

ClassBench-ng: Recasting ClassBench After a Decade of Network Evolution

Jiří Matoušek¹, Gianni Antichi², Adam Lučanský³
Andrew W. Moore², Jan Kořenek¹

¹Brno University of Technology

²University of Cambridge

³CESNET



Motivation

Analysis of Real Rule Sets

- IP Prefixes
- OpenFlow

ClassBench-ng

ClassBench-ng Evaluation

- IP Prefixes Generation
- OpenFlow Rules Generation

Summary

Packet Classification

Matching header fields of incoming packets against a set of rules and performing the corresponding **action**.

- the basic operation of each networking device
- examples of use
 - packet forwarding
 - application of security policies
 - application-specific processing
 - application of quality-of-service guarantees
- the most common classification considers an **IPv4 5-tuple**
 - ip_src** source IPv4 prefix
 - ip_dst** destination IPv4 prefix
 - l4_src** source port
 - l4_dst** destination port
 - ip_proto** protocol
- a lot of existing research on packet classification

- many trends that influence packet classification
 - increasing transfer rates
 - ⇒ faster classification
 - increasing number of classification rules
 - ⇒ larger data structures
 - growing deployment of IPv6
 - ⇒ longer IP prefixes
 - adoption of SDN with OpenFlow protocol
 - ⇒ more header fields
- Internet evolution stimulates development of new packet classification algorithms
- new algorithms need to be benchmarked

- lack of real and publicly available benchmarking data
- benchmarking using **synthetically generated rule sets**

ClassBench¹

- IPv4 5-tuples
- input parameters from real rule sets
- more precise output (w. r. t. parameters)

FRuG²

- IPv4 5-tuples, OF rules
- user-defined input parameters
- more flexible in the long term

- a precise and flexible benchmarking tool requires a mechanic for an **analysis of real rule sets**

¹D. E. Taylor and J. S. Turner. ClassBench: A Packet Classification Benchmark. *Transactions on Networking*, 15(3):499–511, June 2007.

²T. Ganedegara, W. Jiang, and V. Prasanna. FRuG: A benchmark for packet forwarding in future networks. In *IPCCC*, pp. 231–238. IEEE, December 2010.

- today's Internet is no more the one of a decade ago
- questions with respect to ClassBench
 - Are the ideas behind ClassBench still valid after a decade of Internet evolution?
 - What are the characteristics of current real rule sets based on IPv4/IPv6 5-tuples and OpenFlow-specific fields?
 - What parameters should be extracted from different types of real rule sets?
 - How to extend ClassBench with respect to IPv6 and OpenFlow?

Motivation

Analysis of Real Rule Sets

- IP Prefixes
- OpenFlow

ClassBench-ng

ClassBench-ng Evaluation

- IP Prefixes Generation
- OpenFlow Rules Generation

Summary

Name	Prefixes or rules	Source	Date
IPv4 prefix sets			
eqix_2015	550 511	http://archive.routeviews.org/	2015-07-02
eqix_2005	164 455		2005-07-02
rrc00_2015	571 351	http://data.ris.ripe.net/	2015-07-02
rrc00_2005	168 525		2005-07-02
IPv6 prefix sets			
eqix_2015	23 866	http://archive.routeviews.org/	2015-07-02
eqix_2005	658		2005-07-02
rrc00_2015	24 162	http://data.ris.ripe.net/	2015-07-02
rrc00_2005	499		2005-07-02
OpenFlow rule sets			
of1	16 889	Open vSwitch in a cloud	2015-05-29
of2	20 250	Open vSwitch in a cloud	2015-05-29

- desired properties of a rule set representation
 - anonymity
 - completeness
 - scalability

- representation of a prefix set using a **trie** (binary prefix tree)
- the same trie description as in ClassBench
 - **prefix length distribution**
 - **branching probability distributions** (1-child, 2-children)
 - **average skew distribution**


$$skew = 1 - \frac{weight(lighter)}{weight(heavier)}$$

- **prefix nesting threshold**

Prefixes

P1 = 0*
 P2 = 001*
 P3 = 100*
 P4 = 110*
 P5 = 111*
 P6 = 0100*
 P7 = 0101*
 P8 = 1010*
 P9 = 01110*
 P10 = 11100*


Prefix nesting threshold = 2



lengths	1-child	2-children	skew
0	0	1	0
0.1	0	1	0.5
0	0.25	0.75	0.33
0.4	0.75	0.25	0
0.3	1	0	
0.2			


- 3 times more prefixes after 10 years of evolution

Prefix Length Distribution




- 3 times more prefixes after 10 years of evolution

2-children Probability Distribution



- 3 times more prefixes after 10 years of evolution

Average Skew Distribution




2005-2015

- 36 times more prefixes after 10 years of evolution
- the most common prefix length shifted from 32 (RIRs/ISPs) to 48 (end users/organizations)
 - branching probability and average skew distributions also changed significantly

2013-2015

- 2 times more prefixes after 2 years of evolution
- only minor changes in prefix length distribution
 - branching probability and average skew distributions follow similar trends


- OpenFlow 1.0 extends the IPv4 5-tuple with 7 header fields
 - `in_port` ingress port
 - `mac_src` source MAC address
 - `mac_dst` destination MAC address
 - `eth_type` EtherType
 - `vlan_id` VLAN ID
 - `vlan_prio` VLAN priority
 - `ip_tos` DSCP (former IP ToS)



OpenFlow Rule Type

Describes which header fields are wildcarded/specified in rules of this type.

