

TOPICAL REVIEW

Tripartite cell networks for glucose homeostasis

To cite this article: Taegeun Song and Junghyo Jo 2019 *Phys. Biol.* **16** 051001

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

- [Injectable polyethylene glycol hydrogel for islet encapsulation: an *in vitro* and *in vivo* characterization](#)
Tracy Knobloch, Sakineh Esmaeili
Mohsen Abadi, Joseph Bruns et al.
- [Selection of polymers for application in scaffolds applicable for human pancreatic islet transplantation](#)
Alexandra M Smink, Bart J de Haan,
Genaro A Paredes-Juarez et al.
- [Fabrication of three-dimensional bioplotting hydrogel scaffolds for islets of Langerhans transplantation](#)
G Marchioli, L van Gurp, P P van Krieken
et al.



IOP | ebooks™

Bringing together innovative digital publishing with leading authors from the global scientific community.

Start exploring the collection—download the first chapter of every title for free.



TOPICAL REVIEW

Tripartite cell networks for glucose homeostasis

Taegeun Song¹ and Junghyo Jo^{2,3,4}¹ Department of Physics, Pohang University of Science and Technology, Pohang, Gyeongbuk 37673, Republic of Korea² Department of Statistics, Keimyung University, Daegu 42601, Republic of Korea³ Korea Institute for Advanced Study, Seoul 02455, Republic of Korea⁴ Author to whom any correspondence should be addressed.E-mail: jojunghyo@kmu.ac.kr**Keywords:** coupled oscillators, synchronization, diabetes, hormone, feedback, mathematical modelingRECEIVED
17 February 2019REVISED
14 June 2019ACCEPTED FOR PUBLICATION
18 June 2019PUBLISHED
5 July 2019**Abstract**

Controlling the excess and shortage of energy is a fundamental task for living organisms. Diabetes is a representative metabolic disease caused by the malfunction of energy homeostasis. The islets of Langerhans in the pancreas release long-range messengers, hormones, into the blood to regulate the homeostasis of the primary energy fuel, glucose. The hormone and glucose levels in the blood show rhythmic oscillations with a characteristic period of 5–10 min, and the functional roles of the oscillations are not clear. Each islet has α and β cells that secrete glucagon and insulin, respectively. These two counter-regulatory hormones appear sufficient to increase and decrease glucose levels. However, pancreatic islets have a third cell type, δ cells, which secrete somatostatin. The three cell populations have a unique spatial organization in islets, and they interact to perturb their hormone secretions. The mini-organs of islets are scattered throughout the exocrine pancreas. Considering that the human pancreas contains approximately a million islets, the coordination of hormone secretion from the multiple sources of islets and cells within the islets should have a significant effect on human physiology. In this review, we introduce the hierarchical organization of tripartite cell networks, and recent biophysical modeling to systematically understand the oscillations and interactions of α , β , and δ cells. Furthermore, we discuss the functional roles and clinical implications of hormonal oscillations and their phase coordination for the diagnosis of type II diabetes.

1. Introduction

Living systems maintain dynamic equilibrium by exchanging matter, energy, and information with the environment [1, 2]. In particular, maintaining specific concentrations of glucose, fat, ions, oxygen, and so on is essential to be alive. Glucose homeostasis is one of the most critical homeostasis functions in the body, because glucose is the primary energy fuel for the brain and body. Our bodies maintain a constant concentration of approximately 5 mM glucose in the blood [3].

Since energy intake and expenditure do not happen constantly throughout a day, the human body requires sophisticated devices for storing excess energy after eating foods, and burning the stored energy for functioning when necessary. Energy homeostasis is regulated by long-range messengers, hormones, secreted by the pancreas. Insulin and glucagon are counter-regulatory hormones for decreasing and increasing glucose levels in the blood. When blood glucose concentrations

increase after food intake, β cells in the pancreas sense the glucose increase, and secrete insulin, a main anabolic hormone that stimulates glucose-to-glycogen synthesis in the liver and glucose absorption in peripheral tissues. In contrast, when glucose levels decrease during the fasting state, α cells in the pancreas sense the glucose decrease, and secrete glucagon, a catabolic hormone that catalyses glycogen-to-glucose breakdown in the liver.

Two counter-regulatory hormones, insulin (secreted by β cells) and glucagon (α cells), appear sufficient to maintain glucose homeostasis since insulin can decrease glucose levels, whereas glucagon can increase glucose levels. Interestingly, however, the pancreas has a third cell type, δ cells, which secrete another hormone, somatostatin [4]. This peptide hormone was first discovered in the brain [5, 6], and later in the gut [7], and it is known as an inhibitory messenger. Although the direct function of somatostatin in glucose regulation is not known, it is known to inhibit insulin and glucagon secretion [4, 5, 8, 9]. Considering

its rapid degradation, it may work as a local messenger rather than a global messenger [10]. In addition to the negative interaction of δ cells to β and α cells, numerous studies have shown that α , β , and δ cells interact with each other via hormones and neurotransmitters [11–13]. In the pancreas, α , β , and δ cells are not randomly distributed, but they are clustered within unique architectures [14–18]. The cell clusters are named ‘islets’, and the human pancreas includes approximately a million islets. The unique cellular arrangement in the islets also implies the importance of cell-cell interactions. If the interactions were not relevant in the islet physiology, the cells may form random aggregations.

The molecular mechanism of insulin secretion was conclusively established as a canonical pathway [19]. Glucose uptake leads calcium ion channels on β cells to open. Then, extracellular Ca^{2+} ions enter β cells and stimulate vesicles containing insulin molecules to move to the cell membrane and finally secrete insulin into the extracellular space. Thus, Ca^{2+} is a critical element that ultimately triggers insulin secretion. Therefore, the intracellular $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]$ has served as a convenient proxy indicating insulin secretion [20, 21], since $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]$ imaging methods have been well established [22]. The molecular pathway for glucagon secretion from α cells has also been studied [23–25], although not as extensively as the canonical pathway of β cells. It is not completely understood how α cells and β cells, which are equipped with similar pathways for sensing glucose, can oppositely respond to glucose stimuli [23, 26–29].

It is of particular interest that various scales of oscillations are involved in hormone secretion. The ionic movement through the cell membrane induces electrical spikes, called action potentials [30]. Pancreatic β and α cells generate spontaneous oscillations of spikes on a milli-second time scale, which depend on glucose concentrations. In particular, β cells, which are electrically-coupled through gap junctions, generate episodes of spikes between silent phases, called bursting [31, 32]. The bursting action potentials have a period of 10–30 s. These fast electrical oscillations ride on slow metabolic oscillations, originating from glycolysis, with a period of 5–10 min [33, 34]. Furthermore, additional slow ultradian insulin oscillations with a period of 1–2 h have also been observed [35, 36]. Thus, a hierarchical structures of oscillations exist for insulin secretion from milli-second to hour scales.

Among the complex oscillations with mixed time scales, this review focuses on the slow oscillations with a period of a few minutes, because the slow oscillation of insulin secretion or $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]$ has been most widely observed in β cells [37], islets [38, 39], the pancreas [40], and blood [3]. In addition, the slow oscillations of islet hormones show specific phase relations [41, 42]: insulin oscillations are out of phase with glucagon oscillations, but in phase with somatostatin oscillations. The phase coordination implies that islet cells

communicate. The pulsatility of insulin secretion has functionality. Pulsatile insulin delivery suppresses hepatic glucose production more effectively than constant insulin delivery [43], and pulsatile insulin stimulation can prevent the desensitization of insulin receptors [44, 45]. The relevance of the pulsatile patterns of hormone secretion on glucose regulation and on the diagnosis of diabetes has been emphasized previously [46]. Interestingly, type II diabetic patients show less regular insulin pulsatility than normal subjects [47]. In addition, an impaired out-of-phase relation between insulin and glucagon pulses has been observed in type II diabetic patients [48] and prediabetic individuals [49].

Given the physiological importance and pathological patterns of the slow oscillation, hormones seem to encode information not only in their amplitude but also in the phase. We review recent biophysical studies on how islets and cells within islets interact to coordinate the oscillations of their hormone secretion, and how these coordinated hormone oscillations regulate glucose homeostasis.

2. Network of islet cells

The islets of Langerhans are cell networks mainly composed of α , β , and δ cells. This section summarizes the molecular, physiological, structural, and functional evidence on the existence of interactions between islet cells.

2.1. Molecular interactions

When insulin was first identified as a peptide hormone that decreases blood sugar levels, pioneering islet biologists had unbiased interest in every islet cell type, and more importantly, in the interactions between them. However, these system wide interests were soon buried under the focus on β cells and insulin for the last 50 years. Nevertheless, recent advances in molecular biology have resurrected the existence of various populations of islet cells and even the heterogeneity between β cells using a single-cell transcriptome [50, 51].

The molecular interactions between islet cells have been demonstrated by various experimental observations. When the pancreas, islets, or cells are stimulated by messenger molecules including hormones or neurotransmitters, their hormone secretion is perturbed. For example, when somatostatin is infused into the pancreas through the blood, the arterial levels of insulin and glucagon rapidly drop [8]. In a canine *in vivo* experiment, when endogenous somatostatin secretion is suppressed by intravenously infusing a non-immunoreactive analogue of somatostatin, dog pancreata showed increased insulin and glucagon release [52]. When rat pancreata are stimulated by arginine, isoproterenol and theophylline, the release of glucagon and insulin is inhibited by somatostatin with a preferential effect on glucagon release [53]. These observations

imply inhibitory interactions from δ cells to α and β cells. When islets, isolated from the pancreas, are directly stimulated by somatostatin, their insulin and glucagon secretions are also diminished [54, 55]. The inhibitory interaction with somatostatin has also been confirmed by somatostatin receptor knockout mice [54, 56]. More directly, somatostatin inhibits the electrical activities of isolated α and β cells from islets [57, 58].

Unlike the inhibitory interactions of δ cells, α cells stimulate insulin secretion from β cells [59–62], and somatostatin secretion from δ cells [63–66]. Therefore, $\delta \rightarrow (\alpha, \beta)$ is inhibitory, whereas $\alpha \rightarrow (\beta, \delta)$ is stimulatory. Hereafter, we use different arrows to indicate negative (\dashv) and positive (\rightarrow) interactions. How do β cells affect to α and δ cells? Intensive observations have revealed that β cells inhibit glucagon secretion from α cells through various messengers including insulin, GABA, ATP, and Zn^{2+} ions [8, 24, 67–73]. The last piece, $\beta \rightarrow \delta$, is stimulatory [74–76]. Note that the paracrine interactions of β cells are inhibitory for α cells, but stimulatory for δ cells. Figure 1 summarizes the six interactions. The paracrine interactions between α , β , and δ cells have been thoroughly reviewed in [11, 12].

2.2. Phase coordination

Other evidence for the interactions between islet cells is that the phases of hormone oscillations are coordinated. Insulin and glucagon levels showed sustained out-of-phase oscillations in monkey blood [77]. In addition, when an isolated dog pancreas was stimulated with glucose, the release of insulin and glucagon also showed an inverse relation, while the somatostatin release was in phase with insulin [78]. However, some studies observed that insulin oscillations were in phase with glucagon oscillations in blood from humans [79] and dogs [80], whereas other studies found no relation between the two oscillations in humans [81] or dogs [40]. Another human study reported that the out-of-phase relation between glucagon and insulin oscillations must have an important physiological role because the inverse relation disappears under diabetic conditions [48]. Although the *in vivo* studies showed controversial results, the subsequent *in vitro* experiments clearly demonstrated the existence of phase coordination in both human islets [41] and mouse islets [42]. When the isolated islets were stimulated with a 20 mM glucose solution, the released hormones showed pulses with a period of 5–10 min. Then, insulin pulses are out of phase with glucagon pulses but in phase with somatostatin pulses. This *in vitro* experiment has an important implication for the interactions between islet cells. Alternatively, the coordinated hormone release can be explained by global entrainment. If the release of different hormones is driven by the fluctuations of the common stimulus, glucose, under *in vivo* conditions, it can be easily coordinated.




