29.2
(a)! We see that for some N we choose,
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} an   \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} and by the triangle inequality; like mise
$ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}  a_n                                   $
since we are simply adding the
[ak] where KE[N+150] and KEN; [ak] > 0 by the absolu
We see by the definition of absolute convergence that,
given $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ is absolutely convergent, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}  a_n $ converges.
By taking the timit of as N > 0 of
$\frac{\left \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} a_h\right  \leq \mathbb{E} a_h }{\left \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} a_h\right }$
We then see by the Statement that " taking limits preserves in
-99/11/65 "That for M-> 11 W or
$\left  \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \right  \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left  a_k \right $
We then see by the State ment that " taking limits preserves in - unlities" that for $N \rightarrow MFCO$ of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}  a_n  \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}  a_n $
(b) Let the subsequence by = any i we can't hen bound the s
of the gam biltarilal by noting
13/m+13x1 = 29m
- We see that, for C= E and then cauchy
We let the sequence (bj) = (ans) where h, is a sequence -senting the indices in the subsequence; then, for
-sonting the indies in the subsignences I hen, for
16:14 make its afficient
Small when jork might be dig; see that
Small when jork might be dig; see that  2 =  anj  + +  anx , but + his does not have to operate over [];  50  anj  + +  anx  \left\  anj  +  anx  +  anx
20 10,0) 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,

By Including all non-nogative values in they ange. We earn then make this sequence sum small by noting that an object Cauchy's culterion; thus told can make stand to lange | lange | they are langed to the lange | they are the are they are the are they are they are they are

It on is a sequene with a conditionally convergent snow, then let 

In = an if an > 0 and 0 other wise; Ilke wise, Ist

Qu= on if an < 0 and 0 other wise.

Suppose by may of contradiction that one of these subsequences

We see that both In and an must both be undounded partial sums, since In and an sounded absolutely compregent (we know this is false) 

In and an sounded and an and only is sounded and an analysis false) 

In and an sounded and an and only is sounded and an analysis false) 

Thus both must be unbounded. The Inturn, simply pick

In it is must be unbounded as we saw before, so the result of bis stake this must be unbounded as we saw before, so the result of bis stake this must be unbounded as we saw before, so the result of bis stake this must be unbounded as we saw before, so the result of bis stake this must be unbounded as we saw before, so the result of bis staken.

for conditionally convenent.

by the comparison test,

Qn = |an|^2 < |an| > \sum an converges

We can safely ignore the first N-1 terms since we proved in past (dc 28.4) that "Charping finitely many terms in a series of affect convergence." Thus we conclude

Descriptions

Que converges,

N=0 by the comparison test,