A generic continuous time, infinite horizon, deterministic sequential problem (SP) can be written:

$$\begin{split} V(s_{\tau}) &= \max_{c(t)} \left\{ \int_{t=\tau}^{t=+\infty} e^{-\rho(t-\tau)} r\big(s(t),c(t)\big) dt \right\} \\ \dot{s}(t) &= \mu\big(s(t),c(t)\big) \\ s(\tau) &= s_{\tau} \text{ given} \end{split}$$

where s(t) is the state vector, c(t) the control vector, r(s,c) the return function,  $\rho \ge 0$  the discount rate, V(s) the optimal value,  $\mu(s,c)$  the transition function, and  $s_{\tau}$  the initial condition.

The corresponding functional equation (HJB) can be written:

$$\rho V(s) = \max_{c} \{J(c, s, V)\} = \max_{c} \{r(s, c) + V_s \times \mu(s, c)\}$$

The FOC:  $J_c(c, s, V) = 0 \Rightarrow c(s, V)$  gives the policy function in terms of the unknown value function.

The user should make sure the appropriate SOCs hold.

Combining the HJB with the FOC we get a  $\underline{\bf DE}$ :  $V(s) = J(c(s,V),s,V) = r(s,c(s,V)) + V_s \times \mu(s,c(s,V))$ In general, DE has multiple solutions V(s), and only one of them is the optimal value function in SP. The goal of this note

In general, DE has multiple solutions V(s), and only one of them is the optimal value function in SP. The goal of this note is to try to understand how to restrict DE to ensure the solution is the optimal value function.

The examples in this note can also be solved by solving the Euler-Lagrange (<u>EL</u>) equation (the continuous time analog to the Euler Equation), which in generic differentiable problems with 1 state & 1 control variable can be written:

$$r_{s} = -\frac{r_{c}}{\mu_{c}}(\rho - \mu_{s}) + (\mu_{c})^{-2} \left( (r_{cc}\dot{c} + r_{cs}\dot{s})\mu_{c} - r_{c}(\mu_{cc}\dot{c} + \mu_{cs}\dot{s}) \right)$$

Note that the corresponding recursive costate variable is  $\lambda(s) = V_s(s)$  and TS  $\lambda(t) = -r_c(c(t),s(t))/\mu_c(c(t),s(t))$ . Following Sethi 2022, the standard terminal condition (TC) for this subset of control problems is  $TC(t) \equiv e^{-\rho t}\lambda(t)s(t) \to 0$ .

**Proposition 1**: **DE** has a unique viscosity solution V(s), which is also the solution to **SP**.

This allows for weak solutions (value functions with non-differentiable kinks).

Reference: Crandall & Lions 1983 etc.

<u>Proposition 2</u>: DE can be solved with an FD scheme that converges to the unique viscosity solution under 3 conditions monotonicity/consistency/stability.

Reference: Barles & Souganidis 1991, Tourin 2013 etc.

Q: what theorem tells us that if a candidate solution to DE satisfies an appropriate state boundary inequality, then it must be the unique viscosity solution?

This note will study several problems where DE has multiple solutions. For each example there will be at least 4 ways to rule out the non-viscosity solution to DE.

0: for LQR problems (examples 1 & 2) the optimal solution is the Stabilizing solution of the continuous time Algebraic Riccati Equation (ARE).

- 1: for these examples, each solution V(s) to DE, will give a time-series (TS) solution. Only the optimal value function gives  $TC(t) \equiv e^{-\rho t} \lambda(t) s(t) \to 0$ .
- 2: for theses examples, each solution V(s) to DE, will give a TS solution. We can plug the TS solution into the return function and compute the implied value function. Then we can check if the implied value function is the same as the solution to DE.
- 3. if you can prove a solution to DE V(s) is either not a viscosity supersolution or subsolution, then it cannot be a solution to DE. In general, this can be hard to verify.
- 4. the solution V(s) to DE, which also solves SP, must satisfy the state constraint boundary inequality (BI), the other solutions do not. In general, this is much easier to verify.

IMPORTANT: I don't fully understand the BI  $\dot{s}(s_{min}, V_s(s_{min})) \ge 0$ .

It makes perfect sense for problems where know that  $s(t) \rightarrow s_{ss}$ , then if  $s < s_{ss} \Rightarrow \dot{s}(s) > 0$ .

What about for problems (such as consumption saving) where for some parameters  $s(t) \to \pm \infty$ ?