Figure 1. Tripartite cell network. Paracrine interactions between α , β , and δ cells in the islets of Langerhans. Red arrows represent positive interactions, whereas blue bar-headed arrows represent negative interactions.

However, the constant glucose stimulation in the *in vitro* experiment rules out the possibility of a global entrainment effect, but supports the existence of local communication between α , β , and δ cells.

2.3. Structural arrangement

Islets are cell aggregates with various sizes ranging from tiny islets, containing a few cells, to large islets, containing a few thousand cells [82, 83]. They have unique spatial arrangements of α , β , and δ cells, depending on species [16, 84]. Mouse islets have β cells mostly in the core and α and δ cells in the shell. Unlike the core-shell structure, the islet cells are more intermingled in human islets [14, 15, 17, 85]. However, human islets are not random cell aggregates. Given a fixed cellular composition, human islets have more prevalent contacts between homotypic cells than do random cell aggregates [18]. The prevalent contacts between homotypic cells are a common structural feature of mouse and human islets. However, the cell compositions are significantly different between mouse and human islets. Human islets have more α and δ cells than mouse islets [14]: human islets comprise approximately 35% α cells, 54% β cells, and 11% δ cells, whereas mouse islets have 19% α cells, 75% β cells, and 6% δ cells. Interestingly, when the islet cells are dissociated, they spontaneously reaggregate and make islet-like structures called pseudo-islets [86–89]. Pseudo-islet formation has been explained by the different adhesion molecules expressed on islet cells [90–94]. Based on the differential adhesion hypothesis that differences in adhesiveness between cell types lead to the development and maintenance of organ structures [95, 96], the structures of mouse, pig, and human islets have been examined in [18]. The quantitative study showed that the relative adhesion strengths between α , β , and δ cells are conserved across species. The adhesion between homotypic cells is slightly stickier than that between heterotypic cells. Then, the structural difference between mouse and human islets becomes due to the compositional difference of their cell populations. The conserved rule for islet formation implies the physiological importance of cell-cell contacts and their interactions.

2.4. Functional roles

The paracrine interactions between islet cells have some functional implications, which can rationalize the existence of cell–cell interactions. Among others, the inhibitory interactions $\beta \rightarrow \alpha$ and $\delta \rightarrow \alpha$ can corroborate low glucagon secretion under high-glucose conditions [28, 29], in addition to the intrinsic mechanisms of α cells [23, 26, 27]. The abnormality of the inhibitory interactions can also explain the paradoxically high glucagon secretion, hyperglucagonaemia, under high-glucose conditions in diabetes [97]. Second, the inhibitory interactions $\delta \rightarrow \alpha$ and $\delta \rightarrow \beta$ can prevent wasteful co-secretion of the counter-regulatory hormones insulin and glucagon under normal glucose conditions [98]. Paracrine interactions can contribute to determining the glycaemic set point [98, 99]. Beyond the one-step interactions, a two-step interaction $\beta \rightarrow \delta \rightarrow \alpha$ has been experimentally examined. Optogenetically stimulated β cells can excite electrically-coupled δ cells to secrete somatostatin, which then inhibits the glucagon secretion of neighbouring α cells [100]. Another recent study showed that insulin signaling from β cells, via sodium-glucose co-transporter-2 (SGLT2), can stimulate δ cells to secrete somatostatin and inhibit the glucagon secretion of α cells [101]. Considering the potent inhibition of somatostatin necessary for glucagon secretion [53, 55], two-step inhibition may have functionality. Other two-step interactions can make feedback loops. In particular, the negative feedback of the insulin-glucagon loop has long been emphasized [67]. Interestingly, every two-step feedback forms negative loops: (i) $\alpha \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \alpha$; (ii) $\alpha \rightarrow \delta \rightarrow \alpha$; (iii) $\beta \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \beta$; (iv) $\beta \rightarrow \delta \rightarrow \beta$; (v) $\delta \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \delta$; and (vi) $\delta \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \delta$. If interaction signs are represented by ‘+’ for positive interactions (\rightarrow) and ‘−’ for negative interactions (\rightarrow), the net interaction sign is negative for the two-step feedback with $(+1) \times (-1)$ or $(-1) \times (+1)$. Note that both mutually stimulatory interactions and mutually inhibitory interactions form positive feedback with $(+1) \times (+1)$ or $(-1) \times (-1)$. Furthermore, the interactions between α , β , and δ cells have specific three-step interactions. For example, if one considers the three-step feedback from α cells to α cells via β and δ cells, two feedback loops are possible: $\alpha \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \delta \rightarrow \alpha$ and $\alpha \rightarrow \delta \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \alpha$. Given the cell network in figure 1, the first one is an anti-clockwise (ACW) loop, and the second one is a clockwise (CW) loop. Here, the ACW loop generates negative feedback with a negative net-interaction through $(+1) \times (+1) \times (-1)$, whereas the CW loop creates positive feedback with a positive net-interaction through $(+1) \times (-1) \times (-1)$. Therefore, negative ACW feedback can balance with its corresponding positive CW feedback, which is also true for β cells and δ cells: $\beta \rightarrow \delta \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \beta$ and $\beta \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \delta \rightarrow \beta$; and $\delta \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \delta$ and $\delta \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \delta$.

3. Biophysical models of islet cells

The molecular, physiological, and structural evidence strongly supports the existence of interactions between islet cells. Furthermore, each piece of the six interactions between α , β , and δ cells seems to have some functional roles. However, a systemwide understanding of the interactions involved in glucose regulation requires an integrated analysis that considers the variation in glucose concentration, six interactions together, and their dependency on the activities of islet cells. This section introduces mathematical modeling and analysis of the integration.

3.1. Cellular oscillators

Among the complex oscillations of hormone secretion from the pancreas, we focus on the 5–10 min oscillations that originate from metabolic oscillations. The slow oscillations have been observed in the hormone levels in the blood [47, 102], insulin release from isolated islets [39, 103], and intracellular calcium levels within islets and cells [20, 104–106]. When islet cells are isolated from islets, they can still generate spontaneous calcium oscillations in the absence of stimulation from neighbouring oscillating cells. The slow calcium oscillations have been observed in isolated β cells [107, 108], α cells [109], and δ cells [110], indicating that islet cells can be considered intrinsic oscillators. Based on this fact, the oscillatory hormone release from the islet cells can be defined as

$$H_{\sigma} = r_{\sigma} \cdot \frac{(1 + \cos \theta_{\sigma})}{2}, \quad (1)$$

where r_{σ} and θ_{σ} are the amplitude and phase of the hormone oscillations for $\sigma \in \{\alpha, \beta, \delta\}$ cells, respectively, and the unity in the numerator is added to prevent negative hormone release. H_{α} , H_{β} , and H_{δ} represent glucagon, insulin, and somatostatin levels, respectively. Then, the phase $\theta_{\sigma} = 0$ represents maximal hormone secretion, whereas $\theta_{\sigma} = \pi$ represents minimal secretion. The phase dynamics of the hormone oscillation can be described by a phase oscillator model [111]:

$$\frac{d\theta_{\sigma}}{dt} = \omega_{\sigma} + g_{\sigma}(G) \cdot \cos \theta_{\sigma}, \quad (2)$$

where $\omega_{\sigma} \approx 2\pi/5 \text{ min}^{-1}$ is the intrinsic frequency of σ cells. The next term represents a phase modulation that can accelerate or decelerate the phase velocity depending on the phase. Here the phase modulation factor $g_{\sigma}(G)$ depends on plasma glucose concentration G . Then, under a normal fasting glucose concentration of $G = G_0 = 4 \text{ mM}$ [3], the islet cells show pure sinusoidal oscillations. However, under high-glucose conditions ($G > G_0$), β cells and δ cells have longer active phases, whereas α cells have longer silent phases. On the other hand, under low-glucose conditions ($G < G_0$), the islet cells have opposite

Table 1. Interaction signs between islet cells. Ordinary arrows represent positive interactions, whereas bar-headed arrows represent negative interactions. Note that some controversial observations have been reported for the signs of $A_{\alpha\alpha}$ [133] and $A_{\beta\beta}$ [128, 134, 135].

Interaction	Sign	Mediator	Reference
$\alpha \rightarrow \alpha$	$A_{\alpha\alpha} = 1$	Glucagon, Glutamate	[120–123]
$\alpha \rightarrow \beta$	$A_{\beta\alpha} = 1$	Glucagon, Glutamate, Acetylcholine	[59, 60, 62, 124]
$\alpha \rightarrow \delta$	$A_{\delta\alpha} = 1$	Glucagon, Urocortin3	[63–66, 76]
$\beta \dashv \alpha$	$A_{\alpha\beta} = -1$	Insulin, GABA, Zn^{2+} , ATP, Serotonin	[8, 24, 67–73, 125]
$\beta \rightarrow \beta$	$A_{\beta\beta} = 1$	Insulin, GABA, ATP	[126–131]
$\beta \rightarrow \delta$	$A_{\delta\beta} = 1$	Insulin, Urocortin3	[74–76]
$\delta \dashv \alpha$	$A_{\alpha\delta} = -1$	Somatostatin	[4, 5, 8, 9, 55]
$\delta \dashv \beta$	$A_{\beta\delta} = -1$	Somatostatin	[4, 5, 8, 9, 52, 132]
$\delta \rightarrow \delta$	$A_{\delta\delta} = 1?$	—	Unknown

phase modulations. These phase modulations can be explained by the following modulation factors:

$$g_{\alpha}(G) = \mu \cdot (G - G_0), \quad (3)$$

$$g_{\beta}(G) = -\mu \cdot (G - G_0), \quad (4)$$

$$g_{\delta}(G) = -\mu \cdot (G - G_0), \quad (5)$$

where $\mu = 0.5 \text{ min}^{-1} \text{ mM}^{-1}$ is a constant scale parameter for controlling the degree of the phase modulation. These simple functions can describe the glucose-dependent phase modulations. For example, under high-glucose conditions ($G > G_0$), the negative modulation factor g_{β} for β cells decelerates the oscillation at $\theta_{\beta} = 0$ and accelerates at $\theta_{\beta} = \pi$ in equation (2). This function can explain the increased plateau fraction, defined as the active-to-silent phase ratio, under high-glucose conditions [34, 111]. Furthermore, the phase model can predict that the effective frequency $\tilde{\omega}_{\sigma} = \sqrt{\omega_{\sigma}^2 - g_{\sigma}^2(G)}$ is lowest at a normal glucose level ($G = G_0$). The U-shaped frequency behaviour has also been reported in experiments [34, 111]. This model of phase oscillators has been intensively studied under the names of ‘active rotator’ in physics [112–114] and ‘Adler’s equation’ in electrical engineering [115].

