


Tributes and Memories

Professor Sergej Zilitinkevich

Дань памяти профессору Сергею Сергеевичу Зилинкевичу



13.04.1936 – 15.02.2021

Dedicated to the memory of an outstanding scientist, teacher
and closest friend

Памяти выдающегося ученого, учителя и близкого друга
посвящается

SEAMLESS PREDICTION: FROM EARTH SYSTEM TO INTEGRATED URBAN HYDROMETEOROLOGY, CLIMATE AND ENVIRONMENT SYSTEMS

A. Baklanov

*Science and Innovation Department, World Meteorological Organization
(WMO), Geneva, Switzerland,
email: abaklanov@wmo.int*

A. Mahura

INAR, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

“Plus hundred more” (see on slides & in reference list)



WMO OMM

World Meteorological Organization

Organisation météorologique mondiale


Outline

- **WMO Reform and Research Priorities**
- **Part 1: Seamless ESP and CCMM**
 - i. Seamless prediction of the Earth system (ESP) approach;
 - ii. Online coupling of atmospheric dynamics and chemistry models (CCMM);
 - iii. Multi-scale (time and space) prediction ;
 - iv. *Multi-platform observations and data assimilation;*
 - v. *Data fusion, machine learning methods and bias correction techniques;*
 - vi. *Ensemble approach; Fit for purpose approach and Impact based forecast.*
- **Part 2: Urban cross-cutting focus and IUS**
 - i. Why the urban focus?
 - ii. Urban meteorology and air pollution modelling and prediction;
 - iii. Urbanization of NWP, climate and AQ models;
 - iv. From urban NWP & UAQIFS to MHEWS;
 - v. Integrated Urban Hydrometeorology, Climate & Environment Systems;
 - vi. Integrated Urban Services (IUS) for sustainable cities.
- **From Research to Services**



WMO for the 21st Century meeting the UN SDGs

WMO Reform and Proposed structure approved by
the **18th World Meteorological Congress**, on 3-14 June 2019




Science and Innovation Department at WMO

- **Frontier of Science**
 - Advances in fundamental science, in observing the earth system and in complex simulations, combined with the exploitation of cutting-edge technologies (satellites, supercomputers, innovative approaches)
- **Earth System science-oriented structure**
 - A seamless approach (across time and spatial scales, across topics and communities) to Earth system prediction, to address the interests and needs of users
 - Strengthening regional and national innovation capabilities
- **Science for Services**
 - Bridging the gap between research, operations and increasing demands of users/society for sophisticated, integrated services (including integrated health science and services)
 - Efficient exchange/interactions between research programmes, infrastructure and service providers → show important role of Science in seamless value chain
 - Fostering regional research capabilities; strong support for members at local and regional level for leveraging their RTD capacity



WMO Commission for Atmospheric Science (CAS)

Societal Challenges



URBANIZATION

HIGH IMPACT WEATHER

WATER & AEROSOLS

NEW TECHNOLOGIES
& GHG Information
System

Seamless prediction in the CAS context considers all compartments of the **Earth system** as well as disciplines of the **weather enterprise value chain** (monitoring and observation, models, forecasting, dissemination and communication, perception and interpretation, decision-making, end-user products) to deliver tailor made **weather information from minutes to months and from global to local**.




WMO OMM

Øystein Hov, CAS President, 2018

Part 1: Seamless ESP and CCMM


- i. Seamless prediction of the Earth system (ESP) approach;
- ii. Online coupling of atmospheric dynamics and chemistry models (CCMM);
- iii. Multi-scale (time and space) prediction ;
- iv. Multi-platform observations and data assimilation;
- v. Data fusion, machine learning methods and bias correction techniques;
- vi. Ensemble approach; Fit for purpose approach and Impact based forecast.



(i) Seamless prediction of the Earth system approach

Several dimensions of the seamless coupling/integration, including:


- Time scales: from seconds and nowcasting to decadal and centennial (climate) time-scale;
- Spatial scales: from street-level to global scale (downscaling and upscaling);
- Processes: physical, chemical, biological, social;
- Earth system components/environments: atmosphere, hydrosphere, lithosphere, pedosphere, ecosystems/biosphere;
- Different types of observations and modelling as tools: observations-model fusion, data processing and assimilation, validation and verification;
- Links with health and social consequences impact, assessment, and services and end-users.



Geospheres in climate machine


From presentation of Prof. Zilitinkevich

Atmosphere, hydrosphere, lithosphere and cryosphere are coupled through turbulent **planetary boundary layers PBLs** (dark green lenses)



S.S. Zilitinkevich discovered the fully unorthodox nature of the stratified environmental turbulence, namely, of its control by the two coexisting arrows: well-known “chaos out of order” and yet missed in this type of turbulence “order out of chaos”; and launching long-awaited scientific revolution in this area of PBL research, which allows to effectively resisting to the global pollution and climate change.


Interactions between aerosols, gases and components of the Earth system



(ii) Online coupling & integration of atmospheric dynamics and chemistry models

- Physical and Chemical Weather: dependence of meteorological processes (incl. precipitation, thunderstorms, radiation, clouds, fog, visibility and PBL structure) on atmospheric concentrations of chemical components (especially aerosols).
- Meteorological data assimilation (in particular assimilation of radiative properties) also depends on chemical composition.
- Air quality forecasts loose accuracy when CTMs are run offline.
- Climate modeling: large uncertainty of SLCFs, water vapor feedbacks, etc.

=> Need for a new generation of seamless integrated meteorology and chemistry modelling systems for predicting atmospheric composition, meteorology and climate evolution !




