

The Compendium

Alexander Caines

0.0.3

Perhaps my old age and fearfulness deceive me, but I suspect that the human species—the unique species—is about to be extinguished, but the Library will endure...

Jorge Luis Borges, *The Library of Babel*

Introduction

During a 1985 lecture Paul Erdős stated “You don’t have to believe in God, but you should believe in *The Book*.” Many colleagues of this brilliant and uncouth mathematician mention his murmurings of *The Book*: God’s¹ collection of the most efficient, and thus beautiful proofs for each mathematical theorem.

Though the mathematical community has not gone to the extent of compiling the proofs for every known theorem, there have been attempts. *Proofs from THE BOOK*, the 1998 book by Martin Aigner and Günter Ziegler, a collection of the most elegant proofs from the fields of number theory, geometry, analysis, combinatorics, and graph theory. Recently, Evan Chen—a retired math Olympian and current² math PhD at MIT—has created an *exposition* titled The Napkin. The (infinite) Napkin is his attempt to compile the most essential statements one needs to understand the beauty and importance of mathematics. It features overviews of a myriad of different subjects studied by most undergraduate mathematicians. The Napkin provides the curious a non-trivial foundation of their subject of interest; succeeding in honing their mathematical abilities and providing a gateway to more profound investigations.

Additionally, over the past decade, communities of open-source programmers have created multiple chrestomathy websites such as Rosetta Code and the Algorithm archive that aggregate elegant computer programs for different algorithms. Given the Curry-Howard correspondence—a relationship between mathematical proofs and computer programs—I thought that this would be worth mentioning.

All, in all, *that* is what this document tries to be (though with a far more restricted scope and much less precision). I hope to compile every theorem, lemma, corollary, and definition covered over the course of MATH-311. There will be a table of contents which indexes the statements as they appear in **From Calculus to Analysis**³. Definitions, in their totality, will be enumerated first and will be subsequently followed by the theorems that we have proved or covered thus far. Any additional propositions that would otherwise be in the compendium will be added at the appropriate time and made note of. Feel free to edit and comment by footnote. This document serves as a palimpsest for our study of real analysis this term.

Finally, this document is meant to be pedagogical. So I will attempt to provide commentary and references to helpful, auxiliary resources when I can. Have fun!

P.S. ... **Do note, that if you want to see the hyper-links in this document, you must download the pdf!** Especially in this introduction, I have linked to many extra-curricular sources, which I enjoy visiting or enjoyed having visited. If you have any suggestions, don’t be afraid to email me at caines21@mail.wlu.edu or alecaines@gmail.com.

¹If one is given...

²as of 2020

³Register—for free—and it is yours.

Versioning

The most critical part of this project is its capacity as an open source document. Given the assumption that most of the my peers are not acquainted with GitHub, a more rudimentary version control system must be developed in order to maintain current and alternative versions of *The Compendium*. Because of the nature of this project, future versions of *The Compendium* will not see great structural changes. Most changes to the document will entail the addition or editing of mathematical statements. Because new definitions are anticipated to be added through the rest of the term (the release date is 4/2/2020), we will avoid a unary versioning system as employed by the TeX typesetting system.

Versioning Schema

I propose the following schema: A major version change will entail a change in structure of the document. A minor version change will entail the correction a previously invalid proof or definition, as well as spelling errors. Patch version changes will entail the addition of new definitions and theorems. If the number of patches explodes in the near future, I will implement a modulo on the patch version and record the multiplicity of patches in an appended digit to the version number.

Submitting Changes

Each individual who intends to edit their copy of *The Compendium* shall make the edits to the L^AT_EX document and resubmit the TeX file to me via email. In the email, make note of

1. The mathematical statements (theorems, proofs, definitions, etc. . .) changed
2. The line numbers at which the changes took place.
3. A brief description (need not be elaborate at all) of the changes.

Given that I believe the changes are appropriate, I will then alter the version of *The Compendium* and its state. I will then release the newest version on Overleaf, for all to access. All version changes will be recorded at after the section titled **Theorems, Lemmas, Corollaries, and Proofs**. Though, do note, no one is stopping you from hosting your own version! If you would like to release your own version of *The Compendium*, then reset the version to 0.0.0, label yourself as the co-author on the title, and L^AT_EX away!

Contents

0.1	Definitions	8
0.1.1	Infinite Decimal	8
	Repeating infinite decimal	8
0.1.2	Finite Decimal	8
0.1.3	Irrational number	8
0.1.4	Density	9
0.1.5	Accumulation Point	9
0.1.6	Convergence	9
0.1.7	Local Behavior	10
0.1.8	Restriction	10
0.1.9	Limit at infinity	10
0.1.10	Sequence	11
0.1.11	Bounded sequence	11
0.1.12	Null Sequence	11
0.1.13	Continuous function	12
0.1.14	Removable Discontinuity	12
0.1.15	Right-Sided Continuity	13
0.1.16	Left-Sided Continuity	13
0.1.17	Upper Bound	13
0.1.18	Lower Bound	13
0.1.19	Maximum	13
0.1.20	Minimum	13
0.1.21	Supremum or Least Upper Bound	14
0.1.22	Infimum or Greatest Lower Bound	14
0.1.23	Intermediate Value Property	14
0.1.24	Countable	15
0.1.25	Increasing	15
0.1.26	Decreasing	15
0.1.27	Monotone	15
0.1.28	Jump Discontinuity	15
0.1.29	Bounded Function	15

0.1.30	Compact Interval	16
0.1.31	Uniform Continuity	17
0.1.32	Differentiable	17
0.1.33	Right-hand Derivative	17
0.1.34	Left-hand Derivative	17
0.1.35	Local Maximum	18
0.1.36	Local Minimum	18
0.1.37	Partition	18
0.1.38	Step Function	18
0.1.39	Lower Step Function	18
0.1.40	Upper Step Function	19
0.1.41	Lower Integral	19
0.1.42	Upper Integral	19
0.1.43	Riemann Integrable	20
0.1.44	Refinement	20
0.2	Theorems, Lemmas, Corollaries, and Proofs	21
0.2.1	Theorem 1.1.1	21
0.2.2	Theorem 1.1.2	21
0.2.3	Lemma 1.1.4 (Archimedean property)	21
0.2.4	Corollary 1.1.5	22
0.2.5	Theorem 1.1.6 (Density of the Rationals in the Reals)	22
0.2.6	Theorem 1.1.8 (Density of Irrationals in the Reals)	23
0.2.7	Theorem 1.3.12 (Local boundedness)	23
0.2.8	Lemma 1.4.2 (Constant multiple rule)	24
0.2.9	Theorem 1.4.1 (Linearity)	24
0.2.10	Lemma 1.4.4 (Sum Rule)	24
0.2.11	Theorem 1.4.6 (Product Rule)	26
0.2.12	Theorem 1.4.9 (Quotient Rule)	27
0.2.13	Theorem 1.4.14 (Composition Rule)	27
0.2.14	Theorem 1.4.16 (Squeeze Theorem)	28
0.2.15	Theorem 1.4.19	28
0.2.16	Corollary 1.4.20	29
0.2.17	Theorem (Convergence of Bounded Sequences)	29
0.2.18	Theorem (Threshold of Convergent Sequences)	29
0.2.19	Theorem (Properties of Convergent Sequences)	30
0.2.20	Theorem 2.1.1 (Continuity of Composed Functions)	30
0.2.21	Theorem 3.1.5 (Order Completeness)	31
0.2.22	Theorem 3.1.8 (Greatest Lower Bound Theorem)	31
0.2.23	Theorem 3.2.1 (Interval Theorem)	31
0.2.24	Theorem 3.3.2 (Nested Interval Theorem)	32
0.2.25	Corollary 3.3.4 (Binary Nested Interval Theorem)	32

