



Notice the difference in assumptions:

$$P(82 \text{ consecutive "male years"} \mid \theta = 0.5) = P(\text{"male year"} \mid \theta = 0.5)^{82} = P(Y > 5000 \mid \theta = 0.5)^{82} = (0.496)^{82} = \mathbf{1.072 \times 10^{-25}}$$

$$P(82 \text{ consecutive "male years"} \mid \theta = 0.512) = P(\text{"male year"} \mid \theta = 0.512)^{82} = P(Y > 5000 \mid \theta = 0.512)^{82} = (0.99159)^{82} = \mathbf{0.500}$$

So 82 consecutive "male years" is no more improbable or surprising than getting "heads" on a coin toss (if $\theta = 0.512$) -- it is just the sort of result that you would expect to see if the process were truly random.

Sept. 25, 2007

PHP 2500 - Blume

35

The question

Thus the probability that boys are more than 60% of the babies born in one day at the larger hospital is:

$$P(X > 27) = \sum_{k=28}^{45} P(X=k) = \sum_{k=28}^{45} \binom{45}{k} (0.5)^k (0.5)^{45-k} = 0.068$$

Stata command: `Binomial(n,k,p) = P(X≥k) !!`

`. di Binomial(45,28,0.5)`

`.06757823`

Sept. 25, 2007

PHP 2500 - Blume

43

The question

The probability that boys are more than 60% of the babies born in one day at the smaller hospital is:

$$P(Y > 9) = \sum_{k=10}^{15} P(Y=k) = \sum_{k=10}^{15} \binom{15}{k} (0.5)^k (0.5)^{15-k} = 0.151$$

Stata command: `Binomial(n,k,p) = P(X≥k) !!`

`. di Binomial(15,10,0.5)`

`.15087891`

Sept. 25, 2007

PHP 2500 - Blume

44

Stata Hint (not in notes)

Suppose $X \sim \text{Bin}(4, 0.75)$

Then, $P(X=k) = P(X \geq k) - P(X \geq k+1)$

$$P(X=1) = \binom{4}{1} (0.75)^1 (0.25)^3 = 0.047$$

`. di Binomial(4,1,0.75)-Binomial(4,2,0.75)`

`.046875`

`. di 4*0.75*0.25^3`

`.046875`

Sept. 25, 2007

PHP 2500 - Blume

45