

LASSO Regression as a Quadratic Program

MATH 5593 - Linear Programming

Kevin Ruiz Brady Lamson

December 2, 2025

College of Letters Arts and Sciences

1. Introduction
2. Background information
3. Implementation
4. Results and Conclusion

Introduction

Project Motivation

- We wanted to explore the statistical technique known as L_1 , or, LASSO regression from a linear programming perspective.
- Vanderbei claims LASSO works as an LP, so surely it can be done in AMPL.
- If so, does this approach reach the same conclusions as standard statistical libraries?
- We thought these questions would be a fun way to bridge the gap between statistics and linear programming.


Project Goals

- To convince ourselves, we performed this regression in two ways.
- First, using the python library “statsmodels” on a simple dataset.
- Second, using our own AMPL model on the same dataset.
- Third, repeat the process for American Community Survey data.
- Lastly, we compare the model coefficients. Are they the same?

Background information


What is regression?

- Regression is a statistical technique that allows us to see the relationship between a **dependent variable** and a set of **independent variables**.
- It is commonly known as a line fit through a set of data points!
- The most basic form of it is $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \epsilon$
Where the β coefficients are estimated from the data and ϵ represents random error unexplained by the model.



How is the regression line chosen?

- But how is that line created? How are those β coefficients estimated?
- In most situations, no line will perfectly fit the data so we want it to be as close as possible.
- The distance from the line to a given data point is called a **residual**, we want these to be small.
- So, linear regression seeks to minimize the **residual sum of squares**, or **RSS**.



Why isn't Linear Regression an LP?

Our goal to minimize the RSS can be represented as:

$$\min \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$$

$$\hat{y}_i = \sum_{j=1}^p \beta_j x_{ij}$$

Where y_i is the actual response value, and \hat{y}_i is that value estimated by our model.

That looks like an objective function, but it's missing something. The β values are completely unconstrained! They could be anything.

So, simple linear regression can't be formulated as an LP. That's where penalized regression comes in.



- In statistical modeling, it can often be advantageous to have as simple a model as possible. Thus, there are tools that penalize overly complex models.
- These tools come in different forms. There are metrics that take the number of dependent variables into account, as well as models with built in penalties that effect the coefficients.
- We're interested in an example of the latter: **LASSO regression**, a type of **penalized regression**.

Penalized Regression

LASSO regression is made up of two chunks. The RSS part shared with linear regression, and a new penalty chunk.

Linear Regression: $\min \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$

LASSO Regression: $\min \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2}_{\text{RSS}} + \lambda \underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^p |b_j|}_{\text{Penalty}}$

That penalty is the key here.



The penalty has some useful features and consequences.

- The penalty can drag coefficients all the way down to zero.
- Because of this, LASSO regression can completely remove unimportant variables from a model!
- This makes it a convenient variable selection tool.
- When re-written, the penalty also gives us the **constraint** we need for an LP.

LASSO as a Quadratic Program (QP) - Setup

- Converting this into the form of an LP is pretty straightforward, but some modifications are needed.
- The RSS portion becomes the objective function, but it's squared so this is actually a quadratic program (QP).
- The penalty will become the constraint, but the absolute value is inappropriate for an LP (or QP).
- To fix that, we let $b_j = b_j^+ - b_j^-$ where $b_j^+, b_j^- \geq 0$. The absolute value then is just $|b_j| = b_j^+ + b_j^-$.

LASSO as a Quadratic Program (QP) - Execution

This gives us the following program.

$$\begin{aligned} & \min \sum_i (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2 \\ & \text{subject to: } \sum_{j=1}^p (b_j^+ + b_j^-) \leq t \\ & \hat{y}_i = \sum_j b_j^+ x_{ij} - \sum_j b_j^- x_{ij} \\ & b_j^+, b_j^- \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$



Implementation

The Data - Overview

- For this project we'll be using the classic 'mtcars' toy dataset.
- "The data was extracted from the 1974 Motor Trend US magazine, and comprises fuel consumption and 10 aspects of automobile design and performance for 32 automobiles (1973–74 models)."
- This is perfect for as the relatively high number of variables to the low number of rows gives us a perfect environment to show the penalty in action.

