

# Example Table Summarizing Mathematical Symbology

Produced by: Ben Staton

For this example, suppose you have a technical document with many equations and symbols. Of course, context would be provided for each equation and symbol in the text surrounding each equation, but it may be helpful for readers to have a table to summarize the symbology for them.

The equations below are a subset from Staton *et al.* (2020), and Table 1 is adapted from Table 2 therein. These equations represent the process equations of a state-space model that is intended to simultaneously describe the dynamics of several salmon populations harvested as a mixed-stock. The quantities  $S_{t,j}$ ,  $H_t$  and  $q_{t,a,j}$  are linked to observed data for estimation of unknown parameters and latent states:  $\alpha_j$ ,  $\beta_j$ ,  $\phi$ ,  $\Sigma_R$ ,  $\pi_{1:n_a}$ ,  $D$ ,  $p_{y,a}$ ,  $U_t$ , and  $R_{y,j}$ .

TABLE 1. Definition of the symbology used in the description of the state-space model equations.

Symbol	Description	Eqns.
<b>Dimensional Constants</b>		
$n_y$	Number of brood years; here 45	
$n_t$	Number of calendar years for the population with the longest data time series; here 42	
$n_j$	Number of populations, here 13	
$n_a$	Number of possible ages of maturation; here 4	
$a_{\min}$	The first age recruits can mature; here 4	
$a_{\max}$	The last age recruits can mature; here 7	
<b>Parameters</b>		
$\alpha_j$	Maximum recruits per spawner for population $j$	1, 2
$\beta_j$	Capacity parameter for population $j$	1, 2
$\sigma_j^2$	White noise recruitment process variance for population $j$	5
$\rho_{i,j}$	Correlation in recruitment process variance between populations $i$ and $j$	5
$\Sigma_R$	Recruitment white noise process covariance matrix	3, 5
$\phi$	Lag-1 serial autocorrelation coefficient	4
$\omega_{y,j}$	Seriously autocorrelated portion of recruitment anomalies	3, 4
$\pi_a$	Mean proportion of adult recruits that mature and return at age $a$	6
$D^a$	Dirichlet dispersion parameter for brood year-specific maturity schedules	6
$p_{y,a}^a$	Probability adult recruits from brood year $y$ mature at age $a$	6, 7
$U_t^b$	Exploitation rate experienced by fully vulnerable populations in calendar year $t$	10, 12
$v_j^b$	Relative vulnerability term for population $j$	10, 12
<b>States</b>		
$\hat{R}_{y,j}$	Deterministic (expected) recruitment in brood year $y$ for population $j$	1, 2, 3
$R_{y,j}$	Realized latent (true) recruitment in brood year $y$ for population $j$	3, 7
$N_{t,a,j}$	Age-structured run abundance returning to spawn in calendar year $t$ for population $j$	7
$N_{t,j}$	Run abundance returning to spawn in calendar year $t$ for population $j$	8
$S_{t,j}$	Spawner abundance in calendar year $t$ for population $j$	2, 12
$H_{t,j}$	Harvest in calendar year $t$ for population $j$	10
$H_t$	Mixed-stock aggregate harvest in calendar year $t$	11
$q_{t,a,j}$	Proportion of the run returning to population $j$ in year $t$ that is made up of age $a$	9

<sup>a</sup> Used only in complex maturity models: SSM-vM and SSM-VM. For simple maturity models (SSM-vm and SSM-Vm),  $p_{y,a}$  took the value  $\pi_a$ .

<sup>b</sup> In the default case, all populations were assumed to be fully vulnerable to harvest (i.e., all  $v_j = 1$ ),  $v_j$  was used in a sensitivity analysis to this assumption (see Online Supplement F).

First  $a_{\max}$  brood years:

$$\dot{R}_{y,j} = \frac{\log(\alpha_j)}{\beta_j} \quad (1)$$

Brood years  $a_{\max} + 1$  through  $n_y$ , where  $t = y - a_{\max}$ :

$$\dot{R}_{y,j} = \alpha_j S_{t,j} e^{-\beta_j S_{t,j}} \quad (2)$$

$$\log(R_{y,1:n_j}) \sim \text{MVN} \left( \log(\dot{R}_{y,1:n_j}) + \omega_{y,1:n_j}, \Sigma_R \right) \quad (3)$$

$$\omega_{y,1:n_j} = \phi \left( \log(R_{y-1,1:n_j}) - \log(\dot{R}_{y-1,1:n_j}) \right) \quad (4)$$

$$\Sigma_R = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \rho_{1,2} & \cdots & \sigma_1 \sigma_{n_j} \rho_{1,n_j} \\ \sigma_2 \sigma_1 \rho_{2,1} & \sigma_2^2 & \cdots & \sigma_2 \sigma_{n_j} \rho_{2,n_j} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sigma_{n_j} \sigma_1 \rho_{n_j,1} & \sigma_{n_j} \sigma_2 \rho_{n_j,2} & \cdots & \sigma_{n_j}^2 \end{bmatrix} \quad (5)$$

$$p_{y,a} \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Dirichlet}(\pi_{1:n_a} \cdot D) \quad (6)$$

$$N_{t,a,j} = R_{t+n_a-a,j} p_{t+n_a-a,a} \quad (7)$$

$$N_{t,j} = \sum_{a=1}^{n_a} N_{t,a,j} \quad (8)$$

$$q_{t,a,j} = \frac{N_{t,a,j}}{N_{t,j}} \quad (9)$$

$$H_{t,j} = N_{t,j} U_t v_j \quad (10)$$

$$H_t = \sum_{j=1}^{n_j} H_{t,j} \quad (11)$$

$$S_{t,j} = N_{t,j} (1 - U_t v_j) \quad (12)$$

**Side note:** the manuscript from which this content was adapted was prepared using the ‘bookdown’ package, which produces a .tex file containing, among the rest of the manuscript content, the L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X code to reproduce this table. The journal was able to use this output to build the table for publication.