#### TOC of examples:

10001 champiesi			
1: LQ from	$r(s,c) = -3s^25c^2, \rho = 1, \mu(s,c) = c$		
Viscosity solutions for Dummies	DE has two quadratic solutions.		
$s_{ss} = c_{ss} = 0$	The viscosity sol: Satisfies TC, $V(s_0) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\rho t} r(s,c) dt$ , satisfies BI		
2: LQ, Non-Hayashi investment	$r(s,c) = zs - c5c^2, \mu(s,c) = c - \delta s$		
$c_{ss} = \frac{z}{a+\delta} - 1, s_{ss} = \frac{c_{ss}}{\delta}$	DE has two quadratic solutions. {One is affine.}		
ρ+δ 55 δ	The viscosity sol: Satisfies TC, $V(s_0) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\rho t} r(s,c) dt$ , satisfies BI		
3: Hayashi investment	$r(s,c) = zs - c5c^2/s, \mu(s,c) = c - \delta s.$		
No SS. $s(t) \rightarrow \{0, s_0, \infty\}$	DE has two linear solutions.		
	The viscosity sol: Satisfies TC, $V(s_0) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\rho t} r(s,c) dt$ , satisfies BI		
4: Consumption saving	$r(s,c) = \frac{c^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma}, \mu(s,c) = rs - c$		
$c_{SS}=0, s_{SS}=\frac{c_{SS}}{r}=0$	DE has a concave & an affine solution. {Possibly others too.}		
	The viscosity sol: Satisfies TC, $V(s_0) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\rho t} r(s,c) dt$ , satisfies BI		
5: NGM	$r(s,c) = \frac{c^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma}, \mu(s,c) = s^{\alpha} - \delta s - c$		
	DE has a concave solution. {Possibly others too.}		
	The viscosity sol: Satisfies TC, $V(s_0) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\rho t} r(s,c) dt$ , satisfies BI		

In the first two examples:

The TS implies by the viscosity solution will converge to the SS. The TS implies by the non-viscosity solutions will diverge. This is not true in general.

In the consumption savings model, for certain parameters the TS implied by the viscosity solution also diverges!

Example 1 (LQ example from "Viscosity solutions for Dummies"):

0.5	( \( \times \) \( \times \) \( \times \)	\ \
SP	$V(s_{\tau}) = \max_{c(t)} \left\{ \int_{\tau}^{\infty} e^{-1(t-\tau)} \left( -3s(t)^2 - \frac{1}{2}c(t)^2 \right) \right\}$	dt
	$\dot{s}(t) = c(t),  s(\tau) = s_{\tau}  \text{given. Here}  \rho = 1.$	
	Note: the return function is bounded in $c$ : $r(s,$	$c) \le r(s,0) = -3s^2.$
	Note: the return function is bounded in $s$ : $r(s,$	
HJB, FOC	$1V(s) = \max\{J(s, c, V)\} = \max\{-3s^25c^2\}$	$+ V_S \times c$ $\Rightarrow c(s, V) = V_S(s)$
DE	DE $\Rightarrow V(s) = -3s^2 + 0.5(V_s(s))^2$	
LQR	LQR: Exactly 2 quadratic solutions to DE: $\{V(s)\}$	$= -s^2, V(s) = 1.5s^2\}$
BI	$s(t) \ge s_{min}$ , $\forall t > 0$ Q: how do you know to se	$et s_{min} < s_{ss} = 0?$
	BI: $\dot{s} = \dot{s}(s_{min}, V_s(s_{min})) = c(s_{min}, V_s(s_{min}))$	$=V_s(s_{min})\geq 0$
EL	$\dot{c}(t) = c(t) + 6s(t) \& \dot{s}(t) = c(t) \& s(0) = s$	<sub>0</sub> {EL & LOM & IC}
	$c_{ss} = s_{ss} = 0$	{unique steady state}
	$\ddot{s}(t) = \dot{s}(t) + 6s(t), s(0) = s_0$	{Combine EL & LOM}
	$\Rightarrow s(t) = (s_0 - s_\infty)e^{-2t} + (s_\infty)e^{3t}$	
	$\Rightarrow c(t) = \dot{s}(t) = -2(s_0 - s_\infty)e^{-2t} + 3(s_\infty)e^{-3t}$	3 <i>t</i>
	Note: $\lambda(t) = V_s(s(t)) = c(t)$	{TS costate variable}
	$TC(t) \equiv e^{-1t}\lambda(t)s(t) \rightarrow (s_0 - s_\infty)(s_\infty) + 3(s_\infty)$	$(s_{\infty})^2 = 0 \Leftrightarrow s_{\infty} = 0$
	alance and considerate	

Compare the 2 quadratic solutions to DE:

