<pre>import ma import nu data = po data.head</pre>	
 Adelie Adelie Adelie Adelie 	Torgersen 39.1 18.7 181.0 3750.0 MALE Torgersen 39.5 17.4 186.0 3800.0 FEMALE Torgersen 40.3 18.0 195.0 3250.0 FEMALE Torgersen NaN NaN NaN NaN NaN
]: (species island bill_leng bill_dep	0 0 gth_mm 2 th_mm 2 length_mm 2 s_g 2 11
data = da data = da	ata.fillna(data.mean()) ata.dropna() ull().sum()
bill_lengthed bill_depthed bill_depthed bill_depthed body_mass_sex_dtype: interest data.shape	h_mm 0 ength_mm 0 _g 0 0 t64
feats.hea species Adelie	island bill_length_mm bill_depth_mm flipper_length_mm body_mass_g Torgersen 39.1 18.7 181.0 3750.0
2 Adelie4 Adelie5 Adelie	Torgersen 36.7 19.3 193.0 3450.0 Torgersen 39.3 20.6 190.0 3650.0 data.iloc[:, -1]
]: 0 MAI 1 FEMAI 2 FEMAI 4 FEMAI 5 MAI Name: sex	LE LE LE LE LE
feats.hea bill_lengt 0 1	ad() th_mm bill_depth_mm flipper_length_mm body_mass_g species_Adelie species_Chinstrap species_Gentoo island_Biscoe island_Dream island_Torgersen 39.1 18.7 181.0 3750.0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 39.5 17.4 186.0 3800.0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 40.3 18.0 195.0 3250.0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1
4 5 target.is	36.7 19.3 193.0 3450.0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 39.3 20.6 190.0 3650.0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 smull().sum()
from skle from skle import pa scaler =	perm PCA with 2 and then 4 components. Show the explained variance for the different PCs pearn.decomposition import PCA pearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler pearn.gendas as pd StandardScaler()
<pre>x_pca2 = pca4 = PC x_pca4 = import se def plot_</pre>	CA(n_components=2) pca2.fit_transform(scaler.fit_transform(feats)) CA(n_components=4) pca4.fit_transform(scaler.fit_transform(feats)) eaborn as sns _cumul_var(pcamodel): par(range(1,len(pcamodel.explained_variance)+1),pcamodel.explained_variance)
plt.p plt.p plt.l plt.s	<pre>ylabel('Explained variance') klabel('Components') plot(range(1,len(pcamodel.explained_variance)+1), np.cumsum(pcamodel.explained_variance_), c='red', label="Cumulative Explained Variance") legend(loc='upper left') show()</pre>
plt.pplt.yplt.s #PCA1 is def plot_plt.p	_expl_var_ratio(pcamodel): plot(pcamodel.explained_variance_ratio_) xlabel('number of components') ylabel('cumulative explained variance') show() at 0 in xscale _expl_variance(pcamodel): plot(pcamodel.explained_variance_) xlabel('number of components')
plt.s plt.s def plot_ ax =	<pre>ylabel('cumulative explained variance') show() _heatmap(pcamodel, columns): sns.heatmap(pcamodel.components_,</pre>
plot_cumu plot_expl plot_expl plot_heat print('To	ul_var(pca2) L_var_ratio(pca2) L_var_ratio(pca2) L_variance(pca2) tmap(pca2, list(feats.columns)) otal Variance Captured by Principle Components: {0}%'.format(pca2.explained_variance_ratiosum()*100.))
Explained variance	Cumulative Explained Variance
0.50 - 0.50 - 0.45 -	75 100 125 150 175 200 225 Components
0.30 - 0.25 - 0.00	
ve explained variance - 5.4 - 5.5 -	number of components
2.5 - 0.0	0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 number of components
bill len _mm -	iance Captured by Principle Components: 77.38786448136763%
plot_expl plot_expl plot_heat print('To	ul_var(pca4) l_var_ratio(pca4) l_variance(pca4) tmap(pca4, list(feats.columns)) otal Variance Captured by Principle Components: {0}%'.format(pca4.explained_variance_ratiosum()*100.)) Cumulative Explained Variance
Explained variance	
0.5 - 0.5 - 0.4 - 0.4 - 0.3 -	10 15 20 25 3.0 3.5 4.0 4.5 Components
0.1 0.0 0.0	0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 2.5 3.0 number of components
umulative explained variance	
3 PCA2 PCA1	0.5 10 15 20 25 30 number of components
	iance Captured by Principle Components: 93.01870585128421%
2.)Ther about the target	n, for the PCA with 4 components, make a scatterplot for the first two principle components for a) the raw data and b) standardised data. What do you notice hese different plots?
4 FEI 5	MALE
x_pca4 =	CA(n_components=4) pca4.fit_transform(feats) LDf = pd.DataFrame(data = x_pca4[:, :2] , columns = ['principal component 1', 'principal component 2'])
fig = plt ax = fig. ax.set_xl ax.set_yl ax.set_ti	<pre>t.figure(figsize = (8,8)) add_subplot(1,1,1) label('Principal Component 1', fontsize = 15) label('Principal Component 2', fontsize = 15) itle('2 component PCA', fontsize = 20) = ['MALE', 'FEMALE']</pre>
colors = for targe indic ax.sc	<pre>['r', 'g'] et_, color in zip(targets,colors): cesToKeep = finalDF['sex'] == target_ catter(finalDF.loc[indicesToKeep, 'principal component 1']</pre>
25	2 component PCA MALE FEMALE
Principal Component 2	
-10	500 -1000 -500 0 500 1000 1500 2000 Principal Component 1
x_pca4 =	CA(n_components=4) pca4.fit_transform(scaler.fit_transform(feats)) IDf_s = pd.DataFrame(data = x_pca4[:, :2] acalumna = fluxioninal property all large page 1. [acapus page 2. []]
fig = plt ax = fig. ax.set_xl ax.set_yl	<pre>, columns = ['principal component 1', 'principal component 2']) s = pd.concat([principalDf_s, target], axis = 1) t.figure(figsize = (8,8)) add_subplot(1,1,1) label('Principal Component 1', fontsize = 15) label('Principal Component 2', fontsize = 15)</pre>
ax.set_ti targets = colors = for targe indic ax.se	<pre>itle('2 component PCA', fontsize = 20) = ['MALE', 'FEMALE'] ['r', 'g'] et_, color in zip(targets,colors): cesToKeep = finalDF_s['sex'] == target_ catter(finalDF_s.loc[indicesToKeep, 'principal component 1']</pre>
3	2 component PCA MALE FEMALE
Principal Component 2	
-1 -2	
	Principal Component 1 only looked at the first two principal components, and already here we can see that there is a large difference between the classes. Also, we were able to reduce large feauture amount into 4! Now, these don't necessarily mean much in their current form, but the point is the ay to separate the data into different classes. The scaled data is more readible and undertstandable and gives better results