

INSA LYON
UNIVERSITÄT PASSAU

MASTER THESIS

Image Annotation Network

Author:
Mael OGIER

Supervisors:
Dr. David COQUIL
Dr. Elöd EGYED-ZSIGMOND

*This thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements
for the degree of Master of Science*

in the

Informatique - Information und Kommunikation (IFIK)
Double Master Program

August 2015

"I have a dream for the Web [in which computers] become capable of analyzing all the data on the Web—the content, links, and transactions between people and computers. A "Semantic Web", which should make this possible, has yet to emerge, but when it does, the day-to-day mechanisms of trade, bureaucracy and our daily lives will be handled by machines talking to machines."

Sir Tim Berners-Lee

Acknowledgements

This master thesis was done in the context of the double master degree Informatique - Information und Kommunikation (IFIK), which brings together two Master programs : a degree in computer engineering at the National Institute of Applied Sciences in Lyon (INSA Lyon) and a Master in Informatik (Schwerpunkt : Information und Kommunikationssysteme) at the University of Passau.

Add some thanks here : Pr. Kosh, Brunie, Dr. Coquil, Egyed

I would also like to thanks the Dropkick Murphys for their energizing music and the local producers of coffee worldwide for this magical beverage.

INSA LYON
UNIVERSITÄT PASSAU

Abstract

IFIK
Double Master Program

Master of Science

Image Annotation Network

by Mael OGIER

The Thesis Abstract is written here (and usually kept to just this page). The page is kept centered vertically so can expand into the blank space above the title too. . .

Contents

Acknowledgements	ii
Abstract	iii
Contents	iv
List of Figures	vii
List of Tables	viii
Abbreviations	ix
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Motivation	2
1.3 Thesis Objectives	3
1.4 Thesis Outline	3
I State of the Art	5
2 Semantic Web Resources	6
2.1 Generalities	6
2.2 DBpedia	8
2.3 Geonames	9
2.4 WordNet	11
2.5 ImageNet	12
3 Similarity Measures	13
3.1 Edge-based	13
3.1.1 Shortest Path	13
3.1.2 Wu-Palmer	13
3.1.3 Evolved Wu-Palmer	13
3.2 Node-based	13
3.2.1 Resnik	13
3.2.2 Lin	13
3.2.3 Jiang and Conrath	13

3.3	Statistical similarity	13
4	Disambiguation	14
4.1	How does it work	14
4.2	Practical example : DBpedia Spotlight	14
5	Existing services	15
5.1	Web service 1	15
5.2	Web service 2	15
5.3	Annotation via stats	16
6	Conclusion	17
6.1	Section 1	17
II	Contribution	18
7	Proposed Methodology	19
7.1	Section 1	19
8	Proposed Architecture	20
8.1	Technology Choices	20
8.1.1	Java	20
8.1.2	Neo4j	20
8.1.3	NLP	21
8.1.4	DBpedia Spotlight	21
8.1.5	JAWS	21
8.1.6	JENA	21
8.1.7	JSoup	22
8.2	Graph Structure	22
8.2.1	Pro-Cons	22
8.2.2	Vertexes	22
8.2.3	Edges	23
9	Experiments	24
9.1	Dataset	24
9.2	Code Explanation	24
9.3	Results and Analysis	25
9.3.1	Evaluation methodology	25
9.3.2	Graph-based experiments	25
9.3.2.1	Direct Neighbors	25
9.3.2.2	Lists - WL	25
9.3.2.3	Lists - SL	25
9.3.3	Plain-text experiments	25
9.3.3.1	WikiLinks	25
9.3.3.2	WikiContent	25
10	Conclusion	26
10.1	Section 1	26

A Appendix Title Here	27
------------------------------	-----------

Bibliography	28
---------------------	-----------

List of Figures

List of Tables

Abbreviations

LCS	Lowest Common Subsumer
RDF	Resource Description Framework
SPARQL	SPARQL Protocol and RDF Query Language
URI	Universal Resource Identifier
XML	eXtensible Markup Language

Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 Background

Image is a popular medium nowadays : it is easy to capture, can be really light on your computer and speaks to everyone without distinction of language.

In the all days life, people share their pictures on social networks in less than a blink of eye. In average, 70M of pictures are posted on Instagram each day and the users hit the “Like” button 2.5B times¹. Other services like Picasa or Flickr exists but aren’t as used as Instagram which is the favorite in the eyes of the teen public.