0.2.26	Theorem 3.5.1 (Roots of an Exponential)	32
0.2.27	Theorem 3.5.4 (Irrationality of the Square Root of Two)	33
0.2.28	Lemma (Finite Union of Countable Sets)	33
0.2.29	Lemma (Infinite Union of Finite Sets)	33
0.2.30	Theorem 4.1.5 (Infinite Union of Countable Sets)	33
0.2.31	Theorem 4.1.7 (Cantor)	34
0.2.32	Theorem 4.2.1	34
0.2.33	Theorem (Cantor-Bernstein-Schröder Theorem)	34
0.2.34	Theorem (Jump Discontinuity Theorem)	36
0.2.35	Corollary 5.1.4	36
0.2.36	Theorem 5.1.6 (Continuity Theorem for Monotone Functions)	36
0.2.37	Corollary 5.1.8	37
0.2.38	Corollary 5.1.9	37
0.2.39	Corollary 5.1.10	37
0.2.40	Theorem 5.2.1 (Bolzano's Intermediate Value Theorem)	38
0.2.41	Theorem 5.2.2 (Intermediate Value Theorem)	38
0.2.42	Corollary 5.2.3	39
0.2.43	Theorem 5.5.3 (Global Boundedness Theorem)	39
0.2.44	Theorem 5.3.5 (Extreme Value Theorem)	40
0.2.45	Corollary 5.3.6	40
0.2.46	Lemma 5.4.5	41
0.2.47	Theorem 5.4.6 (Uniform Continuity Theorem)	41
0.2.48	Theorem (Product Rule)	41
0.2.49	Theorem (Chain Rule)	42
0.2.50	Theorem (Quotient Rule)	42
0.2.51	Lemma 7.1.1	42
0.2.52	Lemma 7.1.8	42
0.2.53	Corollary 7.1.9	43
0.2.54	Lemma 7.1.11	43
0.3	Version History	45
0.3.1	v0.0.0 (4/2/2020)	45
0.3.2	v0.0.1 (4/2/2020)	45
0.3.3	v0.0.2 (4/7/2020)	45
0.3.4	v0.0.3 (4/14/2020)	45

0.1 Definitions

0.1.1 Infinite Decimal

Definition 0.1.1

An **infinite decimal** is an expression of the form $\pm d_0.d_1.d_2\dots$, where $d_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $d_k \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}$ for $k \geq 1$.

Repeating infinite decimal

Definition 0.1.2

An *infinite decimal* is **repeating** if there are $k, m \in \mathbb{N} \ni d_{j+m} = d_j, \forall j \geq k$

Example 0.1.1

$1.67234523452345\dots := 1.6\overline{2345}$, where $k = 3, m = 4$, and $j :=$ any arbitrary natural number greater than k .

0.1.2 Finite Decimal

Definition 0.1.3

A **finite decimal** is a decimal of the form: $d_0.d_1d_2\dots d_n := d_0.d_1d_2\dots\bar{0}$

0.1.3 Irrational number

Definition 0.1.4

An **irrational number** is a real number that is not rational.

Example 0.1.2

Let $x := 0.101001000100001\dots$. Note that there is no consistent repeating string of digits in x . So, x is irrational.

0.1.4 Density

Definition 0.1.5

Let A and B be sets. We say A is **dense** in B if any interval centered at a point in B contains at least one point from A . In discrete terms, $\forall b \in B, \forall r > 0, \exists a \in A \ni a \in (b - r, b + r)^a$.

^aOtherwise written $|a - b| < r$.

0.1.5 Accumulation Point

Definition 0.1.6

Let $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$. a is an **accumulation point** of D if $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists x \in D \ni 0 < |x - a| < \varepsilon$.

Example 0.1.3

Claim: 0 is an accumulation point of $(-1, 1)$.

Proof: Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Suppose $\varepsilon \leq 1$. Let $x := \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Then, $0 < x = |x - 0| < 1$. So, $x \in (-1, 1)$. Note, $x = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < \varepsilon$. So, $0 < |x - 0| < \varepsilon$.

■

Comments: The above proves to be an interesting result because given any $m > 0$, if $0 < r \leq m$, then $\exists x \in D \ni 0 < |x - a| < r$. Consequently, a must be an accumulation point of D .

0.1.6 Convergence

Definition 0.1.7

Let $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, $a, L \in \mathbb{R}$, $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and a be an accumulation point of D . We say f **converges** to L as x approaches a in D provided $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0 \ni \forall x \in D, 0 < |x - a| < \delta \implies |f(x) - L| < \varepsilon$.

Example 0.1.4

Claim: $\lim_{x \rightarrow 4} f(x) = 7$.

Proof: Pick $\varepsilon > 0$ and let $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$. Then, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - 7| &= |3x - 12| \\ &= 3|x - 4| \\ &< 3 \cdot \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right) \\ &= \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

■

0.1.7 Local Behavior**Definition 0.1.8**

Let $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and a an accumulation point in D be given. Additionally, let $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ where f has **local behavior** near a if $\exists \delta > 0 \ni f(x)$ has the property, $\forall x \in (a - \delta, a + \delta)$.

0.1.8 Restriction**Definition 0.1.9**

Let $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. If $E \subseteq D$, we define the **restriction** of f to E to be the function $f|_E$ with domain E and having the same values as f on E . Formally,

$$f|_E : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f|_E(x) = f(x), \forall x \in E$$

Note, $f|_E(x)$ is undefined $\forall x \in D \subseteq E$.

0.1.9 Limit at infinity**Definition 0.1.10**

$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = L$ is defined when $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N \in \mathbb{R}, \forall x \in D, N < x \implies |f(x) - L| < \varepsilon$.

Example 0.1.5

Claim: $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x^2} = 0$.

Proof: Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. We want to find N such that $x > N \implies \frac{1}{x^2} < \varepsilon$. Note, $x^2 > \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$. Let $N := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}$. Therefore, if $x > N \Leftrightarrow x > \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}$, then $x^2 > \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$. So, $|\frac{1}{x^2} - 0| = \frac{1}{x^2} < \varepsilon$. ■

0.1.10 Sequence**Definition 0.1.11**

A **sequence** of real numbers is a function $x : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ denoted $(x)_{n=a}^b$ where $x_n, \forall n \in [a, b]$ are the element of the sequence at from indices a to b .

0.1.11 Bounded sequence**Definition 0.1.12**

A sequence is **bounded** if there is a k such that $|x_n| \leq k, \forall n$.

0.1.12 Null Sequence**Definition 0.1.13**

A sequence x_n is **null** if given any $\varepsilon > 0$, $\exists N \in \mathbb{N} \ni \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^{>N}, n \implies |x_n| < \varepsilon$.

Comment:

1. This means that $x_n \rightarrow 0$ if x_n is null.
2. This also means $x_n \rightarrow p$ if and only if $(x_n - p)$ is null.

Example 0.1.6

Claim: $(1 + (-1)^n)$ is not null.

Proof: Note, if a sequence (x) is not null, then $\exists \varepsilon > 0 \ni \forall N \in \mathbb{N}, \exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ where $n > N$ and $|x_n| \geq \varepsilon$. Let $x_n = 1 + (-1)^n, \forall n$. So, $|x_n| = |1 + (-1)^n| = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{when } n \text{ is odd.} \\ 2 & \text{when } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$. Choose $\varepsilon := 1$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ be arbitrary. Now, choose $n := 2N$. Then, $n > N$ trivially. Additionally, $|1 + (-1)^n| = |1 + (-1)^{2N}| = 2 > 1$. ■

0.1.13 Continuous function**Definition 0.1.14**

Let $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. A function $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is **continuous** at a point $a \in D$ if $\varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0 \ni \forall x \in D, |x - a| < \delta \implies |f(x) - f(a)| < \varepsilon$.

Comment: Note, if a is an accumulation point, then $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = f(a)$. If a is not an accumulation point, but f is continuous, then $|x - a| < \delta \implies x = a$. Such an a is called an **isolated point**.

0.1.14 Removable Discontinuity**Definition 0.1.15**

Suppose f is discontinuous at a . Then, f has a **removable discontinuity** at a if there is a function g such that g is continuous at a and $g(x) = f(x), \forall x \neq a$.