3.2. Coupled oscillators

To understand the detailed physiological role of the paracrine interactions between islet cells, electrophysiological models based on the Hodgkin–Huxley model can be considered [116]. However, the link between the paracrine interactions and the phase coordination of islet cells can be examined simply with a coupled oscillator model [117]. Summarizing the experimental evidence, one essential proposition is that islet cells are intrinsic oscillators. Another important proposition is that islet cells interact with each other. The two propositions allow us to represent the cellular network as a model of coupled oscillators:

$$\frac{d\theta_{\sigma}}{dt} = \omega_{\sigma} + g_{\sigma}(G) \cdot \cos \theta_{\sigma} + \sum_{\sigma' \neq \sigma} K_{\sigma\sigma'} \cdot \sin(\theta'_{\sigma} - \theta_{\sigma}) \quad (6)$$

where the coupling strengths $K_{\sigma\sigma'}$ with $\sigma, \sigma' \in \{\alpha, \beta, \delta\}$ represent how strongly σ' cells affect

σ cells. Here the coupling strength should depend on the activity of islet cells because the interaction is mediated by the messengers secreted by senders and the receptors on receivers. The activity of islet cells corresponds to the amplitude $r_{\sigma}(G)$ of hormone oscillations, which is governed by glucose level G . Note that the activity of islet cells can also depend on other nutrients [11] and hormones such as incretin [118] in general, although the model considers glucose as the primary stimulator. Then, the coupling strength can be defined as

$$K_{\sigma\sigma'} = \kappa \cdot A_{\sigma\sigma'} \cdot \frac{r_{\sigma'}}{r_{\sigma}}, \quad (7)$$

where $\kappa = 1 \text{ min}^{-1}$ is the scale of the interaction, and $A_{\sigma\sigma'}$ represents the sign of the interaction from σ' cells to σ cells: 1 for a positive interaction and -1 for a negative interaction (table 1). This setup makes the interaction strength strong when the affecter σ' cell is active and the receiver σ cell is silent. One can define the interaction strength as $K_{\sigma\sigma'} = \kappa \cdot A_{\sigma\sigma'} \cdot r_{\sigma'}$ ignoring the status of receiver σ cells. The two definitions of activity-dependent interactions, however, do not qualitatively change the phase dynamics [119]. When the interaction is positive ($A_{\sigma\sigma'} = 1$), the coupling term in equation (6) contributes to making the phase θ_{σ} have the same phase as the phase θ'_{σ} . In contrast, when the interaction is negative ($A_{\sigma\sigma'} = -1$), the coupling term contributes to making the phase θ_{σ} have the opposite phase of the phase θ'_{σ} .

First, to compare the phase dynamics with the experimental observations on the phase relation between α , β , and δ cells, the three phase equations of θ_{α} , θ_{β} , and θ_{δ} in equation (6) can be reduced to two equations for the phase differences, $x = \theta_{\alpha} - \theta_{\beta}$ and $y = \theta_{\alpha} - \theta_{\delta}$:

$$\frac{1}{\kappa} \cdot \frac{dx}{dt} = \left(\frac{r_{\beta}}{r_{\alpha}} - \frac{r_{\alpha}}{r_{\beta}} \right) \cdot \sin x + \frac{r_{\delta}}{r_{\alpha}} \cdot \sin y + \frac{r_{\delta}}{r_{\beta}} \cdot \sin(x - y), \quad (8)$$

$$\frac{1}{\kappa} \cdot \frac{dy}{dt} = \frac{r_{\beta}}{r_{\alpha}} \cdot \sin x + \left(\frac{r_{\delta}}{r_{\alpha}} - \frac{r_{\alpha}}{r_{\delta}} \right) \cdot \sin y + \frac{r_{\beta}}{r_{\delta}} \cdot \sin(x - y), \quad (9)$$

where it is assumed that the intrinsic frequencies of islet cells are identical $\omega_{\alpha} = \omega_{\beta} = \omega_{\delta}$ and that the phase modulation factors are off with $g_{\alpha} = g_{\beta} = g_{\delta} = 0$ to

obtain the intuitive understanding of the dynamics of x and y . The consideration of these factors does not change the qualitative dynamics of x and y . Then, the phase dynamics can be analysed under three conditions: (i) high-glucose conditions with active β and δ cells and silent α cells ($r_\beta > r_\delta > r_\alpha$); (ii) low-glucose conditions with active α cells and silent δ and β cells ($r_\alpha > r_\delta > r_\beta$); and (iii) the normal glucose condition with equally active/silent α , β , and δ cells ($r_\alpha = r_\beta = r_\delta$) [117]. Under high-glucose conditions, the phase dynamics have a single stable fixed point of $x^* = \theta_\alpha - \theta_\beta = \pi$ and $y^* = \theta_\alpha - \theta_\delta = \pi$, where $dx/dt = dy/dt = 0$. This solution explains the out-of-phase hormone oscillations between α and β cells and the in-phase hormone oscillations between β and δ cells, observed in the experiment with a very high 20 mM glucose concentration [41, 42]. Under low-glucose conditions, the phase dynamics again have a single stable fixed point of $x^* = \theta_\alpha - \theta_\beta = 0$ and $y^* = \theta_\alpha - \theta_\delta = 0$, representing that every islet cell has in-phase relations. This condition has not yet been observed, although two *in vivo* studies reported that insulin and glucagon oscillations are in phase under fasting conditions [79, 80], which may represent relatively low-glucose conditions. Finally, under the normal glucose condition, the phase dynamics have three neutral fixed points, $(x^*, y^*) \in \{(\pi, 0), (\pi/3, 2\pi/3), (-\pi/3, -2\pi/3)\}$, and x and y oscillate around the three fixed points when the activity r_σ is treated as a fixed parameter ignoring its dynamics⁴. Here the oscillating x and y mean that the phase relation between islet cells are alternating between in-phase and out-of-phase relations. For example, regarding the phase relation between α and β cells, they have an out-of-phase relation under high-glucose conditions, and an in-phase relation under low-glucose conditions, but in the middle of these two extreme conditions, they are alternating the two relations under normal glucose conditions [117]. Observing the alternating phase differences in experiments seems challenging since high temporal resolutions are required to probe the complex temporal patterns.

3.3. Intra-islet network

Islets have specialized structures with populations of α , β , and δ cells. Since the spatial coordinates of the islet cells are known [18, 136], it is tempting to put the cell oscillators in equation (6) on the cell networks. The intra-islet network has been examined by the coupled oscillators on the cell networks [119]:

$$\frac{d\theta_k}{dt} = \omega_k + g_{\sigma(k)} \cdot \cos \theta_k + \sum_{j \in \Lambda_k} K_{\sigma(k)\sigma(j)} \cdot \sin(\theta_j - \theta_k), \quad (10)$$

where the set Λ_k represents the neighbouring cells that interact with the k -th cell and $\sigma(k)$ represents

the cell type of the k th cell. In the intra-islet network, islet cells can contact the same cell types. Therefore, to fully define the interaction matrix $K_{\sigma\sigma'}$, one needs to define the signs for the autocrine interactions of $A_{\alpha\alpha}$, $A_{\beta\beta}$, and $A_{\delta\delta}$, as well as the previously defined six paracrine interactions (table 1). Glucagon and glutamate, secreted by α cells, potentiate glucagon synthesis and secretion [120–123], which implies a positive autocrine interaction ($A_{\alpha\alpha} = 1$). Similarly insulin, serotonin, GABA, and ATP, secreted by β cells, stimulate insulin secretion [126, 127, 129, 130, 137], which also implies a positive autocrine interaction ($A_{\beta\beta} = 1$). Notably, however, some studies have shown contradictory results on the positivity of autocrine interactions [128, 133–135]. Regarding the autocrine interaction of δ cells, we could not find any literature, perhaps because δ cells are the smallest population and the physiological concentration of somatostatin is far too low (femto-mole range) to detect experimentally. For consistency with $A_{\alpha\alpha} = A_{\beta\beta} = 1$, one can assume positive $A_{\delta\delta} = 1$ [119]. Nevertheless, since the small population of δ cells do not have a significant chance to contact other δ cells, the sign of $A_{\delta\delta}$ may not be practically important. Regarding $A_{\beta\beta}$, in addition to the above autocrine interactions, the electrical interaction between β cells, through gap junctions [138], has been intensively studied. The electrical interaction is crucial to synchronize action potentials between β cells [32, 139–141]. Furthermore, the heterogeneity between β cells and the existence of hubs in the β -cell network have been experimentally explored [142, 143]. This positive role of the electrical coupling also supports the positivity of $A_{\beta\beta} = 1$.

Once the interaction signs are defined, one can run the phase dynamics of equation (10) for populations of islet cells. Then, the degree of phase coordination between cells can be measured using the following standard order parameter for coupled oscillators. For example, to measure the phase synchronization between α cells, one can define

$$R_\alpha e^{i\Theta_\alpha} = \frac{1}{N_\alpha} \sum_{k=1, \sigma(k)=\alpha}^N e^{i\theta_k}, \quad (11)$$

where R_α and Θ_α are the order parameter and average phase for α cells, and N_α is the number of α cells among total $N = N_\alpha + N_\beta + N_\delta$ cells. Then, R_α measures the degree of the order of glucagon oscillations between different α cells. Similarly, one can also define R_β and Θ_β for β cells, and R_δ and Θ_δ for δ cells. The phase coordination must depend on glucose conditions since the interaction strength $K_{\sigma(k)\sigma(j)}$ in equation (10) is governed by glucose. In particular, if one simplifies the cellular activity $r_\sigma(G)$ to be a function of G , the interaction strength can be defined using equation (7). Given the spatial arrangements of islet cells in human and mouse islets [18], phase synchronization has been examined for different glucose conditions [119]. Compared with the normal glucose condition, high- and low-glucose conditions

⁴Later when the amplitude dynamics $r_\sigma(t)$ is considered, the three fixed points become attractors.

show higher synchronizability with larger values of R_α , R_β , and R_δ . Furthermore, the phase difference between α and β cells is defined by using the average phases. The population model showed consistent results with the single-cell model of equation (8): $\Theta_\alpha - \Theta_\beta = \pi$ under high glucose; and $\Theta_\alpha - \Theta_\beta = 0$ under low glucose. Human and mouse islet structures showed similar conclusions [119], although the overall synchronizability is slightly diminished in the human islet structure where α , β , and δ cells are more intermingled.

Next, the theoretical study perturbed (i) the spatial organization of native islets and (ii) the native interaction signs [119]. First, the glucose-dependent (de)synchronization is diminished for other architectures. If α cells and β cells are completely sorted, they are always synchronized regardless of the glucose condition except for the exact normal glucose condition ($G = G_0$). In contrast, if α cells and β cells are completely intermingled to have a random organization, they show more desynchronization under every glucose condition. Therefore, the core-shell structure of mouse islets and the partially mixed structure of human islets are specific for controlling synchronization depending on glucose conditions. Second, the asymmetric interaction between α and β cells is necessary to show controllable synchronization. Both mutually positive interactions and mutually negative interactions lead to excessive synchronization regardless of glucose conditions. Therefore, the asymmetric interaction also plays an important role in controllable synchronization. Third, the inclusion of the third cell type, δ cells, provides another complexity, and their reciprocal interactions with α and β cells can prevent excessive synchronization. The controllable synchronization that is dependent on glucose conditions can have physiological significance. Under high- and low-glucose conditions, hormone synchronization can amplify the total hormone release during certain time windows. In contrast, under normal glucose conditions, the hormone desynchronization can suppress unnecessary actions of resting hormone release by instantaneous synchronization via fluctuations. The controllable synchronization is important in brain diseases [144], and its role has been highlighted in nonlinear dynamics [145, 146].

