4/14/2019 Calc Team

question 2 views

## Daily Challenge 11.7

(Due: Saturday 8/18 at 12:00 noon Eastern)

I still owe you a proof of the chain rule; I will present a written version in today's challenge, and then discuss the proof more carefully in our Saturday 8/18 meeting.

## (1) The chain rule gives $(f \circ g)'(a) = f'(g(a)) \cdot g'(a)$

We have already seen several cases where it is useful to differentiate a composition of two functions, like  $\sin(x^2)$ , and that we can do this using the chain rule.

However, I have not yet proven the chain rule for you, a deficiency which I will now rectify.

**Theorem.** If g is differentiable at a and f is differentiable at g(a), then their composition  $f \circ g$  is differentiable at a, and  $(f \circ g)'(a) = f'(g(a)) \cdot g'(a)$ .

**Proof.** We aim to show that the limit  $\lim_{h\to 0} \frac{f(g(a+h))-f(g(a))}{h}$  exists.

We will use a strategy common in mathematics: when you are trying to prove something hard, define a new function and translate the claim you want to prove into a statement about the new function.

Define a new function  $\phi$  by

$$\phi(h) = \left\{ egin{array}{ll} rac{f(g(a+h)) - f(g(a))}{g(a+h) - g(a)} & ext{if } g(a+h) - g(a) 
eq 0, \ f'(g(a)) & ext{if } g(a+h) - g(a) = 0. \end{array} 
ight.$$

The first step of our proof will be to show that  $\,\phi$  is continuous at 0. Let  $\epsilon>0$  be given. By assumption, we have that f is differentiable at g(a), which means that the limit  $\lim_{k\to 0} \frac{f(g(a)+k)-f(g(a))}{k}$  exists. This means that, with our  $\,\epsilon$  given above, we may find a number  $\delta_1$  such that

$$(*) \ \ 0 < |k| < \delta_1 \implies \left| rac{f(g(a)+k)-f(g(a))}{k} - f'(g(a)) 
ight| < \epsilon.$$

Next, note that we have also assumed that g is differentiable at a, and hence it is continuous at a. Using continuity, we can find a  $\delta_2>0$  such that

$$(**)$$
  $|h|<\delta_2 \implies |g(a+h)-g(a)|<\delta_1.$ 

(Note that we have applied the definition of continuity using  $\delta_1$  as the value of the "epsilon" in this case.)

Now suppose  $\ 0<|h|<\delta_2;$  we will prove that  $|\phi(h)-\phi(0)|<\epsilon.$  There are two cases to consider.

1. Case 1: 
$$k=g(a+h)-g(a) \neq 0$$

In this case, we have

$$\phi(h) = \frac{f(g(a+h)) - f(g(a))}{g(a+h) - g(a)} = \frac{f(g(a)+k) - f(g(a))}{k}.$$

But by (\*\*), we have  $k < \delta_1$  , and thus (\*) guarantees that  $|\phi(h) - f'(g(a))| < \epsilon$ .

2. Case 2: 
$$g(a+h) - g(a) = 0$$

In this case, by definition  $\phi(h)=f'(g(a))$ , so it is automatically true that  $|\phi(h)-f'(g(a))|<\epsilon$ .

In either case, we have shown that the given choice of  $\delta_2$  has the property that  $|h| < \delta_2$  implies  $|\phi(h) - \phi(0)| < \epsilon$ , so by definition  $\phi$  is continuous at zero:

$$\lim_{h\to 0} \phi(h) = f'(g(a)).$$

Now we complete the proof. If  $\,h 
eq 0$ , we have

$$\frac{f(g(a+h)) - f(g(a))}{h} = \phi(h) \frac{g(a+h) - g(a)}{h}$$

by the definition of  $\phi$ ; note that this equation even holds if g(a+h)-g(a)=0, because then both sides are zero. But this implies that

$$\begin{split} (f \circ g)'(a) &= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(g(a+h)) - f(g(a))}{h} \\ &= \left(\lim_{h \to 0} \phi(h)\right) \left(\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{g(a+h) - g(a)}{h}\right) \\ &= f'(g(a)) \cdot g'(a). \end{split}$$

This is what was to be shown.  $\square$ 

## (2) Problem: some chain rule calculations.

Answer the following questions using the chain rule. You may assume the power rule and linearity of the derivative.

