	QSO	XRB	TDE	References
$M_{ m BH}$	10^{6-9}	$10^{0-1.8}$	$< 10^{6-7}(??)$	
\dot{M}	$\sim 1~M_{\odot}/{ m yr}$	$\sim 1~M_{\odot}$	$1-10M_{\circ}/\mathrm{yr}$	
$\ddot{M} \ (\Rightarrow \text{LC shape?})$?	?	?	
a (BH spin)	low, mode, high	generally high		
$\log L/L_{ m Edd}$	-2 - 0	0.01-1		
preferential $L_{\rm Edd}$??	maybe for CLQs			
ang. momen (accn disk)				
$\frac{d}{dt}$ ang. momen	?	?	?	
fuel source	accn disk	accn disk	star	
opacity				
accn disk wind??				
host galaxy	~whole population* *though not local AGN		post-starburst preference	
evolution with z	peaks at $z\sim 2-3$	Yes	?	
binary BHs?	\checkmark		\times (probably)	
BLR?	v /	No	$\sqrt{\text{(but weird?)}}$	
CL-BLR?	$\sqrt{}$	No (but)	•	
BLR in polarimatory?	Yes	n/a	?	
He II ?	rare	·	\checkmark	
Coronal Lines	Sometimes	?	Sometimes	
Fe opacity important?	$\sqrt{}$?	?	

Table 1: github.com/d80b2t

	QSO	XRB	TDE
PSD in opt.	changes with \dot{M}		
PSD in X-ray	no evolution		
PSD in IR	?		n/a?
X-rays	yes	By definiton	No (except when there are)
Hard state?	v	Yes	,
X-ray variability? (soft)	Yes	Yes	
X-ray variability? (hard)			
corona?	Yes	$\sqrt{/\times}$ (Big debate)	?
Radio variability	\checkmark		
Infrared variability	$\sqrt{}$		$\sqrt{\text{(probably)}}$
Is x important?			
Viscous timescale	Incredibly		
X-ray Reprocessing	Yes		
IR Reprocessing	Yes		
Atomic Physics			
Challenges SS73?	AGN disk (x4) too big		

From Nadia Blagorodnova::

Using the last $M-\sigma$ relations for TDE hosts, they have a figure showing that preferentially they are close to $L_{\rm Edd}$, but the range is 0.01-1 of $L_{\rm Edd}$: http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2017arXiv170608965W

From Ohad Shemmer::

Going back to my (and others) "NLS1 philosophy", in a nutshell: NLS1s have been identified back in 1986 as a "strange new class" of broad-line Seyferts. Many things happened since then, and 1999 should have pretty much marked the end of the "NLS1" terminology. Unfortunately, many folks are still having a hard time disengaging from this exotic "NLS1 class".

These sources are simply understood as type 1 AGN lying at some extreme corner of parameter space, driven mainly by high $L/L_{\rm Edd}$. So their BELR lines are relatively narrow with respect to their luminosity, indicating high $L/L_{\rm Edd}$ and relatively low $M_{\rm BH}$. This also dictates extremely low [O III]/Hb ratios, strong Fe II lines, weak C IV lines, etc. etc.

So, for the Table, I'd simply remove (safely) the last two lines, i.e., "[O III]/Hb" and "like NLS1", since these two lines are implicit in the log $L/L_{\rm Edd}$ line above.

Also, I think you can safely change to a "Yes" the XRB evolution with redshift; see, e.g., Lehmer+16, ApJ, 825, 7, and refs. therein.