Example 0.1.7

Claim: $f(x) := \frac{x^2-1}{x-1}$ has a removable discontinuity at $a = 1$.

Proof: Let $g(x) := x + 1$. Then $g(x) = f(x), \forall x \neq 1$ and g is continuous at $x = 1$. So, f has a removable discontinuity at $x = 1$.

0.1.15 Right-Sided Continuity

Definition 0.1.16

Let $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and $a \in D$. f is **continuous from the right** at a if $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0 \ni \forall x \in D, 0 < |x - a| < \delta \implies |f(x) - f(a)| < \varepsilon$.

0.1.16 Left-Sided Continuity

Definition 0.1.17

Let $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and $a \in D$. f is **continuous from the left** at a if $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0 \ni \forall x \in D, -\delta < x - a < 0 \implies |f(x) - f(a)| < \varepsilon$.

0.1.17 Upper Bound

Definition 0.1.18

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. A real number $u \in \mathbb{R}$ is an **upper bound** for A if each $a \in A \implies a \leq u$.

0.1.18 Lower Bound

Definition 0.1.19

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. A real number $u \in \mathbb{R}$ is a **lower bound** for A if each $a \in A \implies a \geq u$.

0.1.19 Maximum

Definition 0.1.20

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. A real number $u \in \mathbb{R}$ is the **maximum** of A if each $u \in A$ implies u is an upper bound for A .

0.1.20 Minimum

Definition 0.1.21

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. A real number $u \in \mathbb{R}$ is the **minimum** of A if $u \in A$ implies u is a lower bound for A .

0.1.21 Supremum or Least Upper Bound

Definition 0.1.22

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}$. u is a **supremum** or **least upper bound** if u is an upper bound of A and no number smaller than u is an upper bound.

0.1.22 Infimum or Greatest Lower Bound

Definition 0.1.23

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}$. u is an **infimum** or **greatest lower bound** if u is a lower bound for A and no number larger than u is a lower bound.

0.1.23 Intermediate Value Property

Definition 0.1.24

A subset $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ has the **intermediate value property** if $\forall x, y \in A$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $x < t < y \implies t \in A$.

0.1.24 Countable**Definition 0.1.25**

A set is called **countable** if its elements can be enumerated by the naturals.

0.1.25 Increasing**Definition 0.1.26**

Let I be some interval. A function $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is **increasing** if $\forall x, y \in I, x < y \implies f(x) \leq f(y)$.

0.1.26 Decreasing**Definition 0.1.27**

Let I be some interval. A function $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is **decreasing** if $\forall x, y \in I, x < y \implies f(x) \geq f(y)$.

0.1.27 Monotone**Definition 0.1.28**

A function is **monotone** if it is either increasing or decreasing.

0.1.28 Jump Discontinuity**Definition 0.1.29**

A **jump discontinuity** is defined when one-sided limits exist at a point a but are not equal.

0.1.29 Bounded Function**Definition 0.1.30**

A function $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is **bounded** on $E \subseteq D$ if $\exists M \in \mathbb{R} \ni |f(x)| \leq M, \forall x \in E$.

0.1.30 Compact Interval**Definition 0.1.31**

Suppose $a < b$ are real. A **compact interval** I is a closed and bounded interval of the form $I := [a, b]$.

0.1.31 Uniform Continuity

Definition 0.1.32

A function $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is **uniformly continuous** on D if given any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a $\delta > 0 \ni \forall x, y \in D, |x - y| < \delta \implies |f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$.

Example 0.1.8

Claim: $f(x) := x^2$ is uniformly continuous on $D := \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid |x| < 7\}$.

Proof: Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Note, $|x^2 - y^2| = |(x - y)||x + y|$. By the triangle inequality, $|x + y| \leq |x| + |y|$. So, $|(x - y)||x + y| < 7 + 7 = 14$. So, let $\delta := \frac{\varepsilon}{14}$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - f(y)| &= |x - y||x + y| \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon}{14} \cdot 14 \\ &= \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

■

0.1.32 Differentiable

Definition 0.1.33

Let $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. A function $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is **differentiable** at $a \in D$ if a is an accumulation point of D with derivative b gives, $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \ni \forall x \in D, 0 < |x - a| < \delta \implies \left| \frac{f(x) - f(a)}{x - a} - b \right| < \varepsilon$.

0.1.33 Right-hand Derivative

Definition 0.1.34

The **right-hand derivative** $(f')^+(a)$ is defined, $(f')^+ := \lim_{x \rightarrow a^+} \frac{f(x) - f(a)}{x - a}$.

0.1.34 Left-hand Derivative

Definition 0.1.35

The **left-hand derivative** $(f')^-(a)$ is defined, $(f')^- := \lim_{x \rightarrow a^-} \frac{f(x) - f(a)}{x - a}$.

0.1.35 Local Maximum**Definition 0.1.36**

$f(a)$ is a **local maximum** of f if $\exists \delta > 0 \ni x \in (a - \delta, a + \delta) \implies f(x) \leq f(a)$.

0.1.36 Local Minimum**Definition 0.1.37**

$f(a)$ is a **local minimum** of f if $\exists \delta > 0 \ni x \in (a - \delta, a + \delta) \implies f(x) \geq f(a)$.

0.1.37 Partition**Definition 0.1.38**

A **partition** of a closed and bounded^a interval $[a, b]$ is a finite collection of points,

$$a = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n = b$$

^aCompact, in other words...

0.1.38 Step Function**Definition 0.1.39**

Given a partition $a = x_0 < \cdots < x_n = b$, of $[a, b]$, a **step function** is a function,

$$s : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, s(x) := \sum_{k=1}^n A_k \mathbb{1}_{(x_{k-1}, x_k)}(x)$$

that is constant on (x_{k-1}, x_k) .

0.1.39 Lower Step Function

Definition 0.1.40

A step function $s : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a **lower step function** for f if

$$s(x) \leq f(x), \forall x \in [a, b]$$

except possibly at partition points.

0.1.40 Upper Step Function**Definition 0.1.41**

An step function $s : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an **upper step function** if

$$f(x) \leq s(x), \forall x \in [a, b]$$

except possibly at partition points.

0.1.41 Lower Integral**Definition 0.1.42**

The **lower integral** of f $\int_a^b f$ is the supremum of the set of lower sums for a .

$$\int_a^b f := \sup \left\{ \sum s \mid s \text{ is a lower step function of } f \right\}$$

0.1.42 Upper Integral**Definition 0.1.43**

The **upper integral** of f $\overline{\int_a^b f}$ is the infimum of the set of upper sums for a .

$$\overline{\int_a^b f} := \inf \left\{ \sum s \mid s \text{ is an upper step function of } f \right\}$$

Example 0.1.9

Claim: The set of upper sums is nonempty and bounded below. **Proof:** Let $S(x) = M$. Then, $s(x) \geq f(x), \forall x \in [a, b], \forall x \in [a, b]$. So, $S(x)$ is an upper step function and $\sum S$ is an upper sum. So, the set of upper sums is not nonempty.

Now, let $S = \sum_{k=1}^n A_k \mathbb{1}_{(x_{k-1}, x_k]}$ be an upper set function. Then $A_k \geq f(x)$. Note, $f(x) \geq M$. So, $A_k \geq M$. Thus,

$$\sum S = \sum_{i=1}^M A_i (x_i - x_{i-1}) \geq \sum_{i=1}^N M (x_i - x_{i-1}) = M(b - a)$$

■

0.1.43 Riemann Integrable**Definition 0.1.44**

A bounded function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is **(Riemann) integrable** if $\int_a^b f = \int_a^b f$

Example 0.1.10

Let s be a lower step function for g . Then, $s(x) \leq f(x) \leq g(x), \forall x$. Therefore,

$$\sup\{\sum s | s \text{ is a lower step function for } g\} \leq \sup\{\sum S | S \text{ is a lower step function for } g\}$$

So, $\int_a^b f \leq \int_a^b g$. Since f and g are integrable, $\int_a^b = \int_a^b f = \int_a^b g$.