(ii) Online coupling of atmospheric dynamics and chemistry models

- The CTM and meteorological models are run at the same time, and exchange information at every time step; they consider chemistry feedbacks to meteorology to various degrees
- Types of Framework
 - **Online access models:** couples a meteorology model with an air quality model in which the two systems operate separately but exchange information every time step through an interface
 - **Online integrated models:** integrates an air quality model into a meteorology model as a unified model system in which meteorology and air quality variables are simulated together in one time step without an interface between the two models
- Representative online models
 - In USSR: Novosibirsk school of G.I. Marchuk in 80th
 - In USA: NCAR/NOAA WRF/Chem, US EPA Two-way coupled WRF-CMAQ, etc.
 - In Europe: see overview in Baklanov et al. ACP, 2014

WRF vs. WRF/Chem

Downward SW Radiative Flux, 2006
(Yahya et al., 2016)



Compared to meteorological model WRF, online WRF/Chem model can improve meteorological forecast






Online coupled meteo-chemistry modeling history

- Online modeling systems have been developed and used by the research community since the 1990's.
- Richardson was first (in 1922) who started online coupled approach: in his NWP model formulation one of the variables (equations) was 'atmospheric dust' (however, it was not realised...)
- The Novosibirsk school of atmospheric modeling (Marchuk, 1982) has been started using the online coupling approach for atmospheric environment modeling in 1980th (Penenko and Aloyan, 1985; Baklanov, 1988), e.g. for modeling of active artificial/anthropogenic impacts on atmospheric processes.
- The earliest online approach for the simulation of climate, air quality and chemical composition may have been a model developed by Jacobson (1994, 1996).
- The earliest recognition of the importance of online chemistry for NWP models was given by the European Center for Medium Range Weather Forecasting (ECMWF, Hollingsworth et al. 2008).
- Climate modeling centers have gone to an Earth system modeling system modeling approach that includes atmospheric chemistry and oceans (and bases on NWP models).
- Operational NWP centers, as well as CWF centers, are only now beginning to discuss whether an online approach is important enough (IFS, 2009; Grell and Baklanov, 2011).
- Great progress in Europe during last 15 years: > 20 online modelling systems; in 2007 – one model with aerosol indirect feedbacks (Enviro-HIRLAM!), now – about 15.
- Scientific perspective of CCMM would argue for an eventual migration from off-line to on-line modeling.

Examples of Important Met-AQ Feedbacks

- **Effects of Meteorology and Climate on Gases and Aerosols**
 - Meteorology is responsible for atmospheric transport and diffusion of pollutants
 - Changes in temperature, humidity, and precipitation directly affect species conc.
 - The cooling of the stratosphere due to the accumulation of GHGs affects lifetimes
 - Changes in tropospheric vertical temperature structure affect transport of species
 - Changes in vegetation alter dry deposition and emission rates of biogenic species
 - Climate changes alter biological sources and sinks of radiatively active species
- **Effects of Gases and Aerosols on Meteorology and Climate**
 - Decrease net downward solar/thermal-IR radiation and photolysis (**direct effect**)
 - Affect PBL meteorology (decrease near-surface air temperature, wind speed, and cloud cover and increase RH and atmospheric stability) (**semi-indirect effect**)
 - Aerosols serve as CCN, reduce drop size and increase drop number, reflectivity, and optical depth of low level clouds (LLC) (**the Twomey or first indirect effect**)
 - Aerosols increase liquid water content, fractional cloudiness, and lifetime of LLC but suppress precipitation (**the second indirect effect**)



Effects of Meteorology on Chemistry

| Meteorological parameter | Effect on ... | Model variables | Baklanov et al., ACP, 2014 |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|----------------------------|
| temperature | chemical reaction rates biogenic emissions aerosol dynamics (coagulation, evaporation, condensation) | T, reaction rate coefficients BVOC emission rates, isoprene, terpenes, DMS, pollen aerosol number size distributions scattering and absorption coefficients PM mass and composition | |
| temperature and humidity | aerosol formation, gas/aerosol partitioning aerosol water take-up, aerosol solid/liquid phase transition | gas phase SO_2 , HNO_3 , NH_3 particulate NO_3^- , SO_4^{2-} , NH_4^+ VOCs, SOA PM size distributions, extinction coefficient, aerosol water content | |
| SW radiation | photolysis rates | JNO_2 , JO_1D , etc. | |
| photosynthetic active radiation | biogenic emissions | SW radiation BVOC emissions, isoprene & terpene conc. | |
| cloud liquid water and precipitation | wet scavenging of gases and particles wet phase chemistry, e.g. sulphate production aerosol dynamics (activation, coagulation) aerosol cloud processing | wet deposition (HSO_3^- , SO_4^{2-} , NO_3^- , NH_4^+ , Hg), precipitation (rain and total precip) cloud liq. water path SO_2 , H_2SO_4 , SO_4^{2-} in ambient air and in cloud and rain water aerosol mass and number size distributions | |
| soil moisture | dust emissions, pollen emissions dry deposition (biosphere and soil) | surface soil moisture dust and pollen emission rates deposition velocities, dry deposition rates (e.g. O_3 , HNO_3 , NH_3) | |
| wind speed | transport of gases and aerosols on- vs. offline coupling interval, transport in mesoscale flows, bifurcation, circulations, etc. emissions of dust, sea salt and pollen | U, V, (W) U, V dust, sea salt and pollen emission rates | |
| atmospheric boundary layer parameters | turbulent and convective mixing of gases and aerosols in ABL, intrusion from free troposphere, dry deposition at surface | T, Q, TKE, surface fluxes (latent and sensible heat, SW and LW radiation) deposition velocities, dry deposition fluxes(O_3 , HNO_3 , NH_3) | |
| lightning | NO emissions | NO, NO_2 , lightning NO emissions | |
| water vapour | OH radicals | Q, OH, HO_2 , O_3 | |



