#### XXXXX

 $\begin{array}{c} xxx \\ xxxx@foxmail.com \end{array}$ 

Oct 9th, 2019

#### Outline

#### A Question from Grade School

(Illustrating beamer's \pause command.)

A couple of years ago, a fifth-grade teacher asked me to explain to her the reasoning behind the "invert and multiply" rule for dividing fractions, e.g.

## A Question from Grade School

(Illustrating beamer's \pause command.)

A couple of years ago, a fifth-grade teacher asked me to explain to her the reasoning behind the "invert and multiply" rule for dividing fractions, e.g.

$$\frac{4}{5} \div \frac{2}{3} = \frac{4}{5} \times \frac{3}{2}$$

#### A Question from Grade School

(Illustrating beamer's \pause command.)

A couple of years ago, a fifth-grade teacher asked me to explain to her the reasoning behind the "invert and multiply" rule for dividing fractions, e.g.

$$\frac{4}{5} \div \frac{2}{3} = \frac{4}{5} \times \frac{3}{2}$$

Let's try to find answers understandable by fifth graders (at least the more patient ones).

Here let's just use intuition, understandable by fifth graders.

Here let's just use intuition, understandable by fifth graders. If we give 1/3 of a cookie to each person, how many people can we feed with 1 cookie?

Here let's just use intuition, understandable by fifth graders.

If we give 1/3 of a cookie to each person, how many people can we feed with 1 cookie?

Obviously, the answer is 3.

So we've derived the "invert and multiply" rule in a special case:

$$1 \div \frac{1}{3} = 3$$

But what if we give 2/3 of a cookie, not 1/3, to each person?

We're giving  $2 \times$  as much per person.

So we can feed only 1/2 as many people.

So we feed  $\frac{1}{2} \times 3 = \frac{3}{2}$ .

So we've derived the "invert and multiply" rule in another case:

$$1 \div \frac{2}{3} = \frac{3}{2}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>One person gets only a half share.

Now, suppose we have only 4/5 of a cookie. Then we can feed only 4/5 as many people, i.e.

$$\frac{4}{5} \times \frac{3}{2}$$
 people

Now, suppose we have only 4/5 of a cookie. Then we can feed only 4/5 as many people, i.e.

$$\frac{4}{5} \times \frac{3}{2}$$
 people

So we've derived the "invert and multiply" rule in the general case:

$$\frac{4}{5} \div \frac{2}{3} = \frac{4}{5} \times \frac{3}{2}$$

#### Outline

# A Geometry Proof

(Illustrating beamer's \uncover command.)

定理

The angles in a triangle sum to  $180^{\circ}$ .

### A Geometry Proof

(Illustrating beamer's \uncover command.)

#### 定理

The angles in a triangle sum to  $180^{\circ}$ .

Plan: Extend AC past C to D. Draw CE parallel to AB.

1. u = y

 $1.~\mathrm{u}=\mathrm{y}$ 

Alternate angles of a transveral.

 $1.\ \mathbf{u}=\mathbf{y}$ 

2. v = x

Alternate angles of a transveral.  $\,$ 

- 1. u = y
- 2. v = x

Alternate angles of a transveral.

Consecutive interior angles of a transveral  $\,$ 

- 1. u = y
- 2. v = x
- 3.  $z+u+v = 180^{\circ}$
- Alternate angles of a transveral.
- Consecutive interior angles of a transveral

- 1. u = y Alternate angles of a transveral.
- 2. v = x Consecutive interior angles of a transveral
- 3.  $z+u+v = 180^{\circ}$  ACD is a straight line.

- 1. u = y
- 2. v = x
- 4.  $z+y+x = 180^{\circ}$

- Alternate angles of a transveral.
- Consecutive interior angles of a transveral
- 3.  $z+u+v = 180^{\circ}$  ACD is a straight line.

- 1. u = y Alternate angles of a transveral.
- 2. v = x Consecutive interior angles of a transveral
- 3.  $z+u+v = 180^{\circ}$  ACD is a straight line.
- 4.  $z+y+x = 180^{\circ}$  Substitution from Steps 1 and 2.

#### Outline

## More Advanced Features of beamer

▶ This tour just scratches the surface.

#### More Advanced Features of beamer

- ▶ This tour just scratches the surface.
- beamer has enough features to fill a 210-page user manual!

#### More Advanced Features of beamer

- ► This tour just scratches the surface.
- beamer has enough features to fill a 210-page user manual!
- ► Advanced example: http://latex-beamer.sourceforge.net/beamerexample1.pdf.