■

0.1.44 Refinement**Definition 0.1.45**

A partition $Q : a = y_0 < y_1 < \dots < y_n = b$ is a **refinement** of a partition $P : a = x_0 < \dots < x_m = b$ if

$$\{x_j | j = 0, \dots, m\} \subset \{y_k | k = 0, \dots, n\}$$

0.2 Theorems, Lemmas, Corollaries, and Proofs

0.2.1 Theorem 1.1.1

Theorem 0.2.1

The set of finite decimals equals the set of infinite decimals terminating in repeating nines.

0.2.2 Theorem 1.1.2

Theorem 0.2.2

An **infinite decimal** is a *rational number* if and only if it is repeating.

Example 0.2.1

Claim: $0.\overline{234} \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Proof: Let $x = 0.\overline{234}$. Then, $1000x = 234.\overline{234}$.

$$1000x = 234 + x$$

$$x = \frac{234}{999}$$

So, $x \in \mathbb{Q}$. ■

Example 0.2.2

Claim: If $\frac{p}{q}$ is rational, then the repeating part of its infinite decimal has a maximum length of $q - 1$.

Proof: Without loss of generality, consider $\frac{1}{7} \in \mathbb{Q}$. We want to find the infinite decimal form of $\frac{1}{7}$. Assuming long-division works, one can discover find that $\frac{1}{7} = 0.\overline{142857}$. Note, there are seven possible elements in the set of remainders, \mathbb{Z}_7 . If 0 is the remainder, then the decimal terminates. So, the maximum possible length of the repeating decimal for $\frac{1}{7}$ is six. ■

0.2.3 Lemma 1.1.4 (Archimedean property)

Lemma 0.2.1

If $r > 0$, $\exists n \in \mathbb{Z}^{>0} \ni r > \frac{1}{10^N}$.

“Given any number r , there exists a rational $\frac{1}{10^N}$ smaller than it.”

Proof: Let r be a decimal $d_0.d_1d_2\cdots > 0$. Since $r \neq 0$, $\exists n \in \mathbb{N} \ni d_n \neq 0$. Note, $\frac{1}{10^n} = 0.00\cdots d_n$ where $d_n = 1$. Additionally, note $r > \frac{1}{10^{n+1}}$.

■

0.2.4 Corollary 1.1.5**Corollary 0.2.1**

If $r \geq 0$ and $r < \frac{1}{10^n}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $r = 0$.

0.2.5 Theorem 1.1.6 (Density of the Rationals in the Reals)**Theorem 0.2.3**

\mathbb{Q} is dense in \mathbb{R} .

Proof: Let $r > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$ be given. Note, x can be expressed as an infinite decimal $x := d_0.d_1d_2\cdots$. By **Lemma 1.1.4**, $\exists n \in \mathbb{Z}^{>0} \ni r > \frac{1}{10^n}$. Let $y, z \in \mathbb{Q} \ni y := d_0.d_1\cdots\bar{0}$ and $z := d_0.d_1\cdots d_n\bar{0}$. Note, if $x > 0$, $y \leq x \leq z$. If $x < 0$, $z < x < y$. Now, suppose $x > 0$. So, $y \leq x \leq z$. Note, $z - y = \frac{1}{10^n} \Leftrightarrow z = y + \frac{1}{10^n}$. So, the following,

$$x - r < x \leq y + \frac{1}{10^n} \leq x + \frac{1}{10^n} < r + x$$

Note, $z = y + \frac{1}{10^n}$. So, $x - r < z < x + r$. Additionally, note $z \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Now, let $x < 0$. So, $z \leq x \leq y$. Note, $y = z + \frac{1}{10^n}$. The following,

$$x - r < x \leq z + \frac{1}{10^n} \leq x + \frac{1}{10^n} < r + x$$

So, $x - r < y < x + r$. Note, $y \in \mathbb{Q}$. Finally, let $x = 0$. $0 \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $0 \in (r - x, x + r), \forall r > 0$. So, \mathbb{Q} is dense in \mathbb{R} .

■

0.2.6 Theorem 1.1.8 (Density of Irrationals in the Reals)

Theorem 0.2.4

Any open interval contains an irrational number.

0.2.7 Theorem 1.3.12 (Local boundedness)

Theorem 0.2.5

Let $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, and a an accumulation point of D be given. If $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = L$ exists, then $\exists \delta > 0, \exists M > 0 \ni \forall x \in D, 0 < |x - a| < \delta$. Consequently, $|f(x)| < M$.

Proof: Since $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = L$, $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ (and in particular, $\varepsilon = 1$), $\exists \delta > 0 \ni \forall x \in D, 0 < |x - a| < \delta \implies |f(x) - L| < 1$. Let $M = 1 + |L|$. Note,

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x)| &= |f(x) - L + L| \\ &\leq |f(x) - L| + |L| \\ &< 1 + |L| \\ &= M \end{aligned}$$

■

0.2.8 Lemma 1.4.2 (Constant multiple rule)

4

Lemma 0.2.2

Let $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = L$ be given. Then, $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} (kf)(x) = kL, \forall k \in \mathbb{R}$.

0.2.9 Theorem 1.4.1 (Linearity)

Theorem 0.2.6

Let $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, $f, g : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $x_0, a, b, L, M \in \mathbb{R}$ where x_0 is an accumulation point of D . Suppose $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = L$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} g(x) = M$. Then, $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} (af(x) + bg(x)) = aL + bM$.

Proof: Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $k \neq 0$ be given. Then, by **Lemma 1.4.2**,

$$\begin{aligned} |(kf)(x) - kL| &= |kf(x) - kL| \\ &= |k||f(x) - L| \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = L$ is given, $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, in particular $\frac{\varepsilon}{|k|}$, $\exists \delta > 0 \ni \forall x \in D, 0 < |x - a| < \delta \implies |f(x) - L| < \frac{\varepsilon}{|k|}$.

$$\begin{aligned} |k||f(x) - L| &< |k| \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{|k|} \\ &< \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

■

0.2.10 Lemma 1.4.4 (Sum Rule)

Lemma 0.2.3

Let $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, $f, g : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and $a, L, M \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose a is an accumulation point of D , $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = L$, and $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} g(x) = M$. Then, $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} (f + g)(x) = L + M$.

Proof: Recall, the triangle inequality states

$$|x + y| \leq |x| + |y|$$

⁴Don't ask me why they structured it like this...

So,

$$(f + g)(x) - (L + M) \leq |f(x) - L| + |g(x) - M|$$

Since $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = L \implies \exists \delta_1 > 0 \ni \forall x \in D, 0 < |x - a| < \delta_1, \implies 0 < |f(x) - L| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$
 (given that $\varepsilon > 0$). Similarly, $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} g(x) = M \implies \exists \delta_2 > 0 \ni \forall x \in D, 0 < |x - a| < \delta_2 \implies 0 < |g(x) - M| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Choose $\delta = \min(\delta_1, \delta_2) \ni 0 < |x - a| < \delta$, we have
 $|f + g)(x) - (L + M)| \leq |f(x) - L| + |g(x) - M| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon$

■

0.2.11 Theorem 1.4.6 (Product Rule)

Theorem 0.2.7

Let $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and $a, L, M \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose $f, g : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a is an accumulation point of D . If $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = L$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} g(x) = M$, then $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} (f \cdot g)(x) = L \cdot M$.