Companies also produce a lot of media data. Industry companies need their products’ pictures, marketing and advertising studios use a lot of images in order to create new stuff for their client, . . . But the most consumer of media data are obviously mass media themselves : Newspapers, TV shows, news broadcasts are dealing with pictures at every moment of their day.

This huge production and consumption of images implies the need of an efficient way to store and search for the relevant one when the time comes. The best illustration to this need is to think of the nice but long moments one had with its relatives searching for the good picture of the new-born nephew in the family pictures album.

Since an image itself doesn’t have a natural plain-text representation the best way to

¹Stats from : <https://instagram.com/press/>

describe it is to add meta-data (data about the data) such as its date of creation, its dimensions or, and this is what this thesis is about, some tags.

There are a lot of ways if one wants to annotate pictures. We can do it manually, using our own words (like “Dad”, “Home” . . .), we can also analyze the raw picture, its pixel representation and compare some metrics (like the color histogram) to sample images in order to detect known concepts. Moreover, if the image already possesses annotations, we can enrich it semantically.

This field is so wide that it is impossible to speak about all the possibilities and technologies. In this study, we will focus on the last point and investigate the automation of the semantic enrichment. We will study the resources at our disposal and propose a solution keeping in mind the facts cited previously.

In the following section, we will present and discuss an application scenario to illustrate the motivation behind this thesis.

1.2 Motivation

NewsTV is a famous TV news channel which runs 24/7 and only speaks about the current news. It has lot of reporters worldwide, covering the important local news and sending their production to the main site in Paris, France.

The employees often need to consult older coverages in order to explain the context of the news, to make the necrology of a famous actor who recently died or to re-use common shots. Therefor, they need to query the central multimedia database management system using keywords they are familiar with like “Elections, France, 2007, José Bové”. But sometimes, their research aren’t so specific and they are looking for more generic pictures, let say “Land, Tree, Animal”.

The first kind of keywords had been tagged by the former reporter who produced the coverage but he logically didn't think to add generic terms. NewsTV needs something to do it automatically when a picture, or any media, is first added to its system which a couple of initial tags.

Details about which kind of technology can be used to achieve this automatic tagging will come in the following sections. To summarize, the goal of this thesis is to propose a running prototype and evaluate different methods of tagging. The questions that we will try to answer during this study are described in the following section.

1.3 Thesis Objectives

Sed ullamcorper quam eu nisl interdum at interdum enim egestas. Aliquam placerat justo sed lectus lobortis ut porta nisl porttitor. Vestibulum mi dolor, lacinia molestie gravida at, tempus vitae ligula. Donec eget quam sapien, in viverra eros. Donec pel-lentesque justo a massa fringilla non vestibulum metus vestibulum. Vestibulum in orci quis felis tempor lacinia. Vivamus ornare ultrices facilisis. Ut hendrerit volutpat vulputate. Morbi condimentum venenatis augue, id porta ipsum vulputate in. Cur-abitur luctus tempus justo. Vestibulum risus lectus, adipiscing nec condimentum quis, condimentum nec nisl. Aliquam dictum sagittis velit sed iaculis. Morbi tristique augue sit amet nulla pulvinar id facilisis ligula mollis. Nam elit libero, tincidunt ut aliquam at, molestie in quam. Aenean rhoncus vehicula hendrerit.

1.4 Thesis Outline

The remainder of this thesis will be organized as follows :

Chapter 2 - Semantic Web Resources: presents different semantic web resources, their structures and how to browse them and how are they used in the literature.

Chapter 4 - Disambiguation: reviews the literature and assesses the most relevant ways to disambiguate a list of keywords which may be organize into sentences or not.

Chapter 3 - Measures: provides a solid background on semantic similarity and distance measures. We explore different metrics illustrating their pro/cons with examples.

Chapter 5 - Existing Services: describes existing image annotation services as well as their use-cases.

Chapter 6 - State of the Art Conclusion: summarizes the findings of the previous state of the art and opens the way to the presented contribution.

Chapter 7 - Proposed Methodology : presents the chosen methodology as well as some organizational points.

Chapter 8 - Proposed Architecture : details the technological choices by comparing them to their competitors and the chosen DBMS schema. Illustration figures will be presented.

Chapter 9 - Proposed Architecture : presents the chosen dataset, details some of the main algorithms and reviews the tests' results with the use of different evaluation methods.