Solution 1 (quadratic concave): $V(s) = -s^2$	Solution 2 (quadratic convex): $V(s) = 1.5s^2$	
Recursive Solution:	Recursive Solution:	
$V(s) = -s^2    {value}$	$V(s) = 1.5s^2 $ {value}	
$c(s) = -2s  {policy}$	$c(s) = 3s  {policy}$	
$\dot{s}(s) = -2s$ {transition}	$\dot{s}(s) = 3s$ {transition}	
$\dot{s}'(s) = -2 < 0 \Rightarrow s \to s_{ss} \text{ {always}}$	$\dot{s}'(s) = 3 < 0 \Rightarrow s \rightarrow s_{ss} \text{ {never}}$	
$\lambda(s) = V_s(s) = -2s$	$\lambda(s) = V_s(s) = 3s$	
$V_{ss}(s) = -2 < 0 \qquad \{\text{concave}\}$	$V_{ss}(s) = 3 > 0 \qquad \{\text{convex}\}$	
TS Solution implied by the recursive solution:	TS Solution implied by the recursive solution:	
$\dot{s} = \mu(s, c(s)) = -2s, s(0) = s_0 \text{ {IVP-ODE}}$	$\dot{s} = \mu(s, c(s)) = 3s, s(0) = s_0 \text{ {IVP-ODE}}$	
$s(t) = s_0 e^{-2t} \rightarrow s_{ss} = 0$	$s(t) = s_0 e^{3t} \to s_{ss} \Leftrightarrow s_0 = s_{ss} = 0$	
$c(t) = -2s_0 e^{-2t}$	$c(t) = 3s_0 e^{3t}$	
$\lambda(t) = -2s_0 e^{-2t}$	$\lambda(t) = 3s_0 e^{3t}$	
Same as the solution to EL when $s_{\infty}=0$ .	Same as the solution to EL when $s_{\infty}=s_{0}$ .	
0: Stabilizing solution of the continuous time ARE.	0: Anti-Stabilizing solution of the continuous time ARE.	
1: $TC(t) \equiv e^{-1t}\lambda(t)s(t) = -2(s_0)^2 e^{-(4+1)t} \to 0$	$1:TC(t) \equiv e^{-1t}\lambda(t)s(t) = 3(s_0)^2 e^{(6-1)t} \to \infty, \forall s_0 \neq 0$	
Plug TS into return fcn: $r(s,c) = -5(s_0)^2 e^{-4t}$	Plug TS into return fcn: $r(s,c) = -7.5(s_0)^2 e^{6t}$	
Plugin SP: $\int_0^\infty e^{-1t} r(s,c) dt = -(s_0)^2 = V(s_0)$	Plugin SP: $\int_0^\infty e^{-1t} r(s,c) dt = -(s_0)^2 \infty \neq V(s_0)$	
2: TS implied $V(s)$ is the same as the solution to DE!	2: If $s_0 \neq 0 \Rightarrow V(s_0) = -\infty \neq 1.5(s_0)^2$	
3: $V(s) = -s^2$ is a viscosity solution of DE.	3: $V(s) = 1.5s^2$ is not a viscosity supersolution.	
	Let $\phi(s) = as^2$ for $a \in (-\infty, -1.5)$ .	
4: BI: $V_s(s_{min}) = -2s_{min} \ge 0 \Leftrightarrow s_{min} \le 0$	4: BI: $V_s(s_{min}) = 3s_{min} \ge 0 \Leftrightarrow s_{min} \ge 0$	
How do you know to set $s_{min} < s_{ss} = 0$ ???	Not satisfy BI for $s_{min} < 0$ .	

# Example 2 (LQ, Non-Hayashi Firm Investment) Summary:

SP	$V(s_{\tau}) = \max_{c(t)} \left\{ \int_{\tau}^{\infty} e^{-\rho(t-\tau)} \left( zs(t) - c(t) - \frac{1}{2}c(t)^2 \right) dt \right\}$
	$\dot{s}(t) = c - \delta s$ , $s(\tau) = s_{\tau}$ given.
	Parameters: $\rho, \delta > 0, z > \rho + \delta$ . Let $\rho = \delta = 1, z = 3$ .
	Note: the return function is bounded in $c$ : $r(s,c) \le r(s,-1) = zs + 0.5$ .
	Note: the return function is unbounded in $s: r(s,c) \le r(\infty,c) = \infty$
HJB, FOC	$\rho V(s) = \max_{c} \{ zs(t) - c(t)5c(t)^2 + V_s \times (c - \delta s) \} \Rightarrow c(s, V) = V_s(s) - 1$
DE	$DE \Rightarrow \rho V(s) = zs + .5(V_s(s) - 1)^2 - \delta s V_s(s)$
LQR	LQR: 2 quadratic solutions to DE: $\{V(s) = .125 + 1.5s, V(s) = .5 + 1.5s^2\}$
BI	$s(t) \ge s_{min}, \forall t > 0$ Q: how do you know to set $s_{min} < s_{ss}$ ?
	BI: $\dot{s} = \dot{s}(s_{min}, V_s(s_{min})) = V_s(s_{min}) - 1 - \delta s_{min} \ge 0$
EL	$\dot{c} = (\rho + \delta - z) + (\rho + \delta)c \& \dot{s} = c - \delta s \& s(0) = s_0 \text{ {EL \& LOM \& IC}}$
	$c_{ss} = \frac{z}{\rho + \delta} - 1, s_{ss} = \frac{c_{ss}}{\delta}$ {unique steady state}
	$\ddot{s}(t) = (\rho + \delta - z) + (\rho + \delta)\delta s(t) + \rho \dot{s}(t), s(0) = s_0 \{\text{Combine EL \& LOM}\}\$
	$\Rightarrow s(t) = s_{SS} + e^{-\delta t}(s_0 - s_{\infty} - s_{SS}) + e^{(\rho + \delta)t}s_{\infty}$
	$\Rightarrow c(t) = c_{SS} + e^{(\rho + \delta)t}(\rho + 2\delta)s_{\infty}$
	Note: $\lambda(t) = V_s(s(t)) = c(t) + 1$ {TS costate variable}
	$TC(t) \equiv e^{-\rho t} \lambda(t) s(t) \to 0 \Leftrightarrow s_{\infty} = 0$