Proof: let $\varepsilon > 0$. By the triangle inequality,

$$|(f \cdot g)(x) - (L \cdot M)| = |f(x) \cdot g(x) - L \cdot g(x) + L \cdot g(x) - L \cdot M|$$

Note, $|f(x) \cdot g(x) - L \cdot g(x) + L \cdot g(x) - L \cdot M| \leq |f(x) - L||g(x)| + |L||g(x) - M|$. By the **Local Boundedness Theorem**, $\exists k, \delta > 0 \ni |g(x)| < k$ for $0 < |x - a| < \delta$. So,

$$|f(x) - L||g(x)| + |L||g(x) - M| < k|f(x) - L| + |L||g(x) - M|$$

Since $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = L$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} g(x) = M$, $\exists \delta_2, \delta_3 > 0 \ni |f(x) - L| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2k}$ whenever $0 < |x - a| < \delta_2$ and $|g(x) - M| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2|L|+1}$ whenever $0 < |x - a| < \delta_3$.

$$^a \exists L \ni |L| = 0$$

Example 0.2.3

Claim: $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} x^n = a^n$.

Proof: Let $n \in \mathbb{N}, a \in \mathbb{R}$.

Base Case: When $n = 1$, $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} x^1 = \lim_{x \rightarrow a} x = a$. **Inductive Hypothesis:** $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} x^n = a^n$. **Inductive Step:** Suppose the **Inductive Hypothesis** holds. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x \rightarrow a} x^{n+1} &= \lim_{x \rightarrow a} x^n \cdot x \\ &= \left(\lim_{x \rightarrow a} x^n \right) \left(\lim_{x \rightarrow a} x \right) \\ &= a^n \cdot a \\ &= a^{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

■

0.2.12 Theorem 1.4.9 (Quotient Rule)

Theorem 0.2.8

Let $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, $f, g : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $a, L, M \in \mathbb{R}$. Let a be an accumulation point of D . If $M \neq 0$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = L$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} g(x) = M$, then $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} \left(\frac{f}{g} \right)(x) = \frac{L}{M}$.

Proof: By exercise 1.3.15^a in **From Calculus to Analysis** it is shown that $\forall x \in D$ near a , $g(x) > \frac{|M|}{2}$. Specifically, $g(x) \neq 0$ near a . So, $\frac{1}{g(x)} \neq 0$ near a . Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Observe, $\left| \frac{1}{g(x)} - \frac{1}{M} \right| = \left| \frac{M - g(x)}{Mg(x)} \right| = \frac{1}{|M||g(x)|} \cdot |M - g(x)|$. Since $|g(x)| > \frac{|M|}{2}$, $\frac{1}{g(x)} < \frac{2}{|M|}$, by a generalization of the local positivity theorem. Let $\delta > 0 \ni |M - g(x)| < \frac{M^2 \varepsilon}{2}$, if $0 < |x - a| < \delta$. Then, $\left| \frac{1}{g(x)} - \frac{1}{M} \right| = \frac{1}{|M||g(x)|} |M - g(x)| < \frac{1}{|M|} \cdot \frac{2}{|M|} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon |M|^2}{2} = \varepsilon$. ■

^aThe proof of local positivity

0.2.13 Theorem 1.4.14 (Composition Rule)

Theorem 0.2.9

Let $A, B, C \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Suppose $f : A \rightarrow B$, $g : B \rightarrow C$, a is an accumulation point of A , b is an accumulation point of B , and $f(x) \neq b$ when $x \neq a$ is close to a . If $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = b$ and $\lim_{y \rightarrow b} g(y) = c$, then $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} (g \circ f)(x) = c$.

Proof: Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Since $\lim_{y \rightarrow b} g(y) = c$, $\exists \delta_1 > 0 \ni 0 < |y - b| < \delta_1 \implies |g(y) - c| < \varepsilon$. Similarly, since $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = b$, $\exists \delta_2 > 0 \ni 0 < |x - a| < \delta_2 \implies |f(x) - b| < \varepsilon = \delta_1$. Now, let $\gamma > 0 \ni 0 < |x - a| < \gamma \implies f(x) \neq b, \forall x^a$. Let $\delta := \min(\gamma, \delta_2) \ni 0 < |x - a| < \gamma$. So, $0 < |x - a| < \gamma \implies 0 < |f(x) - b| < \delta_1 \implies |(g \circ f)(x) - c| < \varepsilon$. ■

^aNote, that $f(x) \neq b$ is assumed.

0.2.14 Theorem 1.4.16 (Squeeze Theorem)

Theorem 0.2.10

Let $f, g, h : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and Suppose $f(x) \leq h(x) \leq g(x), \forall x \neq a$ near a , some accumulation point of D . If $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = L$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} g(x) = L$, then $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} h(x) = L$.

Proof: Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Let $\delta_f > 0 \ni 0 < |x - a| < \delta_f \implies |f(x) - L| < \varepsilon$. Similarly, for $\delta_g > 0 \ni 0 < |x - a| < \delta_g \implies |g(x) - L| < \varepsilon$. Note,

$$|f(x) - L| < \varepsilon \implies -\varepsilon < f(x) - L < \varepsilon$$

and

$$|g(x) - L| < \varepsilon \implies -\varepsilon < g(x) - L < \varepsilon$$

So,

$$-\varepsilon < f(x) - L \leq h(x) - L \leq g(x) - L < \varepsilon$$

when $0 < |x - a| < \delta, \delta := \min(\delta_g, \delta_f)$. Then,

$$0 < |x - a| < \delta \implies -\varepsilon < f(x) - L < \varepsilon \text{ and } -\varepsilon < g(x) - L < \varepsilon$$

$$\implies -\varepsilon < f(x) - L \leq h(x) - L \leq g(x) - L < \varepsilon.$$

■

0.2.15 Theorem 1.4.19

Theorem 0.2.11

Suppose $D, D_1, D_2 \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, $D := D_1 \cup D_2$, $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and a is an accumulation point of D_1 and D_2 . The following,

$$(1) \lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = L \implies \lim_{x \rightarrow a} f|_{D_1}(x) = L \text{ and } \lim_{x \rightarrow a} f|_{D_2}(x) = L$$

$$(2) \lim_{x \rightarrow a} f|_{D_1}(x) = L \text{ and } \lim_{x \rightarrow a} f|_{D_2}(x) = L \implies \lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = L.$$

0.2.16 Corollary 1.4.20

Corollary 0.2.2

Let $D := D_1 \cup D_2$, $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a be an accumulation point of D_1 and D_2 . If f is a function, then $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x)$ exists $\Leftrightarrow \lim_{x \rightarrow a} f|_{D_1}(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow a} f|_{D_2}(x)$ both exist.

Example 0.2.4

Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $f(x) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Q} \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin \mathbb{Q} \end{cases}$. Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$. We know that a is an accumulation point of \mathbb{Q} and $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$.^a By this, note $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f|_{\mathbb{Q}}(x) = 1$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f|_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}}(x) = 0$. So, $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x)$ does not exist as either of its component limits^b are not equal. So, this function does not converge anywhere!

^aBy the density of rationals and irrationals in the reals

^bThose above.

0.2.17 Theorem (Convergence of Bounded Sequences)

Theorem 0.2.12

A convergent sequence is bounded^{a b}.

^awhere x is a sequence and $p \in \mathbb{R}$, convergence of a sequences is denoted $x_n \rightarrow p$

^badditionally, the complete proof was omitted from class. I may supply the full proof at a later date. Really trying not to cop out here...

0.2.18 Theorem (Threshold of Convergent Sequences)

Theorem 0.2.13

Suppose $x_n \rightarrow p$ and $p \neq 0$. $\exists N \in \mathbb{N} \ni n > N \implies |x_n| > \frac{|p|}{2}$.

0.2.19 Theorem (Properties of Convergent Sequences)

Theorem 0.2.14

Suppose sequences $a_n \rightarrow a$, $b_n \rightarrow b$, and $a, b, k \in \mathbb{R}$. Then,

- (1) $ka_n \rightarrow ka$.
- (2) $a_n + b_n \rightarrow a + b$.
- (3) $a_nb_n \rightarrow ab$.
- (4) If $b \neq 0$, $\frac{a_n}{b_n} \rightarrow \frac{a}{b}$.
- (5) If $a_n \leq b_n \leq c_n, \forall n$ and $a_n, c_n \rightarrow a$, then $b_n \rightarrow a$.

