```
- module AB2H -
```

This is spec AB2 with history variables AtoB and BtoA added so the spec implements spec AB under the identity refinement mapping.

EXTENDS Integers, Sequences

```
CONSTANT Data, Bad ASSUME Bad \notin (Data \times {0, 1}) \cup {0, 1}
```

We need to asssume that Bad is different from any of the legal messsages.

VARIABLES AVar, BVar, The same as in module ABSpec

 $Ato B2, \begin{tabular}{ll} The sequence of data messages in transit from sender to receiver \\ Bto A2 \begin{tabular}{ll} The sequence of ack messages in transit from receiver to sender \\ Messages are sent by appending them to the end of the sequence \\ and received by removing them from the head of the sequence. \\ \end{tabular}$ 

 $AB2 \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \text{Instance } AB2$ 

We define RemoveBad so that RemoveBad(seq) removes from the sequence seq all elements that equal Bad.

```
RECURSIVE RemoveBad(\_)
RemoveBad(seq) \triangleq 
IF seq = \langle \rangle THEN \langle \rangle
ELSE (IF Head(seq) = Bad THEN \langle \rangle ELSE \langle Head(seq) \rangle)
\circ RemoveBad(Tail(seq))
```

```
RECURSIVE RemoveBad2(\_)
RemoveBad2(seq) \triangleq 
IF seq = \langle \rangle THEN \langle \rangle
ELSE IF Head(seq) = Bad
THEN RemoveBad(Tail(seq))
ELSE \langle Head(seq) \rangle \circ RemoveBad(Tail(seq))
```

VARIABLES AtoB, BtoA Note that TLA+ allows multiple variable statements.

```
SpecH \triangleq \land AB2!Spec
 \land \Box \land AtoB = RemoveBad(AtoB2)
 \land BtoA = RemoveBad(BtoA2)
```

 $AB \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \text{Instance } AB$ 

The following theorem asserts that SpecH implements/refines the AB protocol. However, it can't be checked by TLC because it doesn't have the form TLC requires of a specification.

THEOREM  $SpecH \Rightarrow AB!Spec$ 

We now define SpecHH to be a specification that is equivalent to SpecH and that TLC can check. We write the definition of SpecHH in a way that should makes it clear that SpecHH is equivalent to SpecH.

```
TypeOKH \triangleq \land AB2! TypeOK \\ \land AtoB \in Seq(Data \times \{0, 1\}) \\ \land BtoA \in Seq(\{0, 1\})
InitH \triangleq \land AB2! Init \\ \land AtoB = RemoveBad(AtoB2) \\ \land BtoA = RemoveBad(BtoA2)
NextH \triangleq \land AB2! Next \\ \land AtoB' = RemoveBad(AtoB2') \\ \land BtoA' = RemoveBad(BtoA2')
```

We would normally define varsH to be the tuple of all the variables of the current module. However, we can use the following shorter definition instead because

```
UNCHANGED \langle \langle AVar, \ldots, BtoA2 \rangle, AtoB, BtoA \rangle equals

UNCHANGED \langle AVar, \ldots, BtoA2, AtoB, BtoA \rangle

varsH \triangleq \langle AB2! \, vars, \, AtoB, \, BtoA \rangle

SpecHH \triangleq InitH \land \Box [NextH]_{varsH}
```

The following theorem asserts that SpecHH and SpecH are equivalent specifications. It is equivalent to

```
 \land SpecHH \Rightarrow SpecH \\ \land SpecH \Rightarrow SpecHH
```

TLC can check the first of these implications by showing that SpecH is a property satisfied by the specification SpecHH, but not the second.

THEOREM  $SpecHH \equiv SpecH$ 

We can deduce that SpecH implies  $AB\,!\,Spec$  from  $SpecHH\equiv SpecH$  and the following theorem, which TLC can check.

Theorem  $SpecHH \Rightarrow AB!Spec$ 

- \ \* Modification History
- $\backslash$ \* Last modified SatJun11 21:51:02 CST2022 by wengjialin
- \\* Last modified Sun May 13 23:53:20 PDT 2018 by lamport
- \\* Created Wed Mar 25 11:53:40 PDT 2015 by lamport