# Compare the 2 quadratic solutions to DE:

compare the 2 quadratic solutions to BE.			
Solution 1 (quadratic concave): $V(s) = .125 + 1.5s$	Solution 2 (quadratic convex): $V(s) = .5 + 1.5s^2$		
Recursive Solution:	Recursive Solution:		
$V(s) = .125 + 1.5s$ {value}	$V(s) = .5 + 1.5s^2$ {value}		
$c(s) = 0.5 $ {policy}	$c(s) = 3s - 1 $ {policy}		
$\dot{s}(s) = .5 - s$ {transition}	$\dot{s}(s) = 2s - 1 $ {transition}		
$\dot{s}'(s) = -1 < 0 \Rightarrow s \rightarrow s_{ss} \text{ {always}}$	$\dot{s}'(s) = 2 < 0 \Rightarrow s \rightarrow s_{ss}$ {never}		
$\lambda(s) = V_s(s) = 1.5$	$\lambda(s) = V_s(s) = 3s$		
$V_{ss}(s) = 0 \le 0$ {weakly concave}	$V_{ss}(s) = 3 > 0  {convex}$		
TS Solution implied by the recursive solution:	TS Solution implied by the recursive solution:		
$\dot{s} = \mu(s, c(s)) = .5 - s, s(0) = s_0 \{\text{IVP-ODE}\}\$	$\dot{s} = \mu(s, c(s)) = 3s, s(0) = s_0 \{\text{IVP-ODE}\}$		
$s(t) = s_{ss} + e^{-\delta t}(s_0 - s_{ss}) \rightarrow s_{ss}$	$s(t) = s_{ss} + e^{(\delta + \rho)t}(s_0 - s_{ss}) \rightarrow s_{ss} \Leftrightarrow s_0 = s_{ss}$		
$c(t) = c_{ss}$	$c(t) = c_{ss} + e^{(\rho + \delta)t}(\rho + 2\delta)(s_0 - s_{ss})$		
$\lambda(t) = c_{ss} + 1$	$\lambda(t) = c_{ss} + 1 + e^{(\rho + \delta)t}(\rho + 2\delta)(s_0 - s_{ss})$		
Same as the solution to EL when $s_{\infty}=0$ .	Same as the solution to EL when $s_{\infty}=s_0-s_{ss}$ .		
0: Stabilizing solution of the continuous time ARE.	0: Not Anti-Stabilizing solution of the continuous time ARE.		
	Does not satisfy LQ sufficient conditions		
$1: TC(t) \equiv e^{-\rho t} \lambda(t) s(t) \to 0$	$1:TC(t) \equiv e^{-\rho t}\lambda(t)s(t) \to \operatorname{sign}(s_0 - s_{ss}) + \infty$		
Plug TS into return fcn: $r(s,c) = .875 + e^{-\delta t}(3s_0 - 1.5)$	Plug TS into return fcn: $r(s,c) = 1 - 0.5c^2$		
Plugin SP: $\int_0^\infty e^{-\rho t} r(s,c) dt = .125 + 1.5s_0 = V(s_0)$	Plugin SP: $\int_0^\infty e^{-\rho t} r(s,c) dt = -\infty \neq V(s_0)$		
2: TS implied $V(s)$ is the same as the solution to DE!	2: TS implied $V(s)$ is <b>not</b> the same as the solution to DE!		
3: V(s) = .125 + 1.5s is a viscosity solution of DE.	$3: V(s) = .5 + 1.5s^2 \text{ is not a viscosity solution.}$		
4: BI: $V_s(s_{min}) = 1.5 \ge 1 + s_{min} \Leftrightarrow s_{min} \le s_{ss}$	4: BI: $V_s(s_{min}) = 3s_{min} \ge 1 + s_{min} \Leftrightarrow s_{min} \ge s_{ss}$		
How do you know to set $s_{min} < s_{ss} = 0$ ???	BI not satisfied for $s_{min} < s_{ss}$ .		

