## 1 Bondi Hoyle

Bondi & Hoyle (1944); The rate of accretion can be give as::

$$\dot{M} = \frac{4\pi G^2 M^2 \rho_{\infty}}{v^3} \tag{1}$$

### 2 System physics and model properties

STRAIGHT FROM Camilo Fontecilla, Zoltn Haiman, Jorge Cuadra, https://arxiv.org/abs/1810.02857v1. In this section, we first explain the relevant physics in our study, then we discuss the simplifications needed to implement a 1D simulation, and finally give details of our numerical code.

We model the inner—and circumbinary discs assuming their scale height h is much smaller than the distance to the centre r, so the system behaves as a standard, two-dimensional thin disc. Furthermore, we consider the primary black hole to be at the center of mass of the system, ignore the individual disc around the secondary, and assume that the secondary and both accretion discs follow circular, co-planar and prograde paths around the primary black hole. These assumptions allow us to model the system in one dimension, with all disc properties functions of the radial coordinate r only.

#### 2.1 Viscosity, thickness and energetics

Viscosity acts as an angular momentum transport mechanism, which produces a torque between contiguous rings of the disc. The standard  $\alpha$ -disc model (?) parametrizes the turbulent kinematic viscosity as a function of the sound speed  $c_s$  and the thickness of the disc h. While  $c_s$  depends on the total pressure, in this study we implement an alternative viscosity prescription called a  $\beta$ -disc model, which ensures thermal and viscous stability:

$$\nu = \alpha c_s h \beta,. \tag{2}$$

This allows us to put all the uncertainties in the constant  $\alpha \leq 1$ . Here,  $\beta$  is the ratio between the gas pressure  $p_{\rm gas}$  and total pressure  $p_{\rm tot} = p_{\rm gas} + p_{\rm rad}$  (with  $p_{\rm rad}$  the radiation pressure). For simplicity, we consider the pressures to be determined by the mid-plane temperature of the disc  $T_c$ :  $p_{\rm rad} = 4\sigma T_c^4/(3c)$  and  $p_{\rm gas} = \rho k T_c/(\mu m_p)$ , with k the Boltzmann constant,  $\sigma$  the Stefan-Boltzmann constant, and  $\mu = 0.615$  the mean particle mass in units of the proton mass  $m_p$  for a plasma of solar metallicity.

The sound speed in the disc is given by  $c_s^2 = p_{\text{tot}}/\rho$  with  $\rho = \Sigma/(2h)$  the volumetric density and  $\Sigma$  the surface density of the discs. Assuming hydrostatic equilibrium in the vertical direction, we can express the sound speed as a function of thickness h and angular velocity:  $c_s = \Omega h$ , with  $\Omega = (GM(1+q)/r^3)^{1/2}$  the angular velocity of the material.

To close this system of equations, we relate the central temperature  $T_c$  to the surface density  $\Sigma$ , assuming photons are transported to the disc surface by vertical diffusion:  $F = 8\sigma T_c^4/(3\Sigma\kappa)$  (where  $\kappa$  is the opacity due to electron scattering), and that viscous and tidal heating are dissipated in the form of radiation (??),

$$F = D_{\nu} + D_{\Lambda} = \frac{9}{8} \nu \Sigma \Omega^2 - \frac{1}{2} \Lambda \Sigma (\Omega - \Omega_s). \tag{3}$$

The tidal term  $D_{\Lambda}$ , as explained in ?, comes from angular momentum conservation and from the assumption that orbits remain circular.

Using the above formulation leads to a system of equations, uniquely determining the disc properties at each radius and time:

$$T_{c} = \left[ \frac{3\kappa \Sigma^{2} \left( 9\alpha c_{s}^{2} \Omega \beta - 4\Lambda (\Omega - \Omega_{s}) \right)}{64\sigma} \right]^{\frac{1}{4}},$$

$$\beta = \left[ 1 + \frac{8\sigma \mu m_{p} T_{c}^{3} c_{s}}{3ck \Sigma \Omega} \right]^{-1},$$

$$c_{s} = \frac{8\sigma T_{c}^{4}}{3c\Omega \Sigma (1 - \beta)}.$$

$$(4)$$

It can be shown that there is only one real and positive solution for the central temperature. Solving the equations allows us to obtain the sound speed  $c_s$ , the thickness h of the disc, and finally the viscosity itself (?). Having the central temperature at each radius and assuming that the discs emit as a multi-temperature blackbody (?), we can obtain the spectral energy distribution (SED) and the bolometric luminosity ( $L_{\rm bol}$ ) of the system as a function of time.