- a rule type can be represented as a 12-bit binary number
 - theoretically 4096 different rule types
 - practically only 18 utilized rule types



Motivation

Analysis of Real Rule Sets

- IP Prefixes
- OpenFlow

ClassBench-ng


ClassBench-ng Evaluation

- IP Prefixes Generation
- OpenFlow Rules Generation

Summary


- comparison of 10 runs against original values

2-children Probability Distribution




- comparison of 10 runs against original values

Average Skew Distribution



- built upon original ClassBench
- **improves** IPv4 prefixes generation accuracy
- **supports** IPv6 prefixes generation
- **supports** OpenFlow analysis and generation



- IPv4 prefixes generation is improved using **trie pruning algorithm**
 - starts from 100 times bigger prefix set
 - removes individual prefixes to adjust prefix set parameters to the given values
- 3 steps of trie pruning algorithm
 - 1 branching probabilities adjustment (↓)
 - 2 average skew distribution adjustment (↑)
 - 3 prefixes length distribution adjustment (↓)
- steps 1 and 2 try to remove as less prefixes as possible
- each step aims to not alter the already adjusted characteristics

- generates an OpenFlow seed from an OpenFlow rule set (in `ovs-ofctl` format)
- 3 parts of an OpenFlow seed
 - rule type distribution
 - 5-tuple seed (compatible with ClassBench)
 - OpenFlow-specific seed
- 4 types of representation within an OpenFlow-specific seed
 - `values` (`in_port`, `eth_type`)
 - `parts` (`mac_src`, `mac_dst`)
 - `size` (`vlan_id`)
 - `null` (`vlan_prio`, `ip_tos`)

- consists of 3 steps
 - ① uses Improved ClassBench to generate the given number of IPv4 5-tuples
 - ② removes IPv4 5-tuple fields that **are not** part of the given OpenFlow rule type
 - ③ adds OpenFlow-specific header fields that **are** part of the given OpenFlow rule type
- does not allow to generate inconsistent rules (e. g., a rule specifying VLAN ID and EtherType 0x0800)

Motivation

Analysis of Real Rule Sets

- IP Prefixes
- OpenFlow

ClassBench-ng

ClassBench-ng Evaluation

- IP Prefixes Generation
- OpenFlow Rules Generation

Summary

- comparison on IPv4 prefixes generation with
 - ClassBench
 - FRuG
- comparison on IPv6 prefixes generation with
 - Non-random Generator³
- comparison on OpenFlow rules generation with
 - FRuG
- tools are compared using RMSE


$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\bar{y} - y_i)^2}$$

- tool-specific seeds extracted from a common original rule set
- 10 individual runs of each tool ($n = 10$)
- comparison of generated values (y_i) against the target value from the seed (\bar{y})

³M. Wang, S. Deering, T. Hain, and L. Dunn. Non-random Generator for IPv6 Tables. In *HOTI*. IEEE, 2004.


- the original rule set generated by ClassBench using `ac14` seed

2-children Probability Distribution




- the original rule set generated by ClassBench using `ac14` seed

Average Skew Distribution



- the original rule set generated by ClassBench using `ac14` seed


Prefix Length Distribution



- two original rule sets from `rrc00_2015` source
- **not entirely fair comparison** because of different inputs
 - IPv6 prefix set for ClassBench-ng
 - IPv4 prefix set for Non-random Generator
- **prefix length distribution** — comparable results
- **branching probability distribution** — ClassBench-ng is more precise
- **average skew distribution** — Non-random Generator is more precise


- the original rule set is `of1`

OpenFlow-Specific Header Fields



- the original rule set is `of1`

OpenFlow Rule Types



Motivation

Analysis of Real Rule Sets

- IP Prefixes
- OpenFlow

ClassBench-ng

ClassBench-ng Evaluation

- IP Prefixes Generation
- OpenFlow Rules Generation

Summary

- the detailed analysis of real classification rule sets
 - IPv4/IPv6 prefixes from core routers
 - OpenFlow 1.0 rules from a datacenter
- ClassBench-ng tool that is able to
 - accurately generate IPv4/IPv6 5-tuples
 - analyze real OpenFlow rule sets
 - accurately generate OpenFlow rules
- ClassBench-ng is available at <http://github.com/classbench-ng/classbench-ng>
 - the repository also contains seeds for of1 and of2 rule sets

Thank you for your attention