Effects of Chemistry on Meteorology

| Chemical parameter | Effect on ... | Model variables |
|---|--|--|
| aerosols (direct effect) | radiation (SW scattering/absorption, LW absorption) | AOD, aerosol extinction, single scattering albedo, SW radiation at ground (up- and downward), aerosol mass and number size distributions, aerosol composition: EC (fresh soot, coated), OC, SO_4^{2-} , NO_3^- , NH_4^+ , Na, Cl, H_2O dust, metals, base cations |
| aerosols (direct effect) | visibility, haze | aerosol absorption & scattering coefficients, RH, aerosol water content |
| aerosols (indirect effect) | cloud droplet or crystal number and hence cloud optical depth | interstitial/activated fraction, CCN number, IN number, cloud droplet size/number, cloud liquid and ice water content |
| aerosols (indirect effect) | cloud lifetime | cloud cover |
| aerosols (indirect effect) | precipitation (initiation, intensity) | precipitation (grid scale and convective) |
| aerosols (semi-direct effect) | ABL meteorology | AOD, ABL height, surface fluxes (sensible and latent heat, radiation) |
| O_3 | UV radiation | O_3 , SW radiation $< 320 \text{ nm}$ |
| O_3 | thermal IR radiation, temperature | O_3 , LW radiation |
| NO_2 , CO, VOCs | precursors of O_3 , hence indirect contributions to O_3 radiative effects | NO_2 , CO, total OH reactivity of VOCs |
| SO_2 , HNO_3 , NH_3 , VOCS | precursors of secondary inorganic and organic aerosols, hence indirect contributors to aerosol direct and indirect effects | SO_2 , HNO_3 , NH_3 , VOC components (e.g. terpenes, aromatics, isoprene) |
| soot deposition on ice | surface albedo change | snow albedo |



Atmosphere Interactions: Gases, Aerosols, Chemistry, Transport, Radiation, Climate


Motivation: A new concept for Seamless Meteorology and Chemistry Modelling

IPCC (2007, 2014, 2022)

Greenhouse Gas Forcing: 3.01 w m^{-2}

Aerosol Direct Forcing: -0.5 w m^{-2}

Aerosol Indirect Forcing: -0.7 w m^{-2}



Temperature → chemistry → concentrations → radiative processes → temperature

Aerosol → radiation → photolysis → chemistry

Temperature gradients → turbulence → surface concentrations, boundary layer outflow/inflow

Aerosol → cloud optical depth through influence of droplet number on mean droplet size → initiation of precipitation

Aerosol absorption of sunlight → cloud liquid water → cloud optical depth



Integrated chemistry-meteorology models

Advantages as compared to offline models

- meteorological fields accessible at every time step
- single executable, single simulation, single parallelization strategy
- consistent treatment of processes acting on chemical and meteorological variables, computed only once in one code
- possibility to consider interactions between chemistry and meteorology
- data assimilation affects at same time chemical and meteorological variables
- no meteo preprocessing, no need for reading meteo from disk

Challenges

- chemistry to be solved at same (high) resolution as meteorology
- meteorology changes when feedbacks are activated
- significant investment to ensure consistent treatment of processes (e.g. radiation, transport)
- development of chemistry and meteorology parts not separated; therefore strong co-ordination needed




WMO OMM

After Grell and Baklanov, AE, 2011

Action COST ES1004

European framework for online integrated air quality and meteorology modelling (EuMetChem)




- **Strategy and framework for online integrated modelling**
 - 17 experts (P. Suppan, J.M. Baltasano, G. Grell).
- **Interactions, parameterisations and feedback mechanisms**
 - 22 experts (M. Gauss, A. Maurizi, Y. Zhang).
- **Chemical data assimilation in integrated models**
 - 13 experts (Ch. Seigneur, H. Elbern, G. Carmichael).
- **Evaluation, validation, and applications**
 - 33 experts (D. Brunner, K.H. Schlünzen, S. Galmarini, S.T. Rao).

(Duration: 02.2011 ... 02.2015)

Chair: A. Baklanov,
Co-chairs: S. Joffre, H. Schluenzen

23 COST countries
4 COST neighbour countries
3+2 COST partner countries
3 EU institutions
18 online models analysed =>



Overview of fully online CCM models (not online-access)

| Model name | Dynamical model | Country ; Institutions / Consortia |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------|
| ATTILA | ECHAM4 | Germany; DLR |
| BOLCHEM | BOLAM | Italy; ISAC-CNR |
| COSMO-ART (Bott) | COSMO | Germany; COSMO Community |
| COSMO-ART (Semi-Lagr. (SL)) | COSMO | Germany; COSMO Community |
| C-IFS / IFS-MOZART | IFS | ECMWF; MACC |
| ECHAM-HAM | ECHAM6 | Germany; MPI-met |
| Enviro-HIRLAM / HARMONIE | HIRLAM-C | Denmark; DMI, HIRLAM |
| ICON-ART | ICON | Germany; DWD, MPI-met |
| MCCM | MM5 | Germany; IMK-IFU |
| Meso-NH | Meso-NH | France; Lab. d'Aerologie, CNRM GAME |
| MetUM | Unified Model | UK; Met Office / Hadley Centre |
| M-SYS | METRAS | Germany; Univ. Hamburg |
| NorESM | CAM4 | Norway; MetNo, Oslo Univ. |
| RegCM-Chem4 | ~MM5 - hydrostatic | Italy; ICTP |
| SOCOL | MA-ECHAM | Switzerland; ETHZ plus more |
| WRF-Chem | WRF | WRF-Community (US & Worldwide) |

Integration of chemistry & aerosol modules


in ECMWF's integrated forecast system (IFS)

C-IFS

On-line Integration of
Chemistry in IFS

Developed
in MACC

10 x more
efficient
than
Coupled
System




Integrated System
Feedback: fast
Flexibility: low

Coupled System

IFS- MOZART3 / TM5

Developed in GEMS
Used in MACC




Coupled System
Feedback: slow
Flexibility: high

Flemming et al. 2009



WMO OMM




Enviro-HIRLAM (Environment – HIgh Resolution Limited Area Model)


- Seamless / online coupled integrated meteorology-chemistry-aerosols downscaling modelling system for predicting weather and atmospheric composition

(Baklanov et al., GMD, 2017)

Enviro-HIRLAM: aerosol–cloud interactions








Frequency distribution in [mm/ 3 hour] of stratiform precipitation (top) and convective precipitation (down). Comparison of 1-moment (Reference HIRLAM) and 2-moment (Enviro-HIRLAM with aerosol–cloud interactions) cloud microphysics STRACO schemes.