4. Network of networks

Islets are physically separated in the pancreas [147]. How the islets communicate and modulate the synchronization of their hormone secretion is a long-standing puzzle. If the hormone release of a million islets is not coordinated in the pancreas, the hormone concentrations in blood would be observed as constant levels, unlike the oscillatory behaviour observed [47, 102]. To explain the inter-islet synchronization, two hypotheses have been proposed. The first hypothesis states that every islet is controlled by the

central nervous system via the intrapancreatic ganglia [148, 149]. The second states that individual islets can be entrained by the fluctuations of the common stimulus, glucose [150–154]. Indeed, the entrainability of insulin release by glucose stimulation has been widely observed [155–160]. Glucose stimulates islets to release hormones, and then the hormones regulate glucose levels. This section introduces a closed circuit for modeling glucose regulation by the network of cell networks [161]. The complete model can be used to explore the intriguing question of how the local interactions between α , β , and δ cells can control the global synchronization between islets.

4.1. Closed circuit

Figure 2 illustrates glucose regulation by pancreatic hormones. First, the phase response of hormone oscillations for the glucose stimulus was introduced in equation (2). The phase equation can be generalized to consider multiple islets simply by introducing an islet index n :

$$\frac{d\theta_{n\sigma}}{dt} = \omega_{n\sigma} + g_\sigma(G) \cdot \cos \theta_{n\sigma}. \quad (12)$$

The amplitude response to the glucose stimulus is also known. As glucose levels increase, glucagon secretion decreases, whereas insulin and somatostatin secretions increase. The islet cells stay silent outside of certain glucose conditions, whereas they start to show oscillatory dynamics under certain glucose conditions. This switching oscillation with zero and non-zero amplitudes can be generally described by the Hopf bifurcation [162]:

$$\frac{dr_{n\sigma}}{dt} = (f_\sigma(G) - r_{n\sigma}^2) \cdot r_{n\sigma}. \quad (13)$$


To capture the known glucose-dose responses for glucagon, insulin, and somatostatin, the amplitude modulation factors $f_\sigma(G)$ can be defined by increasing or decreasing functions of glucose G :

$$f_\alpha(G) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left[1 - \tanh \left(\frac{G - G_0}{\eta} \right) \right] \quad (14)$$

$$f_\beta(G) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left[1 + \tanh \left(\frac{G - G_0}{\eta} \right) \right] \quad (15)$$

$$f_\delta(G) = \frac{a_\delta}{2} \cdot \left[1 + \tanh \left(\frac{G - G_0 + G_\delta}{\eta} \right) \right], \quad (16)$$

where $0 < a_\delta < 1$ indicates that the absolute concentration of insulin is larger than that of somatostatin, $G_\delta \approx 2$ mM indicates that the somatostatin dose response has a lower glucose threshold than the insulin dose response, and $\eta \approx 5$ mM determines the scale or slope of the glucose dose response. Then, equations (12) and (13) describe the dynamics of the phase and amplitude of non-interacting islet cells. If one uses a complex variable $Z_{n\sigma} = r_{n\sigma} \cdot e^{i\theta_{n\sigma}}$, the



corresponding phase and amplitude dynamics can be described by the following single equation:

$$\frac{dZ_{n\sigma}}{dt} = J_{n\sigma} \cdot Z_{n\sigma}, \quad (17)$$

where

$$J_{n\sigma} \equiv f_{\sigma} - Z_{n\sigma} \cdot Z_{n\sigma}^* + i\omega_{n\sigma} + ig_{\sigma} \cdot \frac{Z_{n\sigma} + Z_{n\sigma}^*}{\sqrt{4Z_{n\sigma} \cdot Z_{n\sigma}^*}}. \quad (18)$$

Then, the complex dynamics of interacting islet cells can be described by

$$\frac{dZ_{n\sigma}}{dt} = J_{n\sigma} \cdot Z_{n\sigma} + \sum_{\sigma' \neq \sigma} K_{\sigma\sigma'} \cdot Z_{n\sigma'}, \quad (19)$$

where the interacting terms make the amplitude and phase of σ cells follow the amplitude and phase of the driver, σ' cells. Note that the local interaction is effective only within the n th islet, not between cells in different islets. The complex dynamics of equation (19) can be decoupled to the amplitude and phase dynamics:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dr_{n\sigma}}{dt} = & (f_{\sigma}(G) - r_{n\sigma}^2) \cdot r_{n\sigma} \\ & + \kappa \sum_{\sigma' \neq \sigma} A_{\sigma\sigma'} \cdot r_{n\sigma'} \cdot \cos(\theta_{n\sigma'} - \theta_{n\sigma}), \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\theta_{n\sigma}}{dt} = & \omega_{n\sigma} + g_{\sigma}(G) \cdot \cos \theta_{n\sigma} \\ & + \kappa \sum_{\sigma' \neq \sigma} A_{\sigma\sigma'} \cdot \frac{r_{n\sigma'}}{r_{n\sigma}} \cdot \sin(\theta_{n\sigma'} - \theta_{n\sigma}). \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Note that the decoupled phase dynamics are the same as in equation (6). Then, the total hormone secretions from the total islets can be defined as

$$H_{\sigma} = \sum_n r_{n\sigma} \cdot \frac{(1 + \cos \theta_{n\sigma})}{2} \quad (22)$$

for $\sigma \in \{\alpha, \beta, \delta\}$.


Next, glucose regulation can be described by

$$\frac{dG}{dt} = \lambda \cdot (G_0 \cdot H_{\alpha} - G \cdot H_{\beta}) + G_{\text{ext}}(t), \quad (23)$$

where $\lambda = 1 \text{ min}^{-1} \text{ mM}^{-1}$ represents the effectiveness of hormones for glucose regulation. The glucose level is increased by glucagon secretion H_{α} and decreased by insulin secretion H_{β} . The glucose clearance by insulin is proportional to the present glucose concentration G . The multiplication of G_0 with H_{α} is introduced to guarantee the balance between H_{α} and H_{β} at the normal glucose level G_0 in the absence of external glucose infusion ($G_{\text{ext}}(t) = 0$). This equation completes the closed-loop model for glucose regulation [161].

4.2. Inter-islet synchronization

Based on the closed-circuit model for glucose regulation, several interesting questions have been explored [161]. Can the symmetry of local interactions between α , β , and δ cells change the total hormone consumption, degree of glucose fluctuations, and synchronizability between islets? Considering possible combinations of the interaction signs (positive, none, negative) between the three cell types, $729 (= 3^6)$ networks can be proposed. Figure 3 shows six possible networks out of these 729 as examples. The mutually positive or negative interactions between α and β cells lead to a substantial degree of hormone consumption because the net positive feedback loop amplifies its own hormone secretion. Here, the mutually negative loop is more harmful because it results in large glucose fluctuations. High-glucose conditions activate β cells and inactivate α cells. Given the mutually negative interactions, under high-glucose conditions, the inactive α cells cannot suppress active β cells, whereas the active β cells suppress inactive α cells. Thus both



glucose stimulation and paracrine interactions have consistent effects on determining the activities of α and β cells. Low-glucose conditions lead to similar consistency for the activities of α and β cells. The consistent stimulation of glucose and paracrine interactions can easily entrain the oscillations of α and β cells in different islets. Furthermore, the synchronized hormone release from the synchronized islet cells results in substantial changes in glucose levels. However, the mutually positive network can be resistant to large glucose fluctuations. Given the mutually positive interactions, the active β cells activate inactive α cells under high-glucose conditions. Then, α cells are unable to determine whether they should be inactive given the high-glucose conditions or active given the paracrine interaction from β cells. This inconsistent stimulation makes islet cells in different islets difficult to entrain by a common glucose stimulus due to the conflicting signals. One may consider another network in which α and β cells do not interact. This non-interacting network can also be easily entrained because every cell is stimulated solely by glucose in the absence of paracrine interactions without conflict.

Among the 729 networks, two fully anti-symmetric networks (figure 3) are specific because they can stably regulate glucose levels without much consumption of glucagon and insulin. More importantly, they can control the synchronizability between islets depending on glucose conditions. At low and high glucose, islets produce hormones synchronously, whereas under normal glucose conditions, islets produce hormones asynchronously. Here the desynchronization between islets at normal glucose is rooted in the existence of multiple fixed points for the phase differences between islet cells. The two fully anti-symmetric networks have this unique feature. Multiple

stable fixed points for the phase coordination between islet cells allow different islets to be placed in different dynamic states, preventing them from being entrained by glucose oscillations. However, the multiple fixed points disappear under low- and high-glucose conditions. Therefore, this mechanism of changing the number of fixed points provides the controllability of global hormone synchronization. One may be concerned that the synchronized hormone secretion under high- and low-glucose conditions may lead to vastly fluctuating glucose levels. Under high-glucose conditions, α cells in different islets are synchronized, and β cells in different islets are also synchronized. The average phase difference between α cells and β cells is out of phase. This condition may lead to large glucose fluctuations given equation (23). Interestingly, however, the phase multiplication between insulin $H_\beta(t)$ and glucose $G(t)$ avoids the exact out-of-phase relation between $G_0 \cdot H_\alpha(t)$ and $G(t) \cdot H_\beta(t)$ and then prevents large glucose fluctuations.

In the simple model, each islet includes single α , β , and δ cells. When populations of these cells are considered, the feature of controllable synchronization between islets is still intact [161], and the local interactions within single islets can be concluded to determine the global synchronization between islets.

5. Discussion

Glucose homeostasis is a critical regulatory process for energy maintenance in living systems. The α , β , and δ cells in the pancreatic islets respond to glucose perturbation, and produce hormones to regulate glucose homeostasis. Moreover, the oscillatory hormone secretion with a period of 5–10 min and the interaction between the hormones are essential features of the tripartite cell network. Biophysical

models of coupled oscillators provide novel insight into the cell network [117, 119, 161, 163]:

- Given the intrinsic oscillations of glucose and islet hormones, the anti-symmetric interactions between α , β , and δ cells can explain the phase relations between glucagon, insulin, and somatostatin, consistent with experimental observations.
- The anti-symmetric interactions can cause hormone secretion from islets or from cells within islets desynchronized under normal glucose conditions, which may be helpful in preventing unnecessary hormone actions due to temporal fluctuations. Under high- and low-glucose conditions, however, the interactions can synchronize the hormone secretion, which can amplify hormone concentrations within partial time windows.
- The closed-circuit model of glucose and hormone dynamics shows that the anti-symmetric interactions can stably regulate glucose homeostasis with few fluctuations and less consumption of insulin and glucagon.

5.1. Rhythm and phase

The bullet points suggest that *phases* of hormone oscillations can play an important physiological role in glucose homeostasis in addition to their absolute amplitudes. Indeed, oscillation is a general phenomenon exhibited by many hormones, including insulin [46, 164, 165]. Then, why do hormones oscillate with varying phases? Among possible functions, three hypotheses are as follows. First, if the long-range messenger hormone acts constantly on its target receptors on peripheral cells, the receptors may easily lose their sensitivity. Therefore, the oscillatory action may provide resting periods for the receptors to recover their sensitivity. Thus hormone oscillation can prevent receptor desensitization [44, 45]. Second, if hormone levels show temporal oscillations, the regular signals can impose *time* for peripheral cells to predict and prepare their future responses, as many biological rhythms do [166]. Third, if oscillatory hormones are secreted by multiple cell sources, the degree of coordination between them may lead to sizable difference in their total hormone secretion. For example, once the oscillations are perfectly synchronized, the total hormone level can be instantaneously very large during certain time windows. Therefore, in addition to the simple amplitude modulation of hormone secretion, the phase coordination between hormone secretions opens another avenue for signaling [119]. This review focused on the functional role of the phase coordination between slow hormone oscillations over a period of 5–10 min.