## Example 3 (Firm Investment Hayashi) Summary:

SP	$V(s_{\tau}) = \max_{c(t)} \left\{ \int_{\tau}^{\infty} e^{-\rho(t-\tau)} \left( zs(t) - c(t) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{c(t)^2}{s(t)} \right) dt \right\}$
	$\dot{s}(t) = c - \delta s, s(\tau) = s_{\tau} \text{ given.}$
	Parameters: $\rho, \delta, z > 0, z \in \left[0, \rho + \delta + \rho \delta + \frac{1}{2}(\delta^2 + r^2)\right]$
	Note: the return is bounded in $c$ : $r(s,c) \le r(s,-s) = (z+.5)s$
	Note: the return is unbounded in $s: r(s,c) \le r(\infty,c) = \infty$
HJB, FOC	$\rho V(s) = \max_{c} \{ zs - c5c^2 s^{-1} + V_s \times (c - \delta s) \} \Rightarrow c(s, V) = (V_s(s) - 1)s$
DE	$DE \Rightarrow \rho V(s) = (z + .5(V_s - 1)^2 - \delta V_s)s$
	$V(s) = \left( (1 + \delta + \rho) - \sqrt{(\delta + \rho)^2 + 2(\delta + \rho - z)} \right) s = Q_{-}s$
	$V(s) = \left( (1 + \delta + \rho) + \sqrt{(\delta + \rho)^2 + 2(\delta + \rho - z)} \right) s = Q_+ s$
BI	$s(t) \ge s_{min}, \forall t > 0$
	$BI: \dot{s} = (V_s(s_{min}) - 1 - \delta)s_{min} \ge 0$
EL	EL: Nasty quadratic ODE, no SS.
	Note: $\lambda(t) = V_s = c(t)/s(t) + 1$ {TS costate variable}
	$TC(t) \equiv e^{-\rho t} \lambda(t) s(t) \rightarrow ?$

# Compare the 2 linear solutions to DE:

Solution 1: $V(s) = Q_{-}s$	Solution 2: $V(s) = Q_+ s$
Recursive Solution:	Recursive Solution:
$V(s) = Q_{-}s $ {value}	$V(s) = Q_+ s $ {value}
$c(s) = Q_{-} - 1)s $ {policy}	$c(s) = (Q_+ - 1)s $ {policy}
$\dot{s}(s) = (Q_{-} - 1 - \delta)s \qquad \text{\{transition\}}$	$\dot{s}(s) = (Q_+ - 1 - \delta)s \qquad \text{\{transition\}}$
$\dot{s}'(s) = (Q_{-} - 1 - \delta)s$ (transition)	$ \dot{s}'(s)  = (Q_+ - 1 - \delta)s$ {transition} $ \dot{s}'(s)  = (Q_+ - 1 - \delta) < 0$ {Never}
$\lambda(s) = V_s(s) = O$	$\lambda(s) = V_s(s) = Q_+$
$V_{SS}(s) = 0 \le 0 $ {weakly concave}	$V_{ss}(s) = 0 \le 0$ {weakly concave}
TS Solution implied by the recursive solution:	TS Solution implied by the recursive solution:
$\dot{s} = \mu(s, c(s)) = (Q 1 - \delta)s, s(0) = s_0 \text{ {IVP-ODE}}$	$\dot{s} = \mu(s, c(s)) = (Q_+ - 1 - \delta)s, s(0) = s_0$
$s(t) = s_0 e^{(Q 1 - \delta)t} \to \{0, s_0, \infty\}$	$s(t) = s_0 e^{(Q_+ - 1 - \delta)t} \to \{\infty\}$
$c(t) = s_0 e^{(Q_1 - 1)s_0} \rightarrow \{0, s_0, \infty\}$ $c(t) = (Q_1 - 1)s_0 e^{(Q_1 - 1 - \delta)t}$	
	$c(t) = (Q_{+} - 1)s_{0}e^{(Q_{-} - 1 - \delta)t}$
$\lambda(t) = Q_{-}$	$\lambda(t) = Q_+$
$z \in \left(0, \rho + \delta + \rho \delta + \frac{1}{2} \delta^2\right) \Rightarrow s(t) \to 0$	
$z = \rho + \delta + \rho \delta + \frac{1}{2} \delta^2 \Rightarrow s(t) \to s_0$	
$z \in \left(\rho + \delta + \rho\delta + \frac{1}{2}\delta^2, \rho + \delta + \rho\delta + \frac{1}{2}(\delta^2 + r^2)\right) \Rightarrow s \to \infty$	
1: $TC(t) \equiv e^{-\rho t} \lambda(t) s(t) \rightarrow 0 \Leftrightarrow Q 1 - \delta - \rho < 0$ {always}	$1:TC(t) \equiv e^{-\rho t} \lambda(t) s(t) \to \infty \text{ {always}}$
Plug TS into return fcn: $r(s,c)$	Plug TS into return fcn: $r(s,c)$
Plugin SP: $\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\rho t} r(s, c) dt = Q_{-}s_{0} = V(s_{0})$	Plugin SP: $\int_0^\infty e^{-\rho t} r(s,c) dt = \infty \neq V(s_0)$
2: TS implied $V(s)$ is the same as the solution to DE!	2: TS implied $V(s)$ is <b>not</b> the same as the solution
	to DE!
3: $V(s)$ is a viscosity solution of DE.	3: $V(s) = .5 + 1.5s^2$ is not a viscosity solution.
4: BI:	4: BI

