

	QSO	XRB	TDE	References
M_{BH}	10^{6-9}	$10^{0-1.8}$	$< 10^{6-7} (??)$	
\dot{M}	$\sim 1 M_{\odot}/\text{yr}$	$\sim 1 M_{\odot}$	$1 - 10 M_{\odot}/\text{yr}$	
\ddot{M} (\Rightarrow LC shape?)	?	?	?	
a (BH spin)	low, mode, high	generally high		
$\log L/L_{\text{Edd}}$	-2 - 0	0.01-1		
preferential L_{Edd} ??	<i>maybe</i> for CLQs			
ang. momen (accn disk)				
$\frac{d}{dt}$ ang. momen	?	?	?	
fuel source	accn disk	accn disk	star	
opacity				
accn disk wind??				
host galaxy	\sim whole population*	—	post-starburst preference	
	*though not local AGN	—		
evolution with z	peaks at $z \sim 2-3$	Yes	?	
binary BHs?	✓		\times (probably)	
BLR?	✓	No	✓ (but weird?)	
CL-BLR?	✓	No (but...)		
BLR in polarimatory?	Yes	n/a	?	
He II ?	rare		✓	
Coronal Lines	Sometimes	?	Sometimes	
Fe opacity important?	✓	?	?	

Table 1: github.com/d80b2t

	QSO	XRB	TDE
PSD in opt.	changes with \dot{M}		
PSD in X-ray	no evolution		
PSD in IR	?		n/a?
X-rays	yes	By definiton	No (except when there are)
Hard state?		Yes	
X-ray variability? (soft)	Yes	Yes	
X-ray variability? (hard)			
corona?	Yes	$\sqrt{}/\times$ (Big debate)	?
Radio variability	\checkmark		
Infrared variability	\checkmark		\checkmark (probably)
Is x important?			
Viscous timescale	Incredibly		
X-ray Reprocessing	Yes		
IR Reprocessing	Yes		
Atomic Physics			
Challenges SS73?	AGN disk (x4) too big		

From Nadia Blagorodnova::

Using the last $M - \sigma$ relations for TDE hosts, they have a figure showing that preferentially they are close to L_{Edd} , but the range is 0.01-1 of L_{Edd} : <http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2017arXiv170608965W>

From Ohad Shemmer::

Going back to my (and others) "NLS1 philosophy", in a nutshell: NLS1s have been identified back in 1986 as a "strange new class" of broad-line Seyferts. Many things happened since then, and 1999 should have pretty much marked the end of the "NLS1" terminology. Unfortunately, many folks are still having a hard time disengaging from this exotic "NLS1 class".

These sources are simply understood as type 1 AGN lying at some extreme corner of parameter space, driven mainly by high L/L_{Edd} . So their BELR lines are relatively narrow with respect to their luminosity, indicating high L/L_{Edd} and relatively low M_{BH} . This also dictates extremely low [O III]/H β ratios, strong Fe II lines, weak C IV lines, etc. etc.

So, for the Table, I'd simply remove (safely) the last two lines, i.e., "[O III]/H β " and "like NLS1", since these two lines are implicit in the $\log L/L_{\text{Edd}}$ line above.

Also, I think you can safely change to a "Yes" the XRB evolution with redshift; see, e.g., Lehmer+16, ApJ, 825, 7, and refs. therein.

1 Time Scales

From Lawrence (2016) <http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2016ASPC..505..107L> ::

All Type I AGN - those where we can see the strong blue continuum and broad emission lines - are variable.¹ This is important because variability can provide indirect information on size scales that are otherwise unmeasurable. Suppose, for illustration, we take an AGN at a distance of 100 Mpc, and we assume that it contains a black hole of mass $10^8 M_\odot$. The Table below shows the angular scale of well known AGN structures, in units of the Schwarzschild radius $R_S = 2GM/c^2$. The accretion disc, Broad Line Region (BLR) and the geometrically thick obscuring region sometimes known as the “torus” are all unresolvable by direct means, although as we will describe later, may be mappable by microlensing transits.

