













Computer Ethics

Presentations, papers and more

Viola Schiaffonati

September 23rd 2020



- Grading of this course is on the following basis
 - 50% final project (written paper or class presentation)
 - 50% oral questions concerning the topics presented in the course (during exam sessions)



- If you want to do the **presentation in class**, you must send me an email by Friday October 16th
- Schedule of the class presentations (provisional)
 - Tuesday **November 24**th
 - Thursday **November 26**th
 - Tuesday **December 1**st
 - Thursday **December 3**rd
 - Thursday **December 10**th
 - Tuesday **December 15**th



Class supervisions

- Topics for the presentations/papers will be proposed by you and then discussed individually with the instructor/TA
- Schedule of supervisions (in class)
 - Supervision 1
 - Tuesday September 29th (TEAM 1)
 - Thursday October 1st (TEAM 2)
 - Supervision 2
 - Tuesday October 27th (TEAM 2)
 - Thursday October 29th (TEAM 1)
 - Supervision 3
 - Tuesday November 10th (TEAM 1)
 - Thursday November 12th (TEAM 2)
 - Supervision 4
 - Thursday December 17th (TEAM 1)
 - Tuesday December 22nd (TEAM 2)



- It's not possible to change your team and days for coming to classes
- It's a rule of the School: important for contact tracing in case of problems
- Check the timetable!
 - Team 1: some Tuesdays, some Thursdays
 - Team 2: some Tuesdays, some Thursdays
- Papers and presentations supervisions in class are not recorded: they are individual activities
- Only in case of problems to come to classes we can arrange online supervisions (during class hours!)
- Office hours by appointment





- You come to the physical class according to your team
- We'll set a waiting list and each of you will discuss with us ideas, issues, etc. of his/her presentation/paper
- Only if you have problems to come to the class, we can arrange an **online supervision** during class supervisions: send me an email
- You don't need to come to any supervision in class: you can decide when you need to have your work supervised



- Class presentations will be approximately between 20 to 25 minutes long (depending on their number) including 5 or 10 minutes for Q&A
- You can use slides or any other supports during your presentation
- Presentations must be in English
- In case of problems we can arrange class presentations
 online (it's better to be in class when presenting)
- These class presentations will be recorded
- It's up to you if you want to come to the class or if you want to follow these presentations remotely



- As an alternative to a class presentation you can write an essay on an original topic
- This **essay** constitutes **50%** of the grading of this course
- The other **50%** is constituted by **oral questions** about the topics presented in the course (papers on the course web page) and taking place the date of the exam
- Both paper and oral exam can be either in **English** or in Italian
 - Even mix options (paper in English and oral exam in Italian)



Papers are due 1 week before the exam

- Papers are due at least a week before the date of the exam (no exceptions admitted)
- You will upload your paper in the appropriate folder on Beep

- If you are not officially enrolled in this course, you cannot do the exam
- If you are not officially registered for an exam date, you cannot do the exam
- If you decide to reject your final grading, you are required to write another paper on a different topic (also if the paper was graded as sufficient or more)
- You can submit your paper for an exam date, and then decide that you will do the oral examination in another date (just let me know if you can)
- No restrictions are applied in the case you do not pass the exam (only: you have to rewrite the paper on a different topic)



- The paper is required to be around 3500 words
- The paper must be written just by one person (no group)
- The topic of the paper must be officially approved by the course instructor
 - After you have selected it you are required to send an email to viola.schiaffonati@polimi.it and wait for approval



These tips are valid also for class presentation

What the paper/presentation is about?

- The paper must offer an argument, so you have to defend the claims you present
- It can't consist in the mere report of your opinion, nor in the mere report of the opinions of others (even if these others can be considered authorities)
 - Yes: 'My view is that P. I believe this because ...' or 'I find that the following considerations ... provide a convincing argument for P.'
 - No: 'My view is that P.'
- You have to explicitly present **reasons** for the claims you are making



- Don't be over-ambitious: a good paper/presentation is modest and makes a small point, but it makes it clearly and straightforwardly, and it offers good reasons in support of it
- The aim of your paper/presentation is to show that you understand the material presented in this course and you're able to critically think about it
 - So your paper does have to show some independent thinking
 - No necessity to come up with your own theory, but merely summarizing what others have said won't be enough



Don't focus on title but on content!

- Focus on what you want to state in the paper and on the way in which you will argue in favor of it
- The title will come ...