## Example 4 (Consumption Savings) Summary:

	zzampie i (eensampiien earmgs) earmary.			
SP	$V(s_{\tau}) = \max_{c(t)} \left\{ \int_{\tau}^{\infty} e^{-\rho(t-\tau)} \left( \frac{c(t)^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} \right) dt \right\}$			
	$\dot{s}(t) = rs(t) - c(t), s(\tau) = s_{\tau} \text{ given, } s(t) \ge 0 \text{ or } \lim_{t \to \infty} s(t) \le 0$	$n e^{-rt} s(t) = 0$		
	Let: $r, \rho, \gamma > 0$ let $\omega \equiv \frac{r-\rho}{\gamma}$ and note $r-\omega = \rho - (1-\gamma)\omega = \frac{r(\gamma-1)+\rho}{\gamma}$			
	Note: the return function is unbounded $r(s,c) \le r(s,\infty) = \infty$ . Need $s \ge s_{min}$ .			
HJB, FOC DE	$\rho V(s) = \max_{c} \left\{ \frac{c^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} + V_s \times (rs - c) \right\} \Rightarrow c(s, V) = \left( V_s(s) \right)^{-1/\gamma}$			
LQR	$DE \Rightarrow \rho V(s) = \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma} \left( V_s(s) \right)^{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma}} + V_s(s) rs$			
	Sol 0: $V(s) = 0$ solves DE but implies $c = \infty$ {rule this out}			
	Sol 1: $V(s) = (r - \omega)^{-\gamma} \frac{(s)^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma}$			
	Sol 2: $V(s) = B_0 + B_1 s$ if $r = \rho$ and $\rho B_0 = \frac{\gamma}{1 - \gamma} (B_1)^2$	$^{1-rac{1}{\gamma}}$ and $B_1>0$		
ВІ	$s(t) \ge s_{min}, \forall t > 0$ Q: how do you know to set $s_{min}$	$< s_{ss}$ ?		
	BI: $\dot{s} = \dot{s}(s_{min}, V_s(s_{min})) = rs_{min} - (V_s(s_{min}))^{-1/\gamma}$	$\geq 0$		
	BI: $\dot{s}(0) = r0 - c(0) \ge 0 \Rightarrow c(0) \le 0 \Rightarrow c(0) = V_s(0) = 0$			
EL	$\dot{c} = \omega c \& \dot{s} = rs - c \& s(0) = s_0$ {	EL & LOM & IC}		
	$c_{SS} = 0, s_{SS} = \frac{c_{SS}}{r} = 0$	unique steady state}		
	$\ddot{s}(t) = (r+\omega)\dot{s}(t) - \omega r s(t), s(0) = s_0 $ {	Combine EL & LOM}		
	$\Rightarrow s(t) = e^{\omega t}(s_0 - s_\infty) + e^{rt}(s_\infty)$			
	$\Rightarrow c(t) = e^{(\omega)t}(r - \omega)(s_0 - s_\infty)$			
	Note: $\lambda(t) = V_s(s(t)) = e^{(\rho - r)t}((r - \omega)(s_0 - s_\infty))$	<sup>-γ</sup> {TS costate variable}		
	$TC(t) \equiv e^{-\rho t} \lambda(t) s(t) \to 0 \Leftrightarrow s_{\infty} = 0$			