The cellular interactions and spatial arrangements of islets appear to be specific to allow the synchronization of controllable hormone secretion. Unlike symmetric interactions such as mutually positive or mutually negative interactions, anti-symmetric interactions allow flexibility in the phase coordination of hormone secretion depending on glucose conditions [119, 161]. In addition, the core-shell structure of mouse islets and the partially mixed structure of human islets are unique to allow controllability, whereas completely sorted (mixed) arrangements of islet cells lead only to synchronized (desynchronized) hormone secretion [119]. Finally, why are islets physically separate and scattered throughout the pancreas [147], unlike single organs such as the brain, liver, kidney, and lung? We speculate that the physically separate arrangements would be better for desynchronization of hormone secretion from different islets under normal conditions. However, once necessary, the system changes the default condition from desynchronization to synchronization in response to a global signal from glucose or the central nervous system.

Information about oscillations and their phases can be useful for the clinical diagnosis and treatment of type II diabetes. The current diagnosis mostly relies on the absolute level of glucose, which defines diabetes. Furthermore, some diabetic patients show abnormally high concentrations of insulin in the blood, insulinemia, since more insulin is required to stimulate desensitized insulin receptors undergoing insulin resistance. In addition, a paradoxically high concentration of glucagon in the blood, glucagonemia, is also observed in hyperglycaemia under diabetes [167–169]. However, beyond the absolute levels of physiological quantities, rhythmic processes and their synchronization must have additional information in physiology [170]. The relevance of the pulsatile patterns of hormone secretion to glucose regulation and the diagnosis of diabetes has been emphasized [46]. Moreover, a diminished out-of-phase relation between insulin and glucagon pulses has been observed in diabetic patients [48, 49]. Despite the usefulness of phase information, precisely measuring glucose and hormone concentrations with fine temporal resolution is challenging. The blood concentrations of insulin, glucagon, and somatostatin are nanomolar, picomolar, and femtomolar, respectively. Recent novel methods, however, are overcoming this challenge. For example, insulin secretion from isolated islets can be monitored using online fluorescence anisotropy immunoassays [171] and microfluidic devices [172], and real-time hormone release kinetics have been monitored using high-content 3D imaging of compensatory endocytosis [173]. Furthermore, the current development of continuous glucose monitoring (CGM) systems [174, 175] can provide fine temporal resolution for glucose measurement. In conjunction with the advancing measurement methods, the recent revolution of machine

learning promises sensitive detection for abnormalities in the time series data of glucose and hormone oscillations. A recent study has applied machine learning to forecast hypoglycaemia and hyperglycaemia by training with blood glucose levels [176]. Thus given the advanced measurement and analysis tools for the time series data on insulin, glucagon, somatostatin and glucose, their phase information will be increasingly important to probe the progress of diabetes, which is currently challenging because diabetes has long latent periods before showing hyperglycaemia. In addition to the diagnosis, the phase of hormone oscillation may be useful for the treatment of diabetic patients. Unlike current insulin pumps with episodic insulin injection [177–179], a continuous hormone injection with an oscillatory manner may be more effective in maintaining glucose homeostasis as the native pancreas does. An artificial pancreas may further improve its performance by using glucagon and somatostatin as well as insulin. Indeed, a recent bi-hormonal closed-loop artificial pancreas using both insulin and glucagon demonstrated that preventing hyperglycaemia is more effective under excessive insulin injection [180].

5.2. Complex systems

Although this review focused on the slow oscillation with a period of 5–10 min, pancreatic islets generate hierarchical rhythms of fast, slow, and ultradian oscillations with various time scales from milliseconds to hours [31–36], and the complex system further interacts with the circadian rhythm in the body [181, 182]. To understand the coordination of the physiological rhythms, studying the interactions between components in the complex system is necessary. Indeed, the puzzle of intra-islet interactions and their relevance to diabetes has been much discussed in early studies of islet biology [183]. However, later research became insulin-centric with an emphasis on β cells. Nevertheless, the importance of the balance between insulin and glucagon or a glucagonocentric view has been continuously mentioned with the abnormal functions of α cells in diabetes [167–169, 184–186]. Recently, islet biologists have resumed emphasizing the role of δ cells in glucose control [187–189], which was reported 40 years ago [190, 191]. In addition to α , β , and δ cells, pancreatic islets have more cell types (<1%) such as γ cells (secreting pancreatic polypeptides) and ϵ cells (ghrelin) [50, 51, 192]. The minor cell types may interact with other islet cells. A recent study showed that ghrelin receptors are selectively expressed on δ cells and that ghrelin can promote somatostatin release [193]. Thus a systematic understanding of the populations of cells and their interactions is necessary for the complete understanding of glucose homeostasis. Furthermore, to understand the general energy homeostasis, the homeostasis of other nutrients (e.g. fatty acids [194]) should also

be considered. Metabolic hormones are important messengers in coordinating homeostasis of different nutrients. A gut-derived hormone, incretin, serves to signal food transit into β cells in the pancreas, and the signal is disrupted under lipotoxicity [118]. Similar to the counter-regulation of insulin and glucagon, ghrelin, produced in the stomach and intestines, stimulates appetite in the hypothalamus, whereas leptin, produced by adipose tissues, inhibits appetite. It is of particular interest that ghrelin and leptin levels also oscillate and their phases are synchronous in fasting rats [195].

5.3. Biophysical modeling

To integrate various observations and systematically understand such complex systems, biophysical modeling is necessary. This review introduced proof-of-concept models that incorporate qualitative observations and examine their functional implications. The models considered that (i) islet cells produce oscillatory hormones; (ii) the interactions between islet cells are anti-symmetric; (iii) the amplitude and phase of the hormone oscillations respond to glucose conditions; and (iv) glucose levels are increased by glucagon and decreased by insulin. Nevertheless, given the qualitative information, the simple model provided interesting insights into the coordination and synchronization of hormone secretion in the pancreas. However, to provide more quantitative understanding and predictions, more specific models are necessary. For example, electrophysiological models of α , β , and δ cells have been used to explain the mechanism of suppressed glucagon secretion under high-glucose conditions [25], and the paracrine regulation of glucagon secretion [116]. Furthermore, defective insulin secretion has been explained by a mathematical model that integrates *in vitro* and *in vivo* observations [196]. Given the era of big data, biophysical modeling is expected to contribute more importantly to the understanding of energy homeostasis and human physiology and to the diagnosis and treatment of physiological dysfunction.

Acknowledgment

This research was supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF), funded by the Ministry of Education (2016R1D1A1B03932264) (JJ), and the Ministry of Science, ICT and Future Planning (2017R1D1A1B03034600) (TS).

ORCID iDs

Taegeun Song  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1511-6626>

Junghyo Jo  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1660-8662>

References

- [1] von Bertalanffy L 1950 The theory of open systems in physics and biology *Science* **111** 23–9
- [2] Glansdorff P, Prigogine I and Hill R N 1973 Thermodynamic theory of structure, stability and fluctuations *Am. J. Phys.* **41** 147–8
- [3] Lang D A, Matthews D R, Peto J and Turner R C 1979 Cyclic oscillations of basal plasma glucose and insulin concentrations in human beings *New Engl. J. Med.* **301** 1023–7
- [4] Orzi L and Unger R 1975 Functional subdivision of islets of langerhans and possible role of d cells *Lancet* **306** 1243–4
- [5] Guillemin R and Gerich J E 1976 Somatostatin: physiological and clinical significance *Annu. Rev. Med.* **27** 379–88
- [6] Epelbaum J 1986 Somatostatin in the central nervous system: physiology and pathological modifications *Prog. Neurobiol.* **27** 63–100
- [7] Lucey M 1986 Endogenous somatostatin and the gut *Gut* **27** 457
- [8] Cherrington A D, Chiasson J L, Liljenquist J E, Jennings A S, Keller U and Lacy W W 1976 The role of insulin and glucagon in the regulation of basal glucose production in the postabsorptive dog *J. Clin. Invest.* **58** 1407–18
- [9] Koerker D J, Ruch W, Chideckel E, Palmer J, Goodner C J, Ensink J and Gale C C 1974 Somatostatin: hypothalamic inhibitor of the endocrine pancreas *Science* **184** 482–4
- [10] Bloom S R 1978 Somatostatin and the gut *Gastroenterology* **75** 145–7
- [11] Koh D-S, Cho J-H and Chen L 2012 Paracrine interactions within islets of langerhans *J. Mol. Neurosci.* **48** 429–40
- [12] Gylfe E and Tengholm A 2014 Neurotransmitter control of islet hormone pulsatility *Diabetes Obesity Metab.* **16** 102–10
- [13] Otter S and Lammert E 2016 Exciting times for pancreatic islets: glutamate signaling in endocrine cells *Trends Endocrinol. Metab.* **27** 177–88 (Special focus: the endocrine pancreas)
- [14] Brissova M, Fowler M J, Nicholson W E, Chu A, Hirshberg B, Harlan D M and Powers A C 2005 Assessment of human pancreatic islet architecture and composition by laser scanning confocal microscopy *J. Histochem. Cytochem.* **53** 1087–97
- [15] Cabrera O, Berman D M, Kenyon N S, Ricordi C, Berggren P-O and Caicedo A 2006 The unique cytoarchitecture of human pancreatic islets has implications for islet cell function *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.* **103** 2334–9
- [16] Kim A, Miller K, Jo J, Kilimnik G, Wojcik P and Hara M 2009 Islet architecture: a comparative study *Islets* **1** 129–36
- [17] Bosco D, Armanet M, Morel P, Niclauss N, Sgroi A, Muller Y D, Giovannoni L, Parnaud G and Berney T 2010 Unique arrangement of and cells in human islets of langerhans *Diabetes* **59** 1202–10
- [18] Hoang D-T, Matsunari H, Nagaya M, Nagashima H, Millis J M, Witkowski P, Periwal V, Hara M and Jo J 2014 A conserved rule for pancreatic islet organization *PLoS One* **9** 1–9
- [19] Ashcroft F M and Rorsman P 1989 Electrophysiology of the pancreatic β -cell *Prog. Biophys. Mol. Biol.* **54** 87–143
- [20] Henquin J C and Gilon P 1995 Distinct effects of glucose on the synchronous oscillations of insulin release and cytoplasmic Ca^{2+} concentration measured simultaneously in single mouse islets *Endocrinology* **136** 5725–30
- [21] Bergsten P 1998 Glucose-induced pulsatile insulin release from single islets at stable and oscillatory cytoplasmic Ca^{2+} *Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab.* **274** E796–800
- [22] Neher E 1995 The use of FURA-2 for estimating CA buffers and CA fluxes *Neuropharmacology* **34** 1423–42
- [23] Göpel S, Kanno T, Barg S, Weng X-G, Gromada J and Rorsman P 2000 Regulation of glucagon release in mouse α -cells by katp channels and inactivation of TTX-sensitive Na^{+} channels *J. Physiol.* **528** 509–20
- [24] Ramracheya R, Ward C, Shigeto M, Walker J N, Amisten S, Zhang Q, Johnson P R, Rorsman P and Braun M 2010 Membrane potential-dependent inactivation of voltage-gated ion channels in α -cells inhibits glucagon secretion from human islets *Diabetes* **59** 2198–208
- [25] Watts M and Sherman A 2014 Modeling the pancreatic α -cell: dual mechanisms of glucose suppression of glucagon secretion *Biophys. J.* **106** 741–51
- [26] Vieira E, Salehi A and Gylfe E 2007 Glucose inhibits glucagon secretion by a direct effect on mouse pancreatic alpha cells *Diabetologia* **50** 370–9
- [27] Walker J N, Ramracheya R, Zhang Q, Johnson P R V, Braun M and Rorsman P 2011 Regulation of glucagon secretion by glucose: paracrine, intrinsic or both? *Diabetes Obesity Metab.* **13** 95–105
- [28] Gylfe E and Gilon P 2014 Glucose regulation of glucagon secretion *Diabetes Res. Clin. Prac.* **103** 1–10
- [29] Gylfe E 2016 Glucose control of glucagon secretion—‘there’s a brand-new gimmick every year’ *Upsala J. Med. Sci.* **121** 120–32
- [30] Hille B *et al* 2001 *Ion Channels of Excitable Membranes* vol 507 (Sunderland, MA: Sinauer)
- [31] Dean P and Matthews E 1968 Electrical activity in pancreatic islet cells *Nature* **219** 389
- [32] Sherman A and Rinzel J 1991 Model for synchronization of pancreatic β -cells by gap junction coupling *Biophys. J.* **59** 547–59
- [33] Bertram R, Satin L, Zhang M, Smolen P and Sherman A 2004 Calcium and glycolysis mediate multiple bursting modes in pancreatic islets *Biophys. J.* **87** 3074–87
- [34] Nunemaker C S, Bertram R, Sherman A, Tsaneva-Atanasova K, Daniel C R and Satin L S 2006 Glucose modulates $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$ oscillations in pancreatic islets via ionic and glycolytic mechanisms *Biophys. J.* **91** 2082–96
- [35] Sturis J, Polonsky K, Shapiro E T, Blackman J, O’Meara N and Van Cauter E 1992 Abnormalities in the ultradian oscillations of insulin secretion and glucose levels in type 2 (non-insulin-dependent) diabetic patients *Diabetologia* **35** 681–9
- [36] Simon C and Brandenberger G 2002 Ultradian oscillations of insulin secretion in humans *Diabetes* **51** S258–61
- [37] Larsson O, Kindmark H, Brandstrom R, Fredholm B and Berggren P-O 1996 Oscillations in katp channel activity promote oscillations in cytoplasmic free Ca^{2+} concentration in the pancreatic beta cell *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.* **93** 5161–5
- [38] Kindmark H, Köhler M, Arkhammar P, Efendic S, Larsson O, Linder S, Nilsson T and Berggren P 1994 Oscillations in cytoplasmic free calcium concentration in human pancreatic islets from subjects with normal and impaired glucose tolerance *Diabetologia* **37** 1121–31
- [39] Chou H-F and Ipp E 1990 Pulsatile insulin secretion in isolated rat islets *Diabetes* **39** 112–7
- [40] Stagner J I, Samols E and Weir G C 1980 Sustained oscillations of insulin, glucagon, and somatostatin from the isolated canine pancreas during exposure to a constant glucose concentration *J. Clin. Invest.* **65** 939–42
- [41] Hellman B, Salehi A, Gylfe E, Dansk H and Grapengiesser E 2009 Glucose generates coincident insulin and somatostatin pulses and antisynchronous glucagon pulses from human pancreatic islets *Endocrinology* **150** 5334–40
- [42] Hellman B, Salehi A, Grapengiesser E and Gylfe E 2012 Isolated mouse islets respond to glucose with an initial peak of glucagon release followed by pulses of insulin and somatostatin in antisynchrony with glucagon *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **417** 1219–23
- [43] Matveyenko A V *et al* 2012 Pulsatile portal vein insulin delivery enhances hepatic insulin action and signaling *Diabetes* **61** 2269–79
- [44] Karlsson F A, Obberghen E V, Grunfeld C and Kahn C R 1979 Desensitization of the insulin receptor at an early postreceptor step by prolonged exposure to antireceptor antibody *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.* **76** 809–13
- [45] Li Y and Goldbeter A 1992 Pulsatile signaling in intercellular communication. Periodic stimuli are more efficient than random or chaotic signals in a model based on receptor desensitization *Biophys. J.* **61** 161–71
- [46] Brabant G, Prank K and Schoff C 1992 Pulsatile patterns in hormone secretion *Trends Endocrinol. Metab.* **3** 183–90
- [47] Satin L S, Butler P C, Ha J and Sherman A S 2015 Pulsatile insulin secretion, impaired glucose tolerance and type 2

