

Undefined Behaviour

Tools and Libraries for Compile-time Software Engineering
HiPEAC Conference 2024
Munich, Germany

Paul Keir¹
Joel FALCOU²

¹School of Computing, Engineering & Physical Sciences
University of the West of Scotland, Paisley, UK

²Le Laboratoire Interdisciplinaire des Sciences du Numérique (LISN)
Université Paris-Saclay, Paris, France

January 17th, 2024

Classes of Observable Behaviour

- ▶ The C++ standard does not define the observable behaviour of *all* programs
- ▶ Many programs exhibit:
 - ▶ *unspecified behaviour*; or
 - ▶ *undefined behaviour* *
- ▶ Both programs opposite include *unspecified behaviour*
 - ▶ At issue is the pointer comparison (see here)
- ▶ Both compile and run with no warnings
- ▶ We don't care if the assert passes or fails

```
#include <cassert>

int main()
{
    int a1[4]{}, a2[4]{};
    assert(&a1[0] > &a2[0]);
}
```

```
#include <cassert>

int main()
{
    int* p1 = new int[4]{};
    int* p2 = new int[4]{};
    assert(&p1[0] < &p2[0]);
    delete [] p1;
    delete [] p2;
}
```

* Additionally, non-standard programs may also be classed as *ill-formed*; or as containing *implementation-defined* behaviour.

Restrictions During Constant Evaluation

- ▶ A core constant expression cannot contain a three-way comparison, relational, or equality operator where the result is *unspecified* (see here)
- ▶ Compare the functions opposite to those on the previous slide
- ▶ Neither pointer is required to compare greater than the other
- ▶ Consequently, neither compiles
- ▶ n.b. The error is issued before either `static_assert` considers its argument

```
constexpr bool cexpr_cmpr1()
{
    int a1[4]{}, a2[4]{};
    return &a1[0] > &a2[0];
}

constexpr bool cexpr_cmpr2()
{
    int* p1 = new int[4]{};
    int* p2 = new int[4]{};
    bool b = &p1[0] < &p2[0];
    delete [] p1;
    delete [] p2;
    return b;
}

static_assert(cexpr_cmpr1);
static_assert(cexpr_cmpr2);
```

Undefined Behaviour

- ▶ The program opposite contains *undefined behaviour*
noinit: Uninitialised read
bounds: Read outside array bounds
- ▶ Both compile and run; with warnings
- ▶ Again, we don't care if the assert passes or fails

```
#include <cassert>

bool noinit()
{
    int i;
    return i==42;
}

bool bounds()
{
    int arr[4]{};
    return arr[4]==42;
}

int main()
{
    assert(noinit() && bounds());
}
```

Undefined Behaviour during Constant Evaluation

- ▶ Prohibited within a *core constant expression*:
 - ▶ specific *unspecified* behaviour (previous slide);
 - ▶ most *undefined* behaviour (see here)
- ▶ In some cases it is unspecified whether an expression containing UB is a core constant expression (see here)
- ▶ The undefined behaviour opposite is not permitted; and neither function compiles

```
constexpr bool cexpr_noinit()
{
    int i;
    return i==42;
}

constexpr bool cexpr_bounds()
{
    int arr[4]{};
    return arr[4]==42;
}

static_assert(cexpr_noinit());
static_assert(cexpr_bounds());
```

Undefined Behaviour Oracle?

- ▶ You will consequently be more aware of undefined behaviour (UB) when working with compile-time programs
- ▶ Though the compiler may not always intervene
- ▶ e.g. The program opposite has more than one modification to the same scalar in an expression that is unsequenced
...and so contains UB
- ▶ The program compiles and runs; with warnings

```
#include <cassert>

constexpr bool eval_order()
{
    int i{0};

    if (std::is_constant_evaluated())
    {
        return 3 == ++i + ++i;
    }

#ifdef __clang__
    return 3 == ++i + ++i;
#else
    return 4 == ++i + ++i;
#endif
}

int main()
{
    assert(eval_order());
    static_assert(eval_order());
}
```

UWS UNIVERSITY OF THE
WEST *of* SCOTLAND

RSE *The Royal Society
of Edinburgh*
KNOWLEDGE MADE USEFUL