: is_lock_free(obj) is true.
12
         Effects: Equivalent to:
             ptr = std::addressof(obj);
             ulock = nullptr;
13
         Throws: Nothing.
   atomic_ref(T& obj, lock_type& lk);
14
         Effects: Equivalent to:
             ptr = std::addressof(obj);
             ulock = requires_user_lock(obj) ? std::addressof(lk) : nullptr;
15
         Throws: Nothing.
   atomic_ref(T& obj, lock_type& lk, atomic_ref_prefer_user_lock_t);
16
         Effects: Equivalent to:
             ptr = std::addressof(obi):
             ulock = std::addressof(lk);
17
         Throws: Nothing.
   atomic_ref(const atomic_ref& ref) noexcept;
18
         Effects: Constructs an atomic reference that references the object referenced by ref. Equivalent to:
             ptr = ref.obj;
             ulock = ref.ulock;
   void store(T desired, memory order order = memory order_seq_cst) const noexcept;
19
         Requires: Expects: The order argument shall not be memory_order_consume, memory_order_acquire,
         nor memory_order_acq_rel.
20
         Effects: Atomically replaces the value referenced by *ptr with the value of desired. Memory is
```

affected according to the value of order.

```
T load(memory_order order = memory_order_seq_cst) const noexcept;
```

21 <u>Requires: Expects:</u> The order argument shall not be memory_order_release nor memory_order_-acq_rel.

Effects: Memory is affected according to the value of order.

22 Returns: Atomically returns the value referenced by *ptr.

```
T exchange(T desired, memory_order order = memory_order_seq_cst) const noexcept;
```

- Effects: Atomically replaces the value referenced by *ptr with desired. Memory is affected according to the value of order. This operation is an atomic read-modify-write operation (??).
- 24 Returns: Atomically returns the value referenced by *ptr immediately before the effects.

- 25 <u>Requires: Expects:</u> The failure argument shall not be memory_order_release nor memory_order_-acq_rel.
- Effects: When only one memory_order argument is supplied, the value of success is order, and the value of failure is order except that a value of memory_order_acq_rel shall be replaced by the value memory_order_acquire and a value of memory_order_release shall be replaced by the value memory_order_released.

Equivalent to atomically performing the following:

```
alignas(std::max(sizeof(T), required_lock_free_alignment)) std::byte old[sizeof(T)];
memcpy(old, ptr, sizeof(T));
bool result = 0 == memcmp(std::addressof(expected), old, sizeof(T));
if (result) memcpy(ptr, std::addressof(desired), sizeof(T));
else memcpy(std::addressof(expected), old, sizeof(T));
return result;
```

If return value of the operation is true, memory is affected according to the value of success and this operation is an atomic read-modify-write operation (??) on the value referenced by *ptr. Otherwise memory is affected according to the value of failure and this operation is an atomic load operation on *ptr.

Retrieves the value in expected. It then atomically compares the value representation of the value referenced by *ptr for equality with that previously retrieved from expected, and if true, replaces the value referenced by *ptr with that in desired. When only one memory_order argument is supplied, the value of success is order, and the value of failure is order except that a value of memory_order_-acq_rel shall be replaced by the value memory_order_acquire and a value of memory_order_release shall be replaced by the value memory_order_relaxed. If and only if the comparison is false then, after the atomic operation, the value in expected is replaced by the value read from the value referenced by *ptr during the atomic comparison. If the operation returns true, these operations are atomic read-modify-write operations (??) on the value referenced by *ptr. Otherwise, these operations are atomic load operations on that memory.

- 28 Returns: The result of the comparison.
- Remarks: A weak compare-and-exchange operation may fail spuriously. That is, even when the contents of memory referred to by expected and ptr are equal, it may return false and store back to expected the same memory contents that were originally there. [Note: This spurious failure enables implementation of compare-and-exchange on a broader class of machines, e.g., load-locked store-conditional machines. A consequence of spurious failure is that nearly all uses of weak compare-and-exchange will be in a loop. When a compare-and-exchange is in a loop, the weak version will yield

better performance on some platforms. When a weak compare-and-exchange would require a loop and a strong one would not, the strong one is preferable. $-end\ note$

[Editor's note: Make the following changes in [atomics.ref.int].]