0.2.20 Theorem 2.1.1 (Continuity of Composed Functions)

Theorem 0.2.15

If f is continuous at a and g is continuous at $b := f(a)$, then $g \circ f$ is continuous at a .

Proof: Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Since g is continuous at b , $\exists \delta_g \ni \forall y \in D, |y - b| < \delta_g \implies |g(y) - g(b)| < \varepsilon$. Since f is continuous at a , $\exists \delta_f > 0 \ni \forall x \in D, |x - a| < \delta_f \implies |f(x) - f(a)| < \delta_g$. Note, $f(x) \in D$ and $b = f(a)$. So, $|f(x) - f(a)| < \delta_g \Leftrightarrow |y - b| < \delta_g$. Choose $\delta = \delta_f$. So, $|x - a| < \delta \implies |(g \circ f)(x) - (g \circ f)(a)| < \varepsilon$. ■

Comment: If a is an accumulation point in D , then continuity can be re-expressed as

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = f(a)$$

and given that the limit of f meets the following criteria:

- (1) Given that a is indeed an accumulation point of \mathbb{R} .
- (2) Given that both one-sided limits of f exist.
- (3) Given that both one-sided limits of f are equivalent.

0.2.21 Theorem 3.1.5 (Order Completeness)**Theorem 0.2.16**

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and $A \neq \emptyset$. If A has an upper bound, then A has a least upper bound.

0.2.22 Theorem 3.1.8 (Greatest Lower Bound Theorem)**Theorem 0.2.17**

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. If A has a greatest lower bound.

0.2.23 Theorem 3.2.1 (Interval Theorem)**Theorem 0.2.18**

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and $A \neq \emptyset$. Then, A has the intermediate value property if and only if it is an interval.

Proof: (\Leftarrow) Suppose $A \subseteq \mathbb{R} \ni A \neq \emptyset$ has the intermediate value property. Let $a = \inf A$ and $b = \sup A$ such that a and b are both finite. Then, a is a lower bound and b is an upper bound. So $A \subseteq [a, b]$. Let $t \in \mathbb{R} \ni a < t < b$. Since $a < t$ and $a = \inf A$, t is not a lower bound for A . Thus, $\exists x \in A \ni \inf A = a \leq x < t$. Similarly, $\exists y \in A \ni t < y \leq b = \sup A$. Thus, by the intermediate value property, since $x, y \in A$ and $x < t < y$, we have $t \in A$. Therefore, $(a, b) \subseteq A$.

■

0.2.24 Theorem 3.3.2 (Nested Interval Theorem)

Theorem 0.2.19

Suppose the closed intervals $([a_n, b_n])_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are nested and the sequence of lengths $(b_n - a_n)$ is null^a. Then, $\exists x \in \mathbb{R} \ni \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} [a_n, b_n] = \{x\}$.

Proof: Suppose the closed intervals $([a_n, b_n])_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are nested and $(b_n - a_n)$ is null. Then,

$$a_i \leq a_{i+1} \leq \cdots \leq a_j \leq b_j \leq \cdots \leq b_{j+1} \leq b_j$$

where $i < j, \forall i, j \in \mathbb{N}$. So, $a_i \leq a_j \leq b_j \leq b_i \implies a_i \leq b_j, a_i \leq a_j$. Then, $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, a_m \leq b_n$. Let $A := \{a_m | m \in \mathbb{N}\}, B := \{b_n | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Then, $\forall a \in A$ and $\forall b \in B, a \leq b$. If $a := \sup A$ and $b := \inf B, a \leq b$. If $a < b$, then $0 < b - a \leq b_n - a_n, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Note, if $\forall a_n, b_n > 0$, then $(b_n - a_n) \not\rightarrow 0$. This contradicts $(b_n - a_n)$ being null. So, $a \not< b$ by contradiction. So, $a = \sup A = \inf B = b$.

Note, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, a_n \leq a$. Similarly, $b_n \geq b, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. So, $a_n \leq a = b \leq b_n$. So, $a_n \in [a_n, b_n], \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. So, $\{a\} \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [a_n, b_n]$.

Now, let $x \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [a_n, b_n]$. Then, $x \in [a_n, b_n], \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. So, $a_n \leq x \leq b_n$. So, x is an upper bound for the set A and a lower bound for the set B . So, $a \leq x \leq b$. But, $a = b$. So, $x = a = b$. Thus, $x \in \{a\}$. So, $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [a_n, b_n] \subseteq \{a\}$. ■

^aThat the length of the intervals approaches 0

0.2.25 Corollary 3.3.4 (Binary Nested Interval Theorem)

Corollary 0.2.3

Let aMb be real numbers. Let $a_0 := a, b_0 := b$. Then, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0, c_n := \frac{a_n + b_n}{2}$. Now, suppose either $a_{n+1} = a_n$ and $b_{n+1} = c_n$ or $a_{n+1} = c_n$ and $b_{n+1} = b_n$. Then, $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} [a_n, b_n] = \{x\}, \exists x \in \mathbb{R}$.

0.2.26 Theorem 3.5.1 (Roots of an Exponential)

Theorem 0.2.20

Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^{>0}, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $\exists y \in \mathbb{R}^{>0} \ni y^k = x$. So, $x^{\frac{1}{k}} = y$.

0.2.27 Theorem 3.5.4 (Irrationality of the Square Root of Two)

Theorem 0.2.21

Suppose $\sqrt{2}$ is rational. So, $\exists p, q \in \mathbb{N} \ni \sqrt{2} = \frac{p}{q}$. Suppose p and q have no common factors. Then, $2 = \frac{p^2}{q^2} \implies 2q^2 = p^2$. So, p^2 and furthermore, p is even. Since p is even, $p = 2k, \exists k \in \mathbb{N}$. So, $2q^2 = (2k)^2 = 4k^2$. So, $q^2 = 2k^2$ and q is even. So, p and q have a common factor, 2. But p and q have no common factors! So, by contradiction, $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational. ■

0.2.28 Lemma (Finite Union of Countable Sets)

Lemma 0.2.4

The union of two countable sets is a countable set.

Proof: Let A and B be countable. Let $a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots \in A$ be an enumeration of A and $b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots \in B$ be an enumeration of B . Note, $a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2, a_3, b_3, \dots$ is an enumeration in $A \cup B$. So, $A \cup B$ a finite union of countable sets. ■

0.2.29 Lemma (Infinite Union of Finite Sets)

Lemma 0.2.5

If $A_k, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$ is finite, then $\cup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k$ is countable.

0.2.30 Theorem 4.1.5 (Infinite Union of Countable Sets)

Theorem 0.2.22

Let $A_k := \{a_{k,1}, a_{k,2}, a_{k,3}, \dots\}$ and let $B_n := \{a_{ij} | i + j = n\}$. Since, B_n has $n - 1$ elements, it is finite and countable. Consequently, $\cup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k$ is countable. ■

0.2.31 Theorem 4.1.7 (Cantor)

Theorem 0.2.23

The interval $[0, 1]$ is not countable.^a

Proof: Suppose $[0, 1]$ is countable. Let $\{y_k | k \in \mathbb{N}\} := [0, 1]^b$ Suppose $x := 0.d_1d_2\dots$ where

$$d_k := \begin{cases} 7, & k^{\text{th}} \text{ digit of } y_k \leq 4 \\ 2, & k^{\text{th}} \text{ digit of } y_k \geq 5 \end{cases}$$

For any k , $x_k \neq y_k$ since they have different k^{th} digits. ■

^aReally sorry about lack of order in the theorem counting here... I need to create a template for propositions, which is what this statement really is

^bVery weird notation...

0.2.32 Theorem 4.2.1

Theorem 0.2.24

Let A and B be sets. There is an injective map $f : A \rightarrow B$ if and only if there is a surjective map $g : B \rightarrow A$.