Precipitation amount (12 hrs accumulated) of reference HIRLAM (top) and Enviro-HIRLAM with aerosol–cloud interactions (down) vs. surface synoptic observations at WMO station 6670 at Zurich, Switzerland during July 2010.

WRF-Chem Sensitivity Runs on 2010 Russian Wild Fires Case Study: Chains of aerosol direct & indirect effects on meteorology




- Significant aerosol direct effects on meteorology (and loop back on chemistry).
- Reduced downward short wave radiation and surface temperature, and also reduced PBL height. It in turn reduced photolysis rate for O₃.
- The normalized mean biases are significantly reduced by 10-20% for PM10 when including aerosol direct effects.
- Indirect effects are less pronounced for this case and more uncertain.




PBL Height [m]

Grid-average Feedback-Basecase (WRF-Chem, EU)

direct & indirect effects



direct effects



DIRECT&INDIRECT:

- Feedbacks increase PBL in winter, in summer feedbacks may both increase and decrease PBL height. Range [-60 : 40]

DIRECT:

- Feedbacks decrease PBL, range [-80 : 0], highest decrease in August.

Zabkar, Curci et al., 2014


Dust storm: Comparison of the aerosol sources

- WMO WGNE study of aerosol impacts on NWP in an extreme dust event

17/4/2012

- AODs are larger when taking into account the direct effect
- Because 10m wind speed is larger when taking into account the direct effect
- A small increase in 10m wind speed brings a large increase in dust aerosol production through saltation (power 3 dependency to 10m wind speed)

Courtesy of Samuel Rémy,
Angela Benedetti, Miha
Razinger, Luke Jones and
Thomas Haiden





WGNE Exercise

Evaluating Aerosols Impacts on Numerical Weather Prediction



DIFF of Temp @ 2-m AER-NOAER

- 12 UTC (morning)
- Large discrepancies among centers

Welcome to join the 2nd phase of
WGNE Aerosol impact study

Opposite signal

Location of
the plume





Online coupling for (i) NWP and MetM, (ii) AQ and CWF, (iii) Climate and Earth System modelling

- Relative importance of online integration and level of details necessary for representing different processes and feedbacks can greatly vary for these related communities.
- **NWP** might not depend on detailed chemical processes but considering the cloud and radiative effects of aerosols can be important for fog, visibility and precipitation forecasting, surface T, etc.
- For **climate modelling**, feedbacks from GHGs and aerosols become extremely important. However in some cases (e.g., for long-lived GHGs on global scale), fully online integration of full-scale chemistry is not critically needed. Still too expensive, so models need to be optimized and simplified.
- For **chemical weather forecasting and prediction of atmospheric composition**, the online integration definitely improves AQ and chemical atmospheric composition projections.
- **Main gaps:**
 - Understanding of several processes: aerosol-cloud interactions are poorly represented;
 - data assimilation in online models is still to be developed;
 - model evaluation for online models needs more (process) data and long-term measurements – and a test-bed.



CCMM key scientific questions:

https://library.wmo.int/doc_num.php?explnum_id=7938 and [Baklanov et al., BAMS, 2017](#)

- What are the advantages of integrating chemical/aerosol processes in coupled models?
- How important are the two-way feedbacks between meteorology, climate, and air quality simulations?
- What are the effects of climate/meteorological properties (chemical, microphysical, and physical) on urban/regional/global scales?
- What is our current understanding of climate models and how well are radiative feedbacks represented?
- What is the relative importance of the different physical processes (e.g., as well as of gas-aerosol interactions for NWP, air quality, climate)?
- What are the key uncertainties associated with the simulated feedback effects?
- How to realize chemical data assimilation for improving NWP and air quality simulations?
- How the simulated feedbacks can be verified against observations/datasets? What are the requirements for the three modelling communities?

GAW Report No. 226
WWRP 2016-1
WCRP Report No. 9/2016

Coupled Chemistry-Meteorology/Climate Modelling (CCMM): status and relevance for numerical weather prediction, atmospheric pollution and climate research

(Geneva, Switzerland, 23-25 February 2015)


WEATHER CLIMATE WATER



WMO-No. 1172




Weather-climate: seamless framework



Part 2: Urban cross-cutting focus and IUS



- i. Why the urban focus?
- ii. Urban meteorology and air pollution modelling and prediction;
- iii. Urbanization of NWP, climate and AQ models;
- iv. From urban NWP & UAQIFS to MHEWS;
- v. Integrated Urban Hydrometeorology, Climate & Environment Systems;
- vi. Integrated Urban Services (IUS) for sustainable cities.






Statement of the Problem


- 90% of disasters for urban areas are of hydro-meteorological nature
 - increased with climate change
- 70% of GHG emissions generated by cities
- Strong feedback
 - Two phases should not be considered separately
- Critical need to consider the problem in a complex manner with interactions of climate change and multi-hazard disaster risk reduction for urban areas
- Mitigations, adaptation, early warning
- Impact based prediction and solutions



Urban features in focus for UC, NWP and AQ models:




Why do cities have a different climate ?



...and air quality ?

