

C ONTOLOGY REPORT

Rahul Kejriwal (CS14B023)
(CS14B039)

Ajmeera Balaji Naik (CS14B034)

Bikash Gogoi

Table of Contents:

Table of Contents:	1
Summary	2
Classes:	2
Object Properties:	3
Data Type Properties:	4
Individuals:	4
Things we didn't understand:	4
Critique	5
Limitations	5
Extensions	5
Sample C Program	6

Summary

1. Classes:

- a. For the purpose of analysis, we split the classes used in the ontology into 2 parts - atomic entities (models atomic language constructs) and complex entities (models non-atomic language constructs).
- b. The C ontology has classes that models atomic entities like variables, literals, library, type qualifiers, storage classes.
 - i. Variable Class contains all identifiers that are used for storage locations.
 - ii. Literal is a class containing any value (integer, string etc.) hard coded in a program.
 - iii. Type Qualifiers consists of const and volatile subclass to signify constants and volatile (content can change without code action).
 - iv. Storage Class consisting of auto, extern, static and register which are the 4 basic keywords used in C for specifying storage classes(by default - auto).
- c. It also models more complex entities like conversion, declaration, expressions, extern definitions, scope, statement, type (includes derived types and hence not atomic), unsorted via appropriate subclass relationships.
 - i. Conversion - models the different kinds of data type conversions that are possible.
 - ii. Declaration models different kinds of identifier declarations that can take place - class, array, enum, function, pointer, template, variable etc.
 - iii. Expression models any string of tokens whose computation results in a resultant/return value.
 - iv. External definitions declare identifiers as being defined outside the current program file.
 - v. Scope models blocks and functions, basically constructs that introduce their own new scope.
 - vi. Statement models individual statements of the program delimited by semi-colons.
 - vii. Type models the various different data types possible - both primitive and derived. While primitive types are atomic entities, derived types are complex entities.
 - viii. Unsorted models entities belonging to an unordered collection like case statements in a switch block.

- d. Any C program is composed of a string of tokens each of which are objects of atomic entities. A simple pass over a C program will yield triples defining the type of each atomic entity.
- e. Next, these tokens or atomic entities are strung together to form more complex constructs like loops etc., which are modelled via complex entities. This utilizes context information.
- f. The classes convey some information about the token and the ABox thus, becomes an encoding of the program itself (translations can be made such that no information of the C program are lost, specially if the IRIs convey token location information in the program).

2. Object Properties:

- refersTo - pointer referring to function or variable
- referredBy - inverse of refersTo
- hasType - type of variable or return type of function
- hasStorageClass - storage class of variable
- hasSize - size of variable
- hasScope - scope of a variable or function
- hasParameterList - list of formal parameters of a function
- hasParameter - parameters in the parameter list
- hasName - name of a variable
- hasInitialValue - initial value of a variable which is assigned during its declaration
- hasForTest - for condition
- hasForInit - for initialization
- hasForIncr - for increment
- hasElseBody - else body of if-else statement
- hasDefinition - function definition
- hasDeclaration - declaration of a function or variable
- hasCondition - condition of a conditional statement like if, while
- hasLeftOperand - left operand of a binary operator
- hasRightOperand - right operand of a binary operator
- hasOperand - operand of a unary operator
- hasBody - body of a compound statement or iteration statements.
- hasArrayRank - dimension of an array
- hasArgumentList - list of arguments to a function call
- hasArgumentExpression - expression in a argument list
- definedBy - a statement which defines a variable
- declaredBy - a statement which declares a variable
- consistsOf - statements in a compound statement
- hasAlignment - alignment of memory location where the object to be stored

3. Data Type Properties:

- a. `hasName` to provide names for different tokens like variables (identifiers).
- b. `hasValue` to provide current value of the object.

4. Individuals:

- a. Individuals for various data types are made like: `float`, `double`, `long long int`, `signed_long` etc.
- b. Individuals for storage class keywords: `auto`, `extern`, `register` and `static`.
- c. Individuals for derived Types like `function_type`, `array_type`, `enum_type` etc.

5. Things we didn't understand:

- a. 'UnknownClass' Class
- b. 'Library' Class
- c. 'hasName' & 'hasValue' appear as both Data Property & Object Property.

Critique

1. Limitations

- a. The C code is assumed to be preprocessed. No classes for preprocessor directives exist in their ontology.
- b. Literals are considered as sibling class of Expressions. But in languages like C, literals actually model a value that can be assigned, used in computations etc. In that regard, it might be useful to actually make literals, a subclass of Expression.
- c. Similarly, variables itself can also be an expression. It may also be placed under Expressions class rather than as a sibling class. This would be more inline with C grammar.
- d. Conversion class is a child class of owl:Thing whereas CastOp is child class of Expression. We should model Conversion class as subclass of CastOp to model casting between different types.

2. Extensions

- a. Another extension would be to encode preprocessor directive information into classes.
- b. Could possibly bring Literals and Variables under Expressions.
- c. Could possibly bring Conversion class as child class of CastOp.

Sample C Program

```
#include <stdio.h>

int pow(int a, int n){
    if(n == 0){
        return 1;
    }
    else{
        int temp_res = pow(a, n/2);
        if(n%2 == 0)
            return temp_res * temp_res;
        else
            return temp_res * temp_res * a;
    }
}

int main(){
    int a = 5;

    printf("%d\n", pow(7,3));

    return 0;
}
```

1. We translated the above program into ABox assertions and added them to the ontology in Protege.
2. On running the reasoner, we got a consistent ontology.
3. PFA the ABox assertions in the 'c.owl' file. It can be viewed by loading it up in Protege.