The Data - Example Rows

model	mpg	cyl	disp	hp	drat	wt	qsec	vs	am	gear	carb
Mazda RX4	21	6	160	110	3.9	2.62	16.46	0	1	4	4
Mazda RX4 Wag	21	6	160	110	3.9	2.875	17.02	0	1	4	4
Datsun 710	22.8	4	108	93	3.85	2.32	18.61	1	1	4	1
Hornet 4 Drive	21.4	6	258	110	3.08	3.215	19.44	1	0	3	1



The Regression Model

Our model uses the following variables from the full dataset:

- mpg: Miles per gallon. (Response variable)
- cyl: Number of cylinders
- disp: Cubic inches of displacement
- hp: Horsepower
- wt: Weight
- qsec: 1/4 mile time; a measure of acceleration

- For more robust testing we used an actual data.
- The ACS is a household survey providing information on social, economic, housing, and demographic characteristics of the U.S. population.
- The ACS is very clean with clean identifiers for each individual surveyed throughout time.

ACS Data - Example Rows

age	uhrswork	female	wage	schoolyr	schoolyr2
25	20	0	16.666666	18.0	324.0
26	20	1	12.745098	13.0	169.0
33	40	0	13.725491	16.0	256.0
29	50	1	17.647058	13.0	169.0
27	40	0	13.725491	12.0	144.0

The Regression Model for the ACS

Our model uses the following variables from the full dataset:

- wage: Miles per gallon. (Response variable)
- schoolyr: Number of cylinders
- schoolyr²: Cubic inches of displacement
- uhrswork: Hours worked per week
- female: Indicator for female

The AMPL Model - Parameters and Variables

```
set Car;  
set Variables;  
  
param y {i in Car};  
param x {i in Car, j in Variables};  
param t;  
  
var bplus{j in Variables} >= 0;  
var bminus{j in Variables} >= 0;
```

The AMPL Model - Objective Function and Constraints

```
minimize SSE:
    sum {i in Car}
        ( y[i] - sum {j in Variables} (bplus[j] - bminus[j]) * x[i,j] )^2;

subject to L1_budget:
    sum {j in Variables: j != 'intercept'} (bplus[j] + bminus[j]) <= t;
```

Results and Conclusion

Model Results

Model	Intercept	cyl	disp	hp	wt	qsec
statsmodels	19.99	-1.64	0.0	-1.16	-2.99	0.0
ampl	20.09	-1.62	0.0	-1.08	-2.94	0.02

As can be seen from this table, our implementation reached nearly identical results.

Model Results ACS Data

Model	Age	Female	Schoolyr	Schoolyr ²	uhrswork	intercept
statsmodels	2.699	-4.416	0.0	10.9886	2.9111	27.8711
ampl	2.699	-4.348	-0.0862	11.0109	2.8748	27.819

As can be seen from this table, our implementation reached nearly identical results.

As we've seen, tackling LASSO regression from the perspective of linear programming is very straightforward.

I think this demonstrates just how close many of our seemingly disparate domains truly are!

Thank You

Questions?

Backup Slides

The Python Model - Setup Code

```
mtcars = pd.read_csv("data/mtcars.csv")
X = mtcars[["cyl", "disp", "hp", "wt", "qsec"]]
y = mtcars['mpg']

# Standardize features
scaler = StandardScaler()
X_scaled = scaler.fit_transform(X)
X_scaled_df = pd.DataFrame(X_scaled, columns=X.columns)

# Add constant for intercept
X_scaled_df = sm.add_constant(X_scaled_df)
```

The Python Model - Modeling Code

```
model = sm.OLS(y, X_scaled_df)
results = model.fit_regularized(method='elastic_net', L1_wt=1.0,
    ↪ alpha=0.1)

for variable, coefficient in results.params.items():
    print(f"{variable}: {round(coefficient, 5)}")
```