0.2.33 Theorem (Cantor-Bernstein-Schröder Theorem)

Theorem 0.2.25

If $|A| \geq |B|$ and $|A| \leq |B|$, then $|A| = |B|$.^a

^aIf you are interested in Homotopy Type Theory, do note that there exists an analog!

Example 0.2.5

Claim: The Cantor Set is uncountable. **Proof:** Let the Cantor function $f : C \rightarrow [0, 1]$, $f(d_0.d_1 \dots) := \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{d_k}{n}$.

Claim: f is not injective. Let $x \in [0, 1]$ be expressed $x := 0.d_1d_2 \dots$. Since $d_j \in \{0, 2\}, \forall j, y \in C$ and $f(y) = x$. So, $f : C \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a surjection. By Theorem 4.2.1, there is an injection $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow C$.

Note, $h : C \rightarrow [0, 1], h(c) = c, \forall c \in C$ is a satisfactory injection as $C \subseteq [0, 1]$. So, by Cantor-Bernstein-Schröder Theorem $|C| = |[0, 1]|$ and thus f is a bijection. So, C is uncountable. ■

0.2.34 Theorem (Jump Discontinuity Theorem)

Theorem 0.2.26

Let I be an interval and suppose $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is monotone. Let $a \in I$. Then, f is discontinuous at a if and only if f has a jump discontinuity at a .

0.2.35 Corollary 5.1.4

Corollary 0.2.4

A monotone function has a countable or empty set of discontinuities.

Proof: Without loss of generality, consider f to be increasing. Let A be the set of discontinuities of f . If $a \in A$, then $\lim_{x \rightarrow a^-} f(x) < \lim_{x \rightarrow a^+} f(x)$ since f is increasing and discontinuous at a . Consider the open interval,

$$I_0 := \left(\lim_{x \rightarrow a^-} f(x), \lim_{x \rightarrow a^+} f(x) \right)$$

. If $\exists a' \in A \ni a' < a$, then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a^-} f(x) \leq f\left(\frac{a + a'}{2}\right) \leq \lim_{x \rightarrow a^-} f(x)$$

■

0.2.36 Theorem 5.1.6 (Continuity Theorem for Monotone Functions)

Theorem 0.2.27

A monotone function defined on an interval is continuous if and only if its range is an interval.

0.2.37 Corollary 5.1.8**Corollary 0.2.5**

A monotone function defined on an interval is continuous if and only if its range has the intermediate value property.

0.2.38 Corollary 5.1.9**Corollary 0.2.6**

The inverse of a strictly increasing function is strictly increasing.

0.2.39 Corollary 5.1.10**Corollary 0.2.7**

The inverse of a strictly monotone, continuous function defined on an interval is continuous.

Proof: Let I be an interval and suppose $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is strictly increasing (without loss of generality) and continuous. By Corollary 5.1.9, f^{-1} is strictly increasing. By Theorem 5.1.6, range f is an interval, J . So, $f^{-1} : J \rightarrow I$. Therefore, f^{-1} is continuous.

■

0.2.40 Theorem 5.2.1 (Bolzano's Intermediate Value Theorem)

Theorem 0.2.28

Let I be an interval and $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. If there are points $a, b \in I \ni f(a) < 0$ and $f(b) > 0$, then there is a point $c \in (a, b) \ni f(c) = 0$.

Proof: Without loss of generality, $a < b$. Let $a_0 := a$ and $b_0 := b$. Then, $f(a_0) < 0$ and $f(b_0) > 0$. Let $c_0 := \frac{a_0 + b_0}{2}$. If $f(c_0) = 0 \implies c = c_0$, then there exists an element in between a and b that equals 0. If $f(c_0) < 0$, let $a_1 := c_0$ and $b_1 := b_0$. If $f(c_0) > 0$, let $a_1 := a_0$ and $b_1 := c_0$. In either case, $f(a_1) < 0$ and $f(b_1) > 0$. So, sequences (a_n) and (b_n) with $f(a_n) < 0$ and $f(b_n) > 0, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. For $c_n := \frac{a_n + b_n}{2}, a_{n+1} := a_n$ and $b_{n+1} := c_n$ or $a_{n+1} := c_n$ and $b_{n+1} := b_n$. Thus, $([a_n, b_n])$ are nested and $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} [a_n, b_n] = \{c\}, \exists c \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $f(a_n) < 0, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, f(c) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n \leq 0$. Similarly, $f(b_n) > 0 \implies f(c) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n \geq 0, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, $f(c) = 0$. ■

0.2.41 Theorem 5.2.2 (Intermediate Value Theorem)

Theorem 0.2.29

If a real-valued function f is continuous on some interval I , then the image $f(I)$ has the intermediate value property.

Proof: Let $a, b \in I \ni a < b$ and y_0 be in between $f(a)$ and $f(b)$. If $f(a) < f(b)$, apply the intermediate value theorem to $g(x) := f(x) - y_0$. If $f(b) < f(a)$, apply the intermediate value theorem to $g(x) := y_0 - f(x)$. ■

0.2.42 Corollary 5.2.3

Corollary 0.2.8

If a real-valued function f is continuous on some interval I , then $f(I)$ is an interval.

0.2.43 Theorem 5.5.3 (Global Boundedness Theorem)

Theorem 0.2.30

Let I be a compact interval. If $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, then f is bounded on I .

Proof: By the intermediate value theorem, $f(I)$ is an interval. Suppose f is unbounded on I . Then, $\exists x \in I, \forall M \in \mathbb{R} \ni |f(x)| \geq M$.

Bisect I . Since f is unbounded on I , it is unbounded on at least one of the halves of I . Bisect the unbounded half of I . Repeat this argument to obtain a sequence of nested intervals $I_{n+1} \subseteq I_n, \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \ni f$ is unbounded on each I_n . By the nested interval theorem, $\cap_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n = \{x_0\}$ for $\exists x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. Note, f is continuous on I and specifically at x_0 . So, by local boundedness, $\exists \delta > 0 \ni f$ is bounded on $(x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$. By **Example 3.3.3 in From Calculus to Analysis**, $\exists n \in \mathbb{N} \ni I_n \subseteq (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$. So, I_n is bounded by contradiction.

0.2.44 Theorem 5.3.5 (Extreme Value Theorem)

Theorem 0.2.31

Let I be a compact interval. If $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous on I , then there are x_{\min} and x_{\max} ^a such that $f(x_{\min}(x)) \leq f(x) \leq f(x_{\max}(x)), \forall x \in I$.

Proof: Let $M := \sup f(I)$. Since f is bounded, by the global boundedness theorem, $m \in \mathbb{R}$. Now, bisect I . We know that at least one-half of I gives $\sup f(I) = M$. Choose this half. Repeat this argument to obtain a sequence of nested intervals. $I_n \ni \sup f(I_n) = M$. So, by the nested interval theorem, $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n = \{x_0\}$ for $\exists x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $M = \sup f(I)$, $f(x) \leq M, \forall x \in I$. So, $f(x_0) \leq M$. Suppose $f(x_0) < M$. Let $\varepsilon := \frac{1}{2}(M - f(x_0)) > 0$. Since f is continuous, $\exists \delta > 0 \ni \forall x \in I, |x - x_0| < \delta \implies |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}(M - f(x_0))$. Viz., $\exists x \in (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta) \ni f(x) < f(x_0) + \varepsilon$. So, $f(x) < \frac{1}{2}M + \frac{1}{2}f(x_0) < \frac{1}{2}M + \frac{1}{2}M = M$. Choose $N \ni I_N \subseteq (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$. Then, $M = \sup \{f(x) | x \in I_N\} \leq \sup \{f(x) | x \in (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)\} < f(x_0) + \varepsilon = M$ by contradiction. The argument for x_{\min} follows similarly. ■

^aOtherwise denoted as $\arg \min I$ and $\arg \max I$ respectively.

0.2.45 Corollary 5.3.6

Corollary 0.2.9

If $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and $x_{\min}, x_{\max} \in [a, b] \ni f(x_{\min}) \leq f(x) \leq f(x_{\max}), \forall x \in [a, b]$ then $f([a, b]) = [f(x_{\min}), f(x_{\max})]$.

