

COMS 633:  
Advanced Topics in Computational Randomness  
Lecture Notes - Fall 2017

Professor: Dr. Jack Lutz  
Notes by: Alex Scheel

Updated: 08-31-2017

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Overview

These notes were prepared by Alex Scheel; they are not official class notes. Unless otherwise noted, the primary source is lectures by Dr. Jack Lutz.

### 1.2 Prerequisite Knowledge, Terminology, and Notation

- An extended real number is an element of the set  $[-\infty, \infty] = \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, \infty\}$ .
- An upper bound of a set  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is an extended real number,  $u$  such that  $(\forall x \in E), x \leq u$ .
- A maximum of a set  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is an element  $x \in E$  that is an upper bound of  $E$ .
- It is easy to see that a set has at most one maximum, but maybe none.
  - $\mathbb{N}$  has none,
  - $(0, 1) \subset \mathbb{R}$  has none,
  - $[0, 1]$  has one; 1.
- A supremum (or least upper bound) of a set  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is an upper bound  $u$  of  $E$  with the property that,  $(\forall v \in E)$ , that is an upper bound of  $E$ ,  $u \leq v$ . A set has at most one supremum. A max, if it exists, is a sup.
- **Supremum principle:** Every set  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  has a supremum.
- We write  $\sup E$  for the supremum of  $E$ .
- The terms lower bound, minimum, and infimum are analogously defined.
- The notation  $\forall^\infty$  means “for all but finitely many”; that is, excluding only a finite number of elements.
- The notation  $\exists^\infty$  means “there exist infinitely many”.

Let  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

- $f$  converges to a real  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  if,  $(\forall \epsilon > 0)$ , and  $(\forall^\infty n \in \mathbb{N})$ , then  $|f(n) - \alpha| < \epsilon$ .
- $f$  converges (or diverges) to  $\infty$  if,  $(\forall m \in \mathbb{N})$ , and  $(\forall^\infty n \in \mathbb{N})$ , then  $f(n) > m$ .
- $f$  converges (or diverges) to  $-\infty$  if,  $(\forall m \in \mathbb{N})$ , and  $(\forall^\infty n \in \mathbb{N})$ , then  $f(n) < -m$ .
- There is at most one  $u \in [-\infty, \infty]$  such that  $f$  converges to  $u$ .

- Notation:  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(n) = u$ .
- $f$  is nondecreasing if,  $(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}), f(n) \leq f(n+1)$ .
- $f$  is strictly increasing if,  $(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}), f(n) < f(n+1)$ .
- $f$  is nonincreasing if,  $(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}), f(n) \geq f(n+1)$ .
- $f$  is strictly decreasing if,  $(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}), f(n) > f(n+1)$ .
- $f$  is monotone if  $f$  is nonincreasing or nondecreasing.
- Every monotone function has a limit in  $[-\infty, \infty]$ .

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

1. The limit superior of  $f$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  is:

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{m \geq n} f(m)$$

2. The limit inferior of  $f$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  is:

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{m \geq n} f(m)$$

**Observations:**

1.  $\liminf$ ,  $\limsup$  always exist.
2.  $\liminf \leq \limsup$ .
3. limit exists  $\iff \liminf = \limsup$ . Then,  $\lim = \liminf = \limsup$ .
4.  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(n) = \infty \iff \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(n) = \infty$

## 2 Finite-State Gambling

Let  $\Sigma$  be an alphabet with  $2 \leq |\Sigma| < \infty$ . That is,  $\Sigma$  is finite. A probability measure on  $\Sigma$  is a function:

$$\pi : \Sigma \rightarrow [0, \infty)$$

satisfying:

$$\sum_{a \in \Sigma} \pi(a) = 1$$

A rational probability measure on  $\Sigma$  is a function:

$$\pi : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, \infty)$$

that is a probability measure on  $\Sigma$ . We write:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\Sigma) &= \{\text{probability measures on } \Sigma\} \\ \Delta_{\mathbb{Q}} &= \{\text{rational probability measures on } \Sigma\} \\ \Delta^+(\Sigma) &= \{\pi \in \Delta(\Sigma) \mid (\forall a \in \Sigma) \pi(a) > 0\} \\ \Delta_{\mathbb{Q}}^+(\Sigma) &= \{\pi \in \Delta_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Sigma) \mid (\forall a \in \Sigma) \pi(a) > 0\} \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\Delta(\Sigma)$  is a  $(|\Sigma| - 1)$ -dimensional simplex in  $\mathbb{R}^{|\Sigma|}$ .

**Definition 2.1.** A finite-state automaton (FSA) on  $\Sigma$  is a triple:

$$A = (Q, \delta, s)$$

where:

- $Q$  is a finite set of states,
- $\delta : Q \times \Sigma \rightarrow Q$  is a transition function,
- $s \in Q$  is a start state.

**Example 2.2.** DIAGRAM

Given an FSA,  $A = (Q, \delta, s)$  on  $\Sigma$ , we define the extended-transition function:

$$\hat{\delta} : Q \times \Sigma^* \rightarrow Q$$

by the recursion:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\delta}(q, \lambda) &= q \\ \hat{\delta}(q, wa) &= \delta(\hat{\delta}(q, w), a)\end{aligned}$$

$\forall q \in Q, w \in \Sigma^*$ , and  $a \in \Sigma$ .