- **Urban pollutants emission, transformation and transport,**
- **Land-use drastic change due to urbanisation,**
- **Anthropogenic heat fluxes, urban heat island,**
- **Local-scale inhomogenities, sharp changes of roughness and heat fluxes,**
- **Wind velocity reduce effect due to buildings,**
- **Redistribution of eddies due to buildings, large => small,**
- **Trapping of radiation in street canyons,**
- **Effect of urban soil structure, diffusivities heat and water vapour,**
- **Internal urban boundary layers (IBL), urban Mixing Height,**
- **Effects of pollutants (aerosols) on urban meteorology and climate,**
- **Urban effects on clouds, precipitation and thunderstorms.**

Urban Atmospheric Processes



Cleugh and Grimmond, 2012



FUMAPEX: Integrated Systems for Forecasting Urban Meteorology, Air Pollution and Population Exposure

EU 5FP Project (2002-2005)


Goal: Improvements of meteorological forecasts (NWP) in urban areas, interfaces and integration with UAP and population exposure models following the off-line or on-line integration

Urban AQ information and Forecasting systems (UAQIFS) are implemented in **6 European cities** for operational forecasting:

- #1 – Oslo, Norway
- #2 – Turin, Italy
- #3 – Helsinki, Finland
- #4 – Valencia/Castellon, Spain
- #5 – Bologna, Italy
- #6 – Copenhagen, Denmark

Different ways of the UAQIFS implementation:


- (i) urban air quality forecasting mode,
- (ii) urban management and planning mode,
- (iii) public health assessment and exposure prediction mode,
- (iv) urban emergency preparedness system.






Strategy to urbanize different models

- Single-layer and slab/bulk-type UC schemes,
- Multilayer UC schemes,
- Obstacle-resolved microscale models



Testing with Different Urbanizations:




- Simple modification of land surface schemes (AHF+R+A)
- Medium-Range Forecast Urban Scheme (MRF-Urban)
- Building Effect Parameterization (BEP)
- Town Energy Budget (TEB) scheme
- Soil Model for Sub-Meso scales Urbanised version (SM2-U)
- UM Surface Exchange Scheme (MOSES)
- Urbanized Large-Eddy Simulation Model (PALM)
- CFD type Micro-scale model for urban environment (M2UE)



Hierarchy of Urbanization Approaches

Urban canopy schemes for different type & scale models:




Urban Parameterisations for Enviro-HIRLAM


1. Regional to global scales: Anthropogenic Heat Flux & Roughness – AHF+R
(Baklanov et al., 2008)
2. Meso & city-scale: BEP - Building Effects Parameterization (Martilli et al., 2002)
3. Research for city-scale: SM2-U - Soil Model for Submeso Scale Urban Version
(Dupont et al., 2006ab)
4. Obstacle-resolved approach (downscaled M2UE model, Nuterman et al., 2008)

1. DMI urban parameterisation:


- Displacement height,
- Effective roughness and flux aggregation,
- Variation of building heights impact
- Effects of stratification on the roughness (Zilitinkevich et al., 2008),
- Different roughness for momentum, heat, and moisture;
- Calculation of anthropogenic and *storage* urban heat fluxes;
- Prognostic MH parameterisations for UBL (Zilitinkevich & Baklanov., 2002);
- Parameterisation of wind and eddy profiles in canopy layer.





M2UE vertical profiles of averaged variables



M2UE CFD results are used for statistical parameterizations within BEP module



Stability Dependence of Roughness Length



For urban and vegetation canopies with roughness-element heights (20-50 m) comparable with the Monin-Obukhov turbulent length scale, L , the surface resistance and roughness length depend on stratification

$$\text{Neutral} \Leftrightarrow \text{stable} \quad \frac{z_{0u}}{z_0} = \frac{1}{1 + C_{SS} h_0 / L}$$

$$\text{Neutral} \Leftrightarrow \text{unstable} \quad \frac{z_{0u}}{z_0} = 1 + C_{US} \left(\frac{h_0}{-L} \right)^{1/3}$$

Constants: $C_{SS} = 8.13 \pm 0.21$, $C_{US} = 1.24 \pm 0.05$




Anthropogenic Heat Scaling

(Lindberg et al. 2011)

Greater London Maximum

Cumulative Mean

Individual 200 m x 200 m areas



Greater London Annual Total Flux
2008 Data from Iamarino et al. (2011)


Sorted from largest 200 m x 200 m area
to smallest for the 40,632 areas



Connections between Megacities, AQ, Weather and Climate

main feedbacks, ecosystem, health & weather impact pathways, mitigation


- Science - nonlinear interactions and feedbacks between emissions, chemistry, meteorology and climate
- Multiple spatial and temporal scales
- Complex mixture of pollutants from large sources
- Scales from urban to global
- Interacting effects of urban features and emissions




Nature, 455, 142-143 (2008)
Baklanov et al., 2010, AE 2016
Web-site: megapoli.info




What are the key feedbacks between air quality, local climate and global climate change relevant to megacities?



*Indirect aerosol effects by EnviroHIRLAM:
Monthly averaged CCN number concentration
($x10^7 \text{ m}^{-3}$) at 850 hPa, Korsholm et al., DMI*



AUTH comparison timeseries of PM10 concentrations calculated by taking into account (“coupled”) or without (“baseline”) the direct aerosol effect.



Difference plots for 2 m temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$) for Paris metropolitan area between outputs of the urbanized vs. control runs of Enviro-HIRLAM on 21.07.2009 at 6 UTC, Gonzalez et al., DMI


- Direct impact of climate change on air quality in MCs is significant due to temperature (BVOC fluxes, wild fires, deposition, O₃, CH₄, SOA, pSO₄, pNO₃), radiation (photolysis), clouds, precipitation change.
- In changing climate O₃ concentrations will further increase if no emission reduction measures take place, however expected O₃ emission reduction gives stronger decrease of O₃ concentrations.
- Coastal megacities climate change-induced increase in the temperature gradient between land and sea resulting in more intensive and frequent sea breeze events and associated cooler air and fog.
- The impact of the direct aerosol effect was found to be substantial with regard the turbulent characteristics of the flow near the surface.
- Aerosol indirect effects can significantly modify meteorological parameters, such as daytime temperatures and PBL height, while NO₂ concentrations are moderately affected.
- Compared to the direct and indirect aerosol feedbacks, urban feedbacks exhibit the same order of magnitude effects on mixing height, but with strong sensitivity of chemistry and a strong non linearity.