0.2.46 Lemma 5.4.5

Lemma 0.2.6

Let $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Suppose f is uniformly continuous on $I_1 := [0, \frac{1}{2}]$, $I_2 := [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$, and $I_3 = [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}]$. Then, f is uniformly continuous on $[0, 1]$.

Proof: Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. For each j , let $\exists \delta_j > 0$ such that uniform continuity is satisfied for ε on I_j . Let $\delta := \min \delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \frac{1}{2}$. Then, if $x, y \in [0, 1]$ with $|x - y| < \delta \leq \frac{1}{2}$. So, $x, y \in I, \exists! j$. Thus, $|x - y| < \delta \leq \delta_j \implies |f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$. ■

0.2.47 Theorem 5.4.6 (Uniform Continuity Theorem)

Theorem 0.2.32

Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous on $[a, b]$. Then, f is uniformly continuous on $[a, b]$.^a

Proof: Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous on $[a, b]$. Suppose f is not uniformly continuous on $[a, b]$. Then, $\exists \varepsilon > 0, \forall \delta > 0, \exists x, y \in [a, b] \ni |x - y| < \delta$ and $|f(x) - f(y)| \geq \varepsilon$. Let $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ be such that there is no $\delta > 0$ satisfying uniform continuity on $[a, b]$. By Lemma 5.4.5, there is no $\delta > 0$ satisfying uniform continuity for ε_0 on at least one of the three subintervals $[a, \frac{a+b}{2}]$, $[\frac{a+b}{2}, b]$, $[\frac{3a+b}{4}, \frac{a+3b}{4}]$. Let $a_1 := a$ and $b_1 := b$. Repeat the argument so $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, $[a_n, b_{n+1}] \subseteq [a_n, b_n]$ and $b_{n+1} - a_{n+1} = \frac{|b_n - a_n|}{2}$ and there is no δ satisfying uniform continuity for ε_0 on $[a_n, b_n]$. Note, $[a_n, b_n]$ is nested $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Additionally, $b_n - a_n = \frac{(b-a)}{2^{n-1}}$ is null. By the Nested Interval Theorem, $\exists x_0 \in \mathbb{R} \ni \{x_0\} = \cap_{n=1}^{\infty} [a_n, b_n]$. So, f is continuous at x_0 . Thus, $\exists \delta_0 > 0, \forall x \in [a, b], |x - x_0| < \delta_0 \implies |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2}$. Let N be such that $[a_N, b_N] \in (x_0 - \delta_0, x_0 + \delta_0)$. So, $\forall x, y \in [a_N, b_N], |x - x_0| < \delta_0$ and $|y - x_0| < \delta_0$. Thus, $|f(x) - f(x_0)| < \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2}$ and $|f(y) - f(x_0)| < \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2}$. So, $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq |f(x_0) - f(y)| + |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2} = \varepsilon_0$.

So, $x, y \in [a_N, b_N]$ satisfy uniform continuity for ε_0 .^b So, f is uniformly continuous on $[a, b]$. ■

^aIf f is continuous on a compact interval, it is uniformly continuous

^bThis argument shows that any $\delta > 0$ satisfies uniform continuity for ε on $[a_N, b_N]$. But, there is supposedly no δ_0 that satisfies uniform continuity for ε_0 on $[a_N, b_N]$

0.2.48 Theorem (Product Rule)

Theorem 0.2.33

Suppose a is an accumulation point of D and $f, g : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are differentiable at a . Then,

$$(fg)'(a) = f'(a)g(a) + f(a)g'(a)$$

0.2.49 Theorem (Chain Rule)**Theorem 0.2.34**

Suppose $g : A \rightarrow B$ is differentiable at a and $f : B \rightarrow C$ is differentiable at $g(a)$. Then, $(f \circ g)$ is differentiable at a and

$$(f \circ g)'(a) = f'(g(a))g'(a)$$

0.2.50 Theorem (Quotient Rule)**Theorem 0.2.35**

Suppose $a \in D$ is an accumulation point and $f, g : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are differentiable at a with $g(a) \neq 0$. Then, $\frac{f}{g}$ is differentiable at a and

$$\left(\frac{f}{g}\right)'(a) = \frac{f'(a)g(a) - f(a)g'(a)}{(g(a))^2}$$

0.2.51 Lemma 7.1.1**Lemma 0.2.7**

Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded function. The set of lower sums for f is non-empty and bounded from above.

0.2.52 Lemma 7.1.8**Lemma 0.2.8**

Let g be a step function. If g' is obtained from g by inserting a finite number of

additional partition points, then

$$\sum g' = \sum g$$

Proof: Let $g := \sum_{k=1}^n A_k \mathbb{1}_{(x_{k-1}, x_k)}$ be a step function. Let $t \in [a, b] \ni t \neq x_i, \forall i$.^a For $k < j$, let $y_k := x_k$ and a new set partition $B_k := A_k$. If $k = j$, then let $y_j = t$ and $B_j := A_j$. Finally, for $k > j$, let $y_k = x_{k-1}$ and $B_k := A_{k-1}$. Now, define $g_1 := \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} B_k \mathbb{1}_{(y_{k-1}, y_k)}$. Then, if $x \in (x_{i-1}, x_i)$ for $i \neq j$, $g_1(x) := A_i$. If $x \in (x_{j-1}, t)$ or (t, x_j) , then $g_1(x) = A_j$. So,

$$\sum_P g_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} B_i (y_i - y_{i-1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} A_i (x_i - x_{i-1})$$

■

^aWe are picking a new partition point

0.2.53 Corollary 7.1.9

Corollary 0.2.10

If s, t are step functions with respect to partitions P, Q of $[a, b]$, then s, t are step functions with respect to the *common partition* $R := P \cup Q$. Also, $\sum_R s = \sum_P s$ and $\sum_R t = \sum_Q t$.

0.2.54 Lemma 7.1.11

Lemma 0.2.9

Let f be a bounded function. If s is a lower step function for f and S is an upper step function for f , then $\sum s \leq \sum S$.

Proof: Suppose s corresponds to $P : y_0 < \dots < y_\ell$ and S corresponds to $Q : z_0 < \dots < z_m$. Let $\{x_0, \dots, x_n\} = \{y_0, \dots, y_\ell\} \cup \{z_0, \dots, z_m\}$. So, $R : x_0 < \dots < x_n$ is a refinement of both P and A . By Corollary 7.1.9, $\sum_P s = \sum_R s$ and $\sum_Q S = \sum_R S$. Suppose $s(x) := a_i$ and $S(x) := A_i, x_{i-1} < x < x_i$. Since s is a lower step function, $a_i = s(x) \leq f(x)$. Since S is an upper step function, $f(x) = A_i$. So, $a_i \leq A_i, \forall i$. Thus, $\sum_R s = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i (x_i - x_{i-1}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n A_i (x_i - x_{i-1}) = \sum_R S$.

■

^aConsult Swindle. There are multiple errors in the previous edits of version 0.0.3

0.3 Version History

0.3.1 v0.0.0 (4/2/2020)

Initial release of *The Compendium*.

0.3.2 v0.0.1 (4/2/2020)

Added Product Rule, Chain Rule, and Quotient Rule to Theorems.

0.3.3 v0.0.2 (4/7/2020)

Added footnote about The Cantor-Schröder-Bernstein Theorem for ∞ -groupoids in Homotopy Type Theory.

0.3.4 v0.0.3 (4/14/2020)

Added Lemma 7.1.1, Lemma 7.1.8, Corollary 7.1.9, and Lemma 7.1.11. Additionally, the definitions for partitions, refinements, lower, upper, and general step functions as well as lower integrals, upper integrals, and Riemman integrable functions were added. There are most likely errors in the proofs for the new lemmas, so I would highly recommend that someone look over them as I had some trouble understanding the previous lectures.