If the accretion disc is in a stable steady state, we might expect it to evolve gradually on the inward drift timescale set by viscosity, which is of the order 10,000 years (see e.g. ?). However, instabilities of various kinds could give us much faster changes. The *light crossing timescale* $t_{lt} = R/c$, is the shortest timescale that we could possibly see, if for example one region has variations locked to those of another region by radiation heating or reflection. This is of the order hours, days, and years for disc, BLR, and torus respectively. The *dynamical timescale*, $t_{dyn} = \sqrt{R^3/GM}$, is the shortest timescale on which we are likely to see physical changes in a region, and is of the order of days, years, and thousands of years for disc, BLR, and torus respectively. (Free-fall time is roughly the same and orbital timescale is 2π times longer.) More realistically, perturbations may transmit across a region on the sound crossing timescale $t_{snd} = R/v_{snd}$. This is somewhat model dependent but is of the order of years for the accretion disc. Note what I mean here is the global time to cross the whole region. Local hot spots could grow on the timescale it takes sound to cross the vertical height of the disc, which could be 1–3 orders of magnitude faster. Somewhat related is the “thermal” timescale t_{therm} which is roughly the time it takes for energy to dissipate within the disc, i.e. it is a kind of response timescale to a spike of energy input. This is model dependent of course, but some standard formulae are given in ? and ?. It is of the order of days for the inner disc and years for the optical disc. The analogous “response” timescale for the BLR and for the obscuring region is actually the light-crossing time - the local response time to a change in photo-ionisation or heating is very short, but what we see is smeared out by the range of light travel delays.

Are these timescales relevant to what we actually see? The UV continuum changes on timescales of weeks², with an RMS of around $\pm 30\%$, which means trough-to-peak changes of up to a factor of two are not unusual. The variations in the optical continuum, BLR, and IR seem to track these variations with roughly the light-travel time delays suggested in the Table, together with a similar amount of smearing (see recent examples in ?, ?, and ?). This strongly suggests that almost all the changes we see on the relevant timescales represent reprocessed

¹For simplicity, I am only going to talk about the UVOIR spectral region, ignoring X-rays.

²Here I am assuming an Seyfert-like object appropriate to our $10^8 M_\odot$ example.

AGN Structure	physical size	angular size	t_{lt}	t_{dyn}	t_{snd}	t_{therm}
Inner disc	$5 R_S$	$0.1 \mu\text{as}$	1.4hrs	4.3hrs	1.3 yrs	18.7days
Optical disc	$50 R_S$	$1 \mu\text{as}$	14hrs	5.7days	23 yrs	1.6yrs
Broad Line Region	$1000 R_S$	$20 \mu\text{as}$	11days	1.4yrs	800 yrs	–
Obscuring Region	$10^5 R_S$	2mas	3.1yrs	1.4kyrs	350 kyrs	–

emission driven by changes in the very central regions. The conventional explanation for many years has been that the driving power is from the X-ray source (e.g. ?), but in many cases this does not work in either energy budget or correlation terms (see ? and references therein). A good alternative for the driving power is the (unseen) EUV peak of the very inner accretion disc.

The amplitude we see in the optical continuum on these \sim week timescales (around 3% RMS) is much smaller than that seen in the UV variations, which suggests that a very blue variable component mixes with an unchanging, or slower changing, redder component. ? argues that this variable reprocessor is a system of dense inner clouds surrounding the disc, rather than the disc itself.

The variations seen in the UV, which the optical and BLR emission track, seem to follow a red-noise or random-walk like pattern, increasing in amplitude to longer timescales, flattening at a characteristic timescale of the order tens of days. This timescale depends on the mass of the black hole (Collier and Peterson 2001). This characteristic timescale seems to match the thermal timescale of the inner disc, suggesting that variability is driven by some unknown stochastic process, filtered by the physical response of the disc (??).

Note that the changes we see in broad emission lines are also of the order weeks, tracking the changes in the UV photo-ionising source. This is much shorter than the dynamical timescale of the BLR, and means we are not seeing structural changes in this region. In the popular "local optimally emitting cloud (LOC)" models we will be lighting up different pre-existing clouds at different distances as the UV goes up and down (??), which is why the amplitude of line variations (the "responsivity") varies with line species - Ly α has a large amplitude and Mg II hardly varies at all (e.g. ?). However, it is possible that on longer timescales we *will* see BLR structural changes - a point we will return to in section 5.3.