#### Compare the 2 solutions to DE:

Solution 1 (concave): $V(s) = (r - \omega)^{-\gamma} \frac{(s)^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma}$	Solution 2 (weakly concave): $V(s) = B_0 + B_1 s, B_1 > 0$	
Recursive Solution: $V(s) = (r - \omega)^{-\gamma} \frac{(s)^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} \qquad \text{ {value}} $ $c(s) = (r - \omega)s \qquad \text{ {policy}} $ $\dot{s}(s) = \omega s \qquad \text{ {transition}} $ $\dot{s}'(s) = \omega < 0 \Rightarrow s \rightarrow s_{ss} \qquad \text{ {if }} r < \rho \} $ $\lambda(s) = V_s(s) = (r - \omega)^{-\gamma}(s)^{-\gamma} $ $V_{ss}(s) = -\gamma(r - \omega)^{-\gamma}(s)^{-\gamma-1} \leq 0 \text{ {weakly concave}} $	Recursive Solution: $V(s) = B_0 + B_1 s \qquad \text{ {value}} $ $c(s) = (B_1)^{-1/\gamma} \qquad \text{ {policy}} $ $\dot{s}(s) = rs - (B_1)^{-1/\gamma} \qquad \text{ {transition}} $ $\dot{s}'(s) = r < 0 \Rightarrow s \rightarrow s_{ss} \qquad \text{{never}} $ $\lambda(s) = V_s(s) = B_1 \qquad \text{{weakly concave}} $	
TS Solution implied by the recursive solution: $\dot{s} = \mu \big( s, c(s) \big) = \omega s, s(0) = s_0 \text{ {IVP-ODE}} $ $s(t) = s_0 e^{\omega t} \rightarrow \{ s_{ss} = 0, +\infty \} $ $c(t) = (r - \omega) s_0 e^{\omega t} $ $\lambda(t) = \big( (r - \omega) s_0 \big)^{-\gamma} e^{-\gamma \omega t} $ Same as the solution to EL when $s_\infty = 0$ .	TS Solution implied by the recursive solution: $\dot{s} = \mu \left( s, c(s) \right) = rs - (B_1)^{-1/\gamma}, s(0) = s_0 \text{ {IVP-ODE}} $ $s(t) = \frac{(B_1)^{-1/\gamma}}{r} + e^{rt} \left( s_0 - \frac{(B_1)^{-1/\gamma}}{r} \right) \rightarrow \left\{ \frac{(B_1)^{-1/\gamma}}{r}, \infty \right\} $ $c(t) = (B_1)^{-1/\gamma} $ $\lambda(t) = B_1$	
1: $TC(t) \equiv e^{-\rho t} \lambda(t) s(t) \to 0$ Plug TS into return fcn: $r(s,c)$ $\int_0^\infty e^{-\rho t} r(s,c) dt = (r-\omega)^{-\gamma} \frac{(s_0)^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} = V(s_0)$ 2: TS implied $V(s)$ is the same as the solution to DE!	$1:TC(t) \equiv e^{-\rho t}\lambda(t)s(t) \to \infty$ Plug TS into return fcn: $r(s,c)$ $\int_0^\infty e^{-\rho t} r(s,c) dt = \frac{(B_1)^{1-1/\gamma}-1}{\rho(1-\gamma)} \neq V(s_0)$ 2: TS implied $V(s)$ is <b>not</b> the same as the solution to DE!	
3: $V(s)$ is a viscosity solution of DE. 4: BI: $c(0) = (r - \omega)0 = 0$	3: $V(s)$ is not a viscosity solution. 4: BI: $c(0) = (B_1)^{-1/\gamma} = 0$ contradicts $B_1 > 0$	

## Example 5 (NGM) Summary:

SP	$V(s_{\tau}) = \max_{c(t)} \left\{ \int_{\tau}^{\infty} e^{-\rho(t-\tau)} \left( \frac{c(t)^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} \right) dt \right\}$		
	$\dot{s}(t) = s(t)^{\alpha} - \delta s(t) - c(t), s(\tau) = s_{\tau} \text{ given, } s(t) \ge 0$		
	Let: $\alpha, \delta, \rho, \gamma > 0$ .		
	Note: the return function is unbounded $r(s,c) \le r(s,\infty) = \infty$ . Need $s \ge s_{min}$ .		
HJB, FOC DE	$\rho V(s) = \max_{c} \left\{ \frac{c^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} + V_s \times (s^{\alpha} - \delta s - c) \right\} \Rightarrow c(s, V) = \left( V_s(s) \right)^{-1/\gamma}$		
LQR	$DE \Rightarrow \rho V(s) = \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma} \left( V_S(s) \right)^{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma}} + V_S(s) s^{\alpha} - \delta V_S(s) s$		
	Sol 1: $V(s) = \frac{1}{\rho}(\phi)^{-\gamma} + (\phi)^{-\gamma} \frac{(s)^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma}, \phi \equiv \frac{\rho + \delta(1-\gamma)}{\gamma}$ for $\gamma = \alpha \in (0,1)$		
	Sol 2: $V(s) = (\phi)^{-\gamma} \frac{(s)^{1-\alpha\gamma}}{(1-\alpha\gamma)}, \phi \equiv 1 - \frac{1}{\gamma} \text{ for } \gamma > 1/\alpha > 1$		
	Are there other non-zero non-viscosity closed form solutions?		
BI	$s(t) \ge s_{min}, \forall t > 0$ Q: how do you know to set $s_{min} < s_{ss}$ ?		
	BI: $\dot{s} = \dot{s}(s_{min}, V_s(s_{min})) = (s_{min})^{\alpha} - \delta s_{min} - (V_s(s_{min}))^{-1/\gamma} \ge 0$		
EL	$\dot{c} = \frac{\alpha s^{\alpha - 1} - \delta - \rho}{\gamma} c \& \dot{s} = s^{\alpha} - \delta s - c \& s(0) = s_0$ {EL & LOM & IC}		
	$s_{SS} = \left(\frac{\alpha}{\delta + \rho}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}$ , $c_{SS} = (s_{SS})^{\alpha} - \delta s_{SS}$ {unique steady state}		
	$\ddot{s}(t) = \alpha s^{\alpha - 1} \dot{s} - \delta \dot{s} - \left(\frac{\alpha s^{\alpha - 1} - \delta - \rho}{\gamma}\right) (s^{\alpha} - \delta s - \dot{s}), s(0) = s_0$		
	Note: $\lambda(t) = V_s = (c(t))^{-\gamma}$ {TS costate variable}		
	$TC(t) \equiv e^{-\rho t} \lambda(t) s(t) \to 0 \Leftrightarrow s_{\infty} = 0$		