- diabetes *Mol. Asp. Med.* **42** 61–77 (Pancreatic beta cell failure and diabetes)
- [48] Menge B A, Grüber L, Jørgensen S M, Deacon C F, Schmidt W E, Veldhuis J D, Holst J J and Meier J J 2011 Loss of inverse relationship between pulsatile insulin and glucagon secretion in patients with type 2 diabetes *Diabetes* **60** 2160–8
 - [49] Rohrer S, Menge B A, Grüber L, Deacon C F, Schmidt W E, Veldhuis J D, Holst J J and Meier J J 2012 Impaired crosstalk between pulsatile insulin and glucagon secretion in prediabetic individuals *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* **97** E791–5
 - [50] Baron M *et al* 2016 A single-cell transcriptomic map of the human and mouse pancreas reveals inter- and intra-cell population structure *Cell Syst.* **3** 346–60
 - [51] Segerstolpe Å *et al* 2016 Single-cell transcriptome profiling of human pancreatic islets in health and type 2 diabetes *Cell Metab.* **24** 593–607
 - [52] Taborsky G Jr 1983 Evidence of a paracrine role for pancreatic somatostatin *in vivo* *Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab.* **245** E598–603
 - [53] Gerich J E, Lovinger R and Grodsky G M 1975 Inhibition by somatostatin of glucagon and insulin release from the perfused rat pancreas in response to arginine, isoproterenol and theophylline: evidence for a preferential effect on glucagon secretion *Endocrinology* **96** 749–54
 - [54] Strowski M, Parmar R, Blake A and Schaeffer J 2000 Somatostatin inhibits insulin and glucagon secretion via two receptor subtypes: an *in vitro* study of pancreatic islets from somatostatin receptor 2 knockout mice *Endocrinology* **141** 111–7
 - [55] Lai B-K *et al* 2018 Somatostatin is only partly required for the glucagonostatic effect of glucose but is necessary for the glucagonostatic effect of k_{atp} channel blockers *Diabetes* **67** 2239–53
 - [56] Hauge-Evans A C, King A J, Carmignac D, Richardson C C, Robinson I C, Low M J, Christie M R, Persaud S J and Jones P M 2009 Somatostatin secreted by islet δ -cells fulfills multiple roles as a paracrine regulator of islet function *Diabetes* **58** 403–11
 - [57] Sharp G 1996 Mechanisms of inhibition of insulin release *Am. J. Physiol. Cell Physiol.* **271** C1781–99
 - [58] Rorsman P, Ashcroft F M and Berggren P-O 1991 Regulation of glucagon release from pancreatic α -cells *Biochem. Pharmacol.* **41** 1783–90
 - [59] Samols E, Marri G and Marks V 1965 Promotion of insulin secretion by glucagon *Lancet* **286** 415–6
 - [60] Kawai K, Yokota C, Ohashi S, Watanabe Y and Yamashita K 1995 Evidence that glucagon stimulates insulin secretion through its own receptor in rats *Diabetologia* **38** 274–6
 - [61] Gromada J, Franklin I and Wollheim C B 2007 Alpha-cells of the endocrine pancreas: 35 years of research but the enigma remains *Endocrine Rev.* **28** 84–116
 - [62] Rodriguez-Diaz R, Dando R, Jacques-Silva M C, Fachado A, Molina J, Abdulreda M H, Ricordi C, Roper S D, Berggren P-O and Caicedo A 2011 Alpha cells secrete acetylcholine as a non-neuronal paracrine signal priming beta cell function in humans *Nat. Med.* **17** 888
 - [63] Patton G S, Dobbs R, Orci L, Vale W and Unger R H 1976 Stimulation of pancreatic immunoreactive somatostatin (IRS) release by glucagon *Metabolism* **25** 1499
 - [64] Weir G C, Samols E, Day J A and Patel Y C 1978 Glucose and glucagon stimulate the secretion of somatostatin from the perfused canine pancreas *Metabolism* **27** 1223–6 (*Somatostatin Symp.*)
 - [65] Dolais-Kitabgi J, Kitabgi P and Freychet P 1981 Glucose and glucagon do stimulate somatostatin release from isolated pancreatic islets *Diabetologia* **21** 238
 - [66] Muroyama A, Uehara S, Yatsushiro S, Echigo N, Morimoto R, Morita M, Hayashi M, Yamamoto A, Koh D-S and Moriyama Y 2004 A novel variant of ionotropic glutamate receptor regulates somatostatin secretion from δ -cells of islets of langerhans *Diabetes* **53** 1743–53
 - [67] Samols E and Harrison J 1976 Intra-islet negative insulin-glucagon feedback *Metabolism* **25** 1443–7
 - [68] Rorsman P, Berggren P-O, Bokvist K, Ericson H, Möhler H, Östenson C-G and Smith P A 1989 Glucose-inhibition of glucagon secretion involves activation of gaba_A-receptor chloride channels *Nature* **341** 233–6
 - [69] Ishihara H, Maechler P, Gjinovci A, Herrera P-L and Wollheim C B 2003 Islet β -cell secretion determines glucagon release from neighbouring α -cells *Nat. Cell Biol.* **5** 330
 - [70] Wendt A, Birnir B, Buschard K, Gromada J, Salehi A, Sewing S, Rorsman P and Braun M 2004 Glucose inhibition of glucagon secretion from rat alpha-cells is mediated by gaba released from neighboring β -cells *Diabetes* **53** 1038–45
 - [71] Ravier M A, Güldenagel M, Charollais A, Gjinovci A, Caille D, Söhl G, Wollheim C B, Willecke K, Henquin J-C and Meda P 2005 Loss of connexin36 channels alters β -cell coupling, islet synchronization of glucose-induced Ca^{2+} and insulin oscillations, and basal insulin release *Diabetes* **54** 1798–807
 - [72] Franklin I, Gromada J, Gjinovci A, Theander S and Wollheim C B 2005 β -cell secretory products activate α -cell atp-dependent potassium channels to inhibit glucagon release *Diabetes* **54** 1808–15
 - [73] Tuduri E, Filiputti E, Carneiro E M and Quesada I 2008 Inhibition of Ca^{2+} signaling and glucagon secretion in mouse pancreatic α -cells by extracellular atp and purinergic receptors *Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab.* **294** E952–60
 - [74] Honey R N and Weir G C 1979 Insulin stimulates somatostatin and inhibits glucagon secretion from the perfused chicken pancreas-duodenum *Life Sci.* **24** 1747–50
 - [75] Bertrand G, Gross R, Ribes G and Loubatières-Mariani M-M 1990 P2 purinoceptor agonists stimulate somatostatin secretion from dog pancreas *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* **182** 369–73
 - [76] van der Meulen D, Talitha C J, Cáceres E, Hunter A E, Cowing-Zitron C, Pound L D, Adams M W, Zembrzycki A, Grove K L and Huising M O 2015 Urocortin3 mediates somatostatin-dependent negative feedback control of insulin secretion *Nat. Med.* **21** 769–76
 - [77] Goodner C J, Walike B C, Koerker D J, Ensink J W, Brown A C, Chideckel E W, Palmer J and Kalnasy L 1977 Insulin, glucagon, and glucose exhibit synchronous, sustained oscillations in fasting monkeys *Science* **195** 177–9
 - [78] Hermansen K 1981 Pancreatic d-cell recognition of d-glucose: studies with d-glucose, d-glyceraldehyde, dihydroxyacetone, d-mannoheptulose, d-fructose, d-galactose, and d-ribose *Diabetes* **30** 203–10
 - [79] Lang D A, Matthews D R, Burnett M, Ward G M and Turner R C 1982 Pulsatile, synchronous basal insulin and glucagon secretion in man *Diabetes* **31** 22–6
 - [80] Jaspan J B, Lever E, Polonsky K S and Van Cauter E 1986 *In vivo* pulsatility of pancreatic islet peptides *Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab.* **251** E215–26
 - [81] Hansen B C, Jen K-L C, Wolfe R A and Pek S B 1982 Rapid oscillations in plasma insulin, glucagon, and glucose in obese and normal weight humans *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* **54** 785–92
 - [82] Jo J, Choi M Y and Koh D-S 2007 Size distribution of mouse langerhans islets *Biophys. J.* **93** 2655–66
 - [83] Jo J, Hara M, Ahlgren U, Sorenson R and Periwai V 2012 Mathematical models of pancreatic islet size distributions *Islets* **4** 10–9
 - [84] Steiner D J, Kim A, Miller K and Hara M 2010 Pancreatic islet plasticity: interspecies comparison of islet architecture and composition *Islets* **2** 135–45
 - [85] Brelje T C, Scharp D W and Sorenson R L 1989 Three-dimensional imaging of intact isolated islets of langerhans with confocal microscopy *Diabetes* **38** 808–14
 - [86] Halban P A, Powers S L, George K L and Bonner-Weir S 1987 Spontaneous reassociation of dispersed adult rat pancreatic islet cells into aggregates with three-dimensional architecture typical of native islets *Diabetes* **36** 783–90
 - [87] Hopcroft D W, Mason D R and Scott R S 1985 Insulin secretion from perfused rat pancreatic pseudoislets *In Vitro Cell Dev. Biol.* **21** 421–7
 - [88] Britt L D, Stojeba P C, Scharp C R, Greider M H and Scharp D W 1981 Neonatal pig pseudo-islets. A product of selective aggregation *Diabetes* **30** 580–3

