

# The 2 by 2 Real Pseudo Inverse

John Mount

2019-01-07

This is a brief note on the math behind the direct PRESS statistic calculation<sup>1</sup> found in the RcppDynProg package<sup>2</sup>.

The actual ‘C++’ code<sup>3</sup> is a bit ugly and intimidating. That is because we are using a verbose scalar notation to represent matrix concepts. In matrix notation we are solving a linear system by inverting a two by two matrix.<sup>4</sup> We are in turn inverting the two by two matrix by exploiting the following well know rule of how to invert a two by two matrix.

If  $ad - bc$  is not zero then:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix}$$

Throughout  $a$ ,  $b$ ,  $c$ , and  $d$  all real scalars.

This can be re-written as the following general relation.

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix} = (ad - bc) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The above can be directly checked just by applying the rules for multiplying matrices to the left two matrices. This has a particularly pleasant presentation if we recognize that  $ad - bc$  is the determinant of the left matrix and use the traditional vertical bar determinant notation.

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{vmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Now there is an issue of what to do when  $ad - bc$  is zero. For our implementation we apply Tikhonov regularized<sup>5</sup> which (barring the exact numeric coincidence of minus two times the expected value of the independent variable equaling our regularization constant) is going to be non-singular. For our actual

---

<sup>1</sup><http://www.win-vector.com/blog/2014/09/estimating-generalization-error-with-the-press-statistic/>

<sup>2</sup><https://github.com/WinVector/RcppDynProg>

<sup>3</sup>[https://github.com/WinVector/RcppDynProg/blob/master/src/xlin\\_fits.cpp](https://github.com/WinVector/RcppDynProg/blob/master/src/xlin_fits.cpp)

<sup>4</sup>Yes, there are the usual admonitions that one should not invert a matrix to solve a linear system, but for systems this small they do not apply.

<sup>5</sup>[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tikhonov\\_regularization](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tikhonov_regularization)

application, we could simply switch degenerate situations to the out-of sample mean implementation<sup>6</sup>.

But, for fun, let's play with the math a bit.

There is an additional lesser known algebraic relation for two by two matrices.

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = (a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2) \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} + (ad - bc) \begin{pmatrix} -d & c \\ b & -a \end{pmatrix}$$

Or (using transpose, matrix Frobenius norm, and determinant notation):

**Theorem 1** For any real 2 by 2 matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  we have:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}^\top \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \left\| \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \right\|_2^2 \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} + \left| \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \right| \begin{pmatrix} -d & c \\ b & -a \end{pmatrix}$$

. The superscript "top" denoting the transpose operation, the  $\|\cdot\|_2^2$  denoting sum of squares norm, and the single  $|\cdot|$  denoting determinant.

□

This means, if  $ad - bc$  is zero then:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}^\top \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \left\| \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \right\|_2^2 \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

Once we [confirm the above relation<sup>7</sup> we can also confirm that if  $a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2$  is not zero, then the following matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}^+ = \frac{1}{a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2} \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix}$$

satisfies all of the conditions for being the Moore-Penrose inverse<sup>8</sup> (or pseudo-inverse) of our original matrix. The superscript-plus denoting the Moore-Penrose inverse operation.

Or in transpose notation:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}^+ = \frac{1}{a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}^\top$$

This is called the pseudo-inverse because it acts like an inverse, even for non-invertible matrices. For  $A^+$  to be a More-Penrose inverse we must confirm it obeys the following relations:

<sup>6</sup>[https://github.com/WinVector/RcppDynProg/blob/master/src/const\\_costs.cpp](https://github.com/WinVector/RcppDynProg/blob/master/src/const_costs.cpp)

<sup>7</sup><https://github.com/WinVector/RcppDynProg/blob/master/extras/PseudoInverse.ipynb>

ipyndb

<sup>8</sup>[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/MoorePenrose\\_inverse](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/MoorePenrose_inverse)

$$\begin{aligned}
AA^+A &= A \\
A^+AA^+ &= A^+ \\
(AA^+)^\top &= AA^+ \\
(A^+A)^\top &= A^+A
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1 lets us check the first relation, the other follow quickly as our  $A^+$  is a simple scalar multiple of the transpose.<sup>9</sup>

All of the above check relations would be true for a classic inverse. We can think of  $A^+$  as almost canceling a single  $A$  to the left or the  $A$  to the right.

**Theorem 2** For any real 2 by 2 matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$

The Moore-Penrose inverse is:

- When  $ad - bc$  is not zero:

$$\frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix}$$

- When  $ad - bc$  is zero and  $a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2$  is not zero:

$$\frac{1}{a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2} \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix}$$

- Otherwise:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

□

For general matrices the situation is much more complicated. The wealth of symmetries and relations is really kind of neat.

---

<sup>9</sup>With the same scaling for both  $A^+$  and  $(A^\top)^+$ .