

BRIEF NOTE - CD AS ‘GREEDY CRITERION’

Jeremy Roberts

March 28, 2008

1 Note

Our previous efforts have strived to use *current-displacement* (CD) as a means to adjust results given by the adjoint-based Greedy heuristic (GH). While those ideas were never fully developed due to unforeseen (yet inherent) difficulties, it was suggested CD could be used as the *greedy criterion* (GC) in a new Greedy heuristic.

We recall our definition of the CD vector,

$$\mathbf{D}'(i) = a \left(-\mathbf{J}^{tot}(i) + b \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n \mathbf{J}^s(i, j) \right) \quad (1)$$

which gives us the displacement at a location i from both tissues and all seeds not at i .

Because seed locations and the requisite current information is all known *a priori*, the CD vector gives to us a means to “push” seeds away from each other and sensitive tissues. However, when we do not have *a priori* knowledge of seed locations, this mechanism seemingly breaks down as in its application as the GC. If we rank position by $\|\mathbf{D}\|_2$, we first must sum the individual x and y components (of \mathbf{J}^{tot} etc.). However, as these components by their very nature consider direction, we can devise a scenario in which a location, while having a very low $\|\mathbf{D}\|_2$ value, is absolutely not where we would choose to place a seed.

Imagine a seed placed at (x_1, y_1) , after which we recompute and rerank CD. Then, let a second (or some other subsequent) seed be placed at $(x_1 + \alpha, y_1)$ where α is reasonably small yet large enough for the seeds to have adequate spacing. Now, because the seed currents are set such that a seed dominates in its immediate vicinity, we note that exactly in between these two seeds, the y -component of current exists solely due to tissues and that

the x-contributions from each are exactly opposite in direction and equal in magnitude; thus, the x-component cancels. Because we have only the y-component to factor into the norm, we can imagine this spot could be ranked quite favorable which should *not* be a sought outcome!

So what can we do?

Instead of keeping direction—i.e. keeping negative signs—we can make everything an absolute value (i.e. $||[\]||_1$ norm).