(a): We are told that We are giren that for a non-null set A, we let  $A_{-} = \{-x \mid x \in A\}$ then we must prove that A\_ # \$ A\_ is bounded above and that -sup(A) = inf(A\_) First recall that if some point \* ( EA, then -CEA\_ by the definition of A\_. Thus, A = Ø. Sceond we let some realize from & a grant A. then this implies by passing through the definition of A. that me -nd>>-a man of a EA which implies that -d>>b once again by the definit -ion of A -. Thus -d is an upper bunishfor A. third we must prove that -sup (A) = inf (A\_) We begin by letting 9=54p(A\_); then
92 a + a EA. Then by multiplying each side and \*\* referring to the definition of A:

-Sup(A\_) = in+(A)

! log now;

(b): I shall focu on clear handwrinting for this page. "If A # 0 is bounded below, let B be the set of all lower bounds of A. Then Show that B # & that B is bounded above, and that sup(B) = # inf(A)." Show that BID: We are told that A is bounded belows thus there exists x such that XSIa YafA But by definition of B, then this X & B and thus Show that B is bounded above: We are told that Show that \$ Sup (B) = inf (A). To do so, we let and we must show d = sup(B). to do some must prove that: dis a upper bound of B: We are to defined that d= int(A). I me let b Then, if b EB, then b \( \frac{1}{2}. t is the least upper bound of B: Suppose by way of contradiction there was some e such that e > f and bith are upper bounds of B. Then e = inf(A); but we defined 2= inf(A), thusit must be true that Sup(B)=inf(A)

20000

0 0 0