4/14/2019 Calc Team

question 2 views

Daily Challenge 26.4

(Due: Tuesday 4/9 at 12:00 noon Eastern)

In session 59, I told you that the Fourier transform of a convolution equals the product of the Fourier transform, i.e. $\mathcal{F}[f*g] = \mathcal{F}[f] \cdot \mathcal{F}[g]$ That is, the Fourier transform "turns convolution into multiplication of functions."

The proof was

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{F}\left[f*g\right] &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ikx} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x-y)g(y) \, dy \right] \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-ik(x-y)} f(x-y) e^{-iky} g(y) \, dx \, dy \\ &= \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-iku} f(u) \, du \right) \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-iky} g(y) \, dy \right) \\ &= \mathcal{F}[f] \cdot \mathcal{F}[g]. \end{split}$$

Today we will test this claim by computing the Fourier transform of a specific convolution, and comparing it to the product of the Fourier transforms.

Consider the square pulse

$$\operatorname{sq}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } -\frac{1}{2} \le x \le \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We showed on slide 24 of session 58 that the Fourier transform of the square pulse is

$$\mathcal{F}[\operatorname{sq}] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ikx} \cdot \operatorname{sq}(x) \, dx = \frac{\sin\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)}{\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)} = \operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{k}{2}\right).$$

On the other hand, we showed in session 56 that the convolution of two square pulses gives a triangle pulse.

$$(\operatorname{sq} * \operatorname{sq})(x) = \begin{cases} x+1 & \text{if } -1 \le x \le 0\\ 1-x & \text{if } 0 \le x \le 1\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

That is, the convolution of two boxes gives a triangle. If our Fourier convolution theorem is correct, we should have

$$\mathcal{F}\left[\operatorname{sq} * \operatorname{sq}
ight] \stackrel{\mathrm{want}}{=} \mathcal{F}\left[\operatorname{sq}
ight] \cdot \mathcal{F}\left[\operatorname{sq}
ight] = \frac{\sin^2\left(rac{k}{2}
ight)}{\left(rac{k}{2}
ight)^2} = \operatorname{sinc}^2\left(rac{k}{2}
ight).$$

Check explicitly that this formula is correct by computing the Fourier transform of the left side. That is, compute the Fourier transform of the triangle function

$$\operatorname{tri}(x) = \begin{cases} x+1 & \text{if } -1 \le x \le 0\\ 1-x & \text{if } 0 \le x \le 1\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

using the conventions

$$\mathcal{F}[f] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ikx} f(x) \, dx,$$

and show that the result is equal to $\frac{4\sin^2(k/2)}{k^2}$, which is $\mathrm{sinc}^2\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)$

 $\textbf{Hint/Solution}. \ \text{Split the integral into two regions, one from } -1 \leq x \leq 0 \ \text{and one from } 0 \leq x \leq 1. \ \text{In each region, } \\ \text{tri}(x) \ \text{is just } 1 \pm x; \ \text{handle the } \int 1 \cdot e^{-ikx} \ dx \ \text{piece directly, since } \\ \text{tri}(x) \ \text{is just } 1 \pm x; \ \text{handle the } \int 1 \cdot e^{-ikx} \ dx \ \text{piece directly, since } \\ \text{tri}(x) \ \text{tri}(x) \ \text{is just } 1 \pm x; \ \text{handle the } \int 1 \cdot e^{-ikx} \ dx \ \text{piece directly, since } \\ \text{tri}(x) \ \text{tri}($

$$\int_{a}^{b} e^{-ikx} dx = \left[\frac{e^{-ikx}}{-ik} \right]_{a}^{b},$$

and handle the $\int xe^{-ikx}\ dx$ piece through integration by parts to move a derivative onto the x.

Combine the four terms you get from the resulting integrals and get a common denominator. Your numerator will involve $e^{ik}+e^{-ik}$, which you can simplify using Euler's formula $e^{ix}=\cos(x)+i\sin(x)$ to show

$$e^{ik} + e^{-ik} = 2\cos(k).$$

Then use the cosine double-angle formula $\cos(2x)=1-2\sin^2(x)$ to simplify the result. You should find

4/14/2019 Calc Team

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ikx} \operatorname{tri}(x) dx = \frac{2 - \left(e^{ik} + e^{-ik}\right)}{k^2}$$

$$= \frac{2 - 2\cos(k)}{k^2}$$

$$= \frac{2 - \left(2 - 4\sin^2\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)\right)}{k^2}$$

$$= \frac{4\sin^2\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)}{k^2}$$

$$= \operatorname{sinc}^2\left(\frac{k}{2}\right).$$

daily_challenge

Updated 4 days ago by Christian Ferko

the students' answer, where students collectively construct a single answer

keet

Updated 2 days ago by Logan Pachulski

 $\textbf{the instructors' answer,} \ \textit{where instructors collectively construct a single answer}$

blonde boi

Updated 4 days ago by Christian Ferko

followup discussions for lingering questions and comments