

THESIS LOG

STEFAN SABEV

1. WEEK 3

In the model have:

- last 4 friday weights
- the twitter counts

Those would be the things that change.

- (1) Implement the method in the Ryan Adams & David MacKay paper.
- (2) Run this on some toy data.
- (3) Run this on the search volumes without the Twitter data.
- (4) Histogram of the change point to the nearest twitter peak.

Non-linear function of the features.

And SV regression with polynomial kernel.

Use relative error or use absolute error.

Average across days and destinations.

Do a histogram of median, box plot or any ways to drill down and show it clearly.

- (1) take quantiles across destination.
- (2) Median/mean absolute error.

Take mean over days or median over destinations. Cluster the destinations by popularity - split into 5 groups. report the mean absolute error for each of the groups.

(Add something to the prior that makes change point more likely if there is a twitter spike)

2. WEEK 5

What's been tried so far:

- I have used smoothing to smooth the weekly seasonality component out of both the twitter and searches data. That has yielded small improvement in the correlation coefficients.
- I have also used a very basic method of prediction which works as follows:
Calculate the mean and the standard deviation of the searches. If the standard deviation is more than the mean, then there has been a spike which has pushed it higher.
- I've also used that to determine which destinations should have a classifier built. That has yielded very small improvements.

The fact that the simple combination of LASSO + Ridge regression does not perform miraculously well has led my supervisor and I to believe that perhaps we should investigate more sophisticated models that will perhaps model the problem better.

I am currently doing:

- Reading the Adams & MacKay paper on Bayesian change point models
- Will look into the matlab implementation and try to port it to Python.

Notes from today:

In the model have:

- last 4 friday weights
- the twitter counts

Those would be the things that change.

- (1) Implement the method in the Ryan Adams & David MacKay paper.
- (2) Run this on some toy data.
- (3) Run this on the search volumes without the Twitter data.
- (4) Histogram of the change point to the nearest twitter peak.

Non-linear function of the features.

And SV regression with polynomial kernel.

Use relative error or use absolute error.

Average across days and destinations.

Do a histogram of median, box plot or any ways to drill down and show it clearly.

- (1) take quantiles across destination.
- (2) Median/mean absolute error.

Take mean over days or median over destinations.

Cluster the destinations by popularity - split into 5 groups.

report the mean absolute error for each of the groups.

(Add something to the prior that makes change point more likely if there is a twitter spike)

$1/T \left(\sum (y \text{ prediction} - y \text{ actual}) / y \text{ actual} \right)$

Having read the Adams and MacKay paper the well-log data seems to be the most relevant, because of the step changes observed.

After reading the paper I started the experimental part.

Both of online and offline work perfectly fine.

Currently doing some other assignments, but will make a start on this soon.

3. WEEK 6

The algorithm does not work out of the box which is expected.

What should be used for evaluation: $1/T \left(\sum (y \text{ prediction} - y \text{ actual}) / y \text{ actual} \right)$

Things to try:

- (1) AR(1)
- (2) and then AR(K)

do it on weekly average or other data.