(a) If  $g(x) = (f(x))^2$ , find a formula for the derivative g'(x) (your formula will involve f'(x)).

(b) If  $g(x) = (f'(x))^2$ , find a formula for the derivative g'(x) (which will involve the second derivative f''(x)).

(c) Suppose that the function  $\,f$  satisfies f(x)>0 for all x, and in addition, that

$$\left(f'(x)
ight)^2 = f(x) + rac{1}{\left(f(x)
ight)^3}$$

for all x. Find a formula for f''(x) in terms of f(x). (There is one step where you will need to be careful.)

daily\_challenge

Updated 8 months ago by Christian Ferko

## the students' answer, where students collectively construct a single answer

Logan Pachulski:

a: Let f(x) be the inner equation and  $x^2$  be the outer equation. We then have that  $g'(x)=2f(x)\times f'(x)$ .

b: Once again, let f'(x) (this time around it is already a prime :eyes:) be the outside equation, and  $x^2$  be the outer equation; we then have that  $g'(x) = 2f'(x) \times f''(x)$ .

c: To find an equation for f''(x), we can begin with the equation we are given;  $(f'(x))^2 = f(x) + \frac{1}{(f(x))^3}$ . We begin by differentiating each side of this equation; we get on the left side that  $((f'(x))^2)' = 2f'(x) \times f''(x)$ . We have on the right side via the linearity of derivatives that  $\left(f(x) + \frac{1}{(f(x))^3}\right)' = f'(x)$  plus a lovely composition of functions, with the

outer being  $x^{-3}$  and inner being (f(x)); we then have that  $\left(f(x)+\frac{1}{(f(x))^3}\right)'=f'(x)+3(f(x))^{-4}\cdot f'(x)$ . We can now set these sides equal to eachother, ie

 $f'(x)-3(f(x))^{-4}\cdot f'(x)=2f'(x)\times f''(x)$  We would like to divide each side by 2f " (x), but first we must show that  $f'(x)\neq 0$ . Suppose by way of contradiction that there exists x where f'(x)=0. We then have by referring to the original equation that  $0=f(x)+(f(x))^{-3}$ , or by multiplying each side by  $(f(x))^3$ ,  $0=(f(x))^4+1$ . There is no real number where  $(f(x))^4=-1$ , therefore it must be true that  $f'(x)\neq 0$ . We receive through this division that  $\frac{f'(x)-3(f(x))^{-4}\cdot f'(x)}{2f'(x)}=f''(x)$ . We can turn this into an addition of

quotients to make it easier to understand;  $\frac{f'(x)}{2f'(x)} - \frac{3(f(x))^{-4} \cdot f'(x)}{2f'(x)} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{3(f(x))^{-4}}{2}$ . We conclude that

$$f''(x) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{2}(f(x))^{-4}.$$

Updated 7 months ago by Logan Pachulski and Christian Ferko

the instructors' answer, where instructors collectively construct a single answer

(a) We differentiate both sides of the equation  $g(x)=(f(x))^2$ . By the chain rule, we have g'(x)=2f(x)f'(x).

(b) Again by the chain rule, one has g'(x) = 2f'(x)f''(x)

(c) Differentiate both sides of the equation  $\left(f'(x)
ight)^2=f(x)+rac{1}{\left(f(x)
ight)^3}$  to find

 $2f'(x)f''(x) = f'(x) - 3(f(x))^{-4}f'(x).$ 

We are asked to find a formula for f''(x) in terms of f(x), which means that we must eliminate f'(x) from the equation. We would like to divide both sides by f'(x), but to do so, we must check that f'(x) is nonzero.

Return to the defining equation  $(f'(x))^2 = f(x) + (f(x))^{-3}$ , and suppose by way of contradiction that f'(x) = 0. This implies that  $(f(x))^4 = -1$ , which cannot hold for any real number f(x), so we conclude that  $f'(x) \neq 0$ .

Since f'(x) 
eq 0, we may now divide our equation through by f'(x) and then by 2 to arrive at

$$f''(x) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{2}(f(x))^{-4},$$

which is the desired result.

4/14/2019 Calc Team

Updated 7 months ago by Christian Ferko

followup discussions for lingering questions and comments