Hazards and Risks in the Urban Environment

- Poor air quality and peak pollution episodes
- Extreme heat/cold and human thermal stress
- Hurricanes, typhoons, extreme local winds
- Wild fires, sand and dust storms
- Urban floods
- Sea-level rise due to climate change
- Energy and water sustainability
- Public health problems caused by the previous
- Climate change: urban emissions of GHG
- **Domino effect:** a single extreme event can lead to new hazards and a broad breakdown of a city's infrastructure



Coastal Urban Climate and Ecology: processes and feedbacks



OC – organic carbon, BC – black carbon, VOC – volatile organic compounds, org-X – organic halogens compounds (*von Glasow et al., 2011*)

Phenomena in coastal meteorology due to:

- sharp changes in heat, moisture, and momentum transfer through contrast in heating, roughness change, moisture supply,
- changes in elevation,
- changes in surface radiation by coastal clouds and fog,
- ⇒ sea/land breeze, related thunderstorms, coastal fronts, orographically trapped winds, low level jets, fog, haze, marine stratus clouds,
- ⇒ two-way interaction of urban heat island and sea breeze,
- ⇒ Environmental/ecological consequences



Climate smart and sustainable cities



SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT GOAL 11

Make cities and human settlements inclusive, safe, resilient and sustainable

Resilient
Sustainable

Multi-Hazard Early Warning Systems for Weather, Hydrology, Air Quality at Urban Scales

Long Term Planning
Climate Services for Weather, Hydrology and Air Quality at Urban Scales

Goal: Science-based Integrated Urban Hydro-Meteorological, Climate and Environmental Services (IUS)



World
Meteorological
Organization
Weather • Climate • Water


Benefits of IUS - Useful, Usable, Used

1. Resiliency through Multi-Hazard Early Warning Systems
2. Sustainability through urban long term planning
3. Capability and capacity through cross-cutting services
4. Efficiency through infrastructure cross-cutting services
5. Consistency (hence, effective and efficient) through integration
6. Effective service through Partnerships / Risk Communication



WMO OMM

Components of the development an Integrated Urban Weather, Environment and Climate Service (IUS)



UIS focuses on improving and integrating the following main elements and sub-systems:

- Weather (especially high impact weather prediction at the urban scale),
- Climate (urban climate, climate extremes, sector specific climate indices, climate projections, climate risk management and adaptation),
- Hydrology and water related hazards (flash river floods, heavy precipitation, river water stage, inundation areas, storm tides, sea level rise, urban hydrology),
- Air quality (urban air quality and other larger scale hazards: dust storms, wildfires smog, etc.)



WMO OMM

IUS Guidance: Volume I: Concept and Methodology; adopted by 70th WMO Executive Council
Volume II: Demonstration Cities; adopted by 71st WMO Executive Council



Mexico City

air pollution,
hydrometeorological
hazards,
heatwaves,
associated health and
geophysical risks (e.g.
flooding,
landslides,
wildfires)

Paris

heatwaves,
river flooding,
air quality

Toronto

extreme rainfall
(convective weather),
strong winds, thermal
stress (heat/cold
waves), air quality
episodes, lake/river
flooding

Hong Kong

tropical cyclones,
convective weather
events, extreme
temperatures,
coastal inundation
and flooding, water
scarcity, air pollution



- * CityIPCC 4 cities case studies (*Baklanov et al., 2020*)
- * IUS Guidance Vol. II: 87 countries analyzed, 30 demonstration cities (*WMO, 2019*)



Examples of Integrated Urban Service Realisation

Demonstration Cities assessed by the UET, based on data provided

WMO IUS Guidance V2, 2019

Level of Cross-Service Integration

Data only

WECS only

Data + technically combined
+ operationally delivered

Yellow circle: Daily/Routine Forecasts

Red triangle: Multi-Hazard Early Warning System

Green square: Urban planning

Seattle

Toulouse

Amsterdam

Toronto

Antwerp

Paris

Seamless

Copenhagen

Frankfurt

Hong Kong

St. Petersburg

Stuttgart

Beijing

Hong Kong

Helsinki

Casablanca

DFW

Stockholm

Stuttgart

New Delhi

Helsinki

London

New York

French Riviera

Seoul

New York

Santiago

Shanghai

Singapore

Stuttgart

Toronto

Moscow

Mexico City

Beijing

Hong Kong

Shanghai

Singapore

Hamburg

Paris, Toulouse

WECS + City Authorities
+ Others

Level of Cross-Sector Integration



Moscow as a Demonstration City for Integrated Urban Services (IUS)

- WMO GURME Pilot Project for Moscow (2004):**

МЕТЕОРОЛОГИЧЕСКОЕ ОБЕСПЕЧЕНИЕ УСТОЙЧИВОГО РАЗВИТИЯ МОСКОВСКОГО МЕГАПОЛИСА

- Демонстрационный проект, посвященный измерениям и моделированию связей между погодой, качеством воздуха и климатом для окружающей среды Москвы.

- EU FP7 MEGAPOLI & RF MEGAPOLIS projects (2008-11):**

Megacities: Emissions, urban, regional and Global Atmospheric POLLution and climate effects, and Integrated tools for assessment and mitigation

- Цель российского проекта «Мегаполис» – разработка технологии комплексного анализа временных серий наземных данных для оценки состояния и динамики изменения атмосферы и окружающей среды в крупных городах (Москва).