**Notational Conventions:**

1. We write  $\delta(q, w)$  for  $\hat{\delta}(q, w)$ .
2. We write  $\delta(w)$  for  $\delta(s, w)$ .

Our next objective is to endow FSAs with the ability to gamble.

**Definition 2.3.** A bet on  $\Sigma$  is a rational probability measure  $\beta \in \Delta_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Sigma)$ .

**Intuition:** Assume that:

- You have  $d \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, \infty)$  dollars
- You are confronting an experiment whose outcome is some element of  $\Sigma$
- You place the bet  $\beta \in \Delta_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Sigma)$

This means that  $\forall a \in \Sigma$ , you are betting  $d \cdot \beta(a)$  dollars that the outcome is  $a$ . Since  $\sum_{a \in \Sigma} d\beta(a) = d$ , you have to bet all your money in this scenario. After the bet, if the martingale's outcome was  $a$ , you will have  $d(a)$ , an amount that we now specify.

Suppose that the outcomes of this experiment occur according to a probability measure  $\pi \in \Delta(\Sigma)$ . What is your expected amount of money after the bet, given that you had  $d$  dollars?

Answer:

$$\sum_{a \in \Sigma} \pi(a)d(a) = \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[d(a)|d]$$

Therefore “the payoffs are fair” if:

$$d = \sum_{a \in \Sigma} \pi(a)d(a)$$

**Recall:** A bet on  $\Sigma$  is a probability measure  $\beta \in \Delta_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Sigma)$ . For now, a payoff rule on  $\Sigma$  is a probability measure  $\rho \in \Delta(\Sigma)$ .

**Intuition:** Assume that a gambler has  $d \in [0, \infty)$  dollars and places a bet,  $\beta$  on an experiment that will have an outcome that is an element of  $\Sigma$ . Placing this bet means that,  $(\forall a \in \Sigma)$ , the gambler is betting  $d\beta(a)$  dollars that the outcome is  $a$ . Note that  $d = \sum_{a \in \Sigma} d\beta(a)$ , so the gambler is required to bet all its money.

If the payoff rule is  $\rho \in \Delta(\Sigma)$ , and the actual outcome is  $a \in \Sigma$ , then the gambler will have:

$$d(a) := \frac{\beta(a)}{\rho(a)} \quad (2.1)$$

dollars after the bet.

Now assum that the outcome of the experiment occurs according to a probabiltiy measure,  $\pi \in \Delta(\Sigma)$ , which we call the actual probability measure of the experiment. The expected value of the gambler's amount of money after the bet (given that it has  $d$  dollars before the bet) is:

$$\mathbb{E}_{a \sim \pi}[d(a)] := \sum_{a \in \Sigma} d(a)\pi(a), \quad (2.2)$$

where  $a \sim \pi$  means “a is drawn according to  $\pi$ ”. By (2.1) and (2.2),

$$\mathbb{E}_{a \sim \pi}[d(a)] = d \sum_{a \in \Sigma} \frac{\beta(a)\pi(a)}{\rho(a)} \quad (2.3)$$

**Observation:** If  $\rho = \pi$ , i.e., the payoff rule is the actual probability measure on  $\Sigma$ , then the payoffs are fair in the sense that  $\mathbb{E}_{a \sim \pi}[d(a)] = d$ .

**Definition 2.4.** A finite state gambler (FSG) on  $\Sigma$  is a 5-tuple,  $G = (Q, \delta, s, \beta, c)$ , where:

- $(Q, \delta, s)$  is a FSA,
- $\beta : Q \rightarrow \Delta_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Sigma)$  is the betting function,
- $c \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, \infty)$  is the initial capital.

**Example 2.5.** DIAGRAM

**Intuition:**

Before defining the semantics of FSGs formally, let us use example 2.5 to gain some insight. Assume that  $G$  is given an input string  $w \in \{0, 1\}^*$ , whose bits are chosen by independent tosses of a fair coin, and asume that the payoff rule coincides with this. Let  $d_G(w)$  denote the amount of money that  $G$  has after betting on  $w$ . Then:

$$\begin{aligned} d_G(\lambda) &= c = 1 \\ d_G(1) &= \frac{4}{3} = 2\frac{2}{3}d_G(\lambda) \\ d_G(11) &= 2\frac{1}{3}d_G(1) = \frac{8}{9} \\ d_G(110) &= 2\frac{2}{3}d_G(11) = \frac{32}{27} \\ &\dots \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 2.6.** If  $G = (Q, \delta, s, \beta, c)$  is an FSG and  $\pi \in \Delta^+(\Sigma)$ , then the  $\pi$ -martingale of  $G$  is the function:

$$d_G^\pi : \Sigma^* \rightarrow [0, \infty)$$

defined by the recursion:

$$\begin{aligned} d_G^\pi(\lambda) &= c \\ d_G^\pi(wa) &= d_G^\pi(w) \frac{\beta(\delta(w))(a)}{\pi(a)} \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 2.7.** (Ville - 1939). Let  $\pi \in \Delta(\Sigma)$ . A  $\pi$ -martingale on  $\Sigma$  is a function:

$$d : \Sigma^* \rightarrow [0, \infty)$$

that satisfies,  $(\forall w \in \Sigma^*)$ :

$$d(w) = \sum_{a \in \Sigma} d(wa)\pi(a) \quad (2.4)$$

**Obervation:** For every FSG  $G$  on  $\Sigma$  and every probability measure  $\pi \in \Delta^+(\Sigma)$ ,  $d_G^\pi$  is a  $\pi$ -martingale.