Chapter 10 - Contribution Conclusion : summarize the findings of the presented research problem.

Part I

State of the Art

Chapter 2

Semantic Web Resources

Our study is focus on semantic enrichment of an initial set of keywords which can be organized as sentences or not. It is important to first understand what is a semantic concept and how concepts are organized into ontologies.

In this section, we will present some general notions about semantic concepts and review several semantic resources, their hierarchical structures and how we access them.

2.1 Generalities

Linguistic semantics is the study of meaning that is used for understanding human expression through language. It is easy for two human-being to communicate (given that they speak the same language) and to understand what their partner say even if he's using a tricky turn of phrase. However, this task becomes way more difficult when it comes to the comprehension of the human language by a machine. How can the computer guess that "I am totally dead" means in fact "I am really tired" and that the speaker isn't actually dead ? Machines need structured resources to understand us and the Semantic Web is one of them.

The notion of "Semantic Web" has been mentioned for the first time by Berners-Lee et al in [1]. In this paper, they describe it as a Web which is readable by machines in opposite

of most of Web's content which were designed for humans to read. The Semantic Web isn't a separate Web but an extension of the current one which will bring structure to the meaningful content of Web pages.

Two main technologies are used for the development of the Semantic Web : eXtensible Markup Language (short XML) and the Resource Description Framework (short RDF). XML allows everyone to create their own tags and to arbitrary structure their documents but gives no information about what this structure means. Meaning is provided by RDF which stores it in sets of triples which are composed by a subject, a predicate and an object. Those three components can be related to the subject, the verb and object of an elementary sentence. In [2], Miller present a short introduction to the RDF standard and precise that a "Resource" can be any object which is uniquely identifiable by a Uniform Resource Identifier (URI).

The third basic component of the Semantic Web are collections of information called ontologies. An ontology is, in computer science, a document which defines the relations among concepts. Basically, Web ontologies are composed of a taxonomy, which defines classes of objects and their relations, and a set of inference rules.

In addition, the The New Oxford Dictionary of English defines the notion of "semantic concept" as : *An idea or thought that corresponds to some distinct entity or class of entities, or to its essential features, or determines the application of a term, and thus plays a part in the use of reason or language*

Given those basic notions, we will now further detail four semantic web resources, their taxonomies and review some of their usage found in the literature.

2.2 DBpedia

DBpedia¹ is a project originally launched by two German universities (Berlin and Leipzig) and backed by an important community. It explores Wikipedia² and extracts information from it which results in the creation of a multilingual, large-scale knowledge base. The extraction framework, all the available end-points as well as some facts and figures about the project are presented in [3].

DBpedia's ontology is based on classes (320 items) which form a subsumption hierarchy, the root element being `owl:Thing`, with a maximal depth of 5³. These classes are described by a total of 1650 different properties, forming a large set of RDF triples (580 million extracted from the English version of Wikipedia).

Even though DBpedia is now a worldwide project and provides pages in 125 languages, the English one is still the most represented. We can indeed find 4.58 million of things⁴ including 1,445,000 instances of the class *Person*, 735,000 places *Place*, 251,000 *Species* ... The number of instances described in this language is about three times larger than the second and third language (French and German).

As well as any RDF-structured dataset, DBpedia can be requested with SPARQL (which is a recursive acronym: SPARQL Protocol and RDF Query Language) queries. SPARQL allows the user to search, add, modify or delete RDF data available on the Internet, see [4] for more details about the language.

```
1 PREFIX rdf: <http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#>
2 SELECT ?class
3 WHERE { <E> rdf:type ?class }
```

CODE 2.1: SPARQL Query : Search classes

```
1 PREFIX rdf: <http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#>
```

¹<http://wiki.dbpedia.org/>

²<https://en.wikipedia.org/>

³Complete classes tree : <http://mappings.dbpedia.org/server/ontology/classes/>

⁴<http://wiki.dbpedia.org/about/facts-figures>

```
2 SELECT ?superClass
3 WHERE { <C> rdf:subClassOf ?superClass }
```

CODE 2.2: SPARQL Query : Search superclasses

Codes 2.1 and 2.2 present two simple and generic SPARQL search queries which return respectively the class(es) of a given entity E and the superclass(es) of a given class C. using the *type* and *subClassOf* predicates.