- Moscow Goverment & Hydromet project of Moscow AQF in collaboration with WMO GURME (2019):**


- Innovative operational high resolution air pollution forecasting system for Moscow.

- Moscow is considered as a Demonstration IUS Project for smart city (initial MoU signed)**





GURME Pilot Project part of Shanghai Multi-Hazard Early Warning System (MHEWS) (by SMB/CMA)



Hong Kong Local Experiences on IUS

Urban Integrated Services and Urban Design, Planning and Construction

Extreme Weather Events (HKO)

- Tropical cyclone and storm surge
- Thunderstorm and lightning
- Rainstorm, flooding and landslide
- Extreme hot & cold weather events
- Drought

Air quality modeling and forecast (EPD)

- Air Quality Health Index

Utilization of climate information (HKO)

- Climate change
- Disaster risk reduction (DRR)
- Urban climate evaluation

Evaluation (Some examples)

- Wind load on buildings and infrastructures
- Coastal structure design
- Drainage system and slope safety
- Lightning safety
- Thermal comfort and health impact
- Energy demand / saving
- Water resources
- High air pollution area detection
- City resilience and disaster preparedness
- Urban heat island
- Air Ventilation Assessment (AVA)

Examples of Urban Planning & Infrastructure Construction

- Design standard and code of practices for buildings and infrastructures (e.g. "Building Wind Code", Drainage Master Plan, Port Work Design Manual, etc.)
- Mitigation measures to natural terrain landslides
- Drainage tunnels and Underground Stormwater Storage Tanks
- Blue-Green infrastructure
- Total water management strategy
- Climate change mitigation and adaptation measures
- Road networking design and urban density control
- Implementation of AVA and Urban Climatic Map into planning of new development and old district renewal

HKO - Hong Kong Observatory



EPD - Environmental Protection Department



© 2014 Caveman Lee. All Rights Reserved. http://www.pbnss.com/caveman_lee



* WMO Urban Expert Team

for the WMO Urban Guidance (GIUS) is available on:

Volume I: Concept and Methodology; adopted by the 70th WMO Executive Council

Volume II: Demonstration Cities; adopted by the 71st WMO Executive Council



GIUS V1 team: S. Grimmond, V. Bouchet, L. Molina, A. Baklanov, P. Joe, C. Ren, V. Masson, G. Mills, J. Tan, S. Miao, H. Schluenzen, J. Fallmann, J.H. Christensen, H. Leán, A. Hovsepyan, B. Golding, R. Sokhi, J. Voogt, F. Vogel, J. Yoshitani, R. Spengler, B. Heusinkveld, M. Badino, J. Ching, P. Parrish, T. Georgiadis, TC Lee and many other contributors from different countries, NMHSs and cities



GIUS V2 team: Gerald Mills, Luisa Tan Molina, Heinke Schluenzen, James Voogt, Valery Masson, Brian Golding, Chao Ren, Chandana Mitra, Shiguang Miao, Felix Vogel, Jens Hesselbjerg Christensen, Alexander Baklanov, Oksana Tarasova, Paul Joe, Sue Grimmond, Ranjeet Sokhi and many other contributors from different countries, NMHSs and cities

Open scientific questions relevant to development of Integrated Urban Services

- Understanding how to take and use of observations in urban areas
- Representation of urban character in models
- Urban atmosphere scales requirements, coupling with hydrology
- Impact of cities on weather/climate/water/environment
- Impact of changing climate on cities and adaptation strategy
- Major geophysical hazards – dust storms/earthquakes/volcanic eruptions/space weather - interactions with meteorology
- Development of Integrated Decision Support Systems
- Communication and management of risk, multidisciplinarity
- Evaluation of integrated systems and services
- Understanding of the critical limit values
- New, targeted and customized delivery platforms





WMO OMM

World Meteorological Organization
Organisation météorologique mondiale

شُكْرًا لِكُم
Thank you
Gracias
Merci
Спасибо
谢谢



Some Relevant Publications and References:

