# Verification and Validation Report: Software Engineering

Team 14, Reach Aamina Hussain David Moroniti Anika Peer Deep Raj Alan Scott

March 5, 2024

# 1 Revision History

Date	Version	Notes
Date 1	1.0	Notes
Date 2	1.1	Notes

## 2 Symbols, Abbreviations and Acronyms

symbol	description
Т	Test

<sup>[</sup>symbols, abbreviations or acronyms – you can reference the SRS tables if needed —SS]

## Contents

1	Revision History	i
2	Symbols, Abbreviations and Acronyms	ii
3	Functional Requirements Evaluation	1
4	Nonfunctional Requirements Evaluation 4.1 Usability	2 2 2 3 3
5	Comparison to Existing Implementation	3
6	Unit Testing	3
7	Changes Due to Testing	3
8	Automated Testing	4
9	Trace to Requirements	4
10	Trace to Modules	4
11	Code Coverage Metrics	4

## List of Tables

# List of Figures

## 3 Functional Requirements Evaluation

#### User Data

- 1. DT-1 Result: Passed New account can successfully be created, and data is stored successfully in the database. Therefore, the tester was able to verify that the data is persistent, and so this test was successful.
- 2. DT-2 Result: Passed Account information can successfully be updated, and the changes are reflected in the database. Therefore, the tester was able to verify that the updates are persistent, and so this test was successful.
- 3. DT-3 Result: Not run reason being that the database application we used will ensure the issue brought up by this test can never happen.

### **Program Information**

1. PT-1 Passed - The tester was able to verify that the FAQ system can be viewed by a user (whether they are logged in or not), and so this test was successful.

### Searching

- 1. ST-1 Not run Functionality tested by this test was removed from the requirements prior to conducting testing.
- 2. ST-2 Passed The tester was able to conduct a search based on a patient profile, and view the resulting trials. Therefore, this test was successful.
- 3. ST-3 Failed When attempting to search for trials with no profile data selected, the app did not behave as intended (it still tried to conduct a search), therefore this test was unsuccessful.
- 4. ST-4 Passed The tester was able to switch between multiple profiles, and conduct a search based on each respective profile.

5. ST-5 Passed - Upon selecting a displayed trial, the tester was able to verify that the location displayed on the map updates and displays correctly.

### **Bookmarking**

- 1. BT-1 Passed The tester was able to save a trial, and view the trial in the bookmarks page. Additionally, there was an indication that the trial was saved, upon saving the trial. Therefore, this test was successful.
- 2. BT-2 Passed The tester was able to delete a trial that was previously saved, and verified that the deletion was recognized in the frontned (i.e., by no longer being part of the list of saved trials). Therefore, this test was successful.
- 3. BT-3 Passed The tester was able to verify that the details provided by the saved trial are the same as the details related to the trial on the search trial page.
- 4. BT-4 Passed The tester was able to verify that the saved trials are filtered correctly upon selecting a profile to filter by. When selecting a profile, only the trials saved under that profile are displayed, and when selecting no profile, all saved trials are displayed to the user.

## 4 Nonfunctional Requirements Evaluation

### 4.1 Usability

#### 4.2 Performance

- 1. PT-1 Passed On average, the tests to load trials take on average 4.1 seconds. As this is below the benchmark of 5 seconds, the test passes.
- 2. PT-2 Passed All endpoints were tested manually to measure the time taken to establish connections between endpoints. All tests succeeded in under 1 second, so the tests pass.

### 4.3 Maintainability

1. MT-1 Failed - Modified code in the pull request has been routinely and automatically linted by a Github CI component upon creation of pull requests. Some pull requests have been rejected by the linter, so the test fails.

### 4.4 Security

- 1. SEC-1 Failed The tester entered the password "pass" which does not conform to the NIST guidelines. The password was accepted and the account was created, so the test fails.
- 2. SEC-2 Passed Two tests were run. Firstly, a tester with the correct password but incorrect username attempted to access the database. This test was successful as the tester was denied access to the database. Secondly, a tester with correct credentials attempted to log into the database. This attempt was successful, so the second part of the test was also successful.

## 5 Comparison to Existing Implementation

This section will not be appropriate for every project.

### 6 Unit Testing

### 7 Changes Due to Testing

[This section should highlight how feedback from the users and from the supervisor (when one exists) shaped the final product. In particular the feedback from the Rev 0 demo to the supervisor (or to potential users) should be highlighted. —SS]

- 8 Automated Testing
- 9 Trace to Requirements
- 10 Trace to Modules
- 11 Code Coverage Metrics

## Appendix — Reflection

The information in this section will be used to evaluate the team members on the graduate attribute of Reflection. Please answer the following question:

1. In what ways was the Verification and Validation (VnV) Plan different from the activities that were actually conducted for VnV? If there were differences, what changes required the modification in the plan? Why did these changes occur? Would you be able to anticipate these changes in future projects? If there weren't any differences, how was your team able to clearly predict a feasible amount of effort and the right tasks needed to build the evidence that demonstrates the required quality? (It is expected that most teams will have had to deviate from their original VnV Plan.)