DBpedia also provides useful web services and HTTP endpoints. DBpedia Spotlight, which highlight DBpedia concepts in an input text is described in [5] and further details about disambiguation using this service are presented in section 4.2. The official DBpedia SPARQL endpoint⁵ allows the user to send SPARQL queries to the online Virtuoso Triple Store by using the browser interface or by sending a HTTP request. We learn in [3] that the average amount of hits per day of this endpoint is of 2,910,410 for the 3.8 dataset version.

We find lot of papers in the literature which mention DBpedia as an asset for systems based on the Semantic Web. If some of those papers are still in the research field, like [6] which proposes a music recommendation system built on top of DBpedia, others present “real” applications which are currently in use. We can cite for instance [7] which describes how the BBC⁶ uses DBpedia to backbone its publications.

2.3 Geonames

GeoNames⁷ is a geographical database which contains over 10 million geographical names. The most documented countries⁸ are the United States of America (2,203,094 names), Norway (600,008) and China (526,456). All resources are categorized into one out of nine classes and further subcategorized into one out of 645 codes⁹. Obviously,

⁵<http://dbpedia.org/sparql>

⁶<http://www.bbc.co.uk/>

⁷<http://www.geonames.org/>

⁸<http://www.geonames.org/statistics/>

⁹<http://www.geonames.org/export/codes.html>

the root element of Geonames' hierarchy is mother earth.

Like DBpedia, the Geonames' ontology makes possible the addition of new resources to the World Wide Web. However, Geonames distinguish the features' Concept from the RDF document about it. In consequence, each feature possesses two representation in Geonames. See the two following URIs as example :

URI1 <http://sws.geonames.org/8015555/>

URI2 <http://sws.geonames.org/8015555/about.rdf>

The first one stands for the "Notre Dame de Fourvière" church in Lyon, France. This URI is used when one wants to refers to the church itself. The second URI is the RDF document with what Geonames knows about the church, its latitude and longitude for instance, or some nearby locations.

In order to allow the user to browse its *Tremendous set of data* (Sir T. Berners-Lee), Geonames proposes a lot of REST-based web-services. In the case of image annotation, one in particular could be useful. Given that lot of recent numeric images contain EXIF data which embed GPS information such as the longitude and the latitude (see [8] for further details about the EXIF format), one could add geographic tags to the picture with the help of the *findNearbyPlaceName* service. Here is an example of usage :

Query : <http://api.geonames.org/findNearbyPlaceName?lat=48.566&lng=13.43&username=demo>

```
1 <geonames>
2   <geoname>
3     <toponymName>Passau</toponymName>
4     <name>Passau</name>
5     <lat>48.5665</lat>
6     <lng>13.43122</lng>
7     <geonameId>2855328</geonameId>
8     <countryCode>DE</countryCode>
9     <countryName>Germany</countryName>
10    <fcl>P</fcl>
11    <fcode>PPLA3</fcode>
12    <distance>0.00041</distance>
13  </geoname>
```

¹⁴ `</geonames>`

CODE 2.3: XML response

Given its specific domain, we found less use-cases of Geonames in the literature than DBpedia's. Some interesting papers have however been presented, like [9] which use several semantic resources including Geonames in order to detect named entities in "Agence France Presse" (AFP) wires.

2.4 WordNet

WordNet¹⁰ is a lexical database of English which has been presented for the first time in 1995 in [10]. It is hosted by the Princeton University, currently running version 3.1 but there are no current plan for a future release due to limited staffing.

Its structure is based on the concept of "synset" (synonym set), a set cognitive synonyms. WordNet distinguish among Types (common nouns, verbs. . .) and Instances (specific persons. . .). Synsets are interlinked using conceptual, semantic and lexical relations. The hierarchy is built by the use of the super-subordinate relation (or hyperonymy, hyponymy in WordNet's jargon). These relations implements the two directions of the "IS-A" expression. For instance, *fruit* is a **hyperonym** of *apple* and *horst* is a **hyponym** of *animal*, the root element being "entity". Other relations are also provided, like the antonymy (opposite of synonymy) or the meronymy and its opposite holonymy which implements the "IS-PART-OF" relation : *finger* is a **meronym** of *hand*. All these relations are transitive.

This resource is useful if we are searching for entities. Since the maximal depth is of the ontology is of 16, the leafs are very detailed nouns (tsetse-fly, Yukon white birch, . . .) but it also contains more general concepts (vehicle, animal, . . .). WordNet contains at the moment 155,287 unique strings including 117,798 nouns.