```
namespace std {
  template<class LockT> struct atomic_ref<integral, LockT> {
 private:
    integral* ptr; // exposition only
    LockT* ulock; // exposition only
 public:
    using lock_type = LockT;
    using value_type = integral;
    using difference_type = value_type;
    static constexpr bool is_always_lock_free = implementation-defined;
    static constexpr size_t required_lock_free_alignment = implementation-defined;
    static constexpr bool can_be_lock_free = required_lock_free_alignment > Ou;
    static constexpr bool never_requires_user_lock = implementation-defined;
    static bool is_lock_free(const T&) noexcept;
    static bool requires_user_lock(const T&) noexcept;
    atomic_ref& operator=(const atomic_ref&) = delete;
    explicit atomic_ref(T&) noexcept;
    atomic_ref(integral&, atomic_ref_assume_lock_free_t) noexcept;
    atomic_ref(integral&, lock_type&) noexcept;
    atomic_ref(integral&, lock_type&, atomic_ref_prefer_user_lock_t) noexcept;
    atomic_ref(const atomic_ref&) noexcept;
    integral operator=(integral) const noexcept;
    operator integral() const noexcept;
    bool is_lock_free() const noexcept;
    void store(integral, memory_order = memory_order_seq_cst) const noexcept;
    integral load(memory_order = memory_order_seq_cst) const noexcept;
    integral exchange(integral,
                      memory_order = memory_order_seq_cst) const noexcept;
    bool compare_exchange_weak(integral&, integral,
                               memory_order, memory_order) const noexcept;
    bool compare_exchange_strong(integral&, integral,
                                 memory_order, memory_order) const noexcept;
    bool compare_exchange_weak(integral&, integral,
                               memory_order = memory_order_seq_cst) const noexcept;
    bool compare_exchange_strong(integral &, integral ,
                                 memory_order = memory_order_seq_cst) const noexcept;
    integral fetch_add(integral,
                       memory_order = memory_order_seq_cst) const noexcept;
    integral fetch_sub(integral,
                       memory_order = memory_order_seq_cst) const noexcept;
    integral fetch_and(integral,
                       memory_order = memory_order_seq_cst) const noexcept;
    integral fetch_or(integral,
                      memory_order = memory_order_seq_cst) const noexcept;
    integral fetch_xor(integral,
                       memory_order = memory_order_seq_cst) const noexcept;
```

© ISO/IEC D1632R0