- Baklanov, A. and Y. Zhang (2020) Advances in air quality modeling and forecasting, *Global Transitions*, 2, 261-270, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.glt.2020.11.001> .
- Baklanov, A., B Cárdenas, T-C Lee, S Leroyer, V Masson, L T Molina, T Müller, C Ren, F R Vogel, J A Voogt (2020) Integrated urban services: experience from four cities on different continents, *Urban Climate*, 32, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.uclim.2020.100610>
- Baklanov, A., D. Brunner, G. Carmichael, J. Flemming, S. Freitas, M. Gauss, O. Hov, R. Mathur, K. Schlünzen, C. Seigneur, and B. Vogel, 2017: Key issues for seamless integrated chemistry-meteorology modeling. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, 2285-2292, <https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-15-00166.1> .
- Baklanov, A., C.S.B. Grimmond, D. Carlson, D. Terblanche, X. Tang, V. Bouchet, B. Lee, G. Langendijk, R.K. Kolli, A. Hovsepyan, 2017: From urban meteorology, climate and environment research to integrated city services. *Urban Climate*, 23, 330–341, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.uclim.2017.05.004>
- Baklanov, A., Korsholm, U. S., Nuterman, R., Mahura, A., Nielsen, K. P., Sass, B. H., Rasmussen, A., Zakey, A., Kaas, E., Kurganskiy, A., Sørensen, B., and González-Aparicio, I. (2017) The Enviro-HIRLAM online integrated meteorology–chemistry modelling system: strategy, methodology, developments, and applications (v. 7.2), *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 10, 2971-2999, <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-10-2971-2017>
- Baklanov, A., L.T. Molina, M. Gauss (2016) Megacities, air quality and climate. *Atmospheric Environment*, 126, 235–249. doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.11.059
- Baklanov, A., Schlünzen, K., Suppan, P., Baldasano, J., Brunner, D., Aksoyoglu, S., Carmichael, G., Douros, J., Flemming, J., Forkel, R., Galmarini, S., Gauss, M., Grell, G., Hirtl, M., Joffre, S., Jorba, O., Kaas, E., Kaasik, M., Kallos, G., Kong, X., Korsholm, U., Kurganskiy, A., Kushta, J., Lohmann, U., Mahura, A., Manders-Groot, A., Maurizi, A., Moussiopoulos, N., Rao, S. T., Savage, N., Seigneur, C., Sokhi, R. S., Solazzo, E., Solomos, S., Sørensen, B., Tsegas, G., Vignati, E., Vogel, B., and Zhang, Y. (2014) Online coupled regional meteorology chemistry models in Europe: current status and prospects, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14, 317–398, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-317-2014>
- Baklanov A., B. Grisogono, R. Bornstein, L. Mahrt, S. Zilitinkevich, P. Taylor, S.E. Larsen, M.W. Rotach, H. J. S. Fernando (2011): The Nature, Theory, and Modeling of Atmospheric Planetary Boundary Layers. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, 92, 123–128. doi: 10.1175/2010BAMS2797.1
- Baklanov, A., A. Mahura, R. Sokhi, 2011: Integrated systems of meso-meteorological and chemical transport models, Springer, 242, DOI 10.1007/978-3-642-13980-2
- Baklanov A., 2010: Chemical Weather Forecasting: A New Concept of Integrated Modelling. *Advances in Science and Research*, 4, 23-27.
- Baklanov, A., S. Grimmond, A. Mahura, M. Athanassiadou, 2009: Meteorological and Air Quality Models for Urban Areas. Springer Book, 2009, 184 p.
- Baklanov, A. and R. Nuterman, 2009: Multi-scale atmospheric environment modelling for urban areas. *Advances in Science and Research*, 3, 53-57.
- Baklanov A., P. Mestayer, A. Clappier, S. Zilitinkevich, S. Joffre, A. Mahura, N.W. Nielsen, 2008: Towards improving the simulation of meteorological fields in urban areas through updated/advanced surface fluxes description. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 8, 523-543.
- Baklanov A., O. Hänninen, L. H. Slørdal, J. Kukkonen, J. H. Sørensen, N. Bjergene, B. Fay, S. Finardi, S. C. Hoe, M. Jantunen, A. Karppinen, A. Rasmussen, A. Skouloudis, R. S. Sokhi, V. Ødegaard, 2006: Integrated systems for forecasting urban meteorology, air pollution and population exposure, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 7, 855–874.
- Bocquet, M., Elbern, H., Eskes, H., Hirtl, M., Žabkar, R., Carmichael, G. R., Flemming, J., Inness, A., Pagowski, M., Pérez Camaño, J. L., Saide, P. E., San Jose, R., Sofiev, M., Vira, J., Baklanov, A., Carnevale, C., Grell, G., and Seigneur, C., 2015: Data assimilation in atmospheric chemistry models: current status and future prospects for coupled chemistry meteorology models, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 5325-5358, doi:10.5194/acp-15-5325-2015
- Creutzig, F, S Lohrey, X Bai, A Baklanov, R Dawson, S Dhakal, WF Lamb (2019) Upscaling urban data science for global climate solutions. *Global Sustainability* 2, e2, <https://doi.org/10.1017/sus.2018.16>
- Grell, G. & A. Baklanov, 2011: Integrated modelling for forecasting weather and air quality: A call for fully coupled approaches. *Atmos. Environ.*, 45, 6845–6851, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.01.017.
- Grimmond, S., V. Bouchet, L.T. Molina, A. Baklanov, et al., (2020) Integrated urban hydrometeorological, climate & environmental services: Concept, methodology and key messages, *Urban Climate*, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.uclim.2020.100623>
- Nuterman, R., Mahura, A., Baklanov, A., Amstrup, B., and Zakey, A. (2021) Downscaling system for modelling of atmospheric composition on regional, urban and street scales, *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2020-1308>
- Sokhi, RS, A Baklanov, KH Schlünzen (Eds.), 2019: Mesoscale Modelling for Meteorological and Air Pollution Applications.. Anthem Press, <https://anthempress.com/mesoscale-modelling-for-meteorological-and-air-pollution-applications>
- WWO SC Book: Seamless Prediction of the Earth System: from Minutes to Months, (G Brunet, S Jones, PM Ruti Eds.), (WMO-No. 1156), Geneva, 2015 (Chapters 12 and 18), http://library.wmo.int/pmb_ged/wmo_1156_en.pdf
- Zhang, Y. and A. Baklanov (Eds), 2020: Training Materials and Best Practices for Chemical Weather /Air Quality Forecasting (CW-AQF), WMO ETR-6, 587 pages, https://library.wmo.int/doc_num.php?explnum_id=10439
- Zilitinkevich, S. (2013) Atmospheric turbulence and planetary Boundary Layers. Moscow: FIZMATLIT, 252 p., ISBN 978-5-9221-1519-3 (in Russian).
- Zilitinkevich S.S., Kulmala M., Esau I., Baklanov A., 2015: Megacities – Refining Models to Client Environment. WMO Bulletin, Vol. 64(1), 20-22.
- Zilitinkevich, S. S., I. Mammarella, A. A. Baklanov, and S. M. Joffre, 2008: The effect of stratification on the roughness length and displacement height. *Boundary-Layer Meteorology*. 129: 179-190 (2008). DOI: 10.1007/s10546-008-9307-9
- Zilitinkevich, S. and A. Baklanov, 2002: Calculation of the height of stable boundary layers in practical applications. *Boundary-Layer Meteorology*, 105(3), pp. 389-409.