¹⁰<https://wordnet.princeton.edu/>

It exists several ways to browse this resource. An online interface allows the user to manually query the dataset and to navigate in it through hyperlinks. For software and research purposes, the user has to download one of the released version of WordNet's dataset as well as a specific library according to the code language he's using.

Due to the lot of different relations between its synsets, WordNet has mostly been used for measuring semantic distances (see [11], [12] and [13]) or to disambiguate texts (in [14], [15] and [16]).

2.5 ImageNet

ImageNet¹¹ is an image database built on the WordNet hierarchy. It has been launch in 2009 and presented in [17]. Each of WordNet's synsets is depicted in ImageNet by a set of pictures (more than 500 in average). At the moment, 14,197,122 images are referenced.

The stated aim of ImageNet is to serve as an asset for pedagogical and research purposes. It has for instance been used in [18] to measure the correlation between visual and semantic similarities or in a kindergarten in Canada to provide matching exercises to the children.

Despite the fact this resource is not as used as the previous ones, it was important to cite it in order to highlight the semantic concepts and images can be related even tough it's two different kind of medium.

¹¹<http://www.image-net.org/>

Chapter 3

Similarity Measures

3.1 Edge-based

3.1.1 Shortest Path

3.1.2 Wu-Palmer

3.1.3 Evolved Wu-Palmer

3.2 Node-based

3.2.1 Resnik

3.2.2 Lin

3.2.3 Jiang and Conrath

3.3 Statistical similarity

Chapter 4

Disambiguation

Let's remember what we say about semantics in [2.1](#) : it is the study of meaning. The question that we will study here is the following : What happens when a word has several meanings ?

The disambiguation process aims to determine which one of the meanings is relevant in a specific context. In our case, we want to disambiguate the initial tags from a picture in order to propose relevant candidates. To achieve this, several approaches are presented with usages from the literature. Finally, we discuss the its interest in the image annotation process and the issues it may rise.

4.1 How does it work

4.2 Practical example : DBpedia Spotlight

Chapter 5

Existing services

5.1 Web service 1

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Aliquam ultricies lacinia euismod. Nam tempus risus in dolor rhoncus in interdum enim tincidunt. Donec vel nunc neque. In condimentum ullamcorper quam non consequat. Fusce sagittis tempor feugiat. Fusce magna erat, molestie eu convallis ut, tempus sed arcu. Quisque molestie, ante a tincidunt ullamcorper, sapien enim dignissim lacus, in semper nibh erat lobortis purus. Integer dapibus ligula ac risus convallis pellentesque.

5.2 Web service 2

Sed ullamcorper quam eu nisl interdum at interdum enim egestas. Aliquam placerat justo sed lectus lobortis ut porta nisl porttitor. Vestibulum mi dolor, lacinia molestie gravida at, tempus vitae ligula. Donec eget quam sapien, in viverra eros. Donec pellentesque justo a massa fringilla non vestibulum metus vestibulum. Vestibulum in orci quis felis tempor lacinia. Vivamus ornare ultrices facilisis. Ut hendrerit volutpat vulputate. Morbi condimentum venenatis augue, id porta ipsum vulputate in. Curabitur luctus tempus justo. Vestibulum risus lectus, adipiscing nec condimentum quis, condimentum nec nisl. Aliquam dictum sagittis velit sed iaculis. Morbi tristique augue sit amet nulla pulvinar id facilisis ligula mollis. Nam elit libero, tincidunt ut aliquam at, molestie in quam. Aenean rhoncus vehicula hendrerit.

5.3 Annotation via stats

Sed ullamcorper quam eu nisl interdum at interdum enim egestas. Aliquam placerat justo sed lectus lobortis ut porta nisl porttitor. Vestibulum mi dolor, lacinia molestie gravida at, tempus vitae ligula. Donec eget quam sapien, in viverra eros. Donec pel-lentesque justo a massa fringilla non vestibulum metus vestibulum. Vestibulum in orci quis felis tempor lacinia. Vivamus ornare ultrices facilisis. Ut hendrerit volutpat vulputate. Morbi condimentum venenatis augue, id porta ipsum vulputate in. Cur-abitur luctus tempus justo. Vestibulum risus lectus, adipiscing nec condimentum quis, condimentum nec nisl. Aliquam dictum sagittis velit sed iaculis. Morbi tristique augue sit amet nulla pulvinar id facilisis ligula mollis. Nam elit libero, tincidunt ut aliquam at, molestie in quam. Aenean rhoncus vehicula hendrerit.