- [89] Kuo C Y, Herrod H G and Burghen G A 1992 Formation of pseudoislets from human pancreatic cultures *Pancreas* **7** 320–5
- [90] Halban P A, Powers S L, George K L and Bonner-Weir S 1988 Altered differentiated cell surface properties of transformed (RINM5F) compared with native adult rat pancreatic b cells *Endocrinology* **123** 113–9
- [91] Rouiller D G, Cirulli V and Halban P A 1990 Differences in aggregation properties and levels of the neural cell adhesion molecule (NCAM) between islet cell types *Exp. Cell Res.* **191** 305–12
- [92] Rouiller D G, Cirulli V and Halban P A 1991 Uvomorulin mediates calcium-dependent aggregation of islet cells, whereas calcium-independent cell adhesion molecules distinguish between islet cell types *Dev. Biol.* **148** 233–42
- [93] Cirulli V, Baetens D, Rutishauser U, Halban P A, Orci L and Rouiller D G 1994 Expression of neural cell adhesion molecule (N-CAM) in rat islets and its role in islet cell type segregation *J. Cell Sci.* **107** 1429–36
- [94] Jia D, Dajusta D and Foty R A 2007 Tissue surface tensions guide *in vitro* self-assembly of rodent pancreatic islet cells *Dev. Dyn.* **236** 2039–49
- [95] Steinberg M S 1963 Reconstruction of tissues by dissociated cells. Some morphogenetic tissue movements and the sorting out of embryonic cells may have a common explanation *Science* **141** 401–8
- [96] Steinberg M S 2007 Differential adhesion in morphogenesis: a modern view *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* **17** 281–6
- [97] Maruyama H, Hisatomi A, Orci L, Grodsky G M and Unger R H 1984 Insulin within islets is a physiologic glucagon release inhibitor *J. Clin. Invest.* **74** 2296–9
- [98] Jo J, Choi M Y and Koh D-S 2009 Beneficial effects of intercellular interactions between pancreatic islet cells in blood glucose regulation *J. Theor. Biol.* **257** 312–9
- [99] Rodriguez-Diaz R *et al* 2018 Paracrine interactions within the pancreatic islet determine the glycemic set point *Cell Metab.* **27** 549–58
- [100] Briant L J B, Reinbothe T M, Spiliotis I, Miranda C, Rodriguez B and Rorsman P 2018 δ -cells and β -cells are electrically coupled and regulate α -cell activity via somatostatin *J. Physiol.* **596** 197–215
- [101] Vergari E *et al* 2019 Insulin inhibits glucagon release by SGLT2-induced stimulation of somatostatin secretion *Nat. Commun.* **10** 139
- [102] Pørksen N 2002 The *in vivo* regulation of pulsatile insulin secretion *Diabetologia* **45** 3–20
- [103] Henquin J-C, Dufrane D, Kerr-Conte J and Nenquin M 2015 Dynamics of glucose-induced insulin secretion in normal human islets *Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab.* **309** E640–50
- [104] Fernandez J and Valdeolmillos M 2000 Synchronous glucose-dependent $[Ca(2+)](i)$ oscillations in mouse pancreatic islets of langerhans recorded *in vivo* *FEBS Lett.* **477** 33–6
- [105] Gilon P, Ravier M A, Jonas J-C and Henquin J-C 2002 Control mechanisms of the oscillations of insulin secretion *in vitro* and *in vivo* *Diabetes* **51** S144–51
- [106] Tengholm A and Gylfe E 2009 Oscillatory control of insulin secretion *Mol. Cellular Endocrinol.* **297** 58–72 (special issue: endocrine aspects of Type II diabetes)
- [107] Grapengiesser E, Gylfe E and Hellman B 1991 Cyclic amp as a determinant for glucose induction of fast Ca^{2+} oscillations in isolated pancreatic β -cells *J. Biol. Chem.* **266** 12207–10
- [108] Hellman B, Gylfe E, Grapengiesser E, Lund P E and Berts A 1992 Cytoplasmic Ca^{2+} oscillations in pancreatic β -cells *Biochimica Biophys. Acta* **1113** 295–305
- [109] Berts A, Ball A, Gylfe E and Hellman B 1996 Suppression of Ca^{2+} oscillations in glucagon-producing α -cells by insulin/ glucose and amino acids *Biochimica Biophys. Acta* **1310** 212–6
- [110] Ball A, Berts A, Hellman B, Gylfe E and Dryselius G 1996 Glucose stimulation of somatostatin-producing islet cells involves oscillatory Ca^{2+} signaling *Endocrinology* **137** 693–7
- [111] Lee B, Song T, Lee K, Kim J, Han S, Berggren P-O, Ryu S H and Jo J 2017 Phase modulation of insulin pulses enhances glucose regulation and enables inter-islet synchronization *PLoS One* **12** 1–15
- [112] Shinomoto S K Y 1986 Phase transitions in active rotator systems *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **75** 11050
- [113] Kurrer C and Schulten K 1995 Noise-induced synchronous neuronal oscillations *Phys. Rev. E* **51** 6213–8
- [114] Pakdaman K 2002 The reliability of the stochastic active rotator *Neural Comput.* **14** 781–92
- [115] Adler R 1946 A study of locking phenomena in oscillators *Proc. IRE* **34** 351
- [116] Watts M, Ha J, Kimchi O and Sherman A 2016 Paracrine regulation of glucagon secretion: the $\beta/\alpha/\delta$ model *Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab.* **310** E597–611
- [117] Hong H, Jo J and Sin S-J 2013 Stable and flexible system for glucose homeostasis *Phys. Rev. E* **88** 032711
- [118] Hodson D J *et al* 2013 Lipotoxicity disrupts incretin-regulated human β cell connectivity *J. Clin. Invest.* **123** 4182–94
- [119] Hoang D-T, Hara M and Jo J 2016 Design principles of pancreatic islets: glucose-dependent coordination of hormone pulses *PLoS One* **11** e0152446
- [120] Cabrera O *et al* 2008 Glutamate is a positive autocrine signal for glucagon release *Cell Metab.* **7** 545–54
- [121] Cho J-H, Chen L, Chow R H, Hille B, Kim M-H and Koh D-S 2010 Characteristics and functions of β -Amino-3-Hydroxy-5-Methyl-4-Isoxazolepropionate receptors expressed in mouse pancreatic β -cells *Endocrinology* **151** 1541–50
- [122] Leibiger B, Moede T, Muhandiram T P, Kaiser D, Sanchez P V, Leibiger I B and Berggren P-O 2012 Glucagon regulates its own synthesis by autocrine signaling *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.* **109** 20925–30
- [123] Gilon P, Cheng-Xue R, Lai B K, Chae H and Gomez Ruiz A 2015 Physiological and pathophysiological control of Glucagon secretion by pancreatic α -cells *The Islets of Langerhans* 2nd edn, ed M S Islam (New York: Springer) pp 1–69
- [124] Brereton H, Carvell M J, Persaud S J and Jones P M 2007 Islet α -cells do not influence insulin secretion from β -cells through cell–cell contact *Endocrine* **31** 61–5
- [125] Almaça J, Molina J, Menegaz D, Pronin A N, Tamayo A, Slepak V, Berggren P O and Caicedo A 2016 Human beta cells produce and release serotonin to inhibit glucagon secretion from alpha cells *Cell Rep.* **17** 3281–91
- [126] Aspinwall C A, Lakey J R T and Kennedy R T 1999 Insulin-stimulated insulin secretion in single pancreatic beta cells *J. Biol. Chem.* **274** 6360–5
- [127] Aspinwall C A, Qian W-J, Roper M G, Kulkarni R N, Kahn C R and Kennedy R T 2000 Roles of insulin receptor substrate-1, phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase, and release of intracellular Ca^{2+} stores in insulin-stimulated insulin secretion in β -cells *J. Biol. Chem.* **275** 22331–8
- [128] Leibiger I B, Leibiger B and Berggren P-O 2002 Insulin feedback action on pancreatic β -cell function *FEBS Lett.* **532** 1–6
- [129] Jacques-Silva M C *et al* 2010 Atp-gated $P2\times_3$ receptors constitute a positive autocrine signal for insulin release in the human pancreatic β cell *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.* **107** 6465–70
- [130] Braun M, Ramracheya R, Bengtsson M, Clark A, Walker J N, Johnson P R and Rorsman P 2010 γ -aminobutyric acid (GABA) is an autocrine excitatory transmitter in human pancreatic β -cells *Diabetes* **59** 1694–701
- [131] Braun M, Ramracheya R and Rorsman P 2012 Autocrine regulation of insulin secretion *Diabetes Obesity Metab.* **14** 143–51
- [132] Daunt M, Dale O and Smith P A 2006 Somatostatin inhibits oxidative respiration in pancreatic β -cells *Endocrinology* **147** 1527–35
- [133] Uehara S, Muroyama A, Echigo N, Morimoto R, Otsuka M, Yatsushiro S and Moriyama Y 2004 Metabotropic glutamate receptor type 4 is involved in autoinhibitory cascade for glucagon secretion by α -cells of islet of langerhans *Diabetes* **53** 998–1006
- [134] Khan F A, Goforth P B, Zhang M and Satin L S 2001 Insulin activates ATP-sensitive K^+ channels in pancreatic β -cells through a phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase-dependent pathway *Diabetes* **50** 2192–8

