

Question 2:

(a) According to Normal Equation:

$$X^T(Y - h_\theta(X)) = 0$$

$\therefore X_{m \times (n+1)}, Y_{(m \times 1)}$

$$\therefore \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \\ x_1^1 & \dots & | \\ \vdots & \ddots & | \\ x_1^m & \dots & x_n^m \end{bmatrix}_{(n+1) \times m} (Y - h_\theta(X)) = 0$$

extract the first row: $\sum_{i=1}^m y^i = \sum_{i=1}^m h_\theta(x^i)$

$$\therefore h_\theta(x^i) = \sum_{y^i=1} I\{y^i=1\}$$

$$\therefore \sum_{i=1}^m y^i = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{y^i=1} I\{y^i=1\} = \sum_{i=1}^m P(y=1|x, \theta^*)$$

(b) If a model is perfectly calibrated, then all we can say is that the probabilities output from the model match empirical observations. This is only about the possibilities of the outcomes, but not the outcomes themselves.

Conversely, for a perfectly accurate model, the probabilities output must match empirical results.

Question 3:

$$\text{Suppose } \|\theta_{MAP}\|^2 > \|\theta_{ML}\|^2$$

$$\therefore \text{prior } \theta \sim N(0, \sigma^2 I)$$

$$\therefore p(\theta_{MAP}) < p(\theta_{ML})$$

$$\therefore p(\theta_{MAP}) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^m p(y^i | x^i; \theta_{MAP}) < p(\theta_{ML}) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^m p(y^i | x^i; \theta_{ML}) \quad \uparrow$$

\Rightarrow False.

$$\Rightarrow \|\theta_{MAP}\|^2 \leq \|\theta_{ML}\|^2$$

$$\therefore H\theta, \prod_{i=1}^m p(y^i | x^i; \theta) < \prod_{i=1}^m p(y^i | x^i; \theta_{ML})$$

$$p(\theta_{ML}) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^m p(y^i | x^i; \theta_{ML}) < p(\theta_{MAP}) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^m p(y^i | x^i; \theta_{MAP})$$

Question 4:

(a) $k(x, z) = k_1(x, z) + k_2(x, z)$

$$\begin{aligned}\because u^T k u &= u^T k_1(x, z) u + u^T k_2(x, z) u \\ &> 0 \quad > 0\end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore u^T k u > 0 \Rightarrow k(x, z) > 0$$

(b) $k(x, z) = k_1(x, z) - k_2(x, z)$

$$\because u^T k u = u^T k_1(x, z) u - u^T k_2(x, z) u$$

$\therefore u^T k u$ is not necessarily positive. $\Rightarrow k$ is not.

(c) $k(x, z) = a k_1(x, z)$

$$\because u^T k u = a u^T k_1(x, z) u, a \text{ positive}$$

$\therefore k$ is a kernel.

$$(d) k(x, z) = -\alpha k_1(x, z)$$

contrary to question C, No.

$$(e) k(x, z) = k_1(x, z) k_2(x, z)$$

$$\begin{aligned} u^T k u &= u^T k_1 k_2 u = u^T k_1 [u u^T] [u u^T]^{-1} k_2 u \\ &= u^T k_1 u \cdot u^T [u u^T]^{-1} k_2 u \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore A^{-1} = [A^T A]^{-1} \cdot A^T$$

$$\begin{aligned} [u u^T]^{-1} &= [[u u^T]^T [u u^T]]^{-1} \cdot [u u^T]^T \\ &= [[u u^T]^T]^T \cdot [u u^T]^T \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore u^T k u = u^T k_1 u \cdot u^T [[u u^T]^T]^T \cdot [u u^T]^T k_2 u$$

$$\text{Let } C = [[u u^T]^T]^T, \text{ prove } C \geq 0$$

$\because u u^T$ is symmetric $\Rightarrow [u u^T]^T$ is diagonalizable in an orthogonal basis:

$$A = u u^T = Q \Lambda Q^{-1} \Rightarrow A^T = [u u^T]^T = Q \Lambda^T Q^{-1} \Rightarrow \text{semi definite positive.}$$

$\Rightarrow C = [uu^\top]^{-1}$ is semi definite positive

$\Rightarrow k \geq 0$

(f) $k(x, z) = f(x)f(z)$

No.

(g) $k(x, z) = k_3(\phi(x), \phi(z))$

$\because k_3$ is Mercer

$\therefore k$ is Mercer

Question 5:

a. Let k be a Mercer kernel with mapping $\phi: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$

$k(x, y) \mapsto (\phi(x), \phi(y))$.

θ^i can be represented as $k(\theta^i, x^i)$

b. $h_{\theta^i}(x^{i+1}) = g(\theta^{i^T} \phi(x^{i+1})) = g(k(\theta^i, x^{i+1}))$

c. $k(\theta^{i+1}, x^{i+1}) := k(\theta^i, x^{i+1}) + \alpha y^{i+1} \cdot [g(k(\theta^i, x^{i+1}))]^2 \cdot k(x^{i+1}, x^{i+1})$.

Question b:

(a). find a way to compute NB predicted class.

$$\begin{aligned} r_i &= \log P(y_i=0|x_i) + \log(P(y=0)) - \log P(y_i=1|x_i) - \log(P(y=1)) \\ &= \frac{P(y_i=0|x_i) \cdot P(y=0)}{P(y_i=1|x_i) \cdot P(y=1)} \end{aligned}$$

$$P = \frac{1}{1 + \Gamma_i} = \frac{P(y_i=1 | x_i) P(y=1)}{P(y_i=0 | x_i) \cdot P(y=0) + P(y_i=1 | x_i) P(y=1)}$$