Chapter 6

Conclusion

6.1 Section 1

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Aliquam ultricies lacinia euismod. Nam tempus risus in dolor rhoncus in interdum enim tincidunt. Donec vel nunc neque. In condimentum ullamcorper quam non consequat. Fusce sagittis tempor feugiat. Fusce magna erat, molestie eu convallis ut, tempus sed arcu. Quisque molestie, ante a tincidunt ullamcorper, sapien enim dignissim lacus, in semper nibh erat lobortis purus. Integer dapibus ligula ac risus convallis pellentesque.

Part II

Contribution

Chapter 7

Proposed Methodology

7.1 Section 1

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Aliquam ultricies lacinia euismod. Nam tempus risus in dolor rhoncus in interdum enim tincidunt. Donec vel nunc neque. In condimentum ullamcorper quam non consequat. Fusce sagittis tempor feugiat. Fusce magna erat, molestie eu convallis ut, tempus sed arcu. Quisque molestie, ante a tincidunt ullamcorper, sapien enim dignissim lacus, in semper nibh erat lobortis purus. Integer dapibus ligula ac risus convallis pellentesque.

Chapter 8

Proposed Architecture

8.1 Technology Choices

8.1.1 Java

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Aliquam ultricies lacinia euismod. Nam tempus risus in dolor rhoncus in interdum enim tincidunt. Donec vel nunc neque. In condimentum ullamcorper quam non consequat. Fusce sagittis tempor feugiat. Fusce magna erat, molestie eu convallis ut, tempus sed arcu. Quisque molestie, ante a tincidunt ullamcorper, sapien enim dignissim lacus, in semper nibh erat lobortis purus. Integer dapibus ligula ac risus convallis pellentesque.

8.1.2 Neo4j

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Aliquam ultricies lacinia euismod. Nam tempus risus in dolor rhoncus in interdum enim tincidunt. Donec vel nunc neque. In condimentum ullamcorper quam non consequat. Fusce sagittis tempor feugiat. Fusce magna erat, molestie eu convallis ut, tempus sed arcu. Quisque molestie, ante a tincidunt ullamcorper, sapien enim dignissim lacus, in semper nibh erat lobortis purus. Integer dapibus ligula ac risus convallis pellentesque.

8.1.3 NLP

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Aliquam ultricies lacinia euismod. Nam tempus risus in dolor rhoncus in interdum enim tincidunt. Donec vel nunc neque. In condimentum ullamcorper quam non consequat. Fusce sagittis tempor feugiat. Fusce magna erat, molestie eu convallis ut, tempus sed arcu. Quisque molestie, ante a tincidunt ullamcorper, sapien enim dignissim lacus, in semper nibh erat lobortis purus. Integer dapibus ligula ac risus convallis pellentesque.

8.1.4 DBpedia Spotlight

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Aliquam ultricies lacinia euismod. Nam tempus risus in dolor rhoncus in interdum enim tincidunt. Donec vel nunc neque. In condimentum ullamcorper quam non consequat. Fusce sagittis tempor feugiat. Fusce magna erat, molestie eu convallis ut, tempus sed arcu. Quisque molestie, ante a tincidunt ullamcorper, sapien enim dignissim lacus, in semper nibh erat lobortis purus. Integer dapibus ligula ac risus convallis pellentesque.

8.1.5 JAWS

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Aliquam ultricies lacinia euismod. Nam tempus risus in dolor rhoncus in interdum enim tincidunt. Donec vel nunc neque. In condimentum ullamcorper quam non consequat. Fusce sagittis tempor feugiat. Fusce magna erat, molestie eu convallis ut, tempus sed arcu. Quisque molestie, ante a tincidunt ullamcorper, sapien enim dignissim lacus, in semper nibh erat lobortis purus. Integer dapibus ligula ac risus convallis pellentesque.

8.1.6 JENA

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Aliquam ultricies lacinia euismod. Nam tempus risus in dolor rhoncus in interdum enim tincidunt. Donec vel nunc neque. In condimentum ullamcorper quam non consequat. Fusce sagittis tempor feugiat. Fusce magna erat, molestie eu convallis ut, tempus sed arcu. Quisque molestie,

ante a tincidunt ullamcorper, sapien enim dignissim lacus, in semper nibh erat lobortis purus. Integer dapibus ligula ac risus convallis pellentesque.

