

Milestone 5: Hybrid Architecture Implementation Report

1. Executive Summary: The Business Problem

AetherMart is "drowning in data" from new, diverse channels (web clickstreams, IoT devices, social media, chat logs). The `Project.docx` business case identifies several key challenges that our current architecture cannot solve:

- **Maria (Marketing):** Needs a "360-degree customer view" to analyze "granular customer journeys" but this data doesn't fit in the current SQL tables.
- **Sarah (Product):** Is frustrated by the "rigid structure" of the Products table, which slows down the launch of new, diverse products (e.g., a smart speaker vs. a digital consultation).
- **Alex (CTO):** Needs to handle this new data *volume* and *variety* without creating more "data silos" and wants to enable real-time analytics.
- **David (Architect):** Proposed a "hybrid data architecture"—integrating a specialized NoSQL database—to solve this.

Our goal for Milestone 5 was to design, implement, and validate this hybrid architecture by integrating a MongoDB NoSQL database with our existing MariaDB cluster.

2. Implementation Process: Building the Hybrid Architecture

We successfully built a secure, multi-instance, heterogeneous environment within AWS. The process was as follows:

1. **Provisioning (The "Hybrid" Part):** A new, separate AWS EC2 `t2.micro` instance (`AetherMart-MongoDB-Server`) was launched. This is critical: it isolates the NoSQL workload from the live transactional MariaDB database, preventing resource contention.
2. **Network Security (Layer 1):** A new AWS Security Group (`MongoDB-SG`) was created and applied to the new instance. This cloud-level firewall is our primary security defense.
3. **Installation & Configuration:**
 - MongoDB Server (v7.0) was installed and configured on the new EC2 instance.
 - The `mongod.conf` file was modified (`bindIp: 0.0.0.0`) to allow it to listen for network connections within our private AWS network.
4. **Application Security (Layer 2):**
 - We enabled Role-Based Access Control (RBAC) in `mongod.conf` by setting

security: authorization: "enabled".

- We created the `aethermart_admin` user in the `admin` database with full read/write privileges.

5. **Data Integration (The "ETL" Part):**

- On our original MariaDB server, we installed the `pymysql` and `pymongo` Python drivers.
- We created a "bulk" ETL script (`migrate_to_mongo.py`) that securely connects to *both* databases, Extracts data from MariaDB, Transforms it (cleans data, converts types, enriches with new fields), and Loads it into MongoDB.

3. Security Strategy: Defense-in-Depth

This architecture directly addresses Sarah's concerns about PII by implementing security at multiple layers.

Layer 1: Network-Level Isolation (The Key POC)

Our primary security measure is the `MongoDB-SG` firewall, which makes the database invisible to the public internet. Its "Inbound Rules" are:

Type	Protocol	Port Range	Source	Description
SSH	TCP	22	My IP	Allows <i>only me</i> to manage the server.
Custom TCP	TCP	27017	[MariaDB Private IP]	Allows <i>only our application server</i> to talk to the database.

Justification: This lockdown means the only entity that can talk to our MongoDB database is the trusted, internal application server. This is a best-practice design that massively reduces the attack surface.

Layer 2: Application-Level Security (Completed)

The firewall protects the *server*; RBAC protects the *data*. We have **completed** this step:

- **Authentication is Enabled:** By setting `security: authorization: "enabled"`, all anonymous access to the database is **blocked**.

- **Admin User Created:** We created the `aethermart_admin` user.
- **Scripts Secured:** Our Python scripts (`migrate_to_mongo.py` and `test_hybrid.py`) were updated to pass these new credentials, allowing them to authenticate and perform their tasks.

This two-layer approach ensures that even if an attacker breached our private network, they would still need valid credentials to access or modify any data.

4. Data Migration Strategy: A Table-by-Table Analysis

We did not perform a "full migration." We built a hybrid system, making strategic decisions for each table in the `aethermart_db` schema.

Table(s)	Decision	Justification (The "Why")
Customers	ENRICH & COPY	(Solves Maria's Problem) Kept in MariaDB for <code>Orders</code> integrity. A new, enriched copy was created in MongoDB (<code>customer_profiles</code>) to hold the 360-degree view (logs, preferences).
Products & Categories	DENORMALIZE & ENRICH	(Solves Sarah's Problem) Products kept in MariaDB for stock/price. A new <code>product_catalog</code> collection was created in MongoDB, denormalizing the <code>category_name</code> and adding a flexible <code>specifications</code> field.
Reviews	MOVE (RECOMMENDED)	This data is semi-structured and has no hard foreign key dependencies. It's a perfect candidate to move to MongoDB, allowing for new features like photo/video uploads and upvotes.

Orders & Order_Items	STAY IN MARIADB	(Critical) These are the heart of the transactional (OLTP) system. They are ACID-dependent and must remain in a relational database.
Suppliers	FUTURE CANDIDATE	A good candidate for migration. A many-to-many junction table in SQL becomes a simple array in a MongoDB document, simplifying the schema.
Audit_Logs	FUTURE CANDIDATE	Log tables are a perfect fit for MongoDB, which excels at storing and querying massive volumes of "write-once, read-rarely" data.

5. POC Validation: How We Solved the Business Case

We ran "test" queries in the `mongosh` shell to prove our solution solves the business problems.

Business Problem	Proof-of-Concept (POC) Test
Maria's "360-Degree View"	We ran <code>db.customer_profiles.findOne(...)</code> and showed a single JSON document containing that customer's SQL data (name, email) <i>plus</i> new fields for <code>recent_activity_log</code> and <code>customer_preferences</code> .
Sarah's "Flexible Schema"	We ran <code>updateOne()</code> on two <i>different</i> products. We gave one product <code>color</code> and <code>wifi_standard</code> specs, and the other <code>duration_hours</code> and <code>platform</code> specs. This <i>proves</i> we can have different attributes for different products in the same collection.

Alex's "No Data Silos"	We ran an <code>aggregate()</code> query (<code>db.customer_profiles.aggregate(...)</code>) to group customers by state. This <i>proves</i> the new database is not a "dumb silo" but a powerful, queryable analytics store, augmenting our M4 data warehouse.
-------------------------------	--

6. Future Enhancements

This new hybrid architecture unlocks new capabilities for AetherMart, which we can propose as future enhancements:

1. **Real-Time Analytics Dashboard:** Our M4 Data Warehouse is for *historical* analytics. We can now use MongoDB to build a *real-time* dashboard for Sarah, showing sales as *they happen*.
2. **Complete the Migration:** Finish the strategic migration by moving the `Suppliers` and `Audit_Logs` tables to MongoDB to further simplify the architecture and improve performance.