#### Compare the 2 solutions to DE:

compare the 2 solutions to DE.				
Solution 1 (concave): $V(s) = \frac{1}{\rho}(\phi)^{-\gamma} + (\phi)^{-\gamma} \frac{(s)^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma}$		Solution 2: $V(s) = (\phi)^{-\gamma} \frac{(s)^{1-\alpha\gamma}}{(1-\alpha\gamma)}$		
Let: $\phi \equiv \frac{\rho + \delta(1 - \gamma)}{\gamma}$ , $\gamma = \alpha \in (0, 1)$		Let $\phi \equiv 1 - \frac{1}{\gamma}$ , $\rho = \delta(\alpha \gamma - 1)$ with $\gamma > 1/\alpha > 1$		
Recursive Solution:		Recursive Solution:		
$V(s) = \frac{1}{\rho}(\phi)^{-\gamma} + (\phi)^{-\gamma} \frac{(s)^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma}$	{value}	$V(s) = (\phi)^{-\gamma} \frac{(s)^{1-\alpha\gamma}}{(1-\alpha\gamma)}$	{value}	
$c(s) = \phi s$	{policy}	$c(s) = \phi s^{\alpha}$	{policy}	
$\dot{s}(s) = s^{\alpha} - (\delta + \phi)s$	{transition}	$\dot{s}(s) = (1 - \phi)s^{\alpha} - \delta s$	{transition}	
$\dot{s}'(s) = \alpha s^{\alpha - 1} - (\delta + \phi) < 0$		$\dot{s}'(s) = \alpha(1 - \phi)s^{\alpha - 1} - \delta < 0$		
$\lambda(s) = V_s(s) = (\phi)^{-\gamma}(s)^{-\gamma}$		$\lambda(s) = V_s(s) = (\phi)^{-\gamma}(s)^{-\alpha\gamma}$		
$V_{SS}(s) = -\gamma(\phi)^{-\gamma}(s)^{-\gamma-1} \le 0 $ {w	eakly concave}	$V_{SS}(s) = -\alpha \gamma(\phi)^{-\gamma}(s)^{-\alpha\gamma-1} \le 0$ {weakly concave}		
TS Solution implied by the recursiv	e solution:	TS Solution implied by the recursive solution:		
$\dot{s} = s^{\alpha} - (\delta + \phi)s, s(0) = s_0 \{ \text{IVP-ODE} \}$		$\dot{s} = (1 - \phi)s^{\alpha} - \delta s, s(0) = s_0 \{ \text{IVP-ODE} \}$		
$s(t) = \left(s_{SS}^{1-\alpha} + (s_0^{1-\alpha} - s_{SS}^{1-\alpha})e^{-t(1-\alpha)(\delta+\phi)}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} \to s_{SS}$		$s(t) = \left(s_{ss}^{1-\alpha} + \left(s_0^{1-\alpha} - s_{ss}^{1-\alpha}\right)e^{-t(1-\alpha)\delta}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} \to s_{ss}$		
$c(t) = \phi s(t)$		$c(t) = \phi s(t)^{\alpha}$		
$\lambda(t) = (\phi)^{-\gamma} (s(t))^{-\gamma} \to \lambda_{SS}$		$\lambda(t) = (\phi)^{-\gamma} (s(t))^{-\alpha\gamma} \to \lambda_{ss}$		
$1: TC(t) \equiv e^{-\rho t} \lambda(t) s(t) \to 0$		$1: TC(t) \equiv e^{-\rho t} \lambda(t) s(t) \to 0$		
Plug TS into return fcn: $r(s,c)$		Plug TS into return fcn: $r(s,c)$		
$\int_0^\infty e^{-\rho t} r(s,c) dt = \frac{1}{\rho} (\phi)^{-\gamma} + (\phi)^{-\gamma} \frac{(s_0)^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} = V(s_0)$		$\int_0^\infty e^{-\rho t} r(s,c) dt = (\phi)^{-\gamma} \frac{(s)^{1-\alpha\gamma}}{(1-\alpha\gamma)} = V(s_0)$		
2: TS implied $V(s)$ is the same as the solution to DE!		2: TS implied $V(s)$ is the same as the solution to DE!		
3: $V(s)$ is a viscosity solution of DE.		3: $V(s)$ is a viscosity solution of DE.		
4: BI:		4: BI:		

{Q: what is the analog to this (EL/TC) for stochastic problems? Stochastic maximum principle?} Q: where is it proven that this only holds for the viscosity solution?  $V(s_0) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\rho t} r(s,c) dt$  -Suppose V(s) is a non-viscosity solution to DE, is it still possible that  $V(s_0) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\rho t} r(s,c) dt$ ?