8.1.7 JSoup

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Aliquam ultricies lacinia euismod. Nam tempus risus in dolor rhoncus in interdum enim tincidunt. Donec vel nunc neque. In condimentum ullamcorper quam non consequat. Fusce sagittis tempor feugiat. Fusce magna erat, molestie eu convallis ut, tempus sed arcu. Quisque molestie, ante a tincidunt ullamcorper, sapien enim dignissim lacus, in semper nibh erat lobortis purus. Integer dapibus ligula ac risus convallis pellentesque.

8.2 Graph Structure

8.2.1 Pro-Cons

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Aliquam ultricies lacinia euismod. Nam tempus risus in dolor rhoncus in interdum enim tincidunt. Donec vel nunc neque. In condimentum ullamcorper quam non consequat. Fusce sagittis tempor feugiat. Fusce magna erat, molestie eu convallis ut, tempus sed arcu. Quisque molestie, ante a tincidunt ullamcorper, sapien enim dignissim lacus, in semper nibh erat lobortis purus. Integer dapibus ligula ac risus convallis pellentesque.

8.2.2 Vertexes

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Aliquam ultricies lacinia euismod. Nam tempus risus in dolor rhoncus in interdum enim tincidunt. Donec vel nunc neque. In condimentum ullamcorper quam non consequat. Fusce sagittis tempor feugiat. Fusce magna erat, molestie eu convallis ut, tempus sed arcu. Quisque molestie, ante a tincidunt ullamcorper, sapien enim dignissim lacus, in semper nibh erat lobortis purus. Integer dapibus ligula ac risus convallis pellentesque.

8.2.3 Edges

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Aliquam ultricies lacinia euismod. Nam tempus risus in dolor rhoncus in interdum enim tincidunt. Donec vel nunc neque. In condimentum ullamcorper quam non consequat. Fusce sagittis tempor feugiat. Fusce magna erat, molestie eu convallis ut, tempus sed arcu. Quisque molestie, ante a tincidunt ullamcorper, sapien enim dignissim lacus, in semper nibh erat lobortis purus. Integer dapibus ligula ac risus convallis pellentesque.

Chapter 9

Experiments

9.1 Dataset

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Aliquam ultricies lacinia euismod. Nam tempus risus in dolor rhoncus in interdum enim tincidunt. Donec vel nunc neque. In condimentum ullamcorper quam non consequat. Fusce sagittis tempor feugiat. Fusce magna erat, molestie eu convallis ut, tempus sed arcu. Quisque molestie, ante a tincidunt ullamcorper, sapien enim dignissim lacus, in semper nibh erat lobortis purus. Integer dapibus ligula ac risus convallis pellentesque.

9.2 Code Explanation

Sed ullamcorper quam eu nisl interdum at interdum enim egestas. Aliquam placerat justo sed lectus lobortis ut porta nisl porttitor. Vestibulum mi dolor, lacinia molestie gravida at, tempus vitae ligula. Donec eget quam sapien, in viverra eros. Donec pellentesque justo a massa fringilla non vestibulum metus vestibulum. Vestibulum in orci quis felis tempor lacinia. Vivamus ornare ultrices facilisis. Ut hendrerit volutpat vulputate. Morbi condimentum venenatis augue, id porta ipsum vulputate in. Curabitur luctus tempus justo. Vestibulum risus lectus, adipiscing nec condimentum quis, condimentum nec nisl. Aliquam dictum sagittis velit sed iaculis. Morbi tristique augue sit amet nulla pulvinar id facilisis ligula mollis. Nam elit libero, tincidunt ut aliquam at, molestie in quam. Aenean rhoncus vehicula hendrerit.

9.3 Results and Analysis

9.3.1 Evaluation methodology

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Aliquam ultricies lacinia euismod. Nam tempus risus in dolor rhoncus in interdum enim tincidunt. Donec vel nunc neque. In condimentum ullamcorper quam non consequat. Fusce sagittis tempor feugiat. Fusce magna erat, molestie eu convallis ut, tempus sed arcu. Quisque molestie, ante a tincidunt ullamcorper, sapien enim dignissim lacus, in semper nibh erat lobortis purus. Integer dapibus ligula ac risus convallis pellentesque.