```
integral operator++(int) const noexcept;
      integral operator--(int) const noexcept;
      integral operator++() const noexcept;
      integral operator--() const noexcept;
      integral operator+=(integral) const noexcept;
      integral operator==(integral) const noexcept;
      integral operator&=(integral) const noexcept;
      integral operator|=(integral) const noexcept;
      integral operator^=(integral) const noexcept;
 }
[Editor's note: Make the following changes in [atomics.ref.float].]
 namespace std {
    template<class LockT> struct atomic_ref<floating-point, LockT> {
   private:
      floating-point* ptr; // exposition only
      LockT* ulock; // exposition only
    public:
     using lock_type = LockT;
     using value_type = floating-point;
     using difference_type = value_type;
     static constexpr bool is_always_lock_free = implementation-defined;
     static constexpr size_t required_lock_free_alignment = implementation-defined;
     static constexpr bool can_be_lock_free = required_lock_free_alignment > Ou;
     static constexpr bool never_requires_user_lock = implementation-defined;
     static bool is_lock_free(const T&) noexcept;
     static bool requires_user_lock(const T&) noexcept;
     atomic_ref& operator=(const atomic_ref&) = delete;
     explicit atomic_ref(T&) noexcept;
     atomic_ref(floating-point&, atomic_ref_assume_lock_free_t) noexcept;
     atomic_ref(floating-point&, lock_type&) noexcept;
     atomic_ref(floating-point&, lock_type&, atomic_ref_prefer_user_lock_t) noexcept;
     atomic_ref(const atomic_ref&) noexcept;
     floating-point operator=(floating-point) noexcept;
     operator floating-point() const noexcept;
     bool is_lock_free() const noexcept;
     void store(floating-point, memory_order = memory_order_seq_cst) const noexcept;
     floating-point load(memory_order = memory_order_seq_cst) const noexcept;
     floating-point exchange(floating-point,
                              memory_order = memory_order_seq_cst) const noexcept;
     bool compare_exchange_weak(floating-point&, floating-point,
                                 memory_order, memory_order) const noexcept;
     bool compare_exchange_strong(floating-point&, floating-point,
                                   memory_order, memory_order) const noexcept;
     bool compare_exchange_weak(floating-point&, floating-point,
                                 memory_order = memory_order_seq_cst) const noexcept;
     bool compare_exchange_strong(floating-point&, floating-point,
                                   memory_order = memory_order_seq_cst) const noexcept;
     floating-point fetch_add(floating-point,
                               memory_order = memory_order_seq_cst) const noexcept;
     floating-point fetch_sub(floating-point,
                               memory_order = memory_order_seq_cst) const noexcept;
```

```
floating-point operator+=(floating-point) const noexcept;
      floating-point operator==(floating-point) const noexcept;
   };
 }
[Editor's note: Make the following changes in [atomics.ref.pointer].]
 namespace std {
    template<class T,class LockT> struct atomic_ref<T*, LockT> {
   private:
      T** ptr; // exposition only
      LockT* ulock; // exposition only
   public:
      using lock_type = LockT;
      using value_type = T*;
      using difference_type = ptrdiff_t;
      static constexpr bool is_always_lock_free = implementation-defined;
      static constexpr size_t required_lock_free_alignment = implementation-defined;
      static constexpr bool can_be_lock_free = required_lock_free_alignment > Ou;
      static constexpr bool never_requires_user_lock = implementation-defined;
      static bool is_lock_free(const T&) noexcept;
      static bool requires_user_lock(const T&) noexcept;
      atomic_ref& operator=(const atomic_ref&) = delete;
      explicit atomic_ref(T&) noexcept;
      atomic_ref(T*, atomic_ref_assume_lock_free_t) noexcept;
      atomic_ref(T*, lock_type&) noexcept;
      atomic_ref(T*, lock_type&, atomic_ref_prefer_user_lock_t) noexcept;
      atomic_ref(const atomic_ref&) noexcept;
      T* operator=(T*) const noexcept;
      operator T*() const noexcept;
      bool is_lock_free() const noexcept;
      void store(T*, memory_order = memory_order_seq_cst) const noexcept;
      T* load(memory_order = memory_order_seq_cst) const noexcept;
      T* exchange(T*, memory_order = memory_order_seq_cst) const noexcept;
      bool compare_exchange_weak(T*&, T*,
                                 memory_order, memory_order) const noexcept;
      bool compare_exchange_strong(T*&, T*,
                                   memory_order, memory_order) const noexcept;
      bool compare_exchange_weak(T*&, T*,
                                 memory_order = memory_order_seq_cst) const noexcept;
      bool compare_exchange_strong(T*&, T*,
                                   memory_order = memory_order_seq_cst) const noexcept;
      T* fetch_add(difference_type, memory_order = memory_order_seq_cst) const noexcept;
      T* fetch_sub(difference_type, memory_order = memory_order_seq_cst) const noexcept;
      T* operator++(int) const noexcept;
      T* operator--(int) const noexcept;
      T* operator++() const noexcept;
      T* operator--() const noexcept;
      T* operator+=(difference_type) const noexcept;
      T* operator==(difference_type) const noexcept;
   };
 }
```