- Once you have selected the topic and defined the provisional title (remember you need the official approval of instructor) you can start to work at the paper/presentation
- Structuring the paper
- Stages of writing
- Bibliography



Structuring the paper (1)

- Begin by formulating your precise thesis
 - State your thesis clearly and concisely in your introduction
 - Get the point quickly and without digression
 - Don't try to introduce your argument within a grand historical narrative



Structuring the paper (2)

- Define technical or ambiguous terms used in your thesis or argument
 - Define for your reader any special or unclear terms
 - Think of an imaginary reader that has some knowledge on these topics, but she/he is not an expert (e.g., a colleague of you in this course)



Structuring the paper (3)

- If necessary, motivate your thesis (explain to your reader why they should care about it)
 - Try to clarify why a reader would care about the truth of the claim you are arguing for
 - Try to explain why your thesis is interesting



Structuring the paper (4)

- Explain briefly how you will argue in favor of your thesis
 - At the beginning indicate how you will proceed in claiming for your argument





- If necessary, explain the argument you will be critiquing
 - This means to explain the argument in your own words and according to your own understanding of the steps involved in it
 - You need to be very clear on the precise logical structure of an author's argument
 - Stick to explaining only the details that are essential to the author's argument for the particular thesis and for your own argument for your thesis
 - Take care to clearly indicate when you are speaking in your own voice and when you are explicating someone else's argument or point of view





- Make an argument to support your thesis
 - To make the strongest possible argument do not skip any **steps** and try not to rest your argument on any premises that your reader might not be willing to accept
 - In presenting your argument, be straightforward in your language and say precisely what you mean



- In order to strengthen your argument anticipate and answer objections to it
 - It helps support your main argument and makes it more compelling
 - When you present an objection, you must always present a reason or reasons for thinking it true
 - The simple negation of a thesis is not an objection to it



Organize your paper in sections

- Abstract
 - What you did in a nutshell
- Introduction (Section 1)
 - What your thesis is and why you are discussing it
- Sections (Sections 2-n)
 - All the necessary steps to support your thesis (arguments and counterarguments)
- Conclusions (Section n+1)
 - Summary and discussion of your result, and possible future directions
- References
 - Works you have referred to



- The abstract is only text
- Write your abstract using concise, but complete, sentences, and get to the point quickly
- Maximum length should be 200-300 words, usually in a single paragraph
- The abstract should not contain
 - lengthy background information
 - references to other literature
 - elliptical (i.e., ending with ...) or incomplete sentences
 - abbreviations or terms that may be confusing to readers
 - any sort of illustration, figure, or table, or references to them

- Blueprint for the entire paper
- Introductions usually have three parts
 - presentation of the problem or the research inquiry
 - purpose and focus of the current paper
 - summary or overview of the writer's position or arguments
- Introduction (Section 1)
 - What your thesis is and why you are discussing it
- Because introductions are so highly structured, you may actually write your introduction last





- A listing of the sources you used and consulted to write your paper
- Includes items such as: books, journal/magazine/newspaper articles, dissertations, theses, websites, book reviews, speeches, etc.
- It is located at the end of the paper
- It is arranged in alphabetical order typically by the creator (such as author or editor)





- Follow-up: It allows readers to consult the sources you used to write your paper
- Acknowledgement: To give credit to ideas or works created by someone else. If you fail to do this you are plagiarizing - this is a reality whether you are doing this intentionally or unintentionally

- While it may be hard to believe, there are hundreds of different citation styles in existence!
- When you choose one style, be coherent and use it through the whole paper
- For your type of paper I suggest the following style:
 (Author year)
- Example
 - In the text: ... as discussed in (Godfrey-Smith 2003)
 - At the end: in the Bibliography/References section
 Godfrey-Smith, P. (2003), Theory and Reality, University of Chicago Press, Chicago.

- If you use the precise words of an author, you have to add the page number in the text
 - In the text: "... *The short answer is that"* (Godfrey-Smith 2003, 76).



Types of references

Books

Hacking, I. (1983). Representing and Intervening, Cambridge University Press.

Articles in journals

• Lenhard, J. (2007). "Computer simulation: the cooperation between experimenting and modeling", *Philosophy of Science*, 74, 176-194.

Articles in books

Hartmann, S. (1996). "The world as a process: simulations in the natural and social sciences" in Hegselmann, R. et al. (eds.) Simulation and Modeling in the Social Sciences from the Philosophy of Science point of view, Theory and Decision Library, Kluwer, 77-100.

Articles in conference proceedings

Querrec, G., Rodin, V., Abgrall, J.F., Kerdelo, S., Tisseau, J. (2003) ."Uses of multi-agents systems for simulation of mapk pathway", *Proceedings of the Third IEEE Symposium on Bioinformatics and Bioengineering* (BIBE03), 421-425.

Websites

www.harvard.edu (last access October 2016)

- Harvard College Writing Program, "A Brief Guide to Writing the Philosophy Paper", Harvard College www.fas.harvard.edu/~phildept/files/ShortGuidetoPhiloso phicalWriting.pdf
- Prior, J., "Guidelines for Writing a Philosophy Paper", www.jimpryor.net/teaching/guidelines/writing.html