From Aneta Siemiginowska's talk::

Light crossing time at 100 rs:

$$t_{lc} = 1.1 M_8 R_{100r_S} \text{ days} \quad (1)$$

Orbital::

$$t_{orb} = 104 M_8 (R_{100r_S})^{3/2} \text{ days} \quad (2)$$

Thermal (note the viscosity dependence)

$$t_{\text{th}} = 4.6 \, (\alpha_{0.01})^{-1} M_8 (R_{100r_S})^{3/2} \text{ years} \quad (3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} r_s &= 2 \, G M_{\text{bh}} / c^2 \\ R_{100r_S} &= R / 100 r_S \\ M_8 &= M_{\text{bh}} / 10^8 M_{\odot}. \end{aligned}$$

Note::

$$\Rightarrow t_{\text{th}} \sim (h/r)^2 t_{\text{visc}} \quad (4)$$

Timescale		Equation	Baseline	Range	Ref
Dynamical	dyn	$(R^3/GM)^{1/2}$			
		$P_{\text{orb}}/2\pi$			
		$1.4 R_{1000}^{3/2} M_8 \text{ yr}$			
Escape	esc	v_{esc}/g			
		$(v_{\text{esc}}/v_{\text{Kep}}) \cdot \tau_{\text{dyn}}$			
		$1.4 \tau_{\text{dyn}} s$			
Apocenter	apo	v_{radial}/g			
		$75 v_{1000} \cdot R_{1000} 2M8 \text{ d}$			
Cooling time	cool				
Sound crossing	s				
Cloud crossing	ic				
Cloud crushing	cc				
X-ray	X				

Table 2: github.com/d80b2t

Where:

$$cs = (\gamma k_B T / \mu m_H^{1/2}) = 150 T_6^{1/2} \text{ km s}^{-1}$$

g is the local acceleration due to gravity, GM/R^2 ;

G is the gravitational constant;

k_B is Boltzmanns constant $= 1.38 \times 10^{-16} \text{ erg K}^{-1}$;

$L/LEdd$ is the Eddington ratio;

$L_{bol, 44}$ is the ultraviolet bolometric luminosity in units of 1044 erg s^{-1} ;

\mathcal{M} is the Mach number;

M is the mass of the black hole in solar masses;

M_8 is M in units of 10^8 solar masses;

m_H is the mass of the hydrogen atom $= 1.67 \times 10^{-24} \text{ g}$;

P_{orb} is the orbital period in s;

R is the distance from the central black hole in cm;

R_{1000} is R in units of 1000 Schwarzschild radii, $rg = 2GM/c^2$;

$ri, 13$ is ri the initial radius of a condensing cloud in units of 1013 cm;

rc is the radius of the condensed cloud, $= ri \cdot .1/3$, for a density ratio of ; i.e. $0.22 ri$ for a density ratio of 100;

$T_{i,6}$ is the initial temperature of the wind in units of 106 K;

v_{1000} = initial radial WA velocity in units of 1000 km s⁻¹;

$v_{\text{esc}} = (2GM/R)^{1/2}$ is the escape velocity from radius $R = 9500 R_{1000}$ -12 km s⁻¹ = 26700 R₁₀₀₀-12 km s⁻¹;

Z/Z_{\odot} is gas metallicity relative to solar (section 2.1);

$\Lambda()$ is the cooling coefficient ($\text{erg s}^{-1} \text{cm}^3$) ;

$\Lambda_b(T)$ is the cooling coefficient for bremsstrahlung;

$\Lambda()/\Lambda_b(T)$ is the factor increase in the cooling coefficient in a thermal plasma due to line cooling over bremsstrahlung at solar metallicity, which has values of ~ 35 for $T = 10^5 - 10^6$ K, 100 for $T = 10^4.5$ K, and peaks at 500 for $T = 10^{5.5}$ K;

γ is the ideal gas adiabatic index $= 5/3$;

μ is the mean molecular weight of the gas (~ 0.6); and

χ is the ratio of the cloud density to the ambient medium density.