- [135] Rhodes C J, White M F, Leahy J L and Kahn S E 2013 Direct autocrine action of insulin on β -cells: does it make physiological sense? *Diabetes* **62** 2157–63
- [136] Striegel D A, Hara M and Periwai V 2016 Adaptation of pancreatic islet cyto-architecture during development *Phys. Biol.* **13** 025004
- [137] Kim H *et al* 2010 Serotonin regulates pancreatic beta cell mass during pregnancy *Nat. Med.* **16** 804–8
- [138] Meda P 2018 Gap junction proteins are key drivers of endocrine function *Biochimica Biophys. Acta* **1860** 124–40 (Gap junction proteins)
- [139] Benninger R K P, Head W S, Zhang M, Satin L S and Piston D W 2011 Gap junctions and other mechanisms of cell–cell communication regulate basal insulin secretion in the pancreatic islet *J. Physiol.* **589** 5453–66
- [140] Benninger R K and Piston D W 2014 Cellular communication and heterogeneity in pancreatic islet insulin secretion dynamics *Trends Endocrinol. Metab.* **25** 399–406
- [141] Hraha T H, Westacott M J, Pozzoli M, Notary A M, McClatchey P M and Benninger R K P 2014 Phase transitions in the multi-cellular regulatory behavior of pancreatic islet excitability *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **10** 1–14
- [142] Johnston N R *et al* 2016 Beta cell hubs dictate pancreatic islet responses to glucose *Cell Metab.* **24** 389–401
- [143] Westacott M J, Ludin N W and Benninger R K 2017 Spatially organized, β -cell subpopulations control electrical dynamics across islets of langerhans *Biophys. J.* **113** 1093–108
- [144] Jiruska P, de Curtis M, Jefferys J G R, Schevon C A, Schiff S J and Schindler K 2013 Synchronization and desynchronization in epilepsy: controversies and hypotheses *J. Physiol.* **591** 787–97
- [145] Louzada V H P, Araújo N A M, Andrade J S Jr and Herrmann H J 2012 How to suppress undesired synchronization *Sci. Rep.* **2** 658
- [146] Xu J, Park D-H and Jo J 2017 Local complexity predicts global synchronization of hierarchically networked oscillators *Chaos* **27** 073116
- [147] Jo J, Hörnblad A, Kilimnik G, Hara M, Ahlgren U and Periwai V 2013 The fractal spatial distribution of pancreatic islets in three dimensions: a self-avoiding growth model *Phys. Biol.* **10** 036009
- [148] Zhang M, Fendler B, Peercy B, Goel P, Bertram R, Sherman A and Satin L 2008 Long lasting synchronization of calcium oscillations by cholinergic stimulation in isolated pancreatic islets *Biophys. J.* **95** 4676–88
- [149] Fendler B, Zhang M, Satin L and Bertram R 2009 Synchronization of pancreatic islet oscillations by intrapancreatic ganglia: a modeling study *Biophys. J.* **97** 722–9
- [150] Pedersen M G, Bertram R and Sherman A 2005 Intra- and inter-islet synchronization of metabolically driven insulin secretion *Biophys. J.* **89** 107–19
- [151] Zhang X, Daou A, Truong T M, Bertram R and Roper M G 2011 Synchronization of mouse islets of langerhans by glucose waveforms *Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metabolism* **301** E742–7
- [152] Pedersen M G, Mosekilde E, Polonsky K S and Luciani D S 2013 Complex patterns of metabolic and Ca^{2+} entrainment in pancreatic islets by oscillatory glucose *Biophys. J.* **105** 29–39
- [153] Dhumpa R, Truong T M, Wang X, Bertram R and Roper M G 2014 Negative feedback synchronizes islets of langerhans *Biophys. J.* **106** 2275–82
- [154] McKenna J P, Dhumpa R, Mukhitov N, Roper M G and Bertram R 2016 Glucose oscillations can activate an endogenous oscillator in pancreatic islets *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **12** 1–19
- [155] Sturis J, Cauter E V, Blackman J D and Polonsky K S 1991 Entrainment of pulsatile insulin secretion by oscillatory glucose infusion *J. Clin. Invest.* **87** 439–45
- [156] Sturis J, Pugh W L, Tang J, Ostrega D M, Polonsky J S and Polonsky K S 1994 Alterations in pulsatile insulin secretion in the Zucker diabetic fatty rat *Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab.* **267** E250–9
- [157] Yao N, Chang L W, Lin B J and Kuo T S 1997 Dynamic aspects for interislet synchronization of oscillatory insulin secretions *Am. J. Physiol.* **272** E981–8
- [158] Pørksen N K, Juhl C B, Hollingdal M, Pincus S M, Sturis J, Veldhuis J D and Schmitz O 2000 Concordant induction of rapid *in vivo* pulsatile insulin secretion by recurrent punctuated glucose infusions *Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab.* **278** E162–70
- [159] Ritzel R A, Veldhuis J D and Butler P C 2006 The mass, but not the frequency, of insulin secretory bursts in isolated human islets is entrained by oscillatory glucose exposure *Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab.* **290** E750–6
- [160] Zarkovic M and Henquin J-C 2004 Synchronization and entrainment of cytoplasmic Ca^{2+} oscillations in cell clusters prepared from single or multiple mouse pancreatic islets *Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab.* **287** E340–7
- [161] Park D-H, Song T, Hoang D-T, Xu J and Jo J 2017 A local counter-regulatory motif modulates the global phase of hormonal oscillations *Sci. Rep.* **7** 1602
- [162] Acebrón J A, Bonilla L L, Pérez Vicente C J, Ritort F and Spigler R 2005 The kuramoto model: a simple paradigm for synchronization phenomena *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **77** 137–85
- [163] Jo J 2018 Interacting oscillators for energy homeostasis *AAPPS Bull.* **28** 77–63
- [164] Matthews D R 1991 Physiological implications of pulsatile hormone secretion *Ann. New York Acad. Sci.* **618** 28–37
- [165] Veldhuis J D, Keenan D M and Pincus S M 2008 Motivations and methods for analyzing pulsatile hormone secretion *Endocrine Rev.* **29** 823–64
- [166] Goldbeter A 2008 Biological rhythms: clocks for all times *Curr. Biol.* **18** R751–3
- [167] Unger R H and Cherrington A D 2012 Glucagonocentric restructuring of diabetes: a pathophysiologic and therapeutic makeover *J. Clin. Invest.* **122** 4–12
- [168] Farhy L S and McCall A L 2015 Glucagon—the new ‘insulin’ in the pathophysiology of diabetes *Curr. Opin. Clin. Nutrition Metab. Care* **18** 407–14
- [169] Holst J J, Holland W, Gromada J, Lee Y, Unger R H, Yan H, Sloop K W, Kieffer T J, Diamond N and Herrera P L 2017 Insulin and glucagon: partners for life *Endocrinology* **158** 696–701
- [170] Glass L 2001 Synchronization and rhythmic processes in physiology *Nature* **410** 277
- [171] Schrell A M, Mukhitov N, Yi L, Adablah J E, Menezes J and Roper M G 2017 Online fluorescence anisotropy immunoassay for monitoring insulin secretion from islets of langerhans *Anal. Methods* **9** 38–45
- [172] Scattolini V, Luni C, Zambon A, Galvanin S, Gagliano O, Ciubotaru C D, Avogaro A, Mammano F, Elvassore N and Fadini G P 2016 Simvastatin rapidly and reversibly inhibits insulin secretion in intact single-islet cultures *Diabetes Ther.* **7** 679–93
- [173] Tarasov A I, Galvanovskis J, Rorsman O, Hamilton A, Vergari E, Johnson P R V, Reimann F, Ashcroft F M and Rorsman P 2018 Monitoring real-time hormone release kinetics via high-content 3D imaging of compensatory endocytosis *Lab Chip* **18** 2838–48
- [174] Vashist S K 2013 Continuous glucose monitoring systems: a review *Diagnostics* **3** 385–412
- [175] Facchinetti A 2016 Continuous glucose monitoring sensors: past, present and future algorithmic challenges *Sensors* **16** 2093
- [176] Li K, Daniels J, Herrero-viñas P, Liu C and Georgiou P 2018 Convolutional recurrent neural networks for blood glucose prediction (arXiv:1807.03043)
- [177] Kadish A H 1963 Automation control of blood sugar a servomechanism for glucose monitoring and control *ASAIO J.* **9** 363–7
- [178] Cobelli C, Renard E and Kovatchev B 2011 Artificial pancreas: Past, present, future *Diabetes* **60** 2672–82
- [179] Peyser T, Dassau E, Breton M and Skyler J S 2014 The artificial pancreas: current status and future prospects in the management of diabetes *Ann. New York Acad. Sci.* **1311** 102

- [180] El-Khatib F H, Russell S J, Nathan D M, Sutherlin R G and Damiano E R 2010 A bihormonal closed-loop artificial pancreas for type 1 diabetes *Sci. Transl. Med.* **2** 27ra27
- [181] la Fleur S E, Kalsbeek A, Wortel J, Fekkes M L and Buijs R M 2001 A daily rhythm in glucose tolerance: a role for the suprachiasmatic nucleus *Diabetes* **50** 1237–43
- [182] Qian J and Scheer F A 2016 Circadian system and glucose metabolism: implications for physiology and disease *Trends Endocrinol. Metab.* **27** 282–93
- [183] Weir G C and Bonner-Weir S 1990 Islets of langerhans: the puzzle of intra-islet interactions and their relevance to diabetes *J. Clin. Invest.* **85** 983–7
- [184] Müller W A, Faloona G R, Aguilar-Parada E and Unger R H 1970 Abnormal α -cell function in diabetes *New Engl. J. Med.* **283** 109–15
- [185] Lefebvre P J, Paolisso G, Scheen A J and Henquin J C 1987 Pulsatility of insulin and glucagon release: physiological significance and pharmacological implications *Diabetologia* **30** 443–52
- [186] Da Silva Xavier G 2018 The cells of the islets of langerhans *J. Clin. Med.* **7** 54
- [187] Rutter G A 2009 Regulating glucagon secretion: somatostatin in the spotlight *Diabetes* **58** 299–301
- [188] Huising M O, van der Meulen T, Huang J L, Pourhosseinzadeh M S and Noguchi G M 2018 The difference δ -cells make in glucose control *Physiology* **33** 403–11
- [189] Rorsman P and Huising M O 2018 The somatostatin-secreting pancreatic δ -cell in health and disease *Nat. Rev. Endocrinol.* **14** 404–14
- [190] Unger R H, Dobbs R E and Orci L 1978 Insulin, glucagon, and somatostatin secretion in the regulation of metabolism *Annu. Rev. Physiol.* **40** 307–43
- [191] Krejs G J *et al* 1979 Somatostatinoma syndrome *New Engl. J. Med.* **301** 285–92
- [192] Brereton M F, Vergari E, Zhang Q and Clark A 2015 Alpha-, delta- and pp-cells: are they the architectural cornerstones of islet structure and co-ordination? *J. Histochem. Cytochem.* **63** 575–91
- [193] DiGruccio M R, Mawla A M, Donaldson C J, Noguchi G M, Vaughan J, Cowing-Zitron C, van der Meulen T and Huising M O 2016 Comprehensive alpha, beta and delta cell transcriptomes reveal that ghrelin selectively activates delta cells and promotes somatostatin release from pancreatic islets *Mol. Metab.* **5** 449–58
- [194] Unger R H, Zhou Y-T and Orci L 1999 Regulation of fatty acid homeostasis in cells: novel role of leptin *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.* **96** 2327–32
- [195] Bagnasco M, Kalra P S and Kalra S P 2002 Ghrelin and leptin pulse discharge in fed and fasted rats *Endocrinology* **143** 726–9
- [196] Grespan E, Giorgino T, Arslanian S, Natali A, Ferrannini E and Mari A 2018 Defective amplifying pathway of β -cell secretory response to glucose in type 2 diabetes: Integrated modeling of *in vitro* and *in vivo* evidence *Diabetes* **67** 496–506