#### 2.2 Surface Density evolution

At least two mechanisms will make the surface density of the discs evolve over time: viscosity, already explained in the previous subsection, and the tidal torque produced by the changing gravitational potential of the rotating binary. The latter is a 2D effect, and cannot be implemented directly in a 1D simulation. To bypass this, we adopt a commonly used recipe that models its effect on the accretion discs. Following the literature (?), <sup>1</sup> we define an orbit-averaged torque,

$$\Lambda = \begin{cases}
-\frac{f}{2}q^2\Omega^2 r^2 \left(\frac{r}{\Delta}\right)^4, & \text{if } r < a \\
\frac{f}{2}q^2\Omega^2 r^2 \left(\frac{a}{\Delta}\right)^4, & \text{if } r \ge a
\end{cases}$$
(5)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>See ???? for discussion about the tidal prescription.

whose functional form depends on whether we are in the inner (r < a) or circumbinary  $(r \ge a)$  discs. Here f = 0.01 is a dimensionless parameter that controls the strength of the torque,  $\Delta =_{\max} \{R_h, h, |r-a|\}$  is a smoothing term, and  $R_h = a(q/3)^{1/3}$  is the Hill radius of the secondary black hole. While this model was originally proposed for  $q \ll 1$ , it has been widely used for binaries up to q = 0.3 (?????).

While viscosity always transfers the angular momentum of the disc outwards, the tidal effect depends on the position. Inside the binary orbit (r < a) the tidal torque  $\Lambda$  adds angular momentum to the binary, producing an inward acceleration on the elements of the disc. On the other hand, for the circumbinary disc  $(r \ge a)$ , this effect will add angular momentum to the gas, preventing it to cross the binary orbit. Here, the balance between the tidal effect and the viscous mechanism will produce a low density region called cavity or gap, which depends on the mass ratio of the binary.

As pointed out by ?, for  $q \ge 0.1$ , eq. (5) gives an unphysically large tidal contribution on the discs outside the Lindblad resonances, which will alter the migration velocity of the binary and the surface density of the discs. Following their work we added an exponential cutoff for the tidal torque outside this region,

$$\Lambda = \begin{cases}
-\frac{f}{2}q^{2}\Omega^{2}r^{2}\left(\frac{r}{\Delta}\right)^{4} \exp\left[-\left(\frac{r - r_{\text{IMLR}}}{w_{\text{IMLR}}}\right)^{2}\right] & \text{if } r \leq r_{\text{IMLR}}, \\
\frac{f}{2}q^{2}\Omega^{2}r^{2}\left(\frac{a}{\Delta}\right)^{4} \exp\left[-\left(\frac{r - r_{\text{OMLR}}}{w_{\text{OMLR}}}\right)^{2}\right] & \text{if } r \geq r_{\text{OMLR}}.
\end{cases}$$
(6)

Here,  $r_{\text{IMLR}} = 0.63a$  and  $r_{\text{OMLR}} = 1.59a$  are the radius of the innermost and outermost Lindblad resonances, while  $w_{\text{IMLR}} = 370h$  and  $w_{\text{OMLR}} = 75h$  are the widths of the Gaussian smoothing. These values were used by ? to reproduce the gap sizes of a q = 0.11 binary from ?.

Following ?, considering the mass continuity and angular momentum conservation equations in the discs, adding the viscous and tidal torques (eq. 5), the surface density evolution can be written as:

$$\frac{\partial \Sigma}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( -3r^{1/2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \nu \Sigma r^{1/2} \right) + 2 \frac{\Sigma \Lambda}{\Omega} \right), \tag{7}$$

where the first term at the right hand side is the effect of viscosity and the second one is produced by the tidal interaction between the binary and the discs.

# 3 What's the difference, ("If Any!!") between Proto-stellar disks & AGN disks ???!!!!

Table 1: What are the similarties and differences between Proto-stellar and AGN accretion disks?

isks							
AGN disks			దా ్గ్య		<u>1</u> .		rt .
Proto-stellar disks	$\sim 0.1$	Mainly adiabatic	Interesting issue. Thought to be sensitive to MRI at later stages at least. However, there are some arguing that global magnetic fields may play a key in transporting angular momentum away.	Self-gravity at early times, MRI later	Certainly many people working on chemistry in these discs	Regarded as important for cooling	Yes, and regarded as having, initially at least, an ISM composition.
	h/r	${\bf Adiabatic/isothermal?}$	$B ext{-field strength}$	Mechamism(s) for turbulence generation	Dust chemistry	Dust opacity	Iron present?

- 4 ADAFs, RIAFs, etcs.
- 5 ADAFs, RIAFs, etcs.

see reviews by Quataert [2001]; Narayan [2005]; early versions of RIAF models were called advection-dominated accretion flows [ADAFs] or ion tori;

Нот	thick, $\sim 0.5$ optically thin $(\tau < 1)$ low(er) non-negligible generally inefficient sub-Keplerian	Yes, Yes "Jet/Kinetic/Maintenances"	advection dominated accretion flow (ADAF) (adiabatic inflow-outflow solution; ADIOS) (convection-dominated accretion flow; CDAF) radiatively inefficient accretion flow (RIAF) "luminous hot" (LHAF) SLE (Shaprio, Lightman, Eardley, 1976, ApJ, 204, 187)	Low-luminosity AGN (LLAGN) BHXBs in "Hard and Quiescent" state
Cold	thin, $\leq 0.1$ optically thick generally high negligible generally efficient generally Keplerian	Yes? No. "Radiative/Wind/Tranistionn"	"Slim" Shakura-Sunyaev disk (a.k.a "thin" + $\alpha$ )	quasars
Temperature (cf. Virial temperature)	geometry $(h/R)$ gas opacity $\dot{M}$ radiation pressure radiative cooling angular velocity	Outflows? Jets? Feedback mode	Named examples	Type of objects

$$T_{\rm vir} = \frac{\mu m_p}{2k_B} V_{\rm vir}^2 \simeq 3.6 \times 10^5 \left(\frac{V_{\rm vir}}{100 \,\text{km/s}}\right)^2$$
 (8)

$$T(R) = \left\{ \frac{3GM\dot{M}}{8\pi R^3 \sigma} \left[ 1 - \frac{R}{R_*} \right]^{1/2} \right\}^{1/4} \tag{9}$$

$$= \left(\frac{3GM\dot{M}}{8\pi\sigma R_*^3}\right)^{1/4} \tag{10}$$

$$\approx 5 \times 10^5 \left(\frac{M}{10^8 M_{\odot}}\right)^{1/4} \left(\frac{\dot{M}}{10^{23} \text{kg s}^{-1}}\right)^{1/4} \left(\frac{r}{r_g}\right)^{-3/4} \text{K}$$
 (11)

(12)

with  $T = T_*(R/R_*)^{-3/4}$  for  $R \gg R_*$ .

$$T(R) = \left(\frac{3GM\dot{M}}{8\pi\sigma R_*^3}\right)^{1/4} \tag{13}$$

$$\approx 5 \times 10^5 \left(\frac{M}{10^8 M_{\odot}}\right)^{1/4} \left(\frac{\dot{M}}{10^{23} \text{kg s}^{-1}}\right)^{1/4} \left(\frac{r}{r_g}\right)^{-3/4} \text{K}$$
 (14)

(15)

$$T(R) = \left(\frac{3GM\dot{M}}{8\pi\sigma R_*^3}\right)^{1/4} \tag{16}$$

$$\approx 5 \times 10^5 \left(\frac{M}{10^8 M_{\odot}}\right)^{1/4} \left(\frac{\dot{M}}{10^{23} \text{kg s}^{-1}}\right)^{1/4} \left(\frac{r}{r_g}\right)^{-3/4} \text{K}$$
 (17)

$$\approx 5 \times 10^5 \left(\frac{M}{10^8 M_{\odot}}\right)^{1/4} \left(\frac{\dot{M}}{0.6 M_{\odot} \text{yr}^{-1}}\right)^{1/4} \left(\frac{r}{r_g}\right)^{-3/4} \text{K}$$
 (18)

$$\sim 8 \times 10^5 \left(\frac{M}{10^8 M_{\odot}}\right)^{1/4} \left(\frac{\dot{M}}{M_{\odot} \text{yr}^{-1}}\right)^{1/4} \left(\frac{r}{r_g}\right)^{-3/4} \text{K}$$

$$T(R) = \left(\frac{3GM\dot{M}}{8\pi\sigma R_*^3}\right)^{1/4} \approx 5 \times 10^5 \left(\frac{M}{10^8 M_\odot}\right)^{1/4} \left(\frac{\dot{M}}{0.6 M_\odot {\rm yr}^{-1}}\right)^{1/4} \left(\frac{r}{r_g}\right)^{-3/4} {\rm K}$$

# 6 This, THIS, THIS!!!!

http://www.scholarpedia.org/article/Accretion\_discs

# References

Bondi H., Hoyle F., 1944, MNRAS, 104, 273