

# Module Guide for Software Engineering

Team 14, Reach  
Aamina Hussain  
David Morontini  
Anika Peer  
Deep Raj  
Alan Scott

January 15, 2024

# 1 Revision History

Date	Version	Notes
Date 1	1.0	Notes
Date 2	1.1	Notes

## 2 Reference Material

This section records information for easy reference.

### 2.1 Abbreviations and Acronyms

symbol	description
AC	Anticipated Change
DAG	Directed Acyclic Graph
M	Module
MG	Module Guide
OS	Operating System
R	Requirement
SC	Scientific Computing
SRS	Software Requirements Specification
Software Engineering	Explanation of program name
UC	Unlikely Change
[etc. —SS]	[... —SS]

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Revision History</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Reference Material</b>	<b>ii</b>
2.1	Abbreviations and Acronyms . . . . .	ii
<b>3</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Anticipated and Unlikely Changes</b>	<b>2</b>
4.1	Anticipated Changes . . . . .	2
4.2	Unlikely Changes . . . . .	2
<b>5</b>	<b>Module Hierarchy</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Connection Between Requirements and Design</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Module Decomposition</b>	<b>4</b>
7.1	Hardware Hiding Modules . . . . .	5
7.2	Behaviour-Hiding Module . . . . .	6
7.2.1	Input Format Module (M??) . . . . .	6
7.2.2	Etc. . . . .	6
7.3	Software Decision Module . . . . .	6
<b>8</b>	<b>Traceability Matrix</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>Use Hierarchy Between Modules</b>	<b>8</b>

## List of Tables

1	Module Hierarchy . . . . .	4
2	Trace Between Requirements and Modules . . . . .	7
3	Trace Between Anticipated Changes and Modules . . . . .	8

## List of Figures

1	Use hierarchy among modules . . . . .	8
---	---------------------------------------	---

### 3 Introduction

Decomposing a system into modules is a commonly accepted approach to developing software. A module is a work assignment for a programmer or programming team (Parnas et al., 1984). We advocate a decomposition based on the principle of information hiding (Parnas, 1972). This principle supports design for change, because the “secrets” that each module hides represent likely future changes. Design for change is valuable in SC, where modifications are frequent, especially during initial development as the solution space is explored.

Our design follows the rules laid out by Parnas et al. (1984), as follows:

- System details that are likely to change independently should be the secrets of separate modules.
- Each data structure is implemented in only one module.
- Any other program that requires information stored in a module’s data structures must obtain it by calling access programs belonging to that module.

After completing the first stage of the design, the Software Requirements Specification (SRS), the Module Guide (MG) is developed (Parnas et al., 1984). The MG specifies the modular structure of the system and is intended to allow both designers and maintainers to easily identify the parts of the software. The potential readers of this document are as follows:

- New project members: This document can be a guide for a new project member to easily understand the overall structure and quickly find the relevant modules they are searching for.
- Maintainers: The hierarchical structure of the module guide improves the maintainers’ understanding when they need to make changes to the system. It is important for a maintainer to update the relevant sections of the document after changes have been made.
- Designers: Once the module guide has been written, it can be used to check for consistency, feasibility, and flexibility. Designers can verify the system in various ways, such as consistency among modules, feasibility of the decomposition, and flexibility of the design.

The rest of the document is organized as follows. Section 4 lists the anticipated and unlikely changes of the software requirements. Section 5 summarizes the module decomposition that was constructed according to the likely changes. Section 6 specifies the connections between the software requirements and the modules. Section 7 gives a detailed description of the modules. Section 8 includes two traceability matrices. One checks the completeness of the design against the requirements provided in the SRS. The other shows the relation between anticipated changes and the modules. Section 9 describes the use relation between modules.

## 4 Anticipated and Unlikely Changes

This section lists possible changes to the system. According to the likeliness of the change, the possible changes are classified into two categories. Anticipated changes are listed in Section 4.1, and unlikely changes are listed in Section 4.2.

### 4.1 Anticipated Changes

Anticipated changes are the source of the information that is to be hidden inside the modules. Ideally, changing one of the anticipated changes will only require changing the one module that hides the associated decision. The approach adapted here is called design for change.

**AC1:** The format of user data and how it is validated.

**AC2:** The format of profile data and how it is validated.

**AC3:** The format of trial data and how it is validated.

**AC4:** How trials are gathered from external, clinical trial repositories.

**AC5:** How trials are filtered after gathering trials from external, clinical trial repositories.

**AC6:** How email notifications are triggered and sent to users.

**AC7:** The format of the email templates.

**AC8:** The process of account creation.

**AC9:** The process of logging in to an account.

**AC10:** How the input data forms for user profiles are presented to the user.

**AC11:** How the registration forms will be presented to the user.

**AC12:** How the list user profiles are presented to the user.

**AC13:** How eligible trials are presented to the user.

**AC14:** The look/feel of general UI components, present on every page.

### 4.2 Unlikely Changes

The module design should be as general as possible. However, a general system is more complex. Sometimes this complexity is not necessary. Fixing some design decisions at the system architecture stage can simplify the software design. If these decision should later need to be changed, then many parts of the design will potentially need to be modified. Hence, it is not intended that these decisions will be changed.

- UC1:** The type of database used (type: relational).
- UC2:** The external repository for clinical trials (repository: clinicaltrials.gov).
- UC3:** The types of notifications sent to users (type: email).
- UC4:** Being able to create and search based on multiple profiles.
- UC5:** The device used to access the application (device: computer).
- UC6:** Cloud platform used to deploy the web application (platform: google cloud).
- UC7:** The operating system the application will run on (OS: linux).

## 5 Module Hierarchy

This section provides an overview of the module design. Modules are summarized in a hierarchy decomposed by secrets in Table 1. The modules listed below, which are leaves in the hierarchy tree, are the modules that will actually be implemented.

- M1:** User data module
- M2:** Patient info module
- M3:** Trial data module
- M4:** Trial fetching module
- M5:** Trial filtering module
- M6:** Notification system module
- M7:** Email template module
- M8:** Registration module
- M9:** Login module
- M10:** Data collection module
- M11:** Registration visualization module
- M12:** User profile module
- M13:** Trial display module
- M14:** Base UI module

Level 1	Level 2
Hardware-Hiding Module	M1
	M2
	M3
Behaviour-Hiding Module	M7
	M8
	M9
	M10
	M11
	M12
	M13
	M14
Software Decision Module	M4
	M5
	M6

Table 1: Module Hierarchy

## 6 Connection Between Requirements and Design

The design of the system is intended to satisfy the requirements developed in the SRS. In this stage, the system is decomposed into modules. The connection between requirements and modules is listed in Table 2.

## 7 Module Decomposition

Modules are decomposed according to the principle of “information hiding” proposed by Parnas et al. (1984). The *Secrets* field in a module decomposition is a brief statement of the design decision hidden by the module. The *Services* field specifies *what* the module will do without documenting *how* to do it. For each module, a suggestion for the implementing software is given under the *Implemented By* title. If the entry is *OS*, this means that the module is provided by the operating system or by standard programming language libraries. *Software Engineering* means the module will be implemented by the Software Engineering software.

Only the leaf modules in the hierarchy have to be implemented. If a dash (–) is shown, this means that the module is not a leaf and will not have to be implemented.



## 7.1 Hardware Hiding Modules

### Database Hiding Module

**Secrets:** How data is stored on the physical database machines.

**Services:** Organizes and stores data to be used by the software system in an efficient and effective manner.

**Implemented By:** -

### User Data Module (M1)

**Secrets:** How user data is formatted, validated, and stored for future use by the system.

**Services:** Provides an interface/abstraction over all the user data that is currently available in the database, and any new data that needs to be stored.

**Implemented By:** REACH

### Patient Info Module (M2)

**Secrets:** How patient information/profiles are formatted, validated, and stored for future use by the system.

**Services:** Provides an interface/abstraction over all the patient profiles that are currently available in the database, and any new profiles that need to be stored.

**Implemented By:** REACH

### Trial Data Module (M3)

**Secrets:** How trial data is formatted, validated, and stored for future use by the system.

**Services:** Provides an interface/abstraction over all the trial data that is currently available in the database, and any new trial data that needs to be stored.

**Implemented By:** REACH

## 7.2 Behaviour-Hiding Module

**Secrets:** The contents of the required behaviours.

**Services:** Includes programs that provide externally visible behaviour of the system as specified in the software requirements specification (SRS) documents. This module serves as a communication layer between the hardware-hiding module and the software decision module. The programs in this module will need to change if there are changes in the SRS.

**Implemented By:** –

### 7.2.1 Input Format Module (M??)

**Secrets:** The format and structure of the input data.

**Services:** Converts the input data into the data structure used by the input parameters module.

**Implemented By:** [Your Program Name Here]

**Type of Module:** [Record, Library, Abstract Object, or Abstract Data Type] [Information to include for leaf modules in the decomposition by secrets tree.]

### 7.2.2 Etc.

## 7.3 Software Decision Module

**Secrets:** The design decision based on mathematical theorems, physical facts, or programming considerations. The secrets of this module are *not* described in the SRS.

**Services:** Includes data structure and algorithms used in the system that do not provide direct interaction with the user.

**Implemented By:** –

### Trial Fetching Module (M4)

**Secrets:** How the trial API is accessed, how trials are received and exported.

**Services:** Retrieves a set of trials stored on the ClinicalTrials.gov database.

**Implemented By:** TrialFetcher

### Trial Filtering Module (M5)

**Secrets:** Trial export format, how trials are filtered and sorted, how distances are computed.

**Services:** Filters and sorts a set of trials from the Trial Fetching module.

**Implemented By:** TrialFilterer

### Email Notification Module (M6)

**Secrets:** Format of the email notification, query to retrieve user data and new trials.

**Services:** Retrieves new trials from the database and sends an email notification to users that may be interested in them.

**Implemented By:** EmailNotificationModule

## 8 Traceability Matrix

This section shows two traceability matrices: between the modules and the requirements and between the modules and the anticipated changes.

Req.	Modules
FR1,2	M8, M11
FR3,4,5	M9, M11
FR6	M12, M14
FR7	M10, M14
FR8	M1, M2
FR9	M1, M2, M10
FR10	M7
FR11	M6
FR12	M14
FR13, 14	M4, M5, M13, M14
FR15	M1, M2, M10

Table 2: Trace Between Requirements and Modules

AC	Modules
AC1	M1
AC2	M2
AC3	M3
AC4	M4
AC5	M5
AC6	M6
AC7	M7
AC8	M8
AC9	M9
AC10	M10
AC11	M11
AC12	M12
AC13	M13
AC14	M14

Table 3: Trace Between Anticipated Changes and Modules

## 9 Use Hierarchy Between Modules

In this section, the uses hierarchy between modules is provided. Parnas (1978) said of two programs A and B that A *uses* B if correct execution of B may be necessary for A to complete the task described in its specification. That is, A *uses* B if there exist situations in which the correct functioning of A depends upon the availability of a correct implementation of B. Figure 1 illustrates the use relation between the modules. It can be seen that the graph is a directed acyclic graph (DAG). Each level of the hierarchy offers a testable and usable subset of the system, and modules in the higher level of the hierarchy are essentially simpler because they use modules from the lower levels.

Figure 1: Use hierarchy among modules

## References

- David L. Parnas. On the criteria to be used in decomposing systems into modules. *Comm. ACM*, 15(2):1053–1058, December 1972.
- David L. Parnas. Designing software for ease of extension and contraction. In *ICSE '78*:

*Proceedings of the 3rd international conference on Software engineering*, pages 264–277, Piscataway, NJ, USA, 1978. IEEE Press. ISBN none.

D.L. Parnas, P.C. Clement, and D. M. Weiss. The modular structure of complex systems. In *International Conference on Software Engineering*, pages 408–419, 1984.