

Abgabe bis 24. Oktober 2014

Prof. Hromkovič

Vincent von Rotz, David Bimmler und Kevin Klein

Aufgabe 1

• G₁

The pure application of the derivation rules leads to the following:

$$XaYaZ \rightarrow a^iXaYaZ \rightarrow a^ibaYaZ \rightarrow a^ibab^jYaZ \rightarrow a^ibab^jaaZ \rightarrow a^ibab^jaac^kZ \rightarrow a^ibab^jaac^kZ$$

Hence, let us define the language we would expect from this grammar:

$$L_1 := \{a^i b a b^j a a c^k \mid i, j, k \in \mathbb{N}\}\$$

Let's prove $L_1 = L(G_1)$ by proving mutual inclusions.

$$-L_1\subseteq L(G_1)$$

$$w \in L_1 \Rightarrow \exists i, j, k \in \mathbb{N} \mid w = a^i b a b^j a a c^k$$

Let's see if we can generate such a word in the scope of grammar G_1 .

$$S \to XaYaZ$$
 is applied anyway

We can apply $X \to aX$ i times, followed by $X \to b$

$$XaYaZ \rightarrow a^ibaYaZ$$

We can apply $Y \to bY$ j times, followed by $Y \to a$

$$a^ibaYaZ \rightarrow a^ibab^jaaZ$$

We can apply $Z \to cZ$ k times, followed by $Z \to c$

$$a^ibab^jaaZ \rightarrow a^ibab^jaac^k$$

As we strictly applied the derivation rules of G_1 for any word of L_1 , the inclusion is proven.

$$-L(G_1)\subseteq L_1$$

Assume
$$w \in L(G_1)$$

We know that w has been generated by a finite number of applications of derivations rules, starting with S.

There is only one possible derivation with S as left-hand side.

$$\rightarrow XaYaZ$$

X can only be replaced by either aX or b.

It is obvious that any finite sequence of applications of those rules leads to something of the pattern $a^i b$ Y can only be replaced by either bY or a.

It is obvious that any finite sequence of applications of those rules leads to something of the pattern $b^{j}a$ Z can only be replaced by either cZ or λ .

It is obvious that any finite sequence of applications of those rules leads to something of the pattern c^k Putting it all together, this results in a word of the pattern $a^ibab^jaac^k$, which is the exact definition of L_1

• G₂

If $S \to 0$ is applied, $w \in L(G_2) \Rightarrow w = 0$

_

If not,
$$S \to X1X1X1XY$$
 is applied $X1X1X1XY \to 0^{i}10^{j}10^{k}10^{l}Y$ $0^{i}10^{j}10^{k}10^{l}Y \to 0^{i}10^{j}10^{k}10^{l}[X1X1X1X1XY]$ $0^{i}10^{j}10^{k}10^{l}[X1X1X1X1XY] \to 0^{i}10^{j}10^{k}10^{l}[0^{m}10^{n}10^{o}10^{p}10^{q}Y]$ Y can be recursively replaced finitely many times.
$$A_{1} := \{0\}^{*}, w_{i} \in A$$

$$A_{2} := \{w_{0}1w_{1}1w_{2}1w_{3}1w_{4}\}^{*}, A_{3} \in A_{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow w \in A \cdot \{1\} \cdot A \cdot \{1\} \cdot A \cdot \{1\} \cdot A \cdot A_{3}$$

$$\Rightarrow L(G_{2}) =: L_{2} = A \cdot \{1\} \cdot A \cdot \{1\} \cdot A \cdot \{1\} \cdot A \cdot A_{3}$$

Aufgabe 2

- (a) Let's treat both conditions seperately.
 - $(|x|_a |x|_b) \mod 3 = 1$ Let X,Y,Z be non-terminals. $X \to (|x|_a - |x|_b) \mod 3 = 1; Y \to (|x|_a - |x|_b) \mod 3 = 2; Z \to (|x|_a - |x|_b) \mod 3 = 0$ Let's define the derivation rules.

$$S \to aX; S \to bY$$

$$X \to \lambda; X \to aY; X \to bZ$$

$$Y \to aZ; Y \to bX$$

$$Z \to aX; Z \to bY$$

• $bbb \in x$

$$S \rightarrow aA; S \rightarrow bB_1$$

$$A \rightarrow aA; A \rightarrow bB_1$$

$$B_1 \rightarrow aA; B_1 \rightarrow bB_2$$

$$B_2 \rightarrow aA; B_2 \rightarrow bB_3$$

$$B_3 \rightarrow aB_3; B_3 \rightarrow bB_3; B_3 \rightarrow \lambda$$

P is the set containing all those rules.

- (b) Let's define the first rule. $S \to 001A101D011$ Let's treat both conditions seperately.
 - $|x| \mod 3 = 0$

$$A \rightarrow 0A; A \rightarrow 1B; A \rightarrow \lambda$$

$$B \rightarrow 0B; B \rightarrow 1C$$

$$C \rightarrow 0C; C \rightarrow 1A$$

• $|y|_0 \mod 2 = 1$

$$D \rightarrow 1D; D \rightarrow 0E$$

$$E \rightarrow 1E; E \rightarrow 0D; E \rightarrow \lambda$$

P is the set containing all those rules.

Aufgabe 3

In order to get to grammar regular and normed, the following derivation rules have to be adapted:

- (1) $S \rightarrow bS$
- (2) $S \rightarrow aaaX$
- (3) $Y \rightarrow bbZ$
- (4) $Y \rightarrow X$
- (5) $Y \rightarrow \lambda$

They become

- (1): $S \rightarrow bH_0$; $H_0 \rightarrow bS$; $H_0 \rightarrow aH_1$; $H_0 \rightarrow bY$
- (2): $S \rightarrow aH_1; H_1 \rightarrow aH_2; H_2 \rightarrow aX$
- (3): $Y \rightarrow bH_3; H_3 \rightarrow bZ$
- (4): X only derivates to b, hence we can replace (4) by: $Y \to b$
- (5): There is only one rule with Y as right-hand side. Hence substituting Y by λ is that rule is sufficient: $S \to b$

We also need $Z \to b$, since we had $Z \to bY \to \lambda$.