9.3.2 Graph-based experiments

9.3.2.1 Direct Neighbors

9.3.2.2 Lists - WL

9.3.2.3 Lists - SL

9.3.3 Plain-text experiments

9.3.3.1 WikiLinks

9.3.3.2 WikiContent

Chapter 10

Conclusion

10.1 Section 1

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Aliquam ultricies lacinia euismod. Nam tempus risus in dolor rhoncus in interdum enim tincidunt. Donec vel nunc neque. In condimentum ullamcorper quam non consequat. Fusce sagittis tempor feugiat. Fusce magna erat, molestie eu convallis ut, tempus sed arcu. Quisque molestie, ante a tincidunt ullamcorper, sapien enim dignissim lacus, in semper nibh erat lobortis purus. Integer dapibus ligula ac risus convallis pellentesque.

Appendix A

Appendix Title Here

Write your Appendix content here.

Bibliography

- [1] Tim Berners-Lee, James Hendler, Ora Lassila, et al. The semantic web. 2001.
- [2] Eric Miller. An introduction to the resource description framework. *Bulletin of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 25(1):15–19, 1998.
- [3] Jens Lehmann, Robert Isele, Max Jakob, Anja Jentzsch, Dimitris Kontokostas, Pablo N Mendes, Sebastian Hellmann, Mohamed Morsey, Patrick van Kleef, Sören Auer, et al. Dbpedia-a large-scale, multilingual knowledge base extracted from wikipedia. *Semantic Web Journal*, 5:1–29, 2014.
- [4] Eric Prud’Hommeaux, Andy Seaborne, et al. Sparql query language for rdf. *W3C recommendation*, 15, 2008.
- [5] Pablo N Mendes, Max Jakob, Andrés García-Silva, and Christian Bizer. Dbpedia spotlight: shedding light on the web of documents. In *Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Semantic Systems*, pages 1–8. ACM, 2011.
- [6] Alexandre Passant. dbrec—music recommendations using dbpedia. In *The Semantic Web–ISWC 2010*, pages 209–224. Springer, 2010.
- [7] Georgi Kobilarov, Tom Scott, Yves Raimond, Silver Oliver, Chris Sizemore, Michael Smethurst, Christian Bizer, and Robert Lee. Media meets semantic web—how the bbc uses dbpedia and linked data to make connections. In *The semantic web: research and applications*, pages 723–737. Springer, 2009.
- [8] Jelena Tešić. Metadata practices for consumer photos. *MultiMedia, IEEE*, 12(3): 86–92, 2005.
- [9] Rosa Stern and Benoît Sagot. Détection et résolution d’entités nommées dans des dépêches d’agence. In *Traitement Automatique des Langues Naturelles: TALN 2010*, 2010.

- [10] George A Miller. Wordnet: a lexical database for english. *Communications of the ACM*, 38(11):39–41, 1995.
- [11] Alexander Budanitsky and Graeme Hirst. Semantic distance in wordnet: An experimental, application-oriented evaluation of five measures. In *Workshop on WordNet and Other Lexical Resources*, volume 2, pages 2–2, 2001.
- [12] Ray Richardson, A Smeaton, and John Murphy. Using wordnet as a knowledge base for measuring semantic similarity between words, 1994.
- [13] Eneko Agirre, Enrique Alfonseca, Keith Hall, Jana Kravalova, Marius Paşca, and Aitor Soroa. A study on similarity and relatedness using distributional and wordnet-based approaches. In *Proceedings of Human Language Technologies: The 2009 Annual Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 19–27. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2009.
- [14] Philip Resnik. Disambiguating noun groupings with respect to wordnet senses. *arXiv preprint cmp-lg/9511006*, 1995.
- [15] Ellen M Voorhees. Using wordnet to disambiguate word senses for text retrieval. In *Proceedings of the 16th annual international ACM SIGIR conference on Research and development in information retrieval*, pages 171–180. ACM, 1993.
- [16] Satanjeev Banerjee and Ted Pedersen. An adapted lesk algorithm for word sense disambiguation using wordnet. In *Computational linguistics and intelligent text processing*, pages 136–145. Springer, 2002.
- [17] Jia Deng, Wei Dong, Richard Socher, Li-Jia Li, Kai Li, and Li Fei-Fei. Imagenet: A large-scale hierarchical image database. In *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2009. CVPR 2009. IEEE Conference on*, pages 248–255. IEEE, 2009.
- [18] Thomas Deselaers and Vittorio Ferrari. Visual and semantic similarity in imagenet. In *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), 2011 IEEE Conference on